

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Protocol for a feasibility trial to inform the development of a breathlessness rehabilitation programme for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Chronic Heart Failure (The COHERE Trial)

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-029387
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Jan-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Jones, Amy; Loughborough University, National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences; National Institute for Health Research, Centre for Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Respiratory Evans, Rachael; University of Leicester, Respiratory Sciences; National Institute for Health Research, Centre for Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Respiratory Esliger, Dale; Loughborough University, National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences; National Institute for Health Research, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Lifestyle Sherar, Lauren; Loughborough University, National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences; National Institute for Health Research, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Lifestyle Singh, Sally; National Institute for Health Research, Centre for Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Respiratory; University of Leicester, Respiratory Sciences
Keywords:	Heart failure < CARDIOLOGY, Chronic airways disease < THORACIC MEDICINE, REHABILITATION MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts Protocol for a feasibility trial to inform the development of a breathlessness rehabilitation programme for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Chronic Heart Failure (The COHERE Trial)

Amy V Jones 1,2, Rachael A Evans 1,2,3, Dale W Esliger 1,4, Lauren B Sherar 1,4, Sally J Singh 1,2,3

- ¹ National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
- ² Centre for Exercise and Rehabilitation Science, National Institute for Health Research, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Respiratory theme, Leicester, United Kingdom
- ³ Respiratory Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom
- ⁴ National Institute for Health Research, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Lifestyle theme, Leicester, United Kingdom

Amy V Jones (corresponding author)
School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences
Sir John Beckwith Building
Epinal Way
Loughborough University
LE11 3TU

<u>a.v.jones@lboro.ac.uk</u> Tel: +44 (0)1509 226302

Word count: 3130

ORCID iD:

Amy V Jones https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6565-8645
Rachael A Evans https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1667-868X
Dale W Esliger https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1236-6419
Lauren B Sherar https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9942-5433
Sally J Singh https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9834-0366

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and Chronic Heart Failure (CHF) commonly suffer from exertional dyspnoea and fatigue. Exercise training is recommended in the management of both diseases, yet many of the outcome measures traditionally reported are disease specific and may not fully acknowledge the multimorbid older adult. Based on our previous research, a breathlessness rehabilitation programme for patients with COPD/CHF or combined disease has been introduced as a service improvement within University Hospital Leicester NHS Trust and has amalgamated aspects of cardiac (CR) and pulmonary (PR) rehabilitation. This has created an opportunity to expand the outcome measures assessed and introduce a holistic approach in a population that share common symptoms. Therefore, this trial will explore the feasibility of collecting novel outcome markers as part of a comprehensive assessment prior to enrolment in a breathlessness rehabilitation programme for participants with COPD and/or CHF.

Methods and Analysis

The rehabilitation programme consists of 12 sessions, twice weekly, over a 6-week period. In addition to usual rehabilitation outcome measures, the trial will collect measures of future cardio-metabolic risk including arterial stiffness, body composition, physical activity/sedentary time, frailty, and symptom burden in a comprehensive rehabilitation assessment. The primary outcome measures will centre around feasibility (e.g. acceptability of the comprehensive rehabilitation assessment, intervention delivery and the experiences and attitudes of healthcare professionals and participants). Focus groups and interviews will be conducted further explore barriers and facilitators to the operation and participation in a breathlessness rehabilitation programme and the trial. Thematic analysis will be used for the interpretation of all qualitative data.

Ethics and dissemination

The research ethics committee East Midlands Leicester-Central has provided ethical approval for the conduct of this trial. The results of the trial will be disseminated through appropriate conference proceedings and peer-reviewed journals.

Trial registration number

ISRCTN11636308

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this trial

Assess feasibility of a comprehensive rehabilitation assessment, in which a novel set
of outcome measures are explored within breathlessness rehabilitation

- Assess the feasibility of delivering a new breathlessness rehabilitation programme
- This trial will provide important insights into the COPD and CHF population undergoing exercise rehabilitation
- There is no comparison group or control data as rehabilitation is a NICE recommendation for both COPD and CHF.



INTRODUCTION

Individuals with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and Chronic Heart Failure (CHF) commonly suffer from exertional dyspnoea and the conditions often co-exist.[1] The prevalence of COPD in the CHF population has been suggested to range from 9-52% in European and North American populations [2] and estimates of the prevalence of CHF in the COPD population are around 17 - 20.5%.[3,4]

Exercise training is recommended in the management of both diseases [1,5,6] and forms an integral component of cardiac (CR) and pulmonary (PR) rehabilitation programmes[7,8] PR is a symptom based multidisciplinary programme of exercise training, education and behaviour change.[9] Hospital days per patient [10] and healthcare utilisation [11] have been shown to be reduced with PR. Commonly reported outcome measures for PR include quality of life, and maximal and functional exercise capacity reported by the Cochrane Systematic Review on PR for COPD [12] and the 2017 National COPD PR audit in England and Wales, the latter also reporting measures of dyspnoea and muscle strength.[13] Indicators of cardiovascular risk were not reported, despite cardiovascular disease being a leading cause of death in those with mild to moderate COPD.[14]

CR is also a complex intervention and consists of health education and exercise training.[15] The 2017 UK National CR Audit reported change in self-report physical activity, smoking status, Body Mass Index (BMI), mood state, quality of life, maximal and functional exercise capacity and additional cardiovascular risk factors in all those that completed CR.[16] CR has been shown to reduce the risk of overall and CHF specific hospitalisation compared to usual care.[17] Clinically important improvements in quality of life after exercise based rehabilitation have been reported in a CHF population.[17] Despite this, just 5.2% of patients that started CR in England, Wales, Northern Ireland, Isle of Man and the Channel Islands in 2017 had a diagnosis of CHF.[16] The disability associated with CHF is more similar to COPD as opposed to a post myocardial infarction population.[18] The symptom-based model of PR including an individualised exercise prescription may be more appropriate for patients with CHF.[19]

The model of PR was successfully expanded to include patients with CHF, and in a randomised controlled trial of either PR or usual care (no rehabilitation) patients undergoing PR made improvements in exercise capacity and quality of life.[20] In the same trial, patients with CHF exercised alongside patients with COPD and the improvements in exercise capacity (assessed by the Incremental Shuttle Walk Test [ISWT] [21] and Endurance Shuttle Walk Test [ESWT]) [22] were similar across groups, regardless of diagnosis. This trial was the first to suggest the model of PR is suitable for patients with CHF, to apply a 'symptom-based' approach to rehabilitation, and to show that both groups of

patients could be trained together. Of note, in this study participants had either COPD or CHF and those with both pathologies were excluded.

Creating a rehabilitation programme in which relevant and appropriate outcome measures are assessed in patients that present with similar symptoms, regardless of their primary disease, appears to be a suitable advancement within cardiopulmonary-rehabilitation.[23] Additionally, there is growing recognition of the multimorbid patient [24] and historically, this has not been assessed in either CR or PR, where the outcome measures assessed are largely disease specific. The establishment of a comprehensive rehabilitation assessment that incorporates measures from traditional CR and PR, as well as holistic measures of frailty and cardio-metabolic risk will further our knowledge of symptom-based rehabilitation to ensure a patient-centred approach, recognising the burden and multi-morbidity.

Thus, the primary objective of the COHERE trial (COpd and HEart failure REhabilitation) will be to assess the feasibility of a breathlessness rehabilitation programme, including the collection of additional outcome measures, the delivery of the intervention and recruitment rates. The acceptability of the breathlessness rehabilitation programme and COHERE trial will be explored through the experiences and views of participants and healthcare professionals.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Trial design and registration

This is a multi-site feasibility trial, assessing novel outcome measures within a breathlessness rehabilitation programme for participants with a diagnosis of COPD and/or CHF. The rehabilitation programme is managed by University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust. Data will be collected at the Biomedical Research Centre Respiratory, Leicester, UK as well as the centres delivering rehabilitation. This trial will be conducted, analysed and reported according to the Consolidation Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement for feasibility trials. [25]

This trial has been registered on the ISRCTN website and The University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust will act as study sponsor.

Patient and public involvement

The trial design was shared with patients enrolled in the breathlessness rehabilitation programme, prior to the trial commencing. Results will be disseminated to current and former pulmonary and cardiac rehabilitation patients or interested members of the public within the local Pulmonary and Cardiac Rehabilitation Patient and Public Involvement Advisory group.

Participants

Eligibility criteria for patients

Patients eligible for inclusion in the trial will be: aged between 40 and 85 years, have a confirmed diagnosis of COPD/CHF or combined disease, with an Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea score 2 and above and will be referred to breathlessness rehabilitation as part of their standard care.

Eligibility criteria for healthcare professionals

All healthcare professionals actively involved in the breathlessness rehabilitation programme will be invited to take part in a focus group.

Setting

The current sites for the University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust and Leicestershire Partnership Trust (LPT) breathlessness rehabilitation programme are Glenfield Hospital, Groby Road, Leicester, UK and the National Centre for Sports and Exercise Medicine, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK.

Procedure

Once a referral has been received by the rehabilitation team, eligible individuals will receive an invitation letter, reply slip and a detailed participant information sheet. This information will be given in person or sent by post. For those that decline the trial, reasons for this (if offered freely) may be taken as field notes or by an interview if participants consent to this. Interested participants will then be contacted and if appropriate, a research visit will be arranged. Once written informed consent has been provided, the participant's involvement in the trial and any relevant results will be shared with their general practitioner (GP). Data from their usual care rehabilitation assessment will be recorded. Where possible, the research visits will occur at least 14 days prior to and upon completion of the rehabilitation programme. Participants will be asked to attend the visits after an overnight fast. Upon completion of the rehabilitation programme, participants repeat the research visit and usual rehabilitation assessment. Voluntary interviews or focus groups will then occur.

Intervention: Breathlessness rehabilitation programme

The breathlessness rehabilitation programme is led by experienced physiotherapists, nurses and healthcare professionals. The programme consists of 12 supervised classes (typically twice a week for six weeks), with each class consisting of exercise training and education. The exercises consist of a combination of traditional CR and PR activities. Participants are led through a progressive warm up,

before completing individually prescribed aerobic and strength exercises. The aerobic components include walking (floor or treadmill) and stationary cycle ergometers. The ISWT [21] is used to predict peak oxygen consumption (VO₂) and walking speed is prescribed at 80-85%peak VO2.[22] The Borg Scale of Perceived Exertion [26] and the Modified Borg Breathlessness Scale [27] are used to progress the exercise prescription. The strength component consists of sit-to-stand exercises (weighted if appropriate), bicep curls, upright rows and step ups. Participants are encouraged to complete 10 repetitions of each strength exercise 3 times per class. Participants are then led through a supervised cool-down before the educational component of the class. The educational topics covered within the breathlessness rehabilitation programme are presented in table 1. Outside of the supervised classes, participants are encouraged to complete a timed walk every day and strength training once a week at home/ unsupervised.

Table 1: Educational topics covered within breathlessness rehabilitation programme		
All patients	Benefits of exercise	
	Managing breathlessness	
	Relaxation techniques	
`\O	Healthy eating	
	Energy conservation	
	Goal setting	
	Managing anxiety	
	Question and Answers/ Signposting	
Groups divided by primary diagnosis	Medications	
	Disease education	
	Managing exacerbations/ symptom control	
	Chest clearance/ FAQs	

Acceptability

The acceptability of the COHERE trial and the breathlessness rehabilitation programme will be assessed by the following, although not limited to:

- A. Participant's experience of the COHERE trial will be explored in qualitative interviews and focus groups
- B. Participant's experience of the breathlessness rehabilitation programme will be explored in qualitative interviews and focus groups
- C. Proportion of participants who attended the follow up research visit and reasons why participants did not complete the trial (assessed by interviews)
- D. The experience of healthcare professionals regarding the breathlessness rehabilitation programme, such as their confidence in working with varied cardiorespiratory patients, the content/ structure of the breathlessness rehabilitation programme and the combining of CR and PR staff
- E. The views of healthcare professionals regarding the COHERE trial, such as the collection of additional outcome measures and coordination of the programme with the trial.

Feasibility

Measures for assessing feasibility are provided in table 2 and will include the comprehensive rehabilitation assessment, intervention delivery and recruitment.

INDICATORS	DATA SOURCES
	bilitation Assessment
Completeness of additional outcome measures	Case report forms
Acceptability	Interviews and focus groups with participants and
	healthcare professionals
Intervention delivery	& Process Evaluation
Completeness of usual care outcome measures	PR records
Number of rehabilitation classes completed	PR records
Number of participants that drop-out from	PR records
rehabilitation programme	
The experience of healthcare professionals regarding	Interviews and focus groups with healthcare
breathlessness rehabilitation and the COHERE trial	professionals
The experience of participants regards breathlessness	Interviews and focus groups with participants
rehabilitation and the COHERE trial	
The training and resources needed to deliver the	Interviews and focus groups with healthcare
intervention	professionals
Recru	itment
Feasibility of screening and recruiting participants	Trial records and field notes
Number of eligible participants, number of	Medical records and trial records
participants invited to participate in trial, number of	
participants that consent to trial	
Number of participants that decline or drop out of trial	Trial records

Data collection

Data will be collected following standard operating procedures and will occur during specific research visits and usual care rehabilitation appointments. The timing of baseline and follow up data collection cannot be standardised due to variation in the timing of participant's starting and completing rehabilitation.

Sample characteristics

Body composition

At both research visits, height, weight and waist circumference will be measured to the nearest 0.1cm, 0.1kg and 0.1cm respectively. Waist circumference will be measured twice, unless the difference between the first two measurements is >3cm, in which case a third measurement will be taken. Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA) will be performed using a dual sensor scale (Tanita MC-780 MA P; Tanita, Tokyo, Japan).

Physical function

The following three assessments are usual care and will be completed by healthcare professionals:

- 1. Maximal exercise capacity will be assessed using the ISWT.[21]
- 2. Endurance exercise capacity will be assessed using the ESWT,[22] in which a participant aims to walk at 85% of their maximal ISWT walking speed.
- 3. Quadriceps strength will be assessed using an isometric maximum voluntary contraction (QMVC). Participants will identify their dominant leg and where possible, three attempts will be made.

Frailty

Frailty is a clinical syndrome that is highly prevalent in old age [28] and focuses on a holistic approach as opposed to organ-specific diagnoses. [29] It has been suggested that 25% of patients with COPD that are referred to PR [30] are frail and it is highly prevalent in those with CHF.[31] The Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) [32] will be measured which comprises of a series of three brief assessments; a standing balance test, a gait speed test and repeated sit-to stand tests.

Cardio-metabolic health

Venous blood samples will be analysed for triglycerides, total cholesterol, low-density lipoproteins, high-density lipoproteins, glycated haemoglobin, plasma glucose, fibrinogen, high-sensitivity creactive protein and B type natriuretic peptide (BNP). BNP will only be analysed at the baseline research visit. All samples assessing cardio-metabolic health will be taken in a fasted state.

Arterial stiffness will be assessed, specifically pulse wave velocity and pulse wave analysis using the Vicorder (Smart Medical, Gloucestershire, UK), according to manufacturer's instructions. Participants will rest in the supine position for 5 minutes, before any measurements are taken. The air temperature in the room will be set at 21°C and the participants will lie on a bed set to 30° with a pillow supporting them.

Respiratory health

Lung function will be assessed by forced spirometry using a Vitalograph Pneumotrac 6800 (Vitalograph, Buckingham, UK) according to international guidelines.[33] The device will be calibrated daily, and the pass/fail criteria is +/-3.0% of a fixed 3L volume. The absolute and relative contraindications will be examined for each participant.[34] Participants will wear a nose clip during each attempt.

Symptoms and Psychosocial health

Questionnaires will be used to assess the presence and severity of participants' symptoms. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS),[35] the Dartmouth COOP charts,[36] the COPD Assessment Test (CAT)[37] and the MRC breathlessness score [38] are collected in routine care. The latter two questionnaires are only routinely collected in those with a primary diagnosis of COPD, yet for research purposes, the MRC will be collected in all research participants. The Multidimensional Dyspnea Profile (MDP)[39] and the Dyspnea-12 (D-12)[40] will be used to assess breathlessness; the time frame for the MDP will state 'the last two weeks'. Fatigue will be assessed using the PROMIS Fatigue 7a Short Form [41] and health related quality of life will be assessed using the EQ-5D-5L.[42] The Chronic Heart Questionnaire Self Report [43] will be given to all participants to assess dyspnoea, fatigue and emotional function. Where possible, participants will independently complete the questionnaires. Efforts will be made to ensure all questionnaires are completed for research participants. The protocol has largely been developed to explore symptom-based and non-disease specific questionnaires due to the population being recruited.

Objectively measured physical activity and time spent sedentary

Participants will be asked to wear a triaxial accelerometer (ActiGraph wGT3X-BT) using an adjustable waist belt with the monitor positioned over the right hip (midclavicular line) for 8 consecutive days preceding and again upon completion of rehabilitation. This will measure time spent sedentary and in physical activity (i.e. light, moderate and vigorous intensity) using cut-points, such as those published by Freedson et al [44] and step count. Participants will be asked to wear the device during all waking hours but to remove it for water-based activities and during sleep. The accelerometer will also be given to participants to wear during their usual care assessments and during each rehabilitation class.

Self-reported physical activity

The clinical visit Pro-Active Questionnaire will be used,[45] in which participants recall physical activity over the last 7 days using a 35-item questionnaire. This is a hybrid tool containing self-report information and two variables derived from the accelerometer.

Sample size estimation and recruitment target

As this is a feasibility trial, a formal sample size calculation is not required; however, the aim is to consent a minimum of 40 participants with a representative mix of COPD, CHF and combined disease. The information gathered from this trial will be used to guide a sample size calculation for future studies. Posters raising awareness of the trial and the breathlessness rehabilitation programme will be placed within clinic rooms, the exercise rehabilitation departments and online through UHL staff intranet. Efforts will also be made to raise awareness of the trial and the breathlessness rehabilitation programme with clinicians and healthcare professionals whom regularly refer patients.

Quantitative data analyses

As this is a feasibility trial, it is not appropriate to test for statistical differences over time or between groups. Therefore, quantitative data will be largely descriptive. Independent t-tests or analysis of variance (ANOVA) may be used to examine between group differences and paired sample t-tests or repeated measures ANOVA may be used to examine within group differences. Categorical data may be analysed using a chi-square or fishers exact test. Data will be entered and stored on a secure web-based system, Research Electronic data Capture (REDCap) as well as local password-protected computer files and drives. Analyses will be performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (Version 23.0, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

Participants will be provided with a unique identification number, ensuring their data remains confidential. Any written materials or results will be written to ensure participant data remains confidential.

Qualitative research

The aim of the qualitative research will be to explore the participants' experience of a) the breathlessness rehabilitation programme b) the COHERE trial and c) any barriers or facilitators to participating and completing breathlessness rehabilitation. Participants that decline the trial are invited to a refusal interview, either face to face or over the telephone, providing consent is given. The qualitative data may provide information regarding the feasibility and scalability of the trial, reducing future recruitment or retention issues. Upon completion of the trial, research participants will be invited to a voluntary focus group or interview.

Focus groups and/or interviews will be conducted with healthcare professionals that have been actively involved in the COHERE trial, whereby the objective is to seek to understand the barriers, facilitators and experiences on the delivery and implementation of the breathlessness rehabilitation programme and the scalability of the COHERE trial in the future.

Qualitative data analysis

The qualitative data will be recorded, transcribed and analysed using thematic analysis. Thematic analysis allows emerging, recurrent themes or patterns to be identified from the data. [46] All interviews and focus groups will be audio recorded with participant's consent and transcribed verbatim. Emerging themes will be identified throughout the trial, meaning the interview schedule and coding schedule are modified to follow new leads until new themes no longer emerge. In line with thematic analysis, there is no pre-specified sample size; recruitment continues until no new themes emerge.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Findings from this feasibility trial will inform the design of future trials, largely the primary outcome measure and trial protocol. Furthermore, the findings will be shared locally with secondary care interface groups such as service managers. Some trial findings may be shared with national and international visitors who come to share our practice and a summary of the trial results will also be provided to participants. It is anticipated that the results from this feasibility trial will be presented at appropriate national, international and regional conferences; local trial days; and through peer-reviewed journals.

Research ethics approval

The trial is sponsored by the University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust (trial number 105410) and ethical approval was given by Leicester South Research Ethics Committee (REC reference: 18/EM/0051). Guidelines will be followed when protocol amendments are needed.

Protocol amendments

Any modifications to the protocol will be approved by Leicester South Research Ethics Committee prior to implementation and notified to the health authorities in accordance with local regulations.

FULL REFERENCES

- Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (2019 Report). 2019. http://www.who.int/respiratory/copd/GOLD_WR_06.pdf
- Hawkins NM, Petrie MC, Jhund PS, *et al.* Heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: diagnostic pitfalls and epidemiology. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2009;11:130–9. doi:10.1093/eurjhf/hfn013
- Rutten FH, Cramer MJ, Grobbee DE, *et al.* Unrecognized heart failure in elderly patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Heart J* 2005;26:1887–94. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehi291
- 4 Macchia A, Rodriguez Moncalvo JJ, Kleinert M, et al. Unrecognised ventricular dysfunction

- in COPD. Eur Respir J 2012;39:51–8. doi:10.1183/09031936.00044411
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, *et al.* 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2013. doi:10.1161/CIR.0b013e31829e8776
- National Institute of Health and Care Excellence. Chronic heart failure in adults: diagnosis and management. 2018. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng106
- British Thoracic Society. Quality Standards for Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Adults. 2014. https://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/document-library/clinical-information/pulmonary-rehabilitation/bts-quality-standards-for-pulmonary-rehabilitation-in-adults/
- 8 British Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation. The BACPR Standards and Core Components for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Rehabilitation (3rd edition). 2017. http://www.bacpr.com/resources/AC6_BACPRStandards&CoreComponents2017.pdf
- 9 Spruit MA, Singh SJ, Garvey C, *et al.* An Official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society Statement: Key concepts and Advances in Pulmonary Rehabilitation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;188:e13–64. doi:10.1164/rccm.201309-1634ST
- Griffiths TL, Burr ML, Campbell IA, *et al.* Results at 1 year of outpatient multidisciplinary pulmonary rehabilitation: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000;355:362–8. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(99)07042-7
- Raskin J, Spiegler P, McCusker C, *et al.* The effect of pulmonary rehabilitation on healthcare utilization in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: The Northeast Pulmonary Rehabilitation Consortium. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 2006;26:231–6.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16926687
- McCarthy B, Casey D, Devane D, *et al.* Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* Published Online First: 2015. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003793.pub3
- Steiner MC, Holzhauer- Barrie J, Lowe D, *et al.* Pulmonary Rehabilitation: Steps to breathe better. National Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Audit Programme: Clinical audit of Pulmonary Rehabilitation services in England and Wales 2015. 2016. https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/projects/outputs/pulmonary-rehabilitation-steps-breathe-better
- Patel ARC, Hurst JR. Extrapulmonary comorbidities in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: state of the art. *Expert Rev Respir Med* 2011;5:647–62. doi:10.1586/ers.11.62
- Dalal H. Cardiac rehabilitation. *Br Med J* 2015;351. doi:10.1136/bmj.h5000
- British Heart Foundation. National Audit of Cardiac Rehabilitation: Annual Statistical Report 2017. 2017. https://www.bhf.org.uk/publications/statistics/national-audit-of-cardiac-rehabilitation-annual-statistical-report-2017
- Sagar VA, Davies EJ, Briscoe S, *et al.* Exercise-based rehabilitation for heart failure: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Open Hear* 2015;2:1–12. doi:10.1136/openhrt-2014-

- Reardon JZ, Levine S, Peske G, *et al.* A comparison of outpatient cardiac and pulmonary rehabilitation patients. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 1995;15:277–82.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8542533
- Evans RA. Developing the model of pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic heart failure. *Chron Respir Dis* 2011;8:259–69. doi:10.1177/1479972311423111
- Evans RA, Singh SJ, Collier R, *et al.* Generic, symptom based, exercise rehabilitation; integrating patients with COPD and heart failure. *Respir Med* 2010;104:1473–81. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2010.04.024
- Singh SJ, Morgan MD, Scott S, *et al.* Development of a shuttle walking test of disability in patients with chronic airways obstruction. *Thorax* 1992;47:1019–24. doi:10.1136/thx.47.12.1019
- Revill SM, Morgan MD, Singh SJ, *et al.* The endurance shuttle walk: a new field test for the assessment of endurance capacity in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 1999;54:213–22. doi:10.1136/thx.54.3.213
- Man WD-C, Chowdhury F, Taylor RS, *et al.* Building consensus for provision of breathlessness rehabilitation for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic heart failure. *Chron Respir Dis* 2016;13:229–39. doi:10.1177/1479972316642363
- Yarnall AJ, Sayer AA, Clegg A, *et al.* New horizons in multimorbidity in older adults. *Age Ageing* 2017;46:882–8. doi:10.1093/ageing/afx150
- Eldridge SM, Chan CL, Campbell MJ, *et al.* CONSORT 2010 statement: extension to randomised pilot and feasibility trials. *BMJ* 2016;355. doi:10.1136/bmj.i5239
- Borg GA. Perceived exertion as an indicator of somatic stress. *Scand J Rehabil Med* 1970;2:92–8.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5523831 (accessed 19 Oct 2018).
- Borg GA. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1982;14:377–81.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7154893 (accessed 19 Oct 2018).
- Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, *et al.* Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;56:M146-56.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11253156 (accessed 13 Feb 2018).
- 29 Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, *et al.* Frailty in elderly people. *Lancet* 2013;381:752–62. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62167-9
- Maddocks M, Kon SSC, Canavan JL, *et al.* Physical frailty and pulmonary rehabilitation in COPD: a prospective cohort study. *Thorax* 2016;71:988–95.http://thorax.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-208460
- Jha SR, Ha HSK, Hickman LD, *et al.* Frailty in advanced heart failure: a systematic review. *Heart Fail Rev*;20. doi:10.1007/s10741-015-9493-8
- 32 Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, et al. A Short Physical Performance Battery

- Assessing Lower Extremity Function: Association With Self-Reported Disability and Prediction of Mortality and Nursing Home Admission. *J Gerontol* 1994;49:M85–94. doi:10.1093/geronj/49.2.M85
- 33 Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, *et al.* Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:319–38. doi:10.1183/09031936.05.00034805
- Cooper BG. An update on contraindications for lung function testing. *Thorax* 2011;66:714–23. doi:10.1136/thx.2010.139881
- Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983;67:361–70. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x
- Eaton T, Young P, Fergusson W, *et al.* The Dartmouth COOP Charts: A simple, reliable, valid and responsive quality of life tool for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Qual Life Res* 2005;14:575–85. doi:10.1007/s11136-004-0624-2
- Jones PW, Harding G, Berry P, *et al.* Development and first validation of the COPD Assessment Test. *Eur Respir J* 2009;34:648–54. doi:10.1183/09031936.00102509
- Fletcher C. Standardised questionnaire on respiratory symptoms: a statement prepared and approved by the MRC Committee on the Aetiology of Chronic Bronchitis (MRC breathlessness score). *BMJ* 1960;2:1665.
- Banzett RB, O'Donnell CR, Guilfoyle TE, *et al.* Multidimensional Dyspnea Profile: an instrument for clinical and laboratory research. *Eur Respir J* 2015;45:1681–91. doi:10.1183/09031936.00038914
- 40 Yorke J, Moosavi SH, Shuldham C, *et al.* Quantification of dyspnoea using descriptors: development and initial testing of the Dyspnoea-12. *Thorax* 2010;65:21–6. doi:10.1136/thx.2009.118521
- Ameringer S, Elswick RK, Menzies V, *et al.* Psychometric Evaluation of the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System Fatigue-Short Form Across Diverse Populations. *Nurs Res* 2016;65:279–89. doi:10.1097/NNR.000000000000162
- Nolan CM, Longworth L, Lord J, *et al.* The EQ-5D-5L health status questionnaire in COPD: validity, responsiveness and minimum important difference. *Thorax* 2016;71:493–500. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-207782
- Evans RA, Singh SJ, Williams JE, *et al.* The Development of a Self-Reported Version of the Chronic Heart Questionnaire. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* 2011;31:365–72. doi:10.1097/HCR.0b013e318228a31a
- Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the Computer Science and Applications, Inc. accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998;30:777–81.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9588623 (accessed 19 Oct 2018).
- Gimeno-Santos E, Raste Y, Demeyer H, *et al.* The PROactive instruments to measure physical activity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir J* 2015;46:988–

1000. doi:10.1183/09031936.00183014

Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol* 2006;3:77–101. doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

AVJ, RAE, DWE, LBS and SJS contributed to the design and implementation of the research and to the writing of the manuscript.

FUNDING STATEMENT

Support and funding were provided by the Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care East Midlands (CLAHRC-EM); the Centre for Exercise and Rehabilitation Science, National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Leicester Biomedical Research Centre- Respiratory theme; the NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre- Lifestyle theme; the National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, Loughborough University. Dr Rachael Evans holds a NIHR Clinician Scientist Fellowship CS-2016-16-020. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This was published as an online abstract following the 7th International Society for Physical Activity and Health Congress.

WORD COUNT 3130

BMJ Open

Protocol for a feasibility trial to inform the development of a breathlessness rehabilitation programme for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Chronic Heart Failure (The COHERE Trial)

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-029387.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Apr-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Jones, Amy; Loughborough University, National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences; National Institute for Health Research, Centre for Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Respiratory Evans, Rachael; University of Leicester, Respiratory Sciences; National Institute for Health Research, Centre for Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre- Respiratory Esliger, Dale; Loughborough University, National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences; National Institute for Health Research, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Lifestyle Sherar, Lauren; Loughborough University, National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences; National Institute for Health Research, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Lifestyle Singh, Sally; National Institute for Health Research, Centre for Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Respiratory; University of Leicester, Respiratory Sciences
Primary Subject Heading :	Respiratory medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine
Keywords:	Heart failure < CARDIOLOGY, Chronic airways disease < THORACIC MEDICINE, REHABILITATION MEDICINE



Protocol for a feasibility trial to inform the development of a breathlessness rehabilitation programme for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Chronic Heart Failure (The COHERE Trial)

Amy V Jones 1,2, Rachael A Evans 1,2,3, Dale W Esliger 1,4, Lauren B Sherar 1,4, Sally J Singh 1,2,3

- ¹ National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
- ² Centre for Exercise and Rehabilitation Science, National Institute for Health Research, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Respiratory theme, Leicester, United Kingdom
- ³ Respiratory Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom
- ⁴ National Institute for Health Research, Leicester Biomedical Research Centre-Lifestyle theme, Leicester, United Kingdom

Amy V Jones (corresponding author)
School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences
National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine
Epinal Way
Loughborough University
LE11 3TU

<u>a.v.jones@lboro.ac.uk</u> Tel: +44 (0)1509 226302

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and Chronic Heart Failure (CHF) commonly suffer from exertional dyspnoea and fatigue. Exercise training is recommended in the management of both diseases, yet many of the outcome measures traditionally reported are disease specific and may not fully acknowledge the multimorbid older adult. Based on our previous research, a breathlessness rehabilitation programme for patients with COPD/CHF or combined disease has been introduced as a service improvement within University Hospital Leicester NHS Trust and has amalgamated aspects of cardiac (CR) and pulmonary (PR) rehabilitation. This has created an opportunity to expand the outcome measures assessed and introduce a holistic approach in a population that share common symptoms. Therefore, this multi-site trial will explore the feasibility of collecting novel outcome markers as part of a comprehensive assessment prior to enrolment in a breathlessness rehabilitation programme for participants with COPD and/or CHF.

Methods and Analysis

The rehabilitation programme consists of 12 sessions, twice weekly, over a 6-week period. In addition to usual rehabilitation outcome measures, the trial will collect measures of future cardio-metabolic risk including arterial stiffness, body composition, physical activity/sedentary time, frailty, and symptom burden in a comprehensive rehabilitation assessment. The primary outcome measures will centre around feasibility (e.g. acceptability of the comprehensive rehabilitation assessment, intervention delivery and the experiences and attitudes of healthcare professionals and participants). Focus groups and interviews will be conducted further explore barriers and facilitators to the operation and participation in a breathlessness rehabilitation programme and the trial. Thematic analysis will be used for the interpretation of all qualitative data.

Ethics and dissemination

The research ethics committee East Midlands Leicester-Central has provided ethical approval for the conduct of this trial. The results of the trial will be disseminated through appropriate conference proceedings and peer-reviewed journals.

Trial registration number

ISRCTN11636308

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this trial

- The use of a mixed methods approach in this trial is novel and will allow a breadth of information to be collected
- This trial is assessing a novel breathlessness rehabilitation programme, managed by an NHS trust. Therefore, the findings from this trial will be generalizable to routine clinical care.
- There is no comparison group or control data as rehabilitation is a NICE recommendation for both COPD and CHF.
- Spirometry is conducted in all study participants alongside a baseline measure of BNP,
 however a repeat echocardiogram on all participants was beyond the scope of this study.

INTRODUCTION

Individuals with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and Chronic Heart Failure (CHF) commonly suffer from exertional dyspnoea and the conditions often co-exist.[1] The prevalence of COPD in the CHF population has been suggested to range from 9-52% in European and North American populations [2] and estimates of the prevalence of CHF in the COPD population are around 17 - 20.5%.[3,4]

Exercise training is recommended in the management of both diseases and forms an integral component of cardiac (CR) and pulmonary (PR) rehabilitation programmes.[1,5,6] PR is a symptom based multidisciplinary programme of exercise training, education and behaviour change.[7] Hospital days per patient [8] and healthcare utilisation [9] have been shown to be reduced with PR. Commonly reported outcome measures for PR include quality of life, and maximal and functional exercise capacity reported by the Cochrane Systematic Review on PR for COPD [10] and the 2017 National COPD PR audit in England and Wales, the latter also reporting measures of dyspnoea and muscle strength.[11] Indicators of cardiovascular risk were not reported, despite cardiovascular disease being a leading cause of death in those with mild to moderate COPD.[12]

CR is also a complex intervention and consists of health education and exercise training.[13] The 2017 UK National CR Audit reported change in self-report physical activity, smoking status, Body Mass Index (BMI), mood state, quality of life, maximal and functional exercise capacity and additional cardiovascular risk factors in all those that completed CR.[14] CR has been shown to reduce the risk of overall and CHF specific hospitalisation compared to usual care.[15] Clinically important improvements in quality of life after exercise based rehabilitation have been reported in a CHF population.[15] Despite this, just 5.2% of patients that started CR in England, Wales, Northern Ireland, Isle of Man and the Channel Islands in 2017 had a diagnosis of CHF.[14] The disability associated with CHF is more similar to COPD as opposed to a post myocardial infarction population.[16] The symptom-based model of PR including an individualised exercise prescription may be more appropriate for patients with CHF.[17]

The model of PR was successfully expanded to include patients with CHF, and in a randomised controlled trial of either PR or usual care (no rehabilitation) patients undergoing PR made improvements in exercise capacity and quality of life. [18] In the same trial, patients with CHF exercised alongside patients with COPD and the improvements in exercise capacity (assessed by the Incremental Shuttle Walk Test [ISWT] [19] and Endurance Shuttle Walk Test [ESWT]) [20] were similar across groups, regardless of diagnosis. This trial was the first to suggest the model of PR is suitable for patients with CHF, to apply a 'symptom-based' approach to rehabilitation, and to show that both groups of

patients could be trained together. Of note, in this study participants had either COPD or CHF and those with both pathologies were excluded.

Creating a rehabilitation programme in which relevant and appropriate outcome measures are assessed in patients that present with similar symptoms, regardless of their primary disease, appears to be a suitable advancement within cardiopulmonary-rehabilitation.[21] Additionally, there is growing recognition of the multimorbid patient [22] and historically, this has not been assessed in either CR or PR, where the outcome measures assessed are largely disease specific. The establishment of a comprehensive rehabilitation assessment that incorporates measures from traditional CR and PR, as well as holistic measures of frailty and cardio-metabolic risk will further our knowledge of symptom-based rehabilitation to ensure a patient-centred approach, recognising the burden and multi-morbidity. At present, the feasibility of combining these outcome measures within breathlessness rehabilitation is unknown.

Thus, the primary objective of the COHERE trial (COpd and HEart failure REhabilitation) will be to assess the feasibility of a breathlessness rehabilitation programme, including the collection of additional outcome measures, the delivery of the intervention and recruitment rates. The acceptability of the breathlessness rehabilitation programme and COHERE trial will be explored through the experiences and views of participants and healthcare professionals.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Trial design and registration

This is a multi-site feasibility trial, assessing novel outcome measures within a breathlessness rehabilitation programme for participants with a diagnosis of COPD and/or CHF. The rehabilitation programme is managed by University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust. Data will be collected at the Biomedical Research Centre Respiratory, Leicester, UK as well as the centres delivering rehabilitation. This trial will be conducted, analysed and reported according to the Consolidation Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement for feasibility trials. [23]

This trial has been registered on the ISRCTN website and The University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust will act as study sponsor.

Patient and public involvement

The trial design was shared with patients enrolled in the breathlessness rehabilitation programme, prior to the trial commencing. Results will be disseminated to current and former pulmonary and cardiac

rehabilitation patients or interested members of the public within the local Pulmonary and Cardiac Rehabilitation Patient and Public Involvement Advisory group.

Participants

Eligibility criteria for patients

Patients eligible for inclusion in the trial will be: aged between 40 and 85 years, have a clinically confirmed diagnosis of COPD/CHF or combined disease, with an Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea score 2 and above and will be referred to breathlessness rehabilitation as part of their standard care. COPD will be confirmed as part of the trial process through spirometry. Patients with CHF will be referred through the hospital or community heart failure service, where an echocardiogram is routinely conducted. A baseline value of BNP will be measured in all participants.

Eligibility criteria for healthcare professionals

All healthcare professionals actively involved in the breathlessness rehabilitation programme will be invited to take part in a focus group.

Setting

The current sites for the University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust and Leicestershire Partnership Trust (LPT) breathlessness rehabilitation programme are Glenfield Hospital, Groby Road, Leicester, UK and the National Centre for Sports and Exercise Medicine, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK.

Procedure

Once a referral has been received by the rehabilitation team, eligible individuals will receive an invitation letter, reply slip and a detailed participant information sheet. This information will be given in person or sent by post. For those that decline the trial, reasons for this (if offered freely) may be taken as field notes or by an interview if participants consent to this. Interested participants will then be contacted and if appropriate, a research visit will be arranged. Once written informed consent has been provided, the participant's involvement in the trial and any relevant results will be shared with their general practitioner (GP). Data from their usual care rehabilitation assessment will be recorded. Where possible, the research visits will occur at least 14 days prior to and upon completion of the rehabilitation programme. Participants will be asked to attend the visits after an overnight fast. Upon completion of the rehabilitation programme, participants repeat the research visit and usual rehabilitation assessment. Voluntary interviews or focus groups will then occur.

Intervention: Breathlessness rehabilitation programme

The breathlessness rehabilitation programme is led by experienced physiotherapists, nurses and healthcare professionals. The programme consists of 12 supervised classes (typically twice a week for six weeks), with each class consisting of exercise training and education. The exercises consist of a combination of traditional CR and PR activities. Participants are led through a progressive warm up, before completing individually prescribed aerobic and strength exercises. The aerobic components include walking (floor or treadmill) and stationary cycle ergometers. The ISWT [19] is used to predict peak oxygen consumption (VO₂) and walking speed is prescribed at 80-85%peak VO2.[20] The Borg Scale of Perceived Exertion [24] and the Modified Borg Breathlessness Scale [25] are used to progress the exercise prescription. The strength component consists of sit-to-stand exercises (weighted if appropriate), bicep curls, upright rows and step ups. Participants are encouraged to complete 10 repetitions of each strength exercise 3 times per class. Participants are then led through a supervised cool-down before the educational component of the class. The educational topics covered within the breathlessness rehabilitation programme are presented in table 1. Outside of the supervised classes, participants are encouraged to complete a timed walk every day and strength training once a week at home/ unsupervised.

Table 1: Educational topics covered within breathlessness rehabilitation programme		
All patients	Benefits of exercise	
	Managing breathlessness	
	Relaxation techniques	
	Healthy eating	
	Energy conservation	
	Goal setting	
	Managing anxiety	
	Question and Answers/ Signposting	
Groups divided by primary diagnosis	Medications	
	Disease education	
	Managing exacerbations/ symptom control	
	Chest clearance/ FAQs	

Acceptability

The acceptability of the COHERE trial and the breathlessness rehabilitation programme will be assessed by the following, although not limited to:

- A. Participant's experience of the COHERE trial will be explored in qualitative interviews and focus groups
- B. Participant's experience of the breathlessness rehabilitation programme will be explored in qualitative interviews and focus groups
- C. Proportion of participants who attended the follow up research visit and reasons why participants did not complete the trial (assessed by interviews)

- D. The experience of healthcare professionals regarding the breathlessness rehabilitation programme, such as their confidence in working with varied cardiorespiratory patients, the content/ structure of the breathlessness rehabilitation programme and the combining of CR and PR staff
- E. The views of healthcare professionals regarding the COHERE trial, such as the collection of additional outcome measures and coordination of the programme with the trial.

Feasibility

Measures for assessing feasibility are provided in table 2 and will include the comprehensive rehabilitation assessment, intervention delivery and recruitment.

INDICATORS	DATA SOURCES
Comprehensive Reha	bilitation Assessment
Completeness of additional outcome measures	Case report forms
Acceptability	Interviews and focus groups with participants and
	healthcare professionals
Intervention delivery	& Process Evaluation
Completeness of usual care outcome measures	Rehabilitation records
Number of rehabilitation classes completed	Rehabilitation records
Number of participants that drop-out from	Rehabilitation records
rehabilitation programme	
The experience of healthcare professionals regarding	Interviews and focus groups with healthcare
breathlessness rehabilitation and the COHERE trial	professionals
The experience of participants regards breathlessness	Interviews and focus groups with participants
rehabilitation and the COHERE trial	
The training and resources needed to deliver the	Interviews and focus groups with healthcare
intervention	professionals
Recru	itment
Feasibility of screening and recruiting participants	Trial records and field notes
Number of eligible participants, number of	Medical records and trial records
participants invited to participate in trial, number of	
participants that consent to trial	
Number of participants that decline or drop out of trial	Trial records

Data collection

Data will be collected following standard operating procedures and will occur during specific research visits and usual care rehabilitation appointments. The timing of baseline and follow up data collection cannot be standardised due to variation in the timing of participant's starting and completing rehabilitation. Recruitment will occur from May 2018 to June 2020, with data collection ongoing until August 2020.

Sample characteristics

Body composition

At both research visits, height, weight and waist circumference will be measured to the nearest 0.1cm, 0.1kg and 0.1cm respectively. Waist circumference will be measured twice, unless the difference between the first two measurements is >3cm, in which case a third measurement will be taken. Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA) will be performed using a dual sensor scale (Tanita MC-780 MA P; Tanita, Tokyo, Japan).

Physical function

The following three assessments are usual care and will be completed by healthcare professionals:

- 1. Maximal exercise capacity will be assessed using the ISWT.[19]
- 2. Endurance exercise capacity will be assessed using the ESWT,[20] in which a participant aims to walk at 85% of their maximal ISWT walking speed.
- 3. Quadriceps strength will be assessed using an isometric maximum voluntary contraction (QMVC). Participants will identify their dominant leg and where possible, three attempts will be made.

Frailty

Frailty is a clinical syndrome that is highly prevalent in old age [26] and focuses on a holistic approach as opposed to organ-specific diagnoses.[27] It has been suggested that 25% of patients with COPD that are referred to PR [28] are frail and it is highly prevalent in those with CHF.[29] The Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) [30] will be measured which comprises of a series of three brief assessments; a standing balance test, a gait speed test and repeated sit-to stand tests.

Cardio-metabolic health

Venous blood samples will be analysed for triglycerides, total cholesterol, low-density lipoproteins, high-density lipoproteins, glycated haemoglobin, plasma glucose, fibrinogen, high-sensitivity creactive protein and B type natriuretic peptide (BNP). BNP will only be analysed at the baseline research visit. All samples assessing cardio-metabolic health will be taken in a fasted state.

Arterial stiffness will be assessed, specifically pulse wave velocity and pulse wave analysis using the Vicorder (Smart Medical, Gloucestershire, UK), according to manufacturer's instructions. Participants will rest in the supine position for 5 minutes, before any measurements are taken. The air temperature in the room will be set at 21°C and the participants will lie on a bed set to 30° with a pillow supporting them.

Respiratory health

Lung function will be assessed by forced spirometry using a Vitalograph Pneumotrac 6800 (Vitalograph, Buckingham, UK) according to international guidelines.[31] The device will be calibrated daily, and the pass/fail criteria is +/-3.0% of a fixed 3L volume. The absolute and relative contraindications will be examined for each participant.[32] Participants will wear a nose clip during each attempt.

Symptoms and Psychosocial health

Questionnaires will be used to assess the presence and severity of participants' symptoms. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)[33], the Dartmouth COOP charts [34], the COPD Assessment Test (CAT) [35] and the MRC breathlessness score [36] are collected in routine care. The latter two questionnaires are only routinely collected in those with a primary diagnosis of COPD, yet for research purposes, the MRC will be collected in all research participants. The Multidimensional Dyspnea Profile (MDP)[37] and the Dyspnea-12 (D-12) [38] will be used to assess breathlessness; the time frame for the MDP will state 'the last two weeks'. Fatigue will be assessed using the PROMIS Fatigue 7a Short Form [39] and health related quality of life will be assessed using the EQ-5D-5L.[40] The Chronic Heart Questionnaire Self Report [41] will be given to all participants to assess dyspnoea, fatigue and emotional function. Where possible, participants will independently complete the questionnaires. Efforts will be made to ensure all questionnaires are completed for research participants. The protocol has largely been developed to explore symptom-based and non-disease specific questionnaires due to the population being recruited.

Objectively measured physical activity and time spent sedentary

Participants will be asked to wear a triaxial accelerometer (ActiGraph wGT3X-BT) using an adjustable waist belt with the monitor positioned over the right hip (midclavicular line) for 8 consecutive days preceding and again upon completion of rehabilitation. This will measure time spent sedentary and in physical activity (i.e. light, moderate and vigorous intensity) using cut-points, such as those published by Freedson et al [42] and step count. Participants will be asked to wear the device during all waking hours but to remove it for water-based activities and during sleep. The accelerometer will also be given to participants to wear during their usual care assessments and during each rehabilitation class.

Self-reported physical activity

The clinical visit Pro-Active Questionnaire will be used, [43] in which participants recall physical activity over the last 7 days using a 35-item questionnaire. This is a hybrid tool containing self-report information and two variables derived from the accelerometer.

Sample size estimation and recruitment target

As this is a feasibility trial, a formal sample size calculation is not required; however, the aim is to consent a minimum of 40 participants with a representative mix of COPD, CHF and combined disease. The information gathered from this trial will be used to guide a sample size calculation for future studies. Posters raising awareness of the trial and the breathlessness rehabilitation programme will be placed within clinic rooms, the exercise rehabilitation departments and online through UHL staff intranet. Efforts will also be made to raise awareness of the trial and the breathlessness rehabilitation programme with clinicians and healthcare professionals whom regularly refer patients.

Quantitative data analyses

As this is a feasibility trial, it is not appropriate to test for statistical differences over time or between groups. Therefore, quantitative data will be largely descriptive. Independent t-tests or analysis of variance (ANOVA) may be used to examine between group differences and paired sample t-tests or repeated measures ANOVA may be used to examine within group differences. Categorical data may be analysed using a chi-square or fishers exact test. Data will be entered and stored on a secure web-based system, Research Electronic data Capture (REDCap) as well as local password-protected computer files and drives. Analyses will be performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (Version 23.0, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

Participants will be provided with a unique identification number, ensuring their data remains confidential. Any written materials or results will be written to ensure participant data remains confidential.

Qualitative research

The aim of the qualitative research will be to explore the participants' experience of a) the breathlessness rehabilitation programme b) the COHERE trial and c) any barriers or facilitators to participating and completing breathlessness rehabilitation. Participants that decline the trial are invited to a refusal interview, either face to face or over the telephone, providing consent is given. The qualitative data may provide information regarding the feasibility and scalability of the trial, reducing

future recruitment or retention issues. Upon completion of the trial, research participants will be invited to a voluntary focus group or interview.

Focus groups and/or interviews will be conducted with healthcare professionals that have been actively involved in the COHERE trial, whereby the objective is to seek to understand the barriers, facilitators and experiences on the delivery and implementation of the breathlessness rehabilitation programme and the scalability of the COHERE trial in the future.

Qualitative data analysis

The qualitative data will be recorded, transcribed and analysed using thematic analysis. Thematic analysis allows emerging, recurrent themes or patterns to be identified from the data. [44] All interviews and focus groups will be audio recorded with participant's consent and transcribed verbatim. Emerging themes will be identified throughout the trial, meaning the interview schedule and coding schedule are modified to follow new leads until new themes no longer emerge. In line with thematic analysis, there is no pre-specified sample size; recruitment continues until no new themes emerge.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Findings from this feasibility trial will inform the design of future trials, largely the primary outcome measure and trial protocol. Furthermore, the findings will be shared locally with secondary care interface groups such as service managers. Some trial findings may be shared with national and international visitors who come to share our practice and a summary of the trial results will also be provided to participants. It is anticipated that the results from this feasibility trial will be presented at appropriate national, international and regional conferences; local trial days; and through peer-reviewed journals.

Research ethics approval

The trial is sponsored by the University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust (trial number 105410) and ethical approval was given by Leicester South Research Ethics Committee (REC reference: 18/EM/0051). Guidelines will be followed when protocol amendments are needed.

Protocol amendments

Any modifications to the protocol will be approved by Leicester South Research Ethics Committee prior to implementation and notified to the health authorities in accordance with local regulations.

FULL REFERENCES

Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2016.

- http://goldcopd.org
- Hawkins NM, Petrie MC, Jhund PS, *et al.* Heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: diagnostic pitfalls and epidemiology. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2009;11:130–9. doi:10.1093/eurjhf/hfn013
- Rutten FH, Cramer MJ, Grobbee DE, *et al.* Unrecognized heart failure in elderly patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Heart J* 2005;26:1887–94. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehi291
- 4 Macchia A, Rodriguez Moncalvo JJ, Kleinert M, *et al.* Unrecognised ventricular dysfunction in COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2012;39:51–8. doi:10.1183/09031936.00044411
- British Thoracic Society. Quality Standards for Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Adults. 2014. https://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/document-library/clinical-information/pulmonary-rehabilitation/bts-quality-standards-for-pulmonary-rehabilitation-in-adults/
- 6 British Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation. The BACPR Standards and Core Components for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Rehabilitation 2012. 2012.
- Spruit MA, Singh SJ, Garvey C, *et al.* An Official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society Statement: Key concepts and Advances in Pulmonary Rehabilitation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;188:e13–64. doi:10.1164/rccm.201309-1634ST
- 8 Griffiths TL, Burr ML, Campbell IA, *et al.* Results at 1 year of outpatient multidisciplinary pulmonary rehabilitation: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000;355:362–8. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(99)07042-7
- Raskin J, Spiegler P, McCusker C, *et al.* The effect of pulmonary rehabilitation on healthcare utilization in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: The Northeast Pulmonary Rehabilitation Consortium. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 2006;26:231–6.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16926687
- McCarthy B, Casey D, Devane D, *et al.* Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* Published Online First: 2015. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003793.pub3
- Steiner MC, Holzhauer- Barrie J, Lowe D, *et al.* Pulmonary Rehabilitation: Steps to breathe better. National Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Audit Programme: Clinical audit of Pulmonary Rehabilitation services in England and Wales 2015. 2016. https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/projects/outputs/pulmonary-rehabilitation-steps-breathe-better
- Patel ARC, Hurst JR. Extrapulmonary comorbidities in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: state of the art. *Expert Rev Respir Med* 2011;5:647–62. doi:10.1586/ers.11.62
- Dalal H. Cardiac rehabilitation. *Br Med J* 2015;351. doi:10.1136/bmj.h5000
- British Heart Foundation. National Audit of Cardiac Rehabilitation: Annual Statistical Report 2017. 2017. https://www.bhf.org.uk/publications/statistics/national-audit-of-cardiac-rehabilitation-annual-statistical-report-2017

- Sagar VA, Davies EJ, Briscoe S, *et al.* Exercise-based rehabilitation for heart failure: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Open Hear* 2015;2:1–12. doi:10.1136/openhrt-2014-000163
- Reardon JZ, Levine S, Peske G, *et al.* A comparison of outpatient cardiac and pulmonary rehabilitation patients. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 1995;15:277–82.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8542533
- Evans RA. Developing the model of pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic heart failure. *Chron Respir Dis* 2011;8:259–69. doi:10.1177/1479972311423111
- Evans RA, Singh SJ, Collier R, *et al.* Generic, symptom based, exercise rehabilitation; integrating patients with COPD and heart failure. *Respir Med* 2010;104:1473–81. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2010.04.024
- Singh SJ, Morgan MD, Scott S, *et al.* Development of a shuttle walking test of disability in patients with chronic airways obstruction. *Thorax* 1992;47:1019–24. doi:10.1136/thx.47.12.1019
- Revill SM, Morgan MD, Singh SJ, *et al.* The endurance shuttle walk: a new field test for the assessment of endurance capacity in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 1999;54:213–22. doi:10.1136/thx.54.3.213
- 21 Man WD-C, Chowdhury F, Taylor RS, *et al.* Building consensus for provision of breathlessness rehabilitation for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic heart failure. *Chron Respir Dis* 2016;13:229–39. doi:10.1177/1479972316642363
- Yarnall AJ, Sayer AA, Clegg A, *et al.* New horizons in multimorbidity in older adults. *Age Ageing* 2017;46:882–8. doi:10.1093/ageing/afx150
- Eldridge SM, Chan CL, Campbell MJ, *et al.* CONSORT 2010 statement: extension to randomised pilot and feasibility trials. *Br Med J* 2016;355:i5239. doi:10.1136/bmj.i5239
- Borg GA. Perceived exertion as an indicator of somatic stress. *Scand J Rehabil Med* 1970;2:92–8.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5523831 (accessed 19 Oct 2018).
- Borg GA. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1982;14:377–81.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7154893 (accessed 19 Oct 2018).
- Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, *et al.* Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;56:M146-56.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11253156 (accessed 13 Feb 2018).
- 27 Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, *et al.* Frailty in elderly people. *Lancet* 2013;381:752–62. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62167-9
- Maddocks M, Kon SSC, Canavan JL, *et al.* Physical frailty and pulmonary rehabilitation in COPD: a prospective cohort study. *Thorax* 2016;71:988–95.http://thorax.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-208460
- Jha SR, Ha HSK, Hickman LD, et al. Frailty in advanced heart failure: a systematic review.

- Heart Fail Rev; 20. doi:10.1007/s10741-015-9493-8
- Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, *et al.* A Short Physical Performance Battery Assessing Lower Extremity Function: Association With Self-Reported Disability and Prediction of Mortality and Nursing Home Admission. *J Gerontol* 1994;49:M85–94. doi:10.1093/geronj/49.2.M85
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, *et al.* Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:319–38. doi:10.1183/09031936.05.00034805
- Cooper BG. An update on contraindications for lung function testing. *Thorax* 2011;66:714–23. doi:10.1136/thx.2010.139881
- Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983;67:361–70. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x
- Eaton T, Young P, Fergusson W, *et al.* The Dartmouth COOP Charts: A simple, reliable, valid and responsive quality of life tool for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Qual Life Res* 2005;14:575–85. doi:10.1007/s11136-004-0624-2
- Jones PW, Harding G, Berry P, *et al.* Development and first validation of the COPD Assessment Test. *Eur Respir J* 2009;34:648–54. doi:10.1183/09031936.00102509
- 36 Fletcher C. Standardised questionnaire on respiratory symptoms: a statement prepared and approved by the MRC Committee on the Aetiology of Chronic Bronchitis (MRC breathlessness score). *BMJ* 1960;2:1665.
- Banzett RB, O'Donnell CR, Guilfoyle TE, *et al.* Multidimensional Dyspnea Profile: an instrument for clinical and laboratory research. *Eur Respir J* 2015;45:1681–91. doi:10.1183/09031936.00038914
- 38 Yorke J, Moosavi SH, Shuldham C, *et al.* Quantification of dyspnoea using descriptors: development and initial testing of the Dyspnoea-12. *Thorax* 2010;65:21–6. doi:10.1136/thx.2009.118521
- National Institutes of Health. PROMIS domain framework/ definitions. 2007.http://www.nihpromis.org/measures/domainframework (accessed 10 Dec 2018).
- Nolan CM, Longworth L, Lord J, *et al.* The EQ-5D-5L health status questionnaire in COPD: validity, responsiveness and minimum important difference. *Thorax* 2016;71:493–500. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-207782
- Evans RA, Singh SJ, Williams JE, *et al.* The Development of a Self-Reported Version of the Chronic Heart Questionnaire. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* 2011;31:365–72. doi:10.1097/HCR.0b013e318228a31a
- Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the Computer Science and Applications, Inc. accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998;30:777–81.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9588623 (accessed 19 Oct 2018).
- Gimeno-Santos E, Raste Y, Demeyer H, et al. The PROactive instruments to measure physical

- activity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir J* 2015;46:988–1000. doi:10.1183/09031936.00183014
- 44 Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol* 2006;3:77–101. doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

AJ, RE, DE, LS and SS contributed to the conception and design of the study. AJ drafted the manuscript. AJ, RE, DS, LS and SS revised the content and approved the final version to be published. AJ, RE, DS, LS and SS are accountable for all aspects of the work.

FUNDING STATEMENT

Support and funding were provided by the Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care East Midlands (CLAHRC-EM), the NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre, the National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine (NCSEM) and the Centre for Exercise and Rehabilitation Science (CERS), University Hospitals of Leicester NHS trust. Dr Rachael Evans holds a NIHR Clinician Scientist Fellowship CS-2016-16-020. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest

WORD COUNT 3189