

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

Small changes, big gains: a curriculum-wide study of teaching practices and student learning in
undergraduate biology

Laura K. Weir^{1#a*}, Megan K. Barker^{1,#b}, Lisa M. McDonnell^{1,#c}, Natalie G. Schimpf^{1,#d}, Tamara
M. Rodela^{1,#e} and Patricia M. Schulte^{1,#d}

¹Carl Wieman Science Education Initiative, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British
Columbia, Canada

^{#a}Department of Biology, Saint Mary's University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

^{#b}Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia,
Canada

^{#c}Section of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla,
California, United States of America

^{#d}Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

^{#e}Department of Biology, St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, Nova Scotia, Canada

*Corresponding author

E-mail:laura.weir@smu.ca (LW)

23 **Supplementary methods**

24 **Diagnostic test analysis**

25 Discrimination index values were calculated for each question using post-test scores, using the
26 following formula:

$$27 \frac{(\# \text{students correct within upper group}) - (\# \text{students correct within lower group})}{(\# \text{students per group})}$$

28 Upper and lower groups were the top and bottom 27% of the section population [1], based on
29 their entire post-test score. For each test administered, a mean discrimination index was
30 calculated from the discrimination indexes of each question. A discrimination index value can
31 range from -1 to +1, with values higher than +0.2 considered fair to good [2]. The mean
32 discrimination index of all tests ranged from 0.32 to 0.55 (overall mean for all tests 0.42 ± 0.22
33 (SD), median 0.41). Discrimination index summary and analysis results can be found in Table
34 S1 and Fig S1.

35

36 **Effect size calculations**

37 The effect size of the difference between pre- and post-test scores within each class section was
38 calculated using the standardized mean gain according to Lipsey and Wilson [3]. The equation
39 for this effect size (ES) metric is:

$$40 \quad ES = \frac{\bar{G}}{s_g / \sqrt{2(1-r)}}$$

41 where \bar{G} is the mean post-test minus pre-test gain score within a given section, s_g is the standard
42 deviation of the gain scores, and r is the correlation between pre-test and post-test scores.

43 **References**

- 44 1. Kelley TL. The selection of upper and lower groups for the validation of test items. *J Educ*
45 *Psychol.* 1939;30: 17–24.
- 46 2. Ebel RL, Frisbie DA. *Essentials of educational measurement.* Englewood Cliffs, NJ:
47 Prentice-Hall; 1986.
- 48 3. Lipsey MW, Wilson DB. *Practical Meta-Analysis.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
49 Publications; 2001.