# **Supplementary Figures**





**Supplementary Figure 1**. Molecular structure (according to availability) and absorption and emission spectra of all 22 tetrazine-dyes investigated in the study.



**Supplementary Figure 2**. Molecular structure and absorption and emission spectra of H-Tetamine (blue) and Me-Tet-amine (red).



**Supplementary Figure 3**. **a**. Relative fluorescence emission spectra of ATTO488 (black), H-Tet-ATTO488 (magenta), and Me-Tet-ATTO488 (light blue). The emission spectra are normalized to the same extinction at the excitation wavelength. **b**. Relative fluorescence intensity increase of H-Tet-ATTO488 (magenta) and Me-Tet-ATTO488 (light blue) upon addition of a 25-fold excess of TCO\*-Lys measured at 522 nm and normalized to the fluorescence intensity recorded before addition of TCO\*-lysine. **c**. Relative fluorescence intensity increase of H-Tet-ATTO488 (magenta) and Me-Tet-ATTO488 (light blue) upon addition of a 25-fold excess of TCO\*-Lys normalized to the fluorescence intensity recorded after reaction with TCO\*-Lys. **d**. Relative fluorescence intensity increase of H-Tet-Cy5 (red) and Me-Tet-Cy5 (blue) upon addition of a 25-fold excess of TCO\*-Lys. All measurements were performed in aqueous buffer, PBS, pH 7.4.



**Supplementary Figure 4**. Steady-state and time-resolved Stern-Volmer plots of ATTO655, ATTO700, Cy5, Cy5B, and JF<sub>646</sub> recorded with different concentrations of Me-Tet-amine (0 – 25 mM) in PBS, pH 7.4. Please see Figure 1c for closer inspection of the dynamic quenching efficiencies of the five dyes.



**Supplementary Figure 5**. FCS curves of different tetrazine-dyes before (blue) and after clicking to TCO\*-Lys (magenta). **a**. Met-Tet-ATTO655, **b**. Met-Tet-ATTO680, **c**. Met-Tet-ATTO700 and **d**. H-Tet-Cy5.

Me-Tet-ATTO425	Me-Tet-ATTO465	
Me-Tet-ATTO488	Me-Tet-AZ503	
Me-Tet-AZ519	Me-Tet-ATTO532	
		No. of Concession, Name
Me-Tet-ATTO550	Me-Tet-ATTO565	
Me-Tet-ATTO550 Me-Tet-ATTO590	Me-Tet-ATTO565 Me-Tet-ATTO594	



**Supplementary Figure 6**. Fluorescence images of U2OS/Cos7 cells labeled with phalloidin-TCO (left) and unmodified phalloidin (right) and different tetrazine-dyes. Cells were fixed and pre-labeled with an excess of phalloidin-TCO. Labeling was performed after washing with 1-3  $\mu$ M tetrazine-dyes for 10 min. Before imaging, the excess of tetrazine-dyes was removed my washing with PBS, pH 7.4. Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m.



**Supplementary Figure 7**. Re-scan confocal fluorescence images of NIH/3T3 cells labeled with phalloidin-TCO and different tetrazine-dyes. Cells were fixed and pre-labeled with an excess of phalloidin-TCO. Labeling was performed after washing with 1-3  $\mu$ M tetrazine-dyes for 10 min. Before imaging, the excess of tetrazine-dyes was removed by washing with PBS, pH 7.4. Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m.



**Supplementary Figure 8**. SIM images of U2-OS\* and COS7 cells labelled with phalloidin-TCO and different tetrazine-dyes. Cells were fixed and pre-labeled with an excess of phalloidin-TCO. Labeling was performed after washing with 1-3  $\mu$ M tetrazine-dyes for 10 min. Before imaging, the excess of tetrazine-dyes was removed my washing with PBS, pH 7.4. Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m.



**Supplementary Figure 9**. Wash-free confocal fluorescence images of COS7 cells labeled with phalloidin-TCO and different tetrazine-dyes. Cells were fixed and pre-labeled with an excess of phalloidin-TCO. Labeling was performed after washing with 1-3  $\mu$ M tetrazine-dyes for 10 min in PBS, pH 7.4. without any additional washing step. Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m.



**Supplementary Figure 10**. **a**. Imaging of kainate receptors in HEK293T cells labeled with H-Tet-Cy5 and anti-GluK2-antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #PA5-32427). **a**. Live-cell labeling of HEK293T cells transfected with the membrane receptor GluK2<sup>S272TAG</sup> with the membraneimpermeable H-Tet-Cy5 (magenta, left), immunostaining with the primary antibody rabbit-anti-GluK2 subsequently labeled with secondary antibody gar-Alexa488 (cyan, middle), and overlaid image (right). **b**. Expanded view of the white rectangle shown in a. **c**. Live-cell labeling of HEK293T cells transfected with the membrane receptor GluK2<sup>S272TAG</sup> with the membraneimpermeable H-Tet-Cy5 (magenta, left), followed by fixation and permeabilization of the cells (4%FA and 0,25% Triton X-100). Afterwards, cells were immunolabeled with the primary antibody rabbit-anti-GluK2 and subsequently labeled with secondary antibody goat-anti-rabbit-Alexa488 (cyan, middle), and the merged image (right). **d**. Expanded view of white rectangle shown in c. Scale bar, 20 µm (a,c), 10 µm (b,d).



**Supplementary Figure 11**. **a**. Confocal fluorescence image of the clickable TNF receptor 1 (TNFR1<sup>S42TAG</sup>-tdEOS) labeled with H-Tet-Cy5 (1.5  $\mu$ M). **b**. TNF receptor 1 (TNFR1-tdEOS) stained with a monoclonal primary antibody (abcam, #ab194814) labeled with Alexa 647 (DOL ~ 1.0). **c**. TNF receptor 1 (TNFR1-tdEOS) stained with a polyclonal primary antibody (Abcam, #ab19139) labeled with Alexa 647 (DOL ~ 1.0). Brightness and contrast was enhanced 10x in b. and c. Scale bar, 5  $\mu$ m.



**Supplementary Figure 12**. **a**. Live-cell re-scan confocal fluorescence microscopy images recorded from a COS-7 cell in culture medium transfected with EMTB<sup>K87TAG</sup>-3xGFP and clicked with 3  $\mu$ M H-Tet-SiR for 10 min. As reported also in literature<sup>1</sup>, microtubule dynamics remains unaffected and cells show microtubule growth (white arrowheads) as well as microtubule disassembly (yellow arrowheads). **b**. Live-cell re-scan confocal fluorescence microscopy images recorded from a U2-OS cell in culture medium treated with 10  $\mu$ M Docetaxel-TCO for 30 min and labeled with 10  $\mu$ M H-Tet-SiR for 10 min. The white arrowheads show damped dynamics lacking microtubule polymerization and degradation events. Scale bar, 2  $\mu$ m.

### **Supplementary Methods**

#### Chemical synthesis of docetaxel-TCO probe

*General experimental information.* All chemical reagents for the synthesis were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification: Docetaxel (TCI, Eschborn, Germany, CAS: 114977-28-5); (*E*)-cyclooct-4-en *p*-nitrophenol active ester (SiChem, Bremen, Germany, CAS: 1438415-89-4); 6-aminohexanoic acid (Alfa Aesar, Karlsruhe, Germany, CAS: 60-32-2). Dimethylformamide and triethylamine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany).

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded at 295 K on a Bruker Avance III HD 400 (<sup>1</sup>H: 400 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C: 100 MHz) and Bruker Avance III HD 600 (<sup>1</sup>H: 600 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C: 150 MHz). The chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) with respect to the solvent residual signals of CD<sub>3</sub>OD ( $\delta$ (MeOD- $d_4$ ) = 3.31 ppm for <sup>1</sup>H and  $\delta$ (MeOD- $d_4$ ) = 49.00 for <sup>13</sup>C). The coupling constants (J) are reported in Hz and indicate the proton spin-spin couplings. Multiplicity is abbreviated as follows: s = singlet; d = doublet; t = triplet; q = quartet; m = multiplet; dd = doublet of doublet; dt = doublet of triplet; br s = broad singlet, br d = broad doublet; br t = broad triplet etc.

High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) of synthesized compounds was confirmed with Bruker Daltonics micrOTOF-Q III with electrospray ionization (ESI).

Liquid chromatography purification was performed with silica gel 60 (0.04 – 0.063 mm), purchased from Macherey-Nagel (Düren, Germany).

Synthetic procedure and characterization. The synthesis of docetaxel-TCO (**6**) was performed in three steps (Scheme 1). Docetaxel (**1**) was deprotected with formic acid to obtain intermediate **2** as previously reported<sup>2</sup>. The reaction of 6-aminohexanoic acid (**3**) and (*E*)cyclooct-4-en *p*-nitrophenol active ester (**4**) in DMF/H<sub>2</sub>O mixture overnight led to (*E*)-cyclooct-4-en-1-yl-*N*-hexanoic acid carbamate (**5**). The subsequent amide coupling between intermediates **2** and **5** generated the docetaxel-TCO probe **6**.



Chemical synthesis of the docetaxel-TCO probe.

#### 3'-Aminodocetaxel (2)

In a 5 mL flask docetaxel (1) (100 mg, 124  $\mu$ mol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 0.5 mL formic acid and stirred at r.t. for 3 h. Afterwards the solvent was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure and the obtained crude formate salt **2** was used in the next step without further purification.

#### (E)-Cyclooct-4-en-1-yl-N-hexanoic acid carbamate (5)

In a 5 mL flask 6-aminohexanoic acid (**3**) (25.0 mg, 191 µmol, 1 eq.) was suspended in 0.5 mL DMF and 0.1 mL H<sub>2</sub>O and 132 µL NEt<sub>3</sub> (953 µmol, 5 eq.) were added. After stirring for 5 min (*E*)-cyclooct-4-en *p*-nitrophenol active ester (**4**) (55.5 mg, 191 µmol, 1 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The clear yellow solution was diluted with 50 mL AcOEt and 10 mL 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. The aqueous phase was separated and the organic phase was washed once with 10 mL brine. The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography using a gradient: CHCl<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  CHCl<sub>3</sub>:MeOH = 25:1. The TCO-Carbamate **5** was obtained as colorless oil (48 mg, 89 %).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (400 MHz, MeOD-*d*<sub>4</sub>): δ = 5.72–5.64 (m, 1H), 5.59–5.50 (m, 1H), 4.83–4.79 (m, 1H), 3.10 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.42–2.21 (m, 6H), 1.89–1.23 (m, 12H).

<sup>13</sup>**C NMR** (100 MHz, MeOD-*d*<sub>4</sub>): δ = 177.7, 158.9, 136.5, 132.7, 71.4, 42.1, 41.7, 35.4, 35.0, 33.9, 31.0, 30.8, 29.1, 27.6, 25.9.

**HRMS** (ESI): *m*/*z* calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NNaO<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 306.1676; found: 306.1683 (∆ = 2.4 ppm). Docetaxel-TCO probe (**6**)

To a solution of TCO-carbamate 5 (30.0 mg, 106 µmol, 1 eq.) in 0.5 mL dry DMF successively HATU (44.3 mg, 117 µmol, 1.1 eg.) and NEt<sub>3</sub> (74 µL, 5 eg.) were added. After stirring for 10 min at r.t. 3'-aminodocetaxel formate salt 2 (93.5 mg, 122 µmol, 1.15 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture was further stirred for 3 h. After full consumption of TCO-carbamate 5 the reaction mixture was diluted with 50 mL AcOEt and 10 mL 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. The aqueous phase was separated and the organic phase was washed once with 10 mL brine. The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by  $(CHCI_3:MeOH = 25:0.25 \rightarrow$ column chromatography twice using а gradient: CHCl<sub>3</sub>:MeOH = 25:1). The docetaxel-TCO probe (6) was obtained as colorless solid (40.2 mg, 39 %).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (400 MHz, MeOD- $d_4$ ):  $\delta$  = 8.12–8.11 (m, 1H), 8.11–8.10 (m, 1H), 7.68–7.64 (m, 1H), 7.58–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.44–7.37 (m, 4H), 7.30–7.25 (m, 1H), 6.16 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 5.70–5.45 (m, 4H), 5.27 (s, 1H), 4.99 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 4.81–4.77 (m, 1H), 4.58 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.24–4.17 (m, 3H), 3.87 (d, *J* = 7.2), 3.05 (br t, *J* = 6.9, 2H), 2.44 (ddd, *J* = 14.4, 9.7, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.39–2.14 (m, 10H), 2.10–1.98 (m, 2H), 1.90 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.87–1.80 (m, 2H), 1.76–1.59 (m,7H), 1.57–1.28 (m, 6H), 1.19 (s, 3H), 1.13 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>**C NMR** (100 MHz, MeOD-*d<sub>4</sub>*):  $\delta$  = 211.1, 175.9, 174.4, 171.8, 167.7, 158.7, 158.6, 140.1, 139.2, 138.0, 136.3, 134.5, 132.5, 131.4, 131.2, 129.7, 129.7, 128.9, 128.4, 85.9, 82.3, 79.1, 77.6, 76.4, 75.6, 74.8, 72.7, 72.5, 71.2, 58.9, 56.8, 47.8, 44.5, 42.0, 41.5, 37.5, 36.9, 36.8, 35.3, 35.2, 33.7, 30.9, 30.7, 29.0, 27.4, 27.1, 26.7, 26.6, 26.0, 23.2, 21.7, 14.4, 10.5.

**HRMS** (ESI): m/z calc. for C<sub>53</sub>H<sub>69</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>15</sub><sup>+</sup> [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 973.46925; found: 973.46794 ( $\Delta$  = 1.34 ppm).

## **Supplementary References**

#### <u>References</u>

- 1. Faire, K., Watermann-Storer, C. M., Gruber, D., Masson, D., Salmon, E. D. & Bulinski, J. C. E-MAP-115 (ensconsin) associates dynamically with microtubules in vivo and is not a physiological modulator of microtubule dynamics. *J Cell Sci.* **112**, 4243-4255 (1999).
- G. Lukinavičius, L. Reymond, E. D'Este, A. Masharina, F. Göttfert, H. Ta, A. Güther, M. Fournier, S. Rizzo, H. Waldmann, C. Blaukopf, C. Sommer, D. W. Gerlich, H.-D. Arndt, S. W. Hell, K. Johnsson, Nature Methods 2014, **11**, 731.