Supplemental Table 1: Qu	ality Assessment	t								
		Criterion	1	Criterion 2					Criterion 3	
						Documentation of			Had an arm of	
			Compared	Description of	Description	session attendance,		Description of the	randomzied,	
	Used an	Achieved an	characteristics of	the process of	of the	reporting costs	the training process	qualitative feedback	matched, or	
	intention to	attrition rate	completers and	designing the	enrollment	and/or resource	or qualifications of	from participants or	unmatched	
First Author (year)	treat analysis	of <20%	non-completers	program	program	inputs	personnel	providers	comparison	Quality rating:
Andersen (2010)		•		•		•	•		•	High
Andersen (2014) A	•	•		•		•	•		•	High
Andersen (2014) B		•		•	•	•	•		•	
Andersen (2014) C		•		•	•	•	•		•	High
Andersen (2016)		•		•	•	•			•	High
Bangsbo (2010)				•		•			•	
Barene (2014) A	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	High
Barene (2014) B	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	High
Connolly (2014)				•	•	•	•		•	High
de Sousa (2014)		•		•			•		•	
Filliau (2015)				•	•	•	•			
Knoepfil-Lenzin (2010)		•		•		•	•		•	High
Krustrup (2009)		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	High
Krustrup (2010) A		•		•		•			•	High
Krustrup (2010) B		•		•		•			•	
Krustrup (2013)	•	•		•		•			•	High
Mendham (2015)		•		•	•	•	•		•	High
Milanovic (2015)		•		•		•			•	High
Mohr (2014)		•		•		•	•		•	High
Randers (2010)		•		•	•	•			•	
Randers (2012)			•	•	•	•	•		•	High
Schmidt (2013)				•	•	•			•	
Schmidt (2014)		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	High