

Reviewer Assessment

Open Access

Ferdinand Köckerling*

Data and outcome of inguinal hernia repair in hernia registers – a review of the literature

DOI 10.1515/iss-2016-0206

Received December 13, 2016; accepted December 29, 2016

*Corresponding author: Ferdinand Köckerling,

Department of Surgery and Center for Minimally Invasive Surgery, Academic Teaching Hospital of Charité Medical School, Vivantes Hospital, Neue Bergstrasse 6, D-13585 Berlin, Germany, E-mail: ferdinand.koeckerling@vivantes.de

Reviewers' Comments to Original Submission

Reviewer 1: anonymous

Dec 14, 2016

Reviewer Recommendation Term:	Accept
Overall Reviewer Manuscript Rating:	90
Custom Review Questions	Response
Is the subject area appropriate for you?	5 - High/Yes
Does the title clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Does the abstract clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Do the keywords clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Does the introduction present the problem clearly?	4
Are the results/conclusions justified?	5 - High/Yes
How comprehensive and up-to-date is the subject matter presented?	5 - High/Yes
How adequate is the data presentation?	5 - High/Yes
Are units and terminology used correctly?	5 - High/Yes
Is the number of cases adequate?	5 - High/Yes
Are the experimental methods/clinical studies adequate?	4
Is the length appropriate in relation to the content?	5 - High/Yes
Does the reader get new insights from the article?	5 - High/Yes
Please rate the practical significance.	5 - High/Yes
Please rate the accuracy of methods.	5 - High/Yes
Please rate the statistical evaluation and quality control.	5 - High/Yes
Please rate the appropriateness of the figures and tables.	N/A
Please rate the appropriateness of the references.	5 - High/Yes
Please evaluate the writing style and use of language.	5 - High/Yes
Please judge the overall scientific quality of the manuscript.	5 - High/Yes
Are you willing to review the revision of this manuscript?	Yes

Comments to Authors:

This is an excellent review based on hernia registries. It can be assumed that the presented data are even more important than results from RCTs because registry data reflect the clinical routine outside of clinical trials. Surgeons involved in hernia treatment should be aware of the results of the presented registries.

Reviewer 2: anonymous

Dec 28, 2016

Reviewer Recommendation Term:	Accept
Overall Reviewer Manuscript Rating:	92
Custom Review Questions	Response
Is the subject area appropriate for you?	5 - High/Yes
Does the title clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Does the abstract clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Do the keywords clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Does the introduction present the problem clearly?	5 - High/Yes
Are the results/conclusions justified?	4
How comprehensive and up-to-date is the subject matter presented?	5 - High/Yes
How adequate is the data presentation?	4
Are units and terminology used correctly?	5 - High/Yes
Is the number of cases adequate?	5 - High/Yes
Are the experimental methods/clinical studies adequate?	5 - High/Yes
Is the length appropriate in relation to the content?	5 - High/Yes
Does the reader get new insights from the article?	5 - High/Yes
Please rate the practical significance.	5 - High/Yes
Please rate the accuracy of methods.	4
Please rate the statistical evaluation and quality control.	5 - High/Yes
Please rate the appropriateness of the figures and tables.	N/A
Please rate the appropriateness of the references.	5 - High/Yes
Please evaluate the writing style and use of language.	5 - High/Yes
Please judge the overall scientific quality of the manuscript.	5 - High/Yes
Are you willing to review the revision of this manuscript?	Yes

Comments to Authors:

The author presents in this excellent review important data referring to hernia registers. Even though RCTs are indispensable for medical evidence these results from registers clearly contain a high practical relevance for surgeons dealing with hernia repair techniques.
