# **Cancer Cell**

# RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> Induces a Mesenchymal Phenotypic Switch via Serum Response Factor to Promote Melanoma Development and Therapy Resistance

### Graphical Abstract



### Authors

Daniël A. Lionarons, David C. Hancock, Sareena Rana, ..., Richard R. Neubig, Miriam Molina-Arcas, Julian Downward

### **Correspondence**

[miriam.molina@crick.ac.uk](mailto:miriam.molina@crick.ac.�uk) (M.M.-A.), [julian.downward@crick.ac.uk](mailto:julian.downward@crick.ac.�uk) (J.D.)

### In Brief

 $RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>$  is a common mutation in human cutaneous melanoma. Lionarons et al. show that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> induces a melanocytic to mesenchymal switch via an SRF/MRTF-mediated gene expression program, cooperates with BRAF in melanomagenesis, and drives BRAF inhibitor resistance, which is reversed by SRF/MRTF inhibition.

### **Highlights**

Check for

- RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> activates PAK, AKT, and the SRF/MRTF transcription program in melanocytes
- RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> induces a melanocytic to mesenchymal transition through SRF/MRTF and PAK
- RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> cooperates with BRAF mutation or NF1 deletion to promote melanomagenesis
- RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> induces resistance to BRAF inhibitors through SRF/MRTF

Lionarons et al., 2019, Cancer Cell 36, 68–83 July 8, 2019  $\odot$  2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2019.05.015>



## RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> Induces a Mesenchymal Phenotypic Switch via Serum Response Factor to Promote Melanoma Development and Therapy Resistance

Daniël A. Lionarons,<sup>[1](#page-1-0)</sup> David C. Hancock,<sup>1</sup> Sareena Rana,<sup>[1,](#page-1-0)[6](#page-1-1)</sup> Philip East,<sup>[2](#page-1-2)</sup> Christopher Moore,<sup>1</sup> Miguel M. Murillo,<sup>1,6</sup> Joana Carvalho,<sup>[3](#page-1-3)</sup> Bradley Spencer-Dene,<sup>3</sup> Eleanor Herbert,<sup>[3,](#page-1-3)[7](#page-1-4)</sup> Gordon Stamp,<sup>3</sup> Djamil Damry,<sup>[4](#page-1-5)</sup> Dinis P. Calado,<sup>4</sup> lan Rosewell,<sup>[5](#page-1-6)</sup> Ralph Fritsch,<sup>[1,](#page-1-0)[8](#page-1-7)</sup> Richard R. Neubig,<sup>[9](#page-1-8)</sup> Miriam Molina-Arcas,<sup>1,[\\*](#page-1-9)</sup> and Julian Downward<sup>1,[6,](#page-1-1)[10,](#page-1-10)\*</sup> 1Oncogene Biology, Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, London NW1 1AT, UK

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

<span id="page-1-2"></span>2Bioinformatics & Biostatistics, Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, London NW1 1AT, UK

<span id="page-1-3"></span>3Experimental Histopathology, Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, London NW1 1AT, UK

<span id="page-1-5"></span>4Immunity & Cancer Laboratories, Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, London NW1 1AT, UK

<span id="page-1-6"></span>5Genetic Manipulation Service, Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, London NW1 1AT, UK

<span id="page-1-1"></span><sup>6</sup>Lung Cancer Group, Division of Molecular Pathology, Institute of Cancer Research, 237 Fulham Road, London SW3 6JB, UK

<span id="page-1-4"></span>7Royal Veterinary College, Hatfield AL9 7TA, UK

<span id="page-1-7"></span>8Universitätsklinikum Freiburg, Freiburg 79106, Germany

<span id="page-1-8"></span>9Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

<span id="page-1-10"></span>10Lead Contact

<span id="page-1-9"></span>\*Correspondence: [miriam.molina@crick.ac.uk](mailto:miriam.molina@crick.ac.uk) (M.M.-A.), [julian.downward@crick.ac.uk](mailto:julian.downward@crick.ac.uk) (J.D.) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2019.05.015>

#### **SUMMARY**

RAC1 P29 is the third most commonly mutated codon in human cutaneous melanoma, after BRAF V600 and NRAS Q61. Here, we study the role of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in melanoma development and reveal that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> activates PAK, AKT, and a gene expression program initiated by the SRF/MRTF transcriptional pathway, which results in a melanocytic to mesenchymal phenotypic switch. Mice with ubiquitous expression of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> from the endogenous locus develop lymphoma. When expressed only in melanocytes, RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> cooperates with oncogenic BRAF or with NF1-loss to promote tumorigenesis. RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> also drives resistance to BRAF inhibitors, which is reversed by SRF/MRTF inhibitors. These findings establish RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> as a promoter of melanoma initiation and mediator of therapy resistance, while identifying SRF/MRTF as a potential therapeutic target.

#### INTRODUCTION

Melanoma is a potentially lethal form of skin cancer responsible for the death of 55,500 people per year globally, with incidence rising rapidly, especially in Western countries [\(Eggermont](#page-15-0) [et al., 2014](#page-15-0)). Chronic sun-exposure is the most important cause of melanoma, with UV radiation-induced mutations, particularly in tumor suppressor genes, contributing to the development of the disease [\(Viros et al., 2014\)](#page-16-0). The commonest oncogenic driver

mutation is *BRAF*V600E, present in up to 50% of cases, followed by *NRAS* codon 61, mutated in about 20% of melanomas. The third most common mutation lies in the gene encoding the RHO family small GTPase RAC1. The *RAC1* P29S mutation is present in around 4% of melanomas. This amino acid change arises from a UV radiation-induced  $C > T$  transition at a dipyrimidine site. The P29S mutation activates the biological activity of RAC1 by promoting guanine nucleotide exchange, hence increasing the relative ratio of active GTP-bound RAC1 to

#### **Significance**

Metastatic melanoma is a lethal disease, partly due to rapid acquisition of resistance to therapy. Using genetically engineered mouse models, we demonstrate that the activating RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> mutation, present in up to 4% of melanoma cases, cooperates with BRAF as a driver of melanoma initiation and promotes BRAF inhibitor resistance. Since clinical inhibitors of RAC1 are not currently available, we systematically evaluated RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> signaling and the functional significance of its downstream effector pathways. Our findings suggest that the critical RAC1 effector in melanoma is the transcription factor complex SRF/MRTF, which initiates a switch to a mesenchymal-like state characterized by therapy resistance. Therapeutic targeting of SRF/MRTF may have potential to reverse BRAF inhibitor resistance in melanoma patients bearing the oncogenic RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> mutation.

inactive GDP-bound RAC1 ([Hodis et al., 2012; Krauthammer](#page-15-1) [et al., 2012](#page-15-1)). The potential importance of RAC1 function in melanoma is further underlined by the discovery of frequent alterations in PREX2, and to a lesser extent PREX1, which are guanine nucleotide exchange factors for RAC1 [\(Berger et al.,](#page-14-0) [2013\)](#page-14-0). In at least some cases, truncating mutations in PREX2 have been shown to result in gain of function in terms of RAC1 activation [\(Lissanu Deribe et al., 2016](#page-15-2)). Overall, 30% of melanomas show alterations in either PREX1 or PREX2, although the functional significance of most of these individual changes has not been tested and may not all be mediated through RAC1 signaling.

Despite frequent mutational activation of RAC1 and its regulators in melanoma, the functional implications for the disease are poorly understood. As expected for a fast-cycling mutant protein, RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> has been reported to show increased binding to direct effectors such as PAK1, MLK3, and the WAVE complex [\(Chen et al., 2017; Hodis et al., 2012; Kawazu et al., 2013; Kraut](#page-15-3)[hammer et al., 2012; Watson et al., 2014](#page-15-3)). Overexpression of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> increased cell proliferation, migration, anchorage-independent growth, and promoted growth of transplanted cells in nude mice. Varying and relatively modest effects of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> on MAPK activation have been reported. In addition, RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> has been reported to promote the expression of the immune checkpoint ligand PD-L1 in cells ([Vu et al., 2015](#page-16-1)). Finally, it was reported that ectopic expression of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in BRAF mutant melanoma cells generates resistance to BRAF inhibition and that three patients with the mutation responded particularly poorly to a BRAF inhibitor [\(Van Allen et al., 2014\)](#page-14-1).

Genetically engineered mouse models addressing the role of RAC1 in melanomagenesis have yet to be reported. However, deletion of *Prex1*, encoding an upstream activator of RAC1, has been reported to suppress migration and metastasis in a melanoma model ([Lindsay et al., 2011](#page-15-4)). In addition, mice with inducible overexpression of the activated PREX2E824\* mutant in melanocytes showed increased melanomagenesis [\(Lissanu](#page-15-2) [Deribe et al., 2016](#page-15-2)).

Overall, there is a considerable body of evidence pointing to an important role for RAC1 signaling in melanoma, but the nature of its function remains largely unclear. Which downstream effectors of RAC1 might be important in melanoma is not known, although a recent report has highlighted a potential role for PAK in a zebrafish model and in human melanoma cell lines [\(Araiza-Olivera](#page-14-2) [et al., 2018](#page-14-2)). A greater understanding of the role of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in melanoma and the effector pathways involved may provide therapeutic targets.

#### RESULTS

#### Expression of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> Promotes Cell Survival in the Absence of Growth Factors or Anchorage

To investigate RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> signaling, we stably expressed RAC1<sup>WT</sup>, RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>, and the constitutively active mutant RAC1<sup>Q61L</sup> in MCF10A immortalized breast epithelial cells [\(Fig](#page-14-3)[ure S1A](#page-14-3)). RAC1 codon 61 mutations are not found in human melanoma, but RAC1<sup>Q61L</sup> served as a control for increased RAC1 signaling. RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> promoted survival when cells were starved of growth factors, whereas proliferation in normal medium was unaffected ([Figures S1B](#page-14-3) and S1C). When seeded in soft agar, RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> enabled the formation of spheres [\(Fig](#page-14-3)[ure S1](#page-14-3)D). Constitutively active RAC1<sup>Q61L</sup> promoted sphere formation to a lesser extent than RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>, while suppressing the 2D proliferation rate, which may explain why this mutation is not found in human melanoma.

To study the signaling effects of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in a temporally controlled manner, we developed an ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> fusion protein system that can be acutely activated by treatment with 4-hy-droxytamoxifen (4OHT) [\(Figure 1](#page-3-0)A). Activation of ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> led to increased binding and phosphorylation of the RAC1 effector PAK1 in both MCF10A cells and the mouse immortalized melanocyte cell line melan-a ([Figures 1B](#page-3-0), 1C and [S1E](#page-14-3)), but caused extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) activation only transiently and weakly [\(Figures 1C](#page-3-0), 1D, [S1](#page-14-3)E, and S1F), in contrast to ER-RAC1<sup>Q61L</sup> ([Figure S1](#page-14-3)E). Differential activation of the MAPK pathway was not associated with ER-RAC1 protein expression levels, which were similar [\(Figure S1](#page-14-3)G). Melanocytes with ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> underwent a morphological change upon 4OHT treatment, with generalized extension of lamellipodia [\(Fig](#page-3-0)[ure 1](#page-3-0)E) and increased peripheral staining of polymerized actin [\(Figure S1H](#page-14-3)). Activation of ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in melanocytes promoted survival when cells were starved of growth factors and enabled sphere formation when seeded in soft agar [\(Figures](#page-3-0) [1F](#page-3-0) and 1G), with only minor effects on proliferation in 2D culture [\(Figure S1](#page-14-3)I). In low adhesion conditions, activated ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> promoted cell survival ([Figure S1J](#page-14-3)). When starved of growth factors, activated ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> suppressed apoptosis [\(Fig](#page-3-0)[ure 1](#page-3-0)H). Knockdown of RAC1 reversed the effects on viability and apoptosis ([Figures 1F](#page-3-0)–1H).

We have thus developed an ER fusion protein system that allows temporal control of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> activation in melanocytes, revealing that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> protects against apoptosis and provides cells with a survival advantage when deprived of growth factors or anchorage.

#### RAC1P29S Activates an SRF/MRTF Transcriptional Program and Drives Melanocytes toward a Mesenchymal State

A reverse-phase protein array was employed to study the cellular signals transmitted by RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. We found that AKT was increasingly phosphorylated upon ER-RAC1P29S activation in melanocytes ([Figures 2](#page-4-0)A, 2B, and [S2](#page-14-3)A). Conversely, small hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated depletion of the endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in a human melanoma cell line suppressed phosphorylation of AKT [\(Figure S2B](#page-14-3)). In both of these systems, we found no evidence that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> signals via the MAPK pathway [\(Fig](#page-14-3)[ures S2](#page-14-3)B and S2C).

Next, we explored effects of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> signaling on the transcriptome of melanocytes. Activation of ER-RAC1P29S induced numerous gene expression changes in melanocytes [\(Figure 2C](#page-4-0)). After 4 h, targets of the transcription factor SRF were heavily enriched among the upregulated genes, while no other transcription factor was significantly linked to the gene expression changes ([Figures 2D](#page-4-0)–2F). SRF can be activated via either the ternary complex factor (TCF) or myocardin-related transcription factor (MRTF) co-factor. TCFs are regulated by MAPK signaling, while MRTF is inhibited by monomeric actin and can be activated by RHO GTPases that polymerize actin and thereby relieve the inhibition [\(Esnault et al., 2014; Miralles et al., 2003](#page-15-5)). When

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

#### Figure 1. Effect of Activation of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> on Survival of Melanocytes

(A) Schematic of the ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> fusion protein system. ER, estrogen receptor; 4OHT, 4-hydroxytamoxifen; HSP, heat shock protein.

(B) RAC1-GTP assay in MCF10A cells (left) and mouse melanocyte cell line melan-a (right) stably expressing ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Cells were treated with 500 nM 4OHT for 24 h and ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> binding to the PAK1 RAC1 binding domain was assessed using a pull-down assay.

(C) PAK1/2 and ERK1/2 phosphorylation by ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in melanocytes. Cells were treated with 500 nM 4OHT for 24 h.

(D) Quantification of phospho-PAK1/2 and phospho-ERK1/2 levels detected by immunoblotting, normalized using vinculin loading control (n = 3 or more independent experiments); t test versus 0 h was used with Holm-Sidak correction for multiple testing; \*p < 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.001; n.s., not statistically significant. (E) Morphological changes induced by ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> activation in melan-a melanocytes treated with 500 nM 4OHT.

(F) Viability of melan-a melanocytes with ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in growth factor-reduced medium (fetal calf serum [FCS] 0.25%, 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate [TPA]-free). Cells were treated with 1 µM 4OHT and viability was quantified using CellTiter-Glo (n = 3 independent experiments); t test was used for statistical comparison.

(G) Soft agar sphere formation of melan-a melanocytes with activated ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Cells were grown in full medium containing 1 µM 4OHT for 3 weeks before staining, imaging, and automated counting (n = 3 biological replicates).

(H) Effect of activation of ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> on apoptosis in melan-a melanocytes. Cells were treated with 1 µM 4OHT. Cleaved caspase-3 was quantified and normalized to cell viability (n = 2 independent experiments, three biological replicates per experiment).

Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD. See also [Figure S1.](#page-14-3)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

#### Figure 2. Effect of Acute Activation of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in Melanocytes on AKT and SRF/MRTF Effector Pathways

(A) Reverse-phase protein array using ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanocytes after RAC activation, expressed as a scatterplot with p values and log2 fold change compared with 0 h control (means from 3 independent experiments).

(B) Densitometric quantification of phospho-AKT levels determined by immunoblotting, normalized to total AKT levels. Melan-a ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> cells were treated with 4OHT at 500 nM (n = 4 independent experiments, one representative immunoblot shown); t test was used for statistical comparison.

(C) RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) in ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanocytes, expressed as scatterplots for empty vector cells and ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> cells treated with 500 nM 4OHT. Blue dots represent values statistically different to 0 h values (false discovery rate [FDR] 5%).

(D) Transcription factor target enrichment analysis of gene expression changes in melanocytes 4 h after activation of ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the transcription factor target gene sets from the MSigDB database (Broad). NES, normalized enrichment score.

(E) GSEA plot of the direct SRF target gene set from [Esnault et al. \(2014\),](#page-15-5) using all genes expressed in the ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanocytes at 4 versus 0 h 4OHT treatment.

(F) Normalized RNA-seq read counts of canonical SRF/MRTF targets (n = 3 independent experiments).

(G) Pathway enrichment analysis of gene expression changes in melanocytes 40 h after activation of ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. GSEA using the curated hallmark gene set collection from MSigDB.

(H) GSEA plot of the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) hallmark gene set from MSigDB using gene expression differences in ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanocytes treated for 40 h with 4OHT compared with 0 h control.

(I) Relative mRNA of mesenchymal markers in melanocytes with activated ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>, quantified using RNA-seq (n = 3 independent experiments).

*(legend continued on next page)*

assessing the relative contribution of TCF versus MRTF signatures in our gene expression data, we found that MRTF signatures were particularly enriched and any enrichment effect of TCF signatures could be attributed to target overlap with MRTF [\(Figures S2D](#page-14-3)–S2H).

Forty hours after activating ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>, many potentially secondary and tertiary gene expression changes were observed. Pathway enrichment analysis revealed that genes involved in epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) were most strongly enriched among upregulated genes [\(Figures 2](#page-4-0)G– 2I). EMT can be viewed a misnomer in this context, given that melanocytes are not epithelial-lineage cells. We searched for EMT-driving transcription factors among upregulated genes and indeed found that the SRF/MRTF targets *Snai2* and *Jun* were upregulated, suggesting a link between SRF/MRTF activa-tion and promotion of a mesenchymal-like state [\(Figure S2](#page-14-3)I). To establish a causal relationship, we activated ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in the presence of the specific SRF/MRTF inhibitors CCG-1423 and CCG-203971 [\(Haak et al., 2017](#page-15-6)). This suppressed the induction of *Snai2* and *Jun*, and mesenchymal marker gene and protein expression [\(Figures 2J](#page-4-0), [S2](#page-14-3)J, and S2K), indicating that ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> initiates a melanocytic to mesenchymal transition through SRF/MRTF. Similar results were obtained when MRTF was depleted using RNAi ([Figure S2](#page-14-3)L). Depletion of the EMT transcription factors Snai2 and Jun significantly reduced the survival ability of melanocytes with activated RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> [\(Fig](#page-14-3)[ure S2M](#page-14-3)). Similar to results at 4 h, transcription factor target enrichment analysis demonstrated that SRF was the dominant driver of gene expression changes following 40 h of ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> activation ([Figure S2](#page-14-3)N). To assess the effect of PAK on activation of SRF/MRTF and the transition to a mesenchymal identity, we used the PAK inhibitor G-5555 and quantified gene expression of canonical SRF/MRTF targets and mesenchymal markers. We observed that PAK is not involved in the activation of SRF/MRTF, but does contribute to the transition to a mesenchymal identity [\(Figure S2O](#page-14-3)).

Taken together, unbiased proteomic and transcriptomic analyses revealed that melanocytic ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> activates AKT and initiates a shift toward a more mesenchymal transcriptional state via SRF/MRTF and PAK.

#### Endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in Melanocytes Recapitulates the Effects of ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>

Conclusions drawn from previous studies regarding the functions of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>, including those described above, have relied on ectopic expression experiments or the comparison between endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> mutant and RAC1<sup>WT</sup> human melanoma cell lines, which have other genetic alterations. To enable more controlled modeling of the impact of endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>, we generated mice carrying a conditional P29S mutation targeted to the endogenous *Rac1* locus. A Lox-stop-Lox (LSL) cassette was inserted upstream of a mutated exon 2, which produces a null allele in the absence of Cre recombinase and a P29S mutant allele following exposure to Cre recombinase activity

[\(Figure 3](#page-6-0)A). Homozygous *Rac1*<sup>LSL–P29S</sup> was embryonically lethal in mice lacking Cre, in concordance with results from *Rac1* knockout mice ([Sugihara et al., 1998](#page-16-2)) ([Figure S3A](#page-14-3)). We also observed some degree of lethality in heterozygous mice, indicating that two copies of the wild-type *Rac1* allele are required for optimal development ([Figure S3B](#page-14-3)).

When we isolated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) from Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S/WT</sup> mice crossed onto a ubiquitously expressed conditional Cre driver (Rosa26-CreER), recombination resulted in increased binding of RAC1 to its effector PAK1 and elevated levels of phospho-PAK and phospho-AKT [\(Figures 3](#page-6-0)B, 3C, and [S3C](#page-14-3)). To study the effects of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in a more relevant cellular context, melanocytes were isolated from *Rac1*<sup>LSL-P29S/WT</sup> mice and *Rac1*WT/WT littermates crossed onto a melanocyte-specific inducible Cre (Tyr-CreER; [Figure S3](#page-14-3)D). As observed in MEFs and in ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanocytes, phosphorylation of PAK and AKT was consistently increased in cells expressing RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>, while phospho-ERK levels were not ([Figures 3](#page-6-0)D and [S3E](#page-14-3)). Three melanocyte cultures expressing endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> and three RAC1<sup>WT</sup> cultures were subjected to mRNA sequencing to investigate effects on gene expression. Targets of SRF/MRTF were most enriched among upregulated genes compared with other transcription factors ([Figures 3E](#page-6-0)–3G). In addition, we detected a strong presence of the EMT gene expression signature and commonly used mesenchymal markers were increased at the mRNA and protein levels ([Figures 3](#page-6-0)H and [S3](#page-14-3)E-S3G). SRF/ MRTF inhibition reduced expression of the mesenchymal markers vimentin and N-cadherin in mouse melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> [\(Figures 3I](#page-6-0) and [S3](#page-14-3)H).

In summary, melanocyte cultures expressing RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> from the endogenous locus showed increased activation of PAK, AKT, and SRF/MRTF, and displayed a more mesenchymal gene expression profile, confirming our findings made with ectopic expression systems.

#### Melanocytes and Melanoma Cells Driven by RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> Depend on AKT and MRTF for Survival

Long-term culture of melanocytes in full medium demonstrated that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> does not alter their rate of proliferation or immor-talization ([Figure S4](#page-14-3)A). However, endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> promoted survival when melanocytes were cultured in the absence of growth factors or seeded at very low densities [\(Figures 4](#page-7-0)A and [S4B](#page-14-3)). Moreover, we observed increased sphere-forming ability when the cells were seeded in soft agar ([Figure 4](#page-7-0)B) and protection against apoptosis when cells were starved of growth factors and adhesion ([Figure S4C](#page-14-3)).

We interrogated which downstream effectors are used by RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> for the promotion of survival in the hope of uncovering therapeutic targets for P29S mutant RAC1-driven melanoma. To study this in a systematic manner, we designed a RAC1 effector RNAi screen. We included genes whose products are known to directly bind RAC proteins (gene ontology GO:0048365,  $n = 31$ ); selected genes whose products are reported to function in signaling pathways downstream of RAC1 activation (PAK,

For all graphs: bars represent means  $\pm$  SD. See also [Figure S2.](#page-14-3)

<sup>(</sup>J) Effect of treatment with SRF/MRTF inhibitors on mesenchymal marker induction by ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Cells were pre-treated with 5-10 µM CCG-1423 or CCG-203971 1 h before 4OHT treatment (1 mM) for 48 h (n = 3 independent experiments); mRNA levels were determined using qPCR and normalized to *Gapdh* expression.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 3. Impact of Endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> on AKT and SRF/MRTF Activation in Melanocytes and Mesenchymal Phenotype (A) Schematic of the Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S</sup> allele.

(B) *Rosa26-CreER*+/–*;Rac1*LSLP29S/WT and *Rosa26-CreER*+/–*;Rac1*WT/WT MEFs were isolated and recombined using 1 mM 4OHT. Activation of RAC1 was assessed using pull-down assay.

(C) Phosphorylation of PAK1/2 and AKT in MEFs upon recombination of the Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S</sup> allele by 4OHT treatment (1 uM).

(D) Phosphorylation of PAK1/2 and AKT in mouse melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Immunoblot of three independent cultures per genotype is shown. (E) Transcription factor target enrichment analysis of gene expression changes in melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> versus RAC1<sup>WT</sup>. GSEA was performed using the transcription factor target gene set collection from MSigDB in combination with RNA-seq data of melanocytes from Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S/WT</sup> mice versus *Rac1*WT/WT mice (n = 3 independent cultures per genotype).

(F) GSEA results using SRF/MRTF/TCF gene sets from [Esnault et al. \(2014\)](#page-15-5), was applied on RNA-seq data from melanocytes cultured with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> versus RAC1WT. GSEA plot for direct targets of MRTF is shown.

(G) Normalized mRNA read counts of canonical SRF/MRTF targets in melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> (n = 3 independent cultures per genotype); Wald test was applied in combination with Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

(H) GSEA plot of the curated EMT hallmark gene set from MSigDB using RNA-seq data of melanocytes from *Rac1*<sup>LSL-P29S/WT</sup> mice versus *Rac1<sup>WT/WT</sup>* mice (n = 3 independent cultures per genotype).

(I) Effect of SRF/MRTF pathway inhibition on mRNA expression of vimentin in mouse melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Cells were treated with 10-25 µM CCG-1423 or CCG-203971 and starved of TPA for 24 h ( $n = 3$  independent experiments).

For all graphs: bars represent means  $\pm$  SD. See also [Figure S3](#page-14-3).

MAPK, PI3K, SRF, NF-kB, JNK, STAT3, Wnt, and integrin signaling;  $n = 53$ ); and genes upregulated in ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanocytes that are associated with cell survival, plus the transcription factors suspected to be driving these gene expression changes (n = 115). Three melanocyte cultures from each *Rac1* genotype were transfected with the arrayed custom small interfering RNA (siRNA) library, grown in growth factor-reduced medium and assayed for viability 96 h after transfection. Effective knockdown was suggested by near-complete killing efficiency for multiple siRNA pools, including Myc, and experimentally verified for the Mkl2 pool [\(Figure S4D](#page-14-3)). We particularly focused on genes that, when depleted, specifically reduced viability of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanocytes compared with RAC1<sup>WT</sup> counterparts. An siRNA pool targeting RAC1 itself was included

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

#### Figure 4. Discovery of AKT and MRTF Dependencies in Cells with Endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>

(A) Melanocyte cultures from Rac1<sup>WT/WT</sup> and Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S/WT</sup> mice were grown in growth factor-reduced medium (FCS 0.25%, TPA-free) and assayed for viability using CellTiter-Glo; p value using two-way ANOVA for the genotype indicated.

(B) Single-cell suspensions of melanocytes from *Rac1<sup>WT/WT</sup>* and *Rac1*<sup>LSL-P29S/WT</sup> mice (n = 3 independent cultures per genotype) were seeded in soft agar for 3 weeks before imaging and automated counting.

(C) Melanocytes from *Rac1<sup>WT/WT</sup>* and *Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S/WT* mice were transfected with a custom siRNA library (n = 205 pools), grown in TPA-free medium for 3 days</sup> and assayed for viability (n = 3 independent cultures per genotype, each assayed in three independent experiments).

(D) Detailed plots of the data from the RAC1 effector siRNA screen presented in (C). Control siRNAs (top) and siRNAs that specifically kill melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> (bottom).

as a control and was among the top hits in the screen, validating our experimental system [\(Figures 4](#page-7-0)C and 4D). This approach identified Akt3 and components of the WAVE $\rightarrow$ ARP2/3 $\rightarrow$ SRF/ MRTF pathway to be specifically required for survival in RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanocytes. These findings were confirmed using small molecules targeting AKT, SRF/MRTF, and PAK signaling [\(Figure 4](#page-7-0)E). We observed that inhibition of these pathways had a cumulative effect on viability, suggesting that SRF/MRTF promotes survival downstream of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> by a mechanism distinct from either AKT or PAK. Interestingly, the flatter and less dendritic morphology of melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> could be partially reversed with an SRF/MRTF inhibitor [\(Figure S4](#page-14-3)E). Of note, depletion of EMT transcription factors inhibited survival of melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> [\(Figure S4F](#page-14-3)), similar to effects observed in melanocytes with inducible ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>.

There are insufficient RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> cases in public clinical datasets to permit robust statistical analyses (e.g., *RAC1*P29S is present in 2/1,020 and 11/366 cases in the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia and The Cancer Genome Atlas [TCGA] melanoma cohort, respectively), so we turned to whole-genome shRNA screening data from human cancer cell lines ([Tsherniak](#page-16-3) [et al., 2017\)](#page-16-3), which were used to determine co-dependencies in human cancer cells that require RAC1 for viability. This analysis demonstrated that RAC1-dependent cancer cells are particularly sensitive to depletion of WAVE complex subunits, ARP2/ 3 subunits, and focal adhesion components ([Figure S4](#page-14-3)G). These results were confirmed in an independent dataset that was established using a smaller but more specific shRNA library ([McDonald et al., 2017](#page-15-7)) ([Figure S4](#page-14-3)H). Next, we assessed a selection of chemical inhibitors in *RAC1*P29S human melanoma cells in an attempt to validate any possible vulnerabilities uncovered by our earlier studies. Chemical inhibitors may also help bypass problems of isoform redundancy. We assembled a panel consisting of seven melanoma cell lines with endogenous *RAC1*P29S and seven control melanoma cell lines with *RAC1*WT [\(Figure S4I](#page-14-3)). First, RAC1 was knocked down to determine its contribution to the survival of *RAC1*P29S human melanoma cells. Knockdown was more harmful to *RAC1*P29S cell lines compared with *RAC1*WT cell lines, in a similar fashion to the differential effect of BRAF knockdown in *BRAF* mutants [\(Fig](#page-7-0)[ure 4](#page-7-0)F). Subsequently, we selected 16 inhibitors targeting candidate RAC1 effector pathways and obtained doseresponse viability curves ([Figure 4G](#page-7-0)). Although this approach is inherently ''noisy'' due to the significant genetic heterogeneity across human melanoma cell lines, we were nonetheless able to detect a statistically significant sensitivity of *RAC1*P29S cell lines to the SRF/MRTF inhibitor CCG-1423, and to the RAC1 activation inhibitor EHT-1864 [\(Figure 4H](#page-7-0)). Interestingly, *RAC1*P29S cells tend to be more resistant to the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family inhibitor obatoclax, suggesting that *RAC1*P29S cells are generally more resistant to apoptosis [\(Figure S4J](#page-14-3)). When we applied obatoclax and another Bcl-2 family inhibitor, navitoclax, in mouse melanocytes, RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> protected against induction of apoptosis [\(Figures 4](#page-7-0)I and [S4K](#page-14-3)). This effect appeared specific to inhibition of the Bcl-2 family anti-apoptotic pathway, as RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> did not suppress apoptosis induced by chemotherapeutic agents topotecan and etoposide ([Figure S4](#page-14-3)K). Finally, we tested whether *RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>*-specific protection of apoptosis was mediated through PAK, AKT, or SRF/MRTF. Combined chemical inhibition of the SRF/MRTF and AKT pathways negated protection of obatoclax-induced apoptosis [\(Figure S4](#page-14-3)L).

Taken together, these data suggest that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> activates SRF/MRTF via WAVE and ARP2/3, and also activates AKT, to suppress apoptosis and promote survival of melanocytes and melanoma cells ([Figure 4J](#page-7-0)).

#### RAC1P29S Promotes Tumorigenesis when Expressed in the Whole Body

We began our investigations of the *in vivo* effects of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> by inducing its expression in the germline of mice. Crossing *Rac1*LSLP29S/WT males and *PGK-Cre* females with oocytic Cre expression only produced live offspring with a *Rac1*WT/WT genotype [\(Figure S5](#page-14-3)A). Timed analysis revealed that embryos with germline *Rac1*P29S/WT displayed general developmental delay, gross enlargement of the pericardial cavity, and embryonic lethality 10.5 days post-fertilization [\(Figures 5A](#page-9-0) and 5B).

To circumvent embryonic lethality, we generated *Rosa26- CreER*+/–*;Rac1*LSLP29S/WT mice and recombined *Rac1*LSLP29S in the whole body of adults by systemic tamoxifen administration [\(Figures 5](#page-9-0)C, [S5B](#page-14-3), and S5C). Our first clear observation was that *Rac1*LSLP29S/WT mice were smaller compared with *Rac1*WT/WT littermates, which was dependent on tamoxifen-mediated recombination ([Figures S5](#page-14-3)D and S5E). After aging animals for 1–2 vears, Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S/WT</sup> mice developed splenomegaly and

<sup>(</sup>E) Effect of combination treatments with inhibitors of the SRF/MRTF, PAK, and AKT pathways on viability of melanocytes with RAC1<sup>WT</sup> (left) or endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> (right). Mouse melanocyte cultures were grown in regular medium and assayed for viability 72 h after starting drug treatments. Inhibitor doses: CCG-203971 (SRF/MRTF) 10 µM, G-5555 (PAK) and MK-2206 (AKT) 2 µM.

<sup>(</sup>F) Effects on viability of human melanoma cell lines of reduction in expression of RAC1 or BRAF. Cells were cultured in growth medium and assayed for viability at 96 h post-transfection (n = 5 cell lines per genotype for the BRAF panel, n = 7 cell lines per genotype for the RAC1 panel, dots represent means of a single cell line that was tested in multiple independent experiments); p values from t test.

<sup>(</sup>G) Drug panel targeting RAC1 effectors applied in human melanoma cell lines with RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> versus cell lines with RAC1<sup>WT</sup>. Cells were cultured in growth medium and assayed for viability 72 h after starting drug treatments. Drugs ranked by the percentage difference between the half maximal inhibitory concentration (µM) of RAC1<sup>WT</sup> cell lines and RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> cell lines. For each drug, two-way ANOVA was used to probe the full dataset for a genotype effect, which was corrected according to Benjamini-Hochberg to produce FDR q values; N.D., not determined because curve fitting failed.

<sup>(</sup>H) Viability of human melanoma cell lines treated with the SRF/MRTF inhibitor CCG-1423 was determined using CellTiter-Glo (n = 7 cell lines per genotype, for each cell line the mean from two independent experiments was used); statistical analysis was performed as described in (F).

<sup>(</sup>I) Apoptosis of mouse melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> or RAC1<sup>WT</sup> cultured in growth medium and treated with obatoclax at 250 nM, 500 nM, or 1 µM for 3 days (n = 2–3 independent cultures per genotype).

<sup>(</sup>J) Schematic of the SRF/MRTF signaling pathway.

For all graphs: bars represent means  $\pm$  SD. See also [Figure S4](#page-14-3).

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 5. Effect of Ubiquitous Expression of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> In Vivo on Induction of B Cell Lymphoma

(A) Schematic of *PGK-Cre/Rac1*LSLP29S cross and summary of results from the timed analysis of embryos. *PGK-Cre* was always maternal to ensure germline Cre recombination in all embryos. p values derived from chi-square testing are indicated.

(B) Representative micrographs showing gross cardiovascular abnormalities and developmental retardation in *Rac1*<sup>LSL-P29S/WT</sup> embryos (E10.5). Red arrow, enlarged pericardial cavity.

(C) Schematic of experimental design to express RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in the whole body of adult mice. Tamoxifen was administered by oral gavage.

(D) Representative image of the spleen and quantification of spleen weight in aged mice with the indicated genotype. Bars represent means ± SD (n = 8–13 mice per genotype); Mann-Whitney test used for statistical comparison.

(E) Tumor-free survival curve of mice with indicated genotypes after treatment with tamoxifen. Result from log rank testing (Mantel-Cox) is indicated.

(F) Representative photos of the digestive tract from a *Rosa26-CreER*+/–*;Rac1*WT/WT mouse and a mesenteric lymphoma-bearing *Rosa26-CreER*+/–*;* Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S/WT</sup> mouse. Red arrow, lymphoma.

(G) Representative H&E- and B220-stained sections of a mesenteric lymphoma versus normal mesenteric lymph nodes in a control mouse.

(H) Representative H&E- and B220-stained sections of a normal spleen from a *Rosa26-CreER*+/–*;Rac1*WT/WT mouse and the spleen, thymic lymphoma, and squamous cell tumor of the skin from *Rosa26-CreER<sup>+/–</sup>;Rac1<sup>LSL–P29S/W*T mice.</sup>

See also [Figure S5](#page-14-3).

tumors, while wild-type controls did not [\(Figures 5D](#page-9-0) and 5E). The tumors were mostly mesenteric lymphomas, occasionally accompanied by a thymic lymphoma and/or a squamous cell tumor of the skin [\(Figures 5F](#page-9-0)–5H and [S5](#page-14-3)F). Histological and flow cytometric characterization of the mesenteric lymphomas demonstrated that the B cell compartment was transformed,

whereas the T cell compartment appeared normal ([Figures 5](#page-9-0)G, [S5G](#page-14-3), and S5H).

Thus, ubiquitous expression of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> at endogenous levels in adult mice promotes gradual development of B cell lymphoma, while being developmentally lethal when expressed in the embryo.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

#### Figure 6. Effect of Melanocytic Expression of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> In Vivo on Tumorigenesis

(A) Schematic of the *Tyr-CreER*, *Braf<sup>CA</sup>* and *Rac1*<sup>LSL-P29S</sup> allele combination.

(B) Melanoma-free survival curves of *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*WT/WT mice and *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*LSLP29S/WT mice were compared using log rank testing (Mantel-Cox).

(C) Effects of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> on tumor number in *Tyr-CreER<sup>+/-</sup>;Braf<sup>CA/WT</sup>* mice. Groups were compared using the Mann-Whitney test (n = 12–13 mice per genotype). (D) Schematic of the *Tyr-CreER*, *Pten*<sup>F</sup> , *Braf*CA, and *Rac1*LSL-P29S allele combination.

(E) Overall survival curves of *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*WT/WT mice and *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*LSLP29S/WT mice were compared using the log rank testing (Mantel-Cox).

(F) Effects of RAC1P29S on tumor number in *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT mice. Groups compared using Mann-Whitney testing (n = 5–9 mice per genotype). (G) Schematic of the *Tyr-CreER*, *Trp53*<sup>F</sup> , *Braf*CA, and *Rac1*LSLP29S allele combination.

(H) Melanoma-free survival curves of *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Trp53*F/F*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*WT/WT mice and *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Trp53*F/F*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*LSLP29S/WT mice were compared using log rank testing (Mantel-Cox).

*(legend continued on next page)*

#### RAC1P29S Promotes Melanomagenesis

We did not observe melanomas in mice with RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> expression in the whole body, so we proceeded to use the *Tyr-CreER* mouse model with topical 4OHT treatment to express RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> exclusively in melanocytes in combination with other genetic aberrations commonly found in melanoma [\(Dankort et al., 2009;](#page-15-8) [Dhomen et al., 2009](#page-15-8)). First, we mined public sequencing databases to uncover the genomic landscape of *RAC1P29S* human cutaneous melanoma. By compiling data from multiple sources, we identified a substantial number of cases carrying *RAC1P29S*  $(n = 51)$ . In addition, we identified a smaller number of cases with a  $RAC1^{P29L}$  mutation (n = 5), which is also thought to be activating ([Kawazu et al., 2013; Alan and Lundquist, 2014](#page-15-9)). In 82% of *RAC1P29S/L* cases analyzed there was a co-occurring mutation in one of the three main melanoma driver genes *BRAF*, *NRAS*, and *NF1* [\(Figure S6](#page-14-3)A). Rates of *BRAF* and *NRAS* hotspot mutations were similar in *RAC1P29S/L* melanomas compared with melanoma in general, while co-occurrence of *NF1*-inactivating mutations and *RAC1P29S/L* was significantly enriched in two large melanoma cohorts (cBioPortal cohort,  $n = 709$ , log odds ratio 1.73,  $p < 0.001$ ; GENIE cohort,  $n = 1,868$ , log odds ratio 1.71,  $p <$ 0.001). It should be noted that these observations could be influenced by the fact that both *RAC1P29S/L* and *NF1* mutations tend to occur preferentially in highly mutated melanomas carrying a UV mutational signature [\(Cancer Genome Atlas Research](#page-15-10) [Network, 2014](#page-15-10)).

When we introduced RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> alone in melanocytes, mice did not develop melanocytic hyperplasia or melanoma ( $n =$ 11 mice, aged for an average of 540 days; [Figures 6A](#page-10-0) and 6B). In contrast, BRAFV600E alone produced melanocytic hyperplasia, which in 4 out of 13 mice progressed to melanoma (aged for an average of 461 days; [Figure 6](#page-10-0)B). Strikingly, mice with combined BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> always developed melanoma and the number of tumors per mouse was significantly increased ( $n =$ 12 mice, aged for an average of 394 days; [Figure 6C](#page-10-0)). The tumor-promoting effect of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> was also clearly observed in BRAFV600E;*Pten*-hemizygous [\(Figures 6](#page-10-0)D–6F) and BRAFV600E;*Trp53*-null melanoma models [\(Figures 6G](#page-10-0)–6J). Recombination of the *Rac1*<sup>LSL-P29S</sup> allele in tumors was confirmed at both the DNA and mRNA level ([Figures S6B](#page-14-3) and S6C). In the BRAFV600E;*Pten*-hemizygous melanoma model we did not see obvious evidence for loss of the second *Pten* copy, either with or without the *Rac1*<sup>LSL-P29S</sup> allele.

We also evaluated the effect of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in the aggressive BRAFV600E;*Pten*-null melanoma model [\(Dankort et al., 2009](#page-15-8)). RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> did not affect melanoma formation in this setting, likely due to the already very rapid disease progression in BRAFV600E;*Pten*-null;RAC1WT animals [\(Figures S6](#page-14-3)D–S6F). Because *RAC1*P29S/L- and *NF1*-inactivating mutations co-occur in human melanoma, we also elected to cross the Rac1LSL-P29S allele onto a *Nf1*-null;*Trp53*-null melanoma model, which has not been reported before. In this context, RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> cooperated with

loss of NF1 and p53 to promote melanomagenesis [\(Figures](#page-14-3) [S6G](#page-14-3)–S6I).

We investigated our two most aggressive mouse melanoma models to determine if RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> promotes spontaneous lung metastasis. Analysis of lungs from both the BRAFV600E;*Trp53* null model and the BRAFV600E;*Pten*-null model revealed a low number of lung nodules in *Rac1*WT/WT mice, which were in part benign primary bronchoalveolar tumors and in part genuine melanoma metastases ([Figures S6J](#page-14-3)-S6N). The number of lung nodules was not significantly increased in *Rac1*<sup>LSL-P29S/WT</sup> mice, indicating that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> does not promote metastasis in these models, despite the well-known effects of RAC1 signaling on cell motility *in vitro* [\(Marei and Malliri, 2017](#page-15-11)).

In summary, we used BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>- and NF1-loss-driven melanoma models to demonstrate that endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> promotes the progression of melanocytic hyperplasia to melanoma. This effect is also seen in the context of loss of PTEN and p53 tumor suppressors.

#### RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> Promotes Mesenchymal Differentiation in Melanomas and Resistance to BRAF Inhibition

To gain a clearer molecular understanding of the effect of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> on the development of primary melanomas we used the BRAFV600E;*Pten*-hemizygous model because of the clear phenotype and access to sufficient RAC1<sup>WT</sup> control tumors. Because RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> promotes the progression of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>induced melanocytic hyperplasia to melanoma, we considered that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> may achieve this by suppressing oncogeneinduced senescence. However, we could not find clear support for this hypothesis when evaluating normal skin and tumors for senescence markers and gene expression signatures [\(Figures](#page-14-3) [S7A](#page-14-3)–S7D).

Pathway enrichment analysis of mRNA sequencing data showed the presence of SRF/MRTF and mesenchymal signa-tures in RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> tumors compared with RAC1<sup>WT</sup> tumors [\(Fig](#page-12-0)[ures 7A](#page-12-0), 7B, [S7E](#page-14-3), and S7F). *In situ* hybridization demonstrated increased mRNA expression of two canonical SRF/MRTF targets in tumor cells, substantiating the finding of increased SRF/MRTF signaling in the RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanoma [\(Figure S7G](#page-14-3)). Histologically, the RAC1<sup>WT</sup> lesions had a relatively uniform cellularity with predominant spindle cells and more compact epithelioid rounded cells in the upper half of the dermis. In contrast, the RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> lesions had a neurotized appearance, containing larger, elongated tumor cells ([Figure 7](#page-12-0)C). An extracellular matrix gene expression signature was found to be highly enriched in RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> tumors compared with RAC1<sup>WT</sup> tumors, being the most significant of the 4,838 gene sets in the curated C2 database (Broad) ([Figure S7H](#page-14-3)).

First-line therapy of patients with BRAF mutant melanoma consists of treatment with a BRAF kinase inhibitor, which often produces a good initial response before resistance to therapy rapidly emerges. We studied BRAF inhibitor responses using

For all graphs: bars represent means  $\pm$  SD. See also [Figure S6.](#page-14-3)

<sup>(</sup>I) Effects of RAC1P29S on tumor number in *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Trp53*F/F*;Braf*CA/WT mice. Groups compared using an unpaired two-tailed t test (n = 7–9 mice per genotype).

<sup>(</sup>J) Representative photographs and histology of the dorsal skin of various mouse melanoma models. All mice are *Tyr-CreER*+/–, with additional alleles indicated. Time since 4OHT treatment is indicated.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Figure 7. Effect of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> Drug Resistance and Mesenchymal Phenotype in BRAF-Driven Melanoma

(A) GSEA plot of the EMT hallmark gene set from MSigDB, using RNA-seq data of tumor lysates from *Tyr-CreER+/–;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*LSLP29S/WT mice versus tumor lysates from *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*WT/WT mice (n = 6 tumors from five to six animals per group).

(B) Normalized mRNA read counts of mesenchymal markers in tumor lysates from *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT mice. For statistical comparison of groups, Wald test was applied in combination with Benjamini-Hochberg correction (n = 6 tumors from five to six animals per genotype).

(C) Effect of expression of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in melanoma on tumor architecture. Representative tumor sections shown stained with H&E or melanoma cell markers S100 and SOX10 (immunohistochemistry).

(D) Tumors were induced in *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT mice by topical 4OHT. After tumors were established, animals were treated with PLX4720 incorporated in chow. Tumor growth curves were compared using two-way ANOVA, with the p value for the genotype effect indicated (n = 18-44 tumors from 6 to 10 animals per data point). Representative photos of tumors are included.

(E) Tumors at the end of the experiment presented in (D) were harvested, lysed and used for immunoblotting with indicated antibodies.

the BRAFV600E;*Pten*-hemizygous melanoma model. RAC1WT and RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> tumor growth rates were similar in vehicle-treated animals, confirming that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> does not influence tumor cell proliferation ([Figure 7D](#page-12-0)). In contrast, the RAC1 genotype had a significant effect when animals were treated with the BRAF inhibitor PLX4720. Although RAC1<sup>WT</sup> tumors were generally stable or regressed, RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> tumors were clearly able to grow under PLX4720 therapy. In line with *in vitro* data, we did not detect activation of the MAPK pathway in mutant tumors exposed to therapy ([Figure 7](#page-12-0)E). Both untreated and inhibitor-treated mutant tumors had higher expression of the mesenchymal marker vimentin ([Figures 7E](#page-12-0) and [S7](#page-14-3)I). In the TCGA melanoma cohort, with or without *BRAF*mut, *RAC1*P29S/L mutation, or *RAC1* overexpression is also associated with relapse ([Figures 7](#page-12-0)F and [S7J](#page-14-3)). Mouse melanoma cell cultures with RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> showed lower levels of apoptosis when exposed to a BRAF inhibitor [\(Fig](#page-14-3)[ure S7](#page-14-3)K), supporting the idea that the resistance to therapy observed in our mouse model resulted from RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>-mediated suppression of apoptosis. In an attempt to reverse resistance to BRAF inhibition, we applied the optimized SRF/MRTF inhibitor CCG-257081 [\(Hutchings et al., 2017](#page-15-12)). Co-treatment with CCG-257081 significantly suppressed tumor growth in PLX4720-treated mice [\(Figure 7G](#page-12-0)).

Finally, when we map the impact of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> on transcriptional data from our various *in vitro* and *in vivo* model systems against four recently defined, sequential melanoma differentia-tion states [\(Tsoi et al., 2018](#page-16-4)), we see that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> induces de-differentiation from a melanocytic toward an undifferentiated state, which has been associated with increased resistance to BRAF inhibitors [\(Figure 7H](#page-12-0)).

In summary, endogenous expression of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in BRAFdriven melanoma produces a less melanocytic and more mesenchymal differentiation state and generates resistance to the BRAF inhibitor PLX4720, which can be substantially reversed by co-treatment with an SRF/MRTF inhibitor.

#### **DISCUSSION**

We have investigated the impact of signaling by RAC1, an oncogene which is mutationally activated in 4% of melanomas and may be activated by alterations in upstream regulators in a larger fraction, showing that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> promotes the initiation of melanoma driven by mutant BRAF or NF1 loss. Systematic analysis of effectors reveals that endogenous expression of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> activates PAK, AKT, and also a WAVE $\rightarrow$ ARP2/3 $\rightarrow$ SRF/MRTF cascade, which induces switching from a melanocytic to a mesenchymal-like cellular identity. The end result is that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> cells have enhanced tumorigenic and survival abilities due to suppression of apoptosis, with the important

consequence that resistance to BRAF inhibitors is generated. RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> does not appear to promote melanoma formation and progression through opposing cellular senescence or by enhancing the metastatic process.

Our data suggest a model where RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> signaling triggers a transcriptional program that has several effects in melanoma cells, including suppression of melanocytic differentiation, induction of a mesenchymal-like phenotype, and increased survival and resistance to therapy. Recently, transcriptional analysis has been used to define four different interlinked differentiation states for melanoma subtypes with differential resistance to drugs, such as BRAF inhibitors, and vulnerability to oxidative stress ([Tsoi et al., 2018](#page-16-4)). In this analysis, selective pressure with a BRAF inhibitor promotes transition from a melanocytic/ differentiated state through a transitory state to a neural crest state, and finally an undifferentiated state. The latter states are most resistant to BRAF inhibition, but also show increased sensitivity to oxidative stress. When we map the transcriptional data from our different models, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, we see clearly that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> promotes the adoption of the most undifferentiated and least melanocytic states, which are associated with BRAF inhibitor resistance. It is also interesting to speculate whether the known ability of RAC signaling to promote oxidative stress via its control of NADPH oxidase [\(Ogrunc et al., 2014\)](#page-16-5) might suggest that RAC pathway activation could be involved in the increased vulnerability to oxidative stress of the most undifferentiated melanoma subtypes observed by [Tsoi et al. \(2018\).](#page-16-4)

Our results suggest that RAC1 signaling promotes a phenotypic switch mediated in part by SRF/MRTF to a mesenchymal differentiation state that is characterized by resistance to apoptosis induction, possibly mediated through control of the Bcl-2 family of apoptosis regulators. Investigation of the expression level of Bcl-2 family proteins in response to RAC1 activation does not indicate that this control is likely to be attributable to a single member, but rather an aggregate of gene expression changes across this family and possibly other apoptotic regulators as well. Because RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> induces dedifferentiation, it may be that part of its tumor-promoting potential lies in generating more progenitor-like cells from which a tumor can be established, and in making these cells less sensitive to cell death by apoptosis, whether this is induced by a deficient microenvironment or therapy with BRAF inhibitor targeting the main driver oncogene.

Our findings here suggest that the RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> genotype may identify patients who are less likely to benefit from BRAF inhibitors. However, the observation that RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>-driven resistance to BRAF inhibition can be reversed by inhibiting the SRF/MRTF transcription factor complex provides a possible approach to addressing BRAF inhibitor resistance in the clinic. A series of

For all graphs: means  $\pm$  SD is shown. See also [Figure S7.](#page-14-3)

80 Cancer Cell *36*, 68–83, July 8, 2019

<sup>(</sup>F) Influence of *RAC1*P29S/L mutation status on relapse-free survival in patients with melanoma. Data from the TCGA cutaneous melanoma cohort (n = 309 patients, 45% *BRAF*mut and 55% *BRAF*WT) were used. Groups were compared using log rank testing (Mantel-Cox), with the p value indicated.

<sup>(</sup>G) After tumors were established by topical 4OHT, animals were treated with PLX4720 incorporated in chow and CCG-257081 by oral gavage. Tumor growth curves were compared using two-way ANOVA, with the p value for the genotype effect indicated (n = 10–15 tumors from 3 to 4 animals per data point). (H) GSEA was performed using the four melanoma differentiation state signatures developed by [Tsoi et al. \(2018\)](#page-16-4), in combination with RNA-seq dataset of changes in ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanocyte-treated 4OHT (n = 3 independent experiments; left panel), RNA-seq data from melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> versus RAC1WT (n = 3 independent cultures per genotype; middle panel) and RNA-seq data of tumor lysates from *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*; Rac1*LSLP29S/WT mice versus *Tyr-CreER*+/–*;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*WT/WT mice (n = 6 tumors from five to six animals per group; right panel); p values are indicated.

SRF/MRTF inhibitors have been developed [\(Hayashi et al., 2014;](#page-15-13) [Lundquist et al., 2014\)](#page-15-13) and used extensively in preclinical models, principally to inhibit fibrosis ([Hutchings et al., 2017;](#page-15-12) [Yu-Wai-Man et al., 2017\)](#page-15-12). The findings reported here suggest that SRF/MRTF inhibitors, in combination with BRAF inhibitors, could have utility in the treatment of BRAF mutant melanoma with an additional RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> mutation. SRF/MRTF inhibitors could present an attractive approach to tackling BRAF inhibitor resistance in melanoma caused by RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> compared with the use of RAC inhibitors, which have to date proved difficult to progress into the clinic [\(Marei and Malliri, 2017](#page-15-11)).

<span id="page-14-3"></span>Beyond the 4% of melanoma cases with mutant RAC1, it is also worth speculating whether RAC signaling pathways may be activated in melanoma and perhaps other tumor types by different mechanisms, such as alterations in upstream regulatory proteins such as PREX and TIAM1. If this were the case, then MRTF pathway inhibition might have a broader potential utility in countering BRAF inhibitor resistance, and possibly also resistance to other therapeutic agents. RAC1 function is also known to be essential in KRAS induced tumor formation ([Kissil et al.,](#page-15-14) [2007\)](#page-15-14), and in KRAS oncogene addiction ([Yuan et al., 2018\)](#page-16-6), so the potential exists for SRF/MRTF inhibition to be explored in a far greater range of tumors than just RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanoma.

#### **STAR**★METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **[KEY RESOURCES TABLE](#page-17-0)**
- **. [CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING](#page-19-0)**
- **[EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS](#page-19-1)** 
	- $\circ$  Transgenic Mice
	- $\circ$  Cell Lines
- **O** [METHODS DETAILS](#page-20-0)
	- $\circ$  Molecular Cloning
	- $\circ$  Isolation and Culture of Primary Mouse Melanocytes
	- $\circ$  Isolation of MFFs
	- $\circ$  Isolation of Mouse Melanoma Cells
	- $\circ$  Retroviral Transduction
	- $\circ$  Lentiviral Transduction
	- $\circ$  siRNA Transfection
	- $\circ$  Chemical Inhibitors in Human Melanoma Cell Lines
	- $\circ$  Viability Assays
	- $\circ$  Apoptosis Assay
	- $\circ$  F-actin Staining Assay
	- $\circ$  Sphere Formation Assay
	- <sup>B</sup> *Rac1LSL-P29S* Recombination Assay
	- $\circ$  Quantitative PCR
	- $\circ$  Immunoblotting
	- PAK1 Binding Domain Pull-Down Assay
	- O Reverse-Phase Protein Array
	- $\circ$  RNA-seq of Melanocytes with ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>
	- $\circ$  RNA-seq of Melanocytes with Endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>
	- O RNA-seq of Tumor Lysates
	- O Fluorescence Flow Cytometric Analysis
	- RAC1 Co-dependencies in Human Cancer Cells
	- $\circ$  Mutational Landscape of RAC1<sup>P29S/L</sup> Human Melanoma
- O Publicly-Available Survival Data
- O Mouse Embryo Analysis
- $\circ$  Systemic Tamoxifen Treatment
- O Topical 4OHT Treatment
- $\circ$  Histology
- $\circ$  Mouse Drug Treatment
- **.** [QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS](#page-25-0)
	- $\circ$  Statistical Analysis
	- $\circ$  RNA Sequencing Analysis
- **. [DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY](#page-25-1)**

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2019.05.015) [ccell.2019.05.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2019.05.015).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Charles Swanton, Axel Behrens, Erik Sahai, Richard Treisman, Philip Goff, Dorothy Bennett, Ruth Halaban, Goran Tomic, Robert McDonald, and Tobias Schmelzle for helpful discussions and reagents. This work was supported by the Francis Crick Institute, which receives its core funding from Cancer Research UK (FC001070), the UK Medical Research Council (FC001070), and the Wellcome Trust (FC001070), and by funding to J.D. from the European Research Council Advanced Grant RASTARGET and a Wellcome Trust Senior Investigator Award 103799/Z/14/Z.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

D.A.L., R.F., R.R.N., M.M.-A., and J.D. designed the study, interpreted the results, and wrote the manuscript. D.A.L., D.C.H., and S.R. performed the biochemical experiments. D.A.L., C.M., M.M.M., and I.R. performed *in vivo* studies. B.S.-D., J.C., E.R., and G.S. performed and interpreted histopathological studies. P.E. performed bioinformatics analyses. D.D. and D.P.C. analyzed lymphomas. All authors contributed to manuscript review and revision.

#### DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

R.R.N. is a founder of FibrosIX LLC which has an option on technology including CCG-257081.

Received: July 31, 2018 Revised: March 25, 2019 Accepted: May 24, 2019 Published: June 27, 2019

#### **REFERENCES**

<span id="page-14-5"></span>[AACR Project GENIE Consortium \(2017\). AACR Project GENIE: powering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref1) [precision medicine through an International Consortium. Cancer Discov.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref1) *7*, [818–831.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref1)

[Alan, J.K., and Lundquist, E.A. \(2014\). Mutationally activated Rho GTPases in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref2) [cancer. Small GTPases](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref2) *4*, 159–163.

<span id="page-14-1"></span>[Van Allen, E.M., Wagle, N., Sucker, A., Treacy, D.J., Johannessen, C.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref4) [Goetz, E.M., Place, C.S., Taylor-Weiner, A., Whittaker, S., Kryukov, G.V.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref4) [et al. \(2014\). The genetic landscape of clinical resistance to RAF inhibition in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref4) [metastatic melanoma. Cancer Discov.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref4) *4*, 94–109.

<span id="page-14-2"></span>[Araiza-Olivera, D., Feng, Y., Semenova, G., Prudnikova, T.Y., Rhodes, J., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref5) [Chernoff, J. \(2018\). Suppression of RAC1-driven malignant melanoma by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref5) [group A PAK inhibitors. Oncogene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref5) *37*, 944–952.

<span id="page-14-4"></span>[Bennett, D.C., Cooper, P.J., and Hart, I.R. \(1987\). A line of non-tumorigenic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref6) [mouse melanocytes, syngeneic with the B16 melanoma and requiring a tumor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref6) [promoter for growth. Int. J. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref6) *39*, 414–418.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>[Berger, M.F., Hodis, E., Heffernan, T.P., Deribe, Y.L., Lawrence, M.S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref7) [Protopopov, A., Ivanova, E., Watson, I.R., Nickerson, E., Ghosh, P., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref7) [\(2013\). Melanoma genome sequencing reveals frequent PREX2 mutations.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref7) Nature *485*[, 502–506.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref7)

<span id="page-15-16"></span>[Bosenberg, M., Muthusamy, V., Curley, D.P., Wang, Z., Hobbs, C., Nelson, B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref8) [Nogueira, C., Horner, J.W., DePinho, R., and Chin, L. \(2006\). Characterization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref8) [of melanocyte-specific inducible Cre recombinase transgenic mice. Genesis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref8) *44*[, 262–267](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref8).

<span id="page-15-10"></span>[Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network \(2014\). Comprehensive molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref9) [profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref9) *511*, 543–550.

<span id="page-15-3"></span>Chen, B., Chou, H.-T., Brautigam, C.A., Xing, W., Yang, S., Henry, L., Doolittle, L.K., Walz, T., and Rosen, M.K. (2017). Rac1 GTPase activates the WAVE regulatory complex through two distinct binding sites. Elife *6*, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.29795) [7554/eLife.29795.](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.29795)

<span id="page-15-29"></span>[Coppe, J.P., Desprez, P.Y., Krtolica, A., and Campisi, J. \(2010\). The senes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref12)[cence-associated secretory phenotype: the dark side of tumor suppression.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref12) [Annu. Rev. Pathol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref12) *5*, 99–118.

<span id="page-15-17"></span>[Dankort, D., Filenova, E., Collado, M., Serrano, M., Jones, K., and McMahon,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref13) [M. \(2007\). A new mouse model to explore the initiation, progression, and ther](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref13)[apy of BRAFV600E-induced lung tumors. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref13) *21*, 379–384.

<span id="page-15-8"></span>[Dankort, D., Curley, D.P., Cartlidge, R.A., Nelson, B., Karnezis, A.N., Damsky,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref14) [J., William, E., You, M.J., DePinho, R.A., McMahon, M., and Bosenberg, M.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref14) [\(2009\). BrafV600E cooperates with Pten loss to induce metastatic melanoma.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref14) [Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref14) *41*, 544–552.

[Dhomen, N., Reis-Filho, J.S., da Rocha Dias, S., Hayward, R., Savage, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref15) [Delmas, V., Larue, L., Pritchard, C., and Marais, R. \(2009\). Oncogenic Braf in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref15)[duces melanocyte senescence and melanoma in mice. Cancer Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref15) *15*, [294–303](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref15).

<span id="page-15-24"></span>[Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref16) [P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. \(2013\). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref16) [aligner. Bioinformatics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref16) *29*, 15–21.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>[Eggermont, A.M., Spatz, A., and Robert, C. \(2014\). Cutaneous melanoma.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref17) Lancet *383*[, 816–827](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref17).

<span id="page-15-5"></span>[Esnault, C., Stewart, A., Gualdrini, F., East, P., Horswell, S., Matthews, N., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref18) [Treisman, R. \(2014\). Rho-actin signaling to the MRTF coactivators dominates](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref18) [the immediate transcriptional response to serum in fibroblasts. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref18) *28*, [943–958](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref18).

<span id="page-15-27"></span>[Fridman, A.L., and Tainsky, M.A. \(2008\). Critical pathways in cellular senes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref19)[cence and immortalization revealed by gene expression profiling. Oncogene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref19) *27*[, 5975–5987.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref19)

<span id="page-15-21"></span>[Fritsch, R., de Krijger, I., Fritsch, K., George, R., Reason, B., Kumar, M.S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref57) [Diefenbacher, M., Stamp, G., and Downward, J. \(2013\). RAS and RHO families](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref57) [of GTPases directly regulate distinct phosphoinositide 3-kinase isoforms. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref57) *153*[, 1050–1063.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref57)

<span id="page-15-22"></span>[Garman, B., Anastopoulos, I.N., Krepler, C., Brafford, P., Sproesser, K., Jiang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref20) [Y., Wubbenhorst, B., Amaravadi, R., Bennett, J., Beqiri, M., et al. \(2017\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref20) [Genetic and genomic characterization of 462 melanoma patient-derived xeno](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref20)[grafts, tumor biopsies, and cell lines. Cell Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref20) *21*, 1936–1952.

<span id="page-15-20"></span>[Godwin, L.S., Castle, J.T., Kohli, J.S., Goff, P.S., Cairney, C.J., Keith, W.N.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref21) [Sviderskaya, E.V., and Bennett, D.C. \(2001\). Isolation, Culture, and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref21) [Transfection of Melanocytes \(John Wiley & Sons, Inc\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref21).

<span id="page-15-26"></span>[Gualdrini, F., Esnault, C., Horswell, S., Stewart, A., Matthews, N., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref58) [Treisman, R. \(2016\). SRF Co-factors Control the Balance between Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref58) [Proliferation and Contractility. Mol. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref58) *64*, 1048–1061.

<span id="page-15-6"></span>[Haak, A.J., Appleton, K.M., Lisabeth, E.M., Misek, S.A., Ji, Y., Wade, S.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref22) [Bell, J.L., Rockwell, C.E., Airik, M., Krook, M.A., et al. \(2017\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref22) [Pharmacological inhibition of myocardin-related transcription factor pathway](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref22) [blocks lung metastases of RhoC-overexpressing melanoma. Mol. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref22) Ther. *16*[, 193–204.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref22)

<span id="page-15-13"></span>[Hayashi, K.i., Watanabe, B., Nakagawa, Y., Minami, S., and Morita, T. \(2014\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref23) [RPEL proteins are the molecular targets for CCG-1423, an inhibitor of Rho](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref23) [signaling. PLoS One](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref23) *9*, e89016.

<span id="page-15-1"></span>[Hodis, E., Watson, I.R., Kryukov, G.V., Arold, S.T., Imielinski, M., Theurillat,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref24) [J.P., Nickerson, E., Auclair, D., Li, L., Place, C., et al. \(2012\). A landscape of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref24) [driver mutations in melanoma. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref24) *150*, 251–263.

[Hugo, W., Zaretsky, J.M., Sun, L., Song, C., Moreno, B.H., Hu-Lieskovan, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref25) [Berent-Maoz, B., Pang, J., Chmielowski, B., Cherry, G., et al. \(2016\). Genomic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref25) [and transcriptomic features of response to anti-PD-1 therapy in metastatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref25) [melanoma. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref25) *165*, 35–44.

<span id="page-15-12"></span>[Hutchings, K.M., Lisabeth, E.M., Rajeswaran, W., Wilson, M.W., Sorenson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref26) [R.J., Campbell, P.L., Ruth, J.H., Amin, A., Tsou, P.-S., Leipprandt, J.R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref26) [et al. \(2017\). Pharmacokinetic optimitzation of CCG-203971: novel inhibitors](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref26) [of the Rho/MRTF/SRF transcriptional pathway as potential antifibrotic thera](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref26)[peutics for systemic scleroderma. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref26) *27*, 1744–1749.

<span id="page-15-9"></span>[Kawazu, M., Ueno, T., Kontani, K., Ogita, Y., Ando, M., Fukumura, K., Yamato,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref27) [A., Soda, M., Takeuchi, K., Miki, Y., et al. \(2013\). Transforming mutations of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref27) [RAC guanosine triphosphatases in human cancers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref27) S A *110*[, 3029–3034.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref27)

<span id="page-15-14"></span>[Kissil, J.L., Walmsley, M.J., Hanlon, L., Haigis, K.M., Bender Kim, C.F., Sweet-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref28)[Cordero, A., Eckman, M.S., Tuveson, D.A., Capobianco, A.J., et al. \(2007\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref28) [Requirement for Rac1 in a K-ras induced lung cancer in the mouse. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref28) Res. *67*[, 8089–8094.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref28)

[Krauthammer, M., Kong, Y., Ha, B.H., Evans, P., Bacchiocchi, A., McCusker,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref29) [J.P., Cheng, E., Davis, M.J., Goh, G., Choi, M., et al. \(2012\). Exome sequencing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref29) [identifies recurrent somatic RAC1 mutations in melanoma. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref29) *44*, [1006–1014](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref29).

<span id="page-15-23"></span>[Krauthammer, M., Kong, Y., Bacchiocchi, A., Evans, P., Pornputtapong, N.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref30) [Wu, C., McCusker, J.P., Ma, S., Cheng, E., Straub, R., et al. \(2015\). Exome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref30) [sequencing identifies recurrent mutations in NF1 and RASopathy genes in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref30) [sun-exposed melanomas. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref30) *47*, 996–1002.

<span id="page-15-15"></span>[Lallemand, Y., Luria, V., Haffner-Krausz, R., and Lonai, P. \(1998\). Maternally](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref31) [expressed PGK-Cre transgene as a tool for early and uniform activation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref31) [the Cre site-specific recombinase. Transgenic Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref31) *7*, 105–112.

<span id="page-15-18"></span>[Lesche, R., Groszer, M., Gao, J., Wang, Y., Messing, A., Sun, H., Liu, X., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref32) [Wu, H. \(2002\). Cre/loxP-mediated inactivation of the murinePten tumor sup](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref32)[pressor gene. Genesis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref32) *32*, 148–149.

<span id="page-15-4"></span>[Li, B., and Dewey, C.N. \(2011\). RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref33) [RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref33) *12*[, 323](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref33).

[Lindsay, C.R., Lawn, S., Campbell, A.D., Faller, W.J., Rambow, F., Mort, R.L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref34) [Timpson, P., Li, A., Cammareri, P., Ridgway, R.A., et al. \(2011\). P-Rex1 is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref34) [required for efficient melanoblast migration and melanoma metastasis. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref34) Commun. *2*[, 555–559.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref34)

<span id="page-15-2"></span>[Lissanu Deribe, Y., Shi, Y., Rai, K., Nezi, L., Amin, S.B., Wu, C.-C., Akdemir,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref35) [K.C., Mahdavi, M., Peng, Q., Chang, Q.E., et al. \(2016\). Truncating PREX2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref35) [mutations activate its GEF activity and alter gene expression regulation in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref35) [NRAS-mutant melanoma. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref35) *113*, E1296–E1305.

<span id="page-15-25"></span>[Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. \(2014\). Moderated estimation of fold](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref36) [change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref36) *15*, 550.

<span id="page-15-28"></span>[Lundquist, M.R., Storaska, A.J., Liu, T.C., Larsen, S.D., Evans, T., Neubig,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref37) [R.R., and Jaffrey, S.R. \(2014\). Redox modification of nuclear actin by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref37) [MICAL-2 regulates SRF signaling. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref37) *156*, 563–576.

<span id="page-15-11"></span>[de Magalhaes, J.P., Curado, J., and Church, G.M. \(2009\). Meta-analysis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref38) [age-related gene expression profiles identifies common signatures of aging.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref38) [Bioinformatics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref38) *25*, 875–881.

<span id="page-15-19"></span>[Marei, H., and Malliri, A. \(2017\). Rac1 in human diseases: the therapeutic po](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref39)[tential of targeting Rac1 signaling regulatory mechanisms. Small GTPases](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref39) *8*, [139–163](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref39).

<span id="page-15-7"></span>[Marino, S., Vooijs, M., van Der Gulden, H., Jonkers, J., and Berns, A. \(2000\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref40) [Induction of medulloblastomas in p53-null mutant mice by somatic inactivation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref40) [of Rb in the external granular layer cells of the cerebellum. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref40) *14*, [994–1004](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref40).

[McDonald, E.R., de Weck, A., Schlabach, M.R., Billy, E., Mavrakis, K.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref41) [Hoffman, G.R., Belur, D., Castelletti, D., Frias, E., Gampa, K., et al. \(2017\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref41) [Project DRIVE: a compendium of cancer dependencies and synthetic lethal re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref41)[lationships uncovered by large-scale, deep RNAi screening. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref41) *170*, 577– [586.e10.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref41)

[Miralles, F., Posern, G., Zaromytidou, A.-I., and Treisman, R. \(2003\). Actin dy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref42)[namics control SRF activity by regulation of its coactivator MAL. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref42) *113*, [329–342.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref42)

<span id="page-16-5"></span>[Ogrunc, M., Di Micco, R., Liontos, M., Bombardelli, L., Mione, M., Fumagalli,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref43) [M., Gorgoulis, V.G., and d'Adda di Fagagna, F. \(2014\). Oncogene-induced](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref43) [reactive oxygen species fuel hyperproliferation and DNA damage response](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref43) [activation. Cell Death Differ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref43) *21*, 998–1012.

<span id="page-16-7"></span>Seibler, J., Zevnik, B., Küter-Luks, B., Andreas, S., Kern, H., Hennek, T., Rode, [A., Heimann, C., Faust, N., Kauselmann, G., et al. \(2003\). Rapid generation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref44) [inducible mouse mutants. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref44) *31*, e12.

<span id="page-16-2"></span>[Sugihara, K., Nakatsuji, N., Nakamura, K., Nakao, K., Hashimoto, R., Otani, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref45) [Sakagami, H., Kondo, H., Nozawa, S., Aiba, A., et al. \(1998\). Rac1 is required](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref45) [for the formation of three germ layers during gastrulation. Oncogene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref45) *17*, [3427–3433](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref45).

[The Cancer Genome Atlas Network, Akbani, R., Akdemir, K.C., Aksoy, B.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref46) [Albert, M., Ally, A., Amin, S.B., Arachchi, H., Arora, A., Auman, J.T., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref46) [\(2015\). Genomic classification of cutaneous melanoma. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref46) *161*, 1681–1696.

<span id="page-16-3"></span>[Tsherniak, A., Vazquez, F., Montgomery, P.G., Weir, B.A., Kryukov, G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref47) [Cowley, G.S., Gill, S., Harrington, W.F., Pantel, S., Krill-Burger, J.M., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref47) [\(2017\). Defining a cancer dependency map. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref47) *170*, 564–570.e16.

<span id="page-16-4"></span>[Tsoi, J., Robert, L., Paraiso, K., Galvan, C., Sheu, K.M., Lay, J., Wong, D.J.L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref48) [Atefi, M., Shirazi, R., Wang, X., et al. \(2018\). Multi-stage differentiation defines](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref48) [melanoma subtypes with differential vulnerability to drug-induced iron-depen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref48)[dent oxidative stress. Cancer Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref48) *33*, 890–904.e5.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>[Viros, A., Sanchez-Laorden, B., Pedersen, M., Furney, S.J., Rae, J., Hogan, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref49) [Ejiama, S., Girotti, M.R., Cook, M., Dhomen, N., et al. \(2014\). Ultraviolet radia-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref49) [tion accelerates BRAF-driven melanomagenesis by targeting TP53. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref49) *511*[, 478–482](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref49).

<span id="page-16-1"></span>[Vu, H.L., Rosenbaum, S., Purwin, T.J., Davies, M.A., and Aplin, A.E. \(2015\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref50) [RAC1 P29S regulates PD-L1 expression in melanoma. Pigment Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref50) [Melanoma Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref50) *28*, 590–598.

[Wagle, N., Van Allen, E.M., Treacy, D.J., Frederick, D.T., Cooper, Z.A., Taylor-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref51)[Weiner, A., Rosenberg, M., Goetz, E.M., Sullivan, R.J., Farlow, D.N., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref51) [\(2014\). MAP kinase pathway alterations in BRAF-mutant melanoma patients](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref51) [with acquired resistance to combined RAF/MEK inhibition. Cancer Discov.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref51) *4*[, 61–68.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref51)

[Watson, I.R., Li, L., Cabeceiras, P.K., Mahdavi, M., Gutschner, T., Genovese,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref52) [G., Wang, G., Fang, Z., Tepper, J.M., Stemke-Hale, K., et al. \(2014\). The RAC1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref52) [P29S hotspot mutation in melanoma confers resistance to pharmacological in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref52)[hibition of RAF. Cancer Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref52) *74*, 4845–4852.

[Yu-Wai-Man, C., Spencer-Dene, B., Lee, R.M.H., Hutchings, K., Lisabeth,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref54) [E.M., Treisman, R., Bailly, M., Larsen, S.D., Neubig, R.R., and Khaw, P.T.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref54) [\(2017\). Local delivery of novel MRTF/SRF inhibitors prevents scar tissue for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref54)[mation in a preclinical model of fibrosis. Sci. Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref54) *7*, 518.

<span id="page-16-6"></span>[Yuan, T.L., Amzallag, A., Bagni, R., Yi, M., Afghani, S., Burgan, W., Fer, N.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref55) [Strathern, L.A., Powell, K., Smith, B., et al. \(2018\). Differential effector engage](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref55)[ment by oncogenic KRAS. Cell Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref55) *22*, 1889–1902.

<span id="page-16-8"></span>[Zhu, Y., Romero, M.I., Ghosh, P., Ye, Z., Charnay, P., Rushing, E.J., Marth,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref56) [J.D., and Parada, L.F. \(2001\). Ablation of NF1 function in neurons induces](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref56) [abnormal development of cerebral cortex and reactive gliosis in the brain.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref56) [Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1535-6108(19)30257-0/sref56) *15*, 859–876.

#### STAR+METHODS

#### <span id="page-17-0"></span>KEY RESOURCES TABLE





(*Continued on next page*)



#### <span id="page-19-0"></span>CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Julian Downward [\(Julian.Downward@crick.ac.uk\)](mailto:Julian.Downward@crick.ac.uk).

#### <span id="page-19-1"></span>EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

#### Transgenic Mice

All animal experiments were approved by the UK Home Office in concordance with UK and European Union law. Ethical approval was granted by the Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body of the Francis Crick Institute. All experiments conformed to the relevant regulatory standards.

The source of all the transgenic mouse strains used are listed in the [Key Resources Table](#page-17-0). The Rac1LSL-P29S mouse strain described here has been deposited at Jackson Laboratories as JAX stock number 033790 B6.Cg-Rac1<tm1Jdo>/J. Mice were kept and bred in the Biological Research Facility of the Cancer Research UK London Research Institute, which subsequently became the Francis Crick Institute. All strains were kept on a C57BL/6J background. Genotyping was outsourced to an automated genotyping company (Transnetyx). Mice were euthanized when the cumulative tumor diameter reached 15 mm or when mice displayed signs of ill health (weight loss, rapid breathing, hunched posture, piloerection, inactivity).

#### Cell Lines

Cell lines used are listed in the [Key Resources Table.](#page-17-0) Cells were cultured in a humidified incubator at  $37^{\circ}$ C with a controlled atmosphere of ambient air and 5% or 10%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . For all cells, growth medium was supplemented with L-glutamine (4 mM), penicillin (100 units/ml) and streptomycin (100 μg/ml). Cells were trypsinized using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA and cryopreserved in growth medium containing 10% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; v/v), unless otherwise stated.

The melan-a mouse melanocyte cell line ([Bennett et al., 1987](#page-14-4)) and our own immortalized mouse melanocyte cultures were grown in RPMI with 10% FCS and the mitogen 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) at 200 nM in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Three days before freezing and three days after thawing of melanocytes, growth medium was supplemented with 200 µM phenylthiourea (PTU) to inhibit pigmentation, which is thought to hinder recovery from cryopreservation ([Godwin et al., 2001\)](#page-15-20). Melanocytes were frozen in growth medium containing 7.5% DMSO.

WM3060 and WM1791C were cultured in MCDB153:Leibovitz L-15 (4:1,  $v/v$ ), 2% FCS, 1.68 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 5  $\mu$ g/ml insulin in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. YUHEF, YUSOC, YURIF and YUTOGS were cultured in OptiMEM with 5% FCS in 10% CO<sub>2</sub>. The following cell lines were cultured in DMEM with 10% FCS in 10%  $CO_2$ : SK-MEL-2, A2058, C32, A375, IGR-1, 293T, Phoenix-ECO, XB2 and MEFs. The following cell lines were cultured in RPMI with 10% FCS in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>: SK-MEL-5, LOX-IMVI, Colo-792. MCF10A cells were cultured in DMEM:F12 (1:1,  $v/v$ ) with 5% horse serum, 20 ng/ml EGF, 10  $\mu$ g/ml insulin and 100 ng/ml cholera toxin in 10% CO<sub>2</sub>. Finally, mouse melanoma cells were cultured in Advanced DMEM/F12 (ThermoFisher) with 5% FCS in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### <span id="page-20-0"></span>METHODS DETAILS

#### Molecular Cloning

For cloning of pBABE-RAC1 retroviral expression vectors, we used the pcDNA3.1(+)-2xMyc-HRAS<sup>G12V</sup> construct from the cDNA Resource Center (Missouri; catalogue number RASH00MNC0) as starting point. HRAS<sup>G12V</sup> was removed using NotI and XbaI restriction sites and replaced with murine RAC1<sup>WT</sup> cDNA, which was PCR amplified from the pGEX-2T-RAC1 expression vector (Addgene 55692; [Fritsch et al., 2013](#page-15-21)). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed to generate RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> and RAC1<sup>Q61L</sup> variants, followed by Sanger sequencing to confirm successful mutagenesis. The RAC1 sequences plus the N-terminal double Myc-tag were PCR amplified and inserted into the retroviral pBABE-hygro vector using BamHI and EcoRI restriction sites.

For generating the pLZRS-ER-RAC1 constructs, we used the pLZRS-ER-HRAS<sup>G12V</sup> construct (Addgene 21199) as starting point, which was a gifted by Dr. Paul Khavari (Stanford). The ER-HRAS $G12V$  sequence was sub-cloned into the pcDNA3.1(+) expression vector (Invitrogen) using BamHI and NotI restriction sites. Next, the majority of the linker plus HRAS<sup>G12V</sup> were cut out by restriction digestion using EcoRV and Notl. RAC1<sup>Q61L</sup> cDNA was PCR amplified from our pcDNA3.1(+)-2xMyc-RAC1<sup>Q61L</sup> expression construct (see above) and sub-cloned into the digested pcDNA3.1(+)-ER construct using the In-Fusion cloning system (Clontech). This produced a reading frame that starts with the murine  $ER\alpha$  domain containing the G525R mutation, followed by a single aspartic acid linker that connects to murine RAC1<sup>Q61</sup> from the second codon onwards. This construct was used for double site-directed mutagenesis (L61Q and P29S) using the QuickChange Lightning Multi-site Mutagenesis kit (Agilent). After confirming mutagenesis by Sanger sequencing, the ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> and ER-RAC1<sup>Q61L</sup> inserts were sub-cloned into pLZRS using BamHI and NotI restriction sites.

PCR amplifications were performed using Primestar HS polymerase (Takara) in combination with oligonucleotides synthesized by Sigma-Aldrich. DNA purification was performed using QIAquick Gel Extraction and PCR Purification kits from Qiagen. Restriction enzymes, CIP alkaline phosphatase and T4 ligase were from NEB. XL1-blue and XL-10 gold competent cells (both from Agilent) were used for transformations and purified DNA preparations were obtained using the Plasmid Miniprep and Maxiprep kits (Qiagen).

#### Isolation and Culture of Primary Mouse Melanocytes

We used the detailed protocol developed by others ([Godwin et al., 2001\)](#page-15-20). A variant of the mouse keratinocyte cell line XB2 that can grow without fibroblasts was provided by Dr. Dorothy Bennett (St George's, University of London). XB2 cells were expanded and treated with mitomycin C at 8 μg/ml to induce growth arrest. Growth-arrested XB2 cells were seeded in 6-well plates in RPMI with 10% FCS in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> one day before seeding melanocytes to act as 'feeders'. Neonatal mice aged 2-4 days were euthanized and tail tissue was collected for genotyping. The dorsal skin was sterilized in 70% ethanol, dissected off from the neck to 5 mm above the tail and transferred to ice-cold PBS. Skins were incubated in PBS with 0.5% trypsin and 1 mM EDTA at 37°C for approximately 90-120 minutes. Next, skins were transferred to PBS and the epidermis was split from the dermis using fine forceps and a dissection microscope. The epidermis was washed in PBS, transferred to PBS containing 0.025% trypsin and 500 µM EDTA and finely cut using a scalpel. Trypsin was inactivated using soybean trypsin inhibitor and the minced epidermis was mixed vigorously and seeded on top of the XB2 cells in RPMI with 10% FCS, 200 nM TPA and 200 pM cholera toxin. For the initial  $\sim$ 3 months, cultures were grown under these conditions and passaged onto fresh XB2 feeders every 1-2 weeks. Medium was replaced twice per week. Cultures were treated with 4OHT at 1  $µM$  from the first to second passage (9-15 days, depending on the growth rate) to specifically recombine the *Rac1*LSL-P29S allele and both *Trp53<sup>F</sup>* alleles in melanocytes and not in contaminating cells. Approximately three months after isolation, proliferation speed increased and cells were cultured without XB2 feeders or cholera toxin, as described in the cell lines section.

#### Isolation of MEFs

Homozygous *Rosa26-CreER* mice were interbred with heterozygous *Rac1*<sup>LSL-P29S</sup> mice in timed matings. Pregnant females were euthanized between E11.5 and E14.5 to obtain embryos. Heads were used for genotyping. MEFs were isolated and seeded in 6-well plates and cultured as described in the cell lines section. Experiments were performed from the second to fourth passage.

#### Isolation of Mouse Melanoma Cells

Tumor-bearing *Tyr-CreER*+/-*;Trp53*F/F*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*WT/WT and *Tyr-CreER*+/-*;Trp53*F/F*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice were euthanized and tumors were immediately dissected out of the carcass. The epidermis was removed by blunt dissection and deeper, homogenous tumor tissue was isolated, submerged in 70% ethanol for 5 seconds, rinsed in sterile PBS and placed in PBS on ice. The tumor was minced using a scalpel and transferred to 1 to 4 ml digestion solution: HBSS supplemented with 75 ng/ml Liberase TM, 75 ng/ml Liberase TH (both from Roche Applied Science) and 50 U/ml DNAse I (Invitrogen). Tumor tissue was digested at 37°C for 45 minutes to 1 hr in a shaking incubator at 400 rpm. Next, the suspension was diluted in 10 ml growth medium, mixed vigorously, spun down, resuspended and seeded in growth medium.

#### Retroviral Transduction

On the first day, Phoenix-ECO cells were transfected with plasmid DNA using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) in antibiotic-free medium. On the second day, medium was refreshed. On the third day, retroviral medium was collected, run through a 0.45 µm filter and either directly used for target cell infection or frozen on dry ice and stored at -80°C. Phoenix-ECO cells received fresh medium to enable a second virus harvest on the fourth day. MCF10A-ECO cells were infected on two consecutive days using retroviral medium:growth medium (1:1 or 2:1,  $v/v$ ), supplemented with polybrene (Merck Millipore) at 10 µg/ml. The morning following the second infection, medium was replaced with fresh growth medium. Two days after the second infection, cells were trypsinized and re-seeded in a larger vessel in growth medium supplemented with hygromycin B (ThermoFisher) at 200 µg/ml. Selection was maintained for four days, at which time mock-infected control cells were completely eradicated.

#### Lentiviral Transduction

293T cells were co-transfected with pLKO.1-puro shRNA plasmids and the lentiviral packaging plasmids psPAX2 and pMD2.G in antibiotic-free medium using Lipofectamine 2000. The shRNA sequences are listed in the [Key Resources Table](#page-17-0). The next day, medium was refreshed. On the second day post-transfection, lentiviral medium was collected, run through a 0.45  $\mu$ m filter, frozen on dry ice and stored at -80 $^{\circ}$ C. Fresh medium was added to the 293T cells and a second lentiviral harvest was performed on the following day. WM3060 target cells were infected with freshly thawed lentiviral medium:growth medium (1:7, v/v), supplemented with polybrene at 3 µg/ml. Two days post-infection, WM3060 cells were trypsinized and re-seeded in a larger vessel in growth medium supplemented with 2 µg/ml puromycin. Cells were selected in puromycin for two days, at which time mock-infected control cells were completely eradicated.

#### siRNA Transfection

siGENOME siRNAs (Dharmacon) were dissolved in siRNA resuspension buffer (Dharmacon) and stored at -80°C. On the day of use, siRNAs were thawed on ice and diluted in HBSS (ThermoFisher) to reach a concentration of 250 nM. Ten µl per well was dispensed onto 96-well plates (Corning or Nunc, ThermoFisher). This solution was mixed with 10 ul HBSS containing 0.1 ul (for mouse melanocytes) or 0.15 µl (for human melanoma cells) DharmaFECT 1 transfection reagent (Dharmacon). The transfection complex was incubated for 20-40 minutes before cells were seeded on top in a volume of 80 µl.

#### Chemical Inhibitors in Human Melanoma Cell Lines

Drugs and the suppliers from which they were obtained are listed in the [Key Resources Table](#page-17-0). For the drug treatments, (5Z)-7- Oxozeaenol, Avagacestat, NSC-23766, CK-869 and CK-666 were applied in an earlier version of our melanoma cell line panel. This panel contained five RAC1<sup>WT</sup> cell lines and five RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> cell lines. Specifically, cell lines A375, SK-MEL-5, YUHEF and YURIF were not used, as opposed to the full melanoma cell line panel (see [Figure S4I](#page-14-3)). Genotypes for the main melanoma driver genes were obtained from publicly available sequencing data from the COSMIC database (Wellcome Sanger Institute), the CCLE database (Broad) and publications ([Garman et al., 2017; Krauthammer et al., 2015\)](#page-15-22).

#### Viability Assays

For the crystal violet assay, cells were washed once with PBS before fixing and staining by submerging the monolayer in crystal violet solution (0.2% crystal violet, 2% ethanol in H<sub>2</sub>O) and gently agitating plates for approximately 30 minutes. The monolayer was washed five times using H<sub>2</sub>O and dried overnight. Wells were photographed on a flatbed scanner. For quantification, crystal violet was dissolved in 10% acetic acid and absorbance was measured at 595 nm using a plate reader.

For the CellTiter-Blue assay (Promega), cells were seeded in clear 96-well Nunc plates (ThermoFisher) and cultured in 100 µl medium. At the end of the experiment, 5 ul CellTiter-Blue reagent was added to each well and plates were returned to their incubator for 90 minutes. Fluorescence was measured using an EnVision plate reader (PerkinElmer) with an excitation wavelength of 560 nm and an emission wavelength of 590 nm. The average value of wells containing only medium was subtracted from values of wells containing cells.

For the CellTiter-Glo assay (Promega), cells were seeded in black, clear-bottom 96-well plates (Corning) and cultured in 100 ml medium. At the end of the experiment, 50 µl freshly dissolved CellTiter-Glo lysis buffer was added to each well using a multichannel pipet and plates were incubated at room temperature on a plate-shaker for twenty minutes. Bioluminescence was measured using an EnVision plate reader (PerkinElmer).

#### Apoptosis Assay

Cells were seeded in clear 96-well Nunc plates (ThermoFisher) and cultured in 100  $\mu$ l medium. Apoptosis induction was determined using a caspase 3/7 consensus site peptide (Z-DEVD) conjugated to rhodamine 110 (Invitrogen). 100 µl of apoptosis solution (containing 9 μg/ml peptide, 5 mM DTT, 0.1% CHAPS, 25 mM Hepes pH 7.3, 1 mM EDTA and 100 mM NaCl) was added to each well and incubated at room temperature for 5 hr. Fluorescence was measured using an EnVision plate reader (PerkinElmer) with an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 535 nm. Prior to the determination of apoptosis, relative cell viability of each well was measured using CellTiter-Blue assay (as described above).

#### F-actin Staining Assay

Cells were seeded in 8-well tissue culture-treated glass chamber slides (Falcon). Prior to fixation, monolayers were briefly rinsed twice with pre-warmed PBS and fixed with 3.8% paraformaldehyde (ThermoFisher) in PBS for 10 minutes. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 4 minutes, washed twice with PBS and pre-incubated with 1% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 30 minutes. F-actin was visualized with a Leica SP5 inverted confocal microscope following incubation of slides with approx. 3 nM Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin (ThermoFisher) in 1% BSA in PBS for 30 minutes, two washes in PBS and mounting with ProLong Antifade (ThermoFisher). Representative z stack images were taken under oil immersion with a 63x objective.

#### Sphere Formation Assay

Single cell suspensions were seeded in growth medium containing 0.3% agar on top of a layer of 0.6% agar in 6-well plates. One ml growth medium was put on top and refreshed every three days. Three weeks after cells were seeded, the growth medium on top of the agar layers was replaced with 0.1% Giemsa dye in glycerol:methanol (5:24, v/v). Colonies were stained under gentle agitation for 20 minutes. Next, agar was destained by washing five times with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  for approximately 30 minutes, followed by a sixth wash overnight. Plates were scanned using a flatbed scanner at 1200 dpi. Grayscale images were opened in ImageJ, a size and intensity threshold was set to distinguish colonies from background and colonies were counted automatically using the analyze particles function.

#### Rac1LSL-P29S Recombination Assay

Genomic DNA was isolated using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen) and the DNA concentration in the elute was estimated using a NanoDrop analyzer (ThermoFisher). Fifty ng DNA was used in a 50 µl PCR reaction using the PfuTurbo DNA polymerase (Agilent) in combination with Rac1-FP5 and Rac1-RP5 primers (sequences listed in the [Key Resources Table](#page-17-0)), which flank the LSL cassette. We used the following PCR program:  $98^{\circ}$ C for 1'  $\rightarrow$   $98^{\circ}$ C for 30", 66°C for 30", 72°C for 90" (35 cycles)  $\rightarrow$  72°C for 10'. The product was run on a 1.2% agarose gel to separate the 453 bp band corresponding to *Rac1*WT from the recombined *Rac1*Lox-P29S band, which is 34 bp larger as a consequence of the single LoxP motif.

#### Quantitative PCR

Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) and eluted in 30 µl H<sub>2</sub>O. RNA concentrations were estimated using a NanoDrop analyzer (ThermoFisher). One µg purified RNA was reverse transcribed using the Maxima first strand cDNA synthesis kit (ThermoFisher) and diluted to a volume of one ml H<sub>2</sub>O. Four µl of this solution was used in a ten µl qPCR reaction using the Fast SYBR Green FAST Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in combination with QuantiTect primer pairs (Qiagen). Reactions were carried out in 384-well plates using the QuantStudio 7 system (Applied Biosystems). The  $\Delta\Delta Ct$  method was used to calculate relative expression values, which were normalized using the expression of housekeeping genes *Gapdh* and *Tbp*.

#### Immunoblotting

Cells were seeded in 6-well plates. At the end of the experiment, plates were placed on ice, medium was aspirated and the monolayer washed twice with ice-cold PBS before adding 100-150 µl lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 50 mM HEPES at pH 7.4, 0.15 M NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EGTA, 0.1M NaF, 10 mM Na pyrophosphate, 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, 10% glycerol, PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor cocktail and EDTA-free cOmplete protease inhibitor cocktail, both added fresh to the lysis buffer and from Roche Applied Science). Monolayers were incubated on ice in lysis buffer for 10-20 minutes and tilted every two minutes, before scraping with a rubber policeman and collection of the lysate in pre-cooled microcentrifuge tubes on ice. For tumors, tissue was isolated directly after sacrificing animals. The epidermis was dissected off to ensure pure tumor tissue, which was snap-frozen in liquid  $N<sub>2</sub>$  and stored at -80°C. Frozen tumor tissue was then submerged in lysis buffer and immediately homogenized with a microfuge pestle on ice. Lysates were vortexed for five seconds and spun in a table-top centrifuge at  $4^{\circ}$ C for ten minutes at 21,000 g. The supernatant was transferred to a new microcentrifuge tube on ice and the pellet was discarded. Protein concentrations were estimated using the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad) with bovine serum albumin as a standard. NuPAGE LDS lysis buffer (ThermoFisher) was added and lysates briefly vortexed before incubation at 72°C for eight minutes. Lysates were either directly used for SDS-PAGE or stored at -80°C and re-heated directly

before use. Equal amounts of protein (generally 15-25 µg) were loaded onto NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris protein gels (ThermoFisher) and SDS-PAGE was performed using Bio-Rad equipment according to the Cell Signaling Technologies protocol (available on their website). Immunoblots were developed using either the Odyssey Imaging System (LI-COR) or using secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (GE Healthcare), before chemiluminescent visualization using standard film or the ImageQuant LAS 4000 or the Amersham Imager 600 (both from GE Healthcare). Primary antibodies are listed in the [Key Resources Table.](#page-17-0)

#### PAK1 Binding Domain Pull-Down Assay

The RAC1 activity assay kit from Merck Millipore was used. Cells were seeded in 10 cm dishes (Corning). Growth medium was replaced with growth factor-reduced medium 24 hr before lysis. For MCF10A cells, this is 1% horse serum without addition of EGF or insulin. For melan-a, this is growth medium without addition of TPA. At the end of the experiment, monolayers were washed twice with ice-cold PBS, placed on ice and lysed in MLB supplemented with phosphatase and protease inhibitor cocktails (Roche Applied Science). Monolayers were scraped off using a rubber policeman and collected in a pre-cooled microcentrifuge tube on ice. The PAK1 binding domain pull-down assay was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol and immunoblotting was performed as described above.

#### Reverse-Phase Protein Array

Cells were seeded in 6-well plates in full medium. Twenty-four hr before lysis, full medium was aspirated, the monolayer washed with PBS and replaced with medium lacking TPA. To activate RAC1, ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanocytes were treated with 4OHT at 500 nM for 40 hr. Protein lysates (100 µl) were collected and protein concentrations estimated using the Bradford assay. Lysis buffer was added to reach a final concentration of 1.33 μg/ml. Next, 4X SDS sample buffer was added (40% Glycerol, 8% SDS, 250 mM Tris-HCL at pH 6.8, plus 10% 2-mercaptoethanol added fresh). Lysates were vortexed, incubated for 5 minutes at  $95^{\circ}$ C and stored at -80 $^{\circ}$ C. The experiment was performed three times on a different day to obtain three independent replicates. Lysates were shipped on dry ice to the RPPA Core Facility at the MD Anderson Cancer Center, where the reverse-phase protein array (RPPA) was performed according to their standardized protocol. In brief, lysates were serially diluted, arrayed on slides with a 2470 Microarray Printer (Aushon Biosystems) and probed with 300 validated (phospho-)antibodies and biotinylated secondary antibodies. Antibody binding was measured colorimetrically using tyramide dye. Data were analyzed using Array-Pro Analyzer software (MediaCybernetics) and the SuperCurve GUI (MD Anderson). To correct for total protein loading, values for individual antibodies were normalized using values from the entire antibody panel.

#### RNA-seq of Melanocytes with ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>

Cells were cultured in melanocyte growth medium. Twenty-four hr before lysis, monolayers were washed once with PBS and medium was changed to medium lacking TPA. Cells were lysed and total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). The experiment was performed three times on a different day to obtain three independent replicates. RNA integrity was verified using the RNA 6000 Nano Kit in combination with the 2100 Bioanalyzer (both from Agilent). Next, mRNA libraries were prepared with the KAPA mRNA Hyper Prep kit (Roche Applied Science) and sequenced as 9-plex pools on the HiSeq 4000 (Illumina). Data were analyzed as described in the quantification and statistical analysis section.

#### RNA-seq of Melanocytes with Endogenous RAC1P29S

Mouse melanocytes were isolated and cultured as described in above. Three independent melanocyte cultures from Tyr-CreER<sup>+/-</sup>; *Trp53*F/F*;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice were used and compared to two independent cultures from *Tyr-CreER*+/-*;Trp53*F/F*;Rac1*WT/WT mice. Complete recombination of the *Rac1*LSL-P29S and *Trp53*<sup>F</sup> alleles was confirmed beforehand. The spontaneously immortalized C57BL/ 6J melanocyte cell line melan-a ([Bennett et al., 1987\)](#page-14-4) was used as the third independent replicate for the *Rac1*WT/WT group. 24 hr prior to lysis, cell monolayers were washed with PBS and medium was changed to growth medium lacking TPA. RNA was isolated and sequenced as described above and data were analyzed as described in the quantification and statistical analysis section.

#### RNA-seq of Tumor Lysates

Animals were euthanized and tumors harvested. The epidermis was dissected off and a piece of central homogenous tumor tissue weighing approximately 50 mg was isolated and snap-frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub>. Tissue was stored at -80°C prior to lysis by submersion in RLT buffer (Qiagen), directly followed by homogenization in a microcentrifuge tube using a pestle. RNA was isolated and sequenced as described above and data were analyzed as described in the quantification and statistical analysis section.

#### Fluorescence Flow Cytometric Analysis

Animals were euthanized and the spleen and mesenteric lymph nodes were collected in ice-cold PBS. Tissue was crushed on top of a 70 μm cell strainer with a plunger from a 5 ml syringe and washed through using ice-cold PBS. Cells were spun down at 1500 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended in FCS:DMSO (9:1, v/v). Cells were stored in cryovials in liquid N<sub>2</sub> until the day of analysis. Before analysis, cells were thawed, washed in ice-cold FACS buffer (PBS with 2% FCS), resuspended in FACS buffer on ice and counted using a Vi-CELL Counter (Beckman Coulter). Maximum 10<sup>6</sup> cells were allocated per well in 200 µl FACS buffer. Cells were washed once, spun down at 2000 rpm for two minutes and stained with 50 µl antibody cocktail. Antibodies were diluted 1:200 and are listed in the [Key Resources Table](#page-17-0). Zombie NIR Live/Dead Dye (Biolegend) was

used to stain for live cells. Cells were stained for 30 minutes at 4°C and washed twice in FACS Buffer at 2000 rpm for two minutes at 4°C. Samples were kept on ice until acquisition on a BD LSRFortessa analyzer (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed using FlowJo 10.

#### RAC1 Co-dependencies in Human Cancer Cells

Complete gene-level dependency score datasets were obtained from the Project Achilles webportal [\(Tsherniak et al., 2017\)](#page-16-3) and directly from Dr. Tobias Schmelzle (Novartis; ATARiS values; [McDonald et al., 2017\)](#page-15-7). We identified genes correlating with RAC1 dependency scores by Spearman's correlation test. Resulting *p* values were corrected for multiple testing using Benjamini-Hochberg to obtain an FDR value (threshold 0.05).

#### Mutational Landscape of RAC1P29S/L Human Melanoma

Whole exome sequencing data of 537 patient melanomas from four large studies [\(Hodis et al., 2012; Hugo et al., 2016; Krauthammer](#page-15-1) [et al., 2012; The Cancer Genome Atlas Network et al., 2015\)](#page-15-1) was extracted from cBioPortal. In addition, sequencing data from 607 melanoma cases was obtained from AACR Project GENIE v3.0.0 [\(AACR Project GENIE Consortium, 2017](#page-14-5)), also via cBioPortal. Finally, whole exome sequencing data from eight more *RAC1*P29S cases was mined directly from publications ([Krauthammer](#page-15-23) [et al., 2015; Wagle et al., 2014](#page-15-23)) and one *RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>* case from the CCLE database (Broad). For the mutation rate analysis of the total cutaneous melanoma cohort, only the complete datasets from cBioPortal could be used (n = 1144). Tendency towards co-occurrence of two mutations within the same tumor was tested via cBioPortal using log odds ratio testing in combination with Fisher's exact test. For this purpose, the complete cutaneous melanoma collection on cBioPortal (n = 709) and the AACR Project GENIE  $v3.0.0$  database ( $n = 1868$ ) were applied independently.

#### Publicly-Available Survival Data

Survival data of the TCGA melanoma cohort were obtained from cBioPortal. Relapse-free survival refers to the time from the initial melanoma diagnosis to relapse. Melanoma tissue for sequencing was not necessarily procured at diagnosis.

#### Mouse Embryo Analysis

*PGK-Cre*+/- females were set up with *Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT males in timed matings. Females were euthanized and embryos quickly dissected out of the uterus. The yolk sac was used for genotyping. At E8.5, embryos were considered viable if there were no gross morphological or size abnormalities. From E9.5 onwards, embryos were considered viable if a heartbeat was observed. Embryos were fixed in neutral buffered formalin (10%) for 24 hr and transferred to 70% ethanol for photography.

#### Systemic Tamoxifen Treatment

Tamoxifen was dissolved in ethanol at 55°C at 300 mg/ml, aliquoted and stored at -80°C. At the day of use, aliquots were mixed with 9 parts (v/v) sunflower oil and gently shaken at 55°C until a homogenous solution was obtained. Adult mice were treated with 150 mg/kg tamoxifen by oral gavage on three instances over the course of five days, with a rest day in between treatments. Both groups contained similar numbers of male and female mice.

#### Topical 4OHT Treatment

A patch on the central-lower dorsal skin of approximately 3 x 2 cm was shaven the day before treatment. On the day of treatment, 4OHT was freshly dissolved in DMSO at 50 mg/ml. Fifteen µl 4OHT solution was applied to the shaven skin with a pipette and dispersed using a paintbrush. In this way, animals were treated for three times over the course of seven days, with at least one rest day in between treatments. Adult male and female mice were used and similarly represented across groups.

#### **Histology**

Tissues were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin shortly after euthanasia. After 24 to 48 hr, fixed tissues were transferred to 70% ethanol. Next, tissues were embedded in paraffin and 4  $\mu$ m sections were mounted on slides. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was performed automatically using a Tissue-Tek Prisma 6132 (Sakura). For S100, SOX10 and B220 immunohistochemistry, antigen retrieval was performed by microwaving in citrate buffer (pH 6.0). Slides were incubated with primary antibodies for 1 hr at room temperature in 1:75, 1:50 and 1:200 dilutions, respectively. For p16 and p27, antigen retrieval was performed by microwaving in a 50 mM Tris, 2 mM EDTA buffer (pH 9.0). Slides were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at a 1:250 dilution for p16 and a 1:50 dilution for p27. Primary antibodies used are listed in the [Key Resources Table](#page-17-0).

*In situ* hybridization was performed using the RNAscope assay according to manufacturer's instructions (ACDBio). Tissues were fixed, embedded in paraffin, sectioned and then stained using the RNAscope 2.5 LS Reagent Kit (red) in combination with the BOND RX automated staining system (Leica Biosystems). For all samples, probes targeting *Actr3* and *Cyr61* were applied in combination with *PPIB* as a positive control and *DapB* as a negative control.

#### Mouse Drug Treatment

PLX4720 was a gift from Plexxicon. The drug was incorporated into food pellets at 200 ppm (Research Diets, Inc.), resembling clinical exposure in melanoma patients treated with vemurafenib. The same diet was prepared without PLX4720 for control purposes.

*Tyr-CreER*+/-*;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*WT/WT were treated with 4OHT 7 weeks before *Tyr-CreER*+/-*;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*; Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice to ensure both groups developed tumors and were ready for treatment at the same time. When the majority of mice had at least one tumor of several mm, regular chow was replaced with either the PLX4720 diet or the control diet. Tumors were measured every five days using a caliper. Only tumors >2 mm were considered. CCG-257081 SRF/MRTF inhibitor was given to mice by oral gavage at a dose of 100 mg/kg q.d. The drug was dissolved in a vehicle of 30% PEG, 5% DMSO in phosphate buffered Saline.

#### <span id="page-25-0"></span>QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

#### Statistical Analysis

For statistical comparison of two groups, F test was performed to test for equality of variance. If variance of groups was significantly different, the Mann-Whitney test was used. If not, an unpaired two-tailed t-test was used. To correct for a small number of repeated tests, Holm-Sidak correction was used. To correct for a large number of multiple tests, we applied Benjamini and Hochberg correction with the FDR Q value at 5%. To compare two growth curves, two-way ANOVA was performed. To compare read counts of individual genes in mRNA-seq datasets of two groups, Wald test was used with a Benjamini and Hochberg correction with an FDR Q value of 5%. Chi-square test was used to statistically assess differences between expected and observed genotypes. To compare two survival curves, the Mantel-Cox log-rank test was used. Statistical analyses were performed in Prism 7 (GraphPad Software) or in R.

Unless otherwise stated, on bar graphs and line graphs, values represent means  $\pm$  SD for  $n = 3$  biological replicates and are representative of at least three independently repeated experiments.

#### RNA Sequencing Analysis

Raw reads were quality and adapter trimmed using cutadapt-1.9.1 prior to alignment. Reads were then aligned and quantified using RSEM-1.2.31/STAR-2.5.2 [\(Dobin et al., 2013; Li and Dewey, 2011](#page-15-24)) against the mouse genome GRCm38 and annotation release 86, both from Ensembl. Differential gene expression analysis was performed in R using the DESeq2 package [\(Love et al., 2014](#page-15-25)). Differential genes were selected using a 0.05 false-discovery rate (FDR) threshold. For gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA), we used the Java desktop application in combination with pre-ranked gene expression lists that were ordered using the Wald statistic. To test for enrichment of human gene sets from MSigDB (Broad) in our mouse gene expression data, mouse gene identifiers were translated to homologous human gene identifiers using the HomoloGene database (NCBI). If there was no human homolog in the database, the gene was removed from the analysis. SRF/MRTF/TCF target gene sets from [Esnault et al. \(2014\)](#page-15-5) and [Gualdrini](#page-15-26) [et al. \(2016\)](#page-15-26) were murine, hence translation was not required. Due to the great sequencing depth and cellular heterogeneity of the tumor microenvironment, reads from almost the entire exome were detected in tumor lysates (n = 19750 genes). Many genes were expressed at very low levels in a single or minority of samples. To focus on biologically relevant genes, our analyses of tumor lysates only included genes with a mean normalized TPM value  $\geq 1$  in both *Rac1<sup>WT/WT</sup>* and *Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S/WT* groups (n = 14460 genes</sup> included in the analysis). Gene expression signatures for senescence ([Fridman and Tainsky, 2008\)](#page-15-27), ageing [\(de Magalhaes et al., 2009\)](#page-15-28) and E2F targets were obtained from MSigDB (Broad). The SASP signature was obtained from a publication ([Coppe et al., 2010\)](#page-15-29).

#### <span id="page-25-1"></span>DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

Messenger RNA sequencing data was deposited to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository (identifiers GEO: GSE118349, GSE118343, GSE118344). R scripts used to analyse data are available at [https://github.com/juliandownward.](https://github.com/juliandownward)

Cancer Cell, Volume 36

### Supplemental Information

### RAC1P29S Induces a Mesenchymal Phenotypic Switch

### via Serum Response Factor to Promote Melanoma

### Development and Therapy Resistance

Daniël A. Lionarons, David C. Hancock, Sareena Rana, Philip East, Christopher Moore, Miguel M. Murillo, Joana Carvalho, Bradley Spencer-Dene, Eleanor Herbert, Gordon Stamp, Djamil Damry, Dinis P. Calado, Ian Rosewell, Ralph Fritsch, Richard R. Neubig, Miriam Molina-Arcas, and Julian Downward



### **Figure S1. Effect of activation of RAC1P29S on survival of epithelial cells and melanocytes.**

Related to Figure 1.

(A) Stable expression of Myc epitope tagged-RAC1 in MCF10A cells following retroviral transduction.

(B) The effect of RAC1P29S on MCF10A normal immortalized breast epithelial cell proliferation in full medium. Cells were seeded at equal densities and stained with crystal violet after 5 days. Representative wells are shown. Crystal violet was dissolved and absorbance measured ( $n = 7$  independent experiments; n.s., not statistically significant for t-test).

(C) The effect of RAC1P29S on MCF10A cell proliferation in growth factor-reduced medium, which contained 1% horse serum without addition of EGF or insulin. Cells were seeded at equal densities and stained with crystal violet after 1 week.

Representative wells are shown. Crystal violet was dissolved and absorbance measured (n = 3 independent experiments, p value of t-test shown).

(D) Sphere formation in soft agar of MCF10A cells with RAC1P29S. Equal densities of cells were seeded in soft agar and grown for 3 weeks. Spheres were stained and counted automatically (n = 3 independent experiments, p value of t-test shown). (E) Signaling in MCF10A cells with ER-RAC1P29S and ER-RAC1Q61L . Twenty-four hr before lysis, cells were placed in growth factor-reduced medium, which contained 1% horse serum without addition of EGF or insulin.

(F) MAPK signaling in melan-a melanocytes with ER-RAC1P29S, as determined by immunoblotting. Phospho-PAK1/2 is included to demonstrate activation of ER-RAC1P29S.

(G) Direct comparison of protein expression of activated ER-RAC1P29S with activated ER-RAC1<sup>Q61L</sup>. MCF10A cells were cultured in growth factor-reduced medium and treated with 4OHT at 500 nM before immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies. (H) Fluorescence confocal microscopy using phalloidin to stain for polymerized actin in melan-a melanocytes with ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> treated with 500 nM 4OHT for 48 hr.

(I) Proliferation of melan-a mouse melanocytes with active ER-RAC1P29S grown in full medium for 3 days. Viability was quantified using CellTiter-Blue (n = 3 biological replicates).

(J) Proliferation of melan-a mouse melanocytes with activated ER-RAC1P29S cultured in ultra-low adhesion plates in full medium, with or without 4OHT at 500 nM. After 3-5 days, viability was quantified using CellTiter-Glo (n = 7 biological replicates from 2 independent experiments).

Wherever used, error bars represent means ± SD.



**Figure S2. Effect of acute activation of RAC1P29S in melanocytes on induction of AKT and SRF/MRTF effector pathways.**

Related to Figure 2.

(A) Phospho-AKT (S473) levels normalized using pan-AKT as determined by the reverse-phase protein array. Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3 independent experiments).

(B) Role of RAC1 in activation of the AKT pathway in WM3060, a human melanoma cell line with endogenous RAC1P29S. RAC1 was depleted with shRNAs integrated into the genome using the lentiviral pLKO vector. Seven days post-infection, cells were lysed for immunoblotting.

(C) Effect of activation of ER-RAC1P29S on the MAPK pathway in melanocytes. Reversephase protein array data shown, bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3 independent experiments).

(D) Schematic of overlap between MRTF and TCF target gene sets induced by serum (Esnault et al., 2014).

(E) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) analysis using MRTF and TCF target gene sets in combination with RNA-seq data from ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melan-a melanocytes. The OVERLAP\_MRTF\_TCF gene set represents the overlap between

MRTF\_ESNAULT\_DIRECT and TCF\_ESNAULT\_ALL gene sets. It was not possible to test overlap using MRTF\_ESNAULT\_ALL gene set because that leaves just 8 unique genes in TCF\_ESNAULT\_ALL.

(F) GSEA plots for selected gene sets from the GSEA analysis presented in panel H.

(G) Schematic of overlap between MRTF target genes induced by serum (Esnault et al., 2014) and TCF target genes induced by TPA treatment (Gualdrini et al., 2016).

(H) GSEA analysis using MRTF and TCF target gene sets in combination with RNA-seq data from melan-a ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> melanocytes. The OVERLAP\_MRTF\_TCF gene set represents the overlap between MRTF\_ESNAULT\_ALL and TCF\_GUALDRINI\_ALL gene sets.

(I) Effect of activation of ER-RAC1P29S on the SRF/MRTF targets *Snai2* and *Jun*, which encode transcription factors involved in EMT. Normalized RNA-seq reads shown. Bars represent means ± SD of 3 independent experiments.

(J) Role of SRF/MRTF in induction of *Snai2* and *Jun* gene expression by ER-RAC1P29S. Cells were treated with 5-10 µM CCG-1423 or CCG-203971 one hour before 4OHT (1 µM), which was maintained for 48 hr. Twenty-four hr before lysis, cells were starved of TPA. mRNA levels were determined using qPCR and normalized to *Gapdh* expression. Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3 independent experiments).

(K) Effect of treatment with SRF/MRTF pathway inhibitors on mesenchymal marker induction by ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Cells were pre-treated with 5 or 10  $\mu$ M CCG-1423 one hour before 4OHT treatment (1  $\mu$ M), which was maintained for 48 hr. Twenty-four hr before lysis, cells were starved of TPA. Immunoblotting was performed using the indicated antibodies.

(L) Effect of genetic depletion of MRTF-A/B (Mkl1/2) on gene expression of EMT transcription factors and mesenchymal marker in melanocytes with activated ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Cells were cultured in full medium and treated with 1  $\mu$ M 4OHT and transfected with the indicated siRNAs for 48 hr. Twenty-four hr before lysis, cells were starved of TPA. mRNA levels were determined using qPCR; bars represent means ± SD (n = 2 independent experiments).

(M) Effect of depletion of transcription factors involved in EMT on survival ability of melanocytes with activated RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Viability of melanocytes with ER-RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> in growth factor-reduced medium, which contained 0.25% FCS without TPA. Cells were seeded treated with 1  $\mu$ M 4OHT and transfected with the indicated siRNAs. Seventytwo hr post-transfection, viability was quantified using CellTiter-Blue. Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3 independent experiments); t-test versus RISC-free was used with Holm-Sidak correction for multiple testing; \*\*\*, p < 0.001.

(N) Transcription factor target enrichment analysis of gene expression changes in melanocytes 40 hr after activation of ER-RAC1P29S. GSEA was performed using the transcription factor target gene sets from the MSigDB database (Broad) on gene expression changes in ER-RAC1P29S melanocytes treated for 40 hr with 4OHT compared to 0 hr control. NES, normalized enrichment score; genes (n), number of genes in the gene set also present in the expression data.

(O) Effect of inhibition of PAK on gene expression of SRF/MRTF targets and mesenchymal markers downstream of ER-RAC1P29S. Cells were cultured in full medium and treated with 5  $\mu$ M CCG-203971 or 1  $\mu$ M G-5555 one hour before 4OHT treatment  $(1 \mu M)$ , which was maintained for 48 hr. Twenty-four hr before lysis, cells were starved of TPA. mRNA levels were determined using qPCR; bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3 independent experiments).



### **Figure S3. Effect of endogenous RAC1P29S on activation of AKT and SRF/MRTF in melanocytes and induction of mesenchymal phenotype.**

Related to Figure 3.

(A) Breeding scheme and genotype table indicating developmental effect of the homozygous Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S</sup> allele. Absolute numbers of genotyped offspring are shown between parentheses. Chi-square test was used to statistically assess differences between expected and observed genotypes.

(B) Breeding scheme and genotype table indicating developmental effect of the heterozygous Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S</sup> allele. Absolute numbers of genotyped offspring are shown

between parentheses. Chi-square test was used to statistically assess differences between expected and observed genotypes.

(C) Breeding scheme and recombination of the *Rac1*LSL-P29S allele in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). MEFs were isolated and recombined using daily refreshed 4OHT at 1 µM. Genomic DNA was isolated and used in the recombination PCR assay.

(D) Breeding scheme and recombination of Rac1LSL-P29S allele in melanocytes from neonatal mice. Melanocytes were isolated and recombined between the first and second passage using  $4OHT (1 \mu M)$ . Genomic DNA was subjected to a recombination PCR assay and mRNA was sequenced to obtain read counts of *Rac1P29* versus *Rac1P29S*.

(E) Phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and protein expression of EMT markers in melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Immunoblot of 3 independent cultures per genotype is shown.

(F) Pathway enrichment analysis of gene expression differences in melanocytes with endogenous RAC1P29S versus RAC1WT. RNA from independent melanocyte cultures was sequenced (n = 3 per genotype). Overlap was calculated between genes upregulated in RAC1P29S melanocytes (log2 fold-change > 4 and adjusted p value < 0.05, n = 799 genes) and the curated "hallmark" gene set collection from the MSigDB database (Broad).

(G) Normalized mRNA read counts of EMT markers in melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3 independent melanocyte cultures per group). For statistical comparison of groups, Wald test was applied in combination with Benjamini and Hochberg correction.

(H) Effect of inhibition of the SRF/MRTF pathway on expression of the mesenchymal markers vimentin and N-cadherin in melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Cells were treated with 25  $\mu$ M CCG-203971 for 24 hr, lysed and processed for immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies.

























I



### **Figure S4. Discovery of AKT and MRTF dependencies in cells with endogenous RAC1P29S.**

Related to Figure 4.

(A) Population doublings of independent melanocyte cultures from *Rac1*WT/WT and *Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice crossed with *Tyr-CreER*+/- ;*Trp53*F/F mice. Cultures were treated with 4OHT at 1  $\mu$ M from the first to second passage (9-15 days) and counted at every passage with the Countess Automated Cell Counter (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Twoway ANOVA was used to compare mean growth curves, with the p value for the genotype effect indicated.

(B) Clonogenicity of melanocytes with endogenous RAC1P29S. Fifteen-hundred cells per well were seeded onto 6-well plates and cultured in growth medium, refreshed after 3 days. Seven days post-seeding, cells were fixed and stained with crystal violet. Representative wells from 3 independent melanocyte cultures per genotype are shown. (C) Induction of apoptosis in melanocytes with endogenous RAC1P29S exposed to ultralow adhesion and low serum. Cells were cultured in 0.25% FCS without TPA and plated onto ultra-low adhesion plates. Apoptosis was normalized to total cell viability and values at 48 hr were compared to values shortly after seeding. Bars represent means  $\pm$ SD (n = 2-3 cultures per genotype, each assayed in 3 independent experiments). (D) Genetic knock-down efficiency in mouse melanocytes. Three cultures with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> and 1 RAC1<sup>WT</sup> culture were transfected with an Mkl2 siRNA pool. Two days post-transfection, mRNA expression was determined using qPCR. Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 2 experimental replicates).

(E) Morphological effects of chemical SRF/MRTF pathway inhibition in mouse melanocytes with endogenous RAC1P29S. Cells were cultured in growth medium and treated with vehicle (DMSO) or CCG-203971 at 10 µM for 48 hr. Representative light microscopy photographs are shown.

(F) Effect of depletion of transcription factors involved in EMT on survival ability of melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs and cultured in growth factor-reduced medium, which contained 0.25% FCS without TPA. Seventy-two hr post-transfection, viability was quantified using CellTiter-Blue. Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3 independent cell cultures, each value is a mean from 3 independent experiments); t-test versus RISC-free was used with Holm-Sidak correction for multiple testing; \*, p < 0.05.

(G) Co-dependencies in human cancer cell lines dependent on RAC1, studied using publicly available shRNA screening data of human cancer cell lines (Tsherniak et al., 2017). Cell lines were part of the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE). Top left figure: for each gene in the library ( $n = 17098$  genes, average  $\sim$ 6 shRNAs/gene), correlation with RAC1 was tested with Spearman's rho, using dependency scores of different cell lines (n = 501) as data points. Bottom left figure: correlation of RAC1 and NCKAP1 dependency scores is shown as an example, where each dot represents a cell line. The blue line is a linear regression fit with the grey band representing the 95% confidence interval. Table: the top 20 RAC1 co-dependencies.

(H) The analysis presented in panel F was repeated using an independent screening dataset of human cancer cell lines (McDonald et al., 2017). These screens were

performed on 383 cell lines also part of the CCLE, using a shRNA library targeting 7837 genes (average 20 shRNAs/gene).

(I) Table of human melanoma cell line panel with endogenous RAC1 $P29S$  and RAC1 $WT$ . Genotypes for *RAC1*, *BRAF*, *NRAS* and *NF1* are shown.

(J) Comparison of human melanoma cell lines with  $RAC1<sup>WT</sup>$  or  $RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>$  response to inhibition of the pro-survival Bcl-2 family with obatoclax. Viability was determined using CellTiter-Glo and normalized to DMSO vehicle. Values represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 7 cell lines per genotype, for each cell line the mean from 2 independent experiments was used). Two-way ANOVA was used to compare curves, with the p value for the genotype effect indicated. Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons was performed to produce FDR *q* values.

 $(K)$  Effect of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> on induction of apoptosis by the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family inhibitors obatoclax and navitoclax, and the DNA damaging agents topotecan and etoposide. Mouse melanocytes with endogenous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> or RAC1<sup>WT</sup> were cultured in growth medium and treated with DMSO vehicle, obatoclax at 500 nM, navitoclax at 5 µM, topotecan at 625 nM or etoposide at 1250 nM. Three days after treatment, apoptosis was measured and normalized to total cell viability. Values represent means ± SD (n = 2-3 melanocyte cultures per genotype, for each cell line the mean from 2-3 independent experiments was used).

(L) Effect on RAC1P29S-induced protection against apoptosis of inhibition of the SRF/MRTF and AKT pathways. Mouse melanocytes with endogenous RAC1P29S or RAC1 $^{WT}$  were cultured in growth medium and treated with obatoclax at 500 nM in combination with DMSO vehicle, the PAK inhibitor G-5555 at  $2 \mu$ M, the AKT inhibitor MK-2206 at 2 µM or the SRF/MRTF pathway inhibitor CCG-203971 at 10 µM. Three days after treatment, apoptosis was measured and normalized to total cell viability. Because of its transcriptional mechanism of action, cells were pre-treated with CCG-203971 for 3 days before inducing apoptosis with obatoclax. Values represent means ± SD (n = 2-3 melanocyte cultures per genotype).



### **Figure S5. Effect of ubiquitous expression of RAC1P29S** *in vivo* **on B cell lymphoma formation.**

Related to Figure 5.

(A) Table demonstrating effects on embryonic when RAC1P29S is expressed in the mouse germline by breeding *PGK-Cre* females with males carrying the Rac1<sup>LSL-P29S</sup>. Live pups were genotyped at weaning age for this analysis. We did not observe abnormalities in the survival of neonatal mice. The p values from Chi-square tests are indicated.

(B) Recombination PCR assay using genomic DNA from various tissues of two *Rosa26- CreER*+/- *;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice that were sacrificed 2 weeks after starting treatment of tamoxifen per oral gavage. For control purposes we included genomic DNA from mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) recombined in vitro.

(C) Recombination PCR assay using genomic DNA from various tissues of two *Rosa26- CreER*+/- *;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice that were sacrificed 6 months after starting treatment of tamoxifen per oral gavage.

(D) Effect on weight gain in mice with ubiquitous RAC1P29S. Weight curves following tamoxifen treatment are shown next to a representative photo of littermates with *Rosa26CreER*+/- *;Rac1*WT/WT (WT) or *Rosa26CreER*+/- *;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT (P29S) genotype. Values represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 7-17 mice). Two-way ANOVA was used to compare curves, with the p value for the genotype effect indicated.

(E) Effect on body weight of *Rosa26CreER*+/- *;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice after treatment with tamoxifen. Values represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 8-20 mice). To permit comparison of mice with different ages and sex, animals were pooled in sex-specific 6-week age groups for normalization. Mann-Whitney testing was used to compare genotypes; n.s., not statistically significant.

(F) Frequency of different tumor types found in ageing mice with ubiquitous RAC1P29S. (G) Effect on transformation of the B cell compartment (B220<sup>+</sup> ;CD19<sup>+</sup> ) in mesenteric lymphoma of mice with ubiquitous RAC1P29S. Left panel: representative flow cytometric plots of B cells from a mesenteric lymph node of a *Rosa26-CreER*+/- *;Rac1*WT/WT mouse compared to B cells from a mesenteric lymphoma of a *Rosa26-CreER*+/- *;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mouse, demonstrating emergence of a FAS-high population. Right panel: mean FAS expression in B cells from the spleen and mesenteric lymph node (mLN) or mesenteric lymphoma. Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3 animals). Two-way ANOVA was used to compare means, with the p value for the genotype effect indicated. MFI, mean fluorescence intensity.

(H) Effect on the T cell compartment (B220- ;CD19- ;CD3<sup>+</sup> )in mesenteric lymphomas of mice with ubiquitous RAC1<sup>P29S</sup>. Left panel: representative flow cytometric plots of T cells from a mesenteric lymph node of a *Rosa26-CreER*+/- *;Rac1*WT/WT mouse compared to B cells from a mesenteric lymphoma of a *Rosa26-CreER+/-;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mouse. Right panel: proportion of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> populations in T cells from the spleen and mesenteric lymph node or mesenteric lymphoma. Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3 animals).



### **Figure S6. Effect of melanocytic expression of RAC1P29S** *in vivo* **on tumorigenesis.**  Related to Figure 6.

(A) Mutational landscape of RAC1-mutant human cutaneous melanoma. Sequencing data from multiple cohorts were compiled. Only cases with *RAC1*P29S (n = 51) or *RAC1*P29L (n = 5) are shown here. For *BRAF* and *NRAS*, only the following hotspot (HS) mutations are indicated blue: *BRAF* V600E/K and K601E; *NRAS* Q61K/L/R/H. For the remaining genes, any missense mutation is indicated blue.

(B) Recombination of the *Rac1*LSL-P29S allele in normal skin and tumor lysates. Genomic DNA was isolated from dorsal skin not bearing any tumors and BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> or

BRAFV600E;PTEN+/- tumors and used in a recombination PCR assay. (C) Messenger RNA read counts of *Rac1*P29 versus *Rac1*P29S in *Tyr-CreER*+/-

*;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT tumor lysates, obtained by next-generation sequencing.

(D) Schematic of the *Tyr-CreER*, *Pten*F, *Braf*CA and *Rac1*LSL-P29S allele combination.

(E) Effect of RAC1P29S expression on melanomagenesis in a BRAFV600E;PTEN-null melanoma model. Survival curves (tumor-associated death) of *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/F*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*WT/WT mice and *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/F*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice were compared using log-rank testing (Mantel-Cox).

(F) Effects of RAC1P29S on tumor number in *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/F*;Braf*CA/WT mice. Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 7-9 mice per group). Groups were compared using an unpaired two-tailed t-test.

(G) Schematic of the *Tyr-CreER*, *Nf1*F, *Trp53*<sup>F</sup> and *Rac1*LSL-P29S allele combination.

(H) Effect of RAC1P29S expression on melanomagenesis in an NF1-null;p53-null melanoma model. Melanoma-free survival curves of *Tyr-CreER*+/-

*;Nf1*F/F*;Trp53*F/F*;Rac1*WT/WT mice and *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Nf1*F/F*;Trp53*F/F*;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice were compared using log-rank testing (Mantel-Cox), with the p value indicated. (I) Effects of RAC1P29S on tumor number in *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Nf1*F/F*;Trp53*F/F mice. Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 5-8 mice per group). Groups were compared using the Mann-Whitney test.

(J) Effects of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> on lung metastasis in the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>; p53-null melanoma model. Lung surface nodules were counted using a dissection microscope. Photos of representative lungs are shown. An unpaired two-tailed t-test was used for statistical comparison.

(K) Effects of RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> on lung metastasis in a BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>; p53-null melanoma model, determined by histological analysis of lungs for (micro)metastasis. The whole lungs were fixed, sectioned and three levels were analyzed by a blinded pathologist. Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical comparison.

(L) Effects of RAC1P29S on lung metastasis in a BRAFV600E;PTEN-null melanoma model, determined by counting lung surface nodules using a dissection microscope. Photos of representative lungs are shown. Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical comparison. (M) Effects of RAC1P29S on lung metastasis in a BRAFV600E;PTEN-null melanoma model, determined by histological analysis of lungs for (micro)metastases. The whole lungs were fixed, sectioned and three levels were analyzed by a blinded pathologist. An unpaired two-tailed t-test was used for statistical comparison.

(N) Representative H&E, S100 and SOX10-stained sections of two primary broncho-alveolar tumors (upper six micrographs) and two genuine melanoma metastases (lower six micrographs).

Wherever used, error bars represent means ± SD.













F

H

I



 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ 250 μm 50 μm









**Rac1WTMT Rac1LSL-P29SMT** tumor lysates *Tyr-CreER<sup>+/-</sup>;Pten<sup>FMT</sup>;Braf<sup>CAMT</sup>;* 

G





### **Figure S7. RAC1P29S effects on drug resistance and mesenchymal phenotype in BRAF-driven melanoma.**

Related to Figure 7.

(A) Expression of senescence markers in the skin and tumors of mice from the BRAFV600E melanoma model. Representative sections stained with p16 and p27 (IHC) are shown. In addition to the genotype indicated above the panels, all mice were  $\mathcal{T}yr$ -CreER+/- .

(B) Expression of senescence markers in the skin and tumors of mice from the BRAFV600E;PTEN-hemizygous melanoma model. Representative sections stained with p16 and p27 (IHC) are shown. In addition to the genotype indicated above the panels, all mice were *Tyr-CreER\*<sup>|-</sup>; Pten<sup>F/WT</sup>; Braf<sup>CA/WT</sup>.* 

(C) Normalized mRNA read counts of senescence markers in tumor lysates from *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT mice. Bars represent means ± SD (n = 6 tumors from 5-6 animals per group). For statistical comparison of groups, Wald test was applied in combination with the Benjamini and Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons. (D) GSEA using gene signatures of the senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP), senescence, ageing and E2F targets, applied on RNA-seq data of tumor lysates from *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice versus tumor lysates from *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*WT/WT mice (n = 6 tumors from 5-6 animals per group).

(E) Pathway enrichment analysis of gene expression differences induced by RAC1P29S in tumors. RNA-seq data from *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT mice was used (n = 6 tumors from 5-6 animals per group). Overlap was calculated between genes upregulated in RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> tumors compared to RAC1<sup>WT</sup> tumors (log2 fold-change  $> 1$  and adjusted p value < 0.05, n = 536 genes) and the curated "hallmark" gene set collection from the MSigDB database (Broad).

(F) Effect on the SRF/MRTF transcriptional program in RAC1P29S tumors. GSEA was performed using SRF/MRTF/TCF gene sets (Esnault et al., 2014) in combination with RNA-seq of tumor lysates from *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice versus tumor lysates from *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*WT/WT mice (n = 6 tumors from 5-6 animals per group). GSEA plot for direct targets of MRTF is shown.

(G) mRNA in situ hybridization of canonical SRF/MRTF targets *Cyr61* (top four) and *Actr3* (bottom four). Tumors from *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*LSL-P29S/WT mice versus *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT*;Rac1*WT/WT mice were used (n = 4 animals from each genotype) with one representative micrograph from each genotype shown. (H) Pathway enrichment analysis of gene expression differences induced by RAC1P29S in tumors. RNA-seq data from *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT mice was used (n = 6 tumors from 5-6 animals per group). Overlap was calculated between genes upregulated in RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> tumors compared to RAC1<sup>WT</sup> tumors (log2 fold-change  $> 1$  and adjusted p value  $\leq$  0.05, n = 536 genes) and the curated C2 gene set collection (n = 4838 gene sets) from the MSigDB database (Broad).

(I) Immunostaining for vimentin expression in tumors with RAC1P29S. Tumors from *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Pten*F/WT*;Braf*CA/WT mice (n = at least 4 tumors per *Rac1* genotype) were used for immunohistochemistry, with representative micrographs shown.

(J) *RAC1* mRNA overexpression effects on relapse-free survival in patients with melanoma. Publicly available data from the TCGA cutaneous melanoma cohort was used (n = 316 patients). Twenty-four patients met the *RAC1* mRNA high threshold, set at > 4 SDs above the mean. Groups were statistically compared using log-rank testing (Mantel-Cox), with the p value indicated.

(K) Comparison of mouse melanoma cell lines with RAC1 $P298$  or RAC1 $W<sup>T</sup>$  on apoptosis induction by BRAF inhibition. Four RAC1<sup>P29S</sup> and 3 RAC1<sup>WT</sup> tumor cell cultures from *Tyr-CreER*+/- *;Tp53*F/F*;Braf*CA/WT mice were treated with PLX4032 (vemurafenib) and assayed for apoptosis 24 hr later. Apoptosis induction relative to DMSO control is shown. Values represent means from 2 independent experiments. Two-way ANOVA was used to compare mean growth curves, with the p value for the genotype effect indicated.