## **Supplementary Online Content**

Steelesmith DL, Fontanella CA, Campo JV, Bridge JA, Warren KL, Root ED. Contextual factors associated with county-level suicide rates in the United States, 1999 to 2016. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2(9):e1910936. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.10936

- eTable 1. Counties Combined Owing to Boundary Changes
- **eTable 2.** Rural-Urban Continuum Codes (RUCCs) Description and County Count for 2003 and 2013
- eTable 3. Description, Year, and Source for Contextual Variables
- **eTable 4.** Median and Interquartile Range of County-Level Suicide Rates Over Time by Rural-Urban Continuum
- eTable 5. Summary of Contextual Variables by Time Period, Reported by County
- **eTable 6.** Longitudinal Random-Effects Models of Associations of Contextual Variables With Suicide Rates by Method From 1999 to 2016

eMethods. Index Creation

eReferences.

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable 1. Counties Combined Owing to Boundary Changes

State	County FIPS	Year of Boundary Changes
Alaska		
	02130, 02195, 02198, 02201, 02275, and 02280	2008
	02230, 02105, and 02232	2007
Virginia		
	51515 and 51019	2013
	51005 and 51560	2001

eTable 2. Rural-Urban Continuum Codes (RUCCs) Description and County Count for 2003 and 2013

Collapsed	Code	Description	2003 Number of Counties	2013 Number of Counties
Metro				
Large Metropolitan	1	Counties in metro areas of 1 million population or more	413	432
Small Metropolitan	2	Counties in metro areas of 250,000 to 1 million population	325	379
	3	Counties in metro areas of fewer than 250,000 population	351	356
Non-metro				
Micropolitan 4		Urban population of 20,000 or more, adjacent to a metro area	218	214
	5	Urban population of 20,000 or more, not adjacent to a metro area	105	92
	6	Urban population of 2,500 to 19,999, adjacent to a metro area	609	593
	7	Urban population of 2,500 to 19,999, not adjacent to a metro area	450	433
Rural	8	Completely rural or less than 2,500 urban population, adjacent to a metro area	235	220
	9	Completely rural or less than 2,500 urban population, not adjacent to a metro area	435	424

eTable 3. Description, Year, and Source for Contextual Variables

Category	Variable	Year	Source
Socioeconomic Depri			
	into single index using princ	ipal component analysis)	
Education			
	Population aged 25+ with	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	< 9 years of education, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	Population aged 25+ with	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	at least a high school diploma, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
Occupation and			
employment			
	Employed persons aged	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	16+ in white collar	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	occupations, %		
	Civilian labor force	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	population aged 16+	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS,
	unemployed, %		AHRF
Income	<b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	2000 0005 0000 0000	
	Median family income, \$	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
		2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS,
	Oini and #iniant	2000 2005 2000 2007 2014	AHRF
	Gini coefficient	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	5-year ACS
	Madian hama yalua ¢	2010-2014, 2013-2017	Canaus F
	Median home value, \$	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011, 2010-2014, 2013-2017	Census, 5- year ACS
	Median gross rent, \$	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	i Median gross rent, φ	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	Median monthly	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	mortgage, \$	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	Median annual real	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	estate taxes, \$	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
Poverty and welfare assistance		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Families below poverty	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	level, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS, AHRF
	Population below 150%	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	of poverty threshold, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	Single-parent households	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	with children aged < 18, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	Households receiving	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	supplemental security income, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	Households receiving	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	public assistance income, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
Housing tenure and quality			
	Owner-occupied housing	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	units, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	Households without a	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	motor vehicle, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS

	Households without a	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	telephone, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	Occupied housing units	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	without complete	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	plumbing, %		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Households with more	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	than 1 person per room,	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	%	·	
	Median number of rooms	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	per housing unit	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	Housing units with 4 or	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	more bedrooms, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
Social Fragmentation (4 variables combined	ı into single index using princip	pal component analysis)	
	Residents not in the	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	same house 1 year ago,	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	%	·	
	Single person	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	households, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	Unmarried residents	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
	(includes single,	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
	separated, and divorced),		
	%		_
	Renter-occupied housing	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011,	Census, 5-
<b>0</b> 110 11	units, %	2010-2014, 2013-2017	year ACS
Social Capital			
(9 variables combined	into single index using princip		NOCC
	Charities (foundations	1999-2015	NCCS
	and public charities) per 100,000 persons		
	Arts and nature facilities	1999-2016	CBP
	(libraries, museums,	1939-2010	СЫ
	historical sites, zoos and		
	botanical gardens, nature		
	parks) per 100,000		
	persons		
	Beauty facilities (barbers,	1999-2016	CBP
	beauty salon, nail salon)		
	per 100,000 persons		
<u> </u>	Agents (promotors,	1999-2016	CBP
	agents, managers) per		
	100,000 persons		
	Spectator sports	1999-2016	CBP
	(racetracks, sports clubs,		
	other spectator sports)		
	per 100,000 persons	1000 0010	000
	Recreation sites (public	1999-2016	CBP
	golf courses, physical		
	fitness facilities, bowling		
	centers, skiing, marinas)		
	per 100,000 persons	4000 0040	CDD
	Business and political	1999-2016	CBP
	organizations (business		
	associations, professional, labor, and		

<u> </u>		_	1
	political organizations)		
	per 100,000 persons		
	Civic and social	1999-2016	CBP
	associations per 100,000		
	persons		
	Religious organizations	1999-2016	CBP
	per 100,000 persons		
Health Providers/	Services		
	Number of psychiatrists	1999-2016	AHRF
	per 100,000 persons		
	Number of primary care	1999-2016	AHRF
	physicians/general and		
	family doctors per		
	100,000 persons		
	Individuals without health	2000, 2005-2016	AHRF
	insurance between ages	2000, 2003 2010	70 1131
	18 and 64, %		
Firearms	10 and 04, 76		
	ad and averaged per 100 000 inc	dividuale)	
(5 variables surfilling	ed and averaged per 100,000 inc NAICS code 45111001:	1999-2016	US Historical
		1333-2010	
	Ammunition NAICS code 45111002:	1000 2010	Business US Historical
		1999-2016	
	Ammunition reloading		Business
	equipment and supplies	1000 0010	110.111
	NAICS code 45111023:	1999-2016	US Historical
	Guns and gunsmiths		Business
	NAICS code 45111024:	1999-2016	US Historical
	Gunsights, scopes, and		Business
	mounts		
	NAICS code 45111076:	1999-2016	US Historical
	Black powder guns and		Business
	supplies		
Alcohol			
	ad and averaged nor 100 000 inc	المارية المارية	
(9 variables summe	ed and averaged per 100,000 inc		110 115 (5.5)
	NAICS code 72241001:	1999-2016	US Historical
	Bars		Business
	NAICS code 72241002:	1999-2016	US Historical
	Cabarets		Business
	NAICS code 72241003:	1999-2016	US Historical
	Cocktail lounges		Business
	NAICS code 72241004:	1999-2016	US Historical
	Comedy Clubs	<u> </u>	Business
	NAICS code 72241005:	1999-2016	US Historical
	Discotheques		Business
	NAICS code 72241006:	1999-2016	US Historical
	Nightclubs		Business
	NAICS code 72241007:	1999-2016	US Historical
	Nightclubs Information		Business
	Service		Dusinoss
	NAICS code 72241008:	1999-2016	US Historical
	I NAIGO GUUB 1224 IUUO.	1999-2010	
			Pucinosa
	Pubs	1000 2010	Business
		1999-2016	US Historical Business

Veterans			
	Percent of veterans	AHRF	
Control Variables			
	Median age	2000, 2005-2009, 2007-2011, 2010-2014, 2013-2017	Census, 5- year ACS
	Percent male	1999-2016	AHRF
	Percent non-Hispanic white	1999-2016	AHRF

Note. ACS = American Community Survey, CBP = County Business Patterns, AHRF = Area Health Resource File, NAICS = North American Industry Classification System, NCCS = National Center of Charitable Statistics

eTable 4. Median and Interquartile Range of County-Level Suicide Rates Over Time by Rural-Urban Continuum

	1999-2001	2002-2004	2005-2007	2008-2010	2011-2013	2014-2016
Suicide Rates						
Overall, per 100,000	14.95 (9.83-	15.95 (10.96-	16.47 (11.42-	17.95 (12.73-	19.55 (13.87-	21.21 (14.76-
	20.56)	22.13)	22.83)	24.49)	26.99)	28.48)
Large metropolitan, per 100,000	13.21 (9.97-	14.12 (10.86-	14.67 (11.86-	16.15 (13.02-	16.97 (13.32-	17.63 (14.10-
	16.69)	18.31)	18.86)	20.05)	21.53)	22.98)
Small metropolitan,	14.88 (11.05-	15.64 (12.35-	16.86 (13.21-	17.89 (13.72-	19.36 (15.24-	20.06 (16.26-
per 100,000	18.36)	20.08)	21.51)	22.43)	24.59)	26.47)
Micropolitan, per	15.95 (10.85-	17.04 (12.03-	17.28 (11.97-	19.01 (13.62-	20.94 (14.77-	22.60 (16.14-
100,000	21.29)	23.27)	23.50)	25.24)	27.94)	29.13)
Rural, per 100,000	14.52 (0.00-	14.33 (0.00-	15.41 (0.00-	17.45 (4.97-	20.18 (6.94-	22.05 (7.03-
	25.67)	26.37)	28.12)	31.62)	34.15)	37.00)

eTable 5. Summary of Contextual Variables by Time Period, Reported by County

	1999-2001 (N=3,137)	2002-2004 (N=3,138)	2005-2007 (N=3,138)	2008-2010 (N=3,138)	2011-2013 (N=3,138)	2014-2016 (N=3,138)
Contextual Variables	(14=3,137)	(N=3,136)	(14=3,130)	(N=3,136)	(14=3,136)	(14=3,130)
Median age, median (IQR)	37.4 (35.2-	37.4 (35.2-	39.4 (36.6-	40.1 (37.3-	40.7 (37.6-	41.2 (37.9-
Median age, median (IQK)	39.7)	39.7)	42.5)	43.1)	43.7)	44.2)
Percent non-Hispanic white,	89.3 (71.5-	88.7 (70.4-	87.6 (69.1-	86.4 (67.6-	85.1 (66.2-	84.2 (65.1-
median (IQR)	96.0)	95.7)	95.1)	94.3)	93.6)	92.9)
Percent male, median (IQR)	49.2 (48.5-	49.3 (48.7-	49.4 (48.7-	49.5 (48.8-	49.6 (48.9-	49.6 (49.0-
reicent male, median (IQK)	50.0)	50.1)	50.2)	50.2)	50.5)	50.5)
RUCC	50.0)	30.1)	30.2)	30.2)	30.3)	30.3)
Large metropolitan, n (%)	413 (13.2)	414 (13.2)	414 (13.2)	432 (13.8)	432 (13.8)	432 (13.8)
Small metropolitan, n (%)	675 (21.5)	675 (21.5)	675 (21.5)	734 (23.4)	734 (23.4)	734 (23.4)
Micropolitan, n (%)	1380 (44.0)	1380 (44.0)	1380 (44.0)	1332 (42.5)	1332 (42.5)	1332 (42.5)
Rural, n (%)	669(21.3)	669 (21.3)	669 (21.3)		640 (20.4)	640 (20.4)
Deprivation quartiles	009(21.3)	009 (21.3)	009 (21.3)	640 (20.4)	040 (20.4)	040 (20.4)
1 <sup>st</sup> quartile (low), n (%)	339 (10.8)	340 (10.8)	877 (28.0)	975 (31.1)	985 (31.4)	1194 (38.1)
		722 (23.0)				
2 <sup>rd</sup> quartile, n (%)	722 (23.0)		833 (26.6)	823 (26.2)	795 (25.3)	812 (25.9)
3 <sup>rd</sup> quartile, n (%)	881 (28.1)	881 (28.1)	728 (23.2)	739 (23.6)	763 (24.3)	710 (22.6)
4 <sup>th</sup> quartile (high), n (%)	1195 (38.1)	1195 (38.1)	700 (22.3)	601 (19.2)	595 (19.0)	422 (13.5)
Social Fragmentation quartiles	4400 (47.4)	4400 (47.4)	544 (47.0)	507 (40.0)	054 (44.0)	000 (0.0)
1 <sup>st</sup> quartile (low), n (%)	1488 (47.4)	1488 (47.4)	541 (17.2)	527 (16.8)	354 (11.3)	309 (9.9)
2 <sup>nd</sup> quartile, n (%)	831 (26.5)	831 (26.5)	810 (25.8)	802 (25.6)	734 (23.4)	701 (22.3)
3 <sup>rd</sup> quartile, n (%)	486 (15.5)	487 (15.5)	904 (28.8)	898 (28.6)	962 (30.7)	970 (30.9)
4 <sup>th</sup> quartile (high), n (%)	332 (10.6)	332 (10.6)	883 (28.1)	911 (29.0)	1088 (34.7)	1158 (36.9)
Social Capital quartiles						
1 <sup>st</sup> quartile (low), n (%)	801 (25.5)	787 (25.1)	753 (24.0)	758 (24.2)	815 (26.0)	794 (25.3)
2 <sup>nd</sup> quartile, n (%)	791 (25.2)	764 (25.4)	795 (25.3)	775 (24.7)	791 (25.2)	795 (25.3)
3 <sup>rd</sup> quartile, n (%)	811 (25.9)	813 (25.9)	777 (24.8)	787 (25.1)	762 (24.3)	755 (24.1)
4 <sup>th</sup> quartile (high), n (%)	734 (23.4)	774 (24.7)	813 (25.9)	818 (26.1)	770 (24.5)	794 (25.3)
Psychiatrist ratio per 100,000	.55 (0-6.04)	.64 (0-6.04)	.75 (0-6.01)	0 (0-5.56)	0 (0-5.30)	0 (0-5.90)
residents, median (IQR)						
Primary care physician per	41.18 (27.3-	41.8 (27.6-	41.3 (26.9-	39.9 (25.0-	38.9 (24.2-	37.8 (22.9-
100,000 residents, median	58.8)	60.9)	59.6)	58.9)	57.7)	57.3)
(IQR)						
Persons 18-64 without health	15.0 (11.8-	15.0 (11.8-	19.6 (15.5-	21.4 (16.8-	21.2 (16.5-	14.2 (10.0-
insurance, %, median (IQR)	18.6)	18.6)	24.3)	26.0)	25.7)	18.9)
Veterans, %, median (IQR)	13.8 (12.2- 15.4)	13.8 (12.2- 15.4)	11.5 (9.9-13.1)	11.1 (9.5-12.7)	10.0 (8.6-11.5)	8.9 (7.6-10.4)

Gun shops per 100,000	2.9 (0-6.2)	2.3 (0-5.5)	2.0 (0-5.1)	1.9 (0-5.1)	2.6 (0-5.9)	3.3 (0-7.0)
residents, median (IQR)						
Drinking establishments per 100,000 residents, median (IQR)	20.6 (6.9-41.4)	18.2 (6.0-38.2)	16.8 (4.9-35.7)	16.4 (5.3-35.5)	14.2 (4.2-31.2)	11.7 (3.0-26.9)

Note: RUCC = Rural Urban Continuum Code

eTable 6. Longitudinal Random-Effects Models of Associations of Contextual Variables With Suicide Rates by Method From 1999 to 2016

	Firearm Suicides			All Other Suicides				
Variables	IRR		% CI	p-value	IRR		% CI	p-value
Median age <sup>1</sup>	1.002	0.999	1.005	.17	1.005	1.002	1.008	.001
Percent non-Hispanic white <sup>1</sup>	1.007	1.006	1.008	<.001	1.002	1.001	1.003	<.001
Percent male <sup>1</sup>	0.997	0.990	1.003	.28	1.016	1.010	1.023	<.001
Social fragmentation								
4 <sup>th</sup> vs 1 <sup>st</sup> quartile	1.032	0.999	1.066	.06	1.162	1.123	1.203	<.001
3 <sup>rd</sup> vs 1 <sup>st</sup> quartile	1.020	0.994	1.047	.14	1.130	1.098	1.163	<.001
2 <sup>nd</sup> vs 1 <sup>st</sup> quartile	1.017	0.995	1.040	.13	1.079	1.052	1.107	<.001
Social capital								
4 <sup>th</sup> vs 1 <sup>st</sup> quartile	0.827	0.796	0.859	<.001	1.051	1.010	1.094	.02
3 <sup>rd</sup> vs 1 <sup>st</sup> quartile	0.853	0.827	0.880	<.001	1.064	1.029	1.099	<.001
2 <sup>nd</sup> vs 1 <sup>st</sup> quartile	0.927	0.904	0.950	<.001	1.021	0.993	1.049	.14
Psychiatrist ratio, per 100,000 residents	0.994	0.993	0.996	<.001	1.002	1.000	1.004	.02
Primary care physician ratio, per 100,000 residents	1.000	1.000	1.001	.17	1.000	1.000	1.001	.33
Percent without health insurance	1.008	1.007	1.009	<.001	1.002	1.001	1.004	.002
Percent veterans	1.031	1.027	1.035	<.001	1.022	1.018	1.026	<.001
Drinking establishment ratio per 100,000 residents	1.000	0.999	1.000	.09	1.001	1.000	1.001	<.001
Interaction Terms								
Deprivation quartiles								
4 <sup>th</sup> vs 1 <sup>st</sup> quartile								
1999-2001, Large Metro	1.407	1.315	1.506	<.001	1.056	0.988	1.129	.11
1999-2001, Rural	1.594	1.422	1.786	<.001	1.188	1.044	1.353	.01
2014-2016, Large Metro	1.011	0.930	1.099	.80	0.919	0.845	0.999	.05
2014-2016, Rural	1.145	1.025	1.278	.02	1.034	0.912	1.173	.60
3 <sup>rd</sup> vs 1 <sup>st</sup> quartile								
1999-2001, Large Metro	1.242	1.184	1.304	<.001	1.015	0.966	1.066	.56
1999-2001, Rural	1.279	1.149	1.425	<.001	1.022	0.902	1.157	.73
2014-2016, Large Metro	1.028	0.971	1.089	.35	0.936	0.883	0.991	.02
2014-2016, Rural	1.059	0.954	1.175	.29	0.942	0.834	1.065	.34
2 <sup>nd</sup> vs 1 <sup>st</sup> quartile								
1999-2001, Large	1.093	1.052	1.135	<.001	1.023	0.985	1.063	.24
Metro				<u> </u>			1	
1999-2001, Rural	1.127	1.015	1.252	.02	0.937	0.827	1.062	.32
2014-2016, Large	1.045	1.004	1.087	.03	0.962	0.925	0.999	.05
Metro								
2014-2016, Rural	1.078	0.973	1.194	.15	0.881	0.779	0.996	.04
Gun shops								
Large metro	1.033	1.025	1.042	<.001	0.992	0.984	1.001	.08
Small metro	1.014	1.009	1.019	<.001	1.006	1.000	1.011	.04

Micro	1.006	1.003	1.009	<.001	1.003	1.000	1.006	.08
Rural	1.003	1.000	1.006	.08	0.997	0.993	1.002	.20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Control variables were global-mean centered, IRRs reflect 1 unit increase from average.

## eMethods. Index Creation

Prior to examining how contextual factors impact county-level suicide rates, individual variables that measured similar constructs were reduced to indices through principal component analysis (PCA). PCA allows for the reduction of variables while retaining much of the overall variation in the data. Linear combinations of the original variables are used to create new variables, or principal components.<sup>1,2</sup> PCA was conducted separately for the socioeconomic, social fragmentation, and social capital variables described in eTable 3. After the PCA analysis, components were examined for meaning and interpretability which resulted in only a single component from each PCA being kept for the final analyses. The area deprivation index accounted for 38.6% of variance, the social fragmentation index accounted for 47.4% of variance, and the social capital index accounted for 28.5% of variance. While somewhat low for variance explained, these factors were modeled after previously used indices and only the first factor was retained since the factor loadings were as expected for interpretation of the indices. Factor scores for the components were computed for each county and time-period of the study with a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1. All PCA analyses were done using SAS 9.4.3³ Factor scores were then divided into quartiles based on the overall study, with roughly 25% of county/year categories falling into each quartile. The lowest quartile was used as a reference for all index variables.

## Model selection

To examine associations between suicide and contextual variables, a series of longitudinal models using maximum likelihood estimation were used. For all models examined, the outcome was the count of suicides per county. The first step of the model building process examined unconditional means and unconditional growth models to measure the variation across counties and the variation across counties and time. Next, contextual variables were examined in association with suicides. All contextual variables were included in the final model. Interactions between contextual variables and the four category rural urban continuum codes (RUCC) variable were examined to see how the associations of contextual variables with suicide varied across the rural/urban continuum. Interactions between contextual variables and time were also examined to explore how associations with suicide change over time. Only interactions that were significant at the .05 level were maintained in the final longitudinal model. A single three-way interaction (time\*RUCC\*deprivation) was examined based on the significant two-way interactions, but this variable was not retained in the final model because it was not significant nor did it improve model fit.

## **eReferences**

- 1. Abdi H, Williams LJ. Principal component analysis. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Stat. 2010;2(4):433–459.
- 2. Jolliffe I. Principal Component Analysis. New York, NY: Springer Series in Statistics; 2002.
- 3. SAS Institute, Inc. SAS Software. Cary, NC: SAS, Inc; 2014.
- 4. Singer JD, Willett JB. *Applied Longitudinal Data Analysis: Modeling Change and Event Occurrence*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2003.