BTX-A compared to placebo for the prevention of migraine in adults

Outcomes	A (95% CI)	Number of participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)
Number of migraine days per month - Chronic migraine	MD 3.1 days lower (4.7 lower to 1.4 lower)	1497 (4 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊝ LOW ^{a b}
Number of headache days per month - Chronic migraine	MD 1.9 days lower (2.7 lower to 1.0 lower)	1384 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ нібн
Number of migraine attacks	MD 0.5 attacks lower (1.3 lower to 0.4 higher)	2004 (6 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊖⊝ LOW ^{c d}
Headache intensity measure - Chronic migraine (Visual Analogue Score 0-10)	MD 2.7 cm lower (3.3 lower to 2.1 lower)	75 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW ^{ef}
Headache intensity measure - Episodic migraine (Visual Analogue Score 0-10)		75 (1 RCT)	⊕⊖⊝ VERY LOW ^{ef}
Headache Impact Test-6	MD 1.6 points higher (2.1 lower to 5.3 higher)	45 (1 RCT)	⊕⊖⊖⊝ VERY LOW ^{e f}
Total number of participants experiencing an adverse event	RR 1.28 (1.1 to 1.5)	3325 (13 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ MODERATE ^g

Footnotes

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio; MD: Mean difference. ^a Downgraded once due to inconsistency: Statistical heterogeneity observed despite similarities in populations and doses.

^b Downgraded once due to imprecision: Sensitivity analysis testing robustness of result suggested small studies may be over estimating treatment effect. ^c Downgraded once due to indirectness: Sensitivity of this outcome measure at risk of being too low to detect clinically

meaningful differences. ^d Downgraded once due to publication bias: Evidence found of trials that have never been published which record this outcome. ^e Downgraded once due to risk of bias: High or unclear risk of selective reporting bias and poor reporting of this outcome measure had a large effect on numbers analyzed. ^f Downgraded twice due to imprecision: Study size small, new trial evidence likely to change result. ^g Downgraded once due to imprecision: Study size small, new trial evidence likely to change result. GRADE Working Group grades of evidence- High quality: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect; Moderate quality: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different; Low quality: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect; Very low quality: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect