Supplementary material RMD Open

CRITICAL APPRAISAL OF AVAILABLE EVIDENCE

From: "Introduction to evidence-based medicine" by M. Offringa, W.J.J. Assendelft and R.J.P.M. Scholten
Checklists from the Cochrane library

ARTICLE:			
SHORT DESCRIPTION OF STUDY			
Type of study	1. a) Systematic review / meta-analysis (table I)		
(According to level of evidence)	b) Randomized controlled trial (table II)		
	2. a) Systematic review of cohort studies or case-control studies (table III)		
	b) Cohort study or case-control study (table IV or V)		
	c) "Outcome research" (i.e. descriptive study)		
	3. Patient series or case report		
	4. Expert opinion		
Patients (and controls)			
Vaccination			
Medications used			
Primary outcome measures			
Secondary outcome measures			
VALIDITY (see appendix table I-IV	for validity assessment of included studies)		
Is this study valid?	Yes / Moderate / No (including case reports and expert opinions)		
RELEVANCE			
Are results applicable to juvenile	patients? Yes / Possible / No / Unknown		
RESULTS			
Outcome safety	Patients:		
	Controls:		
	Influence medication:		
Outcome immunogenicity	Patients:		
	Controls:		
	Influence medication:		
CONCLUSION			
Safety:			
Immunogenicity:			
Influence medication:			
Are the effects significant and relevant?:			

Supplementary material RMD Open

APPENDIX: TABLES FOR VALIDITY ASSESMENT

Tab	Table I		
VALIDITY (SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIALS)			
1	Is the research question properly formulated?	Yes / No / Unknown	
2	Is the literature search accurate?	Yes / No / Unknown	
3	Is the selection of literature accurate?	Yes / No / Unknown	
4	Is the critical appraisal of included articles accurate?	Yes / No / Unknown	
5	Is data extraction accurately described?	Yes / No / Unknown	
6	Are important features of included trials accurately described?	Yes / No / Unknown	
7	Is heterogeneity between studies handled accurately?	Yes / No / Unknown	
8	Is statistical pooling correctly performed?	Yes / No / Unknown	
Based on the above: WAS THIS REVIEW VALID? Yes / Moderate / No		Yes / Moderate / No	

Remarks:

Table II				
VALIDITY (RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL)				
1	Was a method of randomisation performed?	Yes / No / Unknown		
2	Was the treatment allocation concealed?	Yes / No / Unknown		
3	Were the groups similar at baseline regarding important prognostic indicators?	YesNo, corrected in analysisNo, not corrected in analysisUnknown		
4	Were the groups, apart from the intervention, treated similarly?	Yes / No / Unknown		
5	Were eligibility criteria specified?	Yes / No / Unknown		
6	Was the outcome assessor blinded?	Yes / No / Unknown		
7	Was the care provider blinded?	Yes / No / Unknown		
8	Was the patient blinded?	Yes / No / Unknown		
9	Was follow-up complete? (i.e <20% loss to follow-up)	Yes / No / Unknown		
10	Were point estimates and variability measures presented for the primary outcome?	Yes / No / Unknown		
11	Did the analysis include an intention-to-treat analysis?	Yes / No / Unknown		
Base	ed on the above: WAS THIS STUDY VALID?	Yes / Moderate / No		

Remarks:

Supplementary material RMD Open

Tab	Table III			
VALIDITY (SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF OBSERVATIONAL STUDIES)				
1	Is the research question properly formulated?	Yes / No / Unknown		
2	Is the literature search accurate?	Yes / No / Unknown		
3	Is the selection of literature accurate?	Yes / No / Unknown		
4	Is the critical appraisal of included articles accurate?	Yes / No / Unknown		
5	Is data extraction accurately described?	Yes / No / Unknown		
6	Are important features of included trials accurately described?	Yes / No / Unknown		
7	Is the meta-analysis correctly performed?	Yes / No / Unknown		
Bas	ed on the above: WAS THIS REVIEW VALID?	Yes / Moderate / No		

Remarks:

Table IV			
VALIDITY (COHORT STUDY)			
1	Are the study groups clearly defined?	Yes / No / Unknown	
2	Was selection bias sufficiently prevented?	Yes / No / Unknown	
3	Was exposition to vaccination accurately measured?	Yes / No / Unknown	
4	Were outcome measures clearly defined and accurately analyzed?	Yes / No / Unknown	
5	Was outcome assessment blinded for exposition to vaccination?	Yes / No / Unknown	
6	Was the follow-up period sufficient to measure outcome?	Yes / No / Unknown	
7	Was selective loss-to-follow-up sufficiently prevented?	Yes / No / Unknown	
8	Were important confounders identified and corrected for?	Yes / No / Unknown	
Base	ed on the above: WAS THIS STUDY VALID?	Yes / Moderate / No	

Remarks:

Table V		
VALIDITY (CASE CONTROL STUDY)		
1	Was the patient group clearly defined?	Yes / No / Unknown
2	Was the control group clearly defined?	Yes / No / Unknown
3	Was selection bias sufficiently prevented?	Yes / No / Unknown
4	Was exposition to vaccination accurately measured?	Yes / No / Unknown
5	Was exposition to vaccination assessed blinded for disease status?	Yes / No / Unknown
6	Were important confounders identified and corrected for?	Yes / No / Unknown
Base	ed on the above: WAS THIS STUDY VALID?	Yes / Moderate / No