

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Labor Room Violence in India: Levels and Determinants

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-028688
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Dec-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Goli, Srinivas; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Demography Ganguly, Dibyasree; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Centre for Study of Regional Development Chakravorty, Swastika; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Center for study of Regional Development Siddiqui, Mohammad; Jawaharlal Nehru University, School of social sciences Rammohan, Anu; The University of Western Australia, Department of Economics Acharya, Sanghamitra ; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Centre of Social Medicine and Community Health
Keywords:	OBSTETRICS, Quality in health care < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Health & safety < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Title: Labor Room Violence in India: Levels and Determinants

First Author

Srinivas Goli [Corresponding Author]
Assistant Professor
Centre for the Study of Regional Development
School of Social Sciences (SSS)
Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
Phone: +91 7042181232
Email: sirispaks2u@gmail.com
ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8481-484X>

Second Author

Dibyasree Ganguly
Doctoral Student, Population Studies
Centre for the Study of Regional Development
School of Social Sciences (SSS)
Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
Phone No: 91+9971435497
Email: dibyasreeganguly@gmail.com
ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0001-5677-6316

Third Author

Swastika Chakravorty
Doctoral Student, Population Studies
Centre for the Study of Regional Development
School of Social Sciences (SSS)
Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
Phone No: 91+8447784208
Email: swa.cha1992@gmail.com
ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0003-3681-9950

Fourth Author

Mohammad Zahid Siddiqui
Doctoral Student, Population Studies
Centre for the Study of Regional Development
School of Social Sciences (SSS)
Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
Phone No: 91+8800369953
Email: say2zahid.s@gmail.com

Fifth Author

Anu Rammohan, PhD
Professor, Department of Economics,
The University of Western Australia (M251),
35 Stirling Highway, Crawley WA- 6009, Australia
Phone No: 6488 5656
Email: anu.ammohan@uwa.edu.au

Sixth Author

Sanghamitra Sheel Acharya, Ph.D
Professor, Centre of Social Medicine and Community Health
Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU)-110067, India
Phone No: 011-26704433 / 20
Email: sanghmitra.acharya@gmail.com

Abstract

Objectives The major objective of this study was to investigate the prevalence of labor room violence [LRV] (one of the forms of obstetric violence) faced by the women during the time of delivery in Uttar Pradesh [UP] (the largest populous state of India which is also considered to be a microcosm of India). Further, this study also analyses the association between prevalence of obstetric violence and socio-economic characteristics of the respondents.

Design Longitudinal study consisting of three waves to collect pregnancy related information from women in early stages of pregnancy to post-delivery period.

Settings Urban and rural areas of UP, India.

Participants Sample of 504 pregnant women was selected from the Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS) Register of pregnant women.

Outcome We aimed to assess the levels and determinants of LRV using data collected from 504 pregnant women in a longitudinal survey conducted in UP, India. The dataset comprised of three-waves of survey from the inception of pregnancy to child-birth and postnatal-care. Logistic Regression model has been used to assess the association between prevalence of LRV faced by the women at the time of delivery and their background characteristics.

Result About 15.12% of women are facing LRV in UP, India. Results from logistic regression model (Odds Ratio [OR]) show that LRV is higher among Muslim women (OR = 1.8, 95% CI [Confidence Interval] 0.7-4.3) relative to Hindu women (OR = 1). The prevalence of LRV is higher among lower castes relative to General category, and is higher among those women who have no mass media exposure (OR=4.7, 95% CI 1.7-12.8) compared to those who have (OR=1).

Conclusion In comparison with global evidence, the level of LRV in India is high. Women from socially disadvantaged communities are facing higher LRV than their counterparts.

Keywords: Labor room violence, women, institutional delivery, quality of health care.

Word Count: 2269

Article Summary

Strengths and limitations of this study

- For the first time, the study measures LRV experienced by women in health facilities in India and factors associated with it.
- In comparison with global evidence, the level of LRV in India is high. Women from socially disadvantaged communities are facing higher LRV than their counterparts.
- The estimated LRV in the survey setting may be lower than actual because of under reporting due to lack of awareness about forms of obstetric violence.
- The smaller sample size prevents us from presenting LRV estimates at the greater disaggregated levels.
- However, in the absence of information on LRV in existing large scale surveys, the contribution of this study is significant.

Introduction

One of the major targets of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) is to reduce maternal mortality to 70 per 100,000 live births by 2030¹. Significant strides have been made in increasing life expectancy and reducing some of the common killers associated with child and maternal mortality, but working towards achieving the target of less than 70 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births by 2030 would require significant improvements in the quality of delivery care. Skilled birth attendance (SBA) has been a cornerstone of international efforts to reduce maternal mortality and is often measured by the indicators such as institutional deliveries or deliveries with skilled birth attendance. Recently, the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), in its Universal Declaration of Bioethics and Human Rights, declared that “health does not depend solely on scientific and technological research developments, but also on psychosocial and cultural factors.”² Thus, a tacit effort has been made world-wide (including in India) to encourage institutional deliveries and SBA to ensure good quality of care during child-birth. Yet despite this, India still continues to contribute disproportionately to the global estimates of maternal morbidity and mortality. Globally, about 800 women die every day of preventable causes related to pregnancy and childbirth, 20 per cent of these women are from India.³ The figures for institutional deliveries (78.9) and skilled birth attendance deliveries (81.4) in 2015-16 are also much lower than 100 percent as envisioned by SDGs. India has also failed to meet the

1
2
3 MDG targets related to institutional deliveries and SBA by 2015. There is increasing
4 attention and wide recognition that many women are deterred from facility-based delivery
5 because the intrapartum care provided in the facilities does not satisfy the interpersonal and
6 emotional aspects of this biosocial event. Others believe that the differences in quality of
7 intrapartum care which arise from a broader aspect social, cultural and economic
8 discrimination and exclusion, are important for maternal health outcomes.⁴⁻⁵
9

10
11
12
13
14
15 Poor quality care includes disrespectful and abusive care, patient-blaming, purposeful
16 neglect, verbal or physical abuse, disregard for traditional beliefs, and the non-use of
17 Indigenous languages for patient communication. This type of behavior has been classified as
18 Obstetric/ Labour Room Violence (LRV) ⁶. Worldwide, many women experience
19 disrespectful and abusive treatment during childbirth in facilities, although evidence is
20 limited in developing countries like India. Further, according to WHO reports “such conduct
21 not only violates the rights of women to respectful care, but can also threaten their rights to
22 life, health, bodily integrity, and freedom from discrimination”.⁶ This statement invites
23 greater action, dialogue, research and advocacy on this important public health and human
24 rights problem.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **LRV: Global Evidence**

35
36 Prevalence of obstetric violence on women is a shockingly common phenomena for
37 developing countries (>70% in Tanzania, Brazil). Increasingly, a number of studies on
38 obstetric violence have focused widely on defining the term obstetric violence and the
39 mistreatment associated with it. This involves determining forms of obstetric violence,
40 measurement of different forms of obstetric violence, identifying challenges to maternity
41 care, the emergent of laws to combat this problem and identifying systematic failures at the
42 health system level and providing health facility. ⁷⁻¹⁵ LRV is often associated with adverse
43 effects on pregnancy outcome. For instance, LRV may lead to issues such as maternal post-
44 partum depression and post-traumatic stress disorders, particularly if the abuse is extreme. It
45 is the most cited reason in Latin American countries for women to not return to health
46 facilities for subsequent pregnancies, which consequently leads to an increase in maternal and
47 child mortality and morbidities. A body of research mainly concentrated in Latin America
48 and Europe specifically discusses obstetric violence, its determinants and forms.^{7 16-20}
49 However, it is critical to generate data relating to disrespectful and abusive care practices
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 over the pregnancy period and at the time of childbirth, particularly in developing countries
4 such as India.
5
6
7

8 **Methods**

9 **Study design and setting**

10 This study is based on a unique survey conducted under the Project ‘Understanding
11 pregnancy nutrition and health care among women in rural and urban slums of Uttar Pradesh:
12 A longitudinal study’. Data was collected during the period June 2016 to July 2016 from a
13 systematically selected sample of 504 pregnant women from the Integrated Child
14 Development Scheme (ICDS) Register of pregnant women in selected villages. The study
15 adopted a two-stage sampling design for both urban and rural areas. In the first stage, PSUs
16 were selected from the chosen blocks in two districts of survey based on the number of
17 pregnant women in the villages, where importance was given to villages with the largest
18 number of pregnant women from diverse social groups. In the identified village, pregnant
19 women were selected from the register, maintained by the Accredited Social Health Activist
20 (ASHA) (the community health workers instituted by the Government of India’s Ministry of
21 Health and Family Welfare as part of the National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) and
22 Anganwadi workers (AWWs) - appointed as functionaries to support health, education and
23 rural development under ICDS of Ministry of Women and Child Development).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 The sample size (n=504) is calculated using parameters such as the total number of
37 pregnancies (n) obtained in each district through Annual Health Survey (2014) and Z values
38 for getting the estimates representative at 95% confidence interval and design effect at 2%.
39 The sample is self-weighted where each woman has the equal chance of getting selected. This
40 study used the information from the first and third wave of the above-mentioned longitudinal
41 survey. We used the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of women collected in
42 the first wave and LRV information from the third wave which was conducted after child
43 birth for all 504 women.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Definitions**

52 The definition and coding of both outcome and predictor variables are given in appendix
53 table 1.
54
55

56 **Data analysis**

The interview schedule comprised of structured questions in both in Hindi (local language) and English for the purpose of data collection. The respondents were asked the following question regarding labor room violence- “At the time of delivery, have the doctor/nurse/other health workers/staff of the hospital shouted/abused/hit you?” We have used bivariate tables to analyse the prevalence of LRV with socio-economic characteristics of the respondents. Further, logistic regression models were performed to assess the association between prevalence of LRV faced by women at the time of delivery and their background characteristics, which includes place of residence, religion, caste, education of the mother, age of the mother, partner’s occupation, any mass media exposure and wealth quintiles. The statistical analyses have been performed in STATA-14.0 software.

Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved in the research design, and no patients were directly involved in the study.

Results

Prevalence

Despite the known under-reporting of violence against women in India, about 15.12% of women reported LRV in our sample (Table 1).

Table 1: Bivariate analysis: Factors associated with labour room violence.

Background Characteristics	Labour Room Violence Prevalence	Chi-Square	95% Confidence Interval		No of Samples
			Lower Limit (LL)	Upper Limit (UL)	n
Place of Residence					
Rural	15.87	0.3779	11.29	21.86	344
Urban	19.11		11.28	30.53	160
Religion					
Hindu	16.23	0.1341	11.62	22.22	363
Islam	18.18		10.47	29.70	141
Caste					
SC/ST	20.62	1.8627	13.60	29.99	190
OBC	15.18		9.58	23.21	227
General	12.50		5.56	25.76	87
Education of the Mother					
No Education	20.0	1.1504	12.87	29.74	183
1-8 Years of Schooling	15.6		9.85	23.81	209
Above Secondary	13.79		6.90	25.67	112
Age of the Mother					

20 and below	12.0		3.60	33.27	69
21-29	17.62	0.5610	12.83	23.71	368
30 and Above	15.38		6.82	31.12	67
Partner's occupation					
Primary/Secondary	20.12	4.0636*	14.69	26.91	330
Tertiary/Quaternary	10.23		5.34	18.71	174
Any Mass Media Exposure					
Yes	12.72	6.1235**	8.49	18.63	330
No	25.0		16.76	35.56	174
Wealth Quintiles					
Poor	16.88		9.94	27.21	168
Middle	16.84	0.0063	10.49	25.93	168
Rich	16.47		9.90	26.14	168

The prevalence of LRV is more pronounced in urban areas (19%) as relative to rural (16%). Similarly, the prevalence of LRV is more among Muslim (18%) as compared to Hindu (16%) women. Further, there is significant variation in prevalence of LRV among different caste groups i.e., Scheduled Castes (SCs) (20.6 %), OBC (15.2 %) and general category (12.5 %). The educational status of the mother also plays a significant role in determining the prevalence of LRV. Prevalence of LRV is higher for those whose mothers with no education (20%) compared to those whose mothers with few years of schooling. Furthermore, the variable partner's occupation also showed some variation in the prevalence of LRV. Specifically, LRV is more common among women whose husband is employed in Primary/Secondary activities (20.1%) compared to those involved in Tertiary activities (10.2 %). The wealth gradient is also important in assessing the prevalence of LRV. The most significant predictor of LRV is mass media exposure, with women who have any mass media exposure facing less violence (12.7%) as compared to women who have no mass media exposure (25%).

Correlates

Logistic regression model (Table 2) shows that the variables- religion, caste, partner's occupation and mass media exposure are statistically significant and associated with the prevalence of LRV faced by women, after controlling for other confounders.

Table 2: Logistic Regression estimates: Factors affecting labor room violence

	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	
Place of Residence		LL	UL
Urban	1		

Rural	1.126	0.464	2.732
Religion			
Hindu	1		
Islam	1.753*	0.722	4.255
Caste			
SC/ST	1		
OBC	0.619	0.262	1.462
General	0.473*	0.149	1.504
Education of the Mother			
No Education	1		
1-8 Years of Schooling	0.817	0.358	1.866
Above Secondary	0.661	0.217	2.016
Age of the Mother			
20 and below	1		
21-29	1.303	0.345	4.923
30 and Above	0.970	0.197	4.782
Partner's occupation			
Primary/Secondary	1		
Tertiary/Quaternary	0.402**	0.169	0.959
Any Mass Media Exposure			
Yes	1		
No	4.688***	1.713	12.831
Wealth Quintiles			
Poor	1		
Middle	0.923	0.356	2.395
Rich	0.654	0.165	2.598

Note: Significance levels $p < 0.05^*$, $p < 0.01^{**}$, $p < 0.001^{***}$

The odds of the occurrence of LRV is higher among Muslim women (Odds Ratio [OR] = 1.8, 95% CI [Confidence Interval] 0.7-4.3) relative to Hindu women (OR = 1). Among social groups, with reference to SCs (OR = 1), the odds of occurrence of violence faced by women is half among General category (OR = 0.5, 95% CI 0.1-1.5) and Other Backward Class (OBC) (OR = 0.6, 95% CI 0.3-1.5). In terms of partner's occupation, the odds of violence is less than half for women those partners were engaged in Tertiary activities (OR = 0.4, 95% CI 0.2-1) in comparison to Primary/Secondary activities (OR = 1). The occurrence of violence for the women those who have no mass media exposure (OR = 4.7, 95% CI 1.7-12.8) is about five times higher than those who have mass media exposure (OR = 1).

Discussion

Main Findings of this study

1
2
3 Given the context of the WHO pledge, that every woman has the right to the highest
4 attainable standard of health which also includes the right to dignified, respectful health care.
5 This paper, for the first time, empirically reports the occurrence of LRV and its
6 socioeconomic correlates in India. The findings are important in the Indian context where
7 health care delivery is dominated by the social hierarchies, and disadvantaged communities
8 struggle to have a place in the health system and receive appropriate health care with dignity.
9 Therefore, the findings of this study underpin the need to explore more on the issue of LRV
10 with more in-depth and large scale studies. Despite significant under-reporting of violence in
11 India, the estimate of LRV in this study is high and varies according to the socio-economic
12 characteristics of the female respondent. Although, caste, religion, place of residence and
13 partner's occupation emerged as significant factors associated with LRV; it is the exposure to
14 mass media which shows the highest disparity in the occurrence of LRV. Thus, it particularly
15 highlights the importance of awareness and knowledge about reproductive rights and
16 entitlements of women in the health system. This can play significant role in determining the
17 rate of LRV.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Limitations of the study**

31
32 Although the results of the survey indicate a high prevalence of LRV relative to studies from
33 other developed countries⁷, but this study suffers from the issue of under-reporting due to
34 lack of awareness about forms and nature of obstetric violence in the survey setting. Further,
35 as with other micro studies, the study suffers from the short-coming of small sample size.
36 However, in the absence of information on LRV in existing large scale surveys, the
37 contribution of this study is significant.
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **What is already known on this topic**

45
46 In developing countries like India where maternal and child health indicators are far from
47 satisfactory with poor medical and public health ethics in health care delivery system, the
48 high prevalence of LRV raises an important policy question. To date the major concern for
49 policy makers has been to increase the demand for health care services; so less attention is
50 paid to the supply side barriers including the quality of health care services and related ethical
51 standards. Due to the lack of availability of data, in-depth studies on LRV are absent for
52 India.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In India, given the hierarchal nature of the society, it is imperative to study the access to
4 health facilities and women's experience of receiving health care with dignity within a socio-
5 economic framework. Studies based on experiences of Latin American women of Indigenous
6 origin insist that women from poor, indigenous or socially backward classes receive "triple
7 discrimination" i.e., by being female, being an ethnic minority and of lower socio-economic
8 status.¹⁹ Even in egalitarian European societies, women facing economic hardships and
9 negative life events with the least social support have higher chance of experiencing LRV
10 than their counterparts.^{21, 22}
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **What this study adds**

18
19
20 Although obstetric violence on women has received increasing global attention, developing
21 countries have yet to address deficiencies in this area. The Government of India has already
22 implemented several policies and interventions aimed towards providing adequate maternal
23 health care services to all. The quality of maternal health care services is one of the major
24 components integral to the improvement of maternal and child health, a long neglected area
25 for policy-makers. With the emergence of various government interventions, the number of
26 service providers has increased, but assuring quality and dignity in health care delivery
27 remains a major concern. However, contemporary studies in India ²³⁻²⁶ with regards to
28 maternal health care are mainly based on large population-level datasets focusing on
29 availability and accessibility of maternal health care services. However, there is a research
30 gap in the assessment of quality of those services and evaluating the nature of treatment
31 provided by the health care workers, which is critically needed to improve public health care
32 delivery system. Given this context, our study fills a critical knowledge gap by providing
33 robust quantitative evidence on LRV experienced by pregnant women at health facilities.
34 Issues such as LRV raise concerns not only on medical or hospital ethical standards in India
35 but also on the violation of the reproductive rights of women.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **Conclusions**

50
51 In comparison to global evidence, the level of LRV in India is high. Women from socially
52 disadvantaged communities are facing higher LRV than their counterparts. For any further
53 progress in pregnancy outcomes in India, policy makers should focus not only on the
54 availability and accessibility of services, but also on ensuring quality of care and dignity of
55 the receivers. Countries such as India must improve its ethical standards in health care
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 delivery where people from all sections of society, especially those from marginalised
4 communities receive quality services with dignity.
5
6
7

8 **Footnotes**

9
10 **Author Contributions** SG & AR generated the idea for the survey and the paper, SG and
11 MZS prepared an analytical plan along with conducting all data analyses. DG and SC worked
12 on drafting the paper. SG, DG and SC prepared the first draft of the manuscript on which all
13 co-authors commented.
14
15
16
17

18 **Funding** This study was supported by Indian Council for Social Science Research
19 [02/185/SC 2015-16/RPR].
20
21
22

23 **Competing interests** None declared.
24

25 **Patient consent** Written and verbal consent were obtained from women and guardians
26 accompanying them, which explained the purpose and content of the survey and that
27 confidentiality of the information would be maintained.
28
29
30

31 **Ethics approval** Ethical Approval for the study has been taken from King Gorge Medical
32 University, Lucknow, UP, India.
33
34

35 **Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.
36
37

38 **Data sharing statement** For any additional data kindly contact Dr. Srinivas Goli,
39 sirispeaks2u@gmail.com
40
41
42
43
44

45 **References**

- 46 1. World Health Organization. World health statistics 2016: monitoring health for the
47 SDGs sustainable development goals. World Health Organization. 2016
- 48 2. Universal Declaration of Bioethics and Human Rights. Records of the General
49 Conference of UNESCO; UNESCO: Paris. 2005; 3-21.
50 <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0014/001428/142825E.pdf>
- 51 3. WHO. Trends in estimates of maternal mortality ratio (MMR; maternal deaths per
52 100,000 live births) 1990-2015. Source: WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Group and UNPD (MMEIG). 2015. Available at
4 <https://data.unicef.org/topic/maternalhealth/maternal-mortality/#>
5
6
7 4. Kumar, S. Reducing maternal mortality in India: Policy, equity, and quality issues.
8 Indian Journal of Public Health. 2010; 54(2), 57.
9
10 5. Munuswamy, S., Nakamura, K., Seino, K., & Kizuki, M. Inequalities in use of
11 antenatal care and its service components in India. Journal of Rural Medicine. 2014;
12 9(1), 10-19.
13
14 6. World Health Organization. The prevention and elimination of disrespect and abuse
15 during facility-based childbirth, World Health Organization. 2016.
16
17 [http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/topics/maternal_perinatal/statement-](http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/topics/maternal_perinatal/statement-childbirth/en/)
18 [childbirth/en/](http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/topics/maternal_perinatal/statement-childbirth/en/)
19
20 7. Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Hunter EC, Lutsiv O, Makh SK, Souza JP, Aguiar C, Saraiva
21 Coneglian F, Diniz ALA, Tunçalp Ö, Javadi D, Oladapo OT, Khosla R, Hindin MJ,
22 Gülmezoglu AM. The mistreatment of women during childbirth in health facilities
23 globally: a mixed-methods systematic review. PLoS Med. 2015;12(6)
24 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001847>.
25
26 8. Diaz-Tello F. Invisible wounds: obstetric violence in the United States. Reprod Health
27 Matters. 2016;24(47):56–64.
28
29 9. Savage, V., & Castro, A. Measuring mistreatment of women during childbirth: a
30 review of terminology and methodological approaches. Reproductive health, 2017;
31 14(1), 138.
32
33 10. Da-Silva-Carvalho, I., & Santana-Brito, R. Forms of obstetric violence experienced
34 by mothers who had normal birth. Enfermería Global. 2017; 16(3), 89-97.
35
36 11. Vacaflor, C. H. Obstetric violence: a new framework for identifying challenges to
37 maternal healthcare in Argentina. Reproductive health matters. 2016; 24(47), 65-73.
38
39 12. Williams, C. R., Jerez, C., Klein, K., Correa, M., Belizán, J. M., & Cormick, G.
40 Obstetric violence: A Latin American legal response to mistreatment during
41 childbirth. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology. 2018.
42
43 13. Perera, D., Lund, R., Swahnberg, K., Schei, B., & Infanti, J. J. ‘When helpers hurt’:
44 women’s and midwives’ stories of obstetric violence in state health institutions,
45 Colombo district, Sri Lanka. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2018; 18(1), 211.
46
47 14. Borges, M. T. A violent birth: reframing coerced procedures during childbirth as
48 obstetric violence. Duke LJ. 2017; 67, 827.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

15. Oliveira, V. J., & Penna, C. M. D. M. Discussing obstetric violence through the voices of women and health professionals. *Texto & Contexto-Enfermagem*. 2017; 26(2).
16. Souza, K. J. D., Rattner, D., & Gubert, M. B. Institutional violence and quality of service in obstetrics are associated with postpartum depression. *Revista de saude publica*. 2017; 51, 69.
17. Diniz, S. G., Salgado, H. D., Andrezzo, H. F., Carvalho, P. G., Carvalho, P. C., Aguiar, C. A., & Niy, D. Y. Abuse and Disrespect In Childbirth Care As A Public Health Issue In Brazil: Origins, Definitions, Impacts On Maternal Health, And Proposals For Its Prevention *Journal of Human Growth and Development*. 2015; 25(3), 377. doi:10.7322/jhgd.106080
18. Pérez D'Gregorio, D. Obstetric violence: A new legal term introduced in Venezuela. *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics*. 2010; 1-2.
19. Fareira, C. Obstetric Violence within the Brazilian Healthcare System: A Critical Analysis of the Childbirth Narratives of Tupinambá Indigenous Women from the Olivença, Ilhéus Community. 2016.
20. McGarry, J., Hinsliff-Smith, K., Watts, K., McCloskey, P., & Evans, C. Experiences and impact of mistreatment and obstetric violence on women during childbearing: a systematic review protocol. *JBIC database of systematic reviews and implementation reports*. 2017; 15(3), 620-627.
21. Sadler, M., Santos, M. J., Ruiz-Berdún, D., Rojas, G. L., Skoko, E., Gillen, P., & Clausen, J. A. Moving beyond disrespect and abuse: addressing the structural dimensions of obstetric violence. *Reproductive health matters*. 2016; 24(47), 47-55.
22. Lukasse M, Schroll AM, Karro H, Schei B, Steingrimsdottir T, Van Parys AS, et al. Prevalence of experienced abuse in healthcare and associated obstetric characteristics in six European countries. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2015; 94:508–17.
23. Vora KS, Mavalankar DV, Ramani KV, Upadhyaya M, Sharma B, Iyengar S, Gupta V, Iyengar K. Maternal health situation in India: a case study. *Journal of health, population, and nutrition*. 2009 Apr; 27(2):184.
24. Ram F, Ram US, Singh AB. Future demand for maternal and child health services from public health facilities in Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of family welfare*. 2010; 56.
25. Singh NK, Jha KK, Sharma RK, Verma N. Differential in antenatal care visit: a case study of Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Maternal and Child Health*. 2015; 17(1):1-7.

- 1
2
3 26. Dehury RK, Samal J. Maternal Health Situation in Bihar and Madhya Pradesh: A
4 Comparative Analysis of State Fact Sheets of National Family Health Survey
5 (NFHS)-3 and 4. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR. 2016 Sep;
6 10(9):IE01.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Appendix Table 1 Description of study variable: Definition/Coding

Name of the variable	Definition/Coding
<i>Outcome variables</i>	
Labor room violence	Labor room violence was measured as a binary variable using two categories (Yes & No) to the question asked in survey “At the time of delivery, have the doctor/nurse/other health workers/staff of the hospital shouted/abused/hit you?”:
<i>Predictor variables: Socio-economic</i>	
Place of residence	Place of residence is recoded into Urban and Rural area.
Religion	The presence of other religions in Uttar Pradesh is nearly negligible which is also reflected in our sample. Therefore, we have classified our sample into Hindu and Muslim.
Caste	The social groups are recoded into three groups: Scheduled Caste (SC)/Schedule Tribe (ST), Other Backward Castes (OBCs) and General Castes. A system that allows social hierarchal division of people in India.
Education of the Mother	The educational status of women is coded into three categories: No Education, 1-8 years of schooling and above secondary. These groups are classified in such a way that they have a distinct effect on the nature and level of labor room violence experienced by women.
Age of the Mother (in years)	Age of the mother is categorised into three groups: less than 20 years, 21-29 years and above 30 years. This classification was done by keeping in the mind both the distribution of the sample across the ages and also considering the ideal ages of childbearing.
Partner’s Occupation	Partner’s occupation has been recoded into two broad groups: Primary/Secondary and Tertiary/Quaternary. These groups are classified in such a way that they have a distinct effect on the nature and level of labor room violence experienced by women.
Any mass media exposure	Mass media exposure is a composite variable. It is computed based on women’s exposure to print media (newspaper/magazine), and electric media (television, radio, and cinema). Exposure to any of these media sources was denoted “Yes” Otherwise “No”.
Wealth Quintile	The wealth index is based on a variety of household characteristics and assets that are relevant for that country. The wealth index in the survey included 30 household assets. Individuals in the sample were assigned a score based on how their families rank on ownership of assets and other household characteristics using PCA. Following this, we extracted the factor weights for each variable. We then calculated wealth index scores based on these factor weights for each respondent in the national survey dataset. Finally, the population into wealth quintiles based on the wealth index scores was separated to observe the range of wealth index scores for each of the five quintiles. This was further grouped into three categories “Poor”, “Middle”, “Rich” for analysis purpose.

BMJ Open

Title: Labor Room Violence in Uttar Pradesh, India: Evidence from Longitudinal Study of Pregnancy and Childbirth

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-028688.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Apr-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Goli, Srinivas; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Demography Ganguly, Dibyasree; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Centre for Study of Regional Development Chakravorty, Swastika; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Center for study of Regional Development Siddiqui, Mohammad; Jawaharlal Nehru University, School of social sciences Ram, Harchand; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Centre for the Study of Regional Development Rammohan, Anu; The University of Western Australia, Department of Economics Acharya, Sanghamitra ; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Centre of Social Medicine and Community Health
Primary Subject Heading:	Obstetrics and gynaecology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health, Health policy, Health services research, Sociology
Keywords:	OBSTETRICS, Quality in health care < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Health & safety < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **Title: Labor Room Violence in Uttar Pradesh, India: Evidence from**
4 **Longitudinal Study of Pregnancy and Childbirth**
5
6

7 ***First Author***

8 Srinivas Goli [Corresponding Author]
9 Assistant Professor
10 Centre for the Study of Regional Development
11 School of Social Sciences (SSS)
12 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
13 Phone: +91 7042181232
14 Email: sirispaks2u@gmail.com
15 ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8481-484X>
16
17

18 ***Second Author***

19 Dibyasree Ganguly
20 Doctoral Student, Population Studies
21 Centre for the Study of Regional Development
22 School of Social Sciences (SSS)
23 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
24 Phone No: 91+9971435497
25 Email: dibyasreeganguly@gmail.com
26 ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0001-5677-6316
27
28

29 ***Third Author***

30 Swastika Chakravorty
31 Doctoral Student, Population Studies
32 Centre for the Study of Regional Development
33 School of Social Sciences (SSS)
34 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
35 Phone No: 91+8447784208
36 Email: swa.cha1992@gmail.com
37 ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0003-3681-9950
38
39

40 ***Fourth Author***

41 Mohammad Zahid Siddiqui
42 Doctoral Student, Population Studies
43 Centre for the Study of Regional Development
44 School of Social Sciences (SSS)
45 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
46 Phone No: 91+8800369953
47 Email: say2zahid.s@gmail.com
48

49 ***Fifth Author***

50 Harchand Ram
51 Centre for the Study of Regional Development
52 School of Social Sciences
53 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU)
54 New Delhi, India
55 Mobile: (+91) 7506541092
56 Email ID: hm8460@gmail.com
57

58 ***Sixth Author***

59 Anu Rammohan, PhD
60

1
2
3 Professor, Department of Economics,
4 The University of Western Australia (M251),
5 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley WA- 6009, Australia
6 Phone No: 6488 5656
7 Email: anu.rammohan@uwa.edu.au
8

9
10 ***Seventh Author***

11 Sanghamitra Sheel Acharya, PhD
12 Professor, Centre of Social Medicine and Community Health
13 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU)-110067, India
14 Phone No: 011-26704433 / 20
15 Email: sanghmitra.acharya@gmail.com
16

17
18 **Abstract**

19
20
21 **Objectives** The major objective of this study was to investigate the prevalence of labor room
22 violence [LRV] (one of the forms of obstetric violence) faced by the women during the time
23 of delivery in Uttar Pradesh [UP] (the largest populous state of India which is also considered
24 to be a microcosm of India). Further, this study also analyses the association between
25 prevalence of obstetric violence and socio-economic characteristics of the respondents.
26
27

28
29
30 **Design** The study was longitudinal in design with the first visit to women made at the time of
31 first trimester. The second visit was made at the time of second trimester and the last visit
32 was made after the delivery. However, we have continuously tracked women over phone to
33 keep record of developments and adverse consequences.
34
35
36

37
38 **Settings** Urban and rural areas of UP, India.
39

40
41 **Participants** Sample of 504 pregnant women was systematically selected from the Integrated
42 Child Development Scheme (ICDS) Register of pregnant women.
43
44

45
46 **Outcome** We aimed to assess the levels and determinants of LRV using data collected from
47 504 pregnant women in a longitudinal survey conducted in UP, India. The dataset comprised
48 of three-waves of survey from the inception of pregnancy to child-birth and postnatal-care.
49 Logistic Regression model has been used to assess the association between prevalence of
50 LRV faced by the women at the time of delivery and their background characteristics.
51
52
53

54
55 **Result** About 15.12% of women are facing LRV in UP, India. Results from logistic
56 regression model (Odds Ratio [OR]) show that LRV is higher among Muslim women (OR =
57 1.8, 95% CI [Confidence Interval] 0.7-4.3) relative to Hindu women (OR = 1). The
58 prevalence of LRV is higher among lower castes relative to General category, and is higher
59
60

1
2
3 among those women who have no mass media exposure (OR=4.7, 95% CI 1.7-12.8)
4 compared to those who have (OR=1).
5
6

7 **Conclusion** In comparison with global evidence, the level of LRV in India is high. Women
8 from socially disadvantaged communities are facing higher LRV than their counterparts.
9
10

11 **Ethical Approval and Consent**

12
13
14 The study was approved by expert body of Indian Council for Social Science Research. The
15 pre-testing and instrument were duly processed through Fatima Hospital, Dr. Ram Manohar
16 Lohia Institute of Medical Sciences and King George's Medical University. Further, written
17 and verbal consent was taken from respondents and guardians.
18
19
20

21
22 **Keywords:** Labor room violence, women, institutional delivery, quality of health care.
23
24

25 **Word Count: 2343**

26 **Article Summary**

27 **Strengths and limitations of this study**

- 28 • For the first time, the study measures LRV experienced by women in health facilities
29 in India and factors associated with it.
- 30 • In comparison with global evidence, the level of LRV in India is high. Women from
31 socially disadvantaged communities are facing higher LRV than their counterparts.
- 32 • The estimated LRV in the survey setting may be lower than actual because of under
33 reporting due to lack of awareness about forms of obstetric violence.
- 34 • The smaller sample size prevents us from presenting LRV estimates at the greater
35 disaggregated levels.
- 36 • However, in the absence of information on LRV in existing large scale surveys, the
37 contribution of this study is significant.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Introduction**

52
53 One of the major targets of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) is to reduce maternal
54 mortality to 70 per 100,000 live births by 2030¹. Significant strides have been made in
55 increasing life expectancy and reducing some of the common killers associated with child
56 and maternal mortality, but working towards achieving the target of less than 70 maternal
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 deaths per 100,000 live births by 2030 would require significant improvements in the quality
4 of delivery care. Skilled birth attendance (SBA) has been a cornerstone of international
5 efforts to reduce maternal mortality and is often measured by the indicators such as
6 institutional deliveries or deliveries with skilled birth attendance. Recently, the United
7 Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), in its Universal
8 Declaration of Bioethics and Human Rights, declared that “health does not depend solely on
9 scientific and technological research developments, but also on psychosocial and cultural
10 factors.”² Thus, a tacit effort has been made world-wide (including in India) to encourage
11 institutional deliveries and SBA to ensure good quality of care during child-birth. Yet despite
12 this, India still continues to contribute disproportionately to the global estimates of maternal
13 morbidity and mortality. Globally, about 800 women die every day of preventable causes
14 related to pregnancy and childbirth, 20 per cent of these women are from India.³ The figures
15 for institutional deliveries (78.9) and skilled birth attendance deliveries (81.4) in 2015-16 are
16 also much lower than 100 percent as envisioned by SDGs. India has also failed to meet the
17 MDG targets related to institutional deliveries and SBA by 2015. There is increasing
18 attention and wide recognition that many women are deterred from facility-based delivery
19 because the intrapartum care provided in the facilities does not satisfy the interpersonal and
20 emotional aspects of this biosocial event. Others believe that the differences in quality of
21 intrapartum care which arise from a broader aspect social, cultural and economic
22 discrimination and exclusion, are important for maternal health outcomes.⁴⁻⁵

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Poor quality care includes disrespectful and abusive care, patient-blaming, purposeful
neglect, verbal or physical abuse, disregard for traditional beliefs, and the non-use of
Indigenous languages for patient communication^{6,7}. This type of behavior has been classified
as Obstetric/ Labour Room Violence (LRV)⁸. Worldwide, many women experience
disrespectful and abusive treatment during childbirth in facilities, although evidence is
limited in developing countries like India. Further, according to WHO reports “such conduct
not only violates the rights of women to respectful care, but can also threaten their rights to
life, health, bodily integrity, and freedom from discrimination”.⁹ This statement invites
greater action, dialogue, research and advocacy on this important public health and human
rights problem especially in terms of providing respectful maternity care. According to recent
recommendation suggested by WHO, “Respectful maternity care – which refers to care
organized for and provided to all women in a manner that maintains their dignity, privacy and

1
2
3 confidentiality, ensures freedom from harm and mistreatment, and enables informed choice
4 and continuous support during labour and childbirth”¹⁰.
5
6
7

8 **LRV: Global Evidence**

9
10 Prevalence of obstetric violence on women is a shockingly common phenomena for
11 developing countries (>70% in Tanzania, Brazil). Increasingly, a number of studies on
12 obstetric violence have focused widely on defining the term obstetric violence and the
13 mistreatment associated with it. This involves determining forms of obstetric violence,
14 measurement of different forms of obstetric violence, identifying challenges to maternity
15 care, the emergent of laws to combat this problem and identifying systematic failures at the
16 health system level and providing health facility.¹¹⁻¹⁹ LRV is often associated with adverse
17 effects on pregnancy outcome. For instance, LRV may lead to issues such as maternal post-
18 partum depression and post-traumatic stress disorders, particularly if the abuse is extreme. It
19 is the most cited reason in Latin American countries for women to not return to health
20 facilities for subsequent pregnancies, which consequently leads to an increase in maternal and
21 child mortality and morbidities. A body of research mainly concentrated in Latin America
22 and Europe specifically discusses obstetric violence, its determinants and forms.^{11, 20-24}
23 However, it is critical to generate data relating to disrespectful and abusive care practices
24 over the pregnancy period and at the time of childbirth, particularly in developing countries
25 such as India.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Methods**

40 **Study design and setting**

41 This study is based on a unique survey conducted under the Project ‘Understanding
42 pregnancy nutrition and health care among women in rural and urban slums of Uttar Pradesh:
43 A longitudinal study’. Data was collected during the period June 2016 to July 2016 from a
44 systematically selected sample of 504 pregnant women from the Integrated Child
45 Development Scheme (ICDS) Register of pregnant women in selected villages. The study
46 adopted a two-stage sampling design for both urban and rural areas. In the first stage, PSUs
47 were selected from the chosen blocks in two districts of survey based on the number of
48 pregnant women in the villages, where importance was given to villages with the largest
49 number of pregnant women from diverse social groups. In the identified village, pregnant
50 women were selected from the register, maintained by the Accredited Social Health Activist
51 (ASHA) (the community health workers instituted by the Government of India’s Ministry of
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Health and Family Welfare as part of the National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) and
4 Anganwadi workers (AWWs) - appointed as functionaries to support health, education and
5 rural development under ICDS of Ministry of Women and Child Development).
6
7

8
9 The sample size (n=504) is calculated using parameters such as the total number of
10 pregnancies (n) obtained in each district through Annual Health Survey (2014) and Z values
11 for getting the estimates representative at 95% confidence interval and design effect at 2%.
12 The sample is self-weighted where each woman has the equal chance of getting selected. This
13 study used the information from the first and third wave of the above-mentioned longitudinal
14 survey. We used the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of women collected in
15 the first wave and LRV information from the third wave which was conducted after child
16 birth for all 504 women.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Definitions

25 The definition and coding of both outcome and predictor variables are given in appendix
26 table 1.
27

28 Data collection and analysis

29 The interview schedule comprised of structured questions in both in Hindi (local language)
30 and English for the purpose of data collection. The respondents were asked the following
31 question regarding labor room violence- “At the time of childbirth, have the
32 doctor/nurse/other health workers/staff of the hospital shouted/abused/hit you?” We have
33 used bivariate tables to analyse the prevalence of LRV with socio-economic characteristics of
34 the respondents. Further, logistic regression models were performed to assess the association
35 between incidence of LRV faced by women at the time of childbirth and their background
36 characteristics, which includes place of residence, religion, caste, years of schooling of the
37 women, age of the women, partner’s occupation, any mass media exposure and wealth
38 quintiles. The statistical analyses have been performed in STATA-14.0 software.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Patient and public involvement

50 No patients were involved in the research design, and no patients were directly involved in
51 the study.
52
53

54 Results

55 Prevalence

56
57
58
59
60

Despite the known under-reporting of violence against women in India, about 15.12% of women reported LRV in our sample (Table 1).

Table 1: Bivariate analysis: Prevalence and Factors associated with labour room violence.

Background Characteristics	n	LRV Prevalence (%)	95% C.I		Chi-Square Value
			LL	UL	
Place of Residence					
Rural	344	15.87	11.29	21.86	0.3779
Urban	160	19.11	11.28	30.53	
Religion					
Hindu	363	16.23	11.62	22.22	0.1341
Islam	141	18.18	10.47	29.70	
Caste					
SC/ST	190	20.62	13.60	29.99	1.8627
OBC	227	15.18	9.58	23.21	
General	87	12.50	5.56	25.76	
Years of schooling of women					
0	183	20.0	12.87	29.74	1.1504
1-8	209	15.6	9.85	23.81	
9 and above	112	13.79	6.90	25.67	
Age of the Women					
Youngest - 20	69	12.0	3.60	33.27	0.5610
21-29	368	17.62	12.83	23.71	
30 - oldest	67	15.38	6.82	31.12	
Partner's occupation					
Primary/Secondary	330	20.12	14.69	26.91	4.0636*
Tertiary/Quaternary	174	10.23	5.34	18.71	
Any Mass Media Exposure					
Yes	330	12.72	8.49	18.63	6.1235**
No	174	25.0	16.76	35.56	
Wealth Quintiles					
Poor	168	16.88	9.94	27.21	0.0063
Middle	168	16.84	10.49	25.93	
Rich	168	16.47	9.90	26.14	

Note: Significance levels $p < 0.05^*$, $p < 0.01^{**}$, $p < 0.001^{***}$; LL: Lower Limit, UL: Upper Limit; C.I: Confidence Interval

The prevalence of LRV is more pronounced in urban areas (19%) as relative to rural (16%). Similarly, the prevalence of LRV is more among Muslim (18%) as compared to Hindu (16%) women. Further, there is significant variation in prevalence of LRV among different caste groups i.e., Scheduled Castes (SCs) (20.6 %), OBC (15.2 %) and general category (12.5 %). The educational status of the women also plays a significant role in determining the prevalence of LRV. Prevalence of LRV is higher for those women with no education (20%)

compared to those women with few years of schooling. Furthermore, the variable partner's occupation also showed some variation in the prevalence of LRV. Specifically, LRV is more common among women whose husband is employed in Primary/Secondary activities (20.1%) compared to those involved in Tertiary activities (10.2 %). The wealth gradient is also important in assessing the prevalence of LRV. The most significant predictor of LRV is mass media exposure, with women who have some mass media exposure facing less violence (12.7%) as compared to women who have no mass media exposure (25%).

Correlates

Logistic regression model (Table 2) shows that the variables- religion, caste, partner's occupation and mass media exposure are statistically significant and associated with the prevalence of LRV faced by women, after controlling for other correlates.

Table 2: Logistic Regression estimates: Factors affecting labor room violence

	Odds Ratio	95% C.I	
		LL	UL
Place of Residence			
Urban	1		
Rural	1.126	0.464	2.732
Religion			
Hindu	1		
Islam	1.753*	0.722	4.255
Caste			
SC/ST	1		
OBC	0.619	0.262	1.462
General	0.473*	0.149	1.504
Years of schooling of women			
0	1		
1-8	0.817	0.358	1.866
9 and above	0.661	0.217	2.016
Age of the Women			
Youngest - 20	1		
21-29	1.303	0.345	4.923
30 - oldest	0.970	0.197	4.782
Partner's occupation			
Primary/Secondary	1		
Tertiary/Quaternary	0.402**	0.169	0.959
Any Mass Media Exposure			
Yes	1		
No	4.688***	1.713	12.831
Wealth Quintiles			
Poor	1		
Middle	0.923	0.356	2.395

Rich	0.654	0.165	2.598
------	-------	-------	-------

Note: Significance levels $p < 0.05^*$, $p < 0.01^{**}$, $p < 0.001^{***}$; LL: Lower Limit, UL: Upper Limit, C.I: Confidence Interval

The odds of the occurrence of LRV is higher among Muslim women (Odds Ratio [OR] = 1.8, 95% CI [Confidence Interval] 0.7-4.3) relative to Hindu women (OR = 1). Among social groups, with reference to SCs (OR = 1), the odds of occurrence of violence faced by women is half among General category (OR = 0.5, 95% CI 0.1-1.5) and Other Backward Class (OBC) (OR = 0.6, 95% CI 0.3-1.5). In terms of partner's occupation, the odds of violence is less than half for women those partners were engaged in Tertiary activities (OR = 0.4, 95% CI 0.2-1) in comparison to Primary/Secondary activities (OR = 1). The occurrence of violence for the women those who have no mass media exposure (OR = 4.7, 95% CI 1.7-12.8) is about five times higher than those who have mass media exposure (OR = 1).

Discussion

Main Findings of this study

Given the context of the WHO pledge, that every woman has the right to the highest attainable standard of health which also includes the right to dignified, respectful health care. This paper, for the first time, empirically reports the occurrence of LRV and its socioeconomic correlates in India. The findings are important in the Indian context where health care delivery is dominated by the social hierarchies, and disadvantaged communities struggle to have a place in the health system and receive appropriate health care with dignity. Therefore, the findings of this study underpin the need to explore more on the issue of LRV with more in-depth and large scale studies. Despite significant under-reporting of violence in India, the estimate of LRV in this study is high and varies according to the socio-economic characteristics of the female respondent. Although, caste, religion, place of residence and partner's occupation emerged as significant factors associated with LRV; it is the exposure to mass media which shows the highest disparity in the occurrence of LRV. Thus, it particularly highlights the importance of awareness and knowledge about reproductive rights and entitlements of women in the health system. This can play significant role in determining the rate of LRV.

Limitations of the study

1
2
3 Although the results of the survey indicate a high prevalence of LRV relative to studies from
4 other developed countries¹¹, but this study suffers from the issue of under-reporting due to
5 lack of awareness about forms and nature of obstetric violence in the survey setting. Further,
6 as with other micro studies, the study suffers from the short-coming of small sample size.
7 However, in the absence of information on LRV in existing large scale surveys, the
8 contribution of this study is significant.
9

14 **What is already known on this topic**

16 In developing countries like India where maternal and child health indicators are far from
17 satisfactory with poor medical and public health ethics in health care delivery system,
18 coupled with other barriers such as gender and social inequality, lack of accountability by the
19 service providers and health system inefficiencies, the high prevalence of LRV raises an
20 important policy question. To date the major concern for policy makers has been to increase
21 the demand for health care services; so less attention is paid to the supply side barriers
22 including the quality of health care services and related ethical standards. Due to the lack of
23 availability of data, in-depth studies on LRV are absent for India.
24
25
26
27
28
29

31 In India, given the hierarchal nature of the society, it is imperative to study the access to
32 health facilities and women's experience of receiving health care with dignity within a socio-
33 economic framework. Studies based on experiences of Latin American women of Indigenous
34 origin insist that women from poor, indigenous or socially backward classes receive "triple
35 discrimination" i.e., by being female, being an ethnic minority and of lower socio-economic
36 status.¹⁹ Even in egalitarian European societies, women facing economic hardships and
37 negative life events with the least social support have higher chance of experiencing LRV
38 than their counterparts.^{25, 26}
39
40
41
42
43
44

46 **What this study adds**

48 Although obstetric violence on women has received increasing global attention, developing
49 countries have yet to address deficiencies in this area. The Government of India has already
50 implemented several policies and interventions aimed towards providing adequate maternal
51 health care services to all. The quality of maternal health care services is one of the major
52 components integral to the improvement of maternal and child health, a long neglected area
53 for policy-makers. With the emergence of various government interventions, the number of
54 service providers has increased, but assuring quality and dignity in health care delivery
55
56
57
58
59
60

remains a major concern. However, contemporary studies in India²⁷⁻²⁹ with regards to maternal health care are mainly based on large population-level datasets focusing on availability and accessibility of maternal health care services. However, there is a research gap in the assessment of quality of those services and evaluating the nature of treatment provided by the health care workers, which is critically needed to improve public health care delivery system. Given this context, our study fills a critical knowledge gap by providing robust quantitative evidence on LRV experienced by pregnant women at health facilities. Issues such as LRV raise concerns not only on medical or hospital ethical standards in India but also on the violation of the reproductive rights of women.

Conclusions

In comparison to global evidence, the level of LRV in India is high. Women from socially disadvantaged communities are facing higher LRV than their counterparts. For any further progress in pregnancy outcomes in India, policy makers should focus not only on the availability and accessibility of services, but also on ensuring quality of care and dignity of the receivers. Countries such as India must improve its ethical standards in health care delivery where people from all sections of society, especially those from marginalised communities receive quality services with dignity.

Footnotes

Author Contributions SG & AR generated the idea for the survey and the paper, SG, MZS and HR prepared an analytical plan along with conducting all data analyses. DG and SC worked on drafting the paper. SG, DG and SC prepared the first draft of the manuscript on which AR & SA provided critical comments after careful review.

Funding This study was supported by Indian Council for Social Science Research [02/185/SC 2015-16/RPR].

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Written and verbal consent were obtained from women and guardians accompanying them, which explained the purpose and content of the survey and that confidentiality of the information would be maintained.

Ethics approval The study was approved by expert body of Indian Council for Social Science Research. The pre-testing and instrument were duly processed through Fatima Hospital, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Institute of Medical Sciences and King George's Medical University. Further, written and verbal consent was taken from respondents and guardians.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement Data can be made available on reasonable request. For any further queries regarding data availability kindly contact Dr. Srinivas Goli, sirispeaks2u@gmail.com

References

1. World Health Organization. World health statistics 2016: monitoring health for the SDGs sustainable development goals. World Health Organization. 2016
2. Universal Declaration of Bioethics and Human Rights. Records of the General Conference of UNESCO; UNESCO: Paris. 2005; 3-21. <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0014/001428/142825E.pdf>
3. WHO. Trends in estimates of maternal mortality ratio (MMR; maternal deaths per 100,000 live births) 1990-2015. Source: WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and UNPD (MMEIG). 2015. Available at <https://data.unicef.org/topic/maternalhealth/maternal-mortality/#>
4. Kumar, S. Reducing maternal mortality in India: Policy, equity, and quality issues. *Indian Journal of Public Health*. 2010; 54(2), 57.
5. Munuswamy, S., Nakamura, K., Seino, K., & Kizuki, M. Inequalities in use of antenatal care and its service components in India. *Journal of Rural Medicine*. 2014; 9(1), 10-19.
6. Da-Silva-Carvalho, I., & Santana-Brito, R. (2017). Forms of obstetric violence experienced by mothers who had normal birth. *Enfermería Global*, 16(3), 89-97.
7. Savage, V., & Castro, A. (2017). Measuring mistreatment of women during childbirth: a review of terminology and methodological approaches. *Reproductive health*, 14(1), 138.
8. Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Hunter EC, Lutsiv O, Makh SK, Souza JP, Aguiar C, Saraiva Coneglian F, Diniz ALA, Tunçalp Ö, Javadi D, Oladapo OT, Khosla R, Hindin MJ, Gülmezoglu AM. The mistreatment of women during childbirth in health facilities globally: a mixed-methods systematic review. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(6) <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001847>.

- 1
2
3 9. World Health Organization. (2014). WHO Statement: The prevention and elimination
4 of disrespect and abuse during facility-based childbirth. Geneva: World Health
5 Organization.
6
7
- 8 10. World Health Organization (2018). WHO recommendations: intrapartum care for a
9 positive childbirth experience. Geneva: World Health Organization.
10
- 11 11. Diaz-Tello F. Invisible wounds: obstetric violence in the United States. *Reprod Health*
12 *Matters*. 2016;24(47):56–64.
13
- 14 12. Savage, V., & Castro, A. Measuring mistreatment of women during childbirth: a
15 review of terminology and methodological approaches. *Reproductive health*, 2017;
16 14(1), 138.
17
- 18 13. Da-Silva-Carvalho, I., & Santana-Brito, R. Forms of obstetric violence experienced
19 by mothers who had normal birth. *Enfermería Global*. 2017; 16(3), 89-97.
20
- 21 14. Vacaflor, C. H. Obstetric violence: a new framework for identifying challenges to
22 maternal healthcare in Argentina. *Reproductive health matters*. 2016; 24(47), 65-73.
23
- 24 15. Williams, C. R., Jerez, C., Klein, K., Correa, M., Belizán, J. M., & Cormick, G.
25 Obstetric violence: A Latin American legal response to mistreatment during
26 childbirth. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 2018.
27
- 28 16. Perera, D., Lund, R., Swahnberg, K., Schei, B., & Infanti, J. J. ‘When helpers hurt’:
29 women’s and midwives’ stories of obstetric violence in state health institutions,
30 Colombo district, Sri Lanka. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2018; 18(1), 211.
31
- 32 17. Borges, M. T. A violent birth: reframing coerced procedures during childbirth as
33 obstetric violence. *Duke LJ*. 2017; 67, 827.
34
- 35 18. Oliveira, V. J., & Penna, C. M. D. M. Discussing obstetric violence through the
36 voices of women and health professionals. *Texto & Contexto-Enfermagem*. 2017;
37 26(2).
38
- 39 19. Souza, K. J. D., Rattner, D., & Gubert, M. B. Institutional violence and quality of
40 service in obstetrics are associated with postpartum depression. *Revista de saude*
41 *publica*. 2017; 51, 69.
42
- 43 20. Diniz, S. G., Salgado, H. D., Andrezzo, H. F., Carvalho, P. G., Carvalho, P. C.,
44 Aguiar, C. A., & Niy, D. Y. Abuse and Disrespect In Childbirth Care As A Public
45 Health Issue In Brazil: Origins, Definitions, Impacts On Maternal Health, And
46 Proposals For Its Prevention *Journal of Human Growth and Development*. 2015;
47 25(3), 377. doi:10.7322/jhgd.106080
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 21. Pérez D'Gregorio, D. Obstetric violence: A new legal term introduced in Venezuela.
4 International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics. 2010; 1-2.
5
- 6 22. Fareira, C. Obstetric Violence within the Brazilian Healthcare System: A Critical
7 Analysis of the Childbirth Narratives of Tupinambá Indigenous Women from the
8 Olivença, Ilhéus Community. 2016.
9
- 10 23. McGarry, J., Hinsliff-Smith, K., Watts, K., McCloskey, P., & Evans, C. Experiences
11 and impact of mistreatment and obstetric violence on women during childbearing: a
12 systematic review protocol. JBI database of systematic reviews and implementation
13 reports. 2017; 15(3), 620-627.
14
- 15 24. Sadler, M., Santos, M. J., Ruiz-Berdún, D., Rojas, G. L., Skoko, E., Gillen, P., &
16 Clausen, J. A. Moving beyond disrespect and abuse: addressing the structural
17 dimensions of obstetric violence. Reproductive health matters. 2016; 24(47), 47-55.
18
- 19 25. Lukasse M, Schroll AM, Karro H, Schei B, Steingrimsdottir T, Van Parys AS, et al.
20 Prevalence of experienced abuse in healthcare and associated obstetric characteristics
21 in six European countries. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2015; 94:508–17.
22
- 23 26. Vora KS, Mavalankar DV, Ramani KV, Upadhyaya M, Sharma B, Iyengar S, Gupta
24 V, Iyengar K. Maternal health situation in India: a case study. Journal of health,
25 population, and nutrition. 2009 Apr; 27(2):184.
26
- 27 27. Ram F, Ram US, Singh AB. Future demand for maternal and child health services
28 from public health facilities in Uttar Pradesh. Journal of family welfare. 2010; 56.
29
- 30 28. Singh NK, Jha KK, Sharma RK, Verma N. Differential in antenatal care visit: a case
31 study of Uttar Pradesh. Indian Journal of Maternal and Child Health. 2015; 17(1):1-7.
32
- 33 29. Dehury RK, Samal J. Maternal Health Situation in Bihar and Madhya Pradesh: A
34 Comparative Analysis of State Fact Sheets of National Family Health Survey
35 (NFHS)-3 and 4. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR. 2016 Sep;
36 10(9):IE01.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Appendix Table 1 Description of study variable: Definition/Coding

Name of the variable	Definition/Coding
<i>Outcome variables</i>	
Labor room violence	Labor room violence was measured as a binary variable using two categories (Yes & No) to the question asked in survey “At the time of delivery, have the doctor/nurse/other health workers/staff of the hospital shouted/abused/hit you?”:
<i>Predictor variables: Socio-economic</i>	
Place of residence	Place of residence is recoded into Urban and Rural area.
Religion	The presence of other religions in Uttar Pradesh is nearly negligible which is also reflected in our sample. Therefore, we have classified our sample into Hindu and Muslim.
Caste	The social groups are recoded into three groups: Scheduled Caste (SC)/Schedule Tribe (ST), Other Backward Castes (OBCs) and General Castes. A system that allows social hierarchal division of people in India.
Education of the Mother	The educational status of women is coded into three categories: No Education, 1-8 years of schooling and above secondary. These groups are classified in such a way that they have a distinct effect on the nature and level of labor room violence experienced by women.
Age of the Mother (in years)	Age of the mother is categorised into three groups: less than 20 years, 21-29 years and above 30 years. This classification was done by keeping in the mind both the distribution of the sample across the ages and also considering the ideal ages of childbearing.
Partner’s Occupation	Partner’s occupation has been recoded into two broad groups: Primary/Secondary and Tertiary/Quaternary. These groups are classified in such a way that they have a distinct effect on the nature and level of labor room violence experienced by women. Activities related to primary activities include agriculture (both commercial and subsistence), forestry, mining, farming, grazing, fishing, hunting and gathering, and quarrying. It also includes packaging and processing of the raw material related to these activities. Example for secondary occupation are- textile production, metal working and smelting, automobile production, aerospace manufacturing, chemical and engineering industries, engineering, construction, shipbuilding, energy utilities, breweries and bottlers. Activities includes in this sector are- transportation and distribution, restaurants, clerical services, retail and wholesale sales, entertainment (television, movies, theater, radio, music, etc.), media, tourism, law, insurance, banking and healthcare.
Any mass media exposure	Mass media exposure is a composite variable. It is computed based on women’s exposure to print media (newspaper/magazine), and electric media (television, radio, and cinema). Exposure to any of these media sources was denoted “Yes” Otherwise “No”.
Wealth Quintile	The wealth index is based on a variety of household characteristics and assets that are relevant for that country. The wealth index in the survey included 30 household assets. Individuals in the sample were assigned a score based on how their families rank on ownership of assets and other household characteristics using PCA. Following this, we extracted the factor weights for each variable. We then calculated wealth index scores based on these factor weights for each respondent in the national survey dataset. Finally, the population into wealth quintiles based on the wealth index scores was separated to observe the range of wealth index scores for each of the five quintiles. This was further grouped into three categories “Poor”, “Middle”, “Rich” for analysis purpose.

Reporting checklist for cohort study.

Based on the STROBE cohort guidelines.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the STROBE cohort reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.

		Reporting Item	Page Number
Title	#1a	Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
Abstract	#1b	Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2-3
Background / rationale	#2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3-5
Objectives	#3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	2
Study design	#4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5-6
Setting	#5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5-6
Eligibility criteria	#6a	Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	5-6

selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up.

1			
2			
3		#6b	For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of
4			exposed and unexposed
5			
6	Variables	#7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential
7			confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if
8			applicable
9			6 &
10			Appendix
11			table
12	Data sources /	#8	For each variable of interest give sources of data and details
13	measurement		of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe
14			comparability of assessment methods if there is more than
15			one group. Give information separately for exposed and
16			unexposed groups if applicable.
17			
18			
19			
20	Bias	#9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
21			
22	Study size	#10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
23			6
24	Quantitative	#11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the
25	variables		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were
26			chosen, and why
27			
28			
29			
30	Statistical	#12a	Describe all statistical methods, including those used to
31	methods		control for confounding
32			6
33			
34		#12b	Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and
35			interactions
36			
37		#12c	Explain how missing data were addressed
38			
39		#12d	If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed
40			
41		#12e	Describe any sensitivity analyses
42			
43			
44	Participants	#13a	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg
45			numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,
46			confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-
47			up, and analysed. Give information separately for for
48			exposed and unexposed groups if applicable.
49			
50			
51			
52		#13b	Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
53			
54		#13c	Consider use of a flow diagram
55			
56			
57	Descriptive data	#14a	Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,
58			
59			
60			

clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders. Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups if applicable.

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6		#14b	Indicate number of participants with missing data for each
7			variable of interest
8			
9		#14c	Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)
10			
11	Outcome data	#15	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
12			over time. Give information separately for exposed and
13			unexposed groups if applicable.
14			
15			
16			
17	Main results	#16a	Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-
18			adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence
19			interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for
20			and why they were included
21			
22			
23			
24		#16b	Report category boundaries when continuous variables were
25			categorized
26			
27			
28		#16c	If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into
29			absolute risk for a meaningful time period
30			
31	Other analyses	#17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups
32			and interactions, and sensitivity analyses
33			
34			
35	Key results	#18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
36			6-9
37	Limitations	#19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources
38			of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and
39			magnitude of any potential bias.
40			
41			
42			
43	Interpretation	#20	Give a cautious overall interpretation considering objectives,
44			limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar
45			studies, and other relevant evidence.
46			
47			
48	Generalisability	#21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study
49			results
50			
51			
52	Funding	#22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the
53			present study and, if applicable, for the original study on
54			which the present article is based
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

1 The STROBE checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License
2 CC-BY. This checklist can be completed online using <https://www.goodreports.org/>, a tool made by
3 the [EQUATOR Network](#) in collaboration with [Penelope.ai](#)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

BMJ Open

Labor Room Violence in Uttar Pradesh, India: Evidence from Longitudinal Study of Pregnancy and Childbirth

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-028688.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-May-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Goli, Srinivas; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Demography Ganguly, Dibyasree; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Centre for Study of Regional Development Chakravorty, Swastika; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Center for study of Regional Development Siddiqui, Mohammad; Jawaharlal Nehru University, School of social sciences Ram, Harchand; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Centre for the Study of Regional Development Rammohan, Anu; The University of Western Australia, Department of Economics Acharya, Sanghamitra ; Jawaharlal Nehru University, Centre of Social Medicine and Community Health
Primary Subject Heading:	Obstetrics and gynaecology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health, Health policy, Health services research, Sociology
Keywords:	OBSTETRICS, Quality in health care < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Health & safety < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

1 Labor Room Violence in Uttar Pradesh, India: Evidence from Longitudinal 2 Study of Pregnancy and Childbirth

3 *First Author*

4 Srinivas Goli [Corresponding Author]
5 Assistant Professor
6 Centre for the Study of Regional Development
7 School of Social Sciences (SSS)
8 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
9 Phone: +91 7042181232
10 Email: sirispeaks2u@gmail.com
11 ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8481-484X>

13 *Second Author*

14 Dibyasree Ganguly
15 Doctoral Student, Population Studies
16 Centre for the Study of Regional Development
17 School of Social Sciences (SSS)
18 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
19 Phone No: 91+9971435497
20 Email: dibyasreeganguly@gmail.com
21 ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0001-5677-6316

23 *Third Author*

24 Swastika Chakravorty
25 Doctoral Student, Population Studies
26 Centre for the Study of Regional Development
27 School of Social Sciences (SSS)
28 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
29 Phone No: 91+8447784208
30 Email: swa.cha1992@gmail.com
31 ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0003-3681-9950

33 *Fourth Author*

34 Mohammad Zahid Siddiqui
35 Doctoral Student, Population Studies
36 Centre for the Study of Regional Development
37 School of Social Sciences (SSS)
38 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU), Delhi-110067, India
39 Phone No: 91+8800369953
40 Email: say2zahid.s@gmail.com

41 *Fifth Author*

42 Harchand Ram
43 Centre for the Study of Regional Development
44 School of Social Sciences
45 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU)
46 New Delhi, India
47 Mobile: (+91) 7506541092
48 Email ID: hm8460@gmail.com

50 *Sixth Author*

51 Anu Rammohan, PhD

52 Professor, Department of Economics,
53 The University of Western Australia (M251),
54 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley WA- 6009, Australia
55 Phone No: 6488 5656
56 Email: anu.rammohan@uwa.edu.au

58 ***Seventh Author***

59 Sanghamitra Sheel Acharya, PhD
60 Professor, Centre of Social Medicine and Community Health
61 Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU)-110067, India
62 Phone No: 011-26704433 / 20
63 Email: sanghmitra.acharya@gmail.com

65 **Abstract**

66 **Objectives** The major objective of this study was to investigate the prevalence of labor room
67 violence [LRV] (one of the forms of obstetric violence) faced by the women during the time
68 of delivery in Uttar Pradesh [UP] (the largest populous state of India which is also considered
69 to be a microcosm of India). Further, this study also analyses the association between
70 prevalence of obstetric violence and socio-economic characteristics of the respondents.

71 **Design** The study was longitudinal in design with the first visit to women made at the time of
72 first trimester. The second visit was made at the time of second trimester and the last visit was
73 made after the delivery. However, we have continuously tracked women over phone to keep
74 record of developments and adverse consequences.

75 **Settings** Urban and rural areas of UP, India.

76 **Participants** Sample of 504 pregnant women was systematically selected from the Integrated
77 Child Development Scheme (ICDS) Register of pregnant women.

78 **Outcome** We aimed to assess the levels and determinants of LRV using data collected from
79 504 pregnant women in a longitudinal survey conducted in UP, India. The dataset comprised
80 of three-waves of survey from the inception of pregnancy to child-birth and postnatal-care.
81 Logistic Regression model has been used to assess the association between prevalence of LRV
82 faced by the women at the time of delivery and their background characteristics.

83 **Result** About 15.12% of women are facing LRV in UP, India. Results from logistic regression
84 model (Odds Ratio [OR]) show that LRV is higher among Muslim women (OR = 1.8, 95% CI
85 [Confidence Interval] 0.7-4.3) relative to Hindu women (OR = 1). The prevalence of LRV is
86 higher among lower castes relative to General category, and is higher among those women who

87 have no mass media exposure (OR=4.7, 95% CI 1.7-12.8) compared to those who have
88 (OR=1).

89 **Conclusion** In comparison with global evidence, the level of LRV in India is high. Women
90 from socially disadvantaged communities are facing higher LRV than their counterparts.

91 **Ethical Approval and Consent**

92 The study was approved by expert body of Indian Council for Social Science Research. The
93 pre-testing and instrument were duly processed through Fatima Hospital, Dr. Ram Manohar
94 Lohia Institute of Medical Sciences and King George's Medical University. Further, written
95 and verbal consent was taken from respondents and guardians.

96 **Keywords:** Labor room violence, women, institutional delivery, quality of health care.

97 **Word Count: 2343**

98 **Article Summary**

99 **Strengths and limitations of this study**

- 100 • For the first time, the study measures LRV experienced by women in health facilities
101 in India and factors associated with it.
- 102 • In comparison with global evidence, the level of LRV in India is high. Women from
103 socially disadvantaged communities are facing higher LRV than their counterparts.
- 104 • The estimated LRV in the survey setting may be lower than actual because of under
105 reporting due to lack of awareness about forms of obstetric violence.
- 106 • The smaller sample size prevents us from presenting LRV estimates at the greater
107 disaggregated levels.
- 108 • However, in the absence of information on LRV in existing large scale surveys, the
109 contribution of this study is significant.

115 **Introduction**

116 One of the major targets of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) is to reduce maternal
117 mortality to 70 per 100,000 live births by 2030¹. Significant strides have been made in
118 increasing life expectancy and reducing some of the common killers associated with child and
119 maternal mortality, but working towards achieving the target of less than 70 maternal deaths

1
2
3 120 per 100,000 live births by 2030 would require significant improvements in the quality of
4
5 121 delivery care. Skilled birth attendance (SBA) has been a cornerstone of international efforts to
6
7 122 reduce maternal mortality and is often measured by the indicators such as institutional
8
9 123 deliveries or deliveries with skilled birth attendance. Recently, the United Nations Educational,
10
11 124 Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), in its Universal Declaration of Bioethics and
12
13 125 Human Rights, declared that “health does not depend solely on scientific and technological
14
15 126 research developments, but also on psychosocial and cultural factors.”² Thus, a tacit effort has
16
17 127 been made world-wide (including in India) to encourage institutional deliveries and SBA to
18
19 128 ensure good quality of care during child-birth. Yet despite this, India still continues to
20
21 129 contribute disproportionately to the global estimates of maternal morbidity and mortality.
22
23 130 Globally, about 800 women die every day of preventable causes related to pregnancy and
24
25 131 childbirth, 20 per cent of these women are from India.³ The figures for institutional deliveries
26
27 132 (78.9) and skilled birth attendance deliveries (81.4) in 2015-16 are also much lower than 100
28
29 133 percent as envisioned by SDGs. India has also failed to meet the MDG targets related to
30
31 134 institutional deliveries and SBA by 2015. There is increasing attention and wide recognition
32
33 135 that many women are deterred from facility-based delivery because the intrapartum care
34
35 136 provided in the facilities does not satisfy the interpersonal and emotional aspects of this
36
37 137 biosocial event. Others believe that the differences in quality of intrapartum care which arise
38
39 138 from a broader aspect social, cultural and economic discrimination and exclusion, are important
40
41 139 for maternal health outcomes.⁴⁻⁵

42
43 140
44
45 141 Poor quality care includes disrespectful and abusive care, patient-blaming, purposeful neglect,
46
47 142 verbal or physical abuse, disregard for traditional beliefs, and the non-use of Indigenous
48
49 143 languages for patient communication ^{6, 7}. This type of behavior has been classified as
50
51 144 Obstetric/ Labour Room Violence (LRV) ⁸. Worldwide, many women experience disrespectful
52
53 145 and abusive treatment during childbirth in facilities, although evidence is limited in developing
54
55 146 countries like India. Further, according to WHO reports “such conduct not only violates the
56
57 147 rights of women to respectful care, but can also threaten their rights to life, health, bodily
58
59 148 integrity, and freedom from discrimination”.⁹ This statement invites greater action, dialogue,
60
149 research and advocacy on this important public health and human rights problem especially in
150
151 terms of providing respectful maternity care. According to recent recommendation suggested
152
153 by WHO, “Respectful maternity care – which refers to care organized for and provided to all
154
155 women in a manner that maintains their dignity, privacy and confidentiality, ensures freedom

1
2
3 153 from harm and mistreatment, and enables informed choice and continuous support during
4 154 labour and childbirth”¹⁰.

5
6 155

8 156 **LRV: Global Evidence**

9
10 157 Prevalence of obstetric violence on women is a shockingly common phenomena for developing
11 158 countries (>70% in Tanzania, Brazil)^{11,12}. Increasingly, a number of studies on obstetric
12 159 violence have focused widely on defining the term obstetric violence and the mistreatment
13 160 associated with it. This involves determining forms of obstetric violence, measurement of
14 161 different forms of obstetric violence, identifying challenges to maternity care, the emergent of
15 162 laws to combat this problem and identifying systematic failures at the health system level and
16 163 providing health facility.¹³⁻²¹ LRV is often associated with adverse effects on pregnancy
17 164 outcome. For instance, LRV may lead to issues such as maternal post-partum depression and
18 165 post-traumatic stress disorders, particularly if the abuse is extreme. It is the most cited reason
19 166 in Latin American countries for women to not return to health facilities for subsequent
20 167 pregnancies, which consequently leads to an increase in maternal and child mortality and
21 168 morbidities. A body of research mainly concentrated in Latin America and Europe specifically
22 169 discusses obstetric violence, its determinants and forms.^{13, 22-26} However, it is critical to
23 170 generate data relating to disrespectful and abusive care practices over the pregnancy period and
24 171 at the time of childbirth, particularly in developing countries such as India.

25
26 172

27 173 **Methods**

28 174 **Study design and setting**

29 175 This study is based on a unique survey conducted under the Project ‘Understanding pregnancy
30 176 nutrition and health care among women in rural and urban slums of Uttar Pradesh: A
31 177 longitudinal study’. Data was collected during the period June 2016 to July 2016 from a
32 178 systematically selected sample of 504 pregnant women from the Integrated Child Development
33 179 Scheme (ICDS) Register of pregnant women in selected villages. The study adopted a two-
34 180 stage sampling design for both urban and rural areas. In the first stage, PSUs were selected
35 181 from the chosen blocks in two districts of survey based on the number of pregnant women in
36 182 the villages, where importance was given to villages with the largest number of pregnant
37 183 women from diverse social groups. In the identified village, pregnant women were selected
38 184 from the register, maintained by the Accredited Social Health Activist (ASHA) (the community
39 185 health workers instituted by the Government of India’s Ministry of Health and Family Welfare
40 186 as part of the National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) and Anganwadi workers (AWWs) -

187 appointed as functionaries to support health, education and rural development under ICDS of
188 Ministry of Women and Child Development).

189 The sample size (n=504) is calculated using parameters such as the total number of pregnancies
190 (n) obtained in each district through Annual Health Survey (2014) and Z values for getting the
191 estimates representative at 95% confidence interval and design effect at 2%. The sample is self-
192 weighted where each woman has the equal chance of getting selected. This study used the
193 information from the first and third wave of the above-mentioned longitudinal survey. We used
194 the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of women collected in the first wave and
195 LRV information from the third wave which was conducted after child birth for all 504 women.

196 197 Definitions

198 The definition and coding of both outcome and predictor variables are given in appendix table
199 1.

200 Data collection and analysis

201 The interview schedule comprised of structured questions in both in Hindi (local language) and
202 English for the purpose of data collection. The respondents were asked the following question
203 regarding labor room violence- “At the time of childbirth, have the doctor/nurse/other health
204 workers/staff of the hospital shouted/abused/hit you?” We have used bivariate tables to analyse
205 the prevalence of LRV with socio-economic characteristics of the respondents. Further, logistic
206 regression models were performed to assess the association between incidence of LRV faced
207 by women at the time of childbirth and their background characteristics, which includes place
208 of residence, religion, caste, years of schooling of the women, age of the women, partner’s
209 occupation, any mass media exposure and wealth quintiles. The statistical analyses have been
210 performed in STATA-14.0 software.

211 212 Patient and public involvement

213 No patients were involved in the research design, and no patients were directly involved in
214 the study.

215 216 **Results**

217 **Prevalence**

218 Despite the known under-reporting of violence against women in India, about 15.12% of
219 women reported LRV in our sample (Table 1).

Table 1: Bivariate analysis: Prevalence and Factors associated with labour room violence.

Background Characteristics	n	LRV Prevalence (%)	95% C.I		Chi-Square Value
			LL	UL	
Place of Residence					
Rural	344	15.87	11.29	21.86	0.3779
Urban	160	19.11	11.28	30.53	
Religion					
Hindu	363	16.23	11.62	22.22	0.1341
Islam	141	18.18	10.47	29.70	
Caste					
SC/ST	190	20.62	13.60	29.99	1.8627
OBC	227	15.18	9.58	23.21	
General	87	12.50	5.56	25.76	
Years of schooling of women					
0	183	20.0	12.87	29.74	1.1504
1-8	209	15.6	9.85	23.81	
9 and above	112	13.79	6.90	25.67	
Age of the Women					
Youngest - 20	69	12.0	3.60	33.27	0.5610
21-29	368	17.62	12.83	23.71	
30 - oldest	67	15.38	6.82	31.12	
Partner's occupation					
Primary/Secondary	330	20.12	14.69	26.91	4.0636*
Tertiary/Quaternary	174	10.23	5.34	18.71	
Any Mass Media Exposure					
Yes	330	12.72	8.49	18.63	6.1235**
No	174	25.0	16.76	35.56	
Wealth Quintiles					
Poor	168	16.88	9.94	27.21	0.0063
Middle	168	16.84	10.49	25.93	
Rich	168	16.47	9.90	26.14	

Note: Significance levels $p < 0.05^*$, $p < 0.01^{**}$, $p < 0.001^{***}$; LL: Lower Limit, UL: Upper Limit; C.I: Confidence Interval

The prevalence of LRV is more pronounced in urban areas (19%) as relative to rural (16%). Similarly, the prevalence of LRV is more among Muslim (18%) as compared to Hindu (16%) women. Further, there is significant variation in prevalence of LRV among different caste groups i.e., Scheduled Castes (SCs) (20.6 %), OBC (15.2 %) and general category (12.5 %). The educational status of the women also plays a significant role in determining the prevalence of LRV. Prevalence of LRV is higher for those women with no education (20%) compared to those women with few years of schooling. Furthermore, the variable partner's occupation also showed some variation in the prevalence of LRV. Specifically, LRV is more common among

230 women whose husband is employed in Primary/Secondary activities (20.1%) compared to
 231 those involved in Tertiary activities (10.2 %). The wealth gradient is also important in assessing
 232 the prevalence of LRV. The most significant predictor of LRV is mass media exposure, with
 233 women who have some mass media exposure facing less violence (12.7%) as compared to
 234 women who have no mass media exposure (25%).

235 **Correlates**

236 Logistic regression model (Table 2) shows that the variables- religion, caste, partner's
 237 occupation and mass media exposure are statistically significant and associated with the
 238 prevalence of LRV faced by women, after controlling for other correlates.

Table 2: Logistic Regression estimates: Factors affecting labor room violence

	Odds Ratio	95% C.I	
		LL	UL
Place of Residence			
Urban	1		
Rural	1.126	0.464	2.732
Religion			
Hindu	1		
Islam	1.753*	0.722	4.255
Caste			
SC/ST	1		
OBC	0.619	0.262	1.462
General	0.473*	0.149	1.504
Years of schooling of women			
0	1		
1-8	0.817	0.358	1.866
9 and above	0.661	0.217	2.016
Age of the Women			
Youngest - 20	1		
21-29	1.303	0.345	4.923
30 - oldest	0.970	0.197	4.782
Partner's occupation			
Primary/Secondary	1		
Tertiary/Quaternary	0.402**	0.169	0.959
Any Mass Media Exposure			
Yes	1		
No	4.688***	1.713	12.831
Wealth Quintiles			
Poor	1		
Middle	0.923	0.356	2.395
Rich	0.654	0.165	2.598

239 Note: Significance levels $p < 0.05^*$, $p < 0.01^{**}$, $p < 0.001^{***}$; LL: Lower Limit, UL: Upper Limit, C.I:
 240 Confidence Interval

1
2
3 241 The odds of the occurrence of LRV is higher among Muslim women (Odds Ratio [OR] = 1.8,
4 242 95% CI [Confidence Interval] 0.7-4.3) relative to Hindu women (OR = 1). Among social
5 243 groups, with reference to SCs (OR = 1), the odds of occurrence of violence faced by women is
6 244 half among General category (OR = 0.5, 95% CI 0.1-1.5) and Other Backward Class (OBC)
7 245 (OR = 0.6, 95% CI 0.3-1.5). In terms of partner's occupation, the odds of violence is less than
8 246 half for women those partners were engaged in Tertiary activities (OR = 0.4, 95% CI 0.2-1) in
9 247 comparison to Primary/Secondary activities (OR = 1). The occurrence of violence for the
10 248 women those who have no mass media exposure (OR = 4.7, 95% CI 1.7-12.8) is about five
11 249 times higher than those who have mass media exposure (OR = 1).

20 250 21 251 **Discussion**

22 252 23 253 **Main Findings of this study**

24 254 Given the context of the WHO pledge, that every woman has the right to the highest attainable
25 255 standard of health which also includes the right to dignified, respectful health care. This paper,
26 256 for the first time, empirically reports the occurrence of LRV and its socioeconomic correlates
27 257 in India. The findings are important in the Indian context where health care delivery is
28 258 dominated by the social hierarchies, and disadvantaged communities struggle to have a place
29 259 in the health system and receive appropriate health care with dignity. Therefore, the findings
30 260 of this study underpin the need to explore more on the issue of LRV with more in-depth and
31 261 large scale studies. Despite significant under-reporting of violence in India, the estimate of
32 262 LRV in this study is high and varies according to the socio-economic characteristics of the
33 263 female respondent. Although, caste, religion, place of residence and partner's occupation
34 264 emerged as significant factors associated with LRV; it is the exposure to mass media which
35 265 shows the highest disparity in the occurrence of LRV. Thus, it particularly highlights the
36 266 importance of awareness and knowledge about reproductive rights and entitlements of women
37 267 in the health system. This can play significant role in determining the rate of LRV.

38 268 39 269 **Limitations of the study**

40 270 Although the results of the survey indicate a high prevalence of LRV relative to studies from
41 271 other developed countries¹³, but this study suffers from the issue of under-reporting due to lack
42 272 of awareness about forms and nature of obstetric violence in the survey setting. Further, as with
43 273 other micro studies, the study suffers from the short-coming of small sample size. However, in
44 274
45 275
46 276
47 277
48 278
49 279
50 280
51 281
52 282
53 283
54 284
55 285
56 286
57 287
58 288
59 289
60 290

1
2
3 273 the absence of information on LRV in existing large scale surveys, the contribution of this
4
5 274 study is significant.

6
7
8 275 **What is already known on this topic**

9
10 276 In developing countries like India where maternal and child health indicators are far from
11
12 277 satisfactory with poor medical and public health ethics in health care delivery system, coupled
13
14 278 with other barriers such as gender and social inequality, lack of accountability by the service
15
16 279 providers and health system inefficiencies, the high prevalence of LRV raises an important
17
18 280 policy question. To date the major concern for policy makers has been to increase the demand
19
20 281 for health care services; so less attention is paid to the supply side barriers including the quality
21
22 282 of health care services and related ethical standards. Due to the lack of availability of data, in-
23
24 283 depth studies on LRV are absent for India.

25
26 284 In India, given the hierarchal nature of the society, it is imperative to study the access to health
27
28 285 facilities and women's experience of receiving health care with dignity within a socio-
29
30 286 economic framework. Studies based on experiences of Latin American women of Indigenous
31
32 287 origin insist that women from poor, indigenous or socially backward classes receive "triple
33
34 288 discrimination" i.e., by being female, being an ethnic minority and of lower socio-economic
35
36 289 status.²¹ Even in egalitarian European societies, women facing economic hardships and
37
38 290 negative life events with the least social support have higher chance of experiencing LRV than
39
40 291 their counterparts.^{27, 28}

41
42
43 292 **What this study adds**

44
45 293 Although obstetric violence on women has received increasing global attention, developing
46
47 294 countries have yet to address deficiencies in this area. The Government of India has already
48
49 295 implemented several policies and interventions aimed towards providing adequate maternal
50
51 296 health care services to all. The quality of maternal health care services is one of the major
52
53 297 components integral to the improvement of maternal and child health, a long neglected area for
54
55 298 policy-makers. With the emergence of various government interventions, the number of service
56
57 299 providers has increased, but assuring quality and dignity in health care delivery remains a major
58
59 300 concern. However, contemporary studies in India²⁹⁻³¹ with regards to maternal health care are
60
301 mainly based on large population-level datasets focusing on availability and accessibility of
302
303 maternal health care services. However, there is a research gap in the assessment of quality of
those services and evaluating the nature of treatment provided by the health care workers,

1
2
3 304 which is critically needed to improve public health care delivery system. Given this context,
4
5 305 our study fills a critical knowledge gap by providing robust quantitative evidence on LRV
6
7 306 experienced by pregnant women at health facilities. Issues such as LRV raise concerns not only
8
9 307 on medical or hospital ethical standards in India but also on the violation of the reproductive
10
11 308 rights of women.

12 13 309 **Conclusions**

14
15 310 In comparison to global evidence, the level of LRV in India is high. Women from socially
16
17 311 disadvantaged communities are facing higher LRV than their counterparts. For any further
18
19 312 progress in pregnancy outcomes in India, policy makers should focus not only on the
20
21 313 availability and accessibility of services, but also on ensuring quality of care and dignity of the
22
23 314 receivers. Countries such as India must improve its ethical standards in health care delivery
24
25 315 where people from all sections of society, especially those from marginalised communities
26
27 316 receive quality services with dignity.

28 29 317 30 318 **Footnotes**

31
32 319 **Author Contributions** SG & AR generated the idea for the survey and the paper, SG, MZS
33
34 320 and HR prepared an analytical plan along with conducting all data analyses. DG and SC worked
35
36 321 on drafting the paper. SG, DG and SC prepared the first draft of the manuscript on which AR
37
38 322 & SA provided critical comments after careful review.

39 323 **Funding** This study was supported by Indian Council for Social Science Research [02/185/SC
40
41 324 2015-16/RPR].

42
43 325 **Competing interests** None declared

44
45
46 326 **Patient consent** Written and verbal consent were obtained from women and guardians
47
48 327 accompanying them, which explained the purpose and content of the survey and that
49
50 328 confidentiality of the information would be maintained.

51
52 329 **Ethics approval** The study was approved by expert body of Indian Council for Social Science
53
54 330 Research. The pre-testing and instrument were duly processed through Fatima Hospital, Dr.
55
56 331 Ram Manohar Lohia Institute of Medical Sciences and King George's Medical University.
57
58 332 Further, written and verbal consent was taken from respondents and guardians.

59
60 333 **Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

334 **Data sharing statement** Data can be made available on reasonable request. For any further
335 queries regarding data availability kindly contact Dr. Srinivas Goli, sirispeaks2u@gmail.com

336 **References**

- 337 1. World Health Organization. World health statistics 2016: monitoring health for the
338 SDGs sustainable development goals. World Health Organization. 2016
- 339 2. Universal Declaration of Bioethics and Human Rights. Records of the General
340 Conference of UNESCO; UNESCO: Paris. 2005; 3-21.
341 <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0014/001428/142825E.pdf>
- 342 3. WHO. Trends in estimates of maternal mortality ratio (MMR; maternal deaths per
343 100,000 live births) 1990-2015. Source: WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group
344 and UNPD (MMEIG). 2015. Available at
345 <https://data.unicef.org/topic/maternalhealth/maternal-mortality/#>
- 346 4. Kumar, S. Reducing maternal mortality in India: Policy, equity, and quality issues.
347 Indian Journal of Public Health. 2010; 54(2), 57.
- 348 5. Munuswamy, S., Nakamura, K., Seino, K., & Kizuki, M. Inequalities in use of antenatal
349 care and its service components in India. Journal of Rural Medicine. 2014; 9(1), 10-19.
- 350 6. Da-Silva-Carvalho, I., & Santana-Brito, R. (2017). Forms of obstetric violence
351 experienced by mothers who had normal birth. Enfermería Global, 16(3), 89-97.
- 352 7. Savage, V., & Castro, A. (2017). Measuring mistreatment of women during childbirth:
353 a review of terminology and methodological approaches. Reproductive health, 14(1),
354 138.
- 355 8. Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Hunter EC, Lutsiv O, Makh SK, Souza JP, Aguiar C, Saraiva
356 Coneglian F, Diniz ALA, Tunçalp Ö, Javadi D, Oladapo OT, Khosla R, Hindin MJ,
357 Gülmezoglu AM. The mistreatment of women during childbirth in health facilities
358 globally: a mixed-methods systematic review. PLoS Med. 2015;12(6)
359 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001847>.
- 360 9. World Health Organization. (2014). WHO Statement: The prevention and elimination
361 of disrespect and abuse during facility-based childbirth. Geneva: World Health
362 Organization.
- 363 10. World Health Organization (2018). WHO recommendations: intrapartum care for a
364 positive childbirth experience. Geneva: World Health Organization.

11. Sando D, Abuya T, Asefa A, Banks KP, Freedman LP, Kujawski S, et al. Methods used in prevalence studies of disrespect and abuse during facility based childbirth: lessons learned. *Reprod Health*, 2017;14:1–18.
12. Andrade P de ON, Pereira da Silva JQ, Menino Diniz CM, Costa Caminha M de F. Factors associated with obstetric abuse in vaginal birth care at a high-complexity maternity unit in Recife, Pernambuco. *Rev Bras Saude Matern Infant* [Internet]. 2016;16:29–37.
13. Diaz-Tello F. Invisible wounds: obstetric violence in the United States. *Reprod Health Matters*. 2016;24(47):56–64.
14. Savage, V., & Castro, A. Measuring mistreatment of women during childbirth: a review of terminology and methodological approaches. *Reproductive health*, 2017; 14(1), 138.
15. Da-Silva-Carvalho, I., & Santana-Brito, R. Forms of obstetric violence experienced by mothers who had normal birth. *Enfermería Global*. 2017; 16(3), 89-97.
16. Vacaflor, C. H. Obstetric violence: a new framework for identifying challenges to maternal healthcare in Argentina. *Reproductive health matters*. 2016; 24(47), 65-73.
17. Williams, C. R., Jerez, C., Klein, K., Correa, M., Belizán, J. M., & Cormick, G. Obstetric violence: A Latin American legal response to mistreatment during childbirth. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 2018.
18. Perera, D., Lund, R., Swahnberg, K., Schei, B., & Infanti, J. J. ‘When helpers hurt’: women’s and midwives’ stories of obstetric violence in state health institutions, Colombo district, Sri Lanka. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2018; 18(1), 211.
19. Borges, M. T. A violent birth: reframing coerced procedures during childbirth as obstetric violence. *Duke LJ*. 2017; 67, 827.
20. Oliveira, V. J., & Penna, C. M. D. M. Discussing obstetric violence through the voices of women and health professionals. *Texto & Contexto-Enfermagem*. 2017; 26(2).
21. Souza, K. J. D., Rattner, D., & Gubert, M. B. Institutional violence and quality of service in obstetrics are associated with postpartum depression. *Revista de saude publica*. 2017; 51, 69.
22. Diniz, S. G., Salgado, H. D., Andrezzo, H. F., Carvalho, P. G., Carvalho, P. C., Aguiar, C. A., & Niy, D. Y. Abuse and Disrespect In Childbirth Care As A Public Health Issue In Brazil: Origins, Definitions, Impacts On Maternal Health, And Proposals For Its Prevention *Journal of Human Growth and Development*. 2015; 25(3), 377. doi:10.7322/jhgd.106080

- 1
2
3 398 23. Pérez D'Gregorio, D. Obstetric violence: A new legal term introduced in Venezuela.
4
5 399 International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics. 2010; 1-2.
6
7 400 24. Fareira, C. Obstetric Violence within the Brazilian Healthcare System: A Critical
8
9 401 Analysis of the Childbirth Narratives of Tupinambá Indigenous Women from the
10
11 402 Olivença, Ilhéus Community. 2016.
12 403 25. McGarry, J., Hinsliff-Smith, K., Watts, K., McCloskey, P., & Evans, C. Experiences
13
14 404 and impact of mistreatment and obstetric violence on women during childbearing: a
15
16 405 systematic review protocol. JBI database of systematic reviews and implementation
17
18 406 reports. 2017; 15(3), 620-627.
19 407 26. Sadler, M., Santos, M. J., Ruiz-Berdún, D., Rojas, G. L., Skoko, E., Gillen, P., &
20
21 408 Clausen, J. A. Moving beyond disrespect and abuse: addressing the structural
22
23 409 dimensions of obstetric violence. Reproductive health matters. 2016; 24(47), 47-55.
24 410 27. Lukasse M, Schroll AM, Karro H, Schei B, Steingrimsdottir T, Van Parys AS, et al.
25
26 411 Prevalence of experienced abuse in healthcare and associated obstetric characteristics
27
28 412 in six European countries. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2015; 94:508–17.
29 413 28. Vora KS, Mavalankar DV, Ramani KV, Upadhyaya M, Sharma B, Iyengar S, Gupta V,
30
31 414 Iyengar K. Maternal health situation in India: a case study. Journal of health,
32
33 415 population, and nutrition. 2009 Apr; 27(2):184.
34 416 29. Ram F, Ram US, Singh AB. Future demand for maternal and child health services from
35
36 417 public health facilities in Uttar Pradesh. Journal of family welfare. 2010; 56.
37
38 418 30. Singh NK, Jha KK, Sharma RK, Verma N. Differential in antenatal care visit: a case
39
40 419 study of Uttar Pradesh. Indian Journal of Maternal and Child Health. 2015; 17(1):1-7.
41 420 31. Dehury RK, Samal J. Maternal Health Situation in Bihar and Madhya Pradesh: A
42
43 421 Comparative Analysis of State Fact Sheets of National Family Health Survey (NFHS)-3
44
45 422 and 4. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR. 2016 Sep; 10(9):IE01.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Appendix Table 1 Description of study variable: Definition/Coding

Name of the variable	Definition/Coding
<i>Outcome variables</i>	
Labor room violence	Labor room violence was measured as a binary variable using two categories (Yes & No) to the question asked in survey “At the time of delivery, have the doctor/nurse/other health workers/staff of the hospital shouted/abused/hit you?”:
<i>Predictor variables: Socio-economic</i>	
Place of residence	Place of residence is recoded into Urban and Rural area.
Religion	The presence of other religions in Uttar Pradesh is nearly negligible which is also reflected in our sample. Therefore, we have classified our sample into Hindu and Muslim.
Caste	The social groups are recoded into three groups: Scheduled Caste (SC)/Schedule Tribe (ST), Other Backward Castes (OBCs) and General Castes. A system that allows social hierarchal division of people in India.
Education of the Mother	The educational status of women is coded into three categories: No Education, 1-8 years of schooling and above secondary. These groups are classified in such a way that they have a distinct effect on the nature and level of labor room violence experienced by women.
Age of the Mother (in years)	Age of the mother is categorised into three groups: less than 20 years, 21-29 years and above 30 years. This classification was done by keeping in the mind both the distribution of the sample across the ages and also considering the ideal ages of childbearing.
Partner’s Occupation	Partner’s occupation has been recoded into two broad groups: Primary/Secondary and Tertiary/Quaternary. These groups are classified in such a way that they have a distinct effect on the nature and level of labor room violence experienced by women. Activities related to primary activities include agriculture (both commercial and subsistence), forestry, mining, farming, grazing, fishing, hunting and gathering, and quarrying. It also includes packaging and processing of the raw material related to these activities. Example for secondary occupation are- textile production, metal working and smelting, automobile production, aerospace manufacturing, chemical and engineering industries, engineering, construction, shipbuilding, energy utilities, breweries and bottlers. Activities includes in this sector are- transportation and distribution, restaurants, clerical services, retail and wholesale sales, entertainment (television, movies, theater, radio, music, etc.), media, tourism, law, insurance, banking and healthcare.
Any mass media exposure	Mass media exposure is a composite variable. It is computed based on women’s exposure to print media (newspaper/magazine), and electric media (television, radio, and cinema). Exposure to any of these media sources was denoted “Yes” Otherwise “No”.
Wealth Quintile	The wealth index is based on a variety of household characteristics and assets that are relevant for that country. The wealth index in the survey included 30 household assets. Individuals in the sample were assigned a score based on how their families rank on ownership of assets and other household characteristics using PCA. Following this, we extracted the factor weights for each variable. We then calculated wealth index scores based on these factor weights for each respondent in the national survey dataset. Finally, the population into wealth quintiles based on the wealth index scores was separated to observe the range of wealth index scores for each of the five quintiles. This was further grouped into three categories “Poor”, “Middle”, “Rich” for analysis purpose.

Reporting checklist for cohort study.

Based on the STROBE cohort guidelines.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the STROBE cohort reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.

		Reporting Item	Page Number
Title	#1a	Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
Abstract	#1b	Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2-3
Background / rationale	#2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3-5
Objectives	#3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	2
Study design	#4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5-6
Setting	#5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5-6
Eligibility criteria	#6a	Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	5-6

selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up.

1			
2			
3		#6b	For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of
4			exposed and unexposed
5			
6	Variables	#7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential
7			confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if
8			applicable
9			6 &
10			Appendix
11			table
12	Data sources /	#8	For each variable of interest give sources of data and details
13	measurement		of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe
14			comparability of assessment methods if there is more than
15			one group. Give information separately for exposed and
16			unexposed groups if applicable.
17			
18			
19			
20	Bias	#9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
21			
22	Study size	#10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
23			6
24	Quantitative	#11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the
25	variables		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were
26			chosen, and why
27			
28			
29			
30	Statistical	#12a	Describe all statistical methods, including those used to
31	methods		control for confounding
32			6
33			
34		#12b	Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and
35			interactions
36			
37		#12c	Explain how missing data were addressed
38			
39		#12d	If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed
40			
41		#12e	Describe any sensitivity analyses
42			
43			
44	Participants	#13a	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg
45			numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,
46			confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-
47			up, and analysed. Give information separately for for
48			exposed and unexposed groups if applicable.
49			
50			
51			
52		#13b	Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
53			
54		#13c	Consider use of a flow diagram
55			
56			
57	Descriptive data	#14a	Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,
58			
59			
60			

clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders. Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups if applicable.

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6		#14b	Indicate number of participants with missing data for each
7			variable of interest
8			
9		#14c	Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)
10			
11			
12	Outcome data	#15	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
13			over time. Give information separately for exposed and
14			unexposed groups if applicable.
15			
16			
17	Main results	#16a	Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-
18			adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence
19			interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for
20			and why they were included
21			
22			
23			
24		#16b	Report category boundaries when continuous variables were
25			categorized
26			
27			
28		#16c	If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into
29			absolute risk for a meaningful time period
30			
31	Other analyses	#17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups
32			and interactions, and sensitivity analyses
33			
34			
35	Key results	#18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
36			6-9
37	Limitations	#19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources
38			of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and
39			magnitude of any potential bias.
40			
41			
42			
43	Interpretation	#20	Give a cautious overall interpretation considering objectives,
44			limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar
45			studies, and other relevant evidence.
46			
47			
48	Generalisability	#21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study
49			results
50			10-11
51			
52	Funding	#22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the
53			present study and, if applicable, for the original study on
54			which the present article is based
55			11
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

1 The STROBE checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License
2 CC-BY. This checklist can be completed online using <https://www.goodreports.org/>, a tool made by
3 the [EQUATOR Network](#) in collaboration with [Penelope.ai](#)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60