Supplementary File 1: PRISMA-P Checklist

Section and Topic	Item No	Checklist Item	Page No
ADMINISTRAT	IVE IN	FORMATION	
Title:			
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	1
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	NA
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	2, 5
Authors:			
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	1
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	14
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	NA
Support:			
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	15
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	15
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	15
INTRODUCTIO	N		
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	4-5
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	5

8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years	
		6 – 9
_	considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	
9		7 - 8
10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be	Supplementary
	repeated	File 2
11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	9 – 13
11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review	9 – 13
	(that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	
11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any	9 - 13
	processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	
12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data	7 – 13
	assumptions and simplifications	
13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with	6 – 13
	rationale	
14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the	10 - 13
	outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	
15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised	11
15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods	11 – 13
	of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2 , Kendall's τ)	
15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	11 – 13
15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	10-11
16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	11 – 13
17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	6, 10 – 13
		-
1 1 1 1 1	11a 11b 11c 12 13 14 15a 15b 15c 15d 16	grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage 10 Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated 11a Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review 11b State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis) 11c Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators 12 List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications 13 List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale 14 Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis 15a Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised 15b If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as 1 ² , Kendall's t) 15c Describe any propos