# nature research | reporting summary

# natureresearch

Corresponding author(s):

Double-blind peer review submissions: write DBPR and your manuscript number here instead of author names.

Last updated by author(s): YYYY-MM-DD

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see Authors & Referees and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

#### **Statistics**

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	$\square$	The exact sample size ( $n$ ) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	$\square$	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	$\square$	A description of all covariates tested
	$\square$	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
	$\square$	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
	$\square$	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	$\square$	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

#### Software and code

Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>							
Data collection	No software was used						
Data analysis	"survival and "survminer" packages in R version 3.4.3						

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable: - Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

While the authors of this study are not permitted to redistribute the imaging or clinical data, all primary data are publicly available from the UK Biobank organization by application for academic non-commercial use (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/using-the-resource/).

# Field-specific reporting

K Life sciences

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

# Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.						
Sample size	Sample size included all 14,328 participants with available MRI data in the UK Biobank					
Data exclusions	no exclusions					
Replication	Models were verified and replicated using standard machine learning metrics for evaluating performance outside of the set of subjects used to develop the model.					
Randomization	Observational study data was used as part of a survival analysis. Subjects where assigned to cohorts based on the classification output of our model.					
Blinding	All data was collected by a 3rd party (the UK Biobank). MRI labels for evaluation were without during model development.					

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

Methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

#### Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
$\boxtimes$	Antibodies	$\boxtimes$	ChIP-seq
$\boxtimes$	Eukaryotic cell lines	$\boxtimes$	Flow cytometry
$\boxtimes$	Palaeontology	$\bowtie$	MRI-based neuroimaging
$\boxtimes$	Animals and other organisms		
	Human research participants		
$\boxtimes$	Clinical data		

#### Human research participants

Policy information about <u>studies involving human research participants</u>						
Population characteristics	500,000 middle-aged adults aged 40-69 at recruitment from the United Kingdom					
Recruitment	Volunteers at one of 22 recruitment centers throughout England, Wales, and Scotland.					
Ethics oversight	The UK Biobank ethics committee administered the consent and regulatory compliance for all research participants https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/ukb-EACToR-march-2018-item-9a-2.pdf					

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.