Change in FEV₁ and FENO Measurements as Predictors of Future Asthma Outcomes in Children

Shona Fielding, PhD; Marielle Pijnenburg, PhD; Johan C. de Jongste, PhD; Katharine C. Pike, PhD; Graham Roberts, DM; Helen Petsky, PhD; Anne B. Chang, PhD; Maria Fritsch, MD; Thomas Frischer, MD; Stanley Szefler, MD; Peter Gergen, MD; Francoise Vermeulen, MD; Robin Vael; and Steve Turner, MD

CHEST 2019; 155(2):331-341

Online supplements are not copyedited prior to posting and the author(s) take full responsibility for the accuracy of all data.

© 2018 AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CHEST PHYSICIANS. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Physicians. See online for more details. **DOI**: 10.1016/j.chest.2018.10.009

e-Appendix 1.

Details of each population

Fritsch et al¹ undertook a study of 47 children with asthma attending a hospital asthma clinic in Vienna, Austria and collected data at baseline, 1.5, 3, 4.5 and 6 months (see table 1). The intervention compared treatment guided by symptom and FEV₁ (applying a cut off of 80% of predicted) versus symptoms, FEV₁ and FeNO (applying a cut off of 20 parts per billion, ppb). The data collected at baseline, three and six months were used for the IPD. FeNO was measured using a NIOX chemiluminescence analyser (Aerocrine AB, Solna, Sweden). Sensitisation to inhaled allergen was an inclusion criterion and children treated with oral or intravenous corticosteroids within four weeks prior to the baseline visit were excluded. Reported asthma symptoms over a four week period were scored as 0 (no symptoms, i.e. controlled), 1 (mild symptoms, i.e. controlled) and 2 (severe symptoms, i.e. uncontrolled). Prescribing of oral corticosteroids for asthma attacks was at the discretion of the attending doctor.

Peirsman et al² recruited 99 participants with persistent asthma attending one of seven hospital asthma clinics across Belgium and collected data at baseline, three, six, nine and twelve months (see table 1). The intervention compared treatment guided by symptoms plus %FEV₁ (applying a cut off of 80% predicted) against symptoms, %FEV₁ and FeNO (with a cut off of 20ppb). FeNO was measured using the NIOX MINO device (Aerocrine AB, Solna, Sweden). All participants were sensitised to inhaled allergens. Inclusion criteria included mild to severe persistent asthma and sensitised to inhaled allergen. Children with an asthma attack in the previous four weeks or who had received treatment with oral corticosteroids in the previous twelve weeks were ineligible. The first four questions of the children Asthma Control Test were used to ascertain asthma control. Prescribing of oral corticosteroids for asthma attacks was at the discretion of the attending doctor.

Petsky et al³ recruited 63 children in Australia and Hong Kong and data were collected at baseline, one, two, three, four, six, eight, ten and twelve months. If three month data were missing, two month data were used, and if six month data were missing, the four month information was used in the IPD. The intervention compared asthma treatment guided by symptoms versus symptoms plus FeNO (applying a cut off of 10ppb for non-atopic children, 12ppb for those with one positive skin test and 20ppb for those with >one positive skin test). FeNO was measured with a chemiluminescence analyser (Sievers NOA 280i, Colorado, USA). Inclusion criteria included age > four years, attending a hospital asthma clinic, having persistent asthma and being prescribed regular asthma preventer treatment. Exclusion criteria included poor treatment adherence and not being able to take inhaled medication.

The following questions were scored 0 (no symptoms/normal activity) to 6 (greatest symptoms/disruption of activity): How often did you experience asthma symptoms? How much did your asthma symptoms bother you today? How much activity could you do today? How often did your asthma affect your usual activities today? Being uncontrolled was defined as an increased in symptoms score of $\geq 15\%$ since the previous visit. The symptom score could only be identified for the baseline assessment but not for the three and six month visits. Prescribing of oral corticosteroids for asthma attacks was at the discretion of the attending doctor.

Pijnenburg et al ⁴ included 86 participants in the Netherlands and data were collected at baseline, three, six, nine and twelve months. The intervention compared asthma treatment guided by symptoms versus symptoms plus FeNO (applying a cut off of 30 ppb). Spirometry was not measured at the three and six month follow ups. FeNO was measured using the using the NIOX chemiluminescence analyzer (Aerocrine AB, Solna, Sweden). Inclusion criteria were age 6-18 years, being atopic and having had no change to ICS dose for the three months prior to recruitment. There were no exclusion criteria. A daily symptom diary scored the following as 0 (none) to 3 (greatest symptoms): daytime dyspnoea, daytime wheezing, daytime cough, night time dyspnoea, night time wheezing, night time cough and being uncontrolled was defined as a mean of the symptoms score over two weeks of >14.

Pike et al ⁵ recruited 90 participants in the UK and collected data at baseline, two, four, six, eight, ten and twelve months. The two month data was used to represent three months, and if six month data were missing then the four month data were used. The intervention compared asthma treatment guided by symptoms versus symptoms plus FeNO (applying a cut off of 25ppb). FeNO was measured using the NIOX MINO device (Aerocrine AB, Solna, Sweden). Inclusion criteria were age 6-17 years, diagnosed asthma, attending one of three hospital asthma clinics and being prescribed \geq 400 microg BUD. Exclusion criteria were being unable to provide FeNO or FEV₁ measurements, active smoking, poor treatment adherence, a history of a life-threatening asthma attack or requirement for maintenance oral corticosteroids. Symptoms were scored none, trivial, mild, moderate or severe for the following outcomes: cough, wheeze, sputum production, shortness of breath while walking, waking due to night time cough, waking due to night time cough, waking due to night time sputum production and waking due to shortness of breath. The blinded clinician categorised each participant's asthma as well controlled (symptoms and reliever inhaler <1/week and FEV1 >90% predicted); controlled (symptoms or reliever inhaler use 1-2/week, or FEV1 >80% predicted), or poorly controlled (symptoms or reliever inhaler use >2/week, or FEV1 <80% predicted) (modified from Smith *et al*⁶).

Szefler et al⁷ recruited 546 participants in the USA and collected information at baseline, 1.5, 3.2, 5, 7, 8.5 and 10.5 months. We utilised the baseline information, 3.2 month assessment to represent three months and the seven month assessment to represent six months. If data were missing at these time points, data from the 1.5 month assessment was used to represent three month assessment, and the five month assessment used to impute at six months. The intervention compared asthma treatment guided by symptoms plus FEV₁ (applying a cut off of 80% predicted) versus symptoms, FEV₁ and FeNO (applying cut offs of 20, 30 and 40 ppb). FeNO was measured using a rapid-response chemiluminescent analyser (NIOX, Aerocrine AB, Sweden). Inclusion criteria were age 12-20 years, living in a household where \geq 20% of resident's income was below the federal poverty threshold, physician diagnosed asthma which required long-term treatment and was persistent and/or uncontrolled. Individuals with cotinine confirmed active smoking were excluded. Having uncontrolled asthma was defined as a score of <19 on the asthma control test⁸.

Voorend-van Bergen *et al*⁹ undertook a study of 181 participants and collected data at baseline, 4, 8 and 12 months. We assigned the four and eight month data to represent three and six month assessments respectively. Spirometry was only measured at baseline and twelve months. The intervention compared asthma treatment guided by symptoms versus symptoms plus FeNO (applying cut offs of 20 and 50 ppb). Participants in a third arm of this trial (a web-based intervention) were not included. FeNO was measured using a NIOX chemiluminescence analyzer or NIOX MINO (Aerocrine AB, Stockholm, Sweden). Inclusion criteria were age 4-18 years, diagnosed asthma, sensitisation to inhaled allergen, bronchodilator response of 9%, attending one of seven hospital clinics in the Netherlands and being prescribed inhaled corticosteroids for more than three months. Exclusion criteria included active smoking, admission to intensive care for asthma, inability to provide FeNO measurement and use of omalizumab. Having uncontrolled asthma was defined as a score of <19 on the asthma control test⁸.

e-Table 1. Details of FEV₁ z scores and centile scores both for individual trials and all trials combined. Raw data were not available for the trials by Peirsman et al or Pijnenburg et al.

		Fritsch ¹	Peirsman ²	Petsky ³	Pijnenburg ⁴	Pike⁵	Szefler ⁷	Voorend-van Bergen ⁹	All populations combined
Total number of participants		47	99	63	86	90	546	181	1112
FEV ₁ z score	Number of observations	47	-	54	-	90	546	157	894
	mean (SD)	-0.61 (1.28)	-	-0.07 (1.41)	-	-0.51 (1.34)	-0.58 (1.66)	-0.49 (1.09)	-0.53 (1.51)
FEV ₁ centile score	Number of observations	47	-	54	-	90	546	157	894
	Median(IQR)	28.1 (7.4, 58.0)	-	48.2 (22.1, 75.4)	-	27.2 (7.2, 66.2)	22.5 (4.01, 71.9)	31.3 (12.6, 58.4)	27.9 (5.9, 66.9)

e-Table 2. Relationship between falling % FEV₁/FVC or %FEF₂₅₋₇₅ over a three month period and odds of asthma attack or loss of asthma control during the next three months. Results are from a one stage individual patient data analysis. All models adjusted for sex, age, treatment with long acting beta agonists at baseline, treatment with leukotriene receptor antagonist at baseline, asthma control at baseline, change in dose of inhaled corticosteroid between baseline and three months. ⁺The model also includes asthma attack between baseline and 3 months. The change in %FEV₁/FVC or %FEF₂₅₋₇₅ model included %FEV₁/FVC or %FEF₂₅₋₇₅ at baseline. *For change in %FEV₁/FVC "per unit" means for each percentage change (e.g. from 98% to 97% FEV₁/FVC).

Measurement of	Asthma outcome	Odds Ratio per unit* reduction	
respiratory function		in %FEV1/FVC, %FEF25-75 or FVC	
	≥1 asthma attack between	1.013(0.977, 1.050) p=0.492	
Change (baseline to	baseline and three months	n=526 (2 trials)	
3m) in %FEV1/FVC	Asthma uncontrolled at three	1.026 (0.99, 1.061) p=0.166	
	months	n=544 (2 trials)	
	≥1 asthma attack between	1.009 (0.995, 1.024) p=0.200	
Change (baseline to	baseline and three months	n=480 (1 trial)	
3m) in %FEF25-75	Asthma uncontrolled at three	1.006 (0.993, 1.020) p=0.353	
	months	n=498 (1 trial)	
	≥1 asthma attack between	1.034 (1.034, 1.065) p=0.026	
Change (baseline to	baseline and three months	n=542 (3 trials)	
3m) in %FVC	Asthma uncontrolled at three	1.023 (0.994, 1.053) p=0.126	
	months	n=544 (2 trials)	

e-Table 3. Relationship between baseline % FEV₁ or baseline FeNO or change in %FEV₁ or %change in FeNO and risk of asthma attack or loss of asthma control during the next three months. Results are from a one stage individual patient data analysis and are stratified by trial arm.

		Only children in standard	Only children in FeNO treatment
		treatment arm	arm
Per unit fall in % FEV1 between	≥1 asthma attack between	1.026 (0.994, 1.058) p = 0.119	1.014 (0.987, 1.043) p = 0.314
baseline and three months	three and six months	n = 366	n = 359
	Asthma uncontrolled at six	1.006 (0.981, 1.033) p = 0.632	1.023 (0.999, 1.048) p = 0.061
	months	n = 363	n = 349
Per % rise in FeNO between	≥1 asthma attack between	1.001 (0.998, 1.003) p=0.574	1.001 (0.998, 1.003) p=0.431
baseline and three months	three and six months	n=463	n=475
	Asthma uncontrolled at six	1.001 (0.999, 1.004) p=0.173	1.000 (0.998, 1.003) p=0.633
	months	n=456	n=462
Per unit reduction %FEV1 at	Odds ratio for ≥1 asthma	0.999 (0.980, 1.019) p = 0.971	1.026 (1.005, 1.049) p = 0.017
baseline	attack between baseline and	n=493	n = 480
	three months		
	Asthma uncontrolled at	1.001 (0.989, 1.013) p = 0.846	0.981 (0.967, 0.995) p = 0.008
	three months	n = 479	n = 460
Per ppb increase in FeNO at	Odds ratio for ≥1 asthma	1.002 (0.993, 1.012) p=0.650	1.001 (0.992, 1.010) p=0.771
baseline attack between baseline and		n=476	n=490
	three months		
	Asthma uncontrolled at	1.002 (0.996, 1.008) p=0.591	0.996 (0.988, 1.003) p=0.296
	three months	n=460	n=469

e-Table 4. Relationship between falling % FEV_1 or rising %change in FeNO over a three month period and risk of asthma attack or loss of asthma control during the next three months where data from the cohorts^{1, 2, 7} where FEV_1 was used to guide treatment were excluded.

Change in	Asthma outcome	Odds Ratio per unit change in FEV ₁ or
Change III	Astillia outcome	
measurement of		FeNO
respiratory function		
Change (baseline to	Loss of control	0.973 (0.926, 1.021) p =0.266
3m) in % FEV1	Asthma attack	1.029 (0.986, 1.074) p = 0.194
% change in FeNO	Loss of control	0.999 (0.996, 1.002) p = 0.507
(baseline to 3m)	Asthma attack	1.004 (1.000, 1.008) p = 0.029
% FEV ₁ at baseline	Loss of control	0.998 [0.988, 1.008] p = 0.737
	Asthma attack	1.001 [0.989, 1.013] p = 0.925
FeNO at baseline	Loss of control	0.989 [0.971, 1.008] p = 0.273
	Asthma attack	1.027 [0.999, 1.055] p = 0.054

e-Table 5. Relationship between falling FEV_1 z score or FEV_1 centile over a three month period and the odds of an asthma attack or having poor asthma control during the next three months. *For change in FEV_1 , "per unit" means for each percentage change (e.g. 1 z score decrease in FEV_1 or a decrease of one FEV_1 centile).

Change in measurement	Asthma outcome	Odds Ratio per unit change in
of respiratory function		FEV1*
	≥1 asthma attack	1.417 (1.036, 1.939) p=0.029
Change (baseline to 3m)	between three and six	n=625 (4 trials)
in FEV $_1$ z score	months ⁺	
	Asthma uncontrolled at	1.394 (1.086, 1.790) p=0.009
	six months	n=602 (3 trials)
	≥1 asthma attack	1.011 (0.9996, 1.027) p=0.157
change in FEV_1 centile	between three and six	n=625 (4 trials)
(baseline to 3m)	months ⁺	
	Asthma uncontrolled at	1.017 (1.005, 1.031) p=0.006
	six months	n=602 (3 trials)



e-Table 6 Relationship between falling % FEV₁ (standardised to NHANESIII) over a three month period and risk of asthma attack or loss of asthma control during the next three months. Results are from a one stage individual patient data analysis. All models adjusted for sex, age, treatment with long acting beta agonists at baseline, treatment with leukotriene receptor antagonist at baseline, control at baseline, change in dose of inhaled corticosteroid between baseline and three months

Change in measurement	Asthma outcome	Odds Ratio per unit change in	
of respiratory function		FEV1	
	≥1 asthma attack between	1.025 (1.002, 1.047) p=0.031	
Change (baseline to 3m)	three and six months	n=716 (5 trials)	
in% FEV1	Asthma uncontrolled at six	0.981 (1.0, 0.993) p=0.055	
	months	n=693 (4 trials)	
	≥1 asthma attack between	1.017(1.001, 1.034) p=0.039	
%FEV1 at baseline	baseline and three months	n=974 (7 trials)	
	Asthma uncontrolled at	1.012 (1.001, 1.021) p=0.033	
	three months	n=940 (6 trials)	

e-Table 7. Relationship between baseline FEV₁ z score and centile and odds of asthma attack or asthma being uncontrolled during the next three months. Results are from a one stage individual patient data analysis. All models adjusted for sex, age, treatment with long acting beta agonists at baseline, treatment with leukotriene receptor antagonist at baseline, asthma control at baseline and change in dose of inhaled corticosteroid between baseline and three months. *"Per unit" means for each z score or centile percentage reduction.

Measurement of	Asthma outcome	Odds Ratio per unit* reduction		
respiratory function		in FEV_1		
	≥1 asthma attack between	1.065 (0.87, 1.30), p = 0.537		
	baseline and three months	n=807 (5 trials)		
$FEV_1 z$ score at	Asthma uncontrolled at	0.945 (0.841, 1.062) p =0.344		
baseline	three months	n=777 (4 trials)		
	≥1 asthma attack between	1.002 (0.993, 1.011) p =0.669		
FEV_1 centile at	baseline and three months	n=807 (5 trials)		
baseline	Asthma uncontrolled at	0.999 (0.993, 1.004) p = 0.668		
	three months	n=777 (4 trials)		

References

1 Fritsch M, Uxa S, Horak FJ et al. Exhaled nitric oxide in the management of childhood asthma: a prospective 6-months study. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2006;41:855-862.

2 Peirsman EJ, Carvelli TJ, Hage PY et al. Exhaled nitric oxide in childhood allergic asthma management: a randomised controlled trial. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2014;49:624-631.

3 Petsky HL, Li AM, Au CT, Kynaston JA, Turner C, Chang AB. Management based on exhaled nitric oxide levels adjusted for atopy reduces asthma exacerbations in children: A dual centre randomized controlled trial. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2015;50:535-543.

4 Pijnenburg MW, Bakker EM, Hop WC, De Jongste JC. Titrating steroids on exhaled nitric oxide in children with asthma: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;172:831-836.

5 Pike K, Selby A, Price S et al. Exhaled nitric oxide monitoring does not reduce exacerbation frequency or inhaled corticosteroid dose in paediatric asthma: a randomised controlled trial. *Clin Respir J* 2013;7:204-213.

6 Smith AD, Cowan JO, Brassett KP, et al. Use of Exhaled Nitric Oxide Measurements to Guide Treatment in Chronic Asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352: 2163-73.

7 Szefler SJ, Mitchell H, Sorkness CA et al. Management of asthma based on exhaled nitric oxide in addition to guideline-based treatment for inner-city adolescents and young adults: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2008;372:1065-1072.

8 Nathan RA, Sorkness CA, Kosinski M et al. Development of the asthma control test: a survey for assessing asthma control. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004;113:59-65.

9 Voorend-van Bergen S, Vaessen-Verberne AA, Brackel HJ et al. Monitoring strategies in children with asthma: a randomised controlled trial. *Thorax*. 2015;70:543-550.