Supplementary Information

Evaluating the taxa that provide shared pollination services across

multiple crops and regions

Bryony K. Willcox^{1*}, Brad G. Howlett², Andrew J. Robson³, Brian Cutting⁴, Lisa Evans⁴, Linley Jesson⁵, Lindsey Kirkland¹, Malou Jean-Meyzonnier⁶, Victoria Potdevin⁶, Manu E. Saunders^{1,7} and Romina Rader¹



SI Figure 1: Family level flower visitor networks of mango orchard sites in a) Mareeba, QLD and b) Bundaberg during flowering in 2016. Black boxes indicate orchard sites. Visitor group labels colour coded to indicate shared groups (blue), unique groups (black) and unidentified visitors grouped to order (grey).

| Bundaberg (Queensland) | | | Sunraysia (New South Wales/Victoria/South Australia) | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Taxonomic family | Species Strength | Genera present | Species Strength | Genera present | | |
| Calliphoridae | 0.7 | Chrysomya, Lucilia | 1.3 | Chrysomya, Lucilia, Calliphora | | |
| Chrysomelidae | 0.9 | Monolepta | 0.11 | Monolepta | | |
| Coccinellidae | 2.14 | Coccinella, Halmus, Micraspis | 3.31 | Coccinella, Harmonia | | |
| Formicidae | 0.4 | ${\it Iridomyrmex, Rhytidoponera} + \\$ | 0.9 | Iridomyrmex, Rhytidoponera + | | |
| Halictidae | 0.14 | Lassioglossum | 0.03 | Lassioglossum | | |
| Lauxaniidae | 0.14 | - | 1.1 | - | | |
| Lycidae | 0.86 | Lycid | 0.03 | Lycid | | |
| Muscidae | 0.14 | Helina | 0.43 | Australphyra, Helina + | | |
| Rhiniidae | 0.85 | Stomorhina, Chlororhinia | 0.9 | Stomorhina, Metallea | | |
| Sarcophagidae | 0.14 | Sarcophaga | 0.22 | Sarcophaga | | |
| Symphideo | 0.90 | Eristalinus, Simosyrphus | 1.00 | Fristalinas Simosambas Noonlosia | | |
| Syrpindae | 0.80 | Neoplesia, Cyphipeltus | 4.99 | Erisiainus, Simosyrphus, Neopiesia | | |
| Managed Apidae | 2.9 | Apis mellifera | 0.93 | Apis mellifera | | |

SI Table 1. Genera of shared families present in avocado across regions.

- specimens not identified any further than family

+ additional specimens present, not identified to genus

SI Table 2. Genera of shared families present in mango across regions.

| Bundaberg (Queensland) | | nsland) | Mareeba (Queensland) | | |
|------------------------|------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|
| Taxonomic family | Species Strength | Genera present | Species Strength | Genera present | |
| Apidae | 0.11 | Tetragonula, Xylocopa | 1.72 | Tetragonula, Xylocopa, Apis* | |
| Calliphoridae | 0.1 | Chrysomya, Calliphora, Lucilia | 1.5 | Chrysomya, Lucilia, Metallea | |
| Chrysomelidae | 0.02 | Monolepta | 0.01 | Monolepta | |
| Coccinellidae | 0.02 | Coccinella, Micraspis | 0.01 | Coccinella | |
| Formicidae | 0.06 | ${\it Iridomyrmex, Rhytidoponera} + \\$ | 0.1 | | |
| Halictidae | 0.02 | Homalictus | 0.3 | Homalictus, Hylaeus | |
| Rhiniidae | 0.97 | Stomorhina | 1.5 | Stomorhina | |
| Syrphidae | 0.1 | $Eristalinus,\ Simosyrphus$ | 0.9 | Eristalinus, Allobacha, Allograpta | |
| | | Neoplesia, Cyphipeltus | | $Mesembrius,\ Melanstoma,\ Orthoprosopa$ | |
| Managed Apidae | 0.4 | Apis mellifera | 1.3 | Apis mellifera | |

- specimens not identified any further than family

+ additional specimens present, not identified to genus

* feral Apis cerana present



SI Figure 2: Avocado orchard site visitation networks across three years a) 2015; b) 2016; and c) 2017 (sites 4 and 7 were not surveyed in 2017). Black nodes represent orchard sites and blue text indicates species groups present across all three years.



SI Figure 3: Null model distributions for species strength values (x axis) in avocado visitation pollinator networks and significant observed species in: a) 2015; b) 2016; and c) 2017.



SI Figure 4: Visitation network and null distribution models for orchard site specialisation (d'). Red lines indicate observed orchard site specialisation (d') values. Region, crop & year: Top pair) Bundaberg avocado, 2015 and Bottom pair) Sunraysia avocado, 2015.



SI Figure 5: Visitation network and null distribution models for orchard site specialisation (d'). Red lines indicate observed orchard site specialisation (d') values. Region, crop & year: Top pair) Bundaberg mango, 2016 and Bottom pair) Bundaberg macadamia, 2016.

0 5 10

0.00

0.02

0.04

0.06

0.08

Т

0.12

0.10



SI Figure 6: Visitation network and null distribution models for orchard site specialisation (d'). Red lines indicate observed orchard site specialisation (d') values. Region, crop & year: Top pair) Bundaberg avocado, 2016; and Bottom pair) Mareeba mango, 2016.



SI Figure 7: Visitation network and null distribution models for site level specialisation (d'). Red lines indicate observed site level specialisation (d') values. Region, crop & year: Bundaberg avocado, 2017.

SI Table 3: Minimum adequate GLM models describing the influence of landscape composition on orchard site specialisation (d').

| Predictor variables | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | $\Pr(> t)$ |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|---------|-------------|
| Avocado - Suprausia 2015 500m | | | | |
| (Intercept) | -1 147934 | 0 903754 | -1 270 | 0.245 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | -0.005833 | 0.027148 | -0.215 | 0.836 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | 0.004830 | 0.012232 | 0.395 | 0.705 |
| ningated perchinar northeartare | 0.001000 | 0.012202 | 0.000 | 0.100 |
| Avocado – Sunraysia, 2015, 1km | | | | |
| (Intercept) | -1.17545 | 1.11182 | -1.057 | 0.331 |
| Conservation areas | -0.01813 | 0.03519 | -0.515 | 0.625 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | 0.00103 | 0.02584 | 0.04 | 0.97 |
| Irrigated perennial hortculture | 0.00702 | 0.01733 | 0.406 | 0.699 |
| | | | | |
| Avocado – Sunraysia, 2015, 2km | 0.04744 | 0.2001.2 | 0.00 | 0.005 |
| (Intercept) | 0.04744 | 2.38913 | 0.02 | 0.985 |
| Conservation areas | 0.0016 | 0.02262 | 0.071 | 0.946 |
| Institute vegetation (grazing) | -0.0012 | 0.01907 | -0.003 | 0.952 |
| irrigated perenmai nortculture | 0.00555 | 0.02058 | 0.20 | 0.803 |
| Avocado – Bundabera, 2015, 500m | | | | |
| (Intercept) | -8.39696 | 3.92085 | -2.142 | 0.166 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | 0.06869 | 0.04033 | 1.703 | 0.231 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | 0.08460 | 0.04743 | 1.784 | 0.216 |
| Native forests (production) | 0.08098 | 0.04218 | 1.920 | 0.195 |
| Irrigated cropping | 0.06392 | 0.03920 | 1.630 | 0.245 |
| | | | | |
| Avocado – Bundaberg, 2015, 1km | | | | |
| (Intercept) | -4.38383 | 1.57379 | -2.786 | 0.108 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | 0.03000 | 0.01739 | 1.725 | 0.227 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | 0.04364 | 0.02464 | 1.771 | 0.219 |
| Native forests (production) | 0.03213 | 0.01681 | 1.912 | 0.196 |
| Irrigated cropping | 0.02455 | 0.01620 | 1.516 | 0.269 |
| | | | | |
| Avocado - Bundaberg, 2016, 500m | | | 0.000 | |
| (Intercept) | 1.21419 | 5.84911 | 0.208 | 0.855 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | -0.03652 | 0.06197 | -0.589 | 0.615 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | -0.03036 | 0.07326 | -0.414 | 0.719 |
| Native forests (production) | -0.01407 | 0.06223 | -0.226 | 0.842 |
| Irrigated cropping | -0.02715 | 0.05791 | -0.469 | 0.685 |
| Avocado - Bundabera 2016 1km | | | | |
| (Intercept) | -1 202805 | 9.067301 | -0.436 | 0.706 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | -0.008103 | 0.033530 | -0.242 | 0.832 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | 0.008203 | 0.051521 | 0.159 | 0.888 |
| Native forests (production) | 0.002983 | 0.031919 | 0.093 | 0.934 |
| Irrigated cropping | -0.006922 | 0.031383 | -0.221 | 0.846 |
| | | | | |
| Avocado - Bundaberg, 2016, 2km | | | | |
| (Intercept) | -2.354797 | 3.356879 | -0.701 | 0.556 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | 0.010764 | 0.034719 | 0.310 | 0.786 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | 0.014315 | 0.078489 | 0.182 | 0.872 |
| Native forests (production) | 0.011657 | 0.037924 | 0.307 | 0.788 |
| Irrigated cropping | 0.004451 | 0.040646 | 0.110 | 0.923 |

SI Table 3: Continued.

| Predictor variables | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|---------|----------|
| | | | | (* 1917 |
| Avocado - Bundaberg, 2017, 500m | | | | |
| (Intercept) | -2.715371 | 3.450610 | -0.787 | 0.576 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | 0.001647 | 0.042694 | 0.039 | 0.975 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | 0.005365 | 0.045634 | 0.118 | 0.925 |
| Irrigated cropping | 0.016407 | 0.034788 | 0.472 | 0.719 |
| Avocado – Bundaberg, 2017, 1km | | | | |
| (Intercept) | -2.710611 | 3.687194 | -0.735 | 0.596 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | 0.006771 | 0.044618 | 0.152 | 0.904 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | 0.003371 | 0.069696 | 0.048 | 0.969 |
| Irrigated cropping | 0.010210 | 0.035670 | 0.286 | 0.823 |
| Avocado – Bundaberg, 2017, 2km | | | | |
| (Intercept) | -3.53326 | 3.04285 | -1.161 | 0.453 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | 0.01304 | 0.03115 | 0.419 | 0.748 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | 0.03101 | 0.09590 | 0.323 | 0.801 |
| Irrigated cropping | 0.01961 | 0.03269 | 0.600 | 0.656 |
| | | | | |
| Macadamia – Bundaberg, 2016, 500m | | | | |
| (Intercept) | -1.222288 | 1.412330 | -0.865 | 0.478 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | 0.003163 | 0.016045 | 0.197 | 0.862 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | -0.017177 | 0.015608 | -1.101 | 0.386 |
| Irrigated cropping | -0.020907 | 0.014461 | -1.446 | 0.285 |
| Macadamia – Bundaberg, 2016, 2km | | | | |
| (Intercept) | 0.432591 | 6.638195 | 0.065 | 0.954 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | 0.003365 | 0.072490 | 0.046 | 0.967 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | -0.032559 | 0.074075 | -0.440 | 0.703 |
| Irrigated cropping | -0.044929 | 0.079847 | -0.563 | 0.630 |
| Mango – Mareeba, 2016, 500m | | | | |
| (Intercept) | 0.260178 | 0.811863 | 0.320 | 0.762 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | -0.002735 | 0.009564 | -0.286 | 0.786 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | -0.023709 | 0.013786 | -1.720 | 0.146 |
| Irrigated cropping | 0.020715 | 0.016421 | 1.261 | 0.263 |
| menor orokhing | 01020110 | 51010121 | 1.201 | 51200 |
| Mango – Mareeba, 2016, 2km | | | | |
| (Intercept) | -1.432893 | 1.990086 | -0.720 | 0.504 |
| Native vegetation (grazing) | 0.001270 | 0.026940 | 0.047 | 0.964 |
| Irrigated perennial horticulture | 0.008398 | 0.025074 | 0.335 | 0.751 |
| Irrigated cropping | 0.040213 | 0.055415 | 0.726 | 0.501 |



SI Figure 8: Map indicating study regions.

| Сгор | Region | Year | Cultivar | Sampling Period | No. Orchard Sites | No. Surveys | Temp °C min-max | Humidity % min-max |
|-----------|-----------|------|----------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | Bundaberg | 2015 | Hass | 12 - 29 Sept | 7 | 45 | 20.6 - 28.6 | 24.0 - 70.5 |
| Avocado | Bundaberg | 2016 | Hass | 12 - 27 Sept | 7 | 47 | 20.3 - 29.1 | 25.2 - 84.2 |
| | Bundaberg | 2017 | Hass | $30~{\rm Aug}$ $-$ 15 Sept | 5 | 42 | 16.2 - 33.3 | 13.3 - 70.0 |
| | Sunraysia | 2015 | Hass | 9-28 Oct | 16 | 50 | 16.4 - 35.4 | 17.0 - 66.0 |
| Macadamia | Bundaberg | 2016 | 741 | 26 - 30 Sept | 6 | 39 | 21.4 - 29.4 | 20.5 - 65.4 |
| Manga | Bundaberg | 2016 | Calypso | 11 - 19 Sept | 2 | 15 | 21.4 - 28.8 | 29.4 - 67.6 |
| mango – | Mareeba | 2016 | Keitt | 3 - 31 Aug | 9 | 100 | 12.7 - 33.1 | 26.5 - 82.0 |

SI Table 4. Pollinator-crop visitation survey details.

SI Table 5: Pollinator groupings.

| Field visitor mound | Taxonomic family | Genera/species of identified | Region | |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| rield visitor groups | | voucher specimens | | |
| Syrphid spp. | Syrphidae | Simosyrphus, Neoplesia, Cyphipeltus | Bundaberg | |
| Syrphid spp. | Syrphidae | $Simosyrphus, \ Neoplesia$ | Sunraysia | |
| Tachinidid spp. | Tachindae | 3 species | Sunraysia | |
| Homalictus spp. | Halictidae | Urbanus, Flindersi, Murrayi | Mareeba | |

SI Table 6: Mean SVD values for different visitors. Mean \pm se (n samples).

| | Crop | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Avocado | Mango | Macadamia | |
| Control stigmas | $0.18 \pm 0.4 \; (410)$ | $1.8 \pm 0.3 \; (475)$ | $0.16 \pm 0.1 \; (13)$ | |
| Apis mellifera | $1.6\pm0.45(86)$ | $0.85 \pm 0.55 \; (41)$ | $0.52 \pm 0.21 \; (31)$ | |
| Tetragonula carbonaria | $2.67 \pm 0.67 \; (34)$ | $5.18 \pm 3.18 \; (58)$ | NA | |
| Stomorhina discolor | $1.2\pm0.38(39)$ | $2.42\pm1.19(50)$ | 0.42 ± 0.19 (12) | |
| Others | $0.12 \pm 0.14 \; (23)$ | $0.38\pm0.91(17)$ | $0.42 \pm 0.19 \; (12)$ | |

Intensive Uses Water n Dryland C 1 0 1 ake 1.1.0 Nature conservation 2.1.0 Grazing native vegetation 3.1.0 Diantation forest A 1.0 Irrigated plantation forests 5.1.0 Intensive horticulture 1.1.1 Strict nature reserves 3.1.1 Hardwood plantation forestry 4.1.1 Irrigated hardwood plantation forestry 5.1.1 Droduction nurseries 6.1.1 Lake - conservation 2.2.0 Production native forests 3.1.2 Softwood plantation forestry 4.1.2 Indicated softwood plantation forestry 5.1.2 Shadehouses 6.1.2 Lake - production 1.1.2 Wilderness area 1.1.3 National park 2.2.1 Wood production forestry 3.1.3 Other forest plantation 4.1.3 Irrigated other forest plantation 5.1.3 Glasshouses 6.1.3 Lake - Intensive use 1.1.4 Natural feature protection 2.2.2 Other forest production 3.1.4 Environmental forest plantat 4.1.4 Irrigated environmental forest plantat 5.1.4 Glasshouses - hydroponic 6.1.4 Lake - saline 1.1.5 Habitat/species management area 5.1.5 Abandoned Intensive horticulture 3.2.0 Grazing modified pastures 4.2.0 Grazing irrigated modified pastures 6.2.0 Reservolr/dam 1.1.6 Protected landscape 1.1.7 Other conserved area 3.2.1 Native/exotic pasture mosaic 4.2.1 Irrigated woody fodder plants 5.2.0 Intensive animal production 6.2.1 Reservoir 3.2.2 Woody fodder plants 4.2.2 Irrigated pasture legumes 4.2.3 Irrigated legume/grass mixtures 5.2.1 Dairy sheds and yards 5.2.2 Feedlots 6.2.2 Water storage - Intensive use/farm dams 3.2.3 Pasture legumes 1.2.0 Managed resource protection 6.2.3 Evaporation basin 3.2.4 Pasture legume/grass mixtures 4.2.4 Irrigated sown grasses 5.2.3 Poultry farms 1.2.1 Blodiversity 1.2.2 Surface water supply 1.2.3 Groundwater 3.2.5 Sown grasses 5.2.4 Piggerles 5.2.5 Aquaculture 630 River 4.3.0 Irrigated cropping 6.3.1 River - conservation 1.2.4 Landscape 3.3.0 Cropping 4.3.1 Irrigated cereals 5.2.6 Horse studs 6.3.2 River - production 1.2.5 Traditional indigenous uses 3.3.1 Cereals 4.3.2 Irrigated beverage and spice crops 5.2.7 Salevards/stockyards 6.3.3 River - Intensive use 3.3.2 Beverage and spice crops 4.3.3 Irrigated hay and sliage 5.2.8 Abandoned Intensive animal production 6.4.0 Channel/aqueduct .3.0 Other minimal use 3.3.3 Hay and sliage 4.3.4 Irrigated oliseeds 5.3.0 Manufacturing and industrial 4.3.5 Irrigated sugar 1.3.1 Defence land - natural areas 3.3.4 Oliseeds 6.4.1 Supply channel/aqueduct 6.4.2 Drainage channel/aqueduct 1.3.2 Stock route 3.3.5 Sugar 4.3.6 Irrigated cotton 5.3.1 General purpose factory 1.3.3 Residual native cover 3.3.6 Cotton 4.3.7 Impated alkaloid popples 5.3.2 Food processing factory 6.4.3 Stormwater 1.3.4 Rehabilitati 3.3.7 Alkaloid popples 4.3.8 Irrigated pulses 5.3.3 Major Industrial complex 6.5.0 Marsh/wefland 3.3.8 Pulses 4.3.9 Irrigated rice 5.3.4 Bulk grain storage 5.3.5 Abattoirs 6.5.1 Marsh/wetland - conservation Minimum level of land use 4.4.0 Irrigated perennial horticulture 5.3.6 Oll refinery 6.5.2 Marsh/wetland - production 3.4.0 Perennial ho attribution in Queensland 3.4.1 Tree fruits 4.4.1 Irrigated tree fruits 5.3.7 Sawmill 6.5.3 Marsh/wetland - Intensive use 3.4.2 Olives 4.4.2 Irrigated olives 5.3.8 Abandoned manufacturing and industrial 6.5.4 Marsh/wetland - saline 3.4.3 Tree nuts 4.4.3 Irrigated tree nuts 6.6.0 Estuary/coastal waters 3.4.4 Vine fruits 4.4.4 Irrigated vine fruits 5.4.0 Residential and farm infrastructure 3.4.5 Shrub berries and fruits 4.4.5 Irrigated shrub berries and fruits 5.4.1 Urban residential 6.6.1 Estuary/coastal waters - conservation 3.4.6 Perennial flowers and buibs 4.4.6 Irrigated perennial flowers and buibs 5.4.2 Rural residential with agriculture 6.6.2 Estuary/coastal waters - production 3.4.7 Perennial vegetables and herbs 4.4.7 Irrigated perennial vegetables and herbs 5.4.3 Rural residential without agriculture 6.6.3 Estuary/coastal waters - Intensive use 3.4.8 Cltrus 4.4.8 Irrigated citrus 5.4.4 Remote communities 3.4.9 Grapes 4.4.9 Irrigated grapes 5.4.5 Farm buildings/infrastructure 3.5.0 Sessonal horticulture 4.5.0 Irrigated seasonal horficulture 5.5.0 Services 5.5.1 Commercial services 3.5.1 Seasonal fruits 4.5.1 Irrigated seasonal fruits 3.5.2 Seasonal flowers and bulbs 4.5.2 Irrigated seasonal flowers and bulbs 5.5.2 Public services 3.5.3 Seasonal vegetables and herbs 4.5.3 Irrigated seasonal vegetables and herbs 5.5.3 Recreation and culture 4.5.4 Irrigated turf farming 5.5.4 Defence facilities - urban 3.6.0 Land In transition 5.5.5 Research facilities 4.6.0 Irrigated land in transition 3.6.1 Degraded land 3.6.2 Abandoned land 4.6.1 Degraded irrigated land 5.6.0 Utilities 4.6.2 Abandoned Irrigated land 3.6.3 Land under rehabilitation 5.6.1 Fuel powered electricity generation 3.6.4 No defined use 4.6.3 Irrigated land under rehabilitation 5.6.2 Hydro electricity generation 3.6.5 Abandoned perennial horticulture 4.6.4 No defined use - imigation 5.6.3 Wind electricity generation 4.6.5 Abandoned irrigated perennial horticulture 5.6.4 Solar electricity generation 5.6.5 Electricity substations and transmission

5.6.6 Gas treatment, storage and transmission 5.7.7 Water extraction and transmission 5.7.1 Arports/aerodromes 5.7.2 Roads 5.7.3 Railways 5.7.4 Ports and water transport 5.7.5 Navigation and communication

5.8.4 Extractive industry not in use

5.8.0 Mining 5.8.1 Mines 5.8.2 Quarries 5.8.3 Tailings

5.9.1 Effluent pond 5.9.2 Landfill 5.9.3 Solid garbage

5.9.4 Incinerators 5.9.5 Sewage/sewerage

AUSTRALIAN LAND USE AND MANAGEMENT CLASSIFICATION Version 8 (October 2016)

SI Figure 9: ALUM Classification Scheme (ABARES 2016, The Australian Land Use and Management Classification Version 8, Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences, Canberra. CC BY 3.0.).