CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating webbased and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be

- a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
- b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.

Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.

In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS,

or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED).

Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN

OUR DATABASE!!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):

Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group

CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and Mobile

Health Interventions

J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126 URL: http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/

doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923 PMID: 22209829

* Required

Your name *

First Last

Sara Taylor

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Massachusetts Institute of Technology,

Your e-mail address *

abc@gmail.com

sataylor@mit.edu

Title of your manuscript *

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

Use of In-game Rewards to Motivate Daily Self-Report Compliance

Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

The Guardians

Evaluated Version (if any)

e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

Your answer

Language(s) *

What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

English

URL of your Intervention Website or App

e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

Your answer

URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Your answer

Accessibility * Can an enduser access the intervention presently?	
access is free and open	
access only for special usergroups, not open	
access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-appurchases	эp
app/intervention no longer accessible	
Other:	
Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition * e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Au (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)" N/A	tism
Drimary Outcomes massured in trial t	

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *

comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

Adherence to a daily participant reported

Secondary/other outcomes

Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

Your answer

Recommended "Dose" * What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?
Approximately Daily
Approximately Weekly
Approximately Monthly
Approximately Yearly
as needed"
Other:

Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *

- unknown / not evaluated
- 0-10%
- 11-20%
- 21-30%
- 31-40%
- 41-50%
- 51-60%
- 61-70%
- 71%-80%
- 81-90%
- 91-100%
- Other:

Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *	Overall,	was the	app/inter	vention	effective	<u> ?</u> *
--	----------	---------	-----------	---------	-----------	-------------

•	yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
0	partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
0	no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
0	potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
0	inconclusive: more research is needed
0	Other:
	icle Preparation Status/Stage *
At w	hich stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)
At w	not submitted yet - in early draft status
At w	
At w	not submitted yet - in early draft status
At w	not submitted yet - in early draft status not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
0 0 0	not submitted yet - in early draft status not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
0 0 0	not submitted yet - in early draft status not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments

Journal * If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")
onot submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
JMIR mHealth and UHealth
JMIR Serious Games
JMIR Mental Health
JMIR Public Health
JMIR Formative Research
Other JMIR sister journal
Other:
Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial?
O Pilot/feasibility
Fully powered
Manuscript tracking number * If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)
on ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR
Other: 11683

TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title



1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *

I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

yes

-	
	ノ

Other:

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use "web-based" and/or "mobile" and/or "electronic game" in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like "online", "virtual", "interactive". Use "Internet-based" only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use "computer-based" or "electronic" only if offline products are used. Use "virtual" only in the context of "virtual reality" (3-D worlds). Use "online" only in the context of "online support groups". Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as "mobile" or "smart phone" instead of "iphone"), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	•	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	essentia

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We compare three different modes of delivery in the study so this is not relevant.

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., "with telephone support").

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We do not include an co-interventions in this work.

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., "for children with Type I Diabetes") Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

subitem not at all important

2 3 4 5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Since our intervention was given to a general population, this item is not applicable.

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

S,

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\bigcirc	\circ		\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We conducted a 5-week randomized control trial to compare the completion rates of a daily diary across three conditions: a paper-based participant reported outcome diary (Paper PRO), an electronic-based participant reported outcome diary (ePRO), and a novel electronic-based participant reported outcome diary with in-game rewards (Game-Motivated ePRO)."

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like "fully automated" vs. "therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted" (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use "blinded" or "unblinded" to indicated the level of blinding instead of "open", as "open" in web-based trials usually refers to "open access" (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ		essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We observed a significant difference (F(2,124) = 6.341, P=.002) in compliance to filling out daily diaries, with the Game-Motivated ePRO group having the highest compliance (Mean completion: 86.4%, StDev: 19.6%), followed by the ePRO group (Mean completion: 77.7%, StDev: 24.1%), and lastly the Paper PRO group (Mean completion: 70.6%, StDev: 23.4%)."

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale

2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as standalone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	\bigcirc		essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Clinicians rely on self-reports to collect a variety of patient data (including mood or pain reports and descriptions of changing symptoms) for routine practice and during clinical trials. In many cases, patients are asked to complete paper diaries at regularly spaced pre-specified times. ... Thus, it is critical to find a way to increase diary compliance. A common way to improve diary compliance is to transition from paper diaries to digital diaries. ... Recently, researchers are exploring the possibility of using game design techniques, especially mobile games, to increase compliance to various behaviors. ... [We] hypothesize that using in-game rewards instead of gamification techniques will increase the diary completion compliance above simply transitioning to digital diaries. "

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropiate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Recently, researchers are exploring the possibility of using game design techniques, especially mobile games, to increase compliance to various behaviors. Played on the ubiquitous smartphone, these games have captured the attention of a wide variety of demographics and are often targeted to specific subgroups in order to further increase game-playing compliance. In 2015, 51.3% of mobile phone users played a mobile game at least once per month and this rate is expected to grow to 63.7% of mobile phone users by 2020 [10]. Furthermore, 77% of teens report playing mobile games on their mobile phone or tablet [11] and 85% of children who play mobile games play at least a few times a week [12]. Successful games use common design techniques and mechanics to produce a game loop that repeatedly draws players back on a regular schedule and encourages the player to watch ads, share on social media, or pay money in order to get special rewards in the game."

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Therefore, we hypothesize that using in-game rewards instead of gamification techniques will increase the diary completion compliance above simply transitioning to digital diaries. In order to test this hypothesis we conduct a 5-week user study to compare the completion rates of a daily diary across three conditions: a paper diary, a digital diary, and digital diary with in-game rewards. Furthermore, since there are legitimate concerns that a game may influence how the participant answers the questions in the diary [27], we will also test the difference in the answer distributions between the different study groups."



3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Immediately after providing consent, but before completing the pre-study survey, participants were randomized without regard to age or gender into one of three groups for the daily diaries: a paper-based participant reported outcome diary (Paper PRO), an electronic-based participant reported outcome diary (ePRO), or our novel electronic-based participant reported outcome diary with ingame rewards (Game-Motivated ePRO)."

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no changes to the methods after trial commencement

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	\bigcirc	0		essential

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no bug fixes, downtimes, or content changes needed in this trial.

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Thus, individuals aged 6-24 were recruited via posters and Internet postings to participate in a 5-week study about mood and mobile game habits in return for receiving a \$100 Amazon gift card at the end of the study. Interested individuals were screened for their age and ownership of a smartphone (only Android and iPhone owners were eligible for this study). "

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"[I]ndividuals aged 6-24 were recruited via posters and Internet postings to participate in a 5-week study about mood and mobile game habits in return for receiving a \$100 Amazon gift card at the end of the study. ... The entire study was done remotely and participants only had email contact with the study personnel."

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\circ		essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Eligible individuals, and their parent/guardian if the individual was under 18 years old, were emailed consent forms, which informed them of the study protocol, namely that the study would consist of 3 parts:

- 1. An online pre-study survey (~30 minutes long) about demographics, general mood, and mobile game habits
- 2. A diary on mood and mobile game habits (6 questions total) to be filled out daily for 5 weeks (35 days) individuals were informed that they would be asked by study personnel to complete these diaries with an app or on paper
- 3. An online post-study survey (~20 minutes long) about general mood, the usability of the daily diary system, and qualitative feedback about the study

Individuals were informed that they needed to complete the pre-study survey and at least 4 of the first 7 diaries to be eligible for the first \$40 and that they could receive the remaining \$60 if they filled out the post-study survey. No other requirements were made on filling out daily diaries beyond the minimum of 4 in the first week. Individuals who were asked to complete the daily diaries on paper were given an additional \$10 to cover the cost of mailing the diaries back to the study personnel.

...

Participants were not informed that the main goal of the study was to measure daily diary compliance over the course of the study. Instead, they were told that the study was about mood, mobile game habits, and the weather because the daily questions referred to these topics.

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The entire study was done remotely and participants only had email contact with the study personnel."

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	•	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants completed an "An online pre-study survey (~30 minutes long) about demographics, general mood, and mobile game habits"

4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention.(Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	\circ	0	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a "Conflict of interest" section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	\bigcirc	\circ		\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	\bigcirc	•	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In order to develop The Guardians, our team first conducted a series of pilot studies with potential users. We prototyped several different versions including several pen-and-paper-based versions and simple text-based versions of the game to test the basic game mechanics. We conducted in-person interviews with children and adults (N=14, ages 7-50 years old) and beta tested early prototypes in order to learn which game mechanics and art styles were most appealing. The final version of The Guardians used in this study was developed using Unity, built for both the Android and iOS platforms, and incorporated the feedback learned from these early studies."

5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was "frozen" during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained "access to the platform and Internet" [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a "backdoor" login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	O	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There are three modes of delivery of the intervention: the daily diary. For the two modes that require digital access, we clarify "The diary is accessed through the blue button in the top left of Figure 1. When the player is connected to the Internet, they can click this button to open a diary."

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1]," whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at	\circ	\circ		\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants in the ePRO and Game-Motivated ePRO groups received a notification from their respective apps if they had not completed the diary by 9:30am local time."

5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended "doses" and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as "type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered". It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\bigcirc		\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants in the ePRO and Game-Motivated ePRO groups received a notification from their respective apps if they had not completed the diary by 9:30am local time."

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0		0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No co-interventions were used.

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In some studies in which patient reported outcomes are collected, any data collected is useful regardless of which user it came from, but the more days of data collected the better. In order to determine the difference in average completion rates between the three study arms, we use a three-way ANOVA (also called a factorial ANOVA) test to consider how the diary method, age group, and gender influenced the adherence rate. Tukey post hoc tests are conducted when statistically significant differences are found.

On the other hand, some studies require high levels of completion in order to include an individual in the final analysis. If an individual falls below the required completion rate, study coordinators will often remove that participant from the study. Therefore, we compare the number of participants that are able to complete at least 90% of the daily diaries (i.e., completing at least 32 of the 35 daily diaries). Specifically, we estimate the survival (i.e., missing 3 or fewer diaries) of a participant in the study by computing the Kaplan-Meier curve [35] for each study group using the survival R package [36]. Then using the log-rank test [37], we can compare the survival curves of the three study groups. Specifically, it tests the hypothesis that at least one of the groups has a different survival than another against the null hypothesis that all of the groups have the same survival. If a significant p-value is found, we will conduct a pairwise post hoc test to determine which pairs of study groups are significantly different from each other when adjusting for multiple comparisons using the Holm method [38]. Finally, we also compare the resulting number of participants with 90% or greater completion rates in each arm of the study using pairwise N-1 Chisquared tests to check for significant differences in the participants that achieved 90% completion rate. "

6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Your answer

6a-ii) Describe whether and how "use" (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how "use" (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Your answer

6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

subitem not at all important O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

"[A]t the end of the 35-day study, participants were given an online post-study survey to complete. "

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

,

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced

7a) How sample size was determined



NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no interim analyses or stopping guidelines for this study.

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence



NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"[P]articipants were randomized without regard to age or gender into one of three groups for the daily diaries: a paper-based participant reported outcome diary (Paper PRO), an electronic-based participant reported outcome diary (ePRO), or our novel electronic-based participant reported outcome diary with ingame rewards (Game-Motivated ePRO).

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)



Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"[P]articipants were randomized without regard to age or gender into one of three groups for the daily diaries: a paper-based participant reported outcome diary (Paper PRO), an electronic-based participant reported outcome diary (ePRO), or our novel electronic-based participant reported outcome diary with ingame rewards (Game-Motivated ePRO).

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants were placed into one of the three groups using a random choice generator from python's numpy package.

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The authors of the paper enrolled participants in the study. Participants were randomized using a random choice generator form python's numpy package.

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0		0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants were blinded to which group they were in when filling out the prestudy survey, but were unblinded once the participant received instructions on how to fill out the daily diaries.

11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator"

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator".

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were not informed that the main goal of the study was to measure daily diary compliance over the course of the study. Instead, they were told that the study was about mood, mobile game habits, and the weather because the daily questions referred to these topics."

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions



(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The ePRO group's app was a simple native iOS or Android app that displayed a webview with the daily diary form. The Game-Motivated ePRO included the exact same webview and form. Therefore, the ePRO and Game-Motivated ePRO groups had identical daily diaries; however, the Game-Motivated ePRO participants received an in-game reward (i.e., a pet) when they completed a daily diary, whereas the ePRO participants were just shown a screen thanking them for completing the diary and reminding them to return the next day."

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes



NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Statistical methods are described in the Methods: Statistical Methods section. Including the following text about analyzing the main effects: "In some studies in which patient reported outcomes are collected, any data collected is useful regardless of which user it came from, but the more days of data collected the better. In order to determine the difference in average completion rates between the three study arms, we use a three-way ANOVA (also called a factorial ANOVA) test to consider how the diary method, age group, and gender influenced the adherence rate. Tukey post hoc tests are conducted when statistically significant differences are found.

On the other hand, some studies require high levels of completion in order to include an individual in the final analysis. If an individual falls below the required completion rate, study coordinators will often remove that participant from the study. Therefore, we compare the number of participants that are able to complete at least 90% of the daily diaries (i.e., completing at least 32 of the 35 daily diaries). Specifically, we estimate the survival (i.e., missing 3 or fewer diaries) of a participant in the study by computing the Kaplan-Meier curve [35] for each study group using the survival R package [36]. Then using the log-rank test [37], we can compare the survival curves of the three study groups. Specifically, it tests the hypothesis that at least one of the groups has a different survival than another against the null hypothesis that all of the groups have the same survival. If a significant p-value is found, we will conduct a pairwise post hoc test to determine which pairs of study groups are significantly different from each other when adjusting for multiple comparisons using the Holm method [38]. Finally, we also compare the resulting number of participants with 90% or greater completion rates in each arm of the study using pairwise N-1 Chisquared tests to check for significant differences in the participants that achieved 90% completion rate."

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0		0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is not relevant to this study because our main endpoint was to compare how many participants completed the daily diaries in each arm.

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The section "Methods: Statistical Methods" in our paper covers the details of the statistical methods used in our analysis.

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)



X26-i)	Comment on ethics committee ap	proval
--------	--------------------------------	--------

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The MIT Committee on the Use of Humans as Experimental Subjects approved this protocol (MIT IRB Protocol #1708061907).

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Eligible individuals, and their parent/guardian if the individual was under 18 years old, were emailed consent forms, which informed them of the study protocol, namely that the study would consist of 3 parts:

- 1. An online pre-study survey (~30 minutes long) about demographics, general mood, and mobile game habits
- 2. A diary on mood and mobile game habits (6 questions total) to be filled out daily for 5 weeks (35 days) individuals were informed that they would be asked by study personnel to complete these diaries with an app or on paper
- 3. An online post-study survey (~20 minutes long) about general mood, the usability of the daily diary system, and qualitative feedback about the study

Individuals were informed that they needed to complete the pre-study survey and at least 4 of the first 7 diaries to be eligible for the first \$40 and that they could receive the remaining \$60 if they filled out the post-study survey. No other requirements were made on filling out daily diaries beyond the minimum of 4 in the first week. Individuals who were asked to complete the daily diaries on paper were given an additional \$10 to cover the cost of mailing the diaries back to the study personnel."

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The protocol was determined to be of minimal risk to the participants. Nevertheless, all participant data was anonymized and stored on an encrypted, password protected server.



13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Of the 372 individuals screened for the study, 309 individuals were eligible to participate, 232 individuals provided informed consent and were randomized into the three study groups. Of these, 197 completed the pre-study survey to begin the study: 67 participants (Male: 31, Female: 36) in the Paper PRO group, 66 (Male: 33, Female: 33) in the ePRO group, and 64 (Male: 36, Female: 28) in the Game-Motivated ePRO group (see Figure 3 for the flow of participants in the study and Figure 4 and Table 1 for the distribution of study conditions in the different age groups)."

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Of the 372 individuals screened for the study, 309 individuals were eligible to participate, 232 individuals provided informed consent and were randomized into the three study groups. Of these, 197 completed the pre-study survey to begin the study: 67 participants (Male: 31, Female: 36) in the Paper PRO group, 66 (Male: 33, Female: 33) in the ePRO group, and 64 (Male: 36, Female: 28) in the Game-Motivated ePRO group (see Figure 3 for the flow of participants in the study and Figure 4 and Table 1 for the distribution of study conditions in the different age groups)."

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ		\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This can be seen in Figure 7 of the manuscript.

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Recruitment was conducted between October 9, 2017 through November 15, 2017."

14a-i) Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period

Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or "changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources"

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not Applicable - The trial did not end early.

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group



NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Table 1 shows the demographic details of the three different study arms.

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	0		\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The reported demographics include age information.

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions

Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions: Report N's (and effect sizes) "across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds" [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants "used" the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define "use" of the intervention.

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We compared the individuals who were randomized but did not start the study and those who were randomized and did start the study (i.e., filled out a prestudy survey) in order to see if there were any age differences ... We hypothesized that there would be some portion of participants in each study arm that completed the pre-study survey, but did not complete any of the daily diaries ... Other than these tests, all of our other analyses, described below, focus on data from participants who completed at least one diary."

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only "users", with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Estimated effect sizes and precision are given for each outcome in the manuscript.

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We present both the absolute and relative effect sizes when considering binary outcomes.

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing prespecified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group



(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Except for privacy concerns, this trial did not have any other important harms to consider.

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical "harm" to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. "Unintended effects" also includes unintended positive effects [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	\circ	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence



NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	•	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"This study aimed to see if there was a difference in daily diary completion in children and young adults between three different methods of diary, namely traditional paper-based diaries, digital diaries, and our custom game-motivated digital diary. As hypothesized, we observed significant differences in compliance to filling out daily diaries, with the Game-Motivated ePRO group having the highest compliance, followed by the ePRO group, and lastly the Paper PRO group. Nearly all individuals in the Game-Motivated ePRO group actually engaged with the various game mechanics, even though no such engagement was required to fill out the diaries. Importantly, there were no statistically significant differences in the content of the responses to 5 of the 6 daily questions, the average diary completion time, or in the SUS score between the ePRO and Game-Motivated ePRO groups. As mentioned previously, we expected the game duration question to have a significant difference in responses since the Game-Motivated ePRO group received a game to play during the study. Therefore, we conclude that the Game-Motivated ePRO method encouraged individuals to complete significantly more diaries without significantly altering the content of their responses."

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc	essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses



20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0		\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We have a subsection of the paper that includes a discussion of the trial limitations (see Discussion: Limitations)

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings



NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

NCT03738254 - Motivating Survey Compliance: Game Play Study 1

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The entire daily diary is accessible in the multimedia appendix, but the full trial protocol is not currently accessible as we are still conducting other trials to assess this method in other populations.

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Millennium Pharmaceuticals and the MIT Media Lab Consortium financially supported this project."

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)



X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

subitem not at essential all important

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist



As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *

yes, major changes

yes, minor changes

no

What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?

How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript *
3 hours
As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *
O yes
no
Other:
Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH

Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?

This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

\bigcirc	/es
------------	-----

O no

Other:

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit



To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.

When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.

Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!

Final step: Click submit!



Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

SUBMIT

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Additional Terms

Google Forms