## Development of the Self Optimising Kohonen Index Network (SKiNET) for Raman Spectroscopy Based Detection of Anatomical Eye Tissue

 $\begin{array}{ccc} {\rm Carl \ Banbury^1 \quad Richard \ Mason^2 \quad Iain \ Styles^3 \quad Neil \ Eisenstein^1} \\ {\rm Michael \ Clancy^1 \quad Antonio \ Belli^4 \quad Ann \ Logan^4} \end{array}$ 

<sup>†</sup>Pola Goldberg Oppenheimer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chemical Engineering, University of Birmingham <sup>2</sup>Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham <sup>3</sup>Computer Science, University of Birmingham <sup>4</sup>Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, University of Birmingham

## Supplementary Information



Figure S1: Paired examples of bright field optical microscope images (left) and PCA scores across map scan (right) for each tissue type:  $\mathbf{a}$ , cornea,  $\mathbf{b}$ , lens,  $\mathbf{c}$ , vitreous humour,  $\mathbf{d}$ , retina and  $\mathbf{e}$ , optic nerve.



Figure S2: **a**, Scores plot for the first two principal components showing poor spatial separation of classes. **b**, Loadings for PC1 and PC2.

|                 | Cornea | Lens | Vitreous Humour | Retina | Optic Nerve |
|-----------------|--------|------|-----------------|--------|-------------|
| Cornea          | 88.0   | 2.1  | 4.7             | 3.3    | 2.8         |
| Lens            | 0.5    | 99.8 | 0.5             | 0      | 0.1         |
| Vitreous Humour | 1.0    | 0.1  | 96.5            | 2.7    | 0.5         |
| Retina          | 1.0    | 0.2  | 2.3             | 95.7   | 1.7         |
| Optic Nerve     | 4.6    | 0.71 | 0.8             | 2.2    | 92.5        |

Table S1: Confusion matrix showing average percentage for each class from the 1210 test spectra.



Figure S3: Comparison of classification accuracy for different approaches to SOM based classification. SOM-H referrs to using the hit count for class identification, SOM-S uses supervised SOMs as described here [16]