

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

Association between adverse childhood experiences and adult diseases in older adults: A comparative crosssectional study in Japan and Finland

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-024609
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Jun-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Amemiya, Airi; University of Tokyo School of Public Health, Department of Health Economics and Epidemiology Research Fujiwara, Takeo; Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Department of Global Health Promotion Shirai, Kokoro Kondo, Katsunori; Chiba University, Center for Preventive Medical Science Oksanen, Tuula; Finnish Institute of Occupational Health Pentti, Jaana; University of Turku, Department of Public Health Vahtera, Jussi; Tyoterveyslaitos
Keywords:	Adverse childhood experience, Life-course approach, International comparison study, Japan, Finland

SCHOLARONE" Manuscripts

1	
2 3 4	
4	
5	
6 7	
6 7 8 9	
9 10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
21 22 23 24 25	
26 27	
27 28	
29	
30	
30 31 32 33	
33	
34 35 36	
36	
37 38	
30 39	
40	
41 42	
43	
44 45	
46	
47	
48 49	
50	
51 52	
53	
54 55	
55 56	
57	
58 59	
60	

Title

Association between adverse childhood experiences and adult diseases in older adults: A comparative cross-sectional study in Japan and Finland Airi Amemiya¹, Takeo Fujiwara*², Kokoro Shirai³, Katsunori Kondo^{4, 5, 6}, Tuula

Oksanen⁷, Jaana Pentti⁸, Jussi Vahtera^{8,9}

1. Department of Social Medicine, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, 2-10-1 Okura, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 157-8535, Japan

2. Department of Global Health Promotion, Tokyo Medical and Dental University,

1-5-45, Yushima, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8510, Japan

 Department of Human Sciences, School of Law and Letters, University of the Ryukyus, 1 Senbaru, Nishihara-cho, Nakagami-gun, Okinawa 903-0213, Japan
 Center for Preventive Medical Sciences, Chiba University, 1-8-1, Inohana, Chuo Ward, Chiba-shi, Chiba, 260-0856, Japan

5. Center for Well-being and Society, Nihon Fukushi University, Okuda, Mihama-cho, Chita-gun, Aichi 470-3295, Japan

 Department of Gerontology and Evaluation Study, Center for Gerontology and Social Science, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, 7-430 Morioka-cho, Obu City, Aichi 474-8511, Japan

7. Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, FI-20032 TYÖTERVEYSLAITOS, Turku,

8. Department of Public Health, University of Turku, 20014 Turun yliopisto, Turku,

ABSTRACT

Objective: We aimed to examine associations between adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and adult diseases in older adults in Japan and Finland.

Design: Cross-sectional comparative study.

Setting: Data were evaluated from a gerontological study in Japan and two public health studies in Finland.

Participants: A total of 13,123 adults from Japan (mean age, 69.5 years) and 10,353 adults (mean age, 64.4 years) were included in this study. Logistic regression was used to examine the associations of each, any, and the number of ACEs (parental divorce, fear in the family, and poverty in childhood) with poor self-rated health, cancer, heart disease or stroke, diabetes mellitus, smoking, and body mass index. Models were adjusted for sex, age, education, marital status, and working status.

Results: Half of the respondents in Japan and 37% of those in Finland reported having experienced at least one of the measured ACEs. Number of ACEs was associated with self-rated health in both countries, and the point estimates were similar (odds ratio [OR]: 1.35, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.25-1.46 in Japan; OR: 1.34, 95% CI: 1.27-1.41 in Finland). Number of ACEs was associated with the prevalence of cancer (OR: 1.20, 95% CI: 1.04-1.38 in Japan; OR: 1.13, 95% CI: 0.99-1.28 in Finland), heart

disease or stroke (OR: 1.10, 95% CI: 1.01-1.20 in Japan; OR 1.11, 95% CI: 0.98-1.25: in Finland), diabetes mellitus (OR: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.995-1.17 in Japan; OR 1.17, 95% CI: 1.06-1.28 in Finland), current smoking (OR: 1.11, 95% CI: 1.02-1.22 in Japan; OR: 1.15, 95% CI: 1.06-1.26 in Finland), and an increase in body mass index in both countries.

Conclusions: Associations between ACEs and self-rated health, adult diseases, and health behaviours were similar in Japan and Finland. This suggests that the impact of ACEs on health is noteworthy and consistent across cultural and social environments.

Strength and limitations of this study

- This is an international comparative study that investigated the impact of adverse childhood experiments on the health of older adults in Japan and Finland.
- We used data from the Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study from Japan, and the Finnish Public Sector Study and Health and Social Support Study from Finland.
- The results suggest that ACEs have a remarkable impact on health, a finding that is consistent across cultural and social environments.

INTRODUCTION

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), including long-term financial difficulties, parental divorce, and fear of a family member,[1] are increasingly recognised as determinants of unhealthy behaviours (e.g., obesity,[1, 2] alcohol consumption, smoking, and lower levels of physical activity[1]), adult diseases (e.g., cardiovascular disease, diabetes,[1, 3] stroke, cancer,[1] and depression[1, 2]), and even early death.[4] However, it is not known whether the impact of ACEs on adult health varies by country, although the pathways linking childhood adversities with adult health likely depend on cultural or social environments.[5–7] Therefore, international comparisons of countries with different cultural and/or social environments in childhood but similar welfare state regimes may provide further understanding of the mechanisms underlying ACEs and adult health.

Japan and Finland are both members of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), employ a universal healthcare system,[8, 9] and provide free education to those aged 6 to 15 years.[10] According to the World Happiness Report, the level of social support received (as measured by having someone to count on in times of trouble) is relatively high in both countries (92.3% and 94.8% in 2015, in Japan and Finland, respectively).[11] However, the two countries differ in

terms of equality (e.g., the Gini coefficient was 0.33 in Japan [2012] and 0.26 in Finland [2015]). Japan ranked 22th and Finland ranked 7th, in terms of equality, out of 37 OECD countries in 2015.[12]

The purpose of this study was, therefore, to examine the associations and related risk factors between ACEs and adult diseases in older adults in Japan and Finland.

METHODS

Sample

The data in this study were collected from surveys conducted among older individuals in Finland and Japan. The Japanese data were from the Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study (JAGES), which comprises community-dwelling individuals aged 65 years and older from 30 municipalities (in 14 municipalities the entire population was surveyed, whereas in the remaining 16 municipalities, random sampling was performed) who were not eligible to receive benefits from public long-term care insurance services. The data used in this study were from participants (n = 137,736, response rate = 71%) aged \geq 65 years, one-fifth of whom were questioned for information on adverse experiences in childhood (n = 25,928) in 2013. Participants with missing data on age, sex, ACEs,

BMJ Open

self-rated health (SRH), body mass index (BMI), and smoking were excluded.

The Finnish data were drawn from two prospective cohort studies: the Finnish Public Sector (FPS) study and the Health and Social Support (HeSSup) study. The FPS study included employees representing a wide range of occupations working in 10 towns and six hospital districts. The FPS data used in this study were derived from employed and retired participants in the 2008/2009 survey, and included information on self-reported ACEs (n = 42,877, response rate = 69%).[13] For this study, all FPS study respondents aged ≥ 60 years (n = 7169) were selected. The HeSSup study targeted a sample representative of the Finnish population in four age groups (20–24, 30–34, 40– 44, and 50-54 years), in 1998.[14] In the 2012 follow-up survey, information on self-reported ACEs was obtained from 11,924 participants (response rate = 78%). Of them, those in the oldest age group (64–68 years) were selected (n = 3184). The two Finnish cohorts were pooled. The studies together included 13,123 (6,214 men and 6,909 women) participants from Japan and 10,353 (3,201 men and 7,152 women) participants from Finland.

The JAGES was approved by the Ethics Committee for Research on Human Subjects at Nihon Fukushi University, Japan (No. 10–05) and the Ethics Committee for Medical Research at the University of Tokyo (No. 10555). The FPS study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District and HeSSup study by the joint Ethics Committee of the University of Turku and the Turku University Central Hospital.

Measurement of adverse childhood experiences

The questions related to ACEs were somewhat different between the three studies, but the variables were harmonised. In short, we assessed three ACEs: (1) parental divorce (the FPS study, HeSSup study, and JAGES), (2) fear of a family member (FPS and HeSSup studies)/witness of domestic violence or physical abuse (JAGES), and (3) financial difficulties in the family (FPS study, HeSSup study, and JAGES).

In the JAGES, we assessed ACEs using four questions modified from Felitti's original ACE study[1] and the World Mental Health survey in Japan.[15] Respondents were asked if they had experienced the following adversities in their childhood (yes/no): parents' divorce/separation, financial difficulties in the family, being witness to domestic violence, or physical abuse. We categorised those who responded having experienced "being witness to domestic violence" and/or "physical abuse" as having "frequent fear in a family". These ACEs measures have been shown to predict the number of remaining teeth[16] and higher functional limitation in Japan.[17] In the FPS

and HeSSup studies, we assessed ACEs using three survey questions modified from Statistics Finland's Survey of Living Conditions.[18] Respondents were asked whether they had experienced the following adversities: parent's divorce/separation, long-term financial difficulties in the family, and frequent fear of a family member (the response categories "no", "yes", and "cannot say" were coded as missing variables), and the findings have been used in other studies to predict the presence of coronary heart disease,[19] depression,[19] and non-adherence to statin therapy.[7]

For the present study, the three ACEs were analysed separately and also as a summary variable (0 to 3). For the summary variable, missing data were coded as not having that specific ACE.

Measurement of adult health and health behaviours

Questions on adult health and health behaviours were somewhat different between the countries. In the JAGES, SRH was measured using the question: "How do you feel about your current health status: excellent, good, fair, or poor?" Responses were recoded into dichotomous response variables (fair/poor as 0, and excellent/good as 1). A history of being diagnosed with cancer, heart diseases or stroke, or diabetes mellitus was self-reported (response categories: "yes" and "no"). BMI was calculated as participants'

self-reported weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. The smoking status (current, former, or never) was based on replies to survey questionnaires.

In the FPS and HeSSup studies, SRH was measured through the question: "How is your current health status?" with five response categories (from 1 [poor] to 5 [excellent]). The responses "moderate", "good", and "excellent" were categorised as good (1), and the other response options as poor (0). Information on cancer was derived from the National Cancer Registry, and prevalent cardiovascular disease (heart disease or stroke) and diabetes mellitus were defined based on the special reimbursement for the medication for these diseases, as obtained from the Social Insurance Institution of Finland.[20] BMI was calculated from self-reported weight and height. The smoking status (current, former, and never) was based on survey responses.

Covariates

Education was divided into three levels: (i) 9 years or less, referring to a comprehensive school education in Finland and junior high school education in Japan, (ii) 9–12 years, describing post-compulsory secondary general academic and vocational education in Finland and high school or technical college education in Japan, and (iii) 12 years or more, referring to a university degree in both countries. Marital status was divided into

BMJ Open

four categories: (i) single, never married and non-cohabiting, (ii) widowed, (iii) divorced, and (iv) married or cohabiting with a partner. Working status was divided into two categories: (i) working and (ii) retired or never worked (Japan). Missing values were treated as dummy variables.

Statistical analysis 🗹

Logistic regression analysis was performed to examine the association of each ACE, any ACE, and the total number of ACEs with health outcomes. Linear regression analysis was used for BMI. Multinomial logistic regression was used for smoking status. Model 1 was adjusted for age and sex. Model 2 was further adjusted for education, marital status, and working status.

The odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were calculated. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata (ver. 13.1; StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the distribution of the variables for each country. The prevalence rates of parental divorce, fear in the family, and childhood poverty were 3%, 9%, and 47%,

respectively, in Japan. In Finland, these proportions were 9%, 13%, and 29%, respectively. Half of the respondents in Japan and 37% of those in Finland reported having experienced at least one ACE. The mean age of the participants and the proportion of men were higher in Japan than in Finland.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants

					Finland	(pooled	
		Japan (JA	GES) (N	FPS and HeSSup			
			= 13,	123)	studies) (N =	
			10,3				
			N or	% or	N or	% or	
			mean	SD	mean	SD	
Parental divorce			336	2.6	958	9.3	
Fear in family			1195	9.1	1348	13.0	
Childhood poverty			6135	46.8	3013	29.1	
Any adverse childhood experience			6561	50.0	3852	37.2	
Total number of adverse childhood		0	6562	50.0	6501	62.8	
experiences		Ŭ	0002	50.0	0001	02.0	
		1	5517	42.0	2634	25.4	
		2	983	7.5	969	9.4	
		3	61	0.5	249	2.4	
Age			69.5	2.8	64.4	2.9	
Sex	male		6214	47.4	3201	30.9	
	female		6909	52.7	7152	69.1	
SRH	poor/fair		1770	13.5	3780	36.5	
Cancer			460	3.5	388	3.8	
	missing				54	0.5	

BMJ Open

Heart disease or stroke		1353	10.3	466	4.5
	missing			54	0.5
Diabetes mellitus		1738	13.2	714	6.9
	missing			54	0.5
BMI mean		23.0	3.1	26.7	4.4
Smoking	never	9221	70.3	6973	67.4
	former	2221	16.9	2444	23.6
	current	1681	12.8	936	9.0
Education	<9 years	4395	33.5	1868	18.0
	10-12 years	5476	41.7	3168	30.6
	12+ years	3103	23.7	5234	50.6
	missing	149	1.1	83	0.8
Marital status	single	326	2.5	574	5.5
	divorced	535	4.1	1414	13.7
	widowed	1606	12.2	741	7.2
	married/cohabit	10441	79.6	7557	73.0
	missing	215	1.6	67	0.7
Working status	not working	8604	65.6	3092	29.9
	working	4062	31.0	7230	69.8
	missing	457	3.5	31	0.3

JAGES; Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study, FPS; Finnish Public Sector, HeSSup; Health and Social Support, SD; standard deviation, SRH; self-rated health, BMI; body mass index

As for SRH, the rate of "poor or fair" was higher in Finland than in Japan (37%

and 14%, respectively). The prevalence of cancer was similar in both countries; however, that of heart disease or stroke and diabetes mellitus was higher in Japan. As for BMI, the mean BMI was higher in Finland than in Japan. The distribution of smoking status was similar. In the case of socioeconomic status, 50% of the people in Finland and a quarter of those in Japan were educated for 12 years or more. Two-thirds of the participants in Finland were currently working, whereas two-thirds of those in Japan were currently not working.

Table 2 shows the association between ACEs and SRH, and diseases (e.g., cancer, heart disease or stroke, and diabetes mellitus). SRH was associated with each type of ACE and the number of ACEs, for both countries. Interestingly, the point estimates were similar. For example, the OR of the number of ACEs for poor/fair SRH was 1.35 (95% CI: 1.25-1.46) in Japan and 1.34 (95% CI: 1.27-1.41) in Finland, after adjusting the covariates (Model 2). The ORs of the number of ACEs for cancer, heart disease or stroke, and diabetes mellitus were also similar in both studies in Model 2.

BMJ Open

			54	pan			FI	nland	
		Model 1		Model 2		Model 1		Model 2	
		OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
SRH (poor/fair)	Parental divorce	1.40	1.05 - 1.86	1.34	1.004 - 1.80	1.37	1.20 - 1.57	1.30	1.13 - 1.4
	Fear in family	1.77	1.52 - 2.05	1.70	1.46 - 1.98	1.65	1.47 - 1.85	1.56	1.39 - 1.7
	Childhood poverty	1.46	1.32 - 1.62	1.32	1.19 - 1.47	1.68	1.54 - 1.83	1.60	1.46 - 1.7
	Any ACE	1.50	1.36 - 1.67	1.37	1.23 - 1.52	1.66	1.53 - 1.80	1.60	1.47 - 1.7
	Number of ACEs	1.43	1.33 - 1.54	1.35	1.25 - 1.46	1.39	1.32 - 1.46	1.34	1.27 - 1.4
									-
Cancer	Parental divorce	1.32	0.78 - 2.24	1.40	0.82 - 2.38	1.16	0.82 - 1.62	1.19	0.84 - 1.0
	Fear in family	0.99	0.72 - 1.37	1.01	0.73 - 1.40	1.36	1.03 - 1.80	1.40	1.06 - 1.
	Childhood poverty	1.26	1.04 - 1.52	1.31	1.08 - 1.59	1.05	0.84 - 1.32	1.07	0.86 - 1.
	Any ACE	1.26	1.04 - 1.52	1.31	1.08 - 1.59	1.11	0.90 - 1.36	1.13	0.91 - 1.
	Number of ACEs	1.16	1.008 - 1.33	1.20	1.04 - 1.38	1.11	0.97 - 1.26	1.13	0.99 - 1.
									-
Heart disease or stroke	Parental divorce	1.30	0.94 - 1.81	1.26	0.91 - 1.76	0.93	0.66 - 1.32	0.90	0.63 - 1.
	Fear in family	1.13	0.94 - 1.37	1.10	0.91 - 1.32	1.16	0.88 - 1.54	1.11	0.84 - 1.
	Childhood poverty	1.16	1.03 - 1.30	1.11	0.99 - 1.25	1.35	1.10 - 1.64	1.30	1.07 - 1.
	Any ACE	1.15	1.02 - 1.29	1.10	0.97 - 1.24	1.32	1.09 - 1.59	1.28	1.05 - 1.

	Number of ACEs	1.14	1.04 - 1.24	1.10	1.01 - 1.20	1.14	1.01 - 1.28	1.11	0.98 - 1.25
Diabetes mellitus	Parental divorce	1.29	0.96 - 1.73	1.30	0.97 - 1.74	1.37	1.07 - 1.75	1.34	1.05 - 1.72
	Fear in family	1.11	0.94 - 1.32	1.12	0.95 - 1.33	1.46	1.18 - 1.80	1.42	1.15 - 1.76
	Childhood poverty	1.07	0.97 - 1.19	1.06	0.95 - 1.18	1.15	0.97 - 1.35	1.12	0.95 - 1.32
	Any ACE	1.12	1.01 - 1.24	1.11	1.001 - 1.24	1.21	1.04 - 1.42	1.19	1.02 - 1.39
	Number of ACEs	1.08	1.001 - 1.17	1.08	0.995 - 1.17	1.18	1.08 - 1.30	1.17	1.06 - 1.28

"Any" denotes the presence of at least 1 ACE.

 ACE; Adverse childhood experience, OR; odds ratio, CI; confidence interval; SRH, self-rated health

aucation, marma on regression models. Model 1: Adjusted for age and sex. Model 2: Further adjusted for education, marital status, and working status.

Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) were derived from logistic regression models.

Table 3 shows the associations between ACEs and smoking. Former smoking and current smoking were positively associated with the number of ACEs for both counties, and the ORs were similar. Table 4 shows the association between ACEs and BMI. BMI was positively associated with each type of ACE, and the number of ACEs in Finland. This association was also observed in Japan; however, the coefficients in Japan were lower than in to oper teries only

Finland.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Table 3. Association between adverse childhood experiences and smoking

		Japan				Finland					
		Ν	Model 1		Model 2		Model 1		Model 2		
		OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI		
Former smoking (ref: never)	Parental divorce	1.13	0.82 - 1.57	1.12	0.81 - 1.56	1.54	1.31 - 1.81	1.48	1.26 - 1.74		
	Fear in family	1.14	0.96 - 1.36	1.11	0.93 - 1.32	1.45	1.26 - 1.67	1.38	1.20 - 1.60		
	Childhood poverty	1.14	1.02 - 1.26	1.13	1.02 - 1.26	1.42	1.28 - 1.57	1.37	1.24 - 1.53		
	Any ACE	1.13	1.02 - 1.26	1.12	1.01 - 1.25	1.45	1.31 - 1.60	1.40	1.27 - 1.55		
	Number of ACEs	1.11	1.03 - 1.21	1.11	1.02 - 1.20	1.30	1.22 - 1.38	1.26	1.19 - 1.3		
Current smoking (ref: never)	Parental divorce	1.46	1.06 - 2.01	1.32	0.95 - 1.82	1.64	1.32 - 2.03	1.49	1.20 - 1.85		
	Fear in family	1.29	1.07 - 1.55	1.16	0.96 - 1.40	1.45	1.19 - 1.76	1.32	1.09 - 1.61		
	Childhood poverty	1.20	1.07 - 1.35	1.10	0.98 - 1.24	1.15	0.99 - 1.40	1.07	0.92 - 1.25		
	Any ACE	1.24	1.10 - 1.39	1.13	1.01 - 1.27	1.33	1.16 - 1.53	1.24	1.08 - 1.43		
	Number of ACEs	1.20	1.10 - 1.31	1.11	1.02 - 1.22	1.22	1.12 - 1.33	1.15	1.06 - 1.20		

ACE; Adverse childhood experience, RR; Relative risk ratio, CI; confidence interval; ref, reference

Model 1: Adjusted for age and sex. Model 2: Further adjusted for education, marital status, and working status.

Relative risk ratios (95% confidence intervals) were derived from multinomial logistic regression models.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
12 13 14 15 16
15
16
17
18
18 10
19 20
20
20
))
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
40 41
42
43
44
45
46

47

Table 4. Association between adverse childhood experiences and body mass index

		Japa	an		Finla	nd		
	Ν	1odel 1	Ν	Model 2	Model 1 Model 2			odel 2
	Coef.	95% CI	Coef.	95% CI	Coef.	95% CI	Coef.	95% CI
Parental divorce	0.18	-0.15 - 0.52	0.18	-0.15 - 0.51	0.60	0.31 - 0.89	0.52	0.23 - 0.81
Fear in family	0.22	0.03 - 0.40	0.20	0.02 - 0.39	0.49	0.24 - 0.75	0.40	0.15 - 0.65
Childhood poverty	0.15	0.04 - 0.25	0.07	-0.03 - 0.18	0.49	0.30 - 0.67	0.40	0.22 - 0.59
Any ACE	0.14	0.03 - 0.24	0.07	-0.04 - 0.18	0.40	0.23 - 0.57	0.33	0.15 - 0.50
Number of ACEs	0.14	0.06 - 0.22	0.09	0.01 - 0.18	0.36	0.25 - 0.47	0.30	0.19 - 0.41

"Any" denotes the presence of at least 1 ACE.

ACE; Adverse childhood experience, Coef.; coefficient, CI; confidence interval; BMI, body mass index.

Model 1: Adjusted for age and sex. Model 2: Further adjusted for education, marital status, and working status.

Coefficients (95% confidence intervals) were derived from regression models.

Lien Only

1	DISCUSSION

	BMJ Open
1	DISCUSSION
2	This study showed that elderly individuals in Japan and Finland who had experienced ACEs
) 3	had worse health profiles (sub-optimal SRH and presence of chronic diseases) and more often
2 4	had biological and behavioural risk factors (high BMI and smoking) than those with no ACEs.
5 5	The strength of these associations was also similar. The only exception was BMI, which
, 3 6	showed a more robust association in the Finnish cohorts than in the Japanese cohort.
7	Our finding, highlighting the association between ACEs and worse adult health, is
8	consistent with those of other studies.[1, 21, 22] Importantly, the consistency of our results in
9	harmonised cohorts from two different countries suggests that childhood adversities affect
3 9 10)	health similarly in these two societies. These associations were observed in older adults from
2 11	the two countries, born during or soon after World War II (WWII). Although both Japan and
12	Finland were among the countries that lost WWII, Finland, in contrast to Japan, was not
	occupied and suffered less damage than Japan, during and after the war. Thus, the adversities
) 14	experienced by elderly Japanese adults in their childhood are likely to have been more severe
15	than those experienced by elderly Finnish adults. In spite of the differences in these histories,
5 5 16	as well as the cultural and social environments of older adults in these countries, [23, 24] it is
3 9 17	interesting to note that the associations observed were similar. A potential explanation could
18	be the presence of universal healthcare systems in these countries, which offer adequate
19	medical treatment for diseases over one's life span, and likely lead to the attenuation of the
3	
)	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

impact of ACEs on health later in life. In addition, the presence of equal free educational opportunities in both countries may explain the similar impact of ACEs on adult health, as educational attainment can attenuate the impact of ACEs on later health.[25] The presence of high social support in both countries may also attenuate the impact of ACEs on later $\mathbf{24}$ health.[26] The effect of ACEs on health, in different educational or healthcare systems, requires further study. Similarly, ACEs were associated with smoking, which is consistent with the findings of a previously conducted international comparison research in eight eastern European countries^[5] and other studies in the United States (US),^[1, 27] United Kingdom (UK),^[28] and Finland.[29] The eastern European comparison study reported that ACEs were associated with smoking among adults aged 14-66 years.[5] The relationships between ACEs and smoking have predominantly been investigated among adults towards middle age; however, few studies have examined these relationships using large community samples of older adults. The current study showed that ACEs had an effect on smoking among older individuals, even though the association may have been underestimated because of early death due to smoking and ACEs (e.g., survival bias). Our results suggest that ACEs have a consistent long-term effect on smoking among older adults despite differences in the price of cigarettes or smoking advertisements, between the countries. ACEs were associated with an increase in BMI in both countries; however, the

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
18
19
20
21
22 23
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
32 33
34
35
36
37
38 39
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
40 47
48
49 50
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

39 impact of ACEs was higher in Finland. This association was consistent with that observed in a previously conducted study, which showed that ACEs elevated the risk of obesity in the US,[1, 40 2] UK [28] and Finland [30] The obesity rate among older individuals was higher in Finland 41 42than in Japan in the current study; thus, we used continuous BMI as an outcome. The presence 43of sampling bias in the current study may also have led to the lower impact of ACEs on BMI in Japan, as the JAGES includes only individuals without nursing care. 44 There are several limitations to this study. First, this was a cross-sectional study 45conducted among older adults; thus, differential recall and selection bias cannot be ruled out, 4647especially if those with a disease were more likely to recall ACEs or if those with the most difficult ACEs did not participate. Second, these results, although based on harmonised 4849 measures, were derived from highly developed countries. Third, we did not assess other ACEs such as sexual abuse, neglect, or childhood neighbourhood deprivation. Further studies are 50warranted to investigate the association between other ACEs and adult disease in different 5152cultural settings, and in low- and middle-income countries. In conclusion, we observed that ACEs were associated in a similar manner with SRH, 53diseases, and smoking, in both Japan and Finland. The impact of ACEs on BMI was stronger 5455in Finland than in Japan. These results suggest that the association between ACEs and health 56is noteworthy, and is consistent even in countries with different historical and cultural

57 heritages.

BMJ Open

58	
59	Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
60	
61	Funding: This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science's
62	KAKENHI Grants (grant number JP15H01972 and JP16K16633), Health Labour Sciences
63	Research Grants (grant number H28-Choju-Ippan-002), Research and Development Grants
64	for Longevity Science from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development
65	(AMED), Personal Health Record Utilization Project from AMED, Research Funding for
66	Longevity Sciences from the National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology (grant number
67	29-42), and the World Health Organization Centre for Health Development (WHO Kobe
68	Centre) (WHO APW 2017/713981). Jussi Vahtera was supported by NordForsk (Nordic
69	Research Program on Health and Welfare). Tuula Oksanen received funding from the Finnish
70	Work Environment Fund (grant number 117094).
71	
72	Authors' contribution: TF conceived the design of the work. KK and JV obtained the data.
73	TF and JP analysed data. All authors interpret the findings. AA wrote the first draft of the
74	manuscript. TF, JV and TO revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors
75	approved the final version of the manuscript.
76	

77 References

Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and 1. 78household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse 7980 Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. Med 1998;14:245-8. Am Prev 81 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797(98)00017-8 82 2. Anda RF, Felitti VJ, Bremner JD, et al. The enduring effects of abuse and related adverse experiences in childhood. a convergence of evidence from neurobiology and 83 84 epidemiology. Eur Arch *Psychiatry* Clin Neurosci 2006;256:174-86. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2214.2006.00614 2.x 85 3. Nandi A, Glymour MM, Kawachi I, VanderWeele TJ. Using marginal structural 86 87 models to estimate the direct effect of adverse childhood social conditions on onset of heart diabetes, disease, and stroke. Epidemiology 2012;23:223-32. 88 https://doi.org/10.1097/ede.0b013e31824570bd 89 4. Brown DW, Anda RF, Tiemeier H, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and the risk 90 of premature mortality. Prev Med 2009;37:389-96. 91Am J https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2009.06.021 92Bellis MA, Hughes K, Leckenby N, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and 93 5. 94 associations with health-harming behaviours in young adults: surveys in eight eastern 95 European countries. Bull World Health 2014;92:641-55. Organ

1 2

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52 53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

96	https://doi.org/10.2471/blt.13.129247
97	6. Anda RF, Butchart A, Felitti VJ, Brown DW. Building a framework for global
98	surveillance of the public health implications of adverse childhood experiences. Am J Prev
99	Med 2010;39:93-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2010.03.015
100	7. Halonen JI, Stenholm S, Pentti J, et al. Childhood psychosocial adversity and adult
101	neighborhood disadvantage as predictors of cardiovascular disease: A cohort study.
102	Circulation 2015;132:371-9. https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.115.015392
103	8. Shibuya K, Hashimoto H, Ikegami N, et al. Future of Japan's system of good health
104	at low cost with equity: beyond universal coverage. Lancet 2011;378:1265-73.
105	https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(11)61098-2
106	9. Vuorenkosky L, Mladovsky P, Mossialos E. Finland: Health system review. <i>Health</i>
107	<i>Syst Transit</i> 2008;10:1–168.
108	10. Cummings WK. Education and equality in Japan. Princeton: Princeton University
109	Press; 2014.
110	11. John Helliwell, Richard Layard, Sachs J. The World Happiness Report: New York:
111	Sustainable Development Solutions Network. 2016. <u>http://worldhappiness.report/</u> . Accessed
112	18 Nov 2016.
113	12. OECD: OECD Income Distribution Database (IDD): Gini, poverty, income, methods

114 and concepts. 2016. http://www.oecd.org/social/income-distribution-database.htm. Accessed

115 18 Jul 2017.

2
3
4
5
2
6
7
8
9
10
10
11
12
13
14
14
15
16
17
18
10
19
20
21
22
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
23
24
25
26
20
27
28
29
30
21
31
32
33
34
34 35
55
36
37
38
39
10
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

Laaksonen E, Martikainen P, Lahelma E, et al. Socioeconomic circumstances and 116 13. common mental disorders among Finnish and British public sector employees: evidence from 117118 the Helsinki Health Study and the Whitehall II Study. Int J Epidemiol 2007;36:776-86. 119 https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dym074 120 14. Feldt T, Lintula H, Suominen S, Koskenvuo M, Vahtera J, Kivimaki M. Structural 121validity and temporal stability of the 13-item sense of coherence scale: prospective evidence 122from the population-based HeSSup study. Oual Life Res 2007;16:483-93. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-006-9130-z 12315. Fujiwara T, Kawakami N. Association of childhood adversities with the first onset of 124mental disorders in Japan: results from the World Mental Health Japan, 2002-2004. J 125126Psychiatr Res 2011;45:481-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.08.002 Matsuyama Y, Fujiwara T, Aida J, et al. Experience of childhood abuse and later 12716. 128number of remaining teeth in older Japanese: a life-course study from Japan Gerontological 129Evaluation Study project. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2016;44:531-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdoe.12246 130 Amemiya A, Fujiwara T, Murayama H, Tani Y, Kondo K. Adverse childhood 13117. 132experiences and higher-level functional limitations among older Japanese people: results from 133 the JAGES Biol Sci Med Sci 2017;2:261-6. study. JGerontol A

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 20
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

60

134https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glx097. 18. Rahkonen O, Lahelma E, Huuhka M. Past or present? Childhood living conditions 135and current socioeconomic status as determinants of adult health. Soc Sci Med 1997;44:327-136 36. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-9536(96)00102-5 137Korkeila J, Vahtera J, Korkeila K, et al. Childhood adversities as predictors of 138 19. incident coronary heart disease and cerebrovascular disease. Heart 2010;96:298-303. 139https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2009.188250 14020. Korhonen MJ, Halonen JI, Brookhart MA, et al. Childhood adversity as a predictor 141142of non-adherence to statin therapy in adulthood. PloS One 2015;10:e0127638. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127638 143Furu K, Wettermark B, Andersen M, Martikainen JE, Almarsdottir AB, Sorensen HT. 14421. The Nordic countries as a cohort for pharmacoepidemiological research. Basic Clin 145146Pharmacol Toxicol 2010;106:86–94. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-7843.2009.00494.x 14722. Boynton-Jarrett R, Ryan LM, Berkman LF, Wright RJ. Cumulative violence exposure 148and self-rated health: longitudinal study of adolescents in the United States. Pediatrics 2008;122:961-70. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-3063 14923. 150Chartier MJ, Walker JR, Naimark B. Separate and cumulative effects of adverse 151childhood experiences in predicting adult health and health care utilization. Child Abuse Negl

152 2010;34:454–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2009.09.020

153	24. Fujiwara T, Kondo K, Shirai K, Suzuki K, Kawachi I. Associations of childhood
154	socioeconomic status and adulthood height with functional limitations among Japanese older
155	people: results from the JAGES 2010 Project. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2014; 7:852-9.
156	https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glt189
157	25. Tani Y, Fujiwara T, Kondo N, Noma H, Sasaki Y, Kondo K. Childhood
158	socioeconomic status and onset of depression among Japanese older adults: The JAGES
159	Prospective Cohort Study. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2016;24:717–26.
160	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2016.06.001
161	26. Kuh D, Shlomo YB, Ezra S. A life course approach to chronic disease epidemiology.
162	Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004.
163	27. Runsten S, Korkeila K, Koskenvuo M, Rautava P, Vainio O, Korkeila J. Can social
164	support alleviate inflammation associated with childhood adversities? Nord J Psychiatry
165	2014;68:137-44. https://doi.org/10.3109/08039488.2013.786133
166	28. Anda RF, Croft JB, Felitti VJ, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and smoking
167	during adolescence and adulthood. JAMA 1999;282:1652–8.
168	https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.282.17.1652
169	29. Bellis MA, Lowey H, Leckenby N, Hughes K, Harrison D. Adverse childhood
170	experiences: retrospective study to determine their impact on adult health behaviours and
171	health outcomes in a UK population. J Public Health 2013;1:81–91.
	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Kestila L, Koskinen S, Martelin T, et al. Influence of parental education, childhood

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10)
11	
12	2
13	3
14	1
15	-
1.	
16	5
17	7
18	3
10	Ś
19	1
20)
21	
22	,
23	-
2:	5
24	1
22 24 25 26 27 28 29	5
24	-
20)
27	7
28	3
20	2
23	
S	,
31	
32)
33	2
34	ł
35	5
36	5
37	7
38	3
39)
4(
41	
42	
43	3
44	
45	
46	5
47	7
	3
49	1
50	
51	
	2
J∠ -	
	3
54	1

60

172 https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdt038.

30.

173

adversities, and current living conditions on daily smoking in early adulthood. Eur J Public 174Health 2006;16:617-26. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckl054 175Kestilä L, Rahkonen O, Martelin T, Lahti-Koski M, Koskinen S. Do childhood social 17631. Jbesh J.1177/1403494. 177circumstances affect overweight and obesity in early adulthood? Scand J Public Health 2009;37:206-19. https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494808100827 178

BMJ Open

Association between adverse childhood experiences and adult diseases in older adults: A comparative crosssectional study in Japan and Finland

of Health Economics and Epidemio	
Article Type: Research Date Submitted by the Author: 19-Oct-2018 Complete List of Authors: Amemiya, Airi; University of Tokyo of Health Economics and Epidemio Fujiwara, Takeo; Tokyo Medical an Global Health Promotion Shirai, Kokoro; Osaka University, I Kondo, Katsunori; Chiba University, Science Oksanen, Tuula; Finnish Institute or Pentti, Jaana; University of Turku, Vahtera, Jussi; Tyoterveyslaitos Primary Subject Heading Epidemiology Diabetes and endocrinology Cardia	
Date Submitted by the Author: 19-Oct-2018 Complete List of Authors: Amemiya, Airi; University of Tokyo of Health Economics and Epidemio Fujiwara, Takeo; Tokyo Medical an Global Health Promotion Shirai, Kokoro; Osaka University, I Kondo, Katsunori; Chiba University, Science Oksanen, Tuula; Finnish Institute of Pentti, Jaana; University of Turku, Vahtera, Jussi; Tyoterveyslaitos Primary Subject Heading Epidemiology Diabetes and endocrinology Cardin	
Author: 19-Oct-2018 Complete List of Authors: Amemiya, Airi; University of Tokyo of Health Economics and Epidemio Fujiwara, Takeo; Tokyo Medical an Global Health Promotion Shirai, Kokoro; Osaka University, I Kondo, Katsunori; Chiba University, Science Oksanen, Tuula; Finnish Institute o Pentti, Jaana; University of Turku, Vahtera, Jussi; Tyoterveyslaitos Primary Subject Heading Epidemiology Diabetes and endocrinology Cardia	
of Health Economics and Epidemio Fujiwara, Takeo; Tokyo Medical an Global Health Promotion Shirai, Kokoro; Osaka University, I Kondo, Katsunori; Chiba University Science Oksanen, Tuula; Finnish Institute o Pentti, Jaana; University of Turku, Vahtera, Jussi; Tyoterveyslaitos Heading	
Heading: Epidemiology	d Dental University, Department of Department of Public Health , Center for Preventive Medical f Occupational Health
Secondary Subject Heading	
Smoking and tobacco	ovascular medicine, Oncology,
Keywords: Adverse childhood experience, Life comparison study, Japan, Finland	-course approach, International

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Title:

Association between adverse childhood experiences and adult diseases in older

adults: A comparative cross-sectional study in Japan and Finland

Airi Amemiya¹, Takeo Fujiwara^{*2}, Kokoro Shirai³, Katsunori Kondo^{4, 5, 6}, Tuula Oksanen⁷, Jaana Pentti⁸, Jussi Vahtera^{8, 9}

1. Department of Social Medicine, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, 2-10-1 Okura, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 157-8535, Japan

2. Department of Global Health Promotion, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, 1-5-

45, Yushima, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8510, Japan

Department of Public Health, Graduate School of Medicine, Associate Professor, 2-2
 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka-shi, 565-0871 Osaka, Japan

4. Center for Preventive Medical Sciences, Chiba University, 1-8-1, Inohana, Chuo Ward, Chiba-shi, Chiba, 260-0856, Japan

5. Center for Well-being and Society, Nihon Fukushi University, Okuda, Mihama-cho, Chita-gun, Aichi 470-3295, Japan

 Department of Gerontology and Evaluation Study, Center for Gerontology and Social Science, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, 7-430 Morioka-cho, Obu City, Aichi 474-8511, Japan

7. Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, FI-20032 TYÖTERVEYSLAITOS, Turku,

ent of Public Health, Lu University Hospital, Kiinamyllynkatu . Corresponding author: Takeo Fujiwara 1:3-3417-2663 <u>hth@tmd.ac.jp</u> 8. Department of Public Health, University of Turku, 20014 Turun yliopisto, Turku,

 $\mathbf{2}$

ABSTRACT

Objective: We aimed to examine associations between adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and adult diseases in older adults in Japan and Finland.

Design: Cross-sectional comparative study.

Setting: Data were evaluated from a gerontological study in Japan and two public health studies in Finland.

Participants: A total of 13,123 adults (mean age, 69.5 years) from Japan and 10,353 adults (mean age, 64.4 years) from Finland were included in this study. Logistic regression was used to examine the associations of each of, any of, and the cumulative number of ACEs (parental divorce, fear of a family member, and poverty in childhood; treated as ordered categorical variables) with poor self-rated health, cancer, heart disease or stroke, diabetes mellitus, smoking, and body mass index. Models were adjusted for sex, age, education, marital status, and working status.

Results: Of the respondents, 50% of those in Japan and 37% of those in Finland reported having experienced at least one of the measured ACEs. Number of ACEs was associated with poor self-rated health in both countries, and the point estimates were similar (odds ratio [OR]: 1.35, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.25-1.46 in Japan; OR: 1.34, 95% CI: 1.27-1.41 in Finland). Number of ACEs was associated with the prevalence of cancer,

heart disease or stroke, diabetes mellitus, current smoking, and an increase in body mass index in both countries.

Conclusions: Associations between ACEs and poor self-rated health, adult diseases, and health behaviours were similar among older adults in both Japan and Finland. Although the results are potentially subjected to recall and survival bias, this international comparative study suggests that the impact of ACEs on health is noteworthy and consistent across cultural and social environments.

Strength and limitations of this study

- The strength of this study is that it is an international comparative study that investigated the impact of adverse childhood experiments (ACEs) on the health of older adults in different cultural and social environments (Japan and Finland) using harmonised data.
- The limitation of this study is that it was a cross-sectional study, and therefore differential recall and selection bias cannot be ruled out. Survival bias is also possible because the participants were older adults.
- Another limitation of this study is that the pooled data of the two countries were not accessible, and therefore interactive effects of the countries and ACE on adult health

Page 5 of 41

BMJ Open

 were not clear.

to beet terien only

INTRODUCTION

An increasing number of studies have investigated the association between adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), including long-term financial difficulties, parental divorce, and fear of a family member, and unhealthy behaviours (e.g., obesity, alcohol consumption, smoking, and lower levels of physical activity), adult diseases (e.g., cardiovascular disease, diabetes, stroke, cancer, and depression), and even early death.¹⁻⁴ Although some recent studies have investigated the impact of ACEs on adult health, it is not known whether ACEs has an impact on the health of older adults. The investigation of this topic is important to confirm the long-term adverse effects of ACE and manage ACEs. Further, the impact varies by country, although the pathways linking childhood adversities with adult health is likely to be dependent on cultural or social environments.⁵⁻ ⁷ Therefore, a comparison of countries with different cultural and/or social environments in childhood but similar welfare state regimes may provide further understanding of the underlying mechanisms of ACEs and older adult health.

Japan and Finland are both members of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). The two countries employ a universal healthcare system ⁸⁹ and provide free education to those aged 6 to 15 years.¹⁰ According to the World Happiness Report, the level of social support received (measured by having someone to

BMJ Open

count on in times of trouble) is relatively high in both countries (92.3% and 94.8% in 2015, in Japan and Finland, respectively).¹¹ However, the two countries differ in terms of equality (e.g., the Gini coefficient, a measure which represents the income distribution of a country's residents,¹² was 0.38 in Japan in 2014 and 0.26 in Finland 2015). Out of 37 OECD countries, Japan ranked 22th and Finland ranked 7th in 2015 in terms of equality.¹³ Furthermore, immigration policies the two countries were different at the time of this study; international migrants made up 1.3% of the total Japanese population, whereas 6.2% of the Finnish population were international migrants in 2017.¹⁴ Another cultural aspect that is between the two countries was divorce rate; the divorce rate in Japan in 2017 was 1.7/1,000 people,¹⁵ and in Finland it was 2.5/1,000 people in 2015.¹⁶ Finally, the prevalence of ACEs also differed between Japan and Finland, with 37% of participants (mean age of 73 years old) in a Japanese study reporting at least one ACE,¹⁷ and 61% of participants (mean age of 48 years old) in a Finnish study reporting at least one ACE.18

According to a systematic review, most of the recent studies evaluating the impact of multiple ACEs on health throughout life were performed in the United states (US) and the United Kingdom (UK), with only a few studies conducted in other countries,¹⁹ such as Asian ²⁰or Nordic countries.^{21 22} The US and the UK are also members

of the OECD. However, while Japan, Finland and the UK employ a universal healthcare system, the US does not. Further, only one study focused on older adults.²³ The purpose of this study was, therefore, to examine the associations and related risk factors between ACEs and adult diseases in older adults in Japan and Finland.

METHODS

Sample

The data in this study were collected from surveys conducted among older individuals in Finland and Japan. The Japanese data were from the Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study (JAGES), which comprises community-dwelling individuals aged 65 years and older from 30 municipalities (in 14 municipalities the entire population was surveyed, whereas in the remaining 16 municipalities, random sampling was performed) who were not eligible to receive benefits from public long-term care insurance services (e.g., those without functional disability). Self-administered survey questionnaires were delivered by post to those who were listed in a ledger of individuals insured for long-term care. The participants of the JAGES might be healthier than the average older Japanese population because they were living in a municipality where municipal officers were keen to participate in the JAGES. The data used in this study were from participants (n = 137,736,

BMJ Open

response rate = 71%) aged \geq 65 years, one-fifth of whom were randomly chosen and questioned for information on adverse experiences in childhood (n = 26,229) in 2013. The participants of the current study were restricted to an age range of 65-74 years (n = 15,070). Participants with missing data on any ACEs (n=1,158), self-rated health (SRH) (n=325), body mass index (BMI) (n= 483), and smoking (n=163) were excluded.

The Finnish data were drawn from two prospective cohort studies: the Finnish Public Sector (FPS) study²⁴ and the Health and Social Support (HeSSup) study.²⁵ The FPS study included employees representing a wide range of occupations working in ten towns and six hospital districts. The participants of the FPS were individuals who were at the time of the study, or had previously been, public sector employees; thus, they did not fully represent the general Finnish population of the same age. The FPS data used in this study were derived from employed and retired participants in the 2008/2009 survey, and included information on self-reported ACEs (n = 42,877, response rate = 69%). For this study, all FPS study respondents aged ≥ 60 years (n = 7,169) were selected. The HeSSup study targeted a sample representative of the Finnish population in four age groups (20-24, 30-34, 40-44, and 50-54 years), in 1998. Therefore, the participants of the HeSSup may be representative of the Finnish population.²⁶ In the 2012 follow-up survey, information on self-reported ACEs was obtained from 11,924 participants

(response rate = 78%). Of them, those in the oldest age group (64–68 years) were selected (n = 3,184). Self-administered survey questionnaires were used in the FPS and HeSSup studies. The two Finnish cohorts were pooled. The studies together included 13,123 (6,214 men and 6,909 women) participants from Japan and 10,353 (3,201 men and 7,152 women) participants from Finland. The JAGES was approved by the Ethics Committee for Research on Human Subjects at Nihon Fukushi University, Japan (No. 10–05) and the Ethics Committee for Medical Research at the University of Tokyo (No. 10555). The FPS study was approved

by the Ethics Committee of the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District and HeSSup study by the joint Ethics Committee of the University of Turku and the Turku University Central Hospital. The studies include ethical approval for these secondary analyses. The information about data management and handling is relevant and available for the study administrators in each country.

Participants and Public Involvement

Patients were not involved in the development of the research question, outcome measures, design, or conduct of the study.

Measurement of adverse childhood experiences

BMJ Open

The questions related to ACEs were somewhat different between the three studies, but the variables were harmonised. In short, we assessed three ACEs: (1) parental divorce (the FPS study, HeSSup study, and JAGES), (2) fear of a family member (FPS and HeSSup studies)/witness of domestic violence or physical abuse (JAGES), and (3) financial difficulties in the family (FPS study, HeSSup study, and JAGES).

In the JAGES, we assessed ACEs using four questions modified from Felitti's original ACE study[1] and the World Mental Health survey in Japan.²⁷ Respondents were asked if they had experienced the following adversities in their childhood (yes/no): parents' divorce/separation, financial difficulties in the family, being witness to domestic violence, or physical abuse. We categorised those who responded having experienced "being witness to domestic violence" and/or "physical abuse" as having "frequent fear of a family member" to make these ACEs comparable to those in the FPS and HeSSup studies. Violence against the child (physical abuse) and the mother (witnessing domestic violence) may both result in "fear of a family member", and we therefore coded witness of domestic violence or physical abuse as "fear of a family member". These ACEs measures have been shown to predict the number of remaining teeth²⁸ and higher functional limitation in Japan.²⁹ In the FPS and HeSSup studies, we assessed ACEs using three survey questions modified from Statistics Finland's Survey of Living Conditions.³⁰

Respondents were asked whether they had experienced the following adversities: parent's divorce/separation, long-term financial difficulties in the family, and frequent fear of a family member (the response categories "no", "yes", and "cannot say" were coded as dummy variables), and the findings have been used in other studies to predict the presence of coronary heart disease,³¹ depression,³¹ and non-adherence to statin therapy.⁷ The questions regarding each ACE are shown in Supplemental table S1.

For the present study, the three ACEs were analysed both separately and as a summary variable (0, 1, 2 and 3 ACEs).

Measurement of adult health and health behaviours

Questions on adult health and health behaviours were somewhat different between the countries. In the JAGES, SRH was measured using the question: "How do you feel about your current health status: excellent, good, fair, or poor?" Responses were recoded to dichotomous response variables (fair/poor as 0, and excellent/good as 1). A history of being diagnosed with cancer, heart diseases or stroke, or diabetes mellitus was self-reported (response categories: "yes" and "no"). BMI was calculated as participants' self-reported weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. The smoking status (current, former, or never) was based on replies to survey questionnaires.

BMJ Open

In the FPS and HeSSup studies, SRH was measured through the question: "How is your current health status?" with five response categories (from 1 [poor] to 5 [excellent]). The responses "moderate", "good", and "excellent" were categorised as good (1), and the other response options as poor (0). Information on cancer was derived from the National Cancer Registry, and prevalent cardiovascular disease (heart disease or stroke) and diabetes mellitus were defined based on the special reimbursement for the medication for these diseases, as obtained from the Social Insurance Institution of Finland. In FPS and HeSSup, we used personal identification codes, assigned to all Finnish citizens, to link the respondents to their records in national health registers. BMI was calculated from self-reported weight and height. Smoking status (current, former, and never) was based on survey responses. Questions regarding health and health behaviours are shown in Supplemental table S2.

Covariates

Education was divided into three levels: (i) 9 years or less, referring to a comprehensive school education in Finland and junior high school education in Japan, (ii) 9–12 years, describing post-compulsory secondary general academic and vocational education in Finland and high school or technical college education in Japan, and (iii) 12 years or

more, referring to a university degree in both countries. Marital status was divided into four categories: (i) single, never married and non-cohabiting, (ii) widowed, (iii) divorced, and (iv) married or cohabiting with a partner. Working status was divided into two categories: (i) working and (ii) retired or never worked (Japan). Regarding working status, those who had never worked was not included in FPS because FPS is a study of individuals who were at the time of study, or had previously been, public sector employees and represented a wide range of occupations. Therefore, we combined 'retired and never worked' as 'not working' in Japan to be comparable with the studies in Finland. Missing values were treated as dummy variables.

Statistical analysis

Logistic regression analysis was performed to examine the association of each ACE, any ACE, and the cumulative number of ACEs (e.g., 0, 1, 2, and 3 ACEs) with health outcomes. Linear regression analysis was used for BMI. Multinomial logistic regression was used for smoking status. Model 1 was adjusted for age and sex. Model 2 was further adjusted for education, marital status, and working status.

Jien

The odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were calculated. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata (ver. 13.1; StataCorp, College

Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the distribution of the variables for each country. The prevalence rates of parental divorce, fear of a family member, and childhood poverty were 3%, 9%, and 47%, respectively, in Japan. In Finland, these proportions were 9%, 13%, and 29%, respectively. Of the respondents, 50% of those in Japan and 37% of those in Finland reported having experienced at least one ACE. The mean age of the participants and the proportion of men ez.e were higher in Japan than in Finland.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants

participants				
		(JAGE	pan S) (N = 123)	Finland (pooled FPS and HeSSup studies) (N = 10,353)
		N or mean	% or SD	N or % or mean SD
Parental divorce	336	2.6	958 9.3 p <0.01ª	
Fear of a family member	1195	9.1	1348 13.0 p <0.01ª	
Childhood poverty	6135	46.8	3013 29.1 p <0.01 ^a	
Any adverse childhood e	experience	6561	50.0	3852 37.2 p <0.01 ^a
Total number of adverse childhood experiences	0	6562	50.0	6501 62.8 p < 0.01 ^a
	1	5517	42.0	2634 25.4
	2	983	7.5	969 9.4
	3	61	0.5	249 2.4
Age		69.5	2.8	64.4 2.9 p <0.01 ^b
Sex	male	6214	47.4	3201 30.9 p <0.01 ^a
	female	6909	52.7	7152 69.1

SRH	poor/fai	1770	13.5	378	30 36.5	p <(
Cancer	r	460	3.5	38		
Calleer	missing	400	5.5		50 5.8 54 0.5	1
Heart disease or stroke	0	1353	10.3	40		
	missing			4	54 0.5	
Diabetes mellitus		1738	13.2	71	6.9	p <
	missing			4	54 0.5	
BMI mean		23.0	3.1	26	.7 4.4	p <(
Smoking	never	9221	70.3	697	67.4	p <
	former	2221	16.9	244	4 23.6	
	current	1681	12.8	93	9.0	
Education	<9 years 10-12 years 12+ years	4395 5476 3103	33.541.723.7	180 310 523	58 30.6 34 50.6	
	missing	149	1.1			
Marital status	single divorce	326	2.5	57		p <
	d	535	4.1	141	4 13.7	
	widowe d	1606	12.2	74	41 7.2	
	married /cohabit	10441	79.6	755	57 73.0	
	missing	215	1.6	(67 0.7	
Working status	not workin g	8604	65.6	309	92 29.9	p <
	g workin g	4062	31.0	723	30 69.8	
	missing	457	3.5		0.3	

JAGES; Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study, FPS; Finnish Public Sector, HeSSup; Health and Social Support, SD; standard deviation, SRH; self-rated health, BMI; body mass index a: Chi-squared test. b: t-test

As for SRH, the rate of "poor or fair" was higher in Finland than in Japan (37% and 14%, respectively). The prevalence of cancer was similar in both countries; however,

BMJ Open

that of heart disease or stroke and diabetes mellitus was higher in Japan. As for BMI, the mean BMI was higher in Finland than in Japan. The distribution of smoking status was similar. In the case of socioeconomic status, 50% of the people in Finland and 24% of those in Japan were educated for 12 years or more. Of the participants in Finland, 70% were currently working, whereas 66% of those in Japan were currently not working.

Table 2 shows the association between ACEs and SRH, and diseases (e.g., cancer, heart disease or stroke, and diabetes mellitus). SRH was associated with each type of ACE and the number of ACEs, for both countries. Interestingly, the point estimates were similar. For example, the OR of the number of ACEs for poor/fair SRH was 1.35 (95% CI: 1.25-1.46) in Japan and 1.34 (95% CI: 1.27-1.41) in Finland, after adjusting the covariates (Model 2). The ORs of the number of ACEs for cancer, heart disease or stroke, and diabetes mellitus were also similar in both studies in Model 2.

			Japa	n			Fi	Finland 1 Model 2				
]	Model 1]	Model 2		1odel 1	Ν	Model 2			
		OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI			
SRH (poor/fair)	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.40	1.05 - 1.86	1.34	1.004 - 1.80	1.37	1.20 - 1.57	1.30	1.13 - 1.4			
	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	1.77	1.52 - 2.05	1.70	1.46 - 1.98	1.65	1.47 - 1.85	1.56	1.39 - 1.7			
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.46	1.32 - 1.62	1.32	1.19 - 1.47	1.68	1.54 - 1.83	1.60	1.46 - 1.7			
	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	1.50	1.36 - 1.67	1.37	1.23 - 1.52	1.66	1.53 - 1.80	1.60	1.47 - 1.7			
	Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	1.43	1.33 - 1.54	1.35	1.25 - 1.46	1.39	1.32 - 1.46	1.34	1.27 - 1.4			
									-			
Cancer	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.32	0.78 - 2.24	1.40	0.82 - 2.38	1.16	0.82 - 1.62	1.19	0.84 - 1.6			
	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	0.99	0.72 - 1.37	1.01	0.73 - 1.40	1.36	1.03 - 1.80	1.40	1.06 - 1.8			
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.26	1.04 - 1.52	1.31	1.08 - 1.59	1.05	0.84 - 1.32	1.07	0.86 - 1.3			
	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	1.26	1.04 - 1.52	1.31	1.08 - 1.59	1.11	0.90 - 1.36	1.13	0.91 - 1.3			
	Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	1.16	1.008 - 1.33	1.20	1.04 - 1.38	1.11	0.97 - 1.26	1.13	0.99 - 1.2			
									-			
Heart disease or stroke	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.30	0.94 - 1.81	1.26	0.91 - 1.76	0.93	0.66 - 1.32	0.90	0.63 - 1.2			
Sticke	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	1.13	0.94 - 1.37	1.10	0.91 - 1.32	1.16	0.88 - 1.54	1.11	0.84 - 1.4			
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.16	1.03 - 1.30	1.11	0.99 - 1.25	1.35	1.10 - 1.64	1.30	1.07 - 1.5			
	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	1.15	1.02 - 1.29	1.10	0.97 - 1.24	1.32	1.09 - 1.59	1.28	1.05 - 1.5			
	Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	1.14	1.04 - 1.24	1.10	1.01 - 1.20	1.14	1.01 - 1.28	1.11	0.98 - 1.2			
Diabetes mellitus	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.29	0.96 - 1.73	1.30	0.97 - 1.74	1.37	1.07 - 1.75	1.34	1.05 - 1.7			
	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	1.11	0.94 - 1.32	1.12	0.95 - 1.33	1.46	1.18 - 1.80	1.42	1.15 - 1.7			
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.07	0.97 - 1.19	1.06	0.95 - 1.18	1.15	0.97 - 1.35	1.12	0.95 - 1.3			

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	1.12	1.01 - 1.24	1.11	1.001 - 1.24	1.21	1.04 - 1.42	1.19	1.02 - 1.39
	Number of ACEs (continuous va	ariable) 1.08	1.001 - 1.17	1.08	0.995 - 1.17	1.18	1.08 - 1.30	1.17	1.06 - 1.28
"Any" denotes the pr	resence of at least 1 ACE.								
ACE; Adverse childl	hood experience, OR; odds ratio, O	CI; confidence i	nterval; SRH, self	f-rated he	alth; ref., reference	ce			
Model 1: Adjusted for	hood experience, OR; odds ratio, C or age and sex. Model 2: Further a nfidence intervals) were derived fr tatistically significant with a p-value of the second second second second second second second second second sec	djusted for edu	cation, marital sta	tus, and v	working status.				
Odds ratios (95% con	nfidence intervals) were derived fr	om logistic reg	ression models.						
Bold text indicates st	tatistically significant with a p-value	ue less than 0.0	5						
Dora tent maleutes st	austically significant with a p van								
	For pe	er review only	- http://bmjopen	.bmj.com	n/site/about/guid	elines.xh	tml		
	For pe	er review only	- http://bmjopen. 1		n/site/about/guid	elines.xh	tml		

Table 3 shows the associations between ACEs and smoking. Former smoking and current smoking were positively associated with the number of ACEs for both counties, and the ORs were similar. Table 4 shows the association between ACEs and BMI. BMI was positively associated with each type of ACE, and the number of ACEs in Finland. This association was also observed in Japan; however, the coefficients in Japan were lower than those in Finland.

to be terms only

BMJ Open

		Japan				Fi	Finland			
		Model 1		Ν	Aodel 2	Model 1		Model 2		
		OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
Former smoking (ref: never)	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.13	0.82 - 1.57	1.12	0.81 - 1.56	1.54	1.31 - 1.81	1.48	1.26 - 1.7	
	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	1.14	0.96 - 1.36	1.11	0.93 - 1.32	1.45	1.26 - 1.67	1.38	1.20 - 1.6	
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.14	1.02 - 1.26	1.13	1.02 - 1.26	1.42	1.28 - 1.57	1.37	1.24 - 1.5	
	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes		1.02 - 1.26	1.12	1.01 - 1.25	1.45	1.31 - 1.60	1.40	1.27 - 1.5	
	Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	1.11	1.03 - 1.21	1.11	1.02 - 1.20	1.30	1.22 - 1.38	1.26	1.19 - 1.3	
Current smoking (ref: never)	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.46	1.06 - 2.01	1.32	0.95 - 1.82	1.64	1.32 - 2.03	1.49	1.20 - 1.8	
	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	1.29	1.07 - 1.55	1.16	0.96 - 1.40	1.45	1.19 - 1.76	1.32	1.09 - 1.6	
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.20	1.07 - 1.35	1.10	0.98 - 1.24	1.15	0.99 - 1.40	1.07	0.92 - 1.2	
	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	1.24	1.10 - 1.39	1.13	1.01 - 1.27	1.33	1.16 - 1.53	1.24	1.08 - 1.4	
	Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	1.20	1.10 - 1.31	1.11	1.02 - 1.22	1.22	1.12 - 1.33	1.15	1.06 - 1.2	
Any" denotes the pr	resence of at least 1 ACE.									
ACE; Adverse childl	hood experience, OR; odds ratio, CI; confide	ence inter-	val; ref, reference	ce						
Model 1: Adjusted for	or age and sex. Model 2: Further adjusted for	educatio	n, marital status	s, and wor	king status.					

Bold text indicates statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05.

Table 4. Association between adverse childhood experiences and body mass index among older adults in Japan and Finland.

		Jap	an		Finland					
-	Ν	Nodel 1	Ν	Model 2	N	Model 1	Model 2			
-	Coef.	95% CI	Coef.	95% CI	Coef.	95% CI	Coef.	95% CI		
Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	0.18	-0.15 - 0.52	0.18	-0.15 - 0.51	0.60	0.31 - 0.89	0.52	0.23 - 0.81		
Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	0.22	0.03 - 0.40	0.20	0.02 - 0.39	0.49	0.24 - 0.75	0.40	0.15 - 0.65		
Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	0.15	0.04 - 0.25	0.07	-0.03 - 0.18	0.49	0.30 - 0.67	0.40	0.22 - 0.59		
Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	0.14	0.03 - 0.24	0.07	-0.04 - 0.18	0.40	0.23 - 0.57	0.33	0.15 - 0.50		
Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	0.14	0.06 - 0.22	0.09	0.01 - 0.18	0.36	0.25 - 0.47	0.30	0.19 - 0.41		

"Any" denotes the presence of at least 1 ACE.

ACE; Adverse childhood experience, Coef.; coefficient, CI; confidence interval; BMI, body mass index.

Model 1: Adjusted for age and sex. Model 2: Further adjusted for education, marital status, and working status. .Ital Status, .

Coefficients (95% confidence intervals) were derived from regression models.

Bold text indicates statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05.

2	To our knowledge, this is the first study that compares the impact of ACEs on health of older
3	adults between two countries. This study showed that elderly individuals in Japan and Finland
4	who had experienced ACEs had worse health profiles (sub-optimal SRH and presence of
5	chronic diseases) and more often had biological and behavioural risk factors (high BMI and
6	smoking) than those with no ACEs. The strength of these associations was weak or modest, and
7	similar between the two countries. The only exception was BMI, which showed a more robust
8	association in the Finnish cohorts than in the Japanese cohort.
9	Our finding which highlights the association between ACEs and poor adult health, is
10	consistent with those of other studies. Although the association was weaker compared with
11	other studies, ^{5-7 19} this might be due to survival bias as we focused on older adults. Regarding
12	SRH, the association between fear of a family member in childhood and poor SRH among older
13	adults was slightly stronger than that for parental divorce or childhood poverty in Japan;
14	however, in Finland, such a difference was not observed. This result suggests that the
15	prevention and detection of childhood abuse and intimate partner violence, as well as the
16	follow-up provided, may not be as adequate in Japan compared with Finland. Alternatively,
17	physical punishment might be more pervasive in Japan than in Finland; ³² physical punishment
18	is legally forbidden in Finland but not in Japan. Regarding cancer, childhood poverty was
19	associated with cancer among older people in Japan, but this association was not observed in

BMJ Open

Finland. Childhood poverty in Japan might lead to poverty in adulthood, which may result in

2
2
-
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16 17
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
54 55
56
57
58
59
60

1

20

delays in medical check-ups or consultations. In spite of the provision of universal health care 2122in Japan, individual payment of medical expenses is at least 10% of the total cost, even among older adults. 23Generally, the strength of these associations was similar between the two countries. 24The consistency of our results in harmonised cohorts from two different countries suggests that 25childhood adversities affect health similarly in these two societies. In spite of the differences in 26the cultural and social environments of older adults in these countries,^{33 34} it is interesting to 27note that the associations observed were similar. A likely explanation could be the presence of 28universal healthcare systems in these countries, which offer adequate medical treatment for 29diseases over one's life span, and likely lead to the attenuation of the impact of ACEs on health 30 later in life. This might explain the lower OR of ACEs for diseases comparing previous studies, 3132because universal health care system might be effective to protect older adults with ACEs. In addition, the presence of equal free educational opportunities in both countries may explain the 33 similar impact of ACEs on adult health, as educational attainment can attenuate the impact of 34ACEs on later health.³⁵ The presence of high social support,³⁶ cultural engagement, access to 35trusted adults³⁷ in both countries may also attenuate the impact of ACEs on later health through 36 the enhancement of resilience, described as the ability to adapt to adverse environment.³⁸ The 3738 effect of ACEs on health, in different educational or healthcare systems, requires further study.

BMJ Open

39	Similarly, ACEs were associated with smoking, which is consistent with the findings
40	of a previously conducted international comparison research in eight Eastern European
41	countries ⁵ and other studies in the US, ^{1 39} UK, ⁴⁰ and Finland ⁴¹ , although this association was
42	weak in the current study. The Eastern European comparison study reported that ACEs were
43	associated with smoking among adults aged 14–66 years. ⁵ The relationships between ACEs and
44	smoking have predominantly been investigated among adults towards middle age; however,
45	few studies have examined these relationships using large community samples of older adults.
46	Our results suggest that ACEs have a consistent long-term effect on smoking among older
47	adults despite differences in the price of cigarettes or smoking advertisements between the
48	countries. A meta-analysis showed a moderate odds ratio for smoking among those with four
49	or more ACEs compared with those with no ACEs. ¹⁹ The association was weaker in the current
50	study than the results of the meta-analysis, possibly because there were only three ACEs
51	measured in the current study, and the association may have been underestimated because of
52	early death due to smoking and ACEs (e.g., survival bias).
53	ACEs were associated with an increase in BMI in both countries; however, the impact

of ACEs was higher in Finland. This association was consistent with that observed in a previous study which showed that ACEs elevated the risk of obesity in the US,^{1 2} UK,⁴⁰ and Finland.⁴² The obesity rate among older individuals was higher in Finland than in Japan in the current study; thus, we used continuous BMI as an outcome. The presence of sampling bias in the

current study may have also led to the lower impact of ACEs on BMI in Japan, as the JAGES
included only individuals without nursing care.

There are several limitations to this study. First, this was a cross-sectional study conducted among older adults. Thus, differential recall and selection bias cannot be ruled out, especially if those with a disease were more likely to recall ACEs or if those with the most difficult ACEs did not participate. Second, these results, although based on harmonised measures, were derived from only two egalitarian developed countries, which preclude to generalize the findings to other countries. Further studies are warranted to investigate the association between other ACEs and adult disease in different cultural settings, and in low- and middle-income countries. Third, we did not assess other ACEs such as sexual abuse, neglect, childhood neighbourhood deprivation, or family disfunction (i.e., mental disorder of a family member, or incarcerated family member), and thus the number of ACEs were limited to only three. Further studies are necessary to investigate the impact of other ACEs on the health of older adults. Fourth, the assessment of fear of a family member in the JAGES, FPS and HeSSup was different, which may result in heterogeneity between study estimates. Fifth, the participants in the JAGES were without functional disability, and hence might be healthier than the average Japanese older population. Therefore, the results of the study might be underestimated. Sixth, there is a disparity in the measurement of ACEs and health, and ACEs and health behaviours, across the JAGES, FPS, and HeSSup. The differences in measurement might result in

Page 27 of 41

BMJ Open

77	heterogeneity of the results. Seventh, considering other covariates such as levels of inequality,
78	current and previous household income, environmental risks (e.g., parental smoking), or genetic
79	variation was not feasible due to data availability. Eighth, the results might be subjected to
80	survival bias. The average age of the study participants was 69.5 years in Japan and 64.4 years
81	in Finland. People who passed away before the current study from ACE-related diseases or
82	health risk behaviours would not have been included in the current study. Therefore, the results
83	of the current study may underestimate the health effects of ACEs. Ninth, all the ACEs were
84	self-reported. Therefore, there may be recall or reporting biases. Finally, the data from Japan
85	(e.g., data from JAGES) excluded those with functional disability; therefore, the association
86	between ACE and health throughout life might be underestimated in Japan. Further, FPS and
87	HeSSup were not representative sample, thus prevalence of diseases, such as cancer, may be
88	different from other studies.
89	Nonetheless, this is the first study that investigated the association between ACEs and
90	health and health behaviour among older people in two countries. In Japan and Finland, the
91	relationship between ACEs and health was similar for SRH, specific diseases and smoking. The
92	impact of ACEs on BMI was stronger in Finland than in Japan. These results suggest a notable
93	association between ACEs and health among older people, and that this association remains
94	consistent even in countries with different historical and cultural heritages.
95	

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding: This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science's KAKENHI Grants (grant number JP15H01972 and JP16K16633), Health Labour Sciences Research Grants (grant number H28-Choju-Ippan-002), Research and Development Grants for Longevity Science from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED), Personal Health Record Utilization Project from AMED, Research Funding for Longevity Sciences from the National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology (grant number 29-42), and the World Health Organization Centre for Health Development (WHO Kobe Centre) (WHO APW 2017/713981). Jussi Vahtera was supported by NordForsk (Nordic Research Program on Health and Welfare). Tuula Oksanen received funding from the Finnish Work Environment Fund (grant number 117094). Authors' contribution: AA and TF conceived the design of the work. KK and JV obtained the data. TF and JP analysed data. All authors interpret the findings. AA wrote the first draft of the manuscript. TF and JV revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript. **Data sharing statement:** All available data can be obtained by contacting the corresponding

Page 29 of 41

1		
2 3 4	115	author.
5 6	110	autior.
7 8	116	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 32 4 25 26 27 28 9 30 31 32 33 34 5 36 37	116	
38 39		
40 41 42		
43 44 45		
46 47		
48 49 50		
51 52		
53 54 55		
56 57 58		
59 60		

118 **References**

5

6 1197 1201. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and household 8 9 121dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood 10 122Experiences (ACE) Study. Am J Prev Med 1998;14(4):245-58. [published Online First: 11 12 1231998/06/23] 13

- 141242. Anda RF, Felitti VJ, Bremner JD, et al. The enduring effects of abuse and related adverse15125experiences in childhood. A convergence of evidence from neurobiology and epidemiology.16126Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2006;256(3):174-86. doi: 10.1007/s00406-005-0624-418127[published Online First: 2005/11/29]
- 128
 129
 129
 129
 120
 120
 130
 Epidemiology 2012;23(2):223-32. doi: 10.1097/EDE.0b013e31824570bd
- 24
 131
 00001648-201203000-00008 [pii] [published Online First: 2012/02/10]
- 132
 132
 133
 a Brown DW, Anda RF, Tiemeier H, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and the risk of premature mortality. *Am J Prev Med* 2009;37(5):389-96. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2009.06.021
- 134
 135
 135
 136
 137
 137
 5. Bellis MA, Hughes K, Leckenby N, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and associations with health-harming behaviours in young adults: surveys in eight eastern European countries.
 136
 137
 137
 137
 138
 137
 139
 139
 130
 130
 131
 131
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 135
 136
 137
 137
 137
 138
 137
 139
 139
 130
 130
 131
 131
 131
 131
 132
 131
 132
 133
 134
 134
 135
 135
 136
 137
 137
 137
 137
 137
 138
 137
 139
 139
 130
 130
 130
 131
 131
 131
 131
 131
 132
 131
 131
 132
 131
 131
 132
 131
 131
 132
 131
 131
 131
 131
 131
 131
 132
 131
 132
 131
 132
 133
 134
 134
 135
 135
 136
 137
 137
 137
 138
 138
 139
 139
 139
 130
 130
 131
 131
 131
 131
 132
 132
 133
 133
 134
 134
 135
 135
 136
 136
 137
 137
 137
 138
 138
 139
 139
 131
 131
 131
 132
 132
 133
 133
 134
 134
 135
 134
 135
 135
 135
 136
 136
 136
 137
 136
 136
 <li
- 138
 138
 anda RF, Butchart A, Felitti VJ, et al. Building a framework for global surveillance of the public
 139
 139
 140
 10.1016/j.amepre.2010.03.015
- 39
 141
 S0749-3797(10)00277-1 [pii] [published Online First: 2010/06/16]

 40
 141
 S0749-3797(10)00277-1 [pii] [published Online First: 2010/06/16]
- 411427. Halonen JI, Stenholm S, Pentti J, et al. Childhood Psychosocial Adversity and Adult42143Neighborhood Disadvantage as Predictors of Cardiovascular Disease: A Cohort Study.43144Circulation 2015;132(5):371-9. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.015392 [published45145Online First: 2015/06/13]
- 47 146
 48 147
 48 147
 49 with equity: beyond universal coverage. Lancet 2011;378(9798):1265-73. doi:
 48 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61098-2
- 51 149 S0140-6736(11)61098-2 [pii] [published Online First: 2011/09/03]
 52
- 150 9. Vuorenkosky L, Mladovsky P, Mossialos E. Finland: Health system review. Health systems in transition 2008;10(4):1-168.
- 56 152 10. Cummings WK. Education and equality in Japan: Princeton University Press 2014.
- 57 153 11. John Helliwell, Richard Layard, Sachs J. The World Happiness Report: New York: Sustainable
 59 154 Development Solutions Network; 2016 [Available from: <u>http://worldhappiness.report/</u>
 60 155 accessed Nov, 18 2016.

BMJ Open

2											
3 4	156	12. Yitzhaki S, Schechtman E. Social Welfare, Relative Deprivation, and the Gini Coefficient.									
5	157	Springer Ser Stat 2013:253-73. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-4720-7_13									
6 7	158	13. OECD. OECD Income Distribution Database (IDD): Gini, poverty, income, methods and									
8	159	concepts 2016 [Available from: <u>http://www.oecd.org/social/income-distribution-</u>									
	160	<u>database.htm</u> accessed Jul, 18 2017.									
11	161	14. United Nations. International Migration 2017 2017 [Available from:									
	162	http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/migration/publications/wallchart/docs/									
14	163	<u>MigrationWallChart2017.pdf</u> accessed August 27 2018.									
	164	$15. Japanese {\rm Ministry} of {\rm Internal} {\rm Affairs} {\rm and} {\rm Communications}. {\rm Statistical} {\rm Handbook} of {\rm Japan} 2017$									
17	165	2017 [Available from: <u>http://www.stat.go.jp/english/data/handbook/c0117.html</u> accessed									
	166	August 27 2018.									
20	167	16. eurostat. Marriage and divorce statistics 2015 [Available from:									
	168	https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	169	explained/index.php/Marriage_and_divorce_statistics accessed August 27 2018.									
	170	17. Amemiya A, Fujiwara T, Murayama H, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and higher-level									
	171	functional limitations among older Japanese people: results from the JAGES study. J									
	172	Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2017 doi: 10.1093/gerona/glx097 [published Online First:									
	173	2017/05/20]									
	174	18. Halonen JI, Vahtera J, Kivimaki M, et al. Adverse experiences in childhood, adulthood									
	175	neighbourhood disadvantage and health behaviours. J Epidemiol Community Health									
	176	2014;68(8):741-6. doi: 10.1136/jech-2013-203441 [published Online First: 2014/04/26]									
	177	19. Hughes K, Bellis MA, Hardcastle KA, et al. The effect of multiple adverse childhood experiences									
	178	on health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Lancet Public Health</i> 2017;2(8):e356-e66.									
	179	doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(17)30118-4 [published Online First: 2017/12/19]									
	180	20. Xiao Q, Dong MX, Yao J, et al. Parental alcoholism, adverse childhood experiences, and later									
	181	risk of personal alcohol abuse among Chinese medical students. Biomed Environ Sci									
	182	2008;21(5):411-9. doi: 10.1016/S0895-3988(08)60062-8 [published Online First: 2009/01/13]									
	183	21. Pirkola S, Isometsa E, Aro H, et al. Childhood adversities as risk factors for adult mental									
	184	disorders. <i>Soc Psych Psych Epid</i> 2005;40(10):769-77. doi: 10.1007/s00127-005-0950-x									
	185	22. Harkonmaki K, Korkeila K, Vahtera J, et al. Childhood adversities as a predictor of disability									
	186	retirement. J Epidemiol Commun H 2007;61(6):479-84. doi: DOI 10.1136/jech.2006.052670									
	187	23. Lucas RE. Time does not heal all wounds - A longitudinal study of reaction and adaptation to									
	188	divorce. <i>Psychol Sci</i> 2005;16(12):945-50. doi: DOI 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2005.01642.x									
52 53	189	24. Laaksonen E, Martikainen P, Lahelma E, et al. Socioeconomic circumstances and common									
54	190	mental disorders among Finnish and British public sector employees: evidence from the									
55 56	191	Helsinki Health Study and the Whitehall II Study. <i>Int J Epidemiol</i> 2007;36(4):776-86. doi:									
57	192	dym074 [pii]									
58 59	193	10.1093/ije/dym074 [published Online First: 2007/05/23]									
60	194	25. Feldt T, Lintula H, Suominen S, et al. Structural validity and temporal stability of the 13-item									

BMJ Open

2 3		
4	195	sense of coherence scale: prospective evidence from the population-based HeSSup study.
5	196	<i>Qual Life Res</i> 2007;16(3):483-93. doi: 10.1007/s11136-006-9130-z
6 7	197	26. Korkeila K, Suominen S, Ahvenainen J, et al. Non-response and related factors in a nation-wide
8 9 10	198	health survey. <i>Eur J Epidemiol</i> 2001;17(11):991-9. [published Online First: 2002/10/17]
	199	27. Fujiwara T, Kawakami N. Association of childhood adversities with the first onset of mental
11	200	disorders in Japan: results from the World Mental Health Japan, 2002-2004. J Psychiatr
12 13	201	Res 2011;45(4):481-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.08.002
14	202	S0022-3956(10)00243-8 [pii] [published Online First: 2010/08/24]
15 16	203	28. Matsuyama Y, Fujiwara T, Aida J, et al. Experience of childhood abuse and later number of
17	204	remaining teeth in older Japanese: a life-course study from Japan Gerontological
18 19	205	Evaluation Study project. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2016;44(6):531-39. doi:
20	206	10.1111/cdoe.12246
21 22	207	29. Amemiya A, Fujiwara T, Murayama H, et al. Adverse Childhood Experiences and Higher-Level
22	208	Functional Limitations Among Older Japanese People: Results From the JAGES Study. J
24 25	209	Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2018;73(2):261-66. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glx097 [published
25 26	210	Online First: 2017/05/20]
27 28	211	30. Rahkonen O, Lahelma E, Huuhka M. Past or present? Childhood living conditions and current
28 29	212	socioeconomic status as determinants of adult health. Soc Sci Med 1997;44(3):327-36. doi:
30 31	213	S0277953696001025 [pii] [published Online First: 1997/02/01]
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	214	31. Korkeila J, Vahtera J, Korkeila K, et al. Childhood adversities as predictors of incident coronary
	215	heart disease and cerebrovascular disease. <i>Heart</i> 2010;96(4):298-303. doi:
	216	10.1136/hrt.2009.188250
	217	96/4/298 [pii] [published Online First: 2010/03/03]
	218	32. Iwai H. Social Tolerance for the Use of Physical Punishment by Parents: An Analysis of
	219	Attitude toward Physical Punishment using JGSS-2008. JGSS Research Series 2010;No.7
40 41	220	33. Chartier MJ, Walker JR, Naimark B. Separate and cumulative effects of adverse childhood
42 43	221	experiences in predicting adult health and health care utilization. Child Abuse Negl
43 44	222	2010;34(6):454-64. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2009.09.020
45 46	223	34. Fujiwara T, Kondo K, Shirai K, et al. Associations of childhood socioeconomic status and
40 47	224	adulthood height with functional limitations among Japanese older people: results from the
48 49	225	JAGES 2010 Project. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2014;69(7):852-9. doi:
49 50	226	10.1093/gerona/glt189
51 52	227	35. Tani Y, Fujiwara T, Kondo N, et al. Childhood Socioeconomic Status and Onset of Depression
52 53	228	among Japanese Older Adults: The JAGES Prospective Cohort Study. Am J Geriatr
54 55	229	<i>Psychiatry</i> 2016;24(9):717-26. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2016.06.001
55 56	230	36. Kuh D, Shlomo YB. A life course approach to chronic disease epidemiology: Oxford University
57	231	Press 2004.
58 59	232	37. Bellis MA, Hardcastle K, Ford K, et al. Does continuous trusted adult support in childhood
60	233	impart life-course resilience against adverse childhood experiences - a retrospective study

BMJ Open

1 2		
3	234	on adult health-harming behaviours and mental well-being. BMC Psychiatry
4 5	235	2017;17(1):110. doi: 10.1186/s12888-017-1260-z [published Online First: 2017/03/25]
6	236	38. Bellis MA, Hughes K, Ford K, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and sources of childhood
7 8	237	resilience: a retrospective study of their combined relationships with child health and
9	238	educational attendance. BMC Public Health 2018;18(1):792. doi: 10.1186/s12889-018-5699-
10 11	239	8 [published Online First: 2018/06/27]
12	240	39. Runsten S, Korkeila K, Koskenvuo M, et al. Can social support alleviate inflammation
13 14	241	associated with childhood adversities? Nord J Psychiatry 2014;68(2):137-44. doi:
15	242	10.3109/08039488.2013.786133
16 17	243	40. Anda RF, Croft JB, Felitti VJ, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and smoking during
18	244	adolescence and adulthood. JAMA 1999;282(17):1652-8.
19 20	245	41. Bellis MA, Lowey H, Leckenby N, et al. Adverse childhood experiences: retrospective study to
21	246	determine their impact on adult health behaviours and health outcomes in a UK population.
22 23	247	J Public Health (Oxf) 2014;36(1):81-91. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdt038 [published Online
24	248	First: 2013/04/17]
25 26	249	42. Kestila L, Koskinen S, Martelin T, et al. Influence of parental education, childhood adversities,
27	250	and current living conditions on daily smoking in early adulthood. Eur J Public Health
28 29	251	2006;16(6):617-26. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckl054
30		
31 32		
33		
34 35		
36		
37 38		
39		
40 41		
42		
43 44		
45		
46 47		
48		
49 50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55 56		
57		
58 59		
60		

BMJ Open

.u and health behaviours Supplemental table S1. Measurement of adverse childhood experience Supplemental table S2. Measurement of health and health behaviours For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

JAGES			FPS, HeS	SSup		
Question	Answ er	Cod e	Question	Answer	Cod e	
Did you experience any of the following before the age of 18?;			The respondents were asked whether they had experienced the following adversities in their childhood:			
Parents' divorce	No	0	Divorce/separation of the parents	No	0	
	Yes	1	-	Yes	1	
	Unkn	miss		Do not know or	miss	
	own	ing		cannot say	ing	
Financial trouble	No	0	Long-term financial difficulties in the family	No	0	
	Yes	1 ,		Yes	1	
	Unkn	miss		Do not know or	miss	
	own	ing		cannot say	ing	
1) Your father was violent with your			Frequent fear for a family			
(being witness to domestic violence)	No	0	member	No	0	
mother/father causing an injury (physical abuse)	Yes ^a	1		Yes	1	
	Unkn	miss		Do not know or	miss	
	own	ing		cannot say	ing	
rs yes to the question of either of 1) or 2),						
	Did you experience any of the following before the age of 18?; Parents' divorce Financial trouble 1) Your father was violent with your mother (being witness to domestic violence) 2) You were hit hard by your mother/father causing an injury (physical abuse)	QuestionerDid you experience any of the following before the age of 18?;NoParents' divorceNoYes Unkn ownYes Unkn ownFinancial troubleNo1) Your father was violent with your motherNo1) Your father was violent with your motherNo2) You were hit hard by your mother/father causing an injury (physical abuse)Yes ^a	QuestionereDid you experience any of the following before the age of 18?;No0Parents' divorceNo0Yes1Unknmiss owningFinancial troubleNo0Yes1Unknmiss own11) Your father was violent with your motherNo02) You were hit hard by your mother/father causing an injury (physical abuse)Yesa1Unknmiss own1Unknmiss own1UnknMo0Unkn1Unknmiss own1	Question er e Question Did you experience any of the following before the age of 18?; The respondents were asked whe following adversities in their chi Divorce/separation of the parents Parents' divorce No 0 Yes 1 Unkn miss own own ing Financial trouble No 0 1) Your father was violent with your mother No 0 (being witness to domestic violence) Yes ^a 1 2) You were hit hard by your mother/father causing an injury Yes ^a 1 (physical abuse) Unkn miss own 1 Unkn miss own 1 1	Question er er	

BMJ Open

3 5

Supplemental table S2. Measurement of health and health behaviours

Items	JAGES			FPS			
	Question	Answ er	Co de	Question	Answer	Cod	
Self-rated health	How is your current health status?	Excel lent	0	How is your current health status?	Good	(
		Good	0		Fairly good	(
		Fair	1		Moderate		
		Poor	1		Fairly poor		
					Poor		
	Circle the number of all diseases						
Cancer	for which you are currently receiving treatment or	No	0	National Cancer Register	No	(
	experiencing; Cancer	Yes	1		Yes within 5 years		
					Unknown	Miss ing	
Heart disease or stroke	Circle the number of all diseases for which you are currently receiving treatment or experiencing; 1) Heart disease, 2) Stroke (e.g. brain hemorrhage, cerebral infarction)	No	0	Special reimbursement for the medication, from Social Insurance Institution of Finland	No		
		Yes ^a	1		Yes		
					Unknown	Miss ing	
Diabetes mellitus	Circle the number of all diseases for which you are currently receiving treatment or experiencing; Diabetes mellitus	No	0	Special reimbursement for the medication, from Social Insurance Institution of Finland	No	(

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 37	7 of 41					[3MJ Open		
1 2 3 4 5					Yes	1		Yes Unknown	1 Miss ing
6 7 8		Smoking	Do you smoke cigare	ettes?	No	0	Do you smoke or have you ever smoked regularly, i.e. every day or almost every day?	Never	0
9 10 11					I used to smok	1		Yes, before	1
12 13					e	2		Yes, I still	2
14 15 16 17		a: If someone 1) or 2), the co	answers yes to the question ode is "Yes".	n of either of				do	
18 2	260								
19 20 21 22 23	261								
24 25 26									
20 27 28 29 30							terien on ju		
31 32 33									
34 35 36									
37 38 39									
40 41									
42 43 44				For peer review	only - http:	://bmjc	open.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		
45 46							37		

BMJ Open

to peer leview only Supplemental table S1. Measurement of adverse childhood experience

 $\mathbf{2}$

Supplemental table S2. Measurement of health and health behaviours

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Supplemental table S1. Measurement of adverse childhood experience

Items	JAGES	JAGES			FPS, HeSSup			
	Question	Answer	Code	Question	Answer	Code		
	Did you experience any of the following before the age of 18	?;		The respondents were asked whether they had ex	perienced the following adversities	in their childhoo		
Parental divorce in childl	ood Parents' divorce	No	0	Divorce/separation of the parents	No	0		
		Yes	1		Yes	1		
		Unknown	missing		Do not know or cannot say	missing		
	es Financial trouble							
Severe financial difficultie	es Financial trouble	No	0	Long-term financial difficulties in the family	No	0		
		Yes	1		Yes	1		
		Unknown	missing		Do not know or cannot say	missing		
	1) Your father was violent with your mother	No	0	Frequent fear for a family member	No	0		
Fear of a family member	(being witness to domestic violence)							
	2) You were hit hard by your mother/father causing an injury	Yesª	1		Yes	1		
	(physical abuse)							
		Unknown	missing		Do not know or cannot say	missing		
a: If someone answers yes	to the question of either of 1) or 2), the code is "Yes".							
	For peer review onl	y - http://bmjo	pen.bmj.con	n/site/about/guidelines.xhtml				

7 Supplemental table S2. Measurement of health and health behaviours

Items	JAGES			FPS	FPS			
	Question	Answer	Code	Question	Answer	Code		
Self-rated health	How is your current health status?	Excellent	0	How is your current health status?	Good			
		Good	0		Fairly good			
		Fair	1		Moderate			
		Poor	1		Fairly poor			
					Poor			
	Circle the number of all diseases for which you are currently							
Cancer	receiving treatment or experiencing; Cancer	No	0	National Cancer Register	No			
		Yes	1		Yes within 5 years	\$		
					Unknown	Missi		
	Circle the number of all diseases for which you are currently							
	receiving treatment or experiencing;							
Heart disease or stroke	1) Heart disease, 2) Stroke (e.g. brain hemorrhage, cerebral	No	0	Special reimbursement for the medication, from Social Insurance Institution of Finland	No			
	infarction)							
		Yes ^a	1		Yes			
					Unknown	Missi		
	Circle the number of all diseases for which you are currently							
Diabetes mellitus	receiving treatment or experiencing; Diabetes mellitus	No	0	Special reimbursement for the medication, from Social Insurance Institution of Finland	No			
		Yes	1		Yes	ood airly good loderate airly poor oor o es within 5 years nknown Miss o es nknown Miss o es nknown Miss		
					Unknown	Missi		
Smoking	Do you smoke cigarettes?	No	0	Do you smoke or have you ever smoked regularly, i.e. every day or almost every day?	Never			
	For peer review only	y - http://k	omjopen	.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml				

		I used to			
		smoke	1	Yes, before	
		Yes	2	Yes, I still do	
a: If someone answers yes to the quest	on of either of 1) or 2), the code is "Yes".				
	For peer revie	w only - http://bi	mjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		

BMJ Open

Association between adverse childhood experiences and adult diseases in older adults: A comparative crosssectional study in Japan and Finland

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-024609.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-May-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Amemiya, Airi; University of Tokyo School of Public Health, Department of Health Economics and Epidemiology Research Fujiwara, Takeo; Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Department of Global Health Promotion Shirai, Kokoro; Osaka University, Department of Public Health Kondo, Katsunori; Chiba University, Center for Preventive Medical Science Oksanen, Tuula; Finnish Institute of Occupational Health Pentti, Jaana; University of Turku, Department of Public Health Vahtera, Jussi; Tyoterveyslaitos
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Diabetes and endocrinology, Cardiovascular medicine, Oncology, Smoking and tobacco
Keywords:	Adverse childhood experience, Life-course approach, International comparison study, Japan, Finland

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Title:

Association between adverse childhood experiences and adult diseases in older

adults: A comparative cross-sectional study in Japan and Finland

Airi Amemiya¹, Takeo Fujiwara^{*2}, Kokoro Shirai³, Katsunori Kondo^{4, 5, 6}, Tuula Oksanen⁷, Jaana Pentti⁸, Jussi Vahtera^{8, 9}

1. Department of Social Medicine, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, 2-10-1 Okura, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 157-8535, Japan

2. Department of Global Health Promotion, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, 1-5-

45, Yushima, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8510, Japan

Department of Public Health, Graduate School of Medicine, Associate Professor, 2-2
 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka-shi, 565-0871 Osaka, Japan

4. Center for Preventive Medical Sciences, Chiba University, 1-8-1, Inohana, Chuo Ward, Chiba-shi, Chiba, 260-0856, Japan

5. Center for Well-being and Society, Nihon Fukushi University, Okuda, Mihama-cho, Chita-gun, Aichi 470-3295, Japan

 Department of Gerontology and Evaluation Study, Center for Gerontology and Social Science, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, 7-430 Morioka-cho, Obu City, Aichi 474-8511, Japan

7. Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, FI-20032 TYÖTERVEYSLAITOS, Turku,

ent of Public Health, .tu University Hospital, Kiinamyllynkatu. **Corresponding author:** Takeo Fujiwara 1:3-3417-2663 <u>hlth@tmd.ac.jp</u> 8. Department of Public Health, University of Turku, 20014 Turun yliopisto, Turku,

 $\mathbf{2}$

ABSTRACT

Objective: We aimed to examine the association between adverse childhood experiences

(ACEs) and adult diseases in older adults in Japan and Finland.

Design: Cross-sectional comparative study.

Setting: Data from a gerontological study in Japan and two public health studies in Finland were evaluated.

Participants: A total of 13,123 adults (mean age, 69.5 years) from Japan and 10,353 adults (mean age, 64.4 years) from Finland were included in this study. Logistic regression was used to examine the associations of each of, any of, and the cumulative number of ACEs (parental divorce, fear of a family member, and poverty in childhood; treated as ordered categorical variables) with poor self-rated health, cancer, heart disease or stroke, diabetes mellitus, smoking, and body mass index. Models were adjusted for sex, age, education, marital status, and working status.

Results: Of the respondents, 50% of those in Japan and 37% of those in Finland reported having experienced at least one of the measured ACEs. Number of ACEs was associated with poor self-rated health in both countries, and the point estimates were similar (odds ratio [OR]: 1.35, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.25-1.46 in Japan; OR: 1.34, 95% CI: 1.27-1.41 in Finland). Number of ACEs was associated with the prevalence of cancer,

heart disease or stroke, diabetes mellitus, current smoking, and an increase in body mass index in both countries.

Conclusions: The association between ACEs and poor self-rated health, adult diseases, and health behaviours was similar among older adults in both Japan and Finland. This international comparative study suggests that the impact of ACEs on health is noteworthy and consistent across cultural and social environments.

Strength and limitations of this study

- This is an international comparative study that investigated the impact of adverse childhood experiments (ACEs) on the health of older adults in different cultural and social environments (Japan and Finland) using harmonised data.
- The limitation of this study is that it was a cross-sectional study, and therefore differential recall and selection bias cannot be ruled out. Survival bias is also possible because the participants were older adults.
- Another limitation of this study is that the pooled data of the two countries were not accessible, and therefore interactive effects of the countries and ACE on adult health were not clear.

Although there is an increasing number of studies that have investigated the association between adverse childhood experiences (ACEs, such as long-term financial difficulties, parental divorce, and fear of a family member) and unhealthy behaviours (e.g., obesity, alcohol consumption, smoking, and lower levels of physical activity), adult diseases (e.g., cardiovascular disease, diabetes, stroke, cancer, and depression), and even early death,¹⁻⁴ few studies have investigated whether ACEs has an impact on the health of older adults. Because of the rising number of older adults in the world, it is therefore necessary to elucidate the risk factors for diseases among older people.

Further, to address the impact of ACEs on health of older people, it is also crucial to elucidate the commonality of the association, because the pathways linking childhood adversities with adult health are likely to be dependent on cultural or social environments.⁵⁻⁷ Therefore, a comparison of countries with different cultural and/or social environments in childhood, but with similar welfare state regimes, may provide further understanding of the underlying mechanisms of ACEs and older adult health. According to a systematic review, most of the recent studies evaluating the impact of multiple ACEs on health were performed in the United States (US) and the United Kingdom (UK), with only a few studies conducted in other countries,⁸ such as Asia⁹ or Nordic countries.^{10 11}

Because the US and UK are developed countries with high inequality, there is a need to confirm the association in developed but relatively equal, egalitarian countries, such as Japan or Finland.

Japan (population: approximately 127 million) and Finland (population: approximately 5.5 million) are members of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). The two countries employ a universal healthcare system ¹² ¹³ and provide free education to those aged 6 to 15 years.¹⁴ According to the World Happiness Report, the level of social support received (measured by having someone to count on in times of trouble) is relatively high in both countries (92.3% and 94.8% in Japan and Finland in 2015, respectively).¹⁵ However, the two countries differ in terms of equality (e.g., the Gini coefficient, a measure which represents the income distribution of a country's residents,¹⁶ was 0.38 in Japan in 2014 and 0.26 in Finland 2015). Out of the 37 OECD countries, Japan ranked 22th and Finland ranked 7th in 2015 in terms of equality.¹⁷ Furthermore, immigration policies in the two countries were different at the time of this study; international migrants made up 1.3% of the total Japanese population, whereas 6.2% of the Finnish population were international migrants in 2017.¹⁸ Moreover, the divorce rate in Japan in 2017 was 1.7/1,000 people,¹⁹ whereas it was 2.5/1,000 people in Finland in 2015.²⁰ Finally, the prevalence of ACEs also differed between Japan and

BMJ Open

Finland, with 37% of participants (mean age of 73 years old) in a Japanese study reporting at least one ACE,²¹ and 61% of participants (mean age of 48 years old) in a Finnish study reporting at least one ACE.²² Corporal punishment is not forbidden in Japan, but in Finland, it has been prohibited by law since 1983. In summary, both Japan and Finland are developed and egalitarian countries, but their differences in terms of inequality, immigration percentage, divorce rate, or policy on corporal punishment might contribute to the differential impact of ACEs on diseases in older adults. For example, deetiolated social capital due to inequality²³ might contribute to stronger impact of ACEs on health in older adults.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine and compare the association between ACEs and adult diseases, including unhealthy behaviours such as smoking, in older adults in Japan and Finland.

METHODS

Sample

The data in this study were collected from surveys conducted among older individuals in Finland and Japan. The Japanese data were from the Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study (JAGES), which comprises community-dwelling individuals aged 65 years and

older from 30 municipalities (in 14 municipalities the entire population was surveyed, whereas in the remaining 16 municipalities random sampling was performed) who were not eligible to receive benefits from public long-term care insurance services (e.g., those without functional disability). Self-administered survey questionnaires were delivered by post to those who were listed in a ledger of individuals insured for long-term care. The participants of the JAGES might be healthier than the average older Japanese population because one of the inclusion criteria to participate in the JAGES study is not receiving long-term care. The data used were from participants aged ≥ 65 years (n = 137,736, response rate = 71%), with a fifth randomly chosen and questioned for information on adverse experiences in childhood (n = 26,229) in 2013. The participants of the current study were restricted to an age range of 65-74 years (n = 15,070). Participants with missing data on any ACEs (n=1,158), self-rated health (SRH) (n=325), body mass index (BMI) (n=483), and smoking (n=163) were excluded.

The Finnish data were drawn from two prospective cohort studies, the Finnish Public Sector (FPS) study²⁴ and the Health and Social Support (HeSSup) study.²⁵ The FPS study included employees representing a wide range of occupations working in ten towns and six hospital districts. The participants of the FPS were individuals who were, at the time of the study or had previously been, public sector employees; thus, they did

BMJ Open

not fully represent the general Finnish population of the same age. The FPS data used in this study were derived from employed and retired participants in the 2008/2009 survey, and included information on self-reported ACEs (n = 42,877, response rate = 69%). For this study, all FPS study respondents were aged ≥ 60 years, and those who provided information on any ACEs, SRH, BMI, and smoking were selected (n=7,169). The HeSSup study targeted a sample representative of the Finnish population in four age groups (20-24, 30-34, 40-44, and 50-54 years), in 1998. Therefore, the participants of the HeSSup may be representative of the Finnish population.²⁶ In the 2012 follow-up survey, information on self-reported ACEs was obtained from 11,924 participants (response rate = 78%). Of them, those in the oldest age group (64–68 years) who provided data on any ACEs, SRH, BMI, and smoking were selected (n = 3,184). Self-administered survey questionnaires were used in the FPS and HeSSup studies. The two Finnish cohorts were pooled. The studies together included 13,123 (6,214 men and 6,909 women) participants from Japan and 10,353 (3,201 men and 7,152 women) participants from Finland.

The JAGES was approved by the Ethics Committee for Research on Human Subjects at Nihon Fukushi University, Japan (No. 10–05) and the Ethics Committee for Medical Research at the University of Tokyo (No. 10555). The FPS study was approved

by the Ethics Committee of the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District and HeSSup study by the joint Ethics Committee of the University of Turku and the Turku University Central Hospital. The studies include ethical approval for secondary analyses. The information on data management and handling is relevant and available for the study administrators in each country. We did not handle any personal identifiers in the analysis.

Participants and Public Involvement

Patients were not involved in the development of the research question, outcome measures, design, or conduct of the study.

Measurement of adverse childhood experiences

The questions related to ACEs were somewhat different between the three studies, but the variables were harmonised. In short, we assessed three ACEs: (1) parental divorce (the FPS study, HeSSup study, and JAGES), (2) fear of a family member (FPS and HeSSup studies)/witness of domestic violence or physical abuse (JAGES), and (3) financial difficulties in the family (FPS study, HeSSup study, and JAGES).

In the JAGES, we assessed ACEs using four questions modified from Felitti's original ACE study[1] and the World Mental Health survey in Japan.²⁷ Respondents were asked if they had experienced the following adversities in their childhood (yes/no):

BMJ Open

parents' divorce/separation, financial difficulties in the family, being witness to domestic violence, or physical abuse. We categorised those who responded having experienced "being witness to domestic violence" and/or "physical abuse" as having "frequent fear of a family member" to make these ACEs comparable to those in the FPS and HeSSup studies. Violence against the child (physical abuse) and the mother (witnessing domestic violence) may both result in "fear of a family member", and we therefore coded witness of domestic violence or physical abuse as "fear of a family member". These ACEs measures have been shown to predict the number of remaining teeth²⁸ and higher functional limitation in Japan.²⁹ In the FPS and HeSSup studies, we assessed ACEs using three survey questions modified from Statistics Finland's Survey of Living Conditions.³⁰ Respondents were asked whether they had experienced the following adversities: parent's divorce/separation, long-term financial difficulties in the family, and frequent fear of a family member (the response categories "no", "yes", and "cannot say" were coded as dummy variables), and the findings have been used in other studies to predict the presence of coronary heart disease,³¹ depression,³¹ and non-adherence to statin therapy.⁷ The questions regarding each ACE are shown in Supplemental table S1.

For the present study, the three ACEs were analysed both separately and as a summary variable (0, 1, 2 and 3 ACEs).

Measurement of adult health and health behaviours

Questions on adult health and health behaviours were somewhat different between the countries. In the JAGES, SRH was measured using the question: "How do you feel about your current health status: excellent, good, fair, or poor?" Responses were recoded to dichotomous response variables (fair/poor as 0, and excellent/good as 1). A history of being diagnosed with cancer, heart diseases or stroke, or diabetes mellitus was self-reported (response categories: "yes" and "no"). BMI was calculated as participants' self-reported weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. The smoking status (current, former, or never) was based on replies to survey questionnaires.

In the FPS and HeSSup studies, SRH was measured through the question: "How is your current health status?" with five response categories (from 1 [poor] to 5 [excellent]). The responses "moderate", "good", and "excellent" were categorised as good (1), and the other response options as poor (0). Information on cancer was derived from the National Cancer Registry, and prevalent cardiovascular disease (heart disease or stroke) and diabetes mellitus were defined based on the special reimbursement for the medication for these diseases, as obtained from the Social Insurance Institution of Finland. In FPS and HeSSup, we used personal identification codes, assigned to all Finnish citizens,

BMJ Open

to link the respondents to their records in national health registers. BMI was calculated from self-reported weight and height. Smoking status (current, former, and never) was based on survey responses. Questions regarding health and health behaviours are shown in Supplemental table S2. **\$**0

Covariates

Education was divided into three levels: (i) 9 years or less, referring to a comprehensive school education in Finland and junior high school education in Japan, (ii) 9–12 years, describing post-compulsory secondary general academic and vocational education in Finland and high school or technical college education in Japan, and (iii) 12 years or more, referring to a university degree in both countries. Marital status was divided into four categories: (i) single, never married and non-cohabiting, (ii) widowed, (iii) divorced, and (iv) married or cohabiting with a partner. Working status was divided into two categories: (i) working and (ii) retired or never worked (Japan). Regarding working status, those who had never worked were not included in FPS because FPS is a study of individuals who were at the time of study, or had previously been, public sector employees and represented a wide range of occupations. Therefore, we combined 'retired and never worked' as 'not working' in Japan to be comparable with the studies in Finland.

Missing values were treated as dummy variables.

Statistical analysis

Logistic regression analysis was performed to examine the association of each ACE, any ACE, and the cumulative number of ACEs (e.g., 0, 1, 2, and 3 ACEs) with health outcomes. Linear regression analysis was used for BMI. Multinomial logistic regression was used for smoking status. Model 1 was adjusted for age and sex. Model 2 was further adjusted for education, marital status, and working status.

The odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were calculated. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata (ver. 13.1; StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the distribution of the variables for each country. The prevalence rates of parental divorce, fear of a family member, and childhood poverty were 3%, 9%, and 47%, respectively, in Japan. In Finland, these proportions were 9%, 13%, and 29%, respectively. Of the respondents, 50% of those in Japan and 37% of those in Finland reported having experienced at least one ACE. The mean age of the participants and the proportion of men

BMJ Open

were higher in Japan than in Finland.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants

		(JAGE	pan S) (N = 123)	Finland FPS HeSSup (N = 10	and studies)	
		N or	% or	N or	% or	
	~	mean	SD	mean	SD	
Parental divorce		336	2.6	958	9.3	p <0.01
Fear of a family member		1195	9.1	1348	13.0	p <0.01
Childhood poverty		6135	46.8	3013	29.1	p <0.01
Any adverse childhood ex	perience	6561	50.0	3852	37.2	p <0.01
Total number of adverse childhood experiences	0	6562	50.0	6501	62.8	p <0.01
	1	5517	42.0	2634	25.4	
	2	983	7.5	969	9.4	
	3	61	0.5	249	2.4	
Age		69.5	2.8	64.4	2.9	p <0.01
Sex	Male	6214	47.4	3201	30.9	p <0.0
	Female	6909	52.7	7152	69.1	
SRH	Poor/fair	1770	13.5	3780	36.5	p <0.0
Cancer		460	3.5	388	3.8	p < 0.32
	Missing			54		
Heart disease or stroke		1353	10.3	466	4.5	p <0.0
	Missing			54	0.5	
Diabetes mellitus		1738	13.2	714	6.9	p <0.0
	Missing			54	0.5	
BMI mean		23.0	3.1	26.7	4.4	p <0.01

Smoking	Never	9221	70.3	6973	67.4	p <0.01
	Former	2221	16.9	2444	23.6	
	Current	1681	12.8	936	9.0	
Education	<9 years	4395	33.5	1868	18.0	p <0.0
	10-12 years	5476	41.7	3168	30.6	
	12+ years	3103	23.7	5234	50.6	
	Missing	149	1.1	83	0.8	
Marital status	Single	326	2.5	574	5.5	p <0.0
	Divorced	535	4.1	1414	13.7	
	Widowed	1606	12.2	741	7.2	
	Married/c ohabit	10441	79.6	7557	73.0	
	Missing	215	1.6	67	0.7	
Working status	Not working	8604	65.6	3092	29.9	p <0.0
	Working	4062	31.0	7230	69.8	
	Missing	457	3.5	31	0.3	

JAGES; Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study, FPS; Finnish Public Sector, HeSSup; Health and Social Support, SD; standard deviation, SRH; self-rated health, BMI; body mass index

a: Chi-squared test. b: t-test

As for SRH, the rate of "poor or fair" was higher in Finland than in Japan (37% and 14%, respectively). The prevalence of cancer was similar in both countries; however, that of heart disease or stroke and diabetes mellitus was higher in Japan. As for BMI, the mean BMI was higher in Finland than in Japan. The distribution of smoking status was

BMJ Open

similar. In the case of socioeconomic status, 50% of the people in Finland and 24% of those in Japan were educated for 12 years or more. Of the participants in Finland, 70% were currently working, whereas 66% of those in Japan were currently not working.

Table 2 shows the association between ACEs and SRH, and diseases (e.g., cancer, heart disease or stroke, and diabetes mellitus). SRH was associated with each type of ACE and the number of ACEs, for both countries. Interestingly, the point estimates were similar. For example, the OR of the number of ACEs for poor/fair SRH was 1.35 (95% CI: 1.25-1.46) in Japan and 1.34 (95% CI: 1.27-1.41) in Finland, after adjusting the covariates (Model 2). The ORs of the number of ACEs for cancer, heart disease or stroke, and diabetes mellitus were also similar in both studies in Model 2. As for each ACE, fear of a family member showed significant risk for cancer and diabetes in Finland, which was not observed in Japan.

		Japan				Finland				
			Model 1		Model 2	Model 1		Model 2		
		OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
SRH (poor/fair)	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.40	1.05 - 1.86	1.34	1.004 - 1.80	1.37	1.20 - 1.57	1.30	1.13 - 1.4	
	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	1.77	1.52 - 2.05	1.70	1.46 - 1.98	1.65	1.47 - 1.85	1.56	1.39 - 1.7	
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.46	1.32 - 1.62	1.32	1.19 - 1.47	1.68	1.54 - 1.83	1.60	1.46 - 1.7	
	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	1.50	1.36 - 1.67	1.37	1.23 - 1.52	1.66	1.53 - 1.80	1.60	1.47 - 1.7	
	Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	1.43	1.33 - 1.54	1.35	1.25 - 1.46	1.39	1.32 - 1.46	1.34	1.27 - 1.4	
Cancer	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.32	0.78 - 2.24	1.40	0.82 - 2.38	1.16	0.82 - 1.62	1.19	- 0.84 - 1.6	
	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	0.99	0.72 - 1.37	1.01	0.73 - 1.40	1.36	1.03 - 1.80	1.40	1.06 - 1.8	
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.26	1.04 - 1.52	1.31	1.08 - 1.59	1.05	0.84 - 1.32	1.07	0.86 - 1.3	
	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	1.26	1.04 - 1.52	1.31	1.08 - 1.59	1.11	0.90 - 1.36	1.13	0.91 - 1.3	
	Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	1.16	1.008 - 1.33	1.20	1.04 - 1.38	1.11	0.97 - 1.26	1.13	0.99 - 1.2	
Heart disease or						0.93	0.66 - 1.32		-	
stroke	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.30	0.94 - 1.81	1.26	0.91 - 1.76			0.90	0.63 - 1.2	
	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	1.13	0.94 - 1.37	1.10	0.91 - 1.32	1.16	0.88 - 1.54	1.11	0.84 - 1.4	
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.16	1.03 - 1.30	1.11	0.99 - 1.25	1.35	1.10 - 1.64	1.30	1.07 - 1.5	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	1.15	1.02 - 1.29	1.10	0.97 - 1.24	1.32	1.09 - 1.59	1.28	1.05 - 1.55
	Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	1.14	1.04 - 1.24	1.10	1.01 - 1.20	1.14	1.01 - 1.28	1.11	0.98 - 1.25
Diabetes mellitus	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.29	0.96 - 1.73	1.30	0.97 - 1.74	1.37	1.07 - 1.75	1.34	1.05 - 1.72
	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	1.11	0.94 - 1.32	1.12	0.95 - 1.33	1.46	1.18 - 1.80	1.42	1.15 - 1.76
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.07	0.97 - 1.19	1.06	0.95 - 1.18	1.15	0.97 - 1.35	1.12	0.95 - 1.32
	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	1.12	1.01 - 1.24	1.11	1.001 - 1.24	1.21	1.04 - 1.42	1.19	1.02 - 1.39
	Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	1.08	1.001 - 1.17	1.08	0.995 - 1.17	1.18	1.08 - 1.30	1.17	1.06 - 1.28

"Any" denotes the presence of at least 1 ACE.

ACE; Adverse childhood experience, OR; odds ratio, CI; confidence interval; SRH, self-rated health; ref., reference

Model 1: Adjusted for age and sex. Model 2: Further adjusted for education, marital status, and working status. d status,

Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) were derived from logistic regression models.

Bold text indicates statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05.

Table 3 shows the associations between ACEs and smoking. Former smoking and current smoking were positively associated with the number of ACEs for both counties, and the ORs were similar. Table 4 shows the association between ACEs and BMI. BMI was positively associated with each type of ACE, and with the number of ACEs in Finland. This positive association was also significant in Japan.

To be the work

Page 21 of 39

 BMJ Open

		Japan				Finland			
		Model 1		Model 2		Model 1		Model 2	
		OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Former smoking (ref: never)	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.13	0.82 - 1.57	1.12	0.81 - 1.56	1.54	1.31 - 1.81	1.48	1.26 - 1.7
	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	1.14	0.96 - 1.36	1.11	0.93 - 1.32	1.45	1.26 - 1.67	1.38	1.20 - 1.6
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.14	1.02 - 1.26	1.13	1.02 - 1.26	1.42	1.28 - 1.57	1.37	1.24 - 1.5
	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	1.13	1.02 - 1.26	1.12	1.01 - 1.25	1.45	1.31 - 1.60	1.40	1.27 - 1.5
	Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	1.11	1.03 - 1.21	1.11	1.02 - 1.20	1.30	1.22 - 1.38	1.26	1.19 - 1.3
Current smoking (ref: never)	Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	1.46	1.06 - 2.01	1.32	0.95 - 1.82	1.64	1.32 - 2.03	1.49	1.20 - 1.8
	Fear of a family member (ref.no): Yes	1.29	1.07 - 1.55	1.16	0.96 - 1.40	1.45	1.19 - 1.76	1.32	1.09 - 1.6
	Childhood poverty (ref.no): Yes	1.20	1.07 - 1.35	1.10	0.98 - 1.24	1.15	0.99 - 1.40	1.07	0.92 - 1.2
	Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	1.24	1.10 - 1.39	1.13	1.01 - 1.27	1.33	1.16 - 1.53	1.24	1.08 - 1.4
	Number of ACEs (continuous variable)	1.20	1.10 - 1.31	1.11	1.02 - 1.22	1.22	1.12 - 1.33	1.15	1.06 - 1.2

"Any" denotes the presence of at least 1 ACE.

ACE; Adverse childhood experience, OR; odds ratio, CI; confidence interval; ref, reference

Model 1: Adjusted for age and sex. Model 2: Further adjusted for education, marital status, and working status.

Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) were derived from multinomial logistic regression models.

Bold text indicates statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

		Japa	an			Finland				
-	Ν	Model 1	Model 2		Ν	Model 1		odel 2		
-	Coef.	95% CI	Coef.	95% CI	Coef.	95% CI	Coef.	95% CI		
Parental divorce (ref.no): Yes	0.18	-0.15 - 0.52	0.18	-0.15 - 0.51	0.60	0.31 - 0.89	0.52	0.23 - 0.81		
Fear of a family member	0.22	0.02 0.40	0.20	0.02 0.20	0.40	0.24 0.75	0.40	0.15 0.65		
(ref.no): Yes	0.22	0.03 - 0.40	0.20	0.02 - 0.39	0.49	0.24 - 0.75	0.40	0.15 - 0.65		
Childhood poverty (ref.no):	0.15	0.04 - 0.25	0.07	-0.03 - 0.18	0.49	0.30 - 0.67	0.40	0.22 - 0.59		
Yes	0.15	0.04 - 0.25	0.07	-0.03 - 0.18	0.49	0.30 - 0.07	0.40	0.22 - 0.59		
Any ACE (ref.no): Yes	0.14	0.03 - 0.24	0.07	-0.04 - 0.18	0.40	0.23 - 0.57	0.33	0.15 - 0.50		
Number of ACEs (continuous	0.14	0.06 - 0.22	0.09	0.01 - 0.18	0.36	0.25 - 0.47	0.30	0.19 - 0.41		
variable)	0.14	0.00 - 0.22	0.09	0.01 - 0.18	0.30	0.23 - 0.47	0.50	0.19 - 0.41		

ACE; Adverse childhood experience, Coef.; coefficient, CI; confidence interval; BMI, body mass index.

Model 1: Adjusted for age and sex. Model 2: Further adjusted for education, marital status, and working status.

Coefficients (95% confidence intervals) were derived from regression models.

Bold text indicates statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05.

1 DISCUSSION

 $\mathbf{2}$ To our knowledge, this is the first study that compares the impact of ACEs on health of older adults between two countries. This study showed that elderly individuals in Japan and Finland who had experienced ACEs had worse health profiles (sub-optimal SRH and presence of chronic diseases) and more often had biological and behavioural risk factors (high BMI and $\mathbf{5}$ smoking) than those with no ACEs. The strength of these associations was weak or modest, and similar between the two countries, although careful interpretation is needed because the $\overline{7}$ assessment of ACEs in Japan and Finland was different. The only exception was BMI, which showed a more robust association in the Finnish cohorts than in the Japanese cohort. Our finding which highlights the association between ACEs and poor health among older adults is consistent with those of other studies. The association was weaker compared with those in other studies,^{5-7 8} and this might be due to survival bias as we focused on older adults. Regarding SRH, the association between fear of a family member in childhood (i.e. in Japan, it was assessed as witness of intimate partner violence) and poor SRH among older adults was slightly stronger than that for parental divorce or childhood poverty in Japan; however, in Finland, such a difference was not observed. Considering that the prevalence of intimate partner violence in Japan was 0.1%, while 0.25% in Finland in the OECD report,³² this result can be interpreted as the detection of intimate partner violence may not be as adequate in Japan compared with Finland, and thus the problem might be unresolved and showed stronger impact

for SRH in older age. Alternatively, physical punishment might be more pervasive in Japan than in Finland;³³ physical punishment is forbidden by law in Finland but not in Japan. Regarding cancer, childhood poverty was associated with cancer among older people in Japan, but this association was not observed in Finland. Childhood poverty in Japan might lead to poverty in older age,²⁹ which may result in delays in medical check-ups or consultations. Previous study has shown that adults with lower health literacy, which is more likely to happen among those living in poverty, are less likely to use healthcare services in Japan.³⁴ Generally, the strength of these associations was similar between the two countries. The consistency of our results in harmonised cohorts from two different countries suggests that childhood adversities affect health similarly in these two societies. In spite of the differences in the cultural and social environments of older adults in these countries,^{35 36} it is interesting to note that the associations observed were similar. A likely explanation could be the presence of universal healthcare system in these countries, which offers adequate medical treatment for diseases over one's life span, and is likely lead to the attenuation of the impact of ACEs on health later in life. This might explain the lower OR of ACEs for diseases comparing previous studies, because universal health care system might be effective to protect older adults with ACEs. In addition, the presence of equal free educational opportunities in both countries may explain the similar impact of ACEs on adult health, as educational attainment can attenuate the impact of ACEs on later health.³⁷ The presence of high social support,³⁸ cultural engagement,

Page 25 of 39

1

BMJ Open

2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
o		
7		
, _		
8		
9		
9		
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1		
1	8	
	2	
1	9	
2	ስ	
~	J	
2	1	
_	_	
2	2	
2	R	
-	012345678901234567	
2	4	
h	F	
2	2	
2	6	
~	_	
2	/	
2	8	
_	2	
2	9	
2	n	
2	υ	
3	1	
-	-	
3	2	
ર	3	
	2	
3	4	
2	F	
د	J	
3	6	
-	_	
3	/	
3	8	
3	9	
	0	
4	1	
	2	
Δ	3	
4	4	
л	5	
4	6	
4	/	
Δ	8	
4	9	
-	0	
0	υ	
5	1	
_		
5	2	
5	З	
ر	ر	
5	4	
-	-	
C	5	
5	6	
-	ļ	
5	/	
5	, 8	
<u> </u>	0	
5	9	

60

access to trusted adults³⁹ in both countries may also attenuate the impact of ACEs on later-life 39 health through the enhancement of resilience, described as the ability to adapt to adverse 40 environment.⁴⁰ The effect of ACEs on health, in different educational or healthcare systems, 41requires further study. 42Similarly, ACEs were associated with smoking, which is consistent with the findings 43of a previous comparative research in eight Eastern European countries⁵ and other studies in 44 the US,^{1 41} UK,⁴² and Finland⁴³, although this association was weak in the current study. The 45Eastern European comparative study reported that ACEs were associated with smoking among 46adults aged 14–66 years.⁵ The relationship between ACEs and smoking has predominantly been 47investigated among adults towards middle age; however, few studies have examined these 48 relationships using large community samples of older adults. Our results suggest that ACEs 49have a consistent long-term effect on smoking among older adults despite the differences in the 5051price of cigarettes or smoking advertisements between the countries. A meta-analysis showed a moderate odds ratio for smoking among those with four or more ACEs compared with those 52with no ACEs.⁸ The association was weaker in the current study than that in the meta-analysis, 53possibly because there were only three ACEs measured in the current study, and the association 54may have been underestimated because of early death due to smoking and ACEs (e.g., survival 55bias). 56ACEs were associated with an increase in BMI in both countries; however, the impact 57

of ACEs was higher in Finland. This association was consistent with that observed in a previous study which showed that ACEs elevated the risk of obesity in the US,¹² UK,⁴² and Finland.⁴⁴ The obesity rate among older individuals was higher in Finland than in Japan in the current study; thus, we used continuous BMI as an outcome. The presence of sampling bias in the current study may have also led to the lower impact of ACEs on BMI in Japan, as the JAGES included only individuals without nursing care. On the contrary, "fear of a family member" showed significant positive association with cancer and diabetes in Finland, which was not observed in Japan. This might be due to the difference in the assessment of fear of a family member. In the Finnish study, it was asked as it is, while in JAGES, witness of domestic violence was used as a proxy measurement of fear of a family member. It may be possible that in Japan, witness of domestic violence may not always induce fear of a family member, say, if the child was used to it. Thus, in Japan the association was weak. Alternatively, fear of a family member may not necessarily be a risk factor for adult disease in Japan because of the rich social network, especially among kin relatives,^{45 46} which provides an environment for children to escape from a fearful family member. Further study using the same question is needed to confirm whether the discrepancy is due to the difference in the assessment of social environment. There are several limitations to this study. First, this was a cross-sectional study

conducted among older adults. As ACEs were self-reported, differential recall bias cannot be

Page 27 of 39

BMJ Open

ruled out. Recent review showed poor agreement between prospective and retrospective assessment of childhood maltreatment.⁴⁷ However, in contrast, other review studies reported that the validity of retrospective assessment of ACEs is acceptable.^{48 49} Second, these results, although based on harmonised measures, were derived from only two egalitarian developed countries, which preclude the generalisation of the findings to other countries. Further studies are warranted to investigate the association between other ACEs and adult disease in different cultural settings, and in low- and middle-income countries. Third, we did not assess other ACEs such as sexual abuse, neglect, childhood neighbourhood deprivation, or family disfunction (i.e., mental disorder of a family member, or incarcerated family member), and thus the number of ACEs were limited to only three. The limited number of ACEs precluded to assess stronger impact of ACEs on adult diseases, as a previous meta-analysis revealed.⁸ Further studies are necessary to investigate the impact of other ACEs on the health of older adults. Fourth, there is a disparity in the measurement of ACEs and health, and ACEs and health behaviours, across the JAGES, FPS, and HeSSup. The differences in measurement might result in heterogeneity of the results. More specifically, the assessment of fear of a family member in the JAGES, FPS and HeSSup was different, which may result in heterogeneity between study estimates. Fifth, the participants in the JAGES did not have functional disability, and hence might be healthier than the average Japanese older population. Therefore, the results of the study might be underestimated. Alternatively, the results might be subjected to survival bias. The average age

of the study participants was 69.5 years in Japan and 64.4 years in Finland. People who passed away before the current study from ACE-related diseases or health risk behaviours would not have been included in the current study. Therefore, the results of the current study may underestimate the health effects of ACEs. Further, FPS was a not representative sample, thus the prevalence of diseases, such as cancer, may be different from other studies. Sixth, considering other covariates such as levels of inequality, current and previous household income, environmental risks (e.g., parental smoking), or genetic variation was not feasible due to data availability. In fact, education level was higher in the Finnish sample than in the Japanese sample. Finally, we were unable to pool the data of the two countries due to restriction on the Finnish data, and therefore interactive effects of the countries and ACE on adult health were unclear. Nonetheless, this is the first study that investigated the association between ACEs and health and health behaviour among older people in two countries. In Japan and Finland, the relationship between ACEs and health was similar for SRH, specific diseases and smoking. The impact of ACEs on BMI was stronger in Finland than in Japan. These results suggest a notable association between ACEs and health among older people, and that this association remains consistent even in countries with a different social environment. Based on these findings, health policy to address ACEs is needed to prevent future diseases among older adults.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
13 14	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22 22 23 24	
23	
24	
25	
26	
26 27	
28	
29	
29 30 31	
31	
32	
32 33	
27	
34	
35	
36 37	
3/	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52 53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

116 117Funding: This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science's KAKENHI Grants (grant number JP15H01972 and JP16K16633), Health Labour Sciences 118Research Grants (grant number H28-Choju-Ippan-002), Research and Development Grants for 119Longevity Science from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED), 120Personal Health Record Utilization Project from AMED, Research Funding for Longevity 121122Sciences from the National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology (grant number 29-42), and the World Health Organization Centre for Health Development (WHO Kobe Centre) (WHO 123APW 2017/713981). Jussi Vahtera was supported by NordForsk (Nordic Research Program on 124Health and Welfare). Tuula Oksanen received funding from the Finnish Work Environment 125Fund (grant number 117094). 126127Authors' contribution: TF conceived design, KS, KK, JV collected data, TF, AA, TO, JP, JV 128analysed data, AA wrote first draft, TF, TO, and JV finalized manuscript. All authors approved 129130 final version of manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript. 131132Data sharing statement: FPS and HeSSup data is not available for out of research team.

133 JAGES data may be available if proposal is approved by research team.

134 **References**

6 135 7

5

8 9

10

11 12

13

- Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *Am J Prev Med* 1998;14(4):245-58. [published Online First: 139 1998/06/23]
- 141402. Anda RF, Felitti VJ, Bremner JD, et al. The enduring effects of abuse and related adverse15141experiences in childhood. A convergence of evidence from neurobiology and16142epidemiology. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2006;256(3):174-86. doi:1814310.1007/s00406-005-0624-4 [published Online First: 2005/11/29]
- 144
 Nandi A, Glymour MM, Kawachi I, et al. Using marginal structural models to estimate the
 145
 146
 and stroke. *Epidemiology* 2012;23(2):223-32. doi: 10.1097/EDE.0b013e31824570bd
 147
 00001648 201202000 00008 [rith] [multished Online First: 2012/02/10]
- ²⁴ 147 00001648-201203000-00008 [pii] [published Online First: 2012/02/10]
- 4. Brown DW, Anda RF, Tiemeier H, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and the risk of 14826 27 149 premature mortality. Am JPrev Med 2009;37(5):389-96. doi: 28 10.1016/j.amepre.2009.06.021 29 150
- 5. Bellis MA, Hughes K, Leckenby N, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and associations
 with health-harming behaviours in young adults: surveys in eight eastern European
 countries. *Bull World Health Organ* 2014;92(9):641-55. doi: 10.2471/BLT.13.129247
 [published Online First: 2014/11/08]
- ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁸
 ³⁹
 ³⁹
 ³⁹
 ³⁷
 ³⁹
 ³⁰
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³²
 ³³
 ³⁴
 ³⁵
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁸
 ³⁹
 ³⁹
 ³⁹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³²
 ³²
 ³²
 ³³
 ³⁴
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁸
 ³⁸
 ³⁸
 ³⁹
 ³⁹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³²
 ³²
 ³²
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁸
 ³⁹
 ³⁹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³²
 ³²
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁶
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁸
 ³⁸
 ³⁹
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 <li
- 41 158 S0749-3797(10)00277-1 [pii] [published Online First: 2010/06/16]
- 42 7. Halonen JI, Stenholm S, Pentti J, et al. Childhood Psychosocial Adversity and Adult 15943 160 Neighborhood Disadvantage as Predictors of Cardiovascular Disease: A Cohort Study. 44 45 161 Circulation 2015;132(5):371-9. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.015392 46 [published Online First: 2015/06/13] 16247
- ⁴⁸
 ⁴⁸
 ⁴⁸
 ⁴⁹
 ⁴⁸
 ⁴⁸
 ⁴⁹
 ⁴⁰
 ⁴⁰
 ⁴⁰
 ⁴¹
 ⁴²
 ⁴¹
 ⁴²
 ⁴¹
 ⁴¹
 ⁴¹
 ⁴¹
 ⁴²
 ⁴¹
 ⁴²
 ⁴²
 ⁴²
 ⁴²
 ⁴²
 ⁴²
 ⁴³
 ⁴⁴
 ⁴⁵
 ⁴⁴
 ⁴⁵
 ⁴⁵</l
- ⁵⁴ 167
 ⁵⁵ 168
 ⁵⁶ 168
 ⁵⁷ 169
 ⁵⁷ Sci 2008;21(5):411-9. doi: 10.1016/S0895-3988(08)60062-8 [published Online First:
 ⁵⁹ 170
 ⁵⁰ 2009/01/13]
- ⁶⁰ 171 10. Pirkola S, Isometsa E, Aro H, et al. Childhood adversities as risk factors for adult mental

1 2		
3	172	disorders. Soc Psych Psych Epid 2005;40(10):769-77. doi: 10.1007/s00127-005-0950-
4 5	173	X
6	174	11. Harkonmaki K, Korkeila K, Vahtera J, et al. Childhood adversities as a predictor of
7 8	175	disability retirement. J Epidemiol Commun H 2007;61(6):479-84. doi: DOI
9	176	10.1136/jech.2006.052670
10 11	177	12. Shibuya K, Hashimoto H, Ikegami N, et al. Future of Japan's system of good health at low
12	178	cost with equity: beyond universal coverage. <i>Lancet</i> 2011;378(9798):1265-73. doi:
13 14	170179	10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61098-2
14 15	175	S0140-6736(11)61098-2 [pii] [published Online First: 2011/09/03]
16		
17 18	181	13. Vuorenkosky L, Mladovsky P, Mossialos E. Finland: Health system review. <i>Health systems</i>
19	182	in transition 2008;10(4):1-168.
20 21	183	14. Cummings WK. Education and equality in Japan: Princeton University Press 2014.
22	184	15. John Helliwell, Richard Layard, Sachs J. The World Happiness Report: New York:
23 24	185	Sustainable Development Solutions Network; 2016 [Available from:
25	186	http://worldhappiness.report/ accessed Nov, 18 2016.
26 27	187	16. Yitzhaki S, Schechtman E. Social Welfare, Relative Deprivation, and the Gini Coefficient.
28	188	Springer Ser Stat 2013:253-73. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-4720-7_13
29 30	189	17. OECD. OECD Income Distribution Database (IDD): Gini, poverty, income, methods and
31	190	concepts 2016 [Available from: <u>http://www.oecd.org/social/income-distribution-</u>
32	191	database.htm accessed Jul, 18 2017.
33 34	192	18. United Nations. International Migration 2017 2017 [Available from:
35	193	http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/migration/publications/wallchart/d
36 37	194	ocs/MigrationWallChart2017.pdf accessed August 27 2018.
38	195	19. Japanese Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications. Statistical Handbook of Japan
39 40	196	2017 2017 [Available from: http://www.stat.go.jp/english/data/handbook/c0117.html
41	197	accessed August 27 2018.
42 43	198	20. eurostat. Marriage and divorce statistics 2015 [Available from:
44	199	https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-
45 46	200	explained/index.php/Marriage_and_divorce_statistics accessed August 27 2018.
40 47	201	21. Amemiya A, Fujiwara T, Murayama H, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and higher-
48 49	202	level functional limitations among older Japanese people: results from the JAGES study.
49 50	203	J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2017 doi: 10.1093/gerona/glx097 [published Online First:
51 52	204	2017/05/20]
52 53	205	22. Halonen JI, Vahtera J, Kivimaki M, et al. Adverse experiences in childhood, adulthood
54 55	206	neighbourhood disadvantage and health behaviours. J Epidemiol Community Health
55 56	207	2014;68(8):741-6. doi: 10.1136/jech-2013-203441 [published Online First: 2014/04/26]
57	208	23. Kawachi I, Kennedy BP, Lochner K, et al. Social capital, income inequality, and mortality.
58 59	209	<i>Am J Public Health</i> 1997;87(9):1491-8.
60	210	24. Laaksonen E, Martikainen P, Lahelma E, et al. Socioeconomic circumstances and common

- mental disorders among Finnish and British public sector employees: evidence from the
 Helsinki Health Study and the Whitehall II Study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2007;36(4):776-86.
 doi: dym074 [pii]
- ³ 214 10.1093/ije/dym074 [published Online First: 2007/05/23]
- 215 25. Feldt T, Lintula H, Suominen S, et al. Structural validity and temporal stability of the 13 216 item sense of coherence scale: prospective evidence from the population-based HeSSup
 217 study. *Qual Life Res* 2007;16(3):483-93. doi: 10.1007/s11136-006-9130-z
- 218
 26. Korkeila K, Suominen S, Ahvenainen J, et al. Non-response and related factors in a nation wide health survey. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2001;17(11):991-9. [published Online First:
 2002/10/17]
- 221 27. Fujiwara T, Kawakami N. Association of childhood adversities with the first onset of mental
 222 disorders in Japan: results from the World Mental Health Japan, 2002-2004. *J Psychiatr* 223 *Res* 2011;45(4):481-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.08.002
- 224 S0022-3956(10)00243-8 [pii] [published Online First: 2010/08/24]
- 24
 25
 28. Matsuyama Y, Fujiwara T, Aida J, et al. Experience of childhood abuse and later number
 26
 226
 226
 227
 227
 227
 228
 228
 229
 228
 229
 228
 229
 228
 229
 228
 229
 228
 229
 228
 220
 220
 221
 222
 222
 223
 224
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 228
 229
 229
 228
 229
 220
 220
 221
 221
 221
 2221
 221
 221
 221
- 229
 29. Amemiya A, Fujiwara T, Murayama H, et al. Adverse Childhood Experiences and Higher 230
 230
 231
 231
 231
 231
 232
 232
 233
 234
 235
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 239
 230
 230
 231
 231
 231
 231
 231
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 232
 231
 232
 232
 232
 233
 234
 235
 235
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 230
 230
 230
 231
 231
 232
 231
 232
 232
 232
 232
 232
 234
 235
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 239
 230
 230
 230
 231
 231
 232
 231
 232
 232
 231
 232
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 231
 232
 232
 232
 232
 232
 233
 234
 - 30. Rahkonen O, Lahelma E, Huuhka M. Past or present? Childhood living conditions and
 current socioeconomic status as determinants of adult health. *Soc Sci Med*1997;44(3):327-36. doi: S0277953696001025 [pii] [published Online First:
 1997/02/01]
- 237
 237
 238
 238
 238
 239
 239
 31. Korkeila J, Vahtera J, Korkeila K, et al. Childhood adversities as predictors of incident coronary heart disease and cerebrovascular disease. *Heart* 2010;96(4):298-303. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2009.188250
- 47 240 96/4/298 [pii] [published Online First: 2010/03/03]
- 48
 49
 41
 32. OECD Social Policy Division Directorate of Employment LaSA. Family Violence. 2013.
 50
 50
 242
 <u>https://www.oecd.org/els/soc/SF3_4_Family_violence_Jan2013.pdf</u>.
- ⁵¹ 243 33. Iwai H. Social Tolerance for the Use of Physical Punishment by Parents:
- An Analysis of Attitude toward Physical Punishment using JGSS-2008. JGSS Research Series
 245 2010;No.7
- 34. Goto E, Ishikawa H, Okuhara T, et al. Relationship of health literacy with utilization of
 health-care services in a general Japanese population. *Prev Med Rep* 2019;14:100811.
 doi: 10.1016/j.pmedr.2019.01.015 [published Online First: 2019/03/01]
- ⁶⁰ 249 35. Chartier MJ, Walker JR, Naimark B. Separate and cumulative effects of adverse childhood

Page 33 of 39

BMJ Open

1 2		
3	250	experiences in predicting adult health and health care utilization. Child Abuse Negl
4 5	251	2010;34(6):454-64. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2009.09.020
6	252	36. Fujiwara T, Kondo K, Shirai K, et al. Associations of childhood socioeconomic status and
7 8	253	adulthood height with functional limitations among Japanese older people: results from
9	254	the JAGES 2010 Project. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2014;69(7):852-9. doi:
10 11	255	10.1093/gerona/glt189
12	256	37. Tani Y, Fujiwara T, Kondo N, et al. Childhood Socioeconomic Status and Onset of
13 14	257	Depression among Japanese Older Adults: The JAGES Prospective Cohort Study. Am
15 16	258	J Geriatr Psychiatry 2016;24(9):717-26. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2016.06.001
16 17	259	38. Kuh D, Shlomo YB. A life course approach to chronic disease epidemiology: Oxford
18 10	260	University Press 2004.
19 20	261	39. Bellis MA, Hardcastle K, Ford K, et al. Does continuous trusted adult support in childhood
21	262	impart life-course resilience against adverse childhood experiences - a retrospective
22 23	263	study on adult health-harming behaviours and mental well-being. BMC Psychiatry
24 25	264	2017;17(1):110. doi: 10.1186/s12888-017-1260-z [published Online First: 2017/03/25]
25 26	265	40. Bellis MA, Hughes K, Ford K, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and sources of
27	266	childhood resilience: a retrospective study of their combined relationships with child
28 29	267	health and educational attendance. BMC Public Health 2018;18(1):792. doi:
30 21	268	10.1186/s12889-018-5699-8 [published Online First: 2018/06/27]
31 32	269	41. Runsten S, Korkeila K, Koskenvuo M, et al. Can social support alleviate inflammation
33 34	270	associated with childhood adversities? Nord J Psychiatry 2014;68(2):137-44. doi:
35	271	10.3109/08039488.2013.786133
36 37	272	42. Anda RF, Croft JB, Felitti VJ, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and smoking during
38	273	adolescence and adulthood. JAMA 1999;282(17):1652-8.
39 40	274	43. Bellis MA, Lowey H, Leckenby N, et al. Adverse childhood experiences: retrospective
41	275	study to determine their impact on adult health behaviours and health outcomes in a UK
42 43	276	population. J Public Health (Oxf) 2014;36(1):81-91. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdt038
43 44	277	[published Online First: 2013/04/17]
45 46	278	44. Kestila L, Koskinen S, Martelin T, et al. Influence of parental education, childhood
40 47	279	adversities, and current living conditions on daily smoking in early adulthood. Eur J
48 49	280	Public Health 2006;16(6):617-26. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckl054
49 50	281	45. Nonoyama H. The Family and Family Sociology in Japan. The American Sociologist
51 52	282	2000;31(3):27-41.
53	283	46. Ochiai E. Sekaino Nakano Sengo Nihon Kazoku (in Japanese). In: Rekishi Kenkyu Kai
54 55	284	Nihonshi Kenkyu Kai, ed. Nihonshi Koza 10 Sengo Nihonron. Tokyo: Univeristy of
56	285	Tokyo Press 2005.
57 58	286	47. Baldwin JR, Reuben A, Newbury JB, et al. Agreement Between Prospective and
58 59	287	Retrospective Measures of Childhood Maltreatment: A Systematic Review and Meta-
60	288	analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 2019 doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0097 [published

1

1 2		
3	289	Online First: 2019/03/21]
4 5	290	48. Hardt J, Rutter M. Validity of adult retrospective reports of adverse childhood experiences:
6 7	291	review of the evidence. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2004;45(2):260-73. [published
8	292	Online First: 2004/02/26]
9	293	49. Reuben A, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, et al. Lest we forget: comparing retrospective and
10 11	294	prospective assessments of adverse childhood experiences in the prediction of adult
12	295	health. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2016;57(10):1103-12. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12621
13 14	296	[published Online First: 2016/09/21]
15 16		
16 17		
18 19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24 25		
26		
27 28		
29		
30 31		
32		
33 34		
35		
36 37		
38		
39 40		
41 42		
43		
44 45		
46		
47 48		
49		
50 51		
52		
53 54		
55		
56 57		
58 59		
59 60		

 BMJ Open

For beer review only

s for peer wiew only Supplemental table S1. Measurement of adverse childhood experience

 $\mathbf{2}$

Supplemental table S2. Measurement of health and health behaviours

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Supplemental table S1. Measurement of adverse childhood experience

Items	JAGES	JAGES		FPS, He	FPS, HeSSup		
	Question	Answer	Code	Question	Answer	Code	
	Did you experience any of the following before the age of 18?;		The respondents were asked whether they had experienced the following adversities in their childhood				
Parental divorce in child	nood Parents' divorce	No	0	Divorce/separation of the parents	No	0	
		Yes	1		Yes	1	
		Unknown	missing		Do not know or cannot say	missing	
Severe financial difficulti	es Financial trouble	No	0	Long-term financial difficulties in the family	No	0	
		Yes	1		Yes	1	
		Unknown	missing		Do not know or cannot say	missing	
	1) Your father was violent with your mother	No	0	Frequent fear for a family member	No	0	
Fear of a family member	(being witness to domestic violence)						
	2) You were hit hard by your mother/father causing an injury	Yes ^a	1		Yes	1	
	(physical abuse)						
		Unknown	missing		Do not know or cannot say	missing	
a: If someone answers yes	to the question of either of 1) or 2), the code is "Yes".						
	For peer review only	/ - http://bmjo	pen.bmj.con	n/site/about/guidelines.xhtml			

Supplemental table S2. Measurement of health and health behaviours

 $\overline{7}$

Items	JAGES			FPS		
	Question	Answer	Code	Question	Answer	Code
Self-rated health	How is your current health status?	Excellent	0	How is your current health status?	Good	
		Good	0		Fairly good	
		Fair	1		Moderate	
		Poor	1		Fairly poor	
					Poor	
	Circle the number of all diseases for which you are currently		0		N	
Cancer	receiving treatment or experiencing; Cancer	No	0	National Cancer Register	No	
		Yes	1		Yes within 5 years	
					Unknown	Missi
	Circle the number of all diseases for which you are currently					
	receiving treatment or experiencing;	No	0		N	
Heart disease or stroke	1) Heart disease, 2) Stroke (e.g. brain hemorrhage, cerebral			Special reimbursement for the medication, from Social Insurance Institution of Finland	No	
	infarction)					
		Yes ^a	1		Yes	
					Unknown	Missi
Diabetes mellitus	Circle the number of all diseases for which you are currently	No 0		Special reimbursement for the medication, from Social Insurance Institution of Finland	No	
Diabetes mennus	receiving treatment or experiencing; Diabetes mellitus	INO	0	special reinbursement for the medication, from Social insurance institution of Finland	INO	
		Yes	1		Yes	
					Unknown	Missi
Smoking	Do you smoke cigarettes?	No	0	Do you smoke or have you ever smoked regularly, i.e. every day or almost every day?	Never	
	For peer review only	y - http://k	omjopei	n.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		

		I used to				
		smoke	1		Yes, before	1
		Yes	2		Yes, I still do	2
	a: If someone answers yes to the question of either of 1) or 2), the code is "Yes	'.				
0						
8						
9						
	For pee	er review only - http://bi	njopen.bmj.com/site/about/guideli	nes.xhtml		
			· · · · · ·			