1 Supporting Information

2 Figure S1



3

4 Figure S1

5 Chemical structure of (**A**) (*S*)-DBZ and (**B**) (*R*)-DBZ and their effects on endothelial cell 6 proliferation. (**C**) HUVEC, (**D**) HCMEC, and (**E**, **F**) HCAEC were treated with increasing 7 concentrations of (S)-DBZ or (R)-DBZ for 48 h respectively, and cell proliferation was 8 measured by the CCK-8 assays. Cells treated with VEGF-A (20 ng ml⁻¹) served as positive 9 controls. Data are presented as the means \pm SD (n = 6 independent experiments performed in

triplicate). Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's *post hoc* test or unpaired Student's two-tailed *t*-test. *P<0.05 *vs*. control group. HUVEC,
human umbilical vein endothelial cells; HCMEC, human coronary artery endothelial cells;
HCAEC, human cardiac microvascular endothelial cells.

- 5
- В Α Ctrl (S)-DBZ 0.01 Ctrl VEGF Hcy Нсу VEGF (R)-DBZ 0.01 0.1 10 100 nM 0.1 100 nM 1 1 10 С D Proliferation (% of Ctrl mean) Proliferation % of Ctrl mean) Hcv (1.5 mM) Hcv (1.5 mM) VEGF (20 ng·ml⁻¹) VEGF (20 ng·ml⁻¹) + -. --+ -(S)-DBZ (nM) . 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 (R)-DBZ (nM) 0.1 100 . -0.01 F Ε Ctrl VEGF (R)-DBZ 0.01 Ctrl VEGF (S)-DBZ 0.01 Нсу Нсу 10 100 nM 0.1 10 100 nM 0.1 1 1 Hcy (1.5 VEGF (20 ng-(S)-DBZ (r Hcy (1.5 mM) _ VEGF (20 ng·ml⁻¹) _ (S)-DBZ (nM) _ رون Hcy (1.5 mM) VEGF (20 ng-ml⁻¹) (S)-DBZ (nM) + -0.1 + + + (20 ng·mi⁻¹) (*S*)-DBZ (nM)
- 6 Figure S2

7

8 Figure S2

9 Effects of (S)-DBZ and (R)-DBZ on HUVEC viability and tube formation in homocysteine
10 (Hcy)-injured model. (A-D) HUVEC were pre-treated with various concentrations of DBZ

for 2 h, and then treated with 5 mM Hcy. After 48 h of incubation, cell viability was 1 determined by Trypan blue assays. (E, F) HUVEC-HDF co-culture systems were pre-treated 2 with various concentrations of DBZ for 2 h, then treated with 1.5 mM Hcy. After 12 days of 3 incubation, tube formation was determined by vWF immunostaining, and total tube area and 4 average tube size was quantified by the ImageJ software. Magnification: $40 \times$ Data are 5 6 presented as the means \pm SD (n = 6 independent experiments performed in triplicate for both 7 Trypan blue assays and co-culture assays). Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's *post hoc* test or unpaired Student's two-tailed *t*-test. $^{*}P < 0.05$ 8 *versus* Hcy group, ${}^{\#}P < 0.05$ *versus* control group. 9

10





12 13

DSS (A) and borneol (B) have no significant effect on PTK787-induced ISV angiogenesis impairment in zebrafish embryos. Embryos were treated with PTK787, PTK787 with NBP,

¹⁴ Figure S3

1	PTK787 with DSS (1, 5, 10 μ M) or PTK787 with borneol (1, 5, 10 μ M) for 24 hs. Data were
2	analyzed by using the ImageJ software package. Quantitative analysis indicated the total
3	length of ISV for each group. Data are presented as the means \pm SD (10 fish embryos per
4	well from 5 time-independent experiments; $n = 5$). Statistical analyses were performed by
5	one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post hoc test. *P<0.05 vs. PTK787 treated group.
6	DSS, tanshinol; NBP, butylphthalide.

9 Table S1: Enriched KEGG pathways of DBZ, DSS or Borneol

Term	adiusted P-value
DBZ	
hsa04068: FoxO signaling pathway	2.73E-04
hsa04066: HIF-1 signaling pathway	9.02E-04
hsa04611: Platelet activation	9.09E-04
hsa04210: Apoptosis	0.00362
hsa04530: Tight junction	0.00474
hsa04510: Focal adhesion	0.0108
hsa04015: Rap1 signaling pathway	0.0116
hsa04370: VEGF signaling pathway	0.0187
DSS hsa04066: HIF-1 signaling pathway hsa04068: FoxO signaling pathway hsa04210: Apoptosis hsa04510: Focal adhesion hsa04611: Platelet activation	4.47E-05 3.01E-04 0.0211 0.0290 0.0493
Borneol hsa04066: HIF-1 signaling pathway hsa04068: FoxO signaling pathway hsa04210: Apoptosis	1.89E-04 8.60E-04 0.0211