## **Supplementary Online Content**

Mendonça M, Bilgin A, Wolke D. Association of preterm birth and low birth weight with romantic partnership, sexual intercourse, and parenthood in adulthood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2(7):e196961. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.6961

**eTable 1.** Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale—Cohort Studies **eTable 2.** Mean Percentage of Occurrence of Social Transition

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable 1. Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale—Cohort Studies

Selection				Comparability	Outcome		
Representativeness	Selection of	Ascertainment of	Demonstration	Comparability of	Assessment of	Was follow-up	Adequacy of
of the exposed	the non-	<u>exposure</u>	that outcome of	cohorts on the	<u>outcome</u>	long enough for	follow up of
<u>cohort</u>	<u>exposed</u>	a) secure record (eg	interest was not	basis of the	a) independent	outcomes to	<u>cohorts</u>
a) truly	<u>cohort</u>	surgical records) *	present at start of	design or	blind	<u>occur</u>	<ul><li>a) complete</li></ul>
representative of	a) drawn from	b) structured	<u>study</u>	analysis	assessment *	a) yes (select	follow up - all
the average	the same	interview *	a) yes *	a) study controls	b) record linkage	an adequate	subjects
	community as	c) written self-report	b) no	for	*	follow up	accounted for *
(describe) in the	the exposed	d) no description			c) self-report	period for	b) subjects lost to
community *	cohort *			(select the most		outcome of	follow up unlikely
b) somewhat	b) drawn from			important factor)	d) no description	interest) *	to introduce bias
representative of	a different			*		b) no	- small number
the average	source			b) study controls			lost - > %
	c) no			for any			(select an
in the community *	description of			additional factor			adequate %)
c) selected group of	the derivation			<ul><li>* (This criteria</li></ul>			follow up, or
users eg nurses,	of the non-			could be			description
volunteers	exposed			modified to			provided of those
d) no description of	cohort			indicate specific			lost) *
the derivation of the				control for a			c) follow up rate
cohort				second			<% (select
				important factor.)			an adequate %)
							and no
							description of
							those lost
							d) no statement

eTable 2. Mean Percentage of Occurrence of Social Transition

		Romantic partnership	Sexual Intercourse	Parenthood* (%)	
		(%)	(%)		
All	PT	37.3	69.2	20.1	
	FT	54.7	80.9	22.2	
Degree of	EPT	25.2	55.8	17.3	
prematurity	VPT	37.5	70.8	21.6	
	MLPT	44.1	78.6	20.2	
Type of study	Cohort PT	51.6	NA	15.2	
	Cohort FT	61.8	NA	18.9	
	Registry PT	15.1	NA	24.8	
	Registry FT	28.2	NA	35.4	
Age Group	18-25 PT	44.4	66.7	9.4	
	18-25 FT	53.6	76	10.5	
	≥ 26y PT	33.1	77.9	24.5	
	≥ 26y FT	56.1	98.4	32.7	
Sex participant	Male PT	41.7	68.9	8	
	Male FT	48.6	81.9	10.6	
	Female PT	51.2	70.8	15	
	Female FT	61.2	84.3	18.9	

<sup>\*</sup>Percentages for parenthood exclude data from Drukker et al. because it reports on number of offspring rather than if parent.