SPA3	PKEASFCLWLLHPEPTCRPSMSDLLQSEFITE	32
SPA4	PKEASFCLWLLHPEPSCRPSMSELLQSEFINE	32
SPA2	PKEAGFCLWLLHPESSCRPSTRDILQSEVVNG	32
HuSPA1	PKEAGFCLWLLHPKPLSRPTTREILQSDLFCG	32
OsSPA1	PKEAGFCLWLLHPDPCSRPKARDILGCDLINE	32
GmSPA1	PKEAGFCLWLLHPEPSSRPNARMILESEVMRE	32
PtrSPA1	PREAGFCLWLLHPEPSSRPTAREILQSELLCR	32
SPA1	PKEAGFCLWLLHPEPSSRPSARDILKSELICE	32
BrSPA1	PKEAGFCLWLLHPEPSSRPTAREILKSELICV	32
AaSPA1	SKEAGFCLWLLHPEPSSRPTAREILKSELICE	32
RsSPA1	PKEAGFCLWLLHPEPSSRPTAREILKSELICE	32
	:**.*********. :* .:	

Supplementary Figure 1: Alignment of the kinase domain of four Arabidopsis SPA proteins along with various SPA1 orthologues display a highly conserved arginine residue for the salt-bridge formation. *Os, Oryza sativa; Gm, Glycine max; Aa, Arabis alpine; Br, Brassica rapa; Ptr, Populus trichocarpa; Hu, Herrania umbratical; Rs, Raphanus sativus.*



Supplementary Figure 2: Preparation of SPA1 protein and biochemical analysis of SPA1.

(a) Coomassie staining of E. coli purified SPA1 kinase domain and mutant protein. N-terminal GST and C-terminal strep tagged wild type and mutant versions of SPA1-Kin (left panel, ~100kDa) were purified using Strep-Tactin Sepharose gravity columns. Total twenty five μ l of three different elutions were ran on an 8% SDS-PAGE gel and visualized with Coomassie blue staining. The streptagged GST-PIF1 (right panel, ~95kDa) was also purified using the same method and visualized with Coomassie blue staining. (b) Commassie staining of Pichia pastoris purified SPA1 (~135KDa) full-length protein. The full-length SPA1-strep was expressed in Pichia pastoris and purified using a Strep-Tactin Sepharose gravity column. Five elutions were ran on an 8% SDS-PAGE gel and visualized with Coomassie blue staining. (c) PIF1 phosphorylation by SPA1 is Ser/Thr phosphorylation. A kinase assay was performed with SPA1 and PIF1 in the presence of X32P-labeled ATP. The reactions were divided into three lanes on an 8% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to PVDF membrane. Each bands were excised and exposed to either HCl or KOH. PIF1 phosphorylation was specifically removed by KOH treatment, indicating that the phosphorylation is on the serine/threonine residues of PIF1. (d) SPA1 kinase domain can phosphorylate PIF1 in vitro, whereas mutant SPA1 kinase domain shows reduced phosphorylation of PIF1. E. coli purified SPA1-Kin and PIF1 was used in the kinase assay. Autoradiogram shows PIF1 phosphorylation in the presence of SPA1-Kin. The phosphorylation was reduced with mSPA1-Kin. (e) TNP-ATP binding assay showed similar ATP binding to both SPA1 and mutant SPA1 kinase domain. Blue dots indicate wild type SPA1-Kin, whereas red dots indicate mutant mSPA1-Kin. Y axis indicates the value of fluorescence change divided by the saturated total fluorescence change, $\Delta F / \Delta F$ total. X axis indicates the concentration of TNP-ATP added to the reaction. (f) SPA1 can specifically interact with PIF1 in vitro. GST-PIF1 was used to pull down SPA1-strep protein in vitro. GST alone was used as a negative control. Proteins were visualized with Coomassie staining after the pull-down assay. (g) GST pull-down also showed that the wild type and mutant version of SPA1 can equally interact with GST-PIF1 in vitro.



Supplementary Figure 3 : SPAs redundantly function in light-induced PIF1 ubiquitination and degradation in vivo.

(a) Red light-induced PIF1-degradation was delayed in *spa123* and *spa124* mutants compared to wild type. Seedlings were grown on the MS plate in the dark for four days before exposing to red light (300 μ molm⁻²) for the duration indicated in each figure. Seedlings were ground and total proteins were solubilized with the denaturing buffer (100mM Tris-Cl, 8M Urea, 1X Protease inhibitor). PIF1 levels are shown with anti-PIF1 antibody and RPT5 was used as a loading control. (b) PIF1 phosphorylation and subsequent polyubiquitylation is defective in *spaQ* mutant. To examine ubiquitination in *spaQ* mutant, dark-grown seedlings were pre-treated with 40 μ M of Bortezomib to inhibit the 26S proteasome activity. After 4 hours of pretreatment, seedlings were exposed to saturated red light (3000 μ molm⁻²). TAP-PIF1 was immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody and ran on a 6.5% SDS-PAGE gel to visualize with Western Blot using anti-myc antibody. (c) COP1 does not affect PIF1 phosphorylation *in vivo*. In *cop1-4* mutant, TAP-PIF1 shows a similar level of phosphorylation with wild type in response to red light. Total proteins were separated on a 6.5% SDS-PAGE gel and blotted with anti-myc antibody. TAP-PIF1 showed similar band shift both in wild type and *cop1-4* mutant.



Supplementary Figure 4 : The N-terminal domain of phyB does not interact with SPA1.

Semi- *in vivo* co-immunoprecipitation assay showing red light-induced interaction between PBG and MBP-SPA1. NG lacks SPA1 interaction under the same condition, suggesting the importance of the C-terminal half of phyB for SPA1 interaction.



Supplementary Figure 5 : Arabidopsis phyA and phyB can phosphorylate PIF1 in vitro.

(a) Purified phyA-GFP and phyB-GFP from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* showed kinase activity toward PIF1-his *in vitro*. Autoradiogram (left panel) shows phosphorylation of PIF1-his with phyA-GFP an phyB-GFP. GFP alone expressed from the same host, shows background level of the PIF1-his phosphorylation. phyA-GFP and phyB-GFP holoproteins were purified as described in the method section. Kinase assay was performed and total proteins were ran on the 6.5% SDS-PAGE gel. The gel was transferred to PVDF membrane and incubated with Ponceau S staining solution to visualize proteins (right upper panel). The membrane was further incubated with zinc chloride solution and the holoprotein formation with chromophore attachment was shown under UV light (right bottom panel). (b) The integrity of the purified phyA (left panel) and phyB (right panel) has been shown by the *in vitro* light-dependent interaction with PIF1.



Supplementary Figure 6 : Prolonged red light treatment (16hr) failed to produce phyB photobody formation in *phyB-GFP* ΔC seedlings. The full-length phyB-GFP produces photobodies after 16 hours of red light (10 μ molm⁻²s⁻¹) treatment whereas phyB-GFP ΔC didn't produce any photobodies under these conditions. Bar = 15 μ m.



PIF1

СВ

b

d

Supplementary Figure 7 : The *phyB-GFP* ΔC and *phyB-28* display multiple phytochrome related phenotypes.

(a) Hypocotyl lengths of *phyB-GFP FL*, *phyB-GFP* ΔC and *phyB-28*. The C-terminal deletion resulted in longer hypocotyls and hyposensitive phyB responses compared to their corresponding wild types in continuous red light (4.5 μ molm²s⁻¹). Seedlings were grown in the red/ dark for four days before measuring the hypocotyl length using imageJ. Bar = 5mm. (b) Fluence response curves showing hypocotyl lengths in response to various intensities of continuous red light. The hypocotyl lengths of *phyB-GFP* ΔC showed slightly, but significant difference from *phyB-GFP FL* under a wide range of red light conditions. (c) Bar graph showing hypocotyl lengths of *phyB-28* mutant version of *PHYB* transformed into the *phyAB* mutant background under 4.5 μ molm⁻²s⁻¹ of continuous red light. *phyA-211* mutant that has the wild type copy of *PHYB* and was used as a control. (d) *phyB-GFP* ΔC exhibits longer petiole length in 28 day-old white-light-grown plants. (e) PIF1 level in previously reported *PBG* (phyB-GFP), *NG* (phyB N terminal half-GFP-GUS-NLS), and *CG* (phyB C terminal half-GFP) seedlings. Three genotypes of seedlings were grown in dark for four days before transferring to continuous red light (4.5 μ molm⁻²s⁻¹) for up to two hours. Native PIF1 blot showed significantly delayed degradation of PIF1 in *NG* compared to *PBG*, suggesting the importance of the C-terminal SPA1-interaction domain of phyB in PIF1 degradation.



Supplementary Figure 8 : The phyB-GFP ΔC is not defective in other phytochrome functions.

(a) phyB-GFP ΔC can interact with PIF1 in a light-dependent manner similar to phyB-GFP FL *in vivo*. Seedlings were grown in dark for 4 days and the dark-grown seedlings were exposed to a pulse of red light (300 μ molm²) before sample preparation. Anti-GFP antibody was used to immunoprecipitate phyB-GFP FL and phyB-GFP ΔC . Co-immunoprecipitated proteins were ran into a 6.5% SDS-PAGE gel and blotted onto PVDF membrane. Anti-myc antibody was used to show co-precipitated TAP-PIF1. (b) Both phyB-GFP FL and phyB-GFP ΔC can dimerize *in vitro*. Purified phyB-GFP FL and phyB-GFP ΔC from *Pichia patoris* were ran on a native 6% PAGE gel. The phyB dimers are shown with Coomassie staining. (c) PHYB CT ΔC is unable to dimerize with itself, but can dimerize with PHYB CT domain in yeast two-hybrid assays. (d) Both phyB-GFP FL and phyB-GFP ΔC can sequester PIF1 *in vivo* from the G-box region on the *PIL1* promoter. ChIP assay was performed from seedlings grown either in the dark or dark-grown seedlings exposed to to a pulse of red light (30 μ molm²). The results showed a similar light-induced reduction of PIF1 occupancy on the *PIL1* promoter between phyB-GFP FL and phyB-GFP ΔC . (e) Schematic structure of phyB-4M. Asterisks indicate the position of the putative G1 and G2 block in the HKRD. (f) PIF1 levels were examined in the phyB-GFP 4M) does not alter PIF1 degradation, potentially excluding putative kinase related role of HKRD on PIF1 phosphorylation and degradation. (g) *In vitro* kinase assay shows similar kinase activity of various phyB proteins on PIF1-his. The full-length phyB, 4M and ΔC holoproteins were purified from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as describe in the method section. Kinase assay was performed and blotted on the PVDF membrane. PIF1 showed similar phosphorylation by all three different phyB proteins.



Supplementary Figure 9: RNA-seq data showed mis-regulation of red-responsive PIF-target genes in *spaQ* and *cop1* mutant.

(Left panel) Three-day-old seedlings of Col-0, cop1-4 and spaQ are either kept in dark or transferred to continuous red light (3.5 μ molm²s⁻¹) for 1 hour before harvesting the samples. Total RNA was extracted and sequenced as describe in the methods section. Reads Per Kilobase of transcript per Million (RPKM) values were calculated as described in the method section. (**Right panel**) For quantitative RT-PCR, 2 μ g of total RNA was reverse transcribed and ran for qPCR using primers listed in supplementary table S1. *PP2A* was used as a control. PIFs target genes that are not red light responsive in *spaQ* and *cop1* are shown. The RPKM values (left panels) were validated by quantitative RT-PCR (right panels). Multiple genes that change expression in response to red light have been affected in *cop1-4* and *spaQ* mutant, suggesting a role of COP1 and SPAs in early red light (1h)-mediated gene regulation. For example, the expression of AT4G29930 (uppermost panels) is significantly induced by red light treatment in wild type, Col-0, whereas the induction was completely abolished in *cop1-4* and *spaQ* mutant.



Supplementary Figure 10 : Expression levels of of various proteins in transgenic plants used in this study.

Transgenic plants expressing comparable levels of various proteins were selected and further analyzed in this study. For Western blot analyses, the seedlings of each genotypes were grown in the dark for four days and harvested. For light treated samples (upper panel) continuous red light (3.5μ molm⁻²s⁻¹) was irradiated for one hour. Total proteins were solubilized in the same amount of denaturing extraction buffer (100mM Tris-Cl pH 7.5, 8M Urea, 1X Protease inhibitor cocktail) and separated on a 6.5% SDS-PAGE gel. The proteins were blotted on the PVDF membrane and detected with anti-GFP (a), anti-phyB (b) and anti-luciferase (c). RPT5 was used as a loading control.

Primers	Sequence 5'-3'			
For cloning				
phyB F KpnI	GAGAGGTACCATGGTTTCCGGAGTCGGGG			
phyB FL R Smal	GTCCCCCGGGCCATATGGCATCATCAGCATCATGTC			
phyB $\Delta C R$ Smal	GTCCCCCGGGCCTGGACACGCCATTCTGAATT			
phyB 28 R	AAACCCGGG ACCGTCTTCAATGCTTTCAAGATC			
SPA1 F BamHI	CCCAGGATCCATGCCTGTTATGGAAAGAGTAG			
SPA1 R XhoI	AGAGCTCGAGCTAGTGGTGATGGTGATGATGAACAAGTTTTAGTAGCTTC			
SPA1 R kin SalI	CCCAGTCGACCAGCAGCAGTCGATTTAACTG			
Strep R NotI	AAAAGCGGCCGCTTAACCACCGAACTGCGGGTG AC			
SPA1 R517E F	CCTGAACCCTCCTCCGAACCATCAGCT AGAGATA			
SPA1 R517E R	TATCTCTAGCTGATGGTTCGGAGGAGGGGTTCAGG			
SPA1 F SnaBI	GAGATACGTAGCCACCATGGCTGTTATGGAAAGAGTAG			
Flag F SnaBI	GAGATACGTAGGCACCATG GATTACAAGGATGACGAC			
Flag R SnaBI	GAGATACGTA GGTACCCTTATCGTCGTCATCC			
GFP R XbaI	GAGATCTAGACTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGC			
For ChIP assay				
PIL1 ChIP F G-box	ATGAATCACGCGGCATTC			
PIL1 ChIP R G-box	ACGTGAGCGGAAAGAACC			
PIL1 ChIP 3'UTR F	GATGTTTTCTCCAACTAAC			
PIL1 ChIP 3'UTR R	AAAATAGTTGGAATTAAGT			
For RT-qPCR				
AT4G29930 F	CATCTTTGTGTGTCCGAAAAATGGA			
AT4G29930 R	TGACCGGAGAGCAAAAAGTCTCTG			
AT1G20900 F	GAAACGGCACCGTATCTAACGTCAC			
AT1G20900 R	AGTCCCCGTTAGCGAAAGAATCTCA			
AT5G10720 F	AGCGCTGATCATGCCCGAAAATAC			
AT5G10720 R	CCGGCTTGTCACAGTGAGTTGG			
AT5G54470 F	TGGGGAAGAAGAAGTGCGAGTTATG			
AT5G54470 R	TGTGTTTCGCCACCAGAAAATTAGC			
AT5G06530 F	CGGCGACACGAATACTTCAGTTG			
AT5G06530 R	CGCTGTGAAAACAGGGAAGAATCC			
For Y2H assay				
phyB-F-BamHI	GCTggatcc GTATGAACTCTAAAGTTGTGGAT			
phyB-R-Sall	CTGgtcgacTAATATGGCATCATCAGCAT			
phyB Δ C-R-Sall		GCCATICIGAATIC		
Mutants and Trans	genic plants	Source		
Arabidopsis thaliana accession: Col-0		Lab stock		
cop1-4		(McNellis et al., 1994)		
spaQ		(Laubinger et al., 2004)		
TAP-PIF1		(Shen et al., 2008)		
TAP-PIF1/spaQ		(Zhu et al., 2015)		
PIF1-HA/TAP-SPA1		(Zhu et al., 2015)		
PIF1-HA		(Zhu et al., 2015)		
TAP-SPA1		(Chen et al., 2010)		

Supplementary Table 1: Primers and transgenic plants used in this study

SPA1 #1/spaQ	This study
SPA1 #2/spaQ	This study
mSPA1 #1/spaQ	This study
mSPA1 #2/spaQ	This study
spa123/SPA1/TAP-PIF1	This study
spa123/mSPA1/TAP-PIF1	This study
phyB-GFP FL #31/phyAB	This study
phyB-GFP FL #24/phyAB	This study
phyB-GFP <i>AC</i> #44/phyAB	This study
phyB-GFP ΔC #27/phyAB	This study
phyA-211	(Nagatani et al., 1993)
phyA-211/phyB-28	This study