STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Location
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Abstract
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Introduction,
			paragraphs 1-5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Introduction,
			paragraph 5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Introduction,
			paragraph 4;
			Methods,
			paragraphs 9-15
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data	Methods,
		collection	paragraphs 1-3
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	Methods,
			paragraphs 1-3
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	Methods,
		applicable	paragraphs 4-8
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe	Methods,
measurement		comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	paragraphs 4-8
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Methods,
			paragraphs 9-15
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Methods,
			paragraphs 1-3
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and	Methods,
		why	paragraphs 4-8
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Methods,

			paragraphs 9-15
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	N/A
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Methods,
			paragraph 17
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	S1 Text
		(\underline{e}) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Methods,
			paragraph 16
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,	Methods,
		confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	paragraphs 1-3
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Methods,
			paragraphs 1-3
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	N/A
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	Results,
		confounders	paragraph 1;
			Table 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Methods,
			paragraph 17
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Table 1
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	Results,
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	paragraphs 2-7;
			Tables 2-5
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Methods,
			paragraph 8
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	N/A
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Results,
			paragraphs 6-7;
			Table 4-5
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Discussion,
			paragraphs 1-3

Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and	Discussion,
		magnitude of any potential bias	paragraph 10
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from	Discussion,
		similar studies, and other relevant evidence	paragraph 11
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Abstract;
			Discussion,
			paragraph 10
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on	Online
		which the present article is based	submission
			system

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.