Supplementary Material

Supplementary Results

<u>Magnesium and iron</u>. The cellular iron content was unchanged in all of the studied mutants. The cellular magnesium content was unaltered in the CH34, AE104, $\Delta zupT$ and Δzur mutants (Suppl. Table S3); however, the number of cell-bound magnesium atoms was nearly 4-fold increased in the $\Delta e4$ strain as published (1). While an additional $\Delta zupT$ deletion did not alter this increased content (1), deletion of *zur* or any *cobW* decreased the Mg content back to the wild type level (Suppl. Table S2). In the absence of the four metal efflux systems ZntA, CadA, DmeF and FieF, all three CobWs were required to maintain a cellular magnesium level of about 10 million atoms per cell.

Cadmium resistance. In liquid TMM, the IC₅₀ of strain CH34 was only about 100 µM (Suppl. Table S2) and much lower than the MIC on solid medium (Table 2). As published (2), the influence of the plasmids on cadmium resistance was lower in liquid medium than on agar. Cadmium resistance in liquid medium decreased with a AW2 AW3 deletion in strains CH34 and AE104, AW1-cluster in AE104, deletion of zupT, of the four efflux systems in $\Delta e4$, or by most additional deletions in the $\Delta e4$ strain (Suppl. Table S2), indicating a role of all these factors in cadmium resistance. Cadmium resistance even increased in the CH34 Δ W1-cluster Δ W3 double and the Δ W1-cluster Δ W2 Δ W3 triple mutant, AE104 Δzur compared to AE104, and AE104 $\Delta zupT \Delta W1$ -cluster compared to AE104 $\Delta zupT$ (Suppl. Table S2). In AE104 $\Delta zupT$, this increase in the $\Delta W1$ -cluster mutant was CobW3dependent because it was absent in the Δ W1-cluster Δ W3 double mutant and, as in the case of zinc resistance, linked to the considered action of CobW3 on the activity of metal uptake systems. In the case of the Δzur mutant, the $\Delta W1$ -cluster or $\Delta W3$ single deletions did not alter cadmium resistance again but cadmium resistance of the three $\Delta zur \Delta W$ double mutants and the $\Delta W2$ single mutant was not different from that of the parent strain AE104. Increased cadmium resistance, supposedly by enhanced zinc-handling abilities, needed up-regulation of zupT and CobW2 or CobW1 plus CobW3. The very low cadmium resistance level of the ∆e4 strain (22 µM) decreased even further with ΔW deletions (Suppl. Table S2) with the lowest cadmium resistance levels reached (1.6 μ M) by the Δ e4 Δ W1-cluster, Δ e4 Δ W3, the Δ e4 Δ zupT Δ W3, the Δ e4 Δ zur and the $\Delta e4 \Delta zur \Delta W2$ mutants, indicating that the full set of CobWs was able to protect the cell against cadmium even in the absence of efflux systems. In contrast to the effect of the $\Delta zupT$ deletion in the strain AE104, a resistance decrease by 2/3, deletion of zupT did not decrease cadmium resistance of $\Delta e4$ further so that the efflux systems plus the Zur regulon components were able to handle the imbalanced metal ion uptake that results in part from the zupT deletion (1).

While the plasmid pMOL30 with the *czc* determinant, and CobW2 were needed for highlevel cadmium resistance (MIC 2.2 mM, Table 2) on solid growth medium, resistance to cadmium in liquid culture ($IC_{50} = 0.1$ mM, Suppl. Table S2) required the four chromosomal efflux systems, most probably CadA and ZntA, and additionally the complete Zur regulon components ZupT plus the three CobWs. Controlled import of zinc, its cytoplasmic handling by the CobWs, and controlled efflux by ZntA and CadA was important for the cells to reach full cadmium resistance.

<u>Nickel.</u> Deletion of *cobW2* or of the *cobW1* cluster but not of *cobW3* increased the cellular nickel content in the CH34 background (Suppl. Table S4). The nickel content of the CH34 Δ W1-cluster Δ W2 mutant was higher than that of the two Δ W1-cluster and Δ W2 single mutants and that of the Δ W2 mutant higher than that of the Δ W1-cluster mutant. CobW2 and to some degree the CobW1 system controlled the cellular nickel content in strain CH34. The cellular nickel content was not much affected in strains AE104 or $\Delta zupT$, decreased in some Δzur mutants and all Δ e4 mutants. CobW2 and the CobW1 system were only required for control of the cytoplasmic nickel content in the presence of the plasmid-encoded metal resistance factors.

The Δ W3 deletion, which did not change the cellular nickel content, decreased the IC₅₀ for nickel (2.6 mM) in CH34 strains by half (Suppl. Table S2). Loss of the plasmids decreased the nickel resistance of the resulting strain AE104 down to 226 µM (Suppl. Table S2) and now the Δ W3 deletion had no effect. Loss of *zupT* or of *zur* decreased nickel resistance of strain AE104 only slightly but again, additional loss of CobW3 decreased nickel resistance by half. Loss of the four efflux systems, in this case mainly DmeF, decreased nickel resistance of AE104 to 25 µM and each Δ W deletion decreased it further. The lowest nickel resistance of all tested strain with IC₅₀ = 3.7 µM displayed the strain Δ e4 Δ *zupT* Δ W2, Δ W3.

This indicated the importance of all CobWs but especially of CobW3 in nickel resistance. Since the Δ W3 mutant displayed no altered cellular nickel content, nickel toxicity was not connected to increased nickel accumulation but rather to enhanced toxicity of the nickel ions present in the cell. Because the cellular iron content was unchanged, the 4,000 cell-bound nickel ions may have interfered with the metabolism of the 64,000 zinc atoms or 1,200 cobalt atoms per cell in the absence of CobW3.

<u>Cobalt</u>. Deletion of *cobW2* or of the $\triangle cobW1$ cluster, which both led to an increased nickel level, decreased the cellular cobalt in the CH34 background (Suppl. Table S4). A $\triangle W3$ deletion also caused no change in the cobalt content of strain CH34. In most of the AE104 derivatives, the cobalt content was increased but to a lower extent in AE104 $\triangle zur \Delta W$ mutants. The cobalt content was back to the wild type level of strain CH34 in the $\triangle e4 \Delta zur \Delta W2$ mutant, indicating that the plasmid-encoded and chromosomal efflux systems, the CobW1 system and especially CobW2 were also involved in control of the cellular cobalt level.

The IC₅₀ of 1.5 mM Co(II) of strain CH34 decreased with the Δ W3 deletion but no other single Δ W deletion (Suppl. Table S2), increased to 2.2 mM with an additional Δ W1-cluster deletion and went back to the parent strain level in the Δ W1-cluster Δ W2 Δ W3 triple mutant of strain CH34. While CobW3 was the major player in nickel resistance of strain CH34, the Δ W3 deletion in strain CH34 caused the interference of CobW2 and the CobW1 system, which was evident in EDTA resistance, to appear also in cobalt resistance.

The IC₅₀ for Co(II) decreased with loss of the plasmids in strain AE104 to 135 μ M, further down to 85 μ M in strain AE104 $\Delta zupT$ and to 4.7 μ M in the $\Delta e4$ mutant (Suppl. Table S2). It was not influenced by the Δzur deletion. All ΔW double mutants of strains AE104, AE104 $\Delta zupT$ and AE104 Δzur displayed a decreased cobalt resistance level compared to their respective parent strains. Of the single mutants, $\Delta W1$ -cluster decreased cobalt resistance in strain AE104, $\Delta W2$ and $\Delta W3$ but not $\Delta W1$ -cluster in AE104 $\Delta zupT$, indicating a function of the three CobWs in cobalt resistance. The $\Delta e4 \Delta zupT \Delta W2 \Delta W3$ mutant with an IC₅₀ of 1.6 μ M had the lowest cobalt resistance level of all tested strains (Suppl. Table S2).

As in the case of nickel, the decrease of cobalt resistance in the ΔW mutant strains was not connected to a reciprocal increase in cell-bound cobalt but instead should have been mediated by an increased toxicity of the cobalt ions already present in the cell. This indicated that a main function of the three CobWs might be to shield the cellular zinc homeostasis against the competing ions Co(II), Ni(II) and Cd(II), although a role in cobalt and nickel homeostasis could not be excluded at this stage.

Expression of genes for efflux systems in $\triangle cobW3$ mutant strains. CobW3 seems to control metal accumulation under certain conditions. This could occur at the transcriptional or post-transcriptional level, and at the level of uptake or efflux systems. To examine the effect of CobW3 on the expression of the genes for the four metal efflux systems deleted in the $\triangle e4$ mutant, *lacZ*-fusions were constructed with the genes *zntA*, *cadA*, *dmeF*, and *fief* in CH34, AE104, AE104 $\triangle zupT$, and its respective $\triangle cobW3$ mutants.

The basic expression level of *zntA* for the main zinc-exporting inner membrane efflux system was twice as high in AE104 as in CH34, probably because the plasmid-encoded *czc* system was absent (Suppl. Table S5). This level decreased by half with the $\Delta zupT$ deletion, appeared to increase again with the $\Delta W3$ deletion but the values 37.4 ± 7.5 and 50.7 ± 8.4 U/mg were not significantly different from each other. There was also no difference between the EDTA-, zinc-, or cadmium-induced up-regulation of *zntA-lacZ* in the presence or absence of *cobW3*. Only in strain AE104 $\Delta zupT$, additional deletion of *cobW3* caused a stronger cobalt-induced up-regulation of *zntA-lacZ* (Suppl. Table S5). CobW3 had no influence of *zntA* expression except that CobW3 was required to quench a cobalt-dependent gratuitous induction of *zntA* in strain AE104 $\Delta zupT$.

The basic expression level of *cadA-lacZ* was down-regulated by the Δ W3 deletion in strains CH34 and AE104 but not in AE104 $\Delta zupT$ (Suppl. Table S5). The presence of cadmium compensated this effect, so that the cells did not suffer from decreased cadmium resistance (Suppl. Table S2). The influence of CobW3 on expression of *dmeF* was very small, and absent in the case of *fieF*, which encodes the Fe(II) efflux pump FieF (3, 4). Together, CobW3 influenced the expression of genes of metal efflux systems only to a small degree, however, some interaction at the post-transcriptional, -translational, activity level or delivery of surplus zinc to these exporters could not be ruled out. Since absence of CobW3 also increased the zinc content of the $\Delta e4 \Delta zupT$ mutant, which had no metal efflux systems, no ZupT but at least 9 other zinc uptake systems, CobW3 may interfere with metal uptake by these remaining metal import systems of *C. metallidurans*.

Expression of genes for metal import systems in $\triangle cobW3$ mutant strains. Ranked by the activity of the *lacZ*-fusions in AE104 cells grown in unamended medium, the expression levels of the 9 metal

importers in this strain should be PitA >> CorA1 > CorA2 > CorA3 >> ZupT > MgtB > ZntB > MgtA >>> HoxN, with the latter not being expressed in strain AE104 (0.87±1.68 U/mg, Suppl. Table S6). In addition to HoxN, in strain CH34 ZntB, CorA3 and MgtA were also repressed (Suppl. Table S6, areas in grey fields), so that the wild-type strain should use only 5 of the 9 known importers, PitA for metal-phosphate uptake, CorA1, CorA2 for divalent metal cations including Mg²⁺, ZupT and the MgtB Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺ P-type ATPase (5, 6).

The MIT protein ZntB was activated in AE104 and even more in its $\Delta zupT$ mutant, and repressed by zinc in these strains (Suppl. Table S6). CobW3 was responsible for the complete repression of *zntB-lacZ* in strain CH34. Expression of *zupT*, *pitA*, *corA2*, *mgtA* or *mgtB* was not influenced by CobW3. As in the case of *zntB*, repression of *corA3* in strain CH34 was ameliorated in the CH34 Δ W3 mutant. Expression of *corA1* in the $\Delta zupT$ strain was strongly repressed by zinc but not so in the $\Delta zupT \Delta$ W3 mutant, while the gene was strongly repressed by EDTA in the $\Delta zupT$ Δ W3 mutant compared to the $\Delta zupT$ parent or the other strains. CobW3 was needed to repress ZntB and CorA3 in CH34, for a zinc-dependent repression of *corA1* in the absence of *zupT*, and for an EDTA-dependent expression of *corA1* in the same strain (Suppl. Table S6).

Since the CorA systems are known since a long time to be involved in cobalt import (7, 8), the influence of CobW3 on the metal content of cobalt-treated cells was analyzed. As in the presence of zinc and EDTA, the Mg content of the $\triangle e4$ mutant was increased but was reduced back down to the AE104 parent level again when *cobW3* was deleted. This also occurred in the $\triangle e4 \ \Delta zupT$ mutant (Suppl. Table S7). The phosphate content was also elevated by more than 50%. Otherwise, strain AE104 treated with 25 µM CoCl₂ contained more Co per cell than CH34, as expected in the absence of the cobalt efflux systems Czc and Cnr. A major difference in the absence of CobW3 was a significantly increased cellular cobalt content in the $\Delta zupT$ strain, and a decreased nickel and even iron content, with the iron content of cobalt-treated $\Delta zupT \Delta W3$ cells not different from the $\Delta zupT$ parent cells. Consequently, the missing repression of *corA1* in the $\Delta zupT$ strain (Suppl. Table S6) coincided by an increased cellular cobalt and decreased nickel content (Suppl Table S7) in cobalt-treated but not in zinc-, EDTA- or un-treated cells (Suppl. Table S4), reversion of the decreased zinc content of $\Delta zupT$ cells (Table 2), and a decreased cobalt and nickel resistance (Suppl. Table S2).

Primer pair	Position	%DNA	Description
zur-region			
Gene position	<u>1149-1658</u>		<u>zur gene</u>
а	1166-1427	6.6%±6.3%	zur internal
7	1354-1708	7.7%±9.0%	zur-cobW2
Gene position	<u>1709-2800</u>		<u>cobW2 gene</u>
9	1354-1988	1.6%±1.2%	zur-cobW2
10	1354-2425	1.3%±0.9%	zur-cobW2
8	1659-1988	29.5%±14.5%	zur-cobW2
b	2128-2425	81.6%±10.7%	cobW2 internal
17	2128-3564	0.6%±0.6%	cobW2-dksA1
3	2128-3118	19.4%±9.9%	cobW2-dksA1
24	2532-3328	9.8%±4.5%	cobW2-dksA1
1	2801-3118	71.1%±10.0%	cobW2-dksA1
2	2801-3564	0.8%±1.0%	cobW2-dksA1
Gene position	<u>3119-3808</u>		<u>dksA1 gene</u>
С	3287-3564	30.3%±14.6%	dksA1 internal
6	3287-4147	2.1%±3.4%	dksA1-cobW3
4	3669-4147	2.6%±3.0%	dksA1-cobW3
5	3809-4603	2.7%±2.8%	dksA1-cobW3
Gene position	<u>4001-5176</u>		<u>cobW3 gene</u>
d	4300-4603	5.1%±2.3%	cobW3 internal
19	4300-5487	0.2%±2.2%	cobW3-Rmet_0124
25	4835-5487	3.6%±1.6%	
Gene position	<u>5166-5564</u>		<u>Rmet_0124 gene</u>
18	5176-5487	5.1%±3.5%	Rmet_0124 internal

Supplementary Table S1. RT-PCR Results^a

14	9616-10000	2.5%±1.6%	cobW1 upstream
15	9616-10717	0.4%±1.8%	cobW1 upstream
26	9735-10000	5.5%±3.8%	cobW1 upstream
27	9735-10717	1.8%±0.6%	cobW1 upstream
Gene position	<u>10001-11194</u>		<u>cobW1 gene</u>
а	10364-10717	83.8%±9.9%	cobW1 internal
16a	10364-11212	31.0%±5.6%	cobW1-foIEIB2
16	10364-11561	2.1%±1.4%	cobW1-foIEIB2
12	10922-11561	17.0%±3.9%	cobW1-foIEIB2
Gene position	<u>11210-12184</u>		folEIB2 gene
b	11195-11561	79.0%±1.5%	foIEIB2 internal
28	11889-12495	48.2%±32.3%	folEIB2-cycS
Gene position	12181-13599		<u>cysS gene Rmet_1100</u>
11	12178-12495	87.7%±3.4%	foIEIB2-cycS
13	12495-11195	10.3%±9.1%	foIEIB2-cycS
20	13324-13913	6.8%±2.4%	cycS-Rmet_1101
Gene position	<u>13609-14190</u>		<u>Rmet 1101 gene</u>
21	13880-14505	69.8%±13.8%	Rmet_1101-Rmet_1102
Gene position	<u>14210-14770</u>		<u>Rmet 1102 gene</u>
22	14473-15144	12.1%±5.6%	Rmet_1102-Rmet_1103
Gene position	<u>14828-16225</u>		allB gene Rmet_1103
23	15923-16587	-0.2%±3.8%	Rmet_1103-Rmet_1104
Gene position	16291-18477		<u>Rmet_1104 (other strand)</u>

^aRNA was isolated and reverse-transcribed using random priming. The resulting cDNA was amplified by PCR. The product was visualized on an agarose gel with ethidium bromide with DNA as positive and water as negative control. All bands were scanned using ImageJ (9), the gel background intensity directly above the band subtracted and the signal value of the negative water control subtracted. The resulting value was divided by the signal value of the positive DNA control. Three biological repeats, mean %DNA value with deviations shown. No RT-PCR was done for *Rmet_1104* on the other DNA strand.

		% IC ₅₀ v	alues		
Bacterial strain	EDTA	$ZnCl_2$	$NiCl_2$	$CoCl_2$	$CdCl_2$
CH34	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CH34 ∆W1-cluster	145%	105%	81%	107%	123%
CH34 ΔW2	60%	100%	73%	100%	125%
CH34 ∆W3	100%	95%	50%	67%	103%
СН34 ΔW1-cl. ΔW2	103%	85%	69%	93%	119%
СН34 ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	142%	105%	65%	147%	141%
CH34 ΔW2 ΔW3	75%	80%	65%	53%	44%
CH34 ΔW1-cl. ΔW2 ΔW3	107%	65%	58%	100%	146%
AE104	53%	25%	8.7%	9.0%	116%
AE104 ΔW1-cluster	47%	100%	n.d.	65%	51%
AE104 ΔW2	75%	120%	n.d.	92%	100%
AE104 ΔW3	128%	120%	123%	95%	96%
AE104 ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	19%	100%	78%	50%	91%
AE104 ΔW2 ΔW3	84%	100%	96%	40%	61%
ΔzupT	56%	27%	72%	63%	34%
<i>ΔzupT</i> ∆W1-clcluster	267%	115%	n.d.	106%	185%
$\Delta zupT \Delta W2$	89%	110%	n.d.	42%	100%
$\Delta zupT \Delta W3$	83%	110%	49%	20%	118%
<i>ΔzupT</i> ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	33%	96%	96%	35%	98%
$\Delta zupT \Delta W2 \Delta W3$	72%	100%	45%	31%	80%
Δzur	56%	97%	86%	101%	135%
$\Delta zur \Delta W1$ -cluster	106%	106%	94%	99%	103%
$\Delta zur \Delta W2$	89%	90%	92%	88%	78%
$\Delta zur \Delta W3$	72%	106%	64%	74%	102%
$\Delta zur \Delta W1$ -cl. $\Delta W2$	89%	57%	79%	46%	84%
$\Delta zur \Delta W1$ -cl. $\Delta W3$	78%	92%	77%	57%	75%
$\Delta zur \Delta W2 \Delta W3$	100%	92%	96%	46%	78%
Δe4	53%	1.5%	11%	3.5%	18%
Δe4 ΔW1-cluster	88%	70%	27%	98%	9.0%
$\Delta e4 \Delta W3$	88%	74%	23%	100%	7.1%
$\Delta e4 \Delta W1$ -cl. $\Delta W2$	94%	70%	23%	98%	n.d.
$\Delta e4 \Delta W1$ -cl. $\Delta W3$	100%	21%	23%	94%	67%
$\Delta e4 \Delta W2 \Delta W3$	88%	71%	19%	64%	14%
$\Delta e4 \Delta zupT$	112%	75%	85%	87%	105%
$\Delta e4 \Delta zupT \Delta cobW3$	94%	74%	54%	98%	8.1%
Δe4 Δ <i>zupT</i> ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	100%	83%	81%	98%	11%

Supplementary Table S2. Metal resistance of mutant strains in liquid culture

$\Delta e4 \Delta zupT \Delta W2 \Delta W3$	94%	72%	15%	34%	13%
Δe4 Δzur	94%	62%	69%	157%	7.1%
$\Delta e4 \Delta zur \Delta W2$	94%	64%	62%	121%	7.6%

Metal resistance of mutants carrying a deletion of the complete *cobW1* cluster, of the *cobW3* gene, or disruptions (dis) of *cobW1* or *cobW2*, respectively, was tested in dose-response experiments and the IC (concentration of half-maximum growth inhibition) was calculated. These values were compared for: (i) CH34 mutants including the plasmid-free strain AE104 (shaded box) to CH34 wild type cells (bold box at the top line); (ii) AE104 mutants including $\Delta zupT$, Δzur and $\Delta e4$ ($\Delta cadA \Delta zntA \Delta fieF \Delta dmeF$)(boxes) to AE104 cells (shaded box); (iii) mutants of $\Delta zupT$, Δzur and $\Delta e4$ to these respective parents (boxes directly above). If D > 1 (n>3, deviation bars of the data points do not touch or overlap), an IC₅₀ ratio < 67% is in red, an IC₅₀ ratio > 133% in green. 100% values for CH34 cells TMM: 6.0±1.13 mM EDTA, 2.0±0.1 mM ZnCl₂, 2.6±0.2 mM NiCl₂, 1.5±0.2 mM CoCl₂, 103±9 µM CdCl₂. n.d., not determined.

Supplementary	⁷ Table S3.	Mg an Fe	content o	f mutant strains
---------------	------------------------	----------	-----------	------------------

Addition	nor	e	100 μM EDTA		10/100 μ M Z n	
Bacterial strain	Mg	Fe	Mg	Fe	Mg	Fe
CH34	100%	100%	96%	107%	99%	99%
CH34 ∆W1-cluster	88%	113%	84%	84%	79%	99%
CH34 ∆W2	98%	108%	94%	84%	102%	112%
CH34 ∆W3	94%	111%	94%	89%	103%	97%
СН34 ΔW1-cl. ΔW2	92%	140%	90%	98%	91%	122%
СН34 ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	92%	125%	103%	98%	92%	117%
CH34 ΔW2 ΔW3	85%	106%	97%	107%	92%	117%
СН34 ΔW1-cl. ΔW2 ΔW3	92%	131%	97%	119%	90%	118%
AE104	97%	121%	95%	94%	97%	73%
AE104 ∆W1-cluster	123%	83%	124%	89%	106%	62%
AE104 ∆W2	102%	97%	115%	99%	112%	76%
AE104 ∆W3	92%	77%	108%	104%	120%	108%
AE104 ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	96%	89%	101%	92%	115%	97%
AE104 ΔW2 ΔW3	97%	93%	101%	93%	122%	100%
ΔzupT	88%	87%	95%	94%	103%	95%
<i>ΔzupT</i> ∆W1-cluster	120%	88%	105%	97%	99%	105%
$\Delta zupT \Delta W2$	102%	94%	101%	100%	101%	78%
$\Delta zupT \Delta W3$	137%	98%	127%	81%	144%	93%
<i>ΔzupT</i> ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	133%	98%	112%	83%	111%	102%
$\Delta zupT \Delta W2 \Delta W3$	134%	91%	122%	82%	113%	93%
Δzur	93%	99%	102%	113%	118%	113%
<i>∆zur</i> ∆W1-cluster	100%	90%	102%	90%	96%	100%
Δzur ∆W2	90%	77%	85%	66%	90%	73%
<i>Δzur</i> ΔW3	115%	105%	101%	89%	99%	99%
<i>Δzur</i> ΔW1-cl. ΔW2	85%	71%	93%	69%	92%	75%
<i>∆zur</i> ∆W1-cl. ∆W3	82%	69%	94%	92%	87%	86%
$\Delta zur \Delta W2 \Delta W3$	95%	94%	96%	70%	83%	71%
Δe4	373%	99%	343%	103%	261%	134%
Δe4 ∆W1-cluster	24%	73%	25%	73%	37%	84%
Δe4 ∆W3	25%	81%	24%	71%	27%	77%
Δe4 ΔW1-cl. ΔW2	24%	75%	26%	55%	29%	69%
Δe4 ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	24%	80%	25%	67%	25%	79%
Δe4 ΔW2 ΔW3	21%	67%	24%	55%	28%	80%
$\Delta e4 \Delta zupT$	88%	93%	97%	85%	92%	86%
$\Delta e4 \Delta zupT \Delta cobW3$	25%	70%	29%	79%	35%	80%
Δe4 Δ <i>zupT</i> ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	23%	62%	25%	75%	27%	83%
$\Delta e4 \Delta zupT \Delta W2 \Delta W3$	24%	64%	25%	62%	27%	55%
$\Delta e4 \Delta zur$	23%	80%	25%	80%	28%	75%
Δe4 Δzur ∆W2	24%	64%	24%	69%	30%	83%

The mutants carrying a deletion of the complete *cobW1* cluster, of the *cobW3* gene, or disruptions (dis) of *cobW1* or *cobW2*. The metal content was measured in cells grown in TMM with 100 μ M EDTA, 100 μ M ZnCl₂, or no addition (10 μ M in case of the Δ e4 strains). The metal content was compared: (i) CH34 cells grown in amended medium (bold boxes) to CH34 cells in unamended

medium (bold shaded box); (ii) CH34 mutants including the plasmid-free strain AE104 (shaded boxes) to CH34 cells cultivated under the same conditions (bold boxes in the top line); (iii) AE104 mutants including $\Delta zupT$, Δzur and $\Delta e4$ ($\Delta cadA \ \Delta zntA \ \Delta fieF \ \Delta dmeF$) (boxes) to AE104 cells cultivated under the same conditions (shaded boxes); (iv) mutants of $\Delta zupT$, Δzur and $\Delta e4$ to these respective parents grown under the same conditions. If D > 1 (n>4, deviation bars of the data points do not touch or overlap), a metal content < 50% is in red, a metal content > 200% in green. 100% values for CH34 cells in non-amended TMM: (11.9±1.0)·10⁶ Mg, (636±65)·10³ Fe atoms per cell.

		<u>Nickel</u>		<u>(</u>	<u>Cobalt</u>	
Bacterial strain	none	EDTA	Zn	none	EDTA	Zn
CH34	1.00	1.33	1.80	1.00	1.25	1.00
CH34 ∆W1-cluster	2.23	3.02	2.06	1.00	0.67	0.50
CH34 ∆W2	2.84	3.33	2.95	0.67	0.50	0.50
CH34 ∆W3	1.32	0.91	1.56	1.67	1.17	2.17
CH34 ∆W1-cl. ∆W2	4.29	3.48	3.72	0.83	0.50	0.50
CH34 ∆W1-cl. ∆W3	3.18	2.58	2.52	0.75	0.42	0.42
CH34 ΔW2 ΔW3	2.99	3.18	3.80	1.42	0.92	0.83
CH34 ΔW1-cl. ΔW2 ΔW3	4.03	3.06	4.42	0.83	0.50	0.42
AE104	1.26	0.72	1.06	2.75	2.00	2.92
AE104 ∆W1-cluster	0.84	0.77	1.05	5.17	2.50	3.75
AE104 ∆W2	0.64	1.38	0.88	2.08	1.33	2.58
AE104 ∆W3	0.53	0.73	1.25	1.25	0.42	2.42
AE104 ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	1.37	1.41	1.24	2.17	1.42	2.33
AE104 ΔW2 ΔW3	1.73	1.40	1.24	2.58	1.58	2.83
ΔzupT	0.91	1.15	1.55	4.58	4.00	4.92
<i>∆zupT</i> ∆W1-cluster	1.16	1.13	1.23	4.25	3.08	3.58
$\Delta zupT \Delta W2$	0.87	0.73	1.37	4.17	2.33	3.83
$\Delta zupT \Delta W3$	0.77	0.71	1.42	3.50	1.92	3.42
$\Delta zupT \Delta W1$ -cl. $\Delta W3$	1.46	1.28	1.14	4.08	2.50	3.58
$\Delta zupT \Delta W2 \Delta W3$	1.84	1.30	1.13	4.33	2.83	3.58
Δzur	1.24	0.54	1.51	2.33	2.67	2.83
<i>Δzur</i> ΔW1-cluster	1.41	0.86	0.82	1.42	1.25	1.50
$\Delta zur \Delta W2$	0.87	0.56	0.59	1.58	1.25	1.92
Δzur ΔW3	1.59	1.26	1.09	1.58	0.92	1.50
<i>Δzur</i> ΔW1-cl. ΔW2	0.64	0.77	0.45	1.50	1.42	1.50
Δzur ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	0.68	0.53	0.99	1.50	1.00	1.92
$\Delta zur \Delta W2 \Delta W3$	0.82	0.60	0.54	1.58	1.00	1.75
Δe4	0.41	0.57	0.84	3.25	2.83	3.08
Δe4 ∆W1-cluster	0.56	0.52	0.54	1.92	3.00	1.50
Δe4 ΔW3	0.52	0.62	0.50	3.58	2.08	1.67
$\Delta e4 \Delta W1$ -cl. $\Delta W2$	0.35	0.43	0.50	2.83	2.33	2.42
Δe4 ΔW1-cl. ΔW3	0.51	0.39	0.52	3.17	2.25	2.50
Δe4 ΔW2 ΔW3	0.49	0.34	0.36	2.83	2.17	2.33
$\Delta e4 \Delta zupT$	0.46	0.37	0.86	4.33	3.17	2.17
$\Delta e4 \Delta zupT \Delta cobW3$	0.43	0.49	0.49	3.33	3.00	3.33
$\Delta e4 \Delta zupT \Delta W1$ -cl. $\Delta W3$	0.36	0.46	0.55	2.67	2.42	2.33
$\Delta e4 \Delta zupT \Delta W2 \Delta W3$	0.29	0.30	0.39	2.92	1.83	2.83
Δe4 Δ <i>zur</i>	0.48	0.36	0.39	3.33	1.25	1.33
Δe4 Δzur ΔW2	0.31	0.28	0.40	1.00	0.92	1.33

Supplementary Table S4. Ni and Co content of C. metallidurans derivatives

The mutants carrying a deletion of the complete *cobW1* cluster, of the *cobW3* gene, or disruptions (dis) of *cobW1* or *cobW2*. The metal content was measured in cells grown in TMM with 100 μ M EDTA, 100 μ M ZnCl₂, or no addition (10 μ M ZnCl₂ in case of the Δ e4 mutant) The metal content was compared to that of CH34 cells in unamended medium. If D

> 1 (n>4, deviation bars of the data points do not touch or overlap), a metal content < 67% is in red, a metal content > 133% in green. For nickel, also non-significant ratios are indicated in italics. 100% value for CH34 cells in non-amended TMM: 1200±500 Co, 4010±2190 Ni atoms per cell.

Bacterial strain	Basic act.	ED	ATO	Zr	nCl ₂	Со	Cl ₂	С	dCl ₂
	(U/mg dw)	1 mM	5 mM	750 μΜ	2 mM	0.1 mM	1 mM	10 μΜ	100 μΜ
φ(zntA-lacZ)									
CH34	21.0±7.7	0.97	1.01	2.13	0.15	1.05	1.06	3.55	4.72
CH34 ΔW3	21.1±5.8	0.81	0.88	2.33	0.54	1.08	1.43	4.56	6.51
AE104	50.4±5.8	0.63	0.62	7.33	5.95	3.10	1.02	6.80	5.79
AE104 ΔW3	53.2±8.6	0.65	0.65	9.42	3.23	2.09	1.42	8.37	5.03
∆zupT	37.4±7.5	0.50	0.55	10.3	5.48	1.65	0.91	6.29	15.7
$\Delta zupT \Delta W3$	50.7±8.4	0.72	0.61	8.33	2.63	4.64	2.14	7.92	8.76
φ(cadA-lacZ)									
CH34	20.8±5.3	1.39	1.28	1.27	0.70	1.29	1.03	3.04	9.44
CH34 ΔW3	7.2±1.7	1.06	1.09	2.48	0.75	0.95	1.02	7.07	17.5
AE104	28.5±4.7	0.88	0.86	1.70	0.77	1.07	1.01	8.30	20.9
AE104 ΔW3	15.0±2.6	0.68	0.73	2.34	1.67	1.24	0.87	12.3	40.2
∆zupT	25.7±5.0	0.65	0.61	1.40	0.35	1.14	0.91	6.70	20.7
$\Delta zupT \Delta W3$	25.2±7.5	0.75	0.73	1.82	0.82	0.97	0.68	9.64	2.77
φ(dmeF-lacZ)									
CH34	54.9±4.1	1.77	0.81	0.55	0.17	1.61	0.84	0.87	0.86
CH34 ΔW3	35.6±4.0	2.05	0.94	0.40	0.02	2.08	1.40	1.03	1.10
AE104	33.4±6.7	1.43	1.69	1.52	0.58	2.13	2.53	1.48	3.43
AE104 ΔW33	31.7±7.9	1.47	2.79	1.53	0.55	2.45	3.92	1.53	3.91
∆zupT	34.3±6.7	1.27	1.29	1.25	0.29	4.59	3.31	1.34	3.12
$\Delta zupT \Delta W3$	25.5±4.6	1.06	1.94	1.79	0.59	3.82	3.12	1.05	2.60
<i>∳ fieF-lacZ</i>)									
CH34	18.3±1.8	1.20	1.06	0.55	0.27	1.00	0.85	0.97	0.96
CH34 ΔW3	15.1±4.0	1.29	1.22	0.58	0.29	1.50	1.27	1.35	1.41
AE104	20.1±4.4	1.15	0.99	1.29	0.50	1.19	0.98	1.13	0.83
AE104 ΔW3	23.6±4.7	1.14	1.22	1.20	0.33	1.20	1.06	1.22	1.09
∆zupT	25.3±3.3	1.05	0.98	0.97	0.25	1.06	0.93	1.07	0.83
$\Delta zupT \Delta W3$	31.0±4.8	1.03	0.93	0.72	0.27	0.95	0.84	1.07	0.88

Supplementary Table S5. Expression of reporter fusions of efflux systems^a

^{*a*} Chromosomal *lacZ* fusions were constructed downstream of *zntA* and *cadA*, leaving both functional. Parent strains were *C. metallidurans* CH34 wild type (top), AE104 (bottom), and the $\Delta zupT$ mutant. Early exponential-phase cells of these strains were cultivated for 3 h with shaking at 30°C in TMM without or with the indicated additions, and β -galactosidase activity was determined. The specific activity of cells grown in TMM without additions is provided, the remaining –fold up-regulation values refer to these values listed in the subsequent rows. Bold-faced numbers indicate significant up-regulation of the basic value of a fusion compared to non-amended medium (D > 1 and ratio > 2-fold, n ≥ 3), bold-faced values in italics down-regulation

			Bacteria	<u>al strain</u>		
Fusion	AE104	AE104 ∆W3	∆zupT	$\Delta zupT \Delta W3$	CH34	CH34 ∆W3
φ(zntB-lacΖ)	6.97±1.11	1.10	5.45	5.17	0.00	0.80
0.5 mM Zn(II)	0.56	0.58	2.87	2.22	0.00	0.48
5 mM EDTA	0.79	1.07	4.59	4.72	0.05	1.13
	_	_				
φ(zupT-lacΖ)	12.5±2.9	1.13	n.d.	n.d.	1.34	1.12
0.5 mM Zn(II)	0.65	0.61	n.d.	n.d.	0.81	0.75
5 mM EDTA	3.42	5.72	n.d.	n.d.	5.66	4.99
φ(hoxN-lacZ)	0.87±1.68	0.00	5.13	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.5 mM Zn(II)	0.49	0.11	1.64	0.00	0.25	0.86
5 mM EDTA	1.65	0.00	10.5	0.00	0.35	0.00
φ(pitA-lacZ)	157±35	1.63	1.03	1.36	1.27	0.98
0.5 mM Zn(II)	0.49	0.76	0.71	0.73	0.52	0.48
5 mM EDTA	0.63	1.15	0.85	1.28	1.23	0.84
φ(corA1-lacZ)	59.0±10.0	0.79	0.33	0.42	0.43	0.37
0.5 mM Zn(II)	0.45	0.38	0.04	0.25	0.19	0.22
5 mM EDTA	0.80	0.63	0.38	0.08	0.67	0.65
φ(corA2-lacZ)	44.0±7.2	1.11	0.95	0.82	1.21	0.86
0.5 mM Zn(II)	0.67	0.62	0.50	0.39	0.47	0.52
5 mM EDTA	0.68	0.87	0.83	0.50	1.17	1.04
φ(corA3-lacΖ)	32.7±6.0	1.09	0.91	0.90	0.09	0.59
0.5 mM Zn(II)	0.49	0.41	0.24	0.28	0.06	0.39
5 mM EDTA	0.56	0.62	0.66	0.74	0.15	0.83
∳(<i>mgtA-lacZ</i>)	5.57±1.17	1.15	1.00	0.79	0.00	0.00
0.5 mM Zn(II)	0.26	0.29	0.47	0.20	0.00	0.00
5 mM EDTA	1.19	0.76	0.94	1.06	0.00	0.00
∳(<i>mgtB-lacZ</i>)	10.3±2.6	0.95	0.49	0.59	1.01	0.65
0.5 mM Zn(II)	0.61	0.63	0.24	0.29	0.51	0.54
5 mM EDTA	0.66	0.95	0.54	0.77	1.20	0.95

Supplementary Table S6. Expression of reporter fusions of import systems^a

^{*a*} Chromosomal *lacZ* fusions were constructed. Parent strains were *C. metallidurans* CH34 wild type, AE104, AE104 Δ*zupT*, and their respective ΔW3 mutants. Early exponential phase cells of these strains were cultivated for 3 h with shaking at 30°C in TMM without or with the indicated additions, and β-galactosidase activity was determined. The specific activity of cells grown in TMM without additions is given in the boxed areas in U/mg dry mass, the remaining –fold up-regulation values refer to these values listed in the subsequent cells. Bold-faced numbers indicate significant up-regulation of the basic value of a fusion compared to non-amended medium (D > 1 and ratio > 2-fold, n ≥ 3), bold-faced values in italics down-regulation. Since some values for CH34 strains were zero, AE104 was used for reference in this experiment. Shaded areas indicate interesting results.

Bacterial strain	Mg, -fold	P, -fold	Co, -fold	Ni, -fold	Fe, -fold
<u>25 µM Co</u>					
CH34	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
CH34 ΔW3	0.84	0.89	0.84	2.08	1.19
AE104	0.89	0.84	2.25	0.73	0.80
AE104 ΔW3	0.79	0.79	2.19	0.46	0.67
ΔzupT	0.74	0.76	2.66	0.94	0.70
$\Delta zupT \Delta W3$	1.00	0.85	<u>3.45</u>	0.37	0.59
Δzur	0.82	0.83	2.91	0.79	0.81
Δzur ΔW3	0.93	0.84	2.68	1.46	1.00
<u>1 µM Co</u>					
Δe4	2.67	1.58	1.00	0.72	0.88
Δe4 ∆zupT	2.78	1.54	1.16	0.56	1.05
Δe4 ΔW3	0.92	0.87	1.73	0.78	1.01
Δe4 ∆ <i>zupT</i> ΔW3	0.98	0.89	1.25	0.58	0.97

Supplementary Table S7. Cellular metal content of cobalt-treated Δ W3 mutants

100% values for CH34: 13.1±1.4 x 10⁶ Mg, 120±10 x 10⁶ P, 732±106 x 10³ Fe, 64.3±6.5 x 10³ Zn, 10.8±4.3 x 10³ Cu, 45.4±8.6 x 10³ Co, 4.53±1.53 x 10³ Ni, and for \triangle e4 at 1 µM cobalt 33.6±1.4 x 10³ Co. No change in the number of Cu atoms per cell. Bold-faced, if [(Q<0.66 OR Q>1.5) AND D >1], underlined value significantly different (54% more Co) than the AE104 parent level. Interesting results are boxed. The underlined cobalt content of $\triangle zupT \triangle W3$ is significantly different from the cobalt content of the $\triangle zupT$ parent.

Supplementary Table S8. Bacterial strains and primers

Strain	Plasmids	Characteristics	Referenz
Escherichia coli			
Rosetta (DE3)	pLysSRARE	<i>F</i> -, omp <i>T</i> , gal, dcm, lon, hsdS _B , $(r_B^- m_B^-)\lambda$ (DE3),	Stratagene GmbH,
pLysS		pLysSRARE; cam ^R ; BL21 (DE3)	Heidelberg
S17/1		pro, Tra⁺ recA	(10)
VS208	pASK-IBA7	plasmid for expression with N-terminal Streptag [®] II, amp ^R	IBA-GmbH, Göttingen
VS218	pCM157	plasmid for expression of <i>Cre</i> - recombinase; tet ^R	(11)
VS585	pECD794-1	pLO2:: <i>lacZ</i> for transcriptional fusions; kan ^R	(2)
VS600	pRHB152	pET28A TEV, kan ^R	Novagen, Californien (USA)
VS624	pTH24:: <i>tev</i>	pET24 for Tev expression with C-terminal His- tag; Amp ^R	(12)
ECA61	pECD795	<i>znt</i> A in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(zntA-lacZ)$, kan ^R	(13)
ECA62	pECD796-1	<i>cad</i> A in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion φ(<i>cadA-lacZ</i>), kan ^R	(13)
ECA410	pECD986	<i>pitA</i> in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion φ (<i>pitA-lacZ</i>), kan ^R	(17)
ECA411	pECD987	<i>zupT</i> in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(zupT$ -lacZ), kan ^R	(17)
ECA412	pECD988	<i>corA</i> ₁ in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion φ(<i>corA</i> ₁ - <i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R	(17)
ECA413	pECD989	<i>corA</i> ² in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(corA_2$ - <i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R	(17)
ECA414	pECD990	<i>corA</i> ³ in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(corA_3-lacZ)$, kan ^R	(17)
ECA410	pECD986	<i>pitA</i> in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion φ (<i>pitA-lacZ</i>), kan ^R	(17)
ECA483	pECD1003	<i>lacZ, sacB;</i> km ^R , tet ^R , amp ^R ; pCM184 with mutations of <i>loxP</i> 66 & <i>loxP</i> 71	(2)
ECA779	pECD1204	pECD794-1 with <i>Rmet_1098</i> as <i>Pstl/Xbal</i> fragment $\varphi(cobW_1'::lacZ)$ 354, kan ^R	(14)
ECA814	pECD1239	pET28A TEV with <i>cobW</i> ¹ as <i>Sacl/Bam</i> HI- fragment, kan ^R	This publication
ECA815	pECD1240	pET28A TEV with $cobW_2$ as Sacl/BamHI-fragment, kan ^R	This publication
ECA816	pECD1241	pET28A TEV with <i>cobW</i> ₃ as <i>Sacl/Bam</i> HI- fragment, kan ^R	This publication
ECA819	pECD1244	pECD794-1 with $cobW_2$ as <i>Pstl/Xba</i> l fragment $\varphi(cobW_2^{\circ}::lacZ)$ 299, kan ^R	(15)
ECA914	pECD1339	pASK-IBA7 with <i>zur</i> as <i>Sall/Xba</i> l fragment, amp ^R	(16)

ECB018	pECD1442	<i>fieF</i> in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion φ (<i>fieF</i> -	This publication
		<i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R	
ECB062	pECD1486	<i>zntB</i> in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion	(5)
		φ(<i>zntB-lacZ</i>), kan ^R	
ECB063	pECD1487	hoxN in pECD1794-1; lacZ-operon fusion	(5)
		φ(<i>hoxN-lacZ</i>), kan ^R	
ECB064	pECD1488	mgtA in pECD1794-1; lacZ-operon fusion	(6)
		φ(<i>mgtA-lacZ</i>), kan ^R	
ECB065	pECD1489	mgtB in pECD1794-1; lacZ-operon fusion	(6)
		φ(<i>mgtB-lacZ</i>), kan ^R	
ECB188	pECD1606	pECD1003 for <i>cobW</i> ₃ -deletion; <i>cre-lox</i> -System	This publication
ECB189	pECD1607	pECD1003 for $cobW_1$ -Cluster ($Rmet_1098$ bis	This publication
		Rmet_1103)-deletion; cre-lox-System	
ECB190	pECD1608	$cobW_3$ in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion	(15)
		φ(<i>cobW</i> ₃- <i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R	
ECB191			
	pECD1609	$cobW_2$ in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion	(15)
	pECD1609	$cobW_2$ in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(cobW_2$ - <i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R	(15)
ECB195	pECD1609	$cobW_2$ in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(cobW_2$ - <i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R <i>dmeF</i> in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion	(15) This publication
ECB195	pECD1609 pECD1612	$cobW_2$ in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(cobW_2$ - <i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R dmeF in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(dmeF$ - <i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R	(15) This publication
ECB195 ECB194	pECD1609 pECD1612 pECD1611	$cobW_2$ in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(cobW_2$ - <i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R dmeF in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(dmeF$ - <i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R pASK-IBA3 with FolF _{IR2} (<i>Rmet 10</i> 99) as	(15) This publication
ECB195 ECB194	pECD1609 pECD1612 pECD1611	$cobW_2$ in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(cobW_2$ - <i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R dmeF in pECD1794-1; <i>lacZ</i> -operon fusion $\varphi(dmeF$ - <i>lacZ</i>), kan ^R pASK-IBA3 with FolE _{IB2} (<i>Rmet_1099</i>) as <i>Sacl</i> /Ncol fragment, amp ^R	(15) This publication This publication

Cupriavidus metallidurans					
CH34	pMOL28		(17)		
	pMOL30				
AE104			(17)		
DN515		ΑΕ104 Δ <i>zu</i> p <i>T</i>	(18)		
DN578		$AE104\Delta zntA\Delta cadA\Delta fieF\Delta dmeF$ ($\Delta e4$)	(2)		
DN579		$AE104\Delta zntA\Delta cadA\Delta fieF\Delta dmeF\Delta zupT$	(1)		
		$(\Delta e 4 \Delta z u p T)$			
DN780		Δe4Δzur	This publication		
DN818		AE104 $\Delta cobW_3$	This publication		
DN819	pMOL28	$CH34\Delta cobW_3$	This publication		
	pMOL30				
DN820	pMOL28	CH34∆ <i>cobW</i> ₁-Cluster	This publication		
	pMOL30				
DN821		AE104∆zur∆cobW₃	This publication		
DN822		AE104∆zur∆cobW₁-Cluster	This publication		
DN823	pMOL28	$CH34\Delta cobW_{1}$ -Cluster $\Delta cobW_{3}$	This publication		
	pMOL30				
DN824		$AE104\Delta zupT\Delta cobW_3$	This publication		
DN825	pECD1204	AE104 $\Delta zur\Delta cobW_3 \varphi(cobW_2'::lacZ)$ 299	This publication		
DN826	pECD1204	AE104 $\Delta zur\Delta cobW_1$ -Cluster $\varphi(cobW_2'::lacZ)$ 299	This publication		
DN827	pECD1204	Δ e4 Δ zur φ (cob W_2 '::lacZ)299	This publication		
DN828		∆e4∆ <i>cobW₁</i> -Cluster	This publication		
DN829	pMOL28	CH34 φ(cobW ₂ '::lacZ)299	This publication		
	pMOL30				
	pECD1204				
DN830	pMOL28	CH34 Δ cob $W_3 \varphi$ (cob W_2 '::/acZ)299	This publication		

	pMOL30		
	pECD1204		
DN831	pMOL28	CH34 $\Delta cobW_1$ -Cluster $\varphi(cobW_2$ '::/acZ)299	This publication
	pMOL30		
	pECD1204		
DN832	pMOL28	$CH34\Delta cobW_1$ -Cluster $\Delta cobW_3$	This publication
	pMOL30	φ(cobW ₂ '::lacZ)299	
	pECD1204		
DN833		$\Delta e4\Delta cobW_3$	This publication
DN834		$\Delta e4\Delta zupT\Delta cobW_3$	This publication
DN835	pECD1204	$AE104\Delta zupT\Delta cobW_{3}\varphi(cobW_{1}`::lacZ)354$	This publication
DN836	pECD1244	AE104 $\Delta zupT\Delta cobW_3\varphi(cobW_2^{\circ}::lacZ)$ 299	This publication
DN837	pECD1204	AE104 Δ cob $W_3 \varphi$ (cob W_1 '::/acZ)354	This publication
DN838	pECD1244	AE104 Δ cob $W_3 \varphi$ (cob W_2 '::/acZ)299	This publication
DN839	pECD1244	$\Delta e4\Delta cobW_1$ -Cluster $\varphi(cobW_2$ ':: <i>lacZ</i>)299	This publication
DN840	pECD1204	AE104 $\Delta zur\Delta cobW_3 \varphi(cobW_1^{+}::lacZ)$ 354	This publication
DN841	pECD1204	$\Delta e4\Delta cobW_3 \varphi (cobW_1'::lacZ)$ 354	This publication
DN842	pECD1204	$\Delta e4\Delta zupT\Delta cobW_{3}\varphi(cobW_{1}'::lacZ)$ 354	This publication
DN843	pECD1244	$\Delta e4\Delta cobW_3 \varphi(cobW_2'::lacZ)$ 299	This publication
DN844	pECD1244	$\Delta e4\Delta zupT\Delta cobW_3 \varphi(cobW_2`::lacZ)299$	This publication

Primers

name/orientation →/←	5ʻ → 3ʻ sequence		position			
gene disruption						
Rmet_0127 Pstl Dis →	AAACTGCAGGCTCGACAAGCAGGAAGAAG	binds	412	bp	downstream	of
		ATG _{Rm}	et_0127			
Rmet_0127 Xbal Dis ←	AAATCTAGAGCAATCGGTGCCGCAGTGT	binds	376	bp	upstream	of
		TGA _{Rm}	et_0127			
Rmet_1098 Pstl Dis →	AAACTGCAGGGCCGCAGTCTCAATGAGG	binds	270	bp	downstream	of
		ATG _{Rr}	net_1098			
Rmet_1098 Xbal Dis ←	AAATCTAGAGGGCGCTTTCGATGCTTCC	binds	550	bp	o upstream	of
		TGA _{Rr}	net_5377			
cre-lox						
Cre 0125 Age →	AAAACCGGTTCGAATCCGGCGACTATGGCTG	binds	332 bp	o upsti	ream of ATG _{col}	bW3
Cre 0125 Apa <	AAAGGGCCCGATGATCGATGTTGATGCAACAAA	binds	directly	y upst	ream of ATG _{co}	bW3
	G					
Cre 0125 Notl →	AAAGCGGCCGCAGTCCTGCACCCTCTCTC	binds	directl	y dow	nstream TGA _{co}	obW3
Cre 0125 Ncol →	AAACCATGGCCAGGTTGGCTGGCTTGAC	binds	311	bp	downstream	of
		TGAc	obW3			
Cre 1098 Mun →	AAACAATTGGTTACCCACTTCGGATACG	binds	385 bp	o upst	ream of ATG _{col}	bW1
Cre 1098 Not ←	AAAGCGGCCGCGGGGATTTGGTTTGCCCG	binds	directl	y upst	ream of ATG _{co}	bW1
Cre cobW₁-Cluster Apa→	AAAGGGCCCAATCAGGCGGCAGGGG	binds	dire	ectly	downstream	of
		TGA	Rmet_1103	}		
Cre cobW₁-Cluster Age ←	AAAACCGGTAAGGTAACCGGCGATATG	binds	296	bp	downstream	ı of
		TGA	Rmet_1103	}		
Cre 0128 Age →	AAAACCGGTTCTCGCGCTTGCTGTAGG	binds	399 bp	o upst	ream of ATG _{zu}	r
Cre 0128 Apa <	AAAGGGCCCGCGAAGGATTTAACCATAGG	binds	directl	y upst	ream of ATG _{zu}	r

Cre 0128 Ncol Cre 0128 Notl fusions His-/Strep-tag 1098 BamHI His → 1098 Sacl His ← pRHB152 0127 BamHI → pRHB152 0127 Sacl ← pRHB152 0125 BamHI → pRHB152 0125 Sacl ← pASK3 Rmet_1099 Sacl→ pASK3 Rmet_1099 Ncol ← lacZ-fusions dmeF-lacZ Pstl → dmeF-lacZ Xbal \rightarrow RT-PCR zur region Rmet 0125 Pstl Dis h \rightarrow ← Rmet 0125 Xbal Dis h Rmet 0126 Pstl Dis h \rightarrow Rmet 0126 Xbal Dis h ← \rightarrow Rmet 0127 Pstl Dis h Rmet 0127 Xbal Dis h ← Rmet 0128 Pstl Dis h \rightarrow Rmet 0128 Xbal Dis h ← \rightarrow Cre 0125 Age Cre 0125 Not \rightarrow Cre 0125 Nco ← Cre 0125 Apa ← Cre 0126 Mun (Cre 0126 Apa ← \rightarrow Cre 0126 Not \rightarrow Cre 0126 Age Cre 0127 Age \rightarrow Cre 0127 Not \rightarrow Cre 0127 Nco (Cre 0127 Apa ← Cre 0128 Nco ← Cre 0128 Age \rightarrow Cre 0128 Apa ← \rightarrow Cre 0128 Not cobW2→dksA 2532up \rightarrow cobW2→dksA3328down cobW3→0124 4835 up \rightarrow

RT-PCR cobW1 region

AAACCATGG-CGAACGCGATCTTGCCTTC AAAGCGGCCGCCACATCCAGACACCTTTAG

AAAGGATCCCTTCCAGCCAAGCTTCCTG AAAGAGCTCAGTGTCAGGGCCAGTCAGG AAAGGATCCTCCAAACTGATTCCGGTCACG AAAGAGCTCTAAAGTCAGGCGAGGCAGGC AAAGGATCCGCCGTTCGTCTGCCCGTCA AAAGAGCTCCAGTGTGCATGTCCGCAATC AAAGAGCTCCGCCCAGGACATTGGGGATGC AAACCATGGGCGGCCACCTCCCGTGAATG

AAACTGCAGAGCGGGACGGTGCTGCTC AAATCTAGATTGCCGCCTAGTGACGGTG

AAACTGCAGCCGCTTCTTGCAGGACTAC 4300 AAATCTAGATTGCCAGCCGGCGGCGTT 4603 AAACTGCAGGCCAGCCAGAGTGAAACAG 3298 AAATCTAGATCCGGCACGATGACCGTTT 3564 AAACTGCAGGCTCGACAAGCAGGAAGAAG 2128 AAATCTAGAGCAATCGGTGCCGCAGTGT 2425 AAACTGCAGACATGCGGCTGCGTCCGA 1166 AAATCTAGAGTCGTTGCCGGCGCGTTT 1427 AAAACCGGTTCGAATCCGGCGACTATGGCTG 3669 AAA GCG GCC GC AGTCCTGCACCCTCTCTC 5176 AAACCATGGCCAGGTTGGCTGGCTTGAC 5487 AAA GGG CCC GC GATGATCGATGTTGATGCAACAAAG 4000 AAA CAA TTG CAGCCGTTCGACTTCGGCAAGC 4147 AAAGGGCCCGCGGGGGACACCTCTCTACG 3118 AAA GCG GCC GC TTCGATCCTTCGAGAGGCGGAAA 3809 AAAACCGGT CGTTTAGTGCGCCATCAGAC 2806 AAAACCGGTACCGCGTGACCGTGTACCG 1354 AAA GCG GCC GC CTTTACGTTTAGTGCGCCATC 2801 AAACCATGGCTCTCTACGCGTCGAAGAGTG 3110 AAA GGG CCC GC GTTGTGGTACTCCGAGAAAA 1708 AAACCATGGCGAACGCGATCTTGCCTTC 1988 AAAACCGGTTCTCGCGCTTGCTGTAGG 749 AAA GGG CCC GC GCGAAGGATTTAACCATAGG 1148 AAA GCG GCC GC CACATCCAGACACCTTTAG 1659 GCA GCG ACA AGC CGT TCC 2532 ← GTC CGC TCG GGT GCA GTG 3328 GCA TGG TGG GCA GCG ATC 4835

binds 330 bp downstream of TGAzur binds directly downstream of TGAzur

5' part of $cobW_1$ without ATG 3' part of $cobW_1$ with TGA 5' part of $cobW_2$ without ATG 3' part of $cobW_2$ with TGA 5' part of $cobW_3$ without ATG 3' part of $cobW_3$ with TGA 5' part of $folE_{IB2 5}$ without ATG 3'part of $folE_{IB2 5}$ without TGA

binds 315 bp upstream of TAG_{dmeF} binds directly upstream of TAG_{dmeF}

•			
Rmet_1098 PstI Dis h	\rightarrow	AAACTGCAGGGCCGCAGTCTCAATGAGG	10364
Rmet_1098 Xbal Dis h	←	AAATCTAGAGGGCGCTTTCGATGCTTCC	10717
Rmet_1098 Mun1	\rightarrow	AAACAA TTGGTTACCCACTTCGGATACG	9616
Rmet 1098 Not2	←	AAA GCG GCC GC GGGGATTTGGTTTGCCCG	10000

Rmet_1098 Apa3	\rightarrow	AAA GGG CCC GCCACTTTGGGCTCCCCATG	11195
Rmet_1098 Age4	←	AAAACCGGTCGCTGCCTGTCTCGCAATC	11561
Crelox F1 1099 Mun	\rightarrow	AAA CAA TTG GGCGCCGTTGCCAGGCAC	10922
Crelox F1 1099 Nco	←	AAACCATGG CATGGGGAGCCCAAAGTGT	11212
Crelox F2 1099 Apa	\rightarrow	AAA GGG CCC GC CGCATGACTTCCGCGC	12178
Crelox F2 1099 Age	←	AAAACCGGTCGCGCGCATGTCTTCGTGG	12495
Rm1100→13324	\rightarrow	CGG ATG ATG GCG TCG GGA	13324
Rm1101→13913	←	GTT CGG CGC TTG GCG ACA	13913
Rm1101→13880	\rightarrow	GCC AGC ATT CAG AGC GTT	13880
Rm1102→14505	←	TAA ACA CCC CAT TGC CGA	14505
Rm1102→14473	\rightarrow	GCG TCG TGC CAT CGT CCA	14473
Rm1103→15144	←	GCG TGT TCG GCA TCT CGA	15144
Rm1103→15923	\rightarrow	GAC CTG ACA AGC GCC GGA	15923
Rm1104→16587	←	TTG CCA CGC CGA GCT ACA	16587
166bp vor Zurbox 9735	\rightarrow	TCG CCT GGC AGT ACG GGA	9735
up			
folE2→cysS 11889up	\rightarrow	TTG CCG TCG ATT TGC CGA	11889



Supplementary Figure S1. Interaction of CobWs with FolE_{IB2}. A pull-down assay was performed using magnetic MagStrep XT beads washed three times in buffer W (50 mM TrisHCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl) to bind 10 µg of FolE_{IB2}-strep-tag for 30 min at 4 °C in buffer W (50 mM TrisHCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl) additionally containing 500 µM MgCl₂, 10 µM GTP and 10 µM ZnCl₂ (Panels B, C, D) or no zinc (Panel A). The supernatant (Lane 1) was removed and 100 µg CobW1-His-tag (Panels A and B), CobW2-His-tag (Panel C) or CobW3-His-tag (Panel D) were added. The mixture was incubated for 3 h at 4 °C. Again, the supernatant was removed (Lane 2) and the beads washed with buffer W five times (Lanes 3 to 7). Finally, the bound proteins were removed using two-fold concentrated SDS sample buffer (Lane 8). The Panels show Coomassie-stained SDS polyacrylamide gels.



Supplementary Figure S2. Dimerization of CobW1. An amount of 5 mg of apo-CobW1 was analyzed by size exclusion chromatography using a HiPrep Sephacryl S-100 column and Tris buffer (50 mM, pH = 8) containing 150 mM NaCl. Panel A shows the elution profile at 280 nm. Samples corresponding to 5 μ g were applied to a non-denaturing (Panel B) and SDS polyacrylamide gel (Panel C). The additional band in Panel C lane 3 was identified by MALDI-TOF-MS as contaminating pyruvate kinase from *E. coli*. Presence of this protein resulted in a complicated pattern in the non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel (lane B3). Coomassie-staining.



Supplementary Figure S3. CobW1 did not form dimers when zinc was added. An amount of 5 μ g of CobW1 monomers as purified by size exclusion chromatography was incubated with 1 mM ZnCl₂ (Lane 2), 1 mM MgGTP (Lane 3), both (Lane 4) or without additions for 2 h at 4°C. Subsequently, the samples were applied to a non-denaturing (Panel A) and SDS polyacrylamide gel (Panel B). Coomassie-staining.



Supplementary Figure S4. Interaction of CobWs with Zur. A pull-down assay was performed using magnetic MagStrep XT beads washed three times in buffer W (50 mM TrisHCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl) to bind 10 µg of Zur-strep-tag for 30 min at 4 °C in buffer W (50 mM TrisHCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl) additionally containing 500 µM MgCl₂ and 100 µM ZnCl₂. The supernatant (Lane 1) was removed and 100 µg CobW1-His-tag (Panel A), CobW2-His-tag (Panel B) or CobW3-His-tag (Panel C) were added. The mixture was incubated for 3 h at 4 °C. Again, the supernatant was removed (Lane 2) and the beads washed with buffer W five times (Lanes 3 to 7). Finally, the bound proteins were removed using two-fold concentrated SDS sample buffer (Lane 8). The Panels show Coomassie-stained SDS polyacrylamide gels

Supplementary Literature

- 1. **Herzberg M, Bauer L, and Nies DH.** 2014. Deletion of the *zupT* gene for a zinc importer influences zinc pools in *Cupriavidus metallidurans* CH34. Metallomics **6:**421-436.
- 2. Scherer J, and Nies DH. 2009. CzcP is a novel efflux system contributing to transition metal resistance in *Cupriavidus metallidurans* CH34. Mol Microbiol **73**:601-621.
- Munkelt D, Grass G, and Nies DH. 2004. The chromosomally encoded cation diffusion facilitator proteins DmeF and FieF from *Wautersia metallidurans* CH34 are transporters of broad metal specificity. J Bacteriol 186:8036-8043.
- Grass G, Otto M, Fricke B, Haney CJ, Rensing C, Nies DH, and Munkelt D. 2005. FieF (YiiP) from *Escherichia coli* mediates decreased cellular accumulation of iron and relieves iron stress. Arch Microbiol 183:9-18.
- Herzberg M, Bauer L, Kirsten A, and Nies DH. 2016. Interplay between seven secondary metal transport systems is required for full metal resistance of *Cupriavidus metallidurans*. Metallomics 8:313-326.
- Grosse C, Herzberg M, Schüttau M, and Nies DH. 2016. Characterization of the ∆7 mutant of *Cupriavidus metallidurans* with deletions of seven secondary metal uptake systems. mSystems 1:e00004-16.
- Abelson PH, and Aldous E. 1950. Ion antagonisms in microorganisms interference of normal magnesium metabolism by nickel, cobalt, cadmium, zinc, and manganese. J Bacteriol 60:401-413.
- Lunin V, Dobrovetsky E, Khutoreskaya G, Zhang R, Joachimiak A, Doyle DA, Bochkarev A, Maguire ME, Edwards AM, and Koth CM. 2006. Crystal structure of the CorA Mg²⁺ transporter. Nature 440:833-837.
- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, and Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Methods 9:671-675.
- Simon R, Priefer U, and Pühler A. 1983. A broad host range mobilization system for in vivo genetic engineering: transposon mutagenesis in Gram-negative bacteria. Bio/Technology 1:784 - 791.
- 11. **Marx CJ, and Lidstrom ME.** 2002. Broad-host-range *cre-lox* system for antibiotic marker recycling in gram-negative bacteria. Biotechniques. **33**:1062-1067.
- 12. Berg Svd, Löfdahl PA, Härd T, and Berglund H. 2006. Improved solubility of TEV protease by directed evolution. J Biotechnol **121**:291-298.
- Legatzki A, Anton A, Grass G, Rensing C, and Nies DH. 2003. Interplay of the Czcsystem and two P-type ATPases in conferring metal resistance to *Ralstonia metallidurans*. J Bacteriol 185:4354–4361.

- Herzberg M, Schüttau M, Reimers M, Grosse C, Schlegel HG, and Nies DH. 2015. Synthesis of nickel-iron hydrogenase in *Cupriavidus metallidurans* is controlled by metaldependent silencing and un-silencing of genomic islands. Metallomics 7:632 - 649.
- 15. **Bütof L, Schmidt-Vogler C, Herzberg M, Große C, and Nies DH.** 2017. The components of the unique Zur regulon of *Cupriavidus metallidurans* mediate cytoplasmic zinc handling. J Bacteriol **199:**e00372-17, spotlight article.
- 16. Schmidt C, Schwarzenberger C, Grosse C, and Nies DH. 2014. FurC regulates expression of *zupT* for the central zinc importer ZupT of *Cupriavidus metallidurans*. J Bacteriol **196**:3461-3471.
- Mergeay M, Nies D, Schlegel HG, Gerits J, Charles P, and van Gijsegem F. 1985. *Alcaligenes eutrophus* CH34 is a facultative chemolithotroph with plasmid-bound resistance to heavy metals. J Bacteriol 162:328-334.
- Kirsten A, Herzberg M, Voigt A, Seravalli J, Grass G, Scherer J, and Nies DH. 2011. Contributions of five secondary metal uptake systems to metal homeostasis of *Cupriavidus metallidurans* CH34. J Bacteriol 193:4652-4663.