

Supporting Information

for

Halide metathesis in overdrive: mechanochemical synthesis of a heterometallic group 1 allyl complex

Ross F. Koby, Nicholas R. Rightmire, Nathan D. Schley, Timothy P. Hanusa and William W. Brennessel

Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2019, 15, 1856–1863. doi:10.3762/bjoc.15.181

Experimental and computational details; crystal data and summary of X-ray data collection

Table of contents

Synthetic procedures	S2
Procedures for X-ray crystallography	S4
General procedures for calculations	S4
Figure S1: Connectivity of the coordination polymer chain in [(toluene)KA ´] $_{\infty}$	S5
Figure S2: Superposition of a portion of the structures of [KA′] $_\infty$ and [KCsA′ $_2$] $_\infty$	S6
Figure S3: Calculated geometries of [MA´]···Me interactions	S7
Table S1: Crystal data and summary of X-ray data collection	S8
References	S9

Synthetic procedures

General considerations

All syntheses were conducted under rigorous exclusion of air and moisture using Schlenk line and glovebox techniques. Proton (¹H) and carbon (¹³C) spectra were obtained on an Advance AV-400 MHz spectrometer at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively. Proton and carbon were referenced to residual resonances of C_6D_6 .

Materials

All anhydrous metal salts and were purchased from Strem or Sigma-Aldrich, stored under an N₂ atmosphere, and used as received. The potassium allyl [KA'] = [K(1,3-SiMe₃)C₃H₃] was synthesized by transmetalation of [LiA'] [1] with potassium *tert*-butoxide in hexanes solution. Toluene was degassed with argon and dried over activated alumina using a solvent purification system, then stored over 4 Å molecular sieves in a glovebox. Hexanes were distilled under nitrogen over NaK/benzophenone radical [2[, then stored over 4 Å molecular sieves in a glovebox. Benzene-*d*₆ was obtained from Cambridge Isotopes and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves.

Mechanochemical protocol. Planetary milling was performed with a Retsch PM100 mill, 50 mL stainless steel grinding jar type C, and a safety clamp for air-sensitive grinding. Mixer milling was performed with a Retsch model MM200 mill. Ball milling reactions used 50 stainless steel (440 grade) ball bearings (³/₁₆ in (5 mm), 0.44 g) or 3 stainless steel (440 grade) ball bearings (¹/₂ in (12.7 mm), 8.4 g) that were thoroughly cleaned with detergent and water, then washed with acetone, and dried in a 125 °C oven prior to use. A typical reaction was sealed under an inert atmosphere prior to grinding. The ground mixture was extracted with minimal hexanes (<100 mL) and filtered through a medium porosity ground glass frit. The extraction is designed to dissolve the complex, and the filtration removes residual KI. The filtrate was then dried under vacuum prior to NMR analysis.

Synthesis of [CsKA'_2]. Solid CsI (0.157 g, 0.604 mmol) and K[A'] (0.445 g, 1.98 mmol) were added to a 50 mL stainless steel grinding jar (type C). The jar was charged with stainless steel ball bearings ($\frac{1}{2}$ in dia, 3 count) and closed tightly with the appropriate safety closer device under an N₂ atmosphere. The reagents were milled for 15 min at 600 rpm, resulting in a pale yellow-orange solid. The solid was extracted with hexanes and filtered through a medium porosity ground glass frit, providing a yellow-tinted filtrate. Removal of hexanes under vacuum resulted in a turbid

yellow solution that deposited a precipitate as the product was concentrated. Removal of hexanes under vacuum yielded a yellow solid in low yield (12 mg, 4% yield). The solid was dissolved in hexanes, and the solution slowly evaporated over the course of a week to promote crystal growth. As the concentration of the solution increased, it became more orange. X-ray analysis of the crystals revealed them to be the bimetallic [CsKA[']₂] complex. The crystals were highly soluble in C₆D₆, giving a bright red solution. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 0.27 (s, 18H, SiMe₃); 2.71 (d, 2H, *J* = 16.0 Hz, H_(α , γ)); 6.58 (t, 1H, *J* = 16.0 Hz, H_{(β})). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 298K): δ 2.32 (SiMe₃); 73.08 (C_(α , γ)); 153.51 (C_{(β})).

Procedures for X-ray crystallography

$[K_{1.5}Cs_{1.5}(1,3-(SiMe_3)_2C_3H_3)_3]$

A crystal was placed onto the tip of a thin glass optical fiber and mounted on a Bruker SMART APEX II CCD platform diffractometer at the X-ray Crystallographic Facility, Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester (Rochester, NY). Data collection was conducted at 100 K using MoK α radiation (graphite monochromator) [3]. The structure was solved using SHELXT-2014/5 [4] and refined using SHELXL-2014/7 [5]. The space group $P\overline{1}$ was determined based on intensity statistics. A direct-methods solution was calculated which provided most non-hydrogen atoms from the E-map. Full-matrix least squares/difference Fourier cycles were performed which located the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms on the allylic portions of the anions were found from the difference Fourier map and their positions were refined independently from those of their respective bonded carbon atoms. However, their isotropic displacement parameters were refined relative to the (equivalent) anisotropic displacement parameters of their respective bonded carbon atoms. All other hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms with relative isotropic displacement parameters.

[(Benzene)K(1,3-(SiMe₃)₂C₃H₃)], [(toluene)K(1,3-(SiMe₃)₂C₃H₃)]

X-ray crystallographic data were collected on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Supernova diffractometer. Crystal samples were handled under immersion oil and quickly transferred to a cold nitrogen stream. The crystals were kept at 100 K during data collection. Under Olex2 [6], the structure was solved with the SHELXT⁷ structure solution program using direct methods and refined with the SHELXL [5] refinement package using least squares minimization. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters.

General procedures for calculations

All calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09W [8] or Gaussian 16 (Linux) suite of programs [9]. The B3PW91 functional, which incorporates Becke's three-parameter exchange functional [10] with the 1991 gradient-corrected correlation functional of Perdew and Wang [11], was used. For dispersion-corrected calculations, Grimme's D3 correction [12] with additional Becke-Johnson damping was used [13] (Gaussian keyword: empirical dispersion=GD3BJ). For the energy of metal allyl complex formation (M⁺ + [A']⁻ \rightarrow [MA']), the def2-TZVPD basis set was used on all atoms, with the accompanying ECP used for Cs [14]. For all other calculations, the def2-TZVP basis set was used on all atoms, with an ECP on Cs. An ultrafine grid was used for all calculations (Gaussian keyword: int=ultrafine).



Figure S1. Connectivity of a portion of the coordination polymer chain in [(toluene)KA']_{∞}. Only one orientation of the toluene ligand is shown. Approximate bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): K…K'= 5.52; K–C(allyl) (ave) = 3.04; K–ring centroid = 3.01; K…K'…K = 130.



Figure S2. Superposition of a portion of the structures of $[KA']_{\infty}$ and $[KCsA'_2]_{\infty}$ (the unit cell is from $[KCsA'_2]_{\infty}$, From $[KA']_{\infty}$, only the potassium atoms are shown; from $[KCsA'_2]_{\infty}$, only the allyl ligands (as sticks) are shown, and the dotted lines are drawn to where the disordered potassium/cesium sites would be located. The overlap of the metal sites is not exact, and this view is meant to convey only a general sense of the alignment. For exact $M \cdots M' \cdots M'$ angles, see the main article.



Figure S3. Calculated geometries of [MA´]····Me interactions in: a) [KA´]····CH₄; b) [CsA´]····CH₄; c) [KA´]····MeSiMe₂H; d) [CsA´]····MeSiMe₂H. For energies associated with the interactions, see the main article.

Table S1: Crystal data and summary of X-ray data collection

Compound	[K1.5Cs1.5(1,3-(SiMe3)2C3H3)3]	[(benzene)K(1,3-(SiMe ₃) ₂ C ₃ H ₃)]	[(toluene)K(1,3-(SiMe₃)₂C₃H₃)]
Empirical formula	C27H63Cs1.5K1.5Si6	C ₁₅ H ₂₇ KSi ₂	C ₁₆ H ₂₉ KSi ₂
Formula weight	814.33	302.64	316.67
Color of compound	yellow	colorless	colorless
Temperature/K	100.0(5)	100	100.01(10)
Crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	PĪ	<i>P</i> 2 ₁	P21/n
a/Å	11.1741(8)	10.1620(3)	10.2297(11)
b/Å	14.6733(11)	9.93170(18)	10.1301(10)
c/Å	14.7968(11)	10.7700(3)	19.127(2)
α/°	73.9101(15)	90	90
β/°	85.2391(16)	118.137(3)	91.119(10)
γ/°	71.6969(15)	90	90
Volume/Å ³	2213.1(3) Å	958.52(5)	1981.7(4)
Z	2	2	4
ρ _{calc} g/cm ³	1.222	1.049	1.061
µ/mm ⁻¹	1.559	3.486	3.391
<i>F</i> (000)	840	328	688
Crystal size/mm ³	0.25 × 0.16 × 0.14	0.316 × 0.185 × 0.073	0.1 × 0.05 × 0.03
Radiation	ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)	CuKα (λ = 1.54184)	CuKα (λ = 1.54184)
2O range for data collect/°	1.788 to 27.500	4.452 to 73.258	4.625 to 65.078
Index ranges	-14≤ <i>h</i> ≤ 14, -19 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 19, -19 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 19	$-12 \leq h \leq 12, -12 \leq k \leq 12, -12 \leq l \leq 12$	$-12 \leq h \leq 12,-11 \leq k \leq 11,0 \leq l \leq 22$
Reflections collected	29 788	13 244	3365
Independent reflections	10133 [R _{int} = 0.0374]	3762 [R _{int} = 0.0269]	3365
Data/restraints/parameters	10133/43/404	3762/4/181	3365/180
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.046	1.031	1.069
Final R indexes $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0445, wR_2 = 0.1080$	$R_1 = 0.0209, wR_2 = 0.0539$	R ₁ = 0.1055, wR ₂ = 0.3272
Final R indexes [all data]	R1 = 0.0746, wR2 = 0.1234	$R_1 = 0.0210, wR_2 = 0.0541$	R1 = 0.1271, wR2 = 0.3441
Largest diff. peak/hole/e Å-3	0.938/-0.622	1.42/-0.80	1.51/-0.77

References

- 1. Fraenkel, G.; Chow, A.; Winchester, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 1382-1386.
- Armerego, W. L. F.; D. D. Perrin, D. D. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, 4th ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1997; p 16
- 3. APEX3, version 2015.5-2; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2015.
- 4. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXT-2014/5; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2014.
- 5. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-2014/7; Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C, 2015, 71, 3-8.
- Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H. J. Appl. Cryst. 2009, 42, 339-341.
- 7. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, 2015, 71, 3-8.
- 8. Gaussian 09W, Revision D.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- 9. Gaussian 16, Revision B.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2016.
- 10. Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648-5652.
- 11. Perdew, J. P.; Wang, Y. Phys. Rev. B, 1992, 45, 13244-13249.
- 12. Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 132, 154104/1-154104/19.
- 13. Grimme, S; Ehrlich, S; Goerigk, L. J. Comput. Chem., 2011, 32, 1456-1465.
- 14. Rappoport, D; and F. Furche, F. J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 133, 134105/1-134105/11.