# **Supplementary Information**

## Engineering of Gadolinium Decorated Graphene Oxide Nanosheets for Multimodal Bioimaging and Drug Delivery

Nitya Chawda<sup>1</sup>, Mainak Basu<sup>2,4</sup>, Dipanwita Majumdar<sup>3</sup>, Raju Poddar<sup>4</sup>, Santosh Kumar Mahapatra<sup>5</sup>, and Indrani Banerjee<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Nano Sciences, Central University of Gujarat, Gandhinagar, India
<sup>2</sup>School of Basic and Applied Sciences, GD Goenka University, Gurgaon, Haryana, India
<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, Chandernagore College, Chandannagar, Hooghly, WB-712136, India
<sup>4</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi, India
<sup>5</sup>School of Physical Sciences, Central University of Punjab, Bhatinda, India
\*Corresponding Author: Tel: +91 9470564719

## 1. UV-Visible analysis



**Figure S1:** Absorption spectra of synthesis steps of graphene oxide to Gd-rGONSs, graphene oxide (GO), reduced graphene oxide-NH<sub>2</sub> (**rGO-NH<sub>2</sub>**), reduced graphene oxide-gluconic acid (**rGO-GA**), reduced graphene oxide-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (**rGO-DTPA**), reduced graphene oxide-gadolinium (**rGO-Gd**).

Fig. S1. Shows the absorption spectra of all the synthesis and functionalization steps involved from GO to Gd-GO. The absorbance maximum for GO was at ~232 nm whereas for rGO-NH<sub>2</sub>, rGO-GA, rGO-DTPA, rGO-Gd are ~ 269.5 nm, ~ 262.5 nm, ~ 266.5 nm, and ~ 263.5 nm respectively. The red shift of the absorbance peak could be considered due to functionalization which would have caused reduction of GO and restoring sp<sup>2</sup> hybridization type of conjugation<sup>1</sup>. The shift of the absorbance peak is due to conjugation of  $-NH_2$  with a lone pair connected to a  $\pi$  bond. The reduction of GO and p- $\pi$  conjugated effect takes place increasing motion of electrons which cause absorption band to move along higher wavelength<sup>2</sup>.

#### 2. FTIR analysis

The demonstrated FTIR spectra show difference in stretching frequency and their shift after each functionalization steps (Fig. S2). Stretching frequencies of GO to Gd-rGO at  $\sim$ 3117, 3118, 3151, 3081 and 3100 cm<sup>-1</sup> is attributed to hydrogen-bonded-O-H stretching vibration.



400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 2000 2200 2400 2600 2800 3000 3200 3400 3600 3800 4000 Wavenumber (cm<sup>-1</sup>)

**Figure S2:** Comparative FTIR spectra of graphene oxide (**GO-A**), reduced graphene oxide-NH<sub>2</sub> (**rGO-NH<sub>2</sub>-B**), reduced graphene oxide-gluconic acid (**rGO-GA-C**), reduced graphene oxide-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (**rGO-DTPA-D**), reduced graphene oxidegadolinium (**rGO-Gd-E**).

The obtained spectra of GA-rGO revealed changes over rGO surface after functionalization by organic molecules. This could be observed by stretching frequency at ~ 2922 and 2850 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to C-H sp<sup>3</sup> stretching. The GO exhibit two minor peaks at ~ 1588 and 1109 cm<sup>-1</sup> for C=C and C-O-H stretching vibrations respectively. This stretching vibrations were shifted in case of rGO-NH<sub>2</sub> by 3 and 2 cm<sup>-1</sup> to ~ 1585 and 1107 cm<sup>-1</sup> revealing reduction of GO to rGO <sup>3</sup>. Similar change was also observed for 1400 and 1401 cm<sup>-1</sup> for O-H bendings. After the functionalization of rGO-NH<sub>2</sub> sample, N-H bending was prominently observed for rGO-GA, DTPA and Gd at 1573, 1578 and 1577 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The functionalization of rGO has not brought any deformities over rGO surface as could be evident by slight shift of C-O stretching frequency obtained at 1035, 1037 and 1056 cm<sup>-1</sup> for rGO-GA, DTPA and Gd respectively. The similar observation is demonstrated by further functionalization with bulkier molecule like DTPA as two new peak were observed for C=N or C-O deformation vibration at 1398 cm<sup>-1</sup> while C-N or C-O epoxide at 1203 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively for rGO-DTPA. There was slight shift of this stretching frequency to 1397 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1206 cm<sup>-1</sup> after decoration with Gd<sup>3+</sup> ions which were chelated by DTPA<sup>4</sup>. The FTIR signature of graphene basal plane is observed in range of ~600-900 cm<sup>-1</sup> which is observed in all the FTIR spectra for samples<sup>5</sup>.

## 3. FESEM Imaging

Fig. S3, shows the FESEM images of GO to Gd-rGO, GO showed clear composed structure with only few defects and less wrinkles.



**Figure S3:** FESEM images of synthesis step of graphene oxide to Gd-rGONSs, graphene oxide (**GO-A**), reduced graphene oxide-NH<sub>2</sub> (**rGO-NH<sub>2</sub>-B**), reduced graphene oxide-gluconic acid (**rGO-GA-C**), reduced graphene oxide-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (**rGO-DTPA-D**), reduced graphene oxide-gadolinium (**rGO-Gd-E**).

Fig. S2-B, showed different morphology of increased defects which can be found as rich in wrinkles and fluctuation resulted by reduction of the GO surface while changing to rGO-NH<sub>2</sub>. The further functionalization with D-gluconic acid (GA) resulted in fluffy and more transparent morphology. The wrinkles were also reduced which enhanced water dispersity of the rGO-GA sample. Next step of functionalization with DTPA changed morphology by inducing aggregation of the rGO surface and increasing active surface sites (Fig. S3-D). The activated surface was later used for decorating Gd<sup>3+</sup> ions to increase effective surface area and composing surface towards continuous and uniform sheets by reducing fluctuations which is evident from Fig. S3-E.

4. UV-vis spectral of leached Gd<sup>3+</sup> ions in presence of xylenol orange



**Figure S4.** UV-vis spectral of leached Gd<sup>3+</sup> ions in presence of xylenol orange in acetate buffer (pH 5.8) from Gd-rGONSs and compared with blank.

5. Cell viability of H1299 cells incubated with GO and Gd-rGONSs



Concentration (µg/mL)

**Figure S5.** Cell viability of H1299 cells incubated with GO and Gd-rGONSs at various concentrations for 24 h. Bars represent means  $\pm$ SEM, n = 3. \* p < 0.05 - \*\*p < 0.01.

6. Comparative of	drug release studies
-------------------	----------------------

Table S1. Comp	parative drug i	elease studies of	5-FU and Gra	phene-based Nanocarri	ier
----------------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----------------------	-----

Graphene-based	loading/release	loading/ release	Ref.
Nanocarrier	medium		
Chitosan-functionalized	Water/PBS	0.053 mg·mg <sup>-1</sup> / 50%	6
GO			
ALG functionalized GO	Water/PBS	24.40%/ >60% in the	7
		first 3 h	
Magnetic Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> / GO	PBS/PBS	0.37 mg·mg <sup>-1</sup> /21% in	8
nanocomposites		24 h	
Graphene nanosheet-	Water/PBS	0.27 mg mg <sup>-1</sup> /15.7%	9
CNT-iron		in 8 h	
oxide NPs hybrid			
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> /graphene-	pH = 5.5/PBS	70 wt%/~90 % in	10
CdTeQDs/CS		168 h	
nanocomposites			
rGO/AuNCs/SE hydrogel	Water/PBS	N.A./~50% in 24 h	11
<b>PVA-SPIONs-GO</b>	N.A./PBS	35.91%/ 80.6%. in	12
nanocomposite		100 h	
Hollow core/shell	PBS/PBS	0.41 mg mg <sup>-1</sup> /	13
Fe3O4@GO composites		11.46% in ~24 h	
Gd-GONSs	Water/PBS	0.26 mg·mg <sup>-1</sup> /~92 %	This work
		in 72 h	
GO	Water/PBS	0.19 mg·mg <sup>-1</sup> /~92 %	This work
		in 72 h	

Abbreviations: GO, Graphene oxide; Gd-GONSs, Gadolinium-Graphene oxide nanosheets; ALG, Sodium alginate; CNT, Carbon nanotube; NPs, Nanoparticles; rGO, reduced graphene oxide; AuNCs, gold nanocages; SE, spinach extract; PVA, polyvinyl alcohol; CS, chitosan; SPIONs, superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; QDs, Quantum dots; NA, Not Available.

# 7. Comparative r<sub>1</sub> relaxivity studies

IGUNSS						
Graphene-based MR contrast agent		<i>r</i> <sub>1</sub>	Tesla (T)	Ref.		
				(mM <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		
GO-I	DOTA-Gd c	complex		14.2	11.7 T	14
Poly(amidoamine) dendrimer-grafted gadolinium-			7.59	7 T	15	
functionalized n	anographen	e oxide	(Gd-NGO)			
Para	magnetic gr	aphene		11.49	7 T	16
quantum dots (GQDs)-folate-GdGQDs						
	Gd-GONS	s		16.85	1.5 T	This work
Abbreviations: GO,	Graphene	oxide;	Gd-GONSs,	Gadolinium-G	raphene oxid	e nanosheets;

**Table S2.** Comparative r<sub>1</sub> relaxivity studies of Graphene-based MR contrast agent and Gd-rGONSs

Abbreviations: GO, Graphene oxide; Gd-GONSs, Gadolinium-Graphene oxide nanosheets; DOTA, tetraxetan; NGO, nanographene oxide; GQDs, quantum dots.

## References

- Dadosh, T.; Gordin, Y.; Krahne, R.; Khivrich, I.; Mahalu, D.; Frydman, V.; Sperling, J.; Yacoby, A.; Bar-Joseph, I. Measurement of the Conductance of Single Conjugated Molecules. *Nature* 2005, *436* (7051), 677–680.
- (2) Peng, T.; Sun, H.; Peng, T.; Liu, B.; Zhao, X. Structural Regulation and Electroconductivity Change of Nitrogen-Doping Reduced Graphene Oxide Prepared Using p-Phenylene Diamine as Modifier. *Nanomaterials* **2017**, 7 (10), 292.
- (3) Jung, I.; Rhyee, J.-S.; Son, J. Y.; Ruoff, R. S.; Rhee, K.-Y. Colors of Graphene and Graphene-Oxide Multilayers on Various Substrates. *Nanotechnology* 2012, 23 (2), 025708.
- (4) Lu, J.; Li, Y.; Li, S.; Jiang, S. P. Self-Assembled Platinum Nanoparticles on Sulfonic Acid-Grafted Graphene as Effective Electrocatalysts for Methanol Oxidation in Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6 (1), 21530.
- (5) Verma, S.; Dutta, R. K. A Facile Method of Synthesizing Ammonia Modified Graphene Oxide for Efficient Removal of Uranyl Ions from Aqueous Medium. *RSC Adv.* 2015, 5 (94), 77192–77203.
- (6) Rana, V. K.; Choi, M.; Kong, J.; Kim, G. Y.; Kim, M. J.; Kim, S.; Mishra, S.; Singh, R. P.; Ha, C. Synthesis and Drug-Delivery Behavior of Chitosan-Functionalized Graphene Oxide Hybrid Nanosheets. *Macromol. Mater. Eng.* 2011, 296 (2), 131–140.
- (7) Zhang, B.; Yan, Y.; Shen, Q.; Ma, D.; Huang, L.; Cai, X.; Tan, S. A Colon Targeted Drug Delivery System Based on Alginate Modi Fi Cated Graphene Oxide for Colorectal Liver Metastasis. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2017, 79, 185–190.
- (8) Wang, G.; Chen, G.; Wei, Z.; Dong, X.; Qi, M. Multifunctional Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites for Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Drug Delivery. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2013, 141 (2–3), 997–1004.
- (9) Fan, X.; Jiao, G.; Gao, L.; Jin, P.; Li, X. The Preparation and Drug Delivery of a Graphene–carbon Nanotube–Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticle Hybrid. *J. Mater. Chem. B* 2013, *1* (20), 2658.
- (10) Ou, J.; Wang, F.; Huang, Y.; Li, D.; Jiang, Y.; Qin, Q.; Stachurski, Z. H.; Tricoli, A.; Zhang, T. Fabrication and Cyto-Compatibility of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Graphene–CdTe QDs/CS Nanocomposites for Drug Delivery. *Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces* 2014, *117*, 466–472.
- (11) Chang, G.; Li, S.; Huang, F.; Zhang, X.; Shen, Y.; Xie, A. Multifunctional Reduced Graphene Oxide Hydrogel as Drug Carrier for Localized and Synergic Photothermal/ Photodynamics/Chemo Therapy School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Collaborative Innovation Center of Modern. J. Mater. Sci. Technol. 2016.

- (12) Aliabadi, M.; Shagholani, H.; Yunessnia, A. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules Synthesis of a Novel Biocompatible Nanocomposite of Graphene Oxide and Magnetic Nanoparticles for Drug Delivery. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2017, 98, 287–291.
- Wang, J.; Fang, J.; Fang, P.; Li, X.; Wu, S.; Zhang, W. Preparation of Hollow Core/ Shell Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Graphene Oxide Composites as Magnetic Targeting Drug Nanocarriers. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed.* 2017, 5063, 0.
- (14) Zhang, M.; Liu, X.; Huang, J.; Wang, L.; Shen, H.; Luo, Y.; Li, Z.; Zhang, H.; Deng, Z.; Zhang, Z. Ultrasmall Graphene Oxide Based T<sub>1</sub> MRI Contrast Agent for in Vitro and in Vivo Labeling of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Nanomedicine Nanotechnology, Biol. Med.* **2017**, 1–9.
- (15) Yang, H.; Huang, C.-Y.; Lin, C.; Liu, H.; Huang, C.; Liao, S.; Chen, P.; Lu, Y.; Wei, K.; Ma, C. M. Gadolinium-Functionalized Nanographene Oxide for Combined Drug and MicroRNA Delivery and Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Biomaterials* 2014, 35 (24), 6534–6542.
- (16) Huang, C.-L.; Huang, C.; Mai, F.; Yen, C.; Tzing, S.; Hsieh, H.; Ling, Y.; Chang, J. Application of Paramagnetic Graphene Quantum Dots as a Platform for Simultaneous Dual-Modality Bioimaging and Tumor-Targeted Drug Delivery. *J. Mater. Chem. B* 2015, *3* (4), 651–664.