

Corresponding	author(s	s): Ann M. Wehman	
---------------	----------	-------------------	--

## **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

When statistical analyses are reported, confirm that the following items are present in the relevant location (e.g. figure legend, table legend, main

S	tat	isti	ical	na	ran	nete	rs
$\overline{}$	cac	100	Cui	$\sim$		1000	

		Methods section).			
n/a	Confirmed				
	$\boxtimes$	The $\underline{\text{exact sample size}}(n)$ for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement			
	$\boxtimes$	🔀 An indication of whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly			
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided  Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.				
$\boxtimes$	A description of all covariates tested				
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons				
	A full description of the statistics including <u>central tendency</u> (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND <u>variation</u> (e.g. standard deviation) or associated <u>estimates of uncertainty</u> (e.g. confidence intervals)				
$\boxtimes$	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>				
X	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings				
$\boxtimes$	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes				
$\boxtimes$	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's $d$ , Pearson's $r$ ), indicating how they were calculated				
Clearly defined error bars  State explicitly what error bars represent (e.g. SD, SE, CI)					
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> may be useful.			
So <sup>.</sup>	ftw	are and code			
Poli	cy in	Formation about <u>availability of computer code</u>			
Da	ata c	Imaging was performed with Zeiss Axiovision software and Leica LAS AF software			
Da	Data analysis  Data sets were analysed using ImageJ or FIJI (NIH), Imaris (Bitplane), Prism 6 (GraphPad), and OriginPro (OriginLab)				
		cripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers est. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.			

#### Data

Policy information about <u>availability of data</u>

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The data sets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

Field-specific reporting				
Please select the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.				
∠ Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences			
For a reference copy of the c	document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/authors/policies/ReportingSummary-flat.pdf</u>			
Life scienc	ces study design			
All studies must disclo	ose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.			
n=	o sample-size calculation was performed. Experiments were repeated on at least three independent sets of embryos. For individual images, =20 embryos/set were examined to give a 95% confidence level. For time-lapse data sets, n=10 embryos was sought to give a 90% onfidence level. Statistical analysis was performed on all measurements to test whether observed differences were significant.			
re co In ex nc 6- In In fro In m In du (n	Fig. 8, cells that died during imaging were excluded from analysis. As transient transfections with NES-TIR1 and Venus-mAID-LMNA did not explict in transfection of all cells with both constructs, only cells that expressed Venus-mAID-LMNA and showed degradation after NEBD were excluded as double positive and considered for analysis (Fig. 8a, b, d, f).  In Fig. 9g, embryos who arrested development during time-lapse imaging were excluded from measurements (n=3). Embryos were also excluded if the fluorescence from the PO and AB midbody remnants merged during the time-lapse series (n=4) or if the polar body data did not fit an exponential decay function (n=1). Embryos treated with tsg-101 RNAi were excluded if the AB midbody remnant internalized by the excell stage (n=6), as the RNAi was judged ineffective if it did not delay internalization.  In Fig. 10, embryos were excluded when the cell identity could not be determined (n=7).  In Fig. S1a, wells were excluded from the progeny counts when the mother died (n=10) or the worms clumped (n=12). In Fig. S1b, one embryo from the FT205 strain was excluded because of a cytokinesis defect.  In Fig. S3, embryos were excluded when focus was lost early during imaging (n=3). In 2 other movies, focus was lost during the last two finitutes. Those time points were not included in measurements.  In Fig. S4b, embryos were excluded when AB or P nuclei had condensed mitotic chromosomes (n=29). In Fig. S4d, embryos were excluded wring NEBD of ABx (n=5) or when the nuclei morphology was extremely malformed (n=4) or when the cell identity could not be determined (n=1).			
	experiments were repeated on at least three independent sets of embryos on multiple days, except for Fig. 4, 8g, and supplementary Fig. 4d. Host experiments were performed by at least two scientists.			
Randomization No	o randomization was performed as the animals were raised similarly under controlled laboratory conditions.			
Blinding Bli	linding was not attempted as the different genotypes or RNAi treatments give distinct results.			

# Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

Materials & experimental systems	Methods		
n/a Involved in the study	n/a Involved in the study		
Unique biological materials	ChIP-seq		
Antibodies	Flow cytometry		
Eukaryotic cell lines	MRI-based neuroimaging		
Palaeontology	·		
Animals and other organisms			
Human research participants			

#### Unique biological materials

Policy information about <u>availability of materials</u>

Obtaining unique materials

All worm strains, cell lines, and plasmids used in this study are available from the authors or the sources indicated in the methods or supplemental table.

### Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about <u>cell lines</u>

Cell line source(s) HeLa Kyoto and HeLa FRT/TO cells were a gift from Jonathon Pines (ICR, London, UK).

Authentication None of the cell lines were authenticated.

Mycoplasma contamination All cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma.

Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)

No misidentified cell lines were used.

#### Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals Caenorhabditis elegans hermaphrodite worms. See strain list and figure legends for full details.

Wild animals The study did not involve wild animals.

Field-collected samples The study did not involve samples collected from the field.