

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

Factors associated with long-term prescription of benzodiazepine: a retrospective cohort study using a health insurance database in Japan

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-029641
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-Feb-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Takano, Ayumi; Yokohama City University, Department of Psychiatric Nursing Ono, Sachiko; The University of Tokyo, Department of Biostatistics & Bioinformatics Yamana, Hayato; The University of Tokyo, Department of Health Services Research Matsui, Hiroki; The University of Tokyo, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Health Economics Matsumoto, Toshihiko; National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Department of Drug Dependence Research Yasunaga, Hideo; School of Public Health, The University of Tokyo, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Health Economics Kawakami, Norito; The University of Tokyo, Department of Mental Health
Keywords:	Benzodiazepine, Cohort study, Dependence, Long-term prescription, Risk factors

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

ra sti	ctors associated with long-term prescription of benzodiazepine: a retrospective cohouty using a health insurance database in Japan
500	
1.	Ayumi Takano, PhD,
	Department of Psychiatric Nursing, Yokohama City University
2.	Sachiko Ono, MPH,
	Department of Biostatistics & Bioinformatics, The University of Tokyo
3.	Hayato Yamana, PhD,
	Department of Health Services Research, The University of Tokyo
4.	Hiroki Matsui, MPH,
	Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Health Economics, The University of
	Tokyo
5.	Toshihiko Matsumoto, PhD,
	Department of Drug Dependence Research, National Center of Neurology and
	Psychiatry
6.	Hideo Yasunaga, PhD,
	Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Health Economics, The University of
	Tokyo
7.	Norito Kawakami, PhD
	Department of Mental Health, The University of Tokyo
Co	orresponding author
A	yumi Takano
De	epartment of Psychiatric Nursing, Yokohama City University,
3-	9 Fukuura, Kanazawa-Ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 236-0004, Japan
Τł	EL: +81 45 787 2751
ay	umi-takano@umin.ac.jp
W	ord count: 2088
Ke	ey words:

1 Abstract

2	Objectives: Long-term prescriptions of benzodiazepine drugs (BZD) seem to remain,
3	although clinical guidelines aim to prevent it. The aim of this study was to investigate
4	the proportion of the long-term BZD prescription and its risk factors.
5	Design: Retrospective cohort study using a health insurance database.
6	Setting: Japan.
7	Participants: A total 88,001 patients were identified outpatients aged 18 to 65 who
8	started BZDs between October 1st, 2012 and April 1st, 2015. After excluding patients
9	without eight months follow-up ($n = 12,325$), and patients who underwent surgery on
10	the day of first BZD prescription ($n = 3,721$), 71,955 outpatients were analyzed.
11	Main outcome measures: We investigated the proportion of the long-term prescription
12	for ≥ 8 months among the new BZD users. We assessed patient demographics,
13	diagnoses, initial BZD prescription, and prescribers as potential predictors of the long-
14	term BZD prescription. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to assess the
15	association between the long-term prescription and the potential predictors.
16	Results: Of the new BZD users, 6,462 (9.0%) were consecutively prescribed BZD for
17	\geq 8 months. The long-term prescription was significantly associated with cancer, aging,
18	mood and neurotic disorder, hypnotics, prescription by psychiatrists, and high-dose

19	BZD at the initial prescription.
20	Conclusion: Despite the recent clinical guidelines, 9% of new BZD users were
21	prescribed for more than 8 months. Physicians should be aware of the risk factors when
22	prescribing BZDs for the first time.
23	
24	Strengths and limitations of this study
25	• A large sample of the Japanese outpatients among the non-elderly adult population.
26	• Analyzed new benzodiazepine users to prevent prevalent user bias.
27	• A strict definition of long-term use of benzodiazepine by identifying consecutive
28	monthly prescription data.
29	• Limitation of detailed and accurate information in the health insurance database
30	such as the diagnosis and the severity of psychiatric disorders.
31	
32	Introduction
33	Concerns about long-term use of benzodiazepines and benzodiazepine-related drugs
34	(BZDs) have been raised over the world. Although BZDs are safer than old sedative-
35	hypnotics, efficacy of their long-term use has not been demonstrated. Long-term use of
36	BZD leads to dependence. ¹ Patients may become dependent on BZDs to avoid

37	withdrawal symptoms. ¹⁻³ Studies showed several adverse consequences related to long-
38	term use of BZD, including dementia among elderly people ^{4,5} and fracture ^{6,7} cancer ⁸
39	and car accident ⁹ in any generation.
40	Understanding prevalence of long-term use of BZDs and its risk factors is
41	essential to prevent adverse consequences. However, reported prevalence of long-term
42	BZD use varied widely because of variability in definition of long-term BZD prescription,
43	study participants, and follow-up period ^{10,11} Over a half of the studies did not provide
44	plausible explanation for the definitions. ¹¹ Some studies used one prescription per year
45	or per several months as definition of long-term BZD prescription and others included
46	prevalent users of BZDs or only the elderly as study population. These studies may have
47	overestimated the proportion of long-term prescription of BZDs.
48	Reported risk factors of long-term prescription have been also inconsistent. In a
49	systematic review, ¹¹ long-term prescription of BZDs were associated with older age,
50	psychiatric disorders, and polypharmacy or high-dose BZDs at the initial prescription.
51	However, association of several other factors with long term use of BZDs remains
52	inconsistent, including demographic variables (e.g. gender, income), type of BZDs (e.g.
53	half-life, Z-drug), or characteristics of prescriber (e.g. psychiatry). The inconsistency
54	may have been affected by variability of definition of long-term use of BZD. ¹¹ For

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34 25	
22	
30 27	
22	
20	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55 56	
50 57	
57	
59	
60	

55	example, in a study where long-term prescription was defined as at least one
56	prescription every three months, female was a risk factor. ¹² However, in another study
57	where long-term prescription was defined as lasting BZD use for 60 days, male was a
58	risk factor. ¹³
59	In this study, we subjected new BZDs users who continued the drugs for ≥ 8
60	consecutive months. This definition was selected based on two previous studies. In a
61	systematic review, long-term BZD use was commonly defined as 6-12 months. ¹¹ In
62	another study, about a half of patients who used BZDs for 8 months experienced clear
63	withdrawal symptoms. ¹⁴
64	Using a large-scale health insurance database with detailed prescription
65	information, the present study investigated the proportion of long-term BZD
66	prescription among new BZD users in Japan with a retrospective cohort design. We also
67	assessed examined factors associated with the long-term prescription.
68	
69	Methods
70	Data source
71	We used a health insurance claims database provided by JMDC Inc., Tokyo, Japan.
72	JMDC Inc. has collected claims information from occupation-based health insurance

73	agencies for corporate employees and their dependents since 2005. ¹⁵ The JMDC
74	database includes anonymous data of inpatient, outpatient, and pharmacy claims and
75	special health checkups from about 3,000,000 individuals by November 2014,
76	representing about 2.5% of the Japanese population. ¹⁶ Each record includes age, sex,
77	diagnoses, prescriptions, information of medical institution, and date of the services.
78	The diagnoses are based on International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (ICD-
79	10) diagnostic codes. The prescription information has the World Health Organization's
80	Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (WHO-ATC) classification system codes, drug
81	name, dosage, days of supply, and mode of prescription. Date of prescriptions has been
82	recorded since April 1st 2012. We thus used the data from April 1st 2012 through
83	December 31st 2015. The requirement for informed consent was waived because of the
84	anonymous nature of the data. The study was approved by the Institutional Review
85	Board of The University of Tokyo.
86	
87	Patient selection
88	We selected outpatients aged 18 to 65 years who started at least one of available oral
89	BZD and BZD-related drugs between October 1st 2012 and April 1st 2015

90 (Supplementary table). We chose only subjects who had been continuously enrolled in

Page 7 of 29

91	the JMDC database for at least six months before first prescription of BZDs. We
92	defined first users as those who had not used any BZDs for this six-month baseline
93	period (Figure 1). We excluded patients who were followed up for less than 8 months
94	after the first BZD prescription. We also excluded those who underwent surgery on the
95	day of the first BZD prescription. Surgeries were identified with Japanese original
96	procedure codes. When extracting BZDs, we used the WHO-ATC codes N05BA,
97	N05CD, N05CF, and N03AE. ¹⁷ We added seven BZDs which were not covered by the
98	WHO-ATC codes but were available in Japan. We excluded clobazam from this study
99	because it was categorized as benzodiazepine-derivative anxiolytics in the WHO-ATC
100	code (N05BA09) but was used as an antiepileptic in Japan. Thirty-two BZDs were
101	identified.
102	Figure 1
103	
104	Definition of long-term BZD prescription
105	We defined long-term BZD users as those who received at least one prescription of any
106	BZDs every month for ≥ 8 consecutive months.
107	
108	Potential predictors of long-term BZD prescription

Page 8 of 29

BMJ Open

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
, 0	
ð	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
27	
27	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
17	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
59 59	
50	
27	
bΟ	

109	We assessed the following variables at baseline period as potential predictors of long-
110	term BZD use based on previous studies. Patient characteristics included sex, age,
111	working status (worker or dependent), diagnosis of cancer (ICD-10 code, C\$), and
112	psychiatric diagnosis (no diagnosis, mood disorders only [F3], neurotic, stress-related
113	and somatic disorders only [F4], both mood disorder and neurotic disorder [F3 and F4],
114	and other psychiatric disorders [F0, 1, 5-9]. Physician's specialty (psychiatry or others)
115	was also evaluated. Pharmacological characteristics of the first BZD prescription
116	included type of BZD (hypnotics only, anxiolytics only, or both), half-life of BZD
117	(short: <12 hours, medium: 12-24 hours, long: ≥24 hours), administration instruction
118	(regular, as needed, or both), and the number of BZDs. If a patient was prescribed
119	multiple BZDs with different half-lives, we selected the BZD with the longest half-life.
120	
121	Statistical analyses
122	First, we calculated proportion of long-term use of BZD and compared the potential
123	predictors of long-term prescription between the patients who were prescribed BZDs for
124	\geq 8 and <8 months. We used Student's t-test to compare the average of continuous
125	variables (such as age) and chi-squared test to compare the proportion of categorical
126	variables (such as sex). Next, a multivariable logistic regression was performed to

Page 9 of 29		BMJ Open	
- 5 -			
, ,	127	assess the association between the potential predictors and long-term prescription.	
0	128	The threshold for significance was $P < 0.05$. We used IBM SPSS version 23	
2 3 4	129	(IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) for all statistical analyses.	
5 6 7	130		
8 9 90	131	Results	
21 22 23	132	Patient characteristics	
4 25	133	A total 88,001 patients were identified as new BZD users during the study period	
27 18	134	(Figure 2). After excluding patients without eight months follow-up ($n = 12,325$), and	
50 51 52	135	patients who underwent surgery on the day of first BZD prescription ($n = 3,721$), 71,955	
3 4 5	136	patients were included in the analysis. There were 6,462 patients (9.0%) who were	
6 7 8	137	prescribed BZDs for ≥ 8 consecutive months. When stratified by gender, 10.7% of males	
19 10	138	and 7.2% of females continued BZD use for ≥ 8 months. As for age group, the	
2	139	proportion of long-term use was 8.9%, 9.3%, 9.5%, 8.6%, and 9.0% for subjects aged	
-5 -6 -7	140	18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, and 60-65, respectively. Table 1 shows patient	
-8 -9	141	characteristics, information of physicians, and pharmacological characteristics of the	
1 2	142	initial prescription. About half of them were diagnosed with mood disorder, and	
5 5 6	143	hypnotics were more likely to be prescribed than anxiolytics.	
57 58 59	144	Figure 2	
50			
		9	

145 ----Table 1----

146 Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the new users of benzodiazepines (N

147 = 71,955)

			BZD < 8 month	BZD \geq 8 month	P value
			n = 65,493 (91.0 %)	n = 6462 (9.0 %)	
Age, mean	(SD)		42.3 (11.8)	42.0 (11.3)	0.016
Sex, male			33,072 (50.5)	3959 (61.3)	< 0.001
Working st	atus, workers		42,131 (64.3)	4649 (71.9)	< 0.001
Cancer			6875 (10.5)	673 (10.4)	0.853
Medical sp	ecialty, psychiatry		6876 (10.5)	3887 (60.2)	< 0.001
Psychiatric	diagnosis				< 0.001
None			28,175 (43.0)	1275 (19.7)	
Mood di	sorders		8958 (13.7)	2170 (33.6)	
Neurotic	, stress-related and	somatic	20,801 (31,0)	1386 (21 4)	
disorders			20,071 (31.7)	1500 (21.4)	
Mood ar	nd neurotic disorders		6262 (9.6)	1433 (22.2)	
Other di	sorders		1207 (1.8)	198 (3.1)	
Type of BZ	ZD				< 0.001
Hypnoti	c		18,413 (28.1)	2187 (33.8)	
Anxioly	tic		42,809 (65.4)	3038 (47.0)	
Both			4271 (6.5)	1237 (19.1)	
Half-life of	f BZD				< 0.001
Short (<	12 h)		42,392 (64.7)	3609 (55.8)	
Medium	(12-24 h)		10,233 (15.6)	1512 (23.4)	
Long (≦	≦ 24 h)		12,868 (19.6)	1341 (20.8)	
Administra	tion instruction				< 0.001
Regular			45,959 (70.2)	4926 (76.2)	
As need	ed		17,122 (26.1)	938 (14.5)	
Both			2412 (3.7)	598 (9.3)	
Number of	BZD, mean (SD)		1.1 (0.4)	1.3 (0.6)	< 0.001
148 Data presei	nted as n (%) unless c	otherwise	specified.		
149 BZD benz	odiazenine-related dr	uge SD	standard deviation		
		ugs, 5D,	standard deviation.		
150					
1 2 1					
101					
152 Predictors	of long-term prescr	ription			

153Table 2 shows the result of the multivariable logistic regression. Patients with cancer

154 had significantly higher risk of long-term BZD prescription than those without cancer

3								
4								
5								
6	155	(adds ratio 1 10: 05% confidence interval 1 0	0 1 3 1	· n < (1) Ha	lf life of E	$27D_{5}$ was
7	199	(ouus ratio, 1.19, 9576 connuence interval, 1.0	9-1.31	, p < t	0.00	1). 11a		SLDS was
8								
9	150	not agga sisted with lang tame DZD anagamintic						
10	190	not associated with long-term BZD prescriptio	11.					
11								
12	1 2 7	T-11- 2						
13	157	I able 2						
14								
15	158	Table 2 Factors related to long-term benzodiaze	epine p	prescri	ptior	n amoi	ng the nev	v users of
16	159	henzodiazenines ($N = 71.955$)						
17	100							
18		Variables	OR	9.	<u>5% (</u>		P value	
19		Age	1.02	1.01	to	1.02	< 0.001	
20		Sex, male	1.12	1.04	to	1.21	< 0.001	
21		Working status, workers	0.98	0.90	to	1.05	0.53	
22		Cancer	1 1 9	1.09	to	1 31	<0.001	
23		Madical anagialty, neurobiotry	2.04	1.07	to	2.20	<0.001	
24		Medical specialty, psychiatry	2.04	1.88	10	2.20	<0.001	
25		Psychiatric diagnosis						
26		None	Ref.					
20		Mood disorders	2.98	2.70	to	3.29	< 0.001	
27		Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorders	1.42	1.30	to	1.55	< 0.001	
20		Mood and neurotic disorders	3 22	2 90	to	3 58	< 0.001	
30		Other disorders	2.22	1.01	to	2.60	<0.001	
30		True of DZD	2.20	1.91	10	2.09	<0.001	
37								
22 22		Hypnotic	Ref.					
31		Anxiolytic	0.61	0.57	to	0.66	< 0.001	
25		Both	0.86	0.76	to	0.98	0.02	
26		Half-life of BZD						
30 27		Short (< 12 h)	Ref					
27 20		Medium (12.24 h)	1.04	0.96	to	1 1 2	0.34	
20		$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$	0.05	0.90	10	1.12	0.34	
39		$Long (\geq 24 n)$	0.95	0.88	to	1.03	0.22	
40		Administration instruction						
41		Regular	Ref.					
42		As needed	0.53	0.49	to	0.57	< 0.001	
43		Both	0.93	0.82	to	1.05	0.23	
44		Number of BZD	1 32	1 21	to	1.45	<0.001	
45	1.0.0		1.52	1.21	1.0	D 1	1	
46	160	BZD, benzodiazepine-related drugs; CI, confic	lence 1	nterva	ıl; O	R, odd	ds ratio	
4/								
48	161							
49								
50								
51	162	Discussion						
52								
53								
54	163	Using a large health insurance claims database	we ir	vestig	vated	1 the n	roportion	of long-
55	100		,		,	a une p	roportion	or long
56								
57	164	term BZD prescription among new RZD users	aged 1	18 to 6	55 M	are A	total of Q	0%
58	104	with DED prescription among new DED users	ugeu		,5 yt	Juis. P	1 101al 01 9	.070
59								
60								

Page 12 of 29

BMJ Open

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
g	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
-∠- 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
31	
25	
22	
30	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
17	
-+/ /0	
40	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
59 58	
50	
29	
60	

165	continued BZDs for \geq 8 months. Long-term BZD prescription was significantly
166	associated with older age, cancer, comorbidity of mood and neurotic disorder,
167	hypnotics, prescription at psychiatry, and high-dose of BZD at the initial prescription.
168	The half-life of BZD was not associated with long-term prescription.
169	This study showed 9% of new users continued BZDs for \geq 8months. This figure
170	was comparable to that in a previous study ¹¹ but relatively lower than prevalence in
171	most of previous studies. ^{10,18–20} Also, previous studies using prevalent user design
172	caused overestimation of the long-term BZD use. In this study, prevalence of long-term
173	prescription in non-elderly population may be more reliable because we assessed BZD
174	use for ≥ 8 consecutive months. Alternatively, low prevalence may be due to
175	development of guidelines for BZDs. The guidelines restricting long-term BZD
176	prescription, such as the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
177	guideline, were developed and spread around the world since 2000's. These guidelines
178	may have affected physicians' prescription practice. Furthermore, the guidelines
179	potentially affected patients' prescription preference because the information had been
180	available on the Internet.
181	Some risk factors for long-term use, including older age, psychiatric disorders,
182	users of hypnotics, regular prescription, a large number of BZD, and psychiatrist-

Page 13 of 29

BMJ Open

183	prescriber were consistent with those in a previous study in Japan. ¹² Patients with more
184	severe condition may consult psychiatrists, be diagnosed with one or more psychiatric
185	disorders and be prescribed a high dose of BZD for regular use. Although severe
186	conditions may cause long-term use of BZDs, BZDs should be prescribed with caution
187	because there is weak evidence for efficacy of the long-term BZD use and BZD is not
188	the first-line treatment for depression and anxiety. Half-life of BZD was not associated
189	with long-term use in this study, although many studies illustrated risk of short-acting
190	BZD. ^{21,22} Since 2000, when benzodiazepine-related hypnotics became popular, studies
191	had focused on effect of half-life of BZD on long-term BZD prescription, but evidence
192	remained inconclusive. ¹¹ Differences in population characteristics may have resulted in
193	the inconsistent study results of short-acting BZD. Our results suggested that among the
194	relatively young and healthy population, half-life of BZD did not play an important role
195	in long-term prescription as previously considered. Further study is required to evaluate
196	effect of half-life of BZD on long-term prescription in population with deferent
197	characteristics.
198	Patients with cancer at baseline were likely to continue BZD in this study.
199	Patients with cancer may use and continue BZD because of pain and psychological
200	distress, ^{10,13,23} especially among non-elderly adults. ^{24, 25} Moreover, patients with cancer

201	likely have these symptoms during and even after cancer treatment. It is important to
202	manage pain and psychological distress by other measures to prevent additional adverse
203	effect from long-term use of BZD among cancer patients.
204	Based on our findings, it is important to carefully prescribe BZD in accordance
205	with the recommendation to avoid long-term use, especially for patients with dual
206	diagnosis of mood and neurotic disorder, using hypnotics, prescribed two or more
207	BZDs, and with cancer. Prescription guidelines of BZD such as NICE guideline and
208	WHO recommend using BZD up to 30 days. ^{26,27} In Japan, year-long prescription of
209	BZD was disincentivized in 2018. ²⁸ However, this may not be enough to prevent long-
210	term BZD use because most of BZD users may already be dependent on BZDs by one
211	year. Additional measure should be taken to restrict BZD prescription. For example, an
212	option may be to disincentivize prescription of high-dose BZD at initial prescription or
213	continuation BZD for more than one month based on patient' severity of psychiatric
214	disorders.
215	This study had several limitations related to claimed data. The data did not
216	include severity of psychiatric disorders and insomnia. If people with severe condition
217	quitted their job and change health insurance, they dropped out from this study cohort.
218	Although rare among the new users, this may have influenced characteristics of the

4		
5 6 7	219	study cohort. Moreover, the elderly and unemployed people were not included in this
8 9 10	220	study.
11 12 13	221	
14 15 16	222	Conclusion
17 18 19	223	We identified several risk factors for determining long-term prescription of
20 21 22	224	BZD among new users of BZD. Although prevalence of long-term users was relatively
23 24 25	225	low, assessment of these risk factors is necessary to prevent long-term prescription of
26 27 28	226	BZDs when physicians prescribe BZD at initial visit.
29 30 31	227	
32 33 34	228	Author contributions
35 36 37	229	AT, SO, Hayato Y, and Hideo Y devised the study protocol. AT, SO, and Hayato Y
38 39 40	230	drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.
41 42 43	231	
44 45 46	232	Funding statement
47 48 49	233	This research was supported by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan; and
50 51 52	234	The Health Care Science Institute, Japan.
53 54 55	235	
56 57 58 59 60	236	Competing interests

2 3 4			
6 7	237	The a	authors declare no conflict of interest.
8 9 10	238		
11 12 13	239	Data	sharing statement
14 15 16 17	240	No a	dditional data sharing available
18 19 20	241		
21 22 23	242	Refe	rences
24 25 26	243	1.	Soyka M. Treatment of Benzodiazepine Dependence. N Engl J Med. 2017; 376:
27 28 29	244		1147–57.
30 31 32	245	2.	Inada T, Inagaki A. Psychotropic dose equivalence in Japan. Psychiatry Clin.
33 34 25	246		Neurosci. 2015; 69 : 440–7.
36 37	247	3.	Petursson H. The benzodiazepine withdrawal syndrome. Addiction. 1994; 89:
38 39 40	248		1455–9.
41 42 43	249	4.	Islam MM, Iqbal U, Walther B, Atique S, Dubey NK, Nguyen P-A, et al.
44 45 46	250		Benzodiazepine Use and Risk of Dementia in the Elderly Population: A
47 48 49	251		Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Neuroepidemiology. 2016; 47:181–91.
50 51 52	252	5.	Zhong GC, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Zhao Y. Association between benzodiazepine use
53 54 55	253		and dementia: A meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2015; 10: e0127836.
56 57 58 59 60	254	6.	Donnelly K, Bracchi R, Hewitt J, Routledge PA, Carter B. Benzodiazepines, Z-

2 3			
4 5			
6 7 8	255		drugs and the risk of hip fracture: A systematic review and meta- analysis. PLoS
9 10	256		One. 2017; 12 : e0174730.
12 13	257	7.	Weich S, Pearce HL, Croft P, Singh S, Crome I, Bashford J, et al. Effect of
14 15 16	258		anxiolytic and hypnotic drug prescriptions on mortality hazards: Retrospective
17 18 19	259		cohort study. <i>BMJ</i> . 2014; 348 : 1–12.
20 21 22	260	8.	Kim H-B, Myung S-K, Park YC, Park B. Use of benzodiazepine and risk of
23 24 25	261		cancer: A meta-analysis of observational studies. Int J Cancer. 2017; 140: 513-
26 27 28	262		25.
29 30 31	263	9.	Elvik R. Risk of road accident associated with the use of drugs: A systematic
32 33 34	264		review and meta-analysis of evidence from epidemiological studies. Accid. Anal.
35 36 37	265		<i>Prev.</i> 2013; 60 : 254–67.
38 39 40	266	10.	Fang S-Y, Chen C-Y, Chang I-S, Wu EC-H, Chang C-M, Lin K-M. Predictors of
41 42 43	267		the incidence and discontinuation of long-term use of benzodiazepines: A
44 45 46	268		population-based study. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2009; 104: 140-6.
47 48 49	269	11.	Kurko TAT, Saastamoinen LK, Tähkäpää S, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Taiminen T,
50 51 52	270		Tiihonen J, et al. Long-term use of benzodiazepines: Definitions, prevalence and
53 54	271		usage patterns – a systematic review of register-based studies. <i>Eur. Psychiatry</i> .
55 56 57	272		2015: 30 : 1037–47
58 59 60	212		

Takeshima N, Ogawa Y, Hayasaka Y, Furukawa TA. Continuation and

claims database in Japan. Psychiatry Res. 2016; 237: 201-7.

Epidemiol. 1996; **49**: 1067–73.

and Clinical Outcome. JAMA. 1983;250: 767-71.

discontinuation of benzodiazepine prescriptions: A cohort study based on a large

Simon GE, Vonkorff M, Barlow W, Pabiniak C, Wagner E. Predictors of Chronic

Rickels K, Case WG, Downing RW, Winokur A. Long-term Diazepam Therapy

Kimura S, Sato T, Ikeda S, Noda M, Nakayama T. Development of a Database of

Health Insurance Claims: Standardization of Disease Classifications and

JMDC Inc. [Feature of JMDC Claims Database]. [Cited 18 Nov 2018.]

WHOCC - ATC/DDD Index. [Cited 18 Nov 2018.] Available from

cautions against long-term use. Health Policy. 2010; 97:122-9.

https://www.whocc.no/atc_ddd_index/

Abailable from https://www.jmdc.co.jp/pharma/database.html (in Japanese)

Cunningham CM, Hanley GE, Morgan S. Patterns in the use of benzodiazepines

in British Columbia: Examining the impact of increasing research and guideline

Anonymous Record Linkage. J. Epidemiol. 2010; 20: 413–9.

Benzodiazepine Use in a Health Maintenance Organization Sample. J Clin

3 4	
5 6 7	273
o 9 10 11	274
12 13 14	275
15 16 17	276
18 19 20	277
20 21 22 23	278
23 24 25 26	279
27 28 29	280
30 31 32	281
33 34 35	282
36 37 38	283
39 40 41	284
41 42 43	285
45 46 47	286
47 48 49	287
50 51 52	288
55 55 56	289
50 57 58	290
60	

1 2

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

18
For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 19 of 29

1 2			
3 4			
5			
6 7 9	291	19.	Isacson D. Long-term benzodiazepine use: Factors of importance and the
8 9 10 11	292		development of individual use patterns over time—A 13-year follow-up in a
12 13 14	293		Swedish community. Soc. Sci. Med. 1997; 44:1871-80.
15 16 17	294	20.	van Hulten R, Isacson D, Bakker A, Leufkens HG. Comparing patterns of long-
18 19 20	295		term benzodiazepine use between a Dutch and a Swedish community.
21 22 22	296		Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2003 ;12: 49–53.
23 24 25 26	297	21.	Hallfors DD, Saxe L. The dependence potential of short half-life
20 27 28 29	298		benzodiazepines: a meta-analysis. Am J Public Health. 1993; 83: 1300-4.
30 31 32	299	22.	Owen RT, Tyrer P. Benzodiazepine Dependence A Review of the Evidence.
33 34 35	300		Drug. 1983; 25 :385–98.
36 37 38	301	23.	Luijendijk HJ, Tiemeier H, Hofman A, Heeringa J, Stricker BHC. Determinants
39 40 41	302		of chronic benzodiazepine use in the elderly: A longitudinal study. Br J Clin
42 43 44	303		<i>Pharmacol.</i> 2008; 65 : 593–9.
45 46 47	304	24.	Mehta RD, Roth AJ. Psychiatric considerations in the oncology setting. CA
48 49 50	305		<i>Cancer J Clin.</i> 2015; 65 : 299–314.
50 51 52 53	306	25.	Miovic M, Block S. Psychiatric disorders in advanced cancer. <i>Cancer</i> . 2007; 110 :
54 55 56	307		1665–76.
57 58 59 60	308	26.	NICE. Hypnotics Guidance and guidelines . [Cited 18 Nov 2018.] Available

from https://www.nice.org.uk/advice/ktt6/chapter/evidence-context

[Cited 18 Nov 2018.] Available from

uence=1&isAllowed=y

WHO. Programme on Substance Abuse. Rational use of benzodiazepines. 1996

http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/65947/WHO PSA 96.11.pdf?seq

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. [Revisionof Medical Fees in 2018]

http://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/06-Seisakujouhou-12400000-

Hokenkyoku/0000196430.pdf (in Japanese)

(medical treatment and pharmaceutical)]. [Cited 18 Nov 2018.] Available from

2 3 4	
5 6 7	309
8 9 10 11	310
12 13 14	311
15 16 17	312
18 19 20	313
20 21 22 23	314
23 24 25 26	315
20 27 28 20	316
30 31 22	317
33 34 35	318
36 37 38	
39 40 41	
42 43	
44 45 46	
48 49 50	
50 51 52	
55 54 55	
50 57 58	
59 60	

1

27.

28.

to beet terien only





oup		Conorio namo
benz	zodiazepine-d	erivative anxiolytics
1	N05BA01	diazepam
2	N05BA02	chlordiazepoxide
3	N05BA03	medazepam
4	N05BA05	potassium clorazepate
5	N05BA06	lorazepam
6	N05BA08	bromazepam
7	N05BA12	alprazolam
8	N05BA17	fludiazepam
9	N05BA18	ethyl loflazepate
10	N05BA19	etizolam
11	N05BA21	clotiazepam
12	N05BA22	cloxazolam
13	N05BA23	tofisopam
benz	zodiazepine-d	erivative hypnotics and sedatives
14	N05CD01	flurazepam
15	N05CD02	nitrazepam
16	N05CD03	flunitrazepam
17	N05CD04	estazolam
18	N05CD05	triazolam
19	N05CD06	lormetazepam
20	N05CD09	brotizolam
21	N05CD10	quazepam
Z-dr	ugs	
22	N05CF01	zopiclone
23	N05CF02	zolpidem
24	N05CF04	eszopiclone
benz	zodiazepine-d	erivative antiepileptics
25	N03AE01	clonazepam
Othe	er (not covered	d by the WHO-ATC code)
26	anxiolytics	flutazolam
27	anxiolytics	flutoprazepam
28	anxiolytics	mexazolam
29	anxiolytics	oxazolam
30	hypnotics	nimetazepam
31	hypnotics	haloxazolam

1 2 3 4 5	Reportin	g ch	ecklist for cohort study.	
6 7 8 9	Based on the STF	ROBE co	phort guidelines.	
10 11 12	Instructions to	o auth	ors	
13 14	Complete this che	ecklist by	/ entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers	will find
15 16 17	each of the items	listed be	elow.	
10 19 20	Your article may	not curre	ently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your te	kt to
20 21 22	include the missir	ng inform	nation. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write	"n/a" and
23 24 25	provide a short ex	xplanatic	on.	
26 27 28	Upload your com	pleted cl	necklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.	
29 30 31	In your methods s	section,	say that you used the STROBE cohort reporting guidelines, and c	ite them
32 33	as:			
34 35 36	von Elm E, Altma	n DG, E	gger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Stren	gthening
37 38	the Reporting of C	Observa	tional Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines f	or
39 40 41	reporting observa	itional st	udies.	
42 43				Page
44 45 46			Reporting Item	Number
47 48 49	Title	#1a	Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the	1
50 51 52			title or the abstract	
53 54	Abstract	#1b	Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary	2-3
55 56 57 58			of what was done and what was found	
59 60		For pe	eer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

Page 26 of 29

1 2	Background /	#2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	3-5
3 4 5	rationale		investigation being reported	
6 7	Objectives #3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified			5
o 9 10 11			hypotheses	
12 13 14	Study design	#4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
15 16	Setting	#5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including	5-7
17 18 19			periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
20 21	Eligibility criteria	#6a	Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	5-7, Fig
22 23 24			selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up.	1
25 26 27		#6b	For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of	NA
28 29			exposed and unexposed	
30 31 32	Variables	#7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	7-8
33 34 25			confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	
35 36 37			applicable	
38 39 40	Data sources /	#8	For each variable of interest give sources of data and details of	5
41 42	measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe	
43 44			comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one	
45 46			group. Give information separately for for exposed and	
47 48 49 50			unexposed groups if applicable.	
50 51 52 53	Bias	#9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6
54 55 56	Study size	#10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5-6
57 58	Quantitative	#11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the	7-8
59 60		For pe	er review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1	variables		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen,	
2 3 4			and why	
5 6 7	Statistical	#12a	Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control	8-9
8 9 10	methods		for confounding	
10 11 12		#12b	Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	NA
13 14 15			interactions	
16 17 18		#12c	Explain how missing data were addressed	NA
19 20 21		#12d	If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	NA
22 23 24		#12e	Describe any sensitivity analyses	NA
25 26 27	Participants	#13a	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	9
28 29			numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed	
30 31 22			eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and	
32 33 34			analysed. Give information separately for for exposed and	
35 36			unexposed groups if applicable.	
37 38 39 40		#13b	Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	NA
41 42 43		#13c	Consider use of a flow diagram	Fig 2
44 45	Descriptive data	#14a	Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,	9
46 47 48			clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	
49 50			confounders. Give information separately for exposed and	
51 52			unexposed groups if applicable.	
53 54 55		#14b	Indicate number of participants with missing data for each	NA
56 57 58			variable of interest	
59 60		For pe	er review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2 3		#14c	Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	NA
4 5	Outcome data	#15	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	9, Table
6 7 0			over time. Give information separately for exposed and	1
8 9 10			unexposed groups if applicable.	
11 12 13	Main results	#16a	Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-	10, Tabl
14 15			adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	e 2
16 17			interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and	
18 19 20			why they were included	
21 22 23		#16b	Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	9-11
24 25 26			categorized	
27 28		#16c	If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into	NA
29 30 31			absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
32 33	Other analyses	#17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups and	NA
34 35 36 37			interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
38 39 40	Key results	#18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	11-12
41 42	Limitations	#19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of	14
43 44			potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and	
45 46 47			magnitude of any potential bias.	
48 49 50	Interpretation	#20	Give a cautious overall interpretation considering objectives,	12-14
50 51 52			limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies,	
53 54 55			and other relevant evidence.	
56 57 58	Generalisability	#21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study	14
59 60		For pe	eer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

results

 Funding
 #22
 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based

Author notes

The STROBE checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY. This checklist was completed on 03. February 2019 using <u>https://www.goodreports.org/</u>, a

tool made by the EQUATOR Network in collaboration with Penelope.ai

BMJ Open

Factors associated with long-term prescription of benzodiazepine: a retrospective cohort study using a health insurance database in Japan

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-029641.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-Jun-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Takano, Ayumi; Tokyo Medical and Dental University Ono, Sachiko; The University of Tokyo, Department of Biostatistics & Bioinformatics Yamana, Hayato; The University of Tokyo, Department of Health Services Research Matsui, Hiroki; The University of Tokyo, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Health Economics Matsumoto, Toshihiko; National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Department of Drug Dependence Research Yasunaga, Hideo; School of Public Health, The University of Tokyo, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Health Economics Kawakami, Norito; The University of Tokyo, Department of Mental Health
Primary Subject Heading :	Mental health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	Benzodiazepine, Cohort study, Dependence, Long-term prescription, Risk factors

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1.	Ayumi Takano, PhD,
	Department of Mental Health and Psychiatric Nursing, Tokyo Medical and Dep
	University
2.	Sachiko Ono, MPH,
	Department of Biostatistics & Bioinformatics, The University of Tokyo
3.	Hayato Yamana, PhD,
	Department of Health Services Research, The University of Tokyo
4.	Hiroki Matsui, MPH,
	Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Health Economics, The University o
	Tokyo
5.	Toshihiko Matsumoto, PhD,
	Department of Drug Dependence Research, National Center of Neurology and
	Psychiatry
6.	Hideo Yasunaga, PhD,
	Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Health Economics, The University o
	Tokyo
7.	Norito Kawakami, PhD,
	Department of Mental Health, The University of Tokyo
Co	prresponding author
A	yumi Takano
De	epartment of Mental Health and Psychiatric Nursing, Tokyo Medical and Denta
Uı	niversity
1-	5-45 Yushima, Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo, 113-8510 Japan
ΤI	EL: +81-3-5803-5348
ay	rumi-takano@umin.ac.jp
W	ford count: 2796
K	ey words:
Bí	enzodiazepine. Cohort study, Dependence, Long-term prescription. Risk factors

1 Abstract

- 2 Objectives: Current clinical guidelines discourage long-term prescription of
- 3 benzodiazepines and Z-drugs (BZD), however, the practice continues to exist.
- 4 The aim of this study was to investigate the proportion of long-term BZD prescriptions
- 5 and its risk factors.
- 6 Design: Retrospective cohort study using a health insurance database.
- 7 Setting: Japan.
- Participants: A total 86,909 patients were identified as outpatients aged 18 to 65 who started BZD between October 1st, 2012 and April 1st, 2015. After excluding patients who underwent surgery on the day of first BZD prescription (n = 762) and patients without eight months follow-up (n = 12,103), 74,044 outpatients were analyzed. Main outcome measures: We investigated the proportion of long-term prescriptions for \geq 8 months among new BZD users. We assessed patient demographics, diagnoses, characteristics of the initial BZD prescription, and prescribers as potential predictors of the long-term BZD prescription. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to assess the association between long-term prescription and potential predictors. Results: Of the new BZD users, 6,687 (9.0%) were consecutively prescribed BZD for \geq 8 months. The long-term prescription was significantly associated with mood and

19	neurotic disorder, cancer, prescription by psychiatrists, multiple prescriptions,
20	hypnotics, and medium half-life BZD in the initial prescription.
21	Conclusion: Despite the recent clinical guidelines, 9% of new BZD users were given
22	prescriptions for more than 8 months. Physicians should be aware of risk factors when
23	prescribing BZDs for the first time.
24	
25	Strengths and limitations of this study
26	• A large sample of Japanese outpatients among the non-elderly adult population.
27	• Analyzed new benzodiazepine users to prevent prevalent user bias.
28	• A strict definition of long-term use of benzodiazepine by identifying consecutive
29	monthly prescription data.
30	• Limitations in detailed and accurate information in the health insurance database
31	such as diagnosis and severity of psychiatric disorders.
32	
33	Introduction
34	Globally, there is increasing concern about the long-term use of benzodiazepines and
35	Z-drugs (BZD). Although BZDs are safer than old sedative-hypnotics, the efficacy of
36	their long-term use has not been demonstrated. Long-term use of BZD leads to

37	dependence. ¹ Patients may become dependent on BZDs to avoid withdrawal symptoms.
38	¹⁻³ Studies have shown several adverse consequences related to the long-term use of
39	BZD, including fracture, ^{4,5} cancer, ⁶ and car accidents ⁷ in any age group.
40	An understanding about the prevalence of long-term use of BZDs and its risk
41	factors is essential for preventing adverse consequences. However, the reported
42	prevalence of long-term BZD use varies widely because of variability in the definition
43	of a long-term BZD prescription, study participants, and follow-up period ^{8,9} Over half
44	of previous studies did not provide an adequate explanation for the definitions. ⁹ Some
45	studies used one prescription per year or per several months as the definition of a
46	long-term BZD prescription and others included prevalent users of BZDs or only the
47	elderly as the study population. These studies may have overestimated the proportion of
48	long-term prescription of BZDs.
49	The reported risk factors of a long-term prescription have been also
50	inconsistent. In a systematic review, ⁹ long-term prescription of BZDs were associated
51	with an older age, psychiatric disorders, and polypharmacy or high-dose BZDs at the
52	initial prescription. However, the association of several other factors with long-term use
53	of BZDs remains inconsistent, including demographic variables (e.g., gender, income),
54	type of BZDs (e.g., half-life, Z-drug), or characteristics of prescriber (e.g., psychiatry).

1	
2	
3	
Δ	
5	
5	
0	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
27	
20	
29	
30 21	
21	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
55	
54	
22	
56	
5/	
58	
59	
60	

55	The inconsistencies may have been affected by variability in the definition of long-term
56	use of BZD. ¹⁰ For example, in a study where long-term prescription was defined as at
57	least one prescription every three months, female gender was a risk factor. ¹¹ However,
58	in another study where long-term prescription was defined as BZD use lasting for 60
59	days, male gender was a risk factor. ¹⁰
60	In this study, we followed new BZDs users who continued the drugs for ≥ 8
61	consecutive months. This definition was selected based on two previous studies. ^{9, 12} In a
62	systematic review, long-term BZD use was commonly defined as 6-12 months. ⁹ In
63	another study, about a half of patients who used BZDs for 8 months experienced clear
64	withdrawal symptoms. ¹²
65	Using a large-scale health insurance database with detailed prescription
66	information, the present study investigated the proportion of long-term BZD
67	prescriptions among new BZD users in Japan with a retrospective cohort design. We
68	
	also assessed examined factors associated with the long-term prescription.
69	also assessed examined factors associated with the long-term prescription.
69 70	also assessed examined factors associated with the long-term prescription. Methods
69 70 71	also assessed examined factors associated with the long-term prescription. Methods Data source

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/ 0	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
רב בי	
∠∠ วว	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
27	
27 20	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
55	
54 57	
55	
56	
5/	
58	
59	
60	

73	JMDC Inc. has collected claims information from occupation-based health insurance
74	agencies for corporate employees and their dependents since 2005. ¹³ The JMDC
75	database includes anonymous data of inpatient, outpatient, and pharmacy claims and
76	special health checkups from about 3,000,000 individuals as of November 2014,
77	representing about 2.5% of the Japanese population. ¹⁴ Each record includes age, sex,
78	diagnoses, prescriptions, information about the medical institution, and date of the
79	services. The diagnoses are based on International Classification of Diseases, 10th
80	revision (ICD-10) diagnostic codes. The prescription information has the World Health
81	Organization's Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (WHO-ATC) classification system
82	codes, drug name, dosage, days of supply, and mode of prescription. Date of
83	prescriptions has been recorded since April 1st 2012. As such, we used data from April
84	1st 2012 through December 31st 2015. The requirement for informed consent was
85	waived because of the anonymous nature of the data. The study was approved by the
86	Institutional Review Board of The University of Tokyo (No. 10862).
87	
88	Patient selection

We selected outpatients aged 18 to 65 years who started at least one of the available oral
BZDs between October 1st 2012 and April 1st 2015 (Supplementary Table 1). We

Page 7 of 35

BMJ Open

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/ 0	
ð	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
30	
72	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

91	chose only subjects who had been continuously enrolled in the JMDC database for at
92	least six months before the first prescription of BZDs. We defined new users as those
93	who had not used any BZDs for this six-month baseline period (Figure 1). We excluded
94	patients who were followed up for less than 8 months after the first BZD prescription.
95	We also excluded those who underwent surgery on the day of the first BZD
96	prescription. Surgeries were identified with original Japanese procedure codes. When
97	extracting BZDs, we used the WHO-ATC codes N05BA, N05CD, and N05CF. ¹⁵ We
98	added seven BZDs which were not covered by the WHO-ATC codes, but were available
99	in Japan. We excluded clobazam (N05BA09), which is categorized as an anti-epileptic
100	drug in Japan, from this study because this drug is likely to be needed as a BZD for a
101	long-term. Finally, 31 BZDs were included in this study.
102	Figure 1
103	
104	Definition of long-term BZD prescription
105	We defined long-term BZD users as those who received at least one prescription of any
106	BZD every month for ≥ 8 consecutive months. This definition was based on the time of
107	experiencing withdrawal symptoms. ¹² The prescription of most BZDs (23/31, 74.2%)
108	are restricted to 30 days in Japan (Supplementary Table 1) and most first-time

109	prescriptions	are usually	for a	short-term.
	1 1			

111 Potential predictors of long-term BZD prescription

We assessed the following variables at the baseline period as potential predictors of long-term BZD use based on previous studies. Patient characteristics at the first BZD prescription included sex, age, occupational status (employed or dependent), diagnosis of cancer (ICD-10 code, C\$) during the prior 6 months, and psychiatric diagnosis (no diagnosis, mood disorders only [F3], neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorders only [F4], both mood disorder and neurotic disorder, but without other disorders [F3 and F4], and those with other psychiatric disorders [F0, 1, 5-9]. For example, if a patient had alcohol use disorder (F1) and depressive episode (F3), the person was categorized in other psychiatric disorders. Physician's specialty (psychiatry or other) at the first BZD prescription was also evaluated. Pharmacological characteristics of the first BZD prescription included multiple BZDs (1 or \geq 2), type of BZD (hypnotics only, anxiolytics only, or both), administration instructions (as needed, regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days), regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days), and regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (\geq 15 days)), and half-life of BZD (short: < 12 hours, medium: 12-24 hours, long: \geq 24 hours). Multiple BZD was derived from the number of BZD

2		
3		
4		
5		
7	127	ingredients in the first prescription. As for the variables of type of BZD, administration
8		
9	100	instruction and half life of DZD and calculated the DZD with the langest measuration deve
10	128	instruction and naif-life of BZD, we selected the BZD with the longest prescription days
11		
12	199	if a nationt was prescribed multiple BZDs
13	120	n a patient was presented maniple DZD5.
14		
15	130	
10 17		
17		
10	131	Statistical analyses
20		
21	100	
22	132	First, we calculated the proportion for long-term use of BZD and compared potential
23		
24	199	predictors of long-term prescription between patients who were prescribed B7Ds for > 8
25	100	predictors of long-term prescription between patients who were prescribed $DZDS$ for ≥ 0
26		
27	134	and < 8 months. We used Student's t-test to compare the average of continuous
28		
29		
30	135	variables (such as age) and chi-squared test to compare the proportion of categorical
32		
33	100	
34	136	variables (such as sex). Next, a multivariable logistic regression was performed to
35		
36	197	assess the association between notential predictors and long-term prescription
37	107	assess the association between potential predictors and long-term preserption.
38		
39	138	Additionally, we compared participant characteristics at the first BZD
40 41		
41		
43	139	prescription between those who were followed up for 8 months and those who were
44		
45	1.40	anne and forme this study, ashort Manager and an destad annihibit an shoris has
46	140	censored from this study conort. Moreover, we conducted sensitivity analysis by
47		
48	141	changing the definition of long-term prescription to consecutive monthly prescription
49	111	enanging the definition of long term prescription to consecutive monthly prescription
50		
51	142	for 6 months or 12 months to confirm the proportion of long-term prescriptions and risk
52 53		
54		
55	143	factors for different definitions. Finally, we stratified the participants by prescription
56		
57	144	atatus (as needed or regular progeniation) on d then nearly much such that is in the
58	144	status (as needed of regular prescription) and then performed a multivariable logistic
59		
60		

145	regression.
146	The threshold for significance was $p < 0.05$. We used IBM SPSS version 23
147	(IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) for all statistical analyses.
148	
149	Patient and public involvement
150	Patients or members of the public were not involved in the design or implementation of
151	this study. Patients and the general public will be informed of the study results via
152	publication.
153	
154	Results
155	Patient characteristics
155 156	Patient characteristics A total 86,909 patients were identified as new BZD users during the study period
155 156 157	Patient characteristics A total 86,909 patients were identified as new BZD users during the study period (Figure 2). After excluding patients without eight months follow-up (n = 12,103), and
155 156 157 158	Patient characteristicsA total 86,909 patients were identified as new BZD users during the study period(Figure 2). After excluding patients without eight months follow-up (n = 12,103), andpatients who underwent surgery on the day of first BZD prescription (n = 762), the
155 156 157 158 159	Patient characteristicsA total 86,909 patients were identified as new BZD users during the study period(Figure 2). After excluding patients without eight months follow-up (n = 12,103), andpatients who underwent surgery on the day of first BZD prescription (n = 762), theremaining 74,044 patients were included in our analysis. At the first prescription, of
 155 156 157 158 159 160 	Patient characteristicsA total 86,909 patients were identified as new BZD users during the study period(Figure 2). After excluding patients without eight months follow-up (n = 12,103), andpatients who underwent surgery on the day of first BZD prescription (n = 762), theremaining 74,044 patients were included in our analysis. At the first prescription, of74,044 patients, 58,404 (86.7%) were prescribed BZDs for 14 days or less and 73,526
155 156 157 158 159 160 161	Patient characteristicsA total 86,909 patients were identified as new BZD users during the study period(Figure 2). After excluding patients without eight months follow-up (n = 12,103), andpatients who underwent surgery on the day of first BZD prescription (n = 762), theremaining 74,044 patients were included in our analysis. At the first prescription, of74,044 patients, 58,404 (86.7%) were prescribed BZDs for 14 days or less and 73,526(99.3%) were prescribed BZDs for less than 30 days. There were 6,687 patients (9.0%)
 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 	Patient characteristicsA total 86,909 patients were identified as new BZD users during the study period(Figure 2). After excluding patients without eight months follow-up (n = 12,103), andpatients who underwent surgery on the day of first BZD prescription (n = 762), theremaining 74,044 patients were included in our analysis. At the first prescription, of74,044 patients, 58,404 (86.7%) were prescribed BZDs for 14 days or less and 73,526(99.3%) were prescribed BZDs for less than 30 days. There were 6,687 patients (9.0%)who were prescribed BZDs over a period of ≥ 8 consecutive months. When stratified by

3 4		
5		
7	163	ger
8		
9 10	164	age
11		
12 13	165	sub
14		
15 16	166	pat
10		1
18	167	cha
19 20	101	•
21	168	mo
22 23	100	mo
23 24	1.00	T
25	169	1
26 27		
28	170	'
29 30	171	Tal
31	172	= 7
32	112	
33 34		
35		
36 37		Age
38		Sex
39 40		Occ
40 41		Car
42		Me
43 44		Psy
45		Ν
46 47		N
47		N
49 50		N
50 51		C
52		Mu
53 54		Tvr
55		- , , L
56		г. •
57 58		P
59		E
60		

	continued BZD	use for $\geq 8 \mod$	iths. As for
age group, the proportion of long-term use w	as 8.9%, 9.3%, 9	9.6%, 8.7%, and	7.2% for
subjects aged 18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, an	d 60-65, respecti	ively. Table 1 sh	lows
patient characteristics, information about phy	vsicians, and pha	rmacological	
characteristics of the initial prescription. Abo	out half of patien	ts were diagnose	ed with
mood disorder, and hypnotics were more like	ely to be prescrib	bed than anxioly	tics.
Figure 2			
Table 1			
Table 1 Demographics and clinical characte	ristics for new u	sers of benzodia	azepines (N
= 74,004)			
	BZD < 8 months	$BZD \ge 8$ months	
	n=67357 (91.0)	n=6687 (9.0)	n
			p
Age (mean, SD)	42.3 (11.8)	41.9 (11.2)	0.02
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3)	41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5)	0.02 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3)	41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2)	0.02 <0.001 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5)	41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3)	p 0.02 <0.001 <0.001 0.66
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer Medical spatiality, psychiatry	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5) 17986 (26.7)	41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3) 3845 (57.5)	p 0.02 <0.001 <0.001 0.66 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer Medical spatiality, psychiatry Psychiatric diagnosis	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5) 17986 (26.7)	41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3) 3845 (57.5)	p 0.02 <0.001 <0.001 0.66 <0.001 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer Medical spatiality, psychiatry Psychiatric diagnosis None	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5) 17986 (26.7) 28705 (42.6)	41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3) 3845 (57.5) 1286 (19.2)	p 0.02 <0.001 <0.001 0.66 <0.001 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer Medical spatiality, psychiatry Psychiatric diagnosis None Mood disorder (F3)	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5) 17986 (26.7) 28705 (42.6) 9487 (14.1)	41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3) 3845 (57.5) 1286 (19.2) 2301 (34.4)	p 0.02 <0.001 <0.001 0.66 <0.001 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer Medical spatiality, psychiatry Psychiatric diagnosis None Mood disorder (F3) Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4)	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5) 17986 (26.7) 28705 (42.6) 9487 (14.1) 21370 (31.7)	41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3) 3845 (57.5) 1286 (19.2) 2301 (34.4) 1415 (21.2)	p 0.02 <0.001 0.66 <0.001 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer Medical spatiality, psychiatry Psychiatric diagnosis None Mood disorder (F3) Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4) Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4)	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5) 17986 (26.7) 28705 (42.6) 9487 (14.1) 21370 (31.7) 6537 (9.7)	41.9 (11.2) 41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3) 3845 (57.5) 1286 (19.2) 2301 (34.4) 1415 (21.2) 1489 (22.3)	p 0.02 <0.001 0.66 <0.001 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer Medical spatiality, psychiatry Psychiatric diagnosis None Mood disorder (F3) Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4) Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4) Other disorders	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5) 17986 (26.7) 28705 (42.6) 9487 (14.1) 21370 (31.7) 6537 (9.7) 1258 (1.9)	41.9 (11.2) 41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3) 3845 (57.5) 1286 (19.2) 2301 (34.4) 1415 (21.2) 1489 (22.3) 196 (2.9)	p 0.02 <0.001 <0.001 0.66 <0.001 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer Medical spatiality, psychiatry Psychiatric diagnosis None Mood disorder (F3) Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4) Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4) Other disorders Multiple BZDs on first prescription	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5) 17986 (26.7) 28705 (42.6) 9487 (14.1) 21370 (31.7) 6537 (9.7) 1258 (1.9) 6460 (9.6)	41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3) 3845 (57.5) 1286 (19.2) 2301 (34.4) 1415 (21.2) 1489 (22.3) 196 (2.9) 1719 (25.7)	p 0.02 <0.001 <0.001 0.66 <0.001 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer Medical spatiality, psychiatry Psychiatric diagnosis None Mood disorder (F3) Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4) Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4) Other disorders Multiple BZDs on first prescription Type of BZD ^a	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5) 17986 (26.7) 28705 (42.6) 9487 (14.1) 21370 (31.7) 6537 (9.7) 1258 (1.9) 6460 (9.6)	41.9 (11.2) 4119 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3) 3845 (57.5) 1286 (19.2) 2301 (34.4) 1415 (21.2) 1489 (22.3) 196 (2.9) 1719 (25.7)	p 0.02 <0.001 <0.001 0.66 <0.001 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer Medical spatiality, psychiatry Psychiatric diagnosis None Mood disorder (F3) Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4) Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4) Other disorders Multiple BZDs on first prescription Type of BZD ^a Hypnotic	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5) 17986 (26.7) 28705 (42.6) 9487 (14.1) 21370 (31.7) 6537 (9.7) 1258 (1.9) 6460 (9.6) 20025 (29.7)	41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3) 3845 (57.5) 1286 (19.2) 2301 (34.4) 1415 (21.2) 1489 (22.3) 196 (2.9) 1719 (25.7) 2469 (36.9)	p 0.02 <0.001 <0.001 0.66 <0.001 <0.001
Age (mean, SD) Sex, male Occupational status, employed Cancer Medical spatiality, psychiatry Psychiatric diagnosis None Mood disorder (F3) Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4) Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4) Other disorders Multiple BZDs on first prescription Type of BZD ^a Hypnotic Anxiolytic	42.3 (11.8) 33851 (50.3) 43315 (64.3) 7073 (10.5) 17986 (26.7) 28705 (42.6) 9487 (14.1) 21370 (31.7) 6537 (9.7) 1258 (1.9) 6460 (9.6) 20025 (29.7) 44027 (65.4)	41.9 (11.2) 41.9 (11.2) 4111 (61.5) 4827 (72.2) 690 (10.3) 3845 (57.5) 1286 (19.2) 2301 (34.4) 1415 (21.2) 1489 (22.3) 196 (2.9) 1719 (25.7) 2469 (36.9) 3184 (47.6)	p 0.02 <0.001 <0.001 0.66 <0.001 <0.001

2 3					
4 5		A dministration instructions ^a			<0.001
6 7		As needed	17069 (26.7)	060(14.5)	<0.001
8			1/968 (20.7)	909 (14.5)	
9 10		Regular prescription: I week (1-/ days)	20535 (30.5)	2323 (34.7)	
11		Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days)	19901 (29.5)	2423 (36.2)	
12		Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (≥ 15	8953 (13-3)	972 (14 5)	
13 14		days)	(10.0)	<i>y</i> (1)	
15		Half-life of BZD ^a			< 0.001
16 17		Short (< 12 h)	44968 (66.8)	3924 (58.7)	
18		Medium (12-24 h)	10567 (15.7)	1531 (22.9)	
19 20		Long (\geq 24 h)	11822 (17.6)	1232 (18.4)	
21	173	Data presented as n (%) unless otherwise spe	ecified.		
22	174	BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; SD, star	ndard deviation.		
23	175	a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest p	rescription days in	the first presci	ription
25	176				-pron
26 27	170				
28 29	177	A comparison of the baseline chara	acteristics between	the analyzed p	patients and
30 31 32	178	12,103 patients who were censored is presen	ted in Supplementa	ary Table 2. C	ensored
33 34 25	179	patients were more likely to be given prescri	ptions by psychiat	rists. Otherwis	e, the
36 37	180	baseline characteristics were comparable bet	ween the groups.		
38 39 40	181				
40	101				
42	100	Prodictors of long term preservition			
43 44	164	reductors of long-term prescription			
45 46 47	183	Table 2 shows the results of the multivariabl	e logistic regressio	n. For patient	
48 49 50	184	characteristics, comorbidity of mood and net	urotic disorders had	l the strongest	
51 52 53	185	association with long-term prescription comp	pared to no psychia	tric diagnosis	(odds
54 55 56	186	ratio: OR, 3.53; 95% confidence interval: CI	, 3.19-3.90; p < 0.0	001). Patients	with cancer
57 58 59 60	187	had a significantly higher risk of long-term H	3ZD prescription th	nan those with	out cancer

1										
2										
4										
5										
6 7	188	(OR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.08-1.29; p < 0.001). A	s for c	harac	teris	stics o	of the firs	st BZD	I.	
8										
9 10	189	prescription, prescription by psychiatry, hypr	notics	, regu	lar p	orescr	iption, a	nd med	lium	
11 12										
13	190	half-life were associated with long-term pres	criptio	on.						
14 15										
16	191									
17										
18 19	192	Table 2								
20	102	Table 2 Factors related to long term benzo	liazor	ning n	raco	rintia	n amono	now	users of	f
21 22	104	hand diagoning $(N = 74.044)$	JIAZCL	nne p	icsc	iipuo		; new		l
23	194	benzodrazepines (N – 74,044)	OD	0	50/ (Т				
24 25			UK	9	5% (1	<u>р</u>			
26		Age	1.02	1.01	to	1.02	<0.001			
27		Sex, male	1.14	1.06	to	1.23	< 0.001			
28 29		Occupational status, employed	0.98	0.91	to	1.06	0.58			
30		Cancer	1.18	1.08	to	1.29	< 0.001			
31 32		Medical specialty, psychiatry	1.80	1.68	to	1.94	< 0.001			
33		Psychiatric diagnosis								
34		None	Ref.							
35 36		Mood disorder (F3)	3.30	3.01	to	3.62	< 0.001			
37		Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4)	1.49	1.36	to	1.62	< 0.001			
38 39		Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4)	3.53	3.19	to	3.90	< 0.001			
40		Other disorders	2.37	2.00	to	2.80	< 0.001			
41 42		Multiple BZDs on first prescription	1 41	1 28	to	1 54	<0.001			
42 43		Type of RZD ^a	1.11	1.20	10	1.01	.0.001			
44			Dof							
45 46			NCI.	0.57	4.0	0.00	<0.001			
47			0.01	0.57	10	0.00	< 0.001			
48 49		Both	0.93	0.83	to	1.05	0.27			
50		Administration instructions ^a								
51 52		As needed	Ref.							
52 53		Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days)	1.98	1.82	to	2.15	< 0.001			
54		Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days)	1.91	1.76	to	2.07	< 0.001			
55 56		Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (≥ 15	1.83	1.67	to	2.02	<0.001			
57		days)					~0.001			
58 50		Half-life of BZD ^a								
59 60										

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
21
37
32
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

	Short (< 12 h)	Ref.					
	Medium (12-24 h)	1.08	1.01	to	1.16	0.03	
	Long (≥ 24 h)	0.96	0.89	to	1.04	0.32	
195	BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, ode	ds rati	o; CI,	con	fidence	e interval	
196	a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest pr	rescrip	otion c	lays	in the	first prescription	
197							
198	When changing the definition of lor	ng-terr	n pres	scrip	otion, 1	1.8% and 6.1% of t	he
199	participants continued to be prescribed BZD	s for 6	mon	ths a	and 12	months, respectivel	y
200	(Supplementary Table 3). The risk factors for	or a lor	ng-ter	m pi	rescript	ion were similar to	
201	the results when the definition was long-term	n preso	criptic	on fo	or 8 mo	nths (Supplementar	ry
202	Table 4). As for the results of the stratified a	nalysi	s, risk	fac	tors of	long-term	
203	prescription among those with a regular pres	criptio	on we	re th	ne same	e as the results for a	11
204	participants (Supplementary Table 5). In pat	ients v	vho w	vere	prescri	bed BZDs as neede	d,
205	sex, medical specialty, multiple BZDs preser	ription	, and	half	E-life w	ere not associated	
206	with long-term prescription.						
207							
208	Discussion						
209	Using a large health insurance claims databa	ise, we	e inve	stiga	ated the	proportion of	
210	long-term BZD prescriptions among new BZ	ZD use	ers age	ed 1	8 to 65	years old. A total c	of
211	9.0% continued BZDs for ≥ 8 months. Long	-term	BZD	pres	cription	n was significantly	
212	associated with older age, cancer, comorbidi	ty of r	nood	and	neurot	ic disorder, multiple	e

Page 15 of 35

BMJ Open

213	BZD prescriptions, hypnotics, prescription by psychiatry, regular prescription, and
214	medium half-life of BZD at the initial prescription.
215	This study showed 6.1%, 9.0%, and 11.8% of new users continued BZDs for \geq
216	6 months, \geq 8 months, and \geq 12 months, respectively. These figures were comparable to
217	results in a previous study ⁹ , but relatively lower than prevalence in most previous
218	studies. ^{8,16–18} This may be because previous studies used a prevalent user design or
219	were conducted in an elderly population and resulted in an overestimation of long-term
220	BZD use. In this study, prevalence of long-term prescription in a non-elderly population
221	may be more reliable because we used a new-user design and assessed BZD use for ≥ 8
222	consecutive months. As another possible reason, low prevalence may be due to the
223	development of guidelines for BZDs. Guidelines restricting long-term BZD
224	prescription, such as the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
225	guideline, were developed and have spread around the world since the 2000s. These
226	guidelines may have affected physicians' prescription practice. Furthermore, the
227	guidelines potentially affected the prescription preferences of patients because the
228	information became available on the Internet.
229	Risk factors for long-term use, including older age, psychiatric disorders, users
230	of hypnotics, regular prescription, multiple prescriptions, and psychiatrist-prescriber

231	were consistent with those in a previous study in Japan. ¹¹ Patients with a more severe
232	condition may consult psychiatrists, be diagnosed with one or more psychiatric
233	disorders, and be prescribed a high dose of BZD for regular use. Although severe
234	conditions may cause long-term use of BZDs, BZDs should be prescribed with caution
235	because there is weak evidence for the efficacy of long-term BZD use and BZD is not
236	the first-line treatment for depression and anxiety. The medium half-life of BZD was
237	associated with long-term use in the present study. This result was consistent with that
238	of other research using the same database. ¹¹ However, other studies suggested the risk
239	of short-acting BZD, ^{19,20} and evidence regarding the association between half-life and
240	long-term use remains inconclusive in the literature. ⁹ Since 2000, Z-drug hypnotics
241	have become popular, and temporal differences in population characteristics may have
242	resulted in the inconsistent study results. Further study is required to evaluate the effect
243	of the half-life of BZD on long-term prescription in a population with different
244	characteristics.
245	In this study, patients with cancer at the baseline were more likely to continue
246	BZD. Patients with cancer may use and continue BZD because of pain and
247	psychological distress, ^{8,10,21} especially among non-elderly adults. ^{22, 23} Moreover,
248	patients with cancer likely have these symptoms during and even after cancer treatment.

Page 17 of 35

1

BMJ Open

2
3
4
5
S C
6
7
8
9
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
10
10
19
20
21
22
23
<u>-</u> -2 2∕I
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
21
31
32
33
34
35
36
27
20
38
39
40
41
42
43
4J 44
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
57
58
59

60

249	It is important to manage pain and psychological distress by other measures to prevent
250	additional adverse effects from long-term use of BZD among cancer patients.
251	Based on our findings, it is important to carefully prescribe BZD in accordance
252	with the recommendation to avoid long-term use, especially for patients with a dual
253	diagnosis of mood and neurotic disorder, using hypnotics, prescribed two or more
254	BZDs, and with cancer. Prescription guidelines of BZD such as the NICE guideline and
255	WHO recommend using BZD for up to 30 days. ^{24,25} In Japan, the year-long prescription
256	of BZD was disincentivized in 2018. ²⁶ However, this may not be sufficient to prevent
257	long-term BZD use because most BZD users may already be dependent on BZDs by
258	one year. Additional measures should be taken to restrict BZD prescriptions. For
259	example, an option may be to disincentivize prescriptions of high-dose BZD at the
260	initial prescription or continuation of BZD for more than one month based on the
261	patient's severity of psychiatric disorders.
262	The sensitivity analysis showed the risk factors were almost the same when
263	using different definitions of long-term prescription (≥ 6 or ≥ 12 months). This finding
264	suggested the robustness of the analysis. However, the stratified analysis revealed that
265	risk factors varied with a different prescription status (prescription as needed or regular

266 prescription). Frequency of symptoms and physicians' manner of prescription may

2
3
1
5
0
/
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
25
20
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
50
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
15
то 47
+/ 40
4ð
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
50
57
58
59

267	influence prescription status. Further studies using detailed patient information and
268	physicians' intention of prescription is necessary to identify risk factors of long-term
269	prescription in specific patient groups.
270	This study had several limitations related to claims data. First, the data did not
271	include severity of psychiatric disorders and insomnia symptoms. Although we adjusted
272	for diagnosis using ICD-10 codes, there may be residual confounding due to patient
273	conditions. Second, the analyzed cohort might not be representative of all included
274	patients because censored patients were more likely to be prescribed first BZDs by
275	psychiatrists. These patients might have had a relatively severe condition and tended to
276	quit their job and change health insurance. Third, our definition of long-term
277	prescription may also cause an underestimation of long-term prescription because
278	people who received BZDs every two or three months were not counted as long-term.
279	
280	Conclusion
281	We identified several risk factors for determining long-term prescription of
282	BZD among new users of BZD. Although the prevalence of long-term users was
283	relatively low, assessment of these risk factors is necessary to prevent long-term
284	prescription of BZDs when physicians prescribe BZD at an initial visit.

285	
286	Author contributions
287	AT, SO, Hayato Y, TM, Hideo Y, and NK devised the study protocol. AT, SO, Hayato
288	Y, HM, and Hideo Y contributed to data collection and analysis and drafted the
289	manuscript. All authors have contributed to interpretation and critically reviewed the
290	manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.
291	Funding statement
292	This research was supported by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan; and
293	The Health Care Science Institute, Japan.
294	
295	Competing interests
296	The authors declare no conflict of interest.
297	
298	Data sharing statement
299	No data are available.
300	
301	References
302	1. Soyka M. Treatment of Benzodiazepine Dependence. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2017; 376 :

3 4			
5 6 7	303		1147–57.
8 9 10	304	2.	Inada T, Inagaki A. Psychotropic dose equivalence in Japan. Psychiatry Clin.
12 13 14	305		<i>Neurosci.</i> 2015; 69 : 440–7.
15 16 17	306	3.	Petursson H. The benzodiazepine withdrawal syndrome. <i>Addiction</i> . 1994; 89 :
18 19 20	307		1455–9.
21 22 23	308	4.	Donnelly K, Bracchi R, Hewitt J, Routledge PA, Carter B. Benzodiazepines,
24 25 26	309		Z-drugs and the risk of hip fracture: A systematic review and meta- analysis. <i>PLoS</i>
27 28 29	310		<i>One</i> . 2017; 12 : e0174730.
30 31 32	311	5.	Weich S, Pearce HL, Croft P, Singh S, Crome I, Bashford J, et al. Effect of
33 34 35	312		anxiolytic and hypnotic drug prescriptions on mortality hazards: Retrospective
36 37 38	313		cohort study. <i>BMJ</i> . 2014; 348 : 1–12.
39 40 41	314	6.	Kim H-B, Myung S-K, Park YC, Park B. Use of benzodiazepine and risk of cancer:
42 43 44	315		A meta-analysis of observational studies. Int J Cancer. 2017; 140: 513–25.
45 46 47	316	7.	Elvik R. Risk of road accident associated with the use of drugs: A systematic
48 49 50	317		review and meta-analysis of evidence from epidemiological studies. Accid. Anal.
51 52 53	318		<i>Prev.</i> 2013; 60 : 254–67.
54 55 56	319	8.	Fang S-Y, Chen C-Y, Chang I-S, Wu EC-H, Chang C-M, Lin K-M. Predictors of
57 58 59	320		the incidence and discontinuation of long-term use of benzodiazepines: A
60			

3 4 5			
6 7 8	321		population-based study. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2009; 104: 140-6.
9 10	322	9.	Kurko TAT, Saastamoinen LK, Tähkäpää S, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Taiminen T,
12 13	323		Tiihonen J, et al. Long-term use of benzodiazepines: Definitions, prevalence and
14 15 16	324		usage patterns – a systematic review of register-based studies. Eur. Psychiatry.
17 18 19	325		2015; 30 : 1037–47.
20 21 22	326	10.	Simon GE, Vonkorff M, Barlow W, Pabiniak C, Wagner E. Predictors of Chronic
23 24 25	327		Benzodiazepine Use in a Health Maintenance Organization Sample. J Clin
20 27 28	328		<i>Epidemiol</i> . 1996; 49 : 1067–73.
29 30 31	329	11.	Takeshima N, Ogawa Y, Hayasaka Y, Furukawa TA. Continuation and
32 33 34	330		discontinuation of benzodiazepine prescriptions: A cohort study based on a large
35 36 37	331		claims database in Japan. <i>Psychiatry Res</i> . 2016; 237: 201–7.
38 39 40	332	12.	Rickels K, Case WG, Downing RW, Winokur A. Long-term Diazepam Therapy
41 42 43	333		and Clinical Outcome. JAMA. 1983 ;250: 767-71.
44 45 46	334	13.	Kimura S, Sato T, Ikeda S, Noda M, Nakayama T. Development of a Database of
47 48 49	335		Health Insurance Claims: Standardization of Disease Classifications and
50 51 52	336		Anonymous Record Linkage. J. Epidemiol. 2010; 20: 413–9.
53 54 55	337	14.	JMDC Inc. [Feature of JMDC Claims Database]. [Cited 18 Nov 2018.] Available
56 57 58 59 60	338		from https://www.jmdc.co.jp/pharma/database.html (in Japanese)

2 3 4	
5 6 7 8	339
9 10 11	340
12 13 14	341
15 16 17	342
18 19 20	343
21 22 23	344
24 25 26	345
27 28 29	346
30 31 32	347
33 34 35	348
36 37 38	349
39 40 41	350
42 43 44	351
45 46 47	352
48 49 50	353
52 53	354
54 55 56 57	355
58 59 60	356

9	15.	WHOCC - ATC/DDD Index. [Cited 18 Nov 2018.] Available from
0		https://www.whocc.no/atc_ddd_index/
1	16.	Cunningham CM, Hanley GE, Morgan S. Patterns in the use of benzodiazepines in
2		British Columbia: Examining the impact of increasing research and guideline
3		cautions against long-term use. <i>Health Policy</i> . 2010; 97:122–9.
4	17.	Isacson D. Long-term benzodiazepine use: Factors of importance and the
5		development of individual use patterns over time—A 13-year follow-up in a
6		Swedish community. Soc. Sci. Med. 1997; 44:1871-80.
7	18.	van Hulten R, Isacson D, Bakker A, Leufkens HG. Comparing patterns of
8		long-term benzodiazepine use between a Dutch and a Swedish community.
9		Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2003 ;12: 49–53.
0	19.	Hallfors DD, Saxe L. The dependence potential of short half-life benzodiazepines: a
1		meta-analysis. Am J Public Health. 1993; 83: 1300–4.
2	20.	Owen RT, Tyrer P. Benzodiazepine Dependence A Review of the Evidence. Drug.
3		1983; 25 :385–98.
4	21.	Luijendijk HJ, Tiemeier H, Hofman A, Heeringa J, Stricker BHC. Determinants of
5		chronic benzodiazepine use in the elderly: A longitudinal study. Br J Clin

356 *Pharmacol.* 2008; **65**: 593–9.

Page 23 of 35

3		
5 6 7	357	22. Mehta RD, Roth AJ. Psychiatric considerations in the oncology setting. CA Cancer
8 9 10	358	J Clin. 2015; 65: 299–314.
11 12 13	359	23. Miovic M, Block S. Psychiatric disorders in advanced cancer. Cancer. 2007; 110:
14 15 16 17	360	1665–76.
18 19 20	361	24. NICE. Hypnotics Guidance and guidelines . [Cited 18 Nov 2018.] Available from
20 21 22 23	362	https://www.nice.org.uk/advice/ktt6/chapter/evidence-context
24 25 26	363	25. WHO. Programme on Substance Abuse. Rational use of benzodiazepines. 1996
27 28 29	364	[Cited 18 Nov 2018.] Available from
30 31 32	365	http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/65947/WHO_PSA_96.11.pdf?seque
33 34 25	366	nce=1&isAllowed=y
36 37 28	367	26. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. [Revisionof Medical Fees in 2018
39 40	368	(medical treatment and pharmaceutical)]. [Cited 18 Nov 2018.] Available from
41 42 43	369	http://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/06-Seisakujouhou-12400000-Hokenkyoku/0000196430.p
44 45 46 47	370	<u>df</u> (in Japanese)
47 48 49	371	
50 51 52	372	
53 54 55	373	Figure legend/caption
57 58 59 60	374	Figure 1. Patient selection

BZD: benzodiazepines and Z-drug

Figure 2. Participant flow diagram

BZD: benzodiazepines and Z-drug

2 3 4	
5	
6 7 8	375
9 10 11	376
12 13 14	377
15 16 17	378
18 19 20	379
21 22 23	380
24 25 26	381
20 27 28 29	
30 31 32	
33 34 35	
36 37 38	
39 40 41	
42 43	
44 45 46	
47 48 49	
50 51 52	
53 54 55	
56 57 58	
59	

60

24

A. Ind Z drug







254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

		• • •	Maximum number of
	AIC code	Generic name	prescription days
benz	zodiazepine-o	erivative anxiolytics	
1	N05BA01	diazepam	90
2	N05BA02	chlordiazepoxide	30
3	N05BA03	medazepam	30
4	N05BA05	potassium clorazepate	14
5	N05BA06	lorazepam	30
6	N05BA08	bromazepam	30
7	N05BA12	alprazolam	30
8	N05BA17	fludiazepam	30
9	N05BA18	ethyl loflazepate	30
10	N05BA19	etizolam	30
11	N05BA21	clotiazepam	30
12	N05BA22	cloxazolam	30
13	N05BA23	tofisonam	-
hen:	zodiazenine-c	erivative hypnotics and se	datives
14	N05CD01	flurazenam	30
15	N05CD02	nitrazenam	90
16	N05CD03	flunitrazenam	30
17	N05CD04	estazolam	30
18		triazolam	30
10		lormotazonam	30
20		brotizolom	20
20			30
۲ کا ۲ ما	NUSCDIU	quazepam	30
∠-ur າາ		zanialana	20
∠∠ วว		zolpidom	0C 20
23		zoipidem	30
24 Otha			-
otne or	er (not covere	a by the WHO-AIC code)	
25	anxiolytics	flutazolam	-
26	anxiolytics	flutoprazepam	-
21	anxiolytics	mexazolam	-
28	anxiolytics	oxazolam	30
29	hypnotics	nimetazepam	30
30	hypnotics	haloxazolam	30
31	hypnotics	rilmazafone	-

Supplementary Table 2

Comparison of the characteristics between those who were followed up for 8 months and those who were censored from this study cohort (N=86147)

•	1		
	Concorred	Followed up	Standardized
	Censored	for 8 months	difforence
	n=12103 (14.0)	n=74044 (86.0)	unierence
Age (mean, SD)	41.0 (13.1)	42.2 (11.8)	-0.096
Sex, male	5740 (47.4)	37962 (51.3)	-0.078
Occupational status, employed	8101 (66.9)	48142 (65.0)	0.040
Cancer	1365 (11.3)	7763 (10.5)	0.026
Medical specialty, psychiatry	4277 (35.3)	21831 (29.5)	0.124
Psychiatric diagnosis			
None	4306 (35.6)	29991 (40.5)	-0.101
Mood disorder (F3)	2196 (18.1)	11788 (15.9)	0.059
Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4)	3747 (31.0)	22785 (30.8)	0.004
Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4)	1582 (13.1)	8026 (10.8)	0.071
Other disorders	272 (2.2)	1454 (2.0)	0.014
Multiple BZDs on first prescription	1515 (12.5)	8179 (11.0)	0.047
Type of BZD ^a			
Hypnotic	3918 (32.4)	22494 (30.4)	0.043
Anxiolytic	7353 (60.8)	47211 (63.8)	-0.062
Both	832 (6.9)	4339 (5.9)	0.041
Administration instructions ^a			
As needed	2992 (24.7)	18937 (25.6)	-0.021
Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days)	3815 (31.5)	22858 (30.9)	0.013
Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days)	3808 (31.5)	22324 (30.1)	0.030
Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (\geq 15 days)) 1488 (12.3)	9925 (13.4)	-0.033
Half-life of BZD ^a			
Short (< 12 h)	7858 (64.9)	48892 (66.0)	-0.023
Medium (12-24 h)	2236 (18.5)	12098 (16.3)	0.058
$Long (\geq 24 h)$	2009 (16.6)	13054 (17.6)	-0.027

Data presented as n (%) unless otherwise specified.

BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; SD, standard deviation.

a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription

Supplementary Table 3

Demographics and clinical characteristics of new users of BZD when using different definitions for long-term prescription

		$DZD \ge 0$ months		BZD < 12 months	$BZD \ge 12 \text{ months}$	
	(N=81	409)		(N=61	1857)	
	71770 (88.2)	9639 (11.8)	р	58061 (93.9)	3796 (6.1)	р
Age (mean, SD)	42.2 (11.9)	41.9 (11.4)	0.01	42.3 (11.7)	42.2 (11.0)	0.83
Sex, male	35731 (49.8)	5821 (60.4)	< 0.001	29468 (50.8)	2338 (61.6)	< 0.001
Occupational status, employed	46011 (64.1)	6902 (71.6)	< 0.001	37458 (64.5)	2735 (72.0)	< 0.001
Cancer	7481 (10.4)	1079 (11.2)	0.02	6075 (10.5)	379 (10.0)	0.36
Medical specialty, psychiatry	18922 (26.4)	5401 (56.0)	< 0.001	15645 (26.9)	2206 (58.1)	< 0.001
Psychiatric diagnosis			< 0.001			< 0.001
None	30772 (42.9)	1958 (20.3)		24701 (42.5)	709 (18.7)	
Mood disorder (F3)	9839 (13.7)	3211 (33.3)		8385 (14.4)	1338 (35.2)	
Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4)	22978 (32.0)	2119 (22.0)		18203 (31.4)	777 (20.5)	
Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4)	6840 (9.5)	2093 (21.7)		5711 (9.8)	857 (22.6)	
Other disorders	1341 (1.9)	258 (2.7)		1061 (1.8)	115 (3.0)	
Multiple BZDs on first prescription	6650 (9.3)	2339 (24.3)	< 0.001	5798 (10.0)	1023 (26.9)	< 0.001
Type of BZD ^a			< 0.001			< 0.001
Hypnotic	21290 (29.7)	3550 (36.8)		17411 (30.0)	1428 (37.6)	
Anxiolytic	47097 (65.6)	4707 (48.8)		37659 (64.9)	1754 (46.2)	
Both	3383 (4.7)	1382 (14.3)		2991 (5.2)	614 (16.2)	
Administration instructions ^a			< 0.001			< 0.001
As needed	19365 (27.0)	1481 (15.4)		15277 (26.3)	543 (14.3)	
Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days)	21902 (30.5)	3296 (34.2)		17699 (30.5)	1347 (35.5)	
Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days)	21089 (29.4)	3493 (36.2)		17285 (29.8)	1360 (35.8)	
Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (\geq 15 days)) 9414 (13.1)	1369 (14.2)		7800 (13.4)	546 (14.4)	
Half-life of BZD ^a			< 0.001			< 0.001
Short (< 12 h)	48097 (67.0)	5655 (58.7)		38801 (66.8)	2207 (58.1)	
Medium (12-24 h)	11210 (15.6)	2191 (22.7)		9100 (15.7)	882 (23.2)	
Long (≥ 24 h) Data presented as n (%) unless otherwise specified. BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; SD, standard devia	12463 (17.4) ation.	1793 (18.6)		10160 (17.5)	707 (18.6)	
Long (≥ 24 h) Data presented as n (%) unless otherwise specified. BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; SD, standard devia a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription	12463 (17.4) ation. days in the first pres	1793 (18.6)	0	10160 (17.5)	707 (18.6)	

Factors related to long-term BZD prescription among new BZD users when using different definitions for long-term prescription

(N=61857) + (N=6	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$long-term: \ge 6 months$ $long-term: \ge 12 methods$						l2 mor	iths		
OR 95% Cl p OR 95% Cl Age 1.01 1.01 to 1.02 <0.001	OR 95% CI p OR 95% CI p QR Age 1.01 1.01 to 1.02 to 1.03 to 1.13 to 1.05 to 1.13 to 1.05 to 1.13 to 1.05 to			(N=81	81409) (N=61857)						
Age 1.01 1.01 to 1.02 <0.001	Age1.011.0110.101.02 <0.001 1.021.0210.010.0 <0.02 <0.001 1.111.0110.101.22 <0.0000 Cocupational status, employed0.980.92to1.050.640.970.88to1.280.02Cancer1.321.23to1.42 <0.001 1.110.99to1.250.02Medical specialty, psychiatry1.781.66to1.89 <0.001 1.771.61to1.94 <0.07 Psychiatric diagnosisNoneRef.Ref.Ref.Ref.Ref.1.36to1.371.25to4.23 <0.011 1.711.61to3.88 <0.1 NoneRef.3.202.96to3.46 <0.001 3.443.04to3.88 <0.1 NoreRef.1.36to1.57 <0.001 1.511.36to1.72 <0.1 Mod disorder (F3)3.202.061.77to3.67 <0.001 3.713.25to4.23 <0.1 Multiple EZDs on first prescription1.401.29to1.52 <0.001 1.411.27to1.61 <0.1 Multiple EZDs on first prescription1.401.29to1.52 <0.001 1.431.27to1.64 <0.1 Multiple EZDs on first prescription:1.400.890.80to<0.99		OR	95% C		р	OR	95	5% C	:1	р
Sex, male 1.13 1.06 to 1.20 <0.001	Sex, male 1.13 1.06 to 1.20 <0.001	Age	1.01	1.01 to	1.02	< 0.001	1.02	1.02	to	1.02	< 0.00
Occupational status, employed 0.98 0.92 to 1.05 0.64 0.97 0.88 to 1.08 Cancer 1.32 1.23 to 1.42 <0.001	Occupational status, employed 0.98 0.92 to 1.05 0.64 0.97 0.88 to 1.08 C Cancer 1.32 1.23 to 1.42 <0.001	Sex, male	1.13	1.06 to	1.20	< 0.001	1.11	1.01	to	1.22	0.0
Cancer 1.32 1.23 to 1.42 <0.001	Cancer 1.32 1.23 to 1.42 <0.001	Occupational status, employed	0.98	0.92 to	1.05	0.64	0.97	0.88	to	1.08	0.5
Medical specialty, psychiatry 1.78 1.68 to 1.89 <0.001	Medical specialty, psychiatry 1.78 1.68 to 1.89 <0.001	Cancer	1.32	1.23 to	1.42	< 0.001	1.11	0.99	to	1.25	0.0
Psychiatric diagnosis Ref. Ref	Psychiatric diagnosis Ref.	Medical specialty, psychiatry	1.78	1.68 to	1.89	< 0.001	1.77	1.61	to	1.94	< 0.00
None Ref. Ref. <t< td=""><td>None Ref. Ref. Ref. Moad disorder (F3) 3.20 2.96 to 3.46 < 0.001</td> 3.44 3.04 to 3.88 0.1 Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4) 1.46 1.57 < 0.001</t<>	None Ref. Ref. Ref. Moad disorder (F3) 3.20 2.96 to 3.46 < 0.001	Psychiatric diagnosis									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mood disorder (F3) 3.20 2.96 to 3.46 <0.01 3.44 3.04 to 3.88 <0.4 Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4) 1.46 1.36 to 1.57 <0.001	None	Ref.				Ref.				
Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4)1.461.36to1.57<0.0011.531.36to1.72<0Mood and neurotic disorders2.063.77to3.67<0.001	Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4) 1.46 1.36 to 1.57 <0.01	Mood disorder (F3)	3.20	2.96 to	3.46	< 0.001	3.44	3.04	to	3.88	< 0.00
Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4)3.373.10 to3.67 <0.001 3.71 3.25 to 4.23 <0.25 Other disorders2.06 1.77 to 2.39 <0.001 2.60 2.09 to 3.24 <0.25 Multiple BZDs on first prescription 1.40 1.29 to 1.52 <0.001 1.43 1.27 to 1.61 <0.25 Type of BZD aHypoticRef.Ref.Ref.Ref.Ref. <0.64 <0.001 0.59 0.54 to 0.64 <0.64 Anxiolytic0.610.58 to 0.64 <0.001 0.59 0.54 to 0.64 <0.64 Administration instructions a As neededRef.Ref.Ref. <0.001 1.98 1.78 to 2.20 <0.001 Regular prescription:1 weeks (1-7 days) 1.87 1.75 to 2.00 <0.001 1.83 1.65 to 2.04 <0.001 Regular prescription:1 weeks (8-14 days) 1.86 1.74 to 1.99 <0.001 1.83 1.65 to 2.04 <0.001 Half-life of BZD aShort (< 12 h)	Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4)3.373.10to3.67<0.001 3.71 3.25 to 4.23 <0.0Other disorders2.061.77to2.39<0.011	Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4)	1.46	1.36 to	1.57	< 0.001	1.53	1.36	to	1.72	< 0.00
Other disorders 2.06 1.77 to 2.39 <0.01	Other disorders 2.06 1.77 to 2.39 <0.01	Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4)	3.37	3.10 to	3.67	< 0.001	3.71	3.25	to	4.23	< 0.00
Multiple BZDs on first prescription1.401.29 to1.52<0.0011.431.27 to1.61<0Type of BZD a HypnoticRef.Ref.Ref.Ref.Ref. </td <td>Multiple BZDs on first prescription 1.40 1.29 to 1.52 <0.001 1.43 1.27 to 1.61 <0.01 Type of BZD ^a Hypnotic Ref. Ref. Ref. Ref. Axiolytic 0.61 0.58 to 0.64 <0.001 0.59 0.54 to 0.64 <0.01 0.59 0.54 to 0.59 0.54 to 0.64 <0.01 0.59 0.54 to 0.59 0.54 to 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.5</td> <td>Other disorders</td> <td>2.06</td> <td>1.77 to</td> <td>2.39</td> <td>< 0.001</td> <td>2.60</td> <td>2.09</td> <td>to</td> <td>3.24</td> <td>< 0.00</td>	Multiple BZDs on first prescription 1.40 1.29 to 1.52 <0.001 1.43 1.27 to 1.61 <0.01 Type of BZD ^a Hypnotic Ref. Ref. Ref. Ref. Axiolytic 0.61 0.58 to 0.64 <0.001 0.59 0.54 to 0.64 <0.01 0.59 0.54 to 0.59 0.54 to 0.64 <0.01 0.59 0.54 to 0.59 0.54 to 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.5	Other disorders	2.06	1.77 to	2.39	< 0.001	2.60	2.09	to	3.24	< 0.00
Type of BZD a HypnoticRef.Ref.Ref.Anxiolytic0.610.58to0.64<0.001	Type of BZD ^a Hypnotic Ref. Ref. Anxiolytic 0.61 0.58 to 0.64 <0.001 0.59 0.54 to 0.64 <0.01 Both 0.89 0.80 to 0.99 0.04 0.90 0.77 to 1.04 C Administration instructions ^a As needed Ref. Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days) 1.87 1.75 to 2.00 <0.001 1.98 1.78 to 2.20 <0.01 Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days) 1.86 1.74 to 1.99 <0.001 1.83 1.65 to 2.04 <0.01 Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (≥ 15 days 1.75 1.61 to 1.90 <0.001 1.76 1.55 to 1.99 <0.01 Half-life of BZD ^a Short (< 12 h) Ref. Ref. Medium (12-24 h) 1.12 1.05 to 1.19 <0.001 1.11 1.01 to 1.22 0 Long (≥ 24 h) 1.02 0.95 to 1.09 0.62 0.997 0.900 to 1.10 0 BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Multiple BZDs on first prescription	1.40	1.29 to	1.52	< 0.001	1.43	1.27	to	1.61	< 0.00
HypnoticRef.Ref.Anxiolytic0.610.58to0.64<0.001	HypnoticRef.Ref.Anxiolytic0.610.58 to0.64 <0.001	Type of BZD ^a									
Anxiolytic0.610.58to0.64<0.0010.590.54to0.64<0.64<0.61Both0.890.80to0.990.040.900.77to1.04Administration instructions aAs neededRef.Ref.Ref.Ref.Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days)1.871.75to2.00<0.001	Anxiolytic 0.61 0.58 to 0.64 <0.01 0.59 0.54 to 0.64 <0.01 Both 0.89 0.80 to 0.99 0.04 0.90 0.77 to 1.04 0 Administration instructions ^a As needed Ref. Ref. Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days) 1.87 1.75 to 2.00 <0.001 1.98 1.78 to 2.20 <0.0 Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days) 1.86 1.74 to 1.99 <0.001 1.83 1.65 to 2.04 <0.0 Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (\geq 15 days 1.75 1.61 to 1.90 <0.001 1.76 1.55 to 1.99 <0.00 Half-life of BZD ^a Short (< 12 h) Ref. Medium (12-24 h) 1.12 1.05 to 1.19 <0.001 1.11 1.01 to 1.22 0 Long (\geq 24 h) 1.02 0.95 to 1.09 0.62 0.997 0.900 to 1.10 0 BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratic; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Hypnotic	Ref.				Ref.				
Both0.890.80 to0.990.040.900.77 to1.04Administration instructions aAs neededRef.Ref.Ref.Ref.Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days)1.871.75 to2.00<0.001	Both 0.89 0.80 to 0.99 0.04 0.90 0.77 to 1.04 C Administration instructions ^a As needed Ref. Ref. Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days) 1.87 1.75 to 2.00 <0.01 1.98 1.78 to 2.20 <0.01 Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days) 1.86 1.74 to 1.99 <0.001 1.83 1.65 to 2.04 <0.01 Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (\geq 15 days 1.75 1.61 to 1.90 <0.001 1.76 1.55 to 1.99 <0.01 Half-life of BZD ^a Short (< 12 h) Ref. Ref. Ref. Medium (12-24 h) 1.12 1.05 to 1.19 <0.001 1.11 1.01 to 1.22 0 Long (\geq 24 h) 1.02 0.95 to 1.09 0.62 0.997 0.900 to 1.10 0 BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratic; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Anxiolytic	0.61	0.58 to	0.64	< 0.001	0.59	0.54	to	0.64	< 0.00
Administration instructions a Ref. Control of the second secon	Administration instructions a Ref. Ref. Ref. Ref. Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days) 1.87 1.75 to 2.00 <0.01	Both	0.89	0.80 to	0.99	0.04	0.90	0.77	to	1.04	0.1
As neededRef.Ref.Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days)1.871.75to2.00<0.001	As needed Ref. Ref. Ref. Reg. <0.0	Administration instructions ^a									
Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days)1.871.75to2.00 <0.001 1.981.78to2.20 <0.001 Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days)1.861.74to1.99 <0.001 1.831.65to2.04 <0.001 Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (≥ 15 days1.751.61to1.90 <0.001 1.761.55to1.99 <0.001 Half-life of BZD aRef.Short (<12 h)Ref.Medium (12-24 h)1.121.05to1.19 <0.001 1.111.01to1.22Long (≥ 24 h)1.020.95to1.090.620.9970.900to1.10BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence intervala:Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescriptiona: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days)1.871.75to2.00<0.011.981.78to2.20<0.01Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days)1.861.74to1.99<0.001	As needed	Ref.				Ref.				
Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days)1.861.74 to1.99<0.0011.831.65 to2.04<0Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (\geq 15 days1.751.61 to1.90<0.001	Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days) 1.86 1.74 to 1.99 <0.001	Regular prescription: 1 week (1-7 days)	1.87	1.75 to	2.00	< 0.001	1.98	1.78	to	2.20	< 0.00
Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (\geq 15 days 1.75 1.61 to 1.90 <0.001 1.76 1.55 to 1.99 <0 Half-life of BZD ^a Short (< 12 h) Ref. Ref. Medium (12-24 h) 1.12 1.05 to 1.19 <0.001 1.11 1.01 to 1.22 Long (\geq 24 h) 1.02 0.95 to 1.09 0.62 0.997 0.900 to 1.10 BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (\geq 15 days 1.75 1.61 to 1.90 <0.001 1.76 1.55 to 1.99 <0.4 Half-life of BZD ^a Short (< 12 h) Ref. Ref. Medium (12-24 h) 1.12 1.05 to 1.19 <0.001 1.11 1.01 to 1.22 0 Long (\geq 24 h) 1.02 0.95 to 1.09 0.62 0.997 0.900 to 1.10 0 BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Regular prescription: 2 weeks (8-14 days)	1.86	1.74 to	1.99	< 0.001	1.83	1.65	to	2.04	< 0.00
Half-life of BZD ^a Short (< 12 h) Ref. Ref. Medium (12-24 h) 1.12 1.05 to 1.19 <0.001 1.11 1.01 to 1.22 Long (≥ 24 h) 1.02 0.95 to 1.09 0.62 0.997 0.900 to 1.10 BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Half-life of BZD ^a Short (< 12 h) Ref. Ref. Medium (12-24 h) 1.12 1.05 to 1.19 <0.001 1.11 1.01 to 1.22 C Long (≥ 24 h) 1.02 0.95 to 1.09 0.62 0.997 0.900 to 1.10 0 BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Regular prescription: more than 2 weeks (≥ 15 days	1.75	1.61 to	1.90	< 0.001	1.76	1.55	to	1.99	< 0.00
Short (< 12 h) Ref. Ref. Medium (12-24 h) 1.12 1.05 to 1.19 <0.001	Short (< 12 h)Ref.Ref.Medium (12-24 h)1.121.05 to1.19<0.001	Half-life of BZD ^a									
Medium (12-24 h)1.121.05 to1.19<0.0011.111.01 to1.22Long (\geq 24 h)1.020.95 to1.090.620.9970.900 to1.10BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Medium (12-24 h)1.121.05 to1.19<0.0011.111.01 to1.22CLong (\geq 24 h)1.020.95 to1.090.620.9970.900 to1.10CBZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescriptiona: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Short (< 12 h)	Ref.				Ref.				
Long (\geq 24 h) BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Long (\geq 24 h) BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Medium (12-24 h)	1.12	1.05 to	1.19	< 0.001	1.11	1.01	to	1.22	0.0
EUNG (2 241) BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	Eding (2.244) 102 0.03 to 100 0.02 0.03 to 1.00 0.02 0.00 to 1.10 0 BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	l ong (> 24 h)	1 02	0.95 to	1 09	0.62	0 997	0 900	to	1 10	0.0
a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription	DTD happediaconing and 7 drugge OD adds ratio. Cl	1.02		1.05	0.02	0.551	0.500	10	1.10	0.5
		a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription	days ir	n the first	prescr	iption					

Supplementary Table 5

Factors related to long-term BZD prescription (≥ 8 months) among the new BZD users with as-needed prescription or regular prescription

	A	s neec	(n=18	937)	Regular (n=55107)					
	OR	95	5% (СІ	р	OR	95	% (CI	р
Age	1.01	1.00	to	1.02	< 0.01	1.02	1.01	to	1.02	< 0.00
Sex, male	1.13	0.95	to	1.35	0.17	1.14	1.05	to	1.23	<0.0
Occupational status, employed	0.97	0.81	to	1.17	0.75	0.98	0.90	to	1.06	0.6
Cancer	1.41	1.17	to	1.71	< 0.001	1.11	1.00	to	1.22	0.0
Medical specialty, psychiatry	1.18	0.98	to	1.42	0.08	1.96	1.81	to	2.11	< 0.0
Psychiatric diagnosis										
None	Ref.									
Mood disorder (F3)	3.00	2.36	to	3.82	< 0.001	3.41	3.08	to	3.77	< 0.0
Neurotic, stress-related and somatic disorder (F4)	1.53	1.24	to	1.89	< 0.001	1.52	1.38	to	1.67	< 0.0
Mood and neurotic disorders (F3 and F4)	4.16	3.24	to	5.34	< 0.001	3.53	3.16	to	3.95	<0.0
Other disorders	2.13	1.44	to	3.15	< 0.001	2.48	2.05	to	2.99	<0.0
Multiple BZDs on first prescriptions ^a	1.45	0.79	to	2.65	0.23	1.37	1.25	to	1.51	<0.0
Type of BZD ^a										
Hypnotic	Ref.									
Anxiolytic	0.44	0.37	to	0.52	< 0.001	0.67	0.62	to	0.72	<0.0
Both	0.70	0.36	to	1.39	0.31	0.99	0.88	to	1.12	0.
Half-life of BZD ^a										
Short (< 12 h)	Ref.									
Medium (12-24 h)	1.11	0.92	to	1.33	0.28	1.10	1.02	to	1.19	0
Long (≥ 24 h)	0.80	0.60	to	1.07	0.13	0.95	0.87	to	1.03	0.

BZD, benzodiazepines and Z-drugs; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval

a: Characteristics of BZD with the longest prescription days in the first prescription

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Reporting checklist for cohort study.

Based on the STROBE cohort guidelines.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the STROBE cohort reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.

	Reporting Item	Page Number
#1a	Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
#1b	Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2-3
#2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3-5
#3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
#4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
#5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5-8
#6a For pe	Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up.	5-8, Fig 1
	#1a #1b #2 #3 #4 #5 #6a	Reporting Item#1aIndicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract#1bProvide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found#2Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported#3State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses#4Present key elements of study design early in the paper#5Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection#6aGive the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up.For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page	33	of	35
------	----	----	----

1 2 3		#6b	For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	NA
4 5 6 7 8	Variables	#7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	7-9
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Data sources / measurement	#8	For each variable of interest give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group. Give information separately for for exposed and unexposed groups if applicable.	5-9
18 19	Bias	#9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6-7
20 21 22	Study size	#10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6-7
22 23 24 25 26 27	Quantitative variables	#11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why	8-9
28 29 30 31	Statistical methods	#12a	Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	9-10
32 33 34		#12b	Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	9-10
35 36 37		#12c	Explain how missing data were addressed	NA
38 39		#12d	If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	9
40 41 42		#12e	Describe any sensitivity analyses	9
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	Participants	#13a	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed. Give information separately for for exposed and unexposed groups if applicable.	10
51 52		#13b	Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	10
53 54		#13c	Consider use of a flow diagram	Fig 2
55 56 57 58	Descriptive data	#14a	Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	10-11 Table1
59 60		For pe	eer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

			BMJ Open	Page 34 of 35
1 2			confounders. Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups if applicable.	
3 4 5 6		#14b	Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	NA
7 8 9		#14c	Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	10, 14
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Outcome data	#15	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time. Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups if applicable.	10-11, Table 1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Main results	#16a	Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder- adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	12-13, Table 2
22 23 24 25		#16b	Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	8-9
26 27 28 29 30		#16c	If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
29 30 31 32	Other analyses	#17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	12, 14
33 34 35	Key results	#18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	14-15
36 37 38 39 40	Limitations	#19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias.	18
41 42 43 44 45	Interpretation	#20	Give a cautious overall interpretation considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.	14-19
46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	Generalisability	#21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	18
	Funding	#22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	19
56 57 58 59	Author notes			

The STROBE checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

CC-BY. This checklist was completed on 03. February 2019 using <u>https://www.goodreports.org/</u>, a
 tool made by the <u>EQUATOR Network</u> in collaboration with <u>Penelope.ai</u>

totoperteries ont