BTX-A compared to other established prophylactic agent for the prevention of migraine in adults

Outcomes	Result with BTX-A (95% CI)	Number of participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)
	One trial using topiramate in its comparison arm reported narratively on this outcome stating that there was no significant difference between groups.	43 (1 RCT)	⊕⊖⊖⊝ VERY LOW ^{a b}
	MD 1 days lower	59	⊕⊖⊖
	(4.3 lower to 2.3 higher)	(1 RCT)	VERY LOW ^{a b}
	MD 0.4 points lower	46	⊕⊖⊝
	(0.79 lower to 0.01 lower)	(1 RCT)	VERY LOW ^{a b}
Global impression of disease assessed with: Migraine impact and disability assessment scores	MD 4.3 points higher (28 lower to 37 higher)	101 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊖⊝⊝ VERY LOW ^{a b}
Total number of participants experiencing an adverse event	RR 0.8	114	⊕⊖⊖
	(0.4 to 1.9)	(2 RCTs)	VERY LOW ^{a b}

Footnotes

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio; MD: Mean difference. ^a Downgraded once due to risk of bias: Unclear or high risk for selection, performance, detection and attrition bias.

^b Downgraded twice due to imprecision: Study sizes small, new trial evidence likely to change result. ^c Downgraded once due to imprecision: Narrative description only. GRADE

Working Group grades of evidence- High quality: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect; Moderate quality: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different; Low quality: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect; Very low quality: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.