Science Advances

advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/5/9/eaax0365/DC1

Supplementary Materials for

Large-scale RNAi screen identified Dhpr as a regulator of mitochondrial morphology and tissue homeostasis

Jia Zhou, Lingna Xu, Xiuying Duan, Wei Liu, Xiaocui Zhao, Xi Wang, Weina Shang, Xuefei Fang, Huan Yang, Lijun Jia, Jian Bai, Jiayao Zhao, Liquan Wang, Chao Tong*

*Corresponding author. Email: ctong@zju.edu.cn

Published 18 September 2019, *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaax0365 (2019) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aax0365

The PDF file includes:

Supplementary Materials and Methods

Fig. S1. The complex analysis of the hits from the mitochondrial morphology screening.

Fig. S2. The mitochondrial morphology in the fat body tissues with indicated gene RNAi is shown.

Fig. S3. Sixteen genes encoding proteasome components were identified in this mitochondrial morphology screening.

Fig. S4. Twenty-seven genes encoding spliceosome components were identified in this mitochondrial morphology screening.

Fig. S5. The reduction of the enzymes involved in tyrosine and lysine metabolism led to abnormal mitochondrial morphology.

Fig. S6. Loss of *Dhpr* leads to the reduction of life span and increase of the ROS production. Fig. S7. The overexpression of *Pink1* or *park* partially rescues muscle defects caused by *Dhpr* RNAi.

Fig. S8. The genetic interaction between *Dhpr* and genes whose products consume or produce BH4.

Fig. S9. The genetic interaction between *Dhpr* and other core machinery of mitochondrial fusion and fission.

Fig. S10. The model of how Dhpr regulates mitochondrial morphology.

Other Supplementary Material for this manuscript includes the following:

(available at advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/5/9/eaax0365/DC1)

Table S1 (Microsoft Excel format). The list of the RNAi lines used in this screening and their corresponding genes.

Table S2 (Microsoft Excel format). The annotation of the phenotypes and the quantification data.

Table S3 (Microsoft Excel format). The list of genes that had two or three independent RNAi lines and genes that had been reported to be involved in regulating mitochondria.

Table S4 (Microsoft Excel format). The list of protein complexes required for mitochondrial morphology maintenance.

Table S5 (Microsoft Excel format). The lists of genes encoding spliceosome, proteasome, and electron transfer chain components that have been identified in this screen.

Supplemental Materials and Method

Lifespan analysis.

Flies of each genotype were collected and housed at a density of 20 male per vial. All flies were cultured in a humidified, temperature-controlled incubator (60-70% and 25°C, respectively) with 12 h on/off light cycle. The flies were transferred to fresh food and scored every 2-3 days.

Immunostaining and image analysis.

Fly tissues were dissected and fixed for half an hour with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. For the samples examined without antibody immunostaining, samples were rinsed three times with PBST (0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS) and then mounted in 80% glycerol with DAPI. Mitochondria were detected by mitoGFP signals. To carry out antibody immunostaining, samples were rinsed three times with PBST and blocked with 5% BSA in PBST for 30 min at room temperature. Primary antibody was diluted in PBST with 5% BSA and incubated overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies used were: rabbit anti-HA (Cell Signaling, 3724S) diluted 1:1000, mouse anti-V5 (Invitrogen, R96025) diluted 1:500 and mouse anti- α -TH (ImmunoStar, 22941) diluted 1:400. Samples were then rinsed three times with PBST and incubated with secondary antibodies and/or stains for 1h at room temperature. All secondary antibodies were diluted 1:500 in PBST with 5% BSA. Secondary antibodies used were: anti-rabbit or anti-mouse AlexaFlour-488 (Invitrogen, A-21206 and A-21202); anti-rabbit or anti-mouse AlexaFlour-594 (Invitrogen, A-21207 and A-21203); anti-rabbit or anti-mouse AlexaFlour-647 (Invitrogen, A-31573 and A-21235). Samples were then rinsed three times and mounted in 80% glycerol with DAPI. All the samples were imaged on a LSM710 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, LSM710, Oberkochen, Germany) with a Plan-Apochromat X63 oil immersion (Carl Zeiss, 1262466A).

Light and electron microscopy.

To examine the morphology of the flight muscles, the fly thoraxes were dissected and fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 16020) and 2.0% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 15710) in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 12201) for 72 to 96 hours at 4°C. Samples were rinsed three times with 0.1 M PBS and then post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 19152) for 2 hours in 0.1 M PBS. After rinsing three times with 0.1 M PBS, samples were dehydrated through a graded ethanol series (50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95% and 100%, respectively). Samples were then dehydrated in propylene oxide (PO) (Sigma, 82320) for 30 min. After infiltration with a graded series of Eponate 12 resin (50% and 75% in PO), samples were embedded in fresh and pure Eponate 12 resin made up from Embed 812 (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 14900), DDSA (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 13710), NMA (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 19000) and DMP-30 (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 13600) and polymerized at 65°C for 48 hours. Semi-thin sections (1.5 um) were cut on Leica EM UC7 ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems, Vienna, Austria) and stained with toluidine blue (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 22050) and examine under light microscopy. The 50-nm thin sections were stained with 4% uranyl acetate (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 22400) and 2.5% lead nitrate (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 17800) for electron microscopy analysis (Hitachi Ltd., HT7700, Tokyo, Japan).

ROS staining.

Fat-body tissues were dissected from third instar larvae in cold Drosophila Schneider's Medium (DSM) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, S0146). For DCFH-DA (Beyotime Biotechnology, S0033) staining, samples were incubated with DCFH-DA (10uM in DSM) for 15 min at room temperature in a dark chamber on shaker (speed 120 round per min). After rinsing three times for 2 min each time (hoechst33258 added to DSM at the last time), samples were quickly mounted in DSM and imaged within 10-15 min. Quantification of staining is done using Image J.

JC-1 staining.

Flight muscle tissues were dissected in cold Drosophila Schneider's Medium (DSM) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, S0146). Samples were incubated with JC-1 (5ug/ml) (Beyotime Biotechnology, C2006) for 20 min at 37°C in a dark chamber. In the CCCP treatment group, samples should be firstly incubated in DSM with 10um CCCP and then incubated with JC-1. After rinsing twice for about 10 sec each time, samples were quickly mounted in DSM and imaged within 10-15 min. The JC-1 aggregate form (red) has absorption/emission maxima of 585/590 nm, while the JC-1 monomeric form (green) has 514/529 nm.

ATP assays.

ATP levels were measured by using the ATP assay kit (Beyotime Biotechnology, S0026). The standard manufacturer's protocols were followed. Briefly, fat-body tissues dissected from 10 third *in star* larvae for each genotype were lysed in ATP lysis buffer. After centrifugation, the supernatants of each sample were harvested and the ATP levels were measured by using the Synergy Neo2 plate reader (Bio Tek Inc. Winooski, Vermont, USA). The values were normalized to protein content measured by the Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher, 23227). The measurements were repeated at least three times for each genotype.

Climbing assay.

Age matched male flies (10 per vial) were transferred into 20-cm-long vials and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Then the flies were completely tapped down to the bottom of the vials. The climbing time was recorded when more than half of flies had passed the 10-cm finish line. Ten different groups of flies were repeated and each group were performed for five trials. The average climbing time for each genotype was calculated. The climbing assay was performed when the flies were 3 days old, 10 days old, 20 days old, or 30 days old.

Quantitative real-time PCR.

Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, 15596018) by following standard manufacturer's protocols. The cDNA synthesis was carried out by using the M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher, 28025-013). Power SYBR Green master mix (Applied Biosystems, Life technologies, 4367659) were used in an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems Inc, Carlsbad, California). The primers used for each target were: *Dhpr*: F: 5'-CAATTATTGGGTTGGCAGCA-3', R: 5'-CCACATGAGATCGGCATTCT-3'; *RpLP0*: F: 5'-CTAAGCTGTCGCACAAATGGC-3', R: 5'-ATCTCCTTGCGCTTCTTGGA-3', *Nos*: F: 5'-GCCAGAGCATTGAGACCACTGG-3', R: 5'-ATGCTTGGCATCTGTGCGTT-3'.

Western blotting assay.

The standard procedures of western blotting were followed. Fat body tissue/ thorax tissue of each genotype flies were homogenized in lysis buffer and protein concentration was measured using the Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher, 23227). Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a PVDF membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% non-fat milk in TBST buffer (0.1% Tween 20 in TBS) and incubated with primary antibodies in 5% non-fat milk in TBST buffer overnight at 4°C. The primary antibodies used were as follows: anti-Drp1 (Cell Signaling, 8570S) diluted 1:1000, anti-Mitofusin 2 (Aviva Systems Biology, ABIN2775407) diluted 1:1000 and anti- α -tubulin (Beyotime Biotechnology, AT819) diluted 1:500. Although they were generated with antigens from the mammalian orthologs, the antibodies could successfully detect fly proteins. The membrane was then washed three times with TBST buffer and incubated with secondary antibodies and/or stains for 1h at room temperature. The secondary antibodies used were anti-rabbit/mouse-HRP (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, 111-035-003 and 115-035-003) diluted 1:500. The membrane was washed three times with TBST

buffer and then incubated with ECL reagent and exposed. Quantification of protein bands was done using the Image J software.

Fly genotypes.

The genotypes of the fly strains generated in the paper are as followed:

Fig. 1 C, *Attp2*: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-attp2/+. D, ND-51: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-ND-51 RNAi/+. E, Tom40: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Tom40 RNAi/+. F, Rpn11: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Rpn11 RNAi/+. G, CG13442: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-CG3270 RNAi/+. H, LKRSDH: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-LKRSDH RNAi. I, Ncoa6: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Ncoa6 RNAi/+. J, CG12951: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-CG12951 RNAi. K, xit: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-xit RNAi/+. L, Drp1 : CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/ UAS-Drp1 RNAi. M, Marf: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-marf RNAi/+. N, Opa1: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Opa1 RNAi/+. O, zuc: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/ UAS-zuc RNAi. P, Warts: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Warts RNAi/+. Q, YME1L: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-YME1L RNAi/+. R, Chchd3: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Chchd3 RNAi/+. S, MSP300: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-MSP300 RNAi/+. T, milt: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-milt RNAi/+. U, Pink1: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Pink1 RNAi/+. V, park: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-mark RNAi/+.

Fig. 2 A, CTRL: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-attp2/+. B, ND-42: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-ND42 RNAi/+. C, ND-15: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/ UAS-ND-15 RNAi. D, ND-51: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-ND-51 RNAi/+. E, ND-23: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-ND-23 RNAi. F, Sdhc: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Sdhc RNAi. G, UQCR-Q: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-UQCR-Q RNAi/+. H, Cchl: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Cchl RNAi/+. I, cype: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-cype RNAi/+. J, ATPsynB: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-ATPsynB RNAi/+. K and L, CTP syn: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-CTP syn RNAi/+. M and U, CTRL: CgGal4/+; UAS-attp2/+. N, ND-49 RNAi: CgGal4/+; UAS-ND-49 RNAi/+. **O**, NP15.6 RNAi: CgGal4/+; UAS-NP 15.6 RNAi/+. **P**, Cchl RNAi: CgGal4/+; UAS-Cchl RNAi/+. **Q** and **V**, CTP syn RNAi: CgGal4/+; UAS-CTP syn RNAi/+. **W**, ND-15 RNAi: CgGal4/UAS-ND-15 RNAi.

Fig. 3 A and G, CTRL: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-attp2/+. B, Pink1 RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Pink1 RNAi/+. C, park RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-park RNAi/+. D, ben RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-ben RNAi/+. E and H, Dhpr RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-Dhpr RNAi. F, Dhpr RNAi; Dhpr OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Dhpr 3HA /+. K, K' and Q, W¹¹¹⁸: W¹¹¹⁸. L, L' and R, Dhpr¹⁷⁻⁴: W¹¹¹⁸; Dhpr¹⁷⁻⁴. M, M' and S, Pink1^[B9]: W* Pink1^[B9]/Y. N and N', Dhpr G; Dhpr¹⁷⁻⁴: pattB-Dhpr G/+; Dhpr¹⁷⁻⁴. U, CTRL: UAS-attp40/+; PleGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-Dcr-2. V, Dhpr RNAi; Dcr-2 OE: UAS-Dhpr RNAi/+; PleGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-Dcr-2. W, Pink1^[B9]: Pink1^[B9]/Y; PleGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+.

Fig. 4 A, *CTRL*: *CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP*/+; *UAS-attp2*/+. B, *Dhpr RNAi*: *CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP*/UAS-Dhpr RNAi. C, *Pink1 OE*: *CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP*/+; *UAS-Pink1*/+. D, *park-V5 OE*: *CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP*/+; *UAS-park-V5*/+. E, *Dhpr RNAi*; *Pink1 OE*: *CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP*/UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Pink1/+. F, *Dhpr RNAi*; *park-V5 OE*: *CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP*/UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-park-V5/ +. G, Dhpr OE: *CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP*/+; *UAS-Dhpr-3HA*/+. H, *Pink1 RNAi*; *Dhpr OE*: *CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP*/+; *UAS-Dhpr-3HA*/+. H, *Pink1 RNAi*; *Dhpr OE*: *CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP*/+; *UAS-Pink1 RNAi*/UAS-Dhpr-3HA. J and J', *CTRL*: UAS-attp40/+; *Mef2Gal4*/+. K and K', *Dhpr RNAi*: UAS-Dhpr RNAi/+; *Mef2Gal4*/+. L and L', *Pink1 OE*: *Mef2Gal4*/UAS-Pink1. M and M', *Dhpr RNAi*; *Pink1 OE*: UAS-Dhpr RNAi/+; *Mef2Gal4*/UAS-Pink1. N and N', *park-V5 OE*: *Mef2Gal4*/UAS-park-V5. O, O' and O'', *Dhpr RNAi*; *park-V5 OE*: UAS-Dhpr *RNAi*/+; *Mef2Gal4*/UAS-park-V5. R, R' and R'', *Pink1*^[B9]: W* Pink1^[B9]/Y. S and S', *Pink1*^[B9]; *Dhpr RNAi*: Pink1^[B9]/Y; UAS-Dhpr RNAi/+; *Mef2Gal4*/+. Fig. 5 A, CTRL: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-attp2 / +. B, Dhpr RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi. C, Dhpr OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Dhpr-3HA / +. D, Dhpr^{G16D}-3HA OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Dhpr^{G16D}-3HA / +. E and E', CTRL: Mef2Gal4 / UAS-attp2. F and F', Dhpr RNAi: UAS-Dhpr RNAi / +; Mef2Gal4 / +. G and G', Dhpr OE: Mef2Gal4 / UAS-Dhpr-3HA. H and H', Dhpr^{G16D}-3HA OE: Mef2Gal4 / UAS-Dhpr^{G16D}-3HA. I, Dhpr RNAi; Dhpr OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Dhpr-3HA / +. J, Dhpr RNAi; Dhpr^{G16D}-3HA OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Dhpr^{G16D}-3HA / +. K, Nos RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Dhpr^{G16D}-3HA / +. K, Nos RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / HAS-Nos RNAi / +. L, Dhpr RNAi; Nos RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Nos RNAi / +. M and M', Dhpr RNAi; Dhpr OE: UAS-Dhpr RNAi / +; Mef2Gal4 / UAS-Dhpr-3HA. N and N', Dhpr RNAi; Dhpr^{G16D}-3HA OE: UAS-Dhpr RNAi / +; Mef2Gal4 / UAS-Dhpr^{G16D}-3HA. N and N', Dhpr RNAi; Dhpr^{G16D}-3HA OE: UAS-Dhpr RNAi / +; Mef2Gal4 / UAS-Nos RNAi. P and P', Dhpr RNAi; Nos RNAi: UAS-Dhpr RNAi / +; Mef2Gal4 / UAS-Nos RNAi.

Fig. 6 A, CTRL: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-attp2 / +. B, Dhpr RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi. C, Drp1 OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Drp1 / +. D, Dhpr RNAi; Drp1 OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Drp1 / +. E and E', CTRL: Mef2Gal4 / UAS-attp2. F and F', Dhpr RNAi: UAS-Dhpr RNAi / +; Mef2Gal4 / +. G and G', Drp1 OE: Mef2Gal4 / UAS-Drp1. H and H', Dhpr RNAi; Drp1 OE: UAS-Dhpr RNAi / +; Mef2Gal4 / UAS-Drp1. N, Drp1 RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Drp1 RNAi / +. O, Drp1 RNAi; Drp1 OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Drp1 RNAi / UAS-Drp1. P, Drp1^{C643A}-3HA OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Drp1 RNAi / UAS-Drp1 RNAi; Drp1^{C643A}-3HA OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Drp1 RNAi / UAS-Drp1^{C643A}-3HA. S, Dhpr RNAi; Drp1^{C643A}-3HA OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Drp1^{C643A}-3HA OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi;

UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-CG33650 RNAi / +. **F**, CG6050: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-CG6050 RNAi / +. **G**, CG6512: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-CG6512 RNAi / +. **H**, CG10920: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-CG10920 RNAi / +. **I**, CG3057: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-CG3057 RNAi. **J**, CG6851: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-CG6851 RNAi / +. **K**, porin: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-porin RNAi / +. **L**, CG7834: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-CG7834 RNAi / +. **M**, CG12140: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-CG12140 RNAi. **N**, CG3140: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-CG3140 RNAi. **O**, CG3835: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-CG3835 RNAi. **P**, CG2718: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-CG2718 RNAi. **Q**, CG11876: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-CG11876 RNAi. **R**, CG6459: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-CG6459 RNAi / +. **S**, Pex1: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Pex1 RNAi / +. **T**, Pex13: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Pex13 RNAi / +. **U**, Pex19: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Pex19 RNAi / +. **V**, CRAT: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-CRAT RNAi. **W**, Mfe2: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Mfe2 RNAi. **X**, Hmgcr: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Hmgcr RNAi.

Fig. S3 B, Rpn1: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Rpn1 RNAi / +. C, Rpn3: CgGal4
UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Rpn3 RNAi / +. D, Rpn6: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +;
UAS-Rpn6 RNAi / +. E, Rpn7: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Rpn7 RNAi / +. F,
Rpn8: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Rpn8 RNAi / +. G, Rpn9: CgGal4
UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Rpn9 / +. H, Rpn11: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +;
UAS-Rpn11 RNAi / +. I, Rpn12: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Rpn12 RNAi / +. J,
Rpt2: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Rpt2 RNAi / +. K, Rpt3: CgGal4
UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Rpt3 RNAi / +. L, Rpt6: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +;
UAS-Rpt6 RNAi / +. M, Prosa5: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Prosa5 RNAi / +.
N, Prosa7: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Prosa7 RNAi / +. O, Prosβ1: CgGal4
UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Prosβ1 RNAi / +. P, Prosβ5: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +;
UAS-Prosβ5 RNAi / +. Q, Prosβ6: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Prosβ6 RNAi / +.
R, R' and R'', CTRL: CgGal4 / +; UAS-attp2 / +. S, S' and S'', Prosa7: CgGal4 / +;

UAS-Prosa7 RNAi/+. T, T' and T'', Rpn11: CgGal4/+; UAS-Rpn11 RNAi/+.

Fig. S4 B, SmB: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-SmB RNAi. C, SmD3: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-SmD3 RNAi / +. D, snRNP-U1-70K: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP /+; UAS-snRNP-U1-70K RNAi/+. E, snRNP-U1-C: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-snRNP-U1-C/+. F, U2A: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-U2A RNAi/+. G, U2af38: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-U2af38 RNAi / +. H, Sf3a1: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Sf3a1 RNAi/+. I, Sf3b2: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Sf3b2 RNAi/+. J, Sf3b5: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Sf3b5 RNAi/+. K, noi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-noi RNAi / +. L, LSm7: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-LSm7 RNAi. M, CG2021: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-CG2021 RNAi. N, Prp3: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Prp3 RNAi. O, l(3)72Ab: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP /+; UAS-l(3)72Ab RNAi/+. P, CG6841: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-CG6841 RNAi. Q, Prp8: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Prp8 RNAi / +. R, CG6015: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-CG6015 RNAi/+. S, Bx42: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP /+; UAS-Bx42 RNAi/+. **T**, crn: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-crn RNAi/+. **U**, CG9667: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-CG9667 RNAi / +. V, Hrb98DE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Hrb98DE RNAi/+. W, Ref1: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Ref1 RNAi / +. X, Prp19: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Prp19 RNAi / +. Y, Hsc70-1: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Hsc70-1 RNAi / +. Z, Hsc70-2: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-HSC70-2 RNAi / +. Z', Hsc70-4: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Hsc70-4 RNAi / +. Z'', CG2685: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-CG2685 RNAi/+.

Fig. S5 B, I and M, CTRL: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-attp2/+. C, Got1: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-Got1/+. D, hgo: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-hgo RNAi. E, GstZ2: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-GstZ2. F, Faa: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-Faa RNAi. G, ple: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/+; UAS-ple RNAi/ +. J, LKRSDH: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP/UAS-LKRSDH RNAi. K, CG9629: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-CG9629 RNAi. **N**, G9a: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-G9a RNAi / +. **O**, eIF2y: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-eIF2y RNAi / +. **P**, ash1: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-ash1 RNAi / +. **Q**, CG10814: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-CG10814 / +.

Fig. S6 A: CgGal4 UAS-ManII-GFP / +; UAS-attp2 / +. B: CgGal4 UAS-GFP-SKL /
+; UAS-attp2 / +. C: CgGal4 / +; UAS-RFP-KDEL / UAS-attp2. D: CgGal4
UAS-ManII-GFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi. E: CgGal4 UAS-GFP-SKL / UAS-Dhpr RNAi. F:
CgGal4 / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-RFP-KDEL / +. L and X, W¹¹¹⁸: W¹¹¹⁸ +;
UAS-gstD1-GFP / +. M and Y, Dhpr¹⁷⁻⁴: UAS-gstD1-GFP / +; Dhpr¹⁷⁻⁴. N, Pink1^[B9]:
Pink1^[B9] / Y; UAS-gstD1-GFP / +. P, CTRL: CgGal4 / +; UAS-attp2 / +. Q, Dhpr
RNAi: CgGal4 / UAS-Dhpr RNAi. T and V, W¹¹¹⁸. U, W¹¹¹⁸; Dhpr¹⁷⁻⁴.

Fig. S7 B and B', *CTRL*: *Mef2Gal4 / UAS-attp2*. C, C' and C', *Dhpr RNAi*: UAS-Dhpr RNAi / +; *Mef2Gal4 /* +. D and D', *Pink1 OE*: *Mef2Gal4 / UAS-Pink1*. E and E', *park-V5 OE*: *Mef2Gal4 / UAS-park-V5*. F, F' and F'', *Dhpr RNAi; Pink OE*: Dhpr RNAi / +; *Mef2Gal4 / UAS-Pink OE*. G, G' and G'', *Dhpr RNAi; park-V5 OE*: Dhpr RNAi / +; *Mef2Gal4 / UAS-park-V5*. M, M' and M'': *CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / pattB-Dhpr G /* +.

Fig. S8 C, CTRL: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-attp2 / +. D, Dhpr RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi. E, Pu RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Pu RNAi / +. F, Dhpr RNAi; Pu RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Pu RNAi / +. G, hn RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-hn RNAi / +. H, Trh RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Trh RNAi / +. I, ple RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-ple RNAi / +. J, Dhpr RNAi; hn RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-hn RNAi / +. K, Dhpr RNAi; Trh RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Trh RNAi / +. L, Dhpr RNAi; ple RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Trh RNAi / +. M, Dhpr RNAi; ple *Pu RNAi; UAS-Drp1:* CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Pu RNAi / UAS-Drp1. **N, Dhpr RNAi; Nos RNAi; UAS-Drp1:** CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Nos RNAi / UAS-Drp1.

Fig. S9 A, CTRL: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-attp2 / +. B, Dhpr RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi. C, Marf RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Marf RNAi / +. D, Opa1 RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / +; UAS-Opa1 RNAi / +. E, Dhpr RNAi; Marf RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Marf RNAi / +. F, Dhpr RNAi; Opa1 RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; UAS-Opa1 RNAi / +. G, Marf-myc OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Dhpr RNAi; Dhpr RNAi; Marf-myc OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Marf-myc. H, Dhpr RNAi; Marf-myc OE: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP UAS-Marf-myc/ UAS-Dhpr RNAi. I, Drp1 RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP / UAS-Drp1 RNAi. J, Dhpr RNAi; Drp1 RNAi: CgGal4 UAS-mitoGFP UAS-Drp1 RNAi / UAS-Dhpr RNAi.





Fig. S1. The complex analysis of the hits from the mitochondrial morphology screening. The different phenotypes of the mitochondria are color coded and indicated at the bottom of the figure.

Figure S2



Fig. S2. The mitochondrial morphology in the fat body tissues with indicated gene RNAi is shown. All these genes that had been mentioned in the main text but did not shown in the main figures were shown here. The boxed region in each image was enlarged in the inset to show the typical mitochondrial morphology. The phenotype category for each genotype is listed on the top of image. In **A-D**, the ages of larvae were labeled. The mitochondria were labeled by mitoGFP (green) and the nuclei were labeled by DAPI (blue). The scale bars are 5μm.



Fig. S3. Sixteen genes encoding proteasome components were identified in this mitochondrial

morphology screening. A. A scheme to show that the proteasome components that had been identified in this screening. **B-Q.** The mitochondrial morphology in the fat body cells with indicated gene knocked down. Mitochondria were labeled with mitoGFP (green) and the nuclei were labeled with DAPI staining (blue). The boxed region in each image was enlarged in the inset to show the typical mitochondrial morphology. The phenotype category for each genotype was listed on the top of image. The scale bars are 5µm. **R-T**". The TEM of the fat body tissues with indicated gene knocked down. The scale bars are as indicated. 3 different scales were shown for each genotype.

Figure S4



Fig. S4. Twenty-seven genes encoding spliceosome components were identified in this mitochondrial morphology screening. A. The spliceosome components and their functional pathway were shown (adapted from KEGG). The components identified in this screening were labeled with red stars. **B-Z**". The mitochondrial morphology in the fat body cells with indicated gene RNAi were shown. The name of the subcomplex that the proteins encoded by the identified genes belong to were labeled on the top of the images. The mitochondria were labeled by mitoGFP (green) and the nuclei were labeled by DAPI (blue). The boxed region in each image was enlarged in the inset to show the typical mitochondrial morphology. The phenotype category for each genotype was listed on the top of image. The scale bars are 5μm.

Figure S5



Fig. S5. The reduction of the enzymes involved in tyrosine and lysine metabolism led to abnormal mitochondrial morphology. A. A scheme showed the key enzymes involved in tyrosine metabolism. **B-G**. The mitochondria became fragmented and sometimes clustered when the expression of the indicated genes was reduced. **H.** A scheme showed the key enzymes in the lysine degradation pathway. **I-K**. The mitochondrial morphology was abnormal when *LKRSDH* and *CG9629* were knocked down. **L.** A scheme showed the enzymes required for protein lysine residue methylation process and the enzymes that synthesis carnitine from tri-methyllysine. **M-Q.** The mitochondrial morphology was abnormal when the indicated genes were knocked down in fat body tissues. The red label in **A**, **H**, and **L** indicates the fly genes encoding the indicated enzymes that had been identified in this screen. In **B-G**, **I-K**, and **M-Q**, the green signals were mitoGFP signals to indicate mitochondrial morphology and the blue signals were DAPI staining to indicate the nuclei. The scale bars are 5μm. The boxed region in each image was enlarged and shown in the inset to present the typical mitochondrial morphology. The phenotype category for each genotype was listed on the top of image.

Figure S6



Fig. S6. Loss of *Dhpr* leads to the reduction of life span and increase of the ROS production. A-H. The patterns of Golgi (ManII-GFP), peroxisomes (SKL-GFP), and ER (KDEL-RFP) were analyzed in fat body tissues of indicated genotypes. The patterns of Golgi and ER markers were similar in Dhpr RNAi and control fat body tissues. SKL-GFP labeled peroxisomes puncta were larger in Dhpr RNAi tissues than in the control tissues. The boxed region in each image was enlarged in the inset. G. is the quantification of the numbers of the particles in A, B, D, and E. n = 3 images for each genotype; N.S., not significant; two-tailed unpaired student t-test. **H.** is the quantification of the relative particle size in **A**, **B**, **D**, and **E**. n = 3 images for each genotype; N.S., not significant. ***, p < 0.001; two-tailed unpaired student t-test. **I.** The scheme showed the CRISPR/Cas9 mediated knock out strategy and the isolated alleles. Homozygous Dhpr¹⁷⁻⁴ and $Dhpr^{42-5}$ mutant alleles were analyzed and the data of $Dhpr^{17-4}$ allele were presented. **J.** $Dhpr^{17-4}$ mutant flies were fertile. n = 3 replicates, 50 flies per replicate; N.S., not significant; two-tailed unpaired student t-test. **K.** $Dhpr^{17-4}$ mutant flies have reduced life span. The male flies were analyzed. p < 0.0001, log rank test; n = 100 flies. L-N. gstD1GFP reporter (green) shows that Dhpr¹⁷⁻⁴ and Pink1^[B9] third instar larvae fat body tissues have elevated ROS production. **O.** is the quantification of the relative level of gstD1 GFP in L-N. n = 3images for each genotype; ***, p < 0.001; one-way ANOVA/Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. **P**, **Q**. DCFH DA staining shows that *Dhpr* RNAi in the fat body tissues leads to increased ROS production. **R.** is the quantification of the relative level of DCFH-DA in **P** and **Q**. n = 3 images for each genotype; ***, p < 10.001; two-tailed unpaired student t-test. S. The climbing index indicates that *Dhpr* and *Pink1* mutants have gradually reduced climbing ability with aging. n = 3 - 10 vials per genotype, 10 flies for each vial; ***, p < 0.001; one-way ANOVA/Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. T-V. JC-1 staining showed that Dhpr¹⁷⁻⁴ flight muscle tissues from 15-day-old flies have low mitochondrial transmembrane potential ($\Delta \Psi m$). Red fluorescence (JC-1 aggregate) indicates healthy mitochondria, while green fluorescence (JC-1 monomers) indicates inactive mitochondria without $\Delta \Psi m$. W. is the quantification of JC-1 staining in T-V. n = 3 images for each genotype; ***, p < 0.001; one-way ANOVA/Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. X, Y. gstD1GFP reporter (green) showed that Dhpr17-4 flight muscle tissues from 15-day-old flies have elevated ROS production. Z. is the quantification of the relative level of gstD1 GFP in X and Y. n = 3 images for each genotype; ***, p < 0.001; two-tailed unpaired t-test. The scale bars in IF images are 5µm.

Figure S7



Fig. S7. The overexpression of *Pink1* or *park* partially rescues muscle defects caused by *Dhpr* RNAi. A. The climbing index of the 3-day-old adult flies with indicated genotypes. Muscle specific knockdown of Dhpr led to decreased climbing ability and Pink1, park overexpression could not rescue the mobility defects caused by *Dhpr* RNAi. *Nos* RNAi enhanced the climbing defects caused by *Dhpr* RNAi. The wild type but not the G16D mutant form of *Dhpr* could rescue the climbing defects caused by *Dhpr* RNAi. Ri, RNAi; OE, overexpression. n = 3 - 10 vials per genotype, 10 flies per vial; N.S., not significant; ***, p < 0.001; one-way ANOVA/Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. **B-G.** are the toluidine blue staining of the fly thorax thick sections from 35-day-old flies with indicated genotypes. The boxed regions were enlarged in the insets to show detailed phenotypes of the muscle fragments. B'-G" are the TEM images of thorax thin sections to show the detailed morphology of mitochondria and muscle fibers. The scale bars are as indicated. H. is the quantification of the ratio of degenerated muscle fragments in **B-G**. Ri, RNAi; OE, overexpression. n = 3 - 6; N.S, not significant; ***, P<0.001; one-way ANOVA/Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. I. is the quantification of the ratio of mitochondria in **B'-G**". The diagram on top of this panel shows the typical normal mitochondria (grey) and abnormal mitochondria. The abnormal mitochondria were categorized into three type based on cristae morphology. Type I (blue): mitochondria lose some cristae and there are obvious empty spaces between tightly packed cristae. Type II (orange): the cristae of the mitochondria are disorganized and loose. Type III (red): mitochondria lose most of its cristae, more than half of the mitochondria area was empty. Ri, RNAi; OE, overexpression. n = 3 images for each genotype; ***, p < 0.001; Chi-square (and Fisher's exact) test. J. is the quantification of the mitochondrial diameters in B'-G". Ri, RNAi; OE, overexpression. n = 27; N.S, not significant; *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; one-way ANOVA/Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. K-N". Dhpr is an evolutionary conserved cytosolic protein. K-K". In S2 cells, overexpressed V5 tagged Dhpr is diffusely distributed inside the cytosol. MitoGFP (green) labels mitochondria, anti-V5 (red) indicate overexpressed Dhpr. DAPI (blue) labels the nucleus. L-L". Endogenous level of Dhpr expression shows a diffused pattern inside S2 cells. The genomic fragment contain a V5 tagged (C-terminal tag) Dhpr was introduced into S2 cells. MitoGFP (green) labels mitochondria, anti-V5 (red) indicate overexpressed Dhpr. DAPI (blue) labels the nucleus. M-M". Endogenous level of Dhpr expression shows a diffused pattern in fat body tissues. The genomic fragment contain a V5 tagged (C-terminal tag) Dhpr was introduced into wide type flies. MitoGFP (green) labels mitochondria, anti-V5 (red) indicate overexpressed Dhpr. N-N"GFP tagged QDPR overexpression shows diffused pattern in Hela cells. MitoRFP (red) labels mitochondria and GFP (green) signals indicate QDPR distribution. The scale bars in the IF images are 5µm. The scale bars in the TEM images are as indicated.

Figure S8 Gly¹⁶ Α 20 10 30 40 Dhpr (fly) SAGRVVIYGGKGALGSACVDHFKANNYWV IDLT QDPR (human) EARRVLVYGGRGAI SRCVQAFRARNWWV Α Gly²³ В Phenylalanine GTP Tryptophan →BH4 →BH4 L-Argine GTP cyclohy-Tryptophan →BH4 Phenylalanine drolase 1/Pu Hydroxylase/ Hydroxylase/ DHPR Nitric oxide DHPR Trh/Hn Trh/Hn synthase/Nos NH2TP DHPR qBH2 4 [▶] 5-HTP ▼Tyrosine qBH2 ≮ PTP synthase Citrulline BH4+ 6PTP qBH2≮ Tyrosine Hydroxylase/Ple DHPR SR NO →qBH2 BH4 L-DOPA* serotonin Dhpr RNAi Pu RNAi Dhpr RNAi; Pu RNAi CTRL С D F E hn RNAi Trh RNAi ple RNAi Dhpr RNAi; hn RNAi G Н J **DAPI** MitoGFP ۲ Dhpr RNAi; Pu RNAi; Dhpr RNAi; Nos RNAi; UAS-Drp1 UAS-Drp1 Dhpr RNAi; Trh RNAi Dhpr RNAi; ple RNAi K N Μ Ŀ 0 Ratio of cells with large mitoGFP puncta Ρ No. of large mitoGFP puncta / affected cell (n) *** (%) *** *** 100 10 80 8 *** 60 6 Diver Rt: pue Rt Dip OF NOS RT 40 4 Drive Rel per di De sei Dip Drive Rel per di Dip Ri, Nos Ri Dip Drive Rel per di Dip Ri, Nos Ri Dip 20 2 DNPFRI: NOS RI. DIPI OF DNPFRI, Nos RI, DIP1 OF DhprRipuRi Drpr Ri, In Ri DNPF RI, TH RI DNPFR^{II}, PURI Dripf Rit In Ri DNPFRI: TIM RI 0 0 Dhpr Ri CTRL Dhpr Ri CTRL

Fig. S8. The genetic interaction between *Dhpr* and genes whose products consume or produce BH4. A. The alignment of Dhpr and QDPR showed that glycine¹⁶ in Dhpr is corresponding to glycine²³ in QDPR. **B**. A scheme to show that the enzyme activities of the indicated proteins using BH4 as cofactors. C-F. Pu RNAi did not cause obvious mitochondrial defects in wild type fat body tissues but greatly enhanced the mitochondrial defects in *Dhpr* RNAi fat body tissues. G-I. The RNAi of *hn*, *Trh or ple* alone did not have mitochondrial phenotypes similar with *Dhpr* RNAi. J-L. The RNAi of *hn*, *Trh or ple* could not enhance the mitochondrial defects caused by RNAi of *Dhpr.* M. *Drp1* overexpression could partially rescue the mitochondrial defects caused by *Dhpr* and *pu* double RNAi. **N.** *Drp1* overexpression could partially rescue the mitochondrial defects caused by *Dhpr* and *Nos* double RNAi. The mitochondrial morphology in the fat body cells with indicated gene knocked down. Mitochondria were labeled with mitoGFP (green) and the nuclei were labeled with DAPI staining (blue). The boxed region in each image was enlarged in the inset to show the typical mitochondrial morphology. The scale bars are 5µm. **O.** is the quantification of the ratio of cells with large mitoGFP puncta in the fat body tissues with indicated genotypes. Ri, RNAi; OE, overexpression. n = 5; N. S., not significant; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; one-way ANOVA/Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. **P.** is the quantification of the average number of large mitoGFP puncta per affected cell in the fat body tissues with indicated genotypes. Ri, RNAi; OE, overexpression. n = 10; N. S., not significant; **, p <0.01; ***, p < 0.001; one-way ANOVA/Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test.

Figure S9



Fig. S9. The genetic interaction between *Dhpr* **and other core machinery of mitochondrial fusion and fission.** The mitochondrial morphology in the fat body cells with indicated gene knocked down.

Mitochondria were labeled with mitoGFP (green) and the nuclei are labeled with DAPI staining (blue). The scale bars are 5µm. **A-J.** *Opa1* RNAi, *marf* RNAi, *marf* overexpression, and *Drp1* RNAi could modify the mitochondrial morphology defects caused by *Dhpr* RNAi. In these tissues, the mitochondrial defects were worse than that in *Dhpr* RNAi alone. **K.** The ratio of cells with large mitoGFP puncta in the fat body tissues with indicated genotypes. Ri, RNAi; OE, overexpression. n = 5; N. S., not significant; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; one-way ANOVA/Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. **L.** is the quantification of the average number of large mitoGFP puncta per affected cell in the fat body tissues with indicated genotypes. Ri, RNAi; OE, overexpression. n = 10; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; one-way ANOVA/Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. **L.** is the quantification of the average number of large mitoGFP puncta per affected cell in the fat body tissues with indicated genotypes. Ri, RNAi; OE, overexpression. n = 10; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; one-way ANOVA/Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. **M.** N. The protein level of Marf was unchanged and the protein level of Drp1 was increased in *Dhpr* RNAi tissues. **N** is the quantification for **M**. n = 3 replicates, 20 individual flies per replicate; N.S., not significant; ***, p < 0.001; two-tailed unpaired student t-test. **O**. The alignment of fly Drp1 to human Drp1 showed that cystine⁶⁴³ in fly Drp1 is corresponding to cystine⁶⁴⁴ in human Drp1. **P**. The real-time PCR results indicated that the knockdown efficiency of the *Nos* RNAi line was around 40%. Ri, RNAi; OE, overexpression. n = 4 replicates, 20 flies per replicate; ***, p < 0.001; two-tailed unpaired student t-test.





Fig. S10. The model of how Dhpr regulates mitochondrial morphology. A. In wild type tissues, Dhpr regulates BH4 regeneration and participates NO synthesis. NO modifies Drp1 and activates Drp1 activity to maintain normal mitochondrial morphology and tissues homeostasis. **B.** The loss of *Dhpr* reduces NO synthesis. The reduction of sno-Drp1 leads to enlarge of mitochondria and reduction of mitochondrial activity. **C.** The overexpression of *Pink1* or *park* partially rescued mitochondrial defects caused by *Dhpr* RNAi probably through enhanced mitophagy.