1Supplemental Text:

2Tibial Eminence Morphometry

3 Human tibial plateaus have an intercondylar eminence that consists of separate medial 4and lateral tibial spines with a bony ridge spaced between each spine. This has significant 5 functional implications that may be a product of evolution. A study by White et al. investigated 6the variations in tibial plateau morphology across different primates and found features of the 7tibial eminence to be characteristically different among primate species based on their movement 8patterns. A tibial single-spine eminence or a tibial eminence with a decrease medial spine size 9and a decreased distance between spines were theorized to facilitate a greater degree of internal-**10** external rotation of the proximal tibia relative to the distal femur. These features were more 11characteristic of vertical clinging and climbing primates who require internal-external rotation of **12**the tibia relative to the femur for increased mobility and precise foot placement. In contrast, a 13double-spine eminence would act to limit knee rotation and were more indicative of primates 14with greater use of horizontal locomotion. Likewise, humans have double-spine eminences that, 15correspondingly, could have evolved to resist internal-external rotation of the knee and support **16**horizontal locomotion. From this perspective, humans with a larger medial spine have better 17biomechanical function.

18Supplemental Table 1: Summary of injured to uninjured side comparisons made within ACL 19injured subjects and within matched control subjects. Results are presented for males and 20females as a combined group. Comparisons were made using paired t-tests. Within control 21subject comparisons were made with the injured and uninjured sides defined to correspond to the 22legs of their matched ACL-injured subject. Similar findings were obtained when considering 23females and males as separate groups (Supplemental Tables 2 and 3).

	ACL Injured Subjects					
	Injured Side	Uninjured Side		Injured Side	Uninjured Side	
Variable	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P-value	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P-value
M _{ed} VOL (mm³)	297.3 (118.7)	295.8 (136.4)	0.89	330.4 (167.6)	325.9 (170.6)	0.71
M _{ed} Height (mm)	10.2 (1.2)	10.1 (1.2)	0.26	10.1 (1.4)	10.2 (1.5)	0.67
M _{ed} Width (mm)	17.8 (1.9)	17.8 (2.0)	1.00	17.7 (2.1)	17.8 (2.2)	0.67
M _{ed} Length (mm)	34.5 (5.1)	34.1 (5.5)	0.52	34.2 (5.8)	34.6 (6.4)	0.38
M _{ed} CGLoc (mm)	1.0 (2.1)	1.1 (2)	0.56	1.6 (2.3)	1.6 (2.3)	0.69
L _{at} VOL (mm ³)	580.1 (185.7)	587.1 (219.8)	0.72	590.4 (205.3)	576.1 (209.2)	0.40
L _{at} Height (mm)	7.6 (1.2)	7.8 (1.3)	0.18	8.1 (1.4)	8.0 (1.4)	0.67
L _{at} Width (mm)	17.2 (2.3)	17.0 (2.3)	0.43	17.2 (2.6)	17.0 (2.5)	0.39
L _{at} Length (mm)	28.0 (5.5)	27.8 (5.5)	0.62	27.7 (5.3)	27.9 (5.6)	0.60
L _{at} CGLoc (mm)	-5.8 (1.6)	-5.7 (1.7)	0.74	-5.7 (1.6)	-5.6 1.5)	0.92

28Supplemental Table 2: Summary of injured to uninjured side comparisons made within ACL 29injured and within matched control subjects with males as a separate group. Within control 30subject comparisons were made with the injured and uninjured sides defined to correspond to the 31legs of their matched ACL-injured subject. Comparisons tested using paired t-tests. 32

	Male ACL Injured Subjects			Male Control Subjects		
	Injured Side	Uninjured Side		Injured Side	Uninjured Side	
Variable	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P-value	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P-value
M _{ed} VOL	368.3	340.8				
(mm ³)	(132.5)	(173.6)	0.50	487.0	462.1	
(22222)	11.0	10.7	0.20	(189.2)	(202.1)	0.44
$M_{ed}Height$	11.0	10.7		11.3	11.4	
(mm)	(1.2)	(1.3)	0.24	(1.2)		0.78
	19.0	19.3	0.24	(1.2)	(1.3)	0.70
M_{ed} Width				19.2	19.7	
(mm)	(2.0)	(1.9)	0.48	(1.9)	(1.8)	0.13
3.5.7	37.1	37.0	0.10	(1.0)	(1.0)	0.10
M_{ed} Length	(5.1)	(4.4)		37.7	38.4	
(mm)	(0.1)	(114)	0.92	(5.5)	(6.2)	0.32
$M_{ed}CGLoc$	1.7	1.7		, ,	` ,	
(mm)	(1.9)	(1.8)		2.3	2.2	
(111111)			0.88	(2.2)	(2.2)	0.64
$L_{at}VOL$	656.3	719.0		704.4	F0.4.4	
(mm^3)	(226.8)	(251.8)	0.45	701.4	704.1	0.04
(0.0	0.4	0.15	(229.2)	(262.7)	0.94
L_{at} Height	8.0	8.1		8.8	8.8	
(mm)	(1.4)	(1.3)	0.59	(1.3)	(1.6)	0.93
	19.3	18.8	0.55	(1.5)	(1.0)	0.55
L_{at} Width	(2.2)	(2.1)		19.3	19.1	
(mm)	(2.2)	(2.1)	0.30	(2.1)	(2.3)	0.58
T I amouth	28.6	29.4		· -/	·/	
LatLength	(4.6)	(5.1)		29.9	30.6	
(mm)	, ,	, ,	0.40	(6.0)	(6.3)	0.46
LatCGLoc	-5.8	-5.4				
(mm)	(1.8)	(1.9)	0.40	-5.9	-6.0	0 = :
(******)			0.12	(1.4)	(1.1)	0.74

35Supplemental Table 3: Summary of injured to uninjured side comparisons made within ACL 36injured and within matched control subjects with females as a separate group. Within control 37subject comparisons were made with the injured and uninjured sides defined to correspond to the 38legs of their matched ACL-injured subject. Comparisons tested using paired t-tests. 39

	Female ACL Injured Subjects			Female Control Subjects		
	Injured Side	Uninjured Side		Injured Side	Uninjured Side	
Variable	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P-value	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P-value
M _{ed} VOL (mm³)	265.9 (97.7)	275.9 (112.3)	0.42	261.0 (96.3)	265.7 (111.6)	0.65
M _{ed} Height (mm)	9.8 (1.1)	9.8 (1.1)	0.65	9.6 (1.2)	9.6 (1.2)	0.74
M _{ed} Width (mm)	17.3 (1.7)	17.1 (1.6)	0.41	17.0 (1.7)	16.9 (1.8)	0.67
M _{ed} Length (mm)	33.3 (4.7)	32.9 (5.4)	0.48	32.6 (5.3)	32.9 (5.7)	0.64
M _{ed} CGLoc (mm)	0.7 (2.1)	0.8 (2.0)	0.52	1.3 (2.3)	1.3 (2.3)	0.86
$L_{at}VOL$ (mm^3)	546.3 (154.7)	528.8 (177.0)	0.41	541.3 (174.2)	519.5 (151.5)	0.23
L _{at} Height (mm)	7.5 (1.0)	7.6 (1.2)	0.21	7.8 (1.3)	7.7 (1.2)	0.58
L _{at} Width (mm)	16.3 (1.7)	16.2 (2.0)	0.85	16.2 (2.1)	16.0 (2.0)	0.52
L _{at} Length (mm)	27.7 (5.9)	27.1 (5.5)	0.22	26.7 (4.7)	26.8 (4.9)	0.91
L _{at} CGLoc (mm)	-5.8 (1.6)	-5.9 (1.6)	0.57	-5.6 (1.7)	-5.5 (1.6)	0.75

42Supplemental Table 4: Univariate associations between tibial spine measurements and risk of 43suffering an ACL injury. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) obtained from conditional 44logistic regression using the injured leg of ACL injured subjects and corresponding leg of 45control. Females and males analyzed as both separate and combined groups. (Note: M_{ed} Vol and 46LatVol odds ratios correspond to the effect of a 100 mm³ increase and the odds ratios for the other 47variables correspond to a 1 mm change.)

	Female subjects		Male subjects		Males and Females combined	
Variable (Unit Change)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P-value
$M_{ed}VOL$ (100 mm ³)	1.05 (0.74 - 1.49)	0.79	0.55 (0.33 - 0.9)	0.02	0.80 (0.62 - 1.03)	0.08
M _{ed} Height (1 mm)	1.23 (0.88 - 1.72)	0.22	0.72 (0.43 - 1.22)	0.22	1.04 (0.8 - 1.37)	0.75
M _{ed} Width (1 mm)	1.17 (0.89 - 1.53)	0.26	0.94 (0.73 - 1.22)	0.66	1.04 (0.87 - 1.25)	0.64
M _{ed} Length (1 mm)	1.03 (0.96 - 1.1)	0.45	0.96 (0.84 - 1.11)	0.60	1.01 (0.95 - 1.08)	0.66
M_{ed} CGLoc (1 mm)	0.87 (0.73 - 1.03)	0.11	0.81 (0.59 - 1.12)	0.20	0.85 (0.74 - 0.99)	0.04
L _{at} VOL (100 mm³)	1.02 (0.8 - 1.3)	0.85	0.88 (0.66 - 1.18)	0.40	0.96 (0.8 - 1.15)	0.67
L _{at} Height (1 mm)	0.82 (0.61 - 1.11)	0.19	0.64 (0.39 - 1.07)	0.09	0.76 (0.59 - 0.98)	0.03
L _{at} Width (1 mm)	1.02 (0.84 - 1.23)	0.85	0.98 (0.74 - 1.29)	0.89	1.01 (0.86 - 1.17)	0.94
L _{at} Length (1 mm)	1.04 (0.97 - 1.12)	0.28	0.93 (0.81 - 1.07)	0.30	1.01 (0.95 - 1.08)	0.66
L _{at} CGLoc (1 mm)	0.9 (0.71 - 1.15)	0.40	1.07 (0.74 - 1.55)	0.70	0.95 (0.78 - 1.16)	0.61