Cell Stem Cell, Volume 25

Supplemental Information

Cardiac Reprogramming Factors

Synergistically Activate Genome-wide

Cardiogenic Stage-Specific Enhancers

Hisayuki Hashimoto, Zhaoning Wang, Glynnis A. Garry, Venkat S. Malladi, Giovanni A. Botten, Wenduo Ye, Huanyu Zhou, Marco Osterwalder, Diane E. Dickel, Axel Visel, Ning Liu, Rhonda Bassel-Duby, and Eric N. Olson

Supplemental Information



Figure S1, related to Figure 1. Cardiac Reprogramming by Tagged Reprogramming Factors.

(A) Transcript levels of Tnnt2 and Myh6 were increased 2 days after induction by adding Hand2 and Akt1 to GMT in MEFs. Transcript levels are normalized to Gapdh (n = 3, independent experiments). (* P< 0.05 vs. GMT, ** P< 0.01 vs. GMT). Data represented as mean ± SD.

(B) Western blot of 3xTy1 tagged Hand2 and Mef2c expressed in HEK293 cells.

(C) Representative immunocytochemistry images of day 7 α MHC-GFP transgenic MEFs treated with AGHMT or AGH^{3xTy1}M^{3xTy1}T. Cells were fixed and stained for α MHC-GFP (green), Tnnt2 (red), and DAPI (blue) 7 days after infection. Bars, 100 um.

(D) Quantification by flow cytometry of α MHC-GFP+ and Tnnt2+ iCLMs 7 days after infection with AGHMT or AGH^{3xTy1}M^{3xTy1}T (n = 3, independent experiments). Data represented as mean ± SD.

(E) Quantification of Ca²⁺ flux-positive MEFs after 10 days of reprogramming using Fluo-4 NW dye (n = 3, independent experiments). Data represented as mean \pm SD.

(F) Quantification of spontaneous beating iCLMs 10 days after reprogramming (n = 3, independent experiments). Data represented as mean \pm SD.



Figure S2, related to Figure 1. Genome-wide Co-occupancy of Reprogramming Factors in GMT and GHMT iCLMs.

(A,B) ChIP-seq data for Gata4, Mef2c, and Tbx5 reveals co-occupancy at 14,106 genomic binding sites 2 days following GMT-mediated reprograming of MEFs (A). ChIP-seq data for Gata4, Mef2c, Hand2, and Tbx5 reveals co-occupancy at 25,512 genomic binding sites 2 days following GHMT-mediated reprograming of MEFs (B). Normalized ChIP-seq binding profiles for TF in each cluster are shown.

(C,D) Each TF peak in GMT (C) or GHMT (D) MEF iCLMs was annotated to the nearest neighboring gene. GO enrichment analysis for biological process was performed with DAVID (v6.8). clusterProfiler (v3.6.0) was used to visualize GO term enrichment from different groups based on the number of occupying TFs. Terms related to heart or muscle are highlighted in red.

(E) Percentage of TF peaks in GMT, GHMT, and AGHMT iCLMs categorized by the distance to TSS.



20 40 60 80 -log10(p-value)

4

Figure S3, related to Figure 2. Reprogramming Enhancer Activation Requires Cooperative Actions of Reprogramming Factors.

(A) Heatmap of H3K27ac ChIP-seq signals in Reprogramming-E peaks for day 2 Mockinfected MEFs, GMT-, GHMT-, or AGHMT-iCLMs using a 4kb-window. H3K27ac ChIPseq signals in the Reprogramming-E peaks from (Figure 2A) were clustered into three groups depending on their response to GMT (GMT-E), GHMT (Hand2-E), and AGHMT (Akt1-E). Normalized ChIP-seq binding profiles for H3K27ac in each cluster are shown.

(B) H3K27ac enhancer peaks of day 2 reprogramming enhancers were functionally annotated using GREAT. Five of the most overrepresented terms belonging to the GO Biological Process (blue) and MGI Expression (red) in each enhancer cluster are shown. Terms related to heart or muscle are highlighted in dark colors.

(C) Venn diagrams showing the number of overlapping H3K27ac enhancer peaks between Mock and each single factor overexpressing MEFs.

(D) Venn diagram showing the number of overlapping H3K27ac peaks among each SFE region.

(E) Heatmap of known motifs enriched in each SFE region. Reprogramming TFs are in bold.

(F) H3K27ac peaks of each single factor overexpressing MEFs were functionally annotated using GREAT. Five of the most overrepresented terms belonging to the GO Biological Process are shown.

(G) Venn diagrams showing the number of overlapping H3K27ac peaks of gained enhancers between day 2 and 7 in GMT, GHMT, and AGHMT iCLMs.

(H) Normalized ChIP-seq binding profiles for H3K27ac in day 2 GHMT and AGHMT iCLMs and day 7 Mock and GMT iCLMs at day 2 Hand2-E and Akt1-E regions from (A).









Figure S4, related to Figure 4. iCLM Enhancers Display Specific Expression Patterns in the Developing Heart.

(A) Schematic of Hsp68-LacZ construct for transgenic enhancer reporter assay.

(B) Cardiac subregional specificities of additional iCLM enhancers as shown in Figure 4B. (Black scale bars: 500µm for whole mount heart, 200µm and 50µm for low and high magnification histology sections, respectively)







Figure S5, related to Figure 4. Putative *Gja5* and *Tnni1* Enhancer Activity at Different Stages of Embryonic Development and Reprogramming.

(A) Gross images of Gja5-E-Hsp68-LacZ transgenic whole embryos stained with β -galactosidase at E12.5 and E13.5. Section of E12.5 and E13.5 Gja5-E-Hsp68-LacZ transgenic heart counter-stained with Nuclear Fast Red showing expression of LacZ in the myocardium. Numbers indicate embryos with cardiac expression over the total LacZ+ genotyped embryos. (White scale bars: 1mm, Black scale bars: 200µm and 50µm for low and high magnification histology sections, respectively).

(B) Representative image of Tnni1-E-Hsp68-LacZ E13.5 transgenic heart stained with β galactosidase showing LacZ expression in the heart (White scale bar: 500µm). Section of Tnni1-E-Hsp68-LacZ E13.5 transgenic heart stained with Nuclear Fast Red showing LacZ expression in the myocardium (Black scale bars: 200µm and 50µm for low and high magnification histology sections, respectively).

(C) Strategy for testing enhancer activity during direct cardiac reprogramming in MEFs. Gja5-E-Hsp68-mCherry or Tnni1-E-Hsp68-mCherry was retrovirally delivered to MEFs along with the reprogramming factors. (dpi, days post induction)

(D,E) Representative live cell images of day 0, 2, 4 and 7 Gja5-E-Hsp68-mCherry (D) or Tnni1-E-Hsp68-mCherry (E) iCLMs in different reprogramming cocktails showing mCherry expression. (Bars, 200µm).

(F,G) Representative immunocytochemistry images of GMT, GHMT and AGHMT iCLMs expressing mCherry under Gja5-E (F) or Tnni1-E (G) activity. Cells were fixed and stained for Tnnt2 (green), mCherry (red), and Hoechst (blue) 7 days after infection. (Bars, 50µm).



Figure S6, related to Figure 5. Time Dependent Activation of Cardiac Genes during Cardiac Reprogramming.

(A) Functional annotation of gene sets changing between day 2 and 7 iCLMs was performed by PANTHER and the three most overrepresented GO Biological Process (blue) and Reactome Pathway (green) terms are shown. Gene sets upregulated show high enrichment of terms related to heart or muscle development and contraction, which are highlighted in dark colors.

(B) Venn diagrams showing the number of overlapping genes between the upregulated genes in day 7 GMT and GHMT iCLMs with day 2 iCLM Hand2 and Akt1 cluster from (Figure 5E).



Figure S7, related to Figure 7. Suppression of Cell Cycle Related Genes during Reprogramming.

(A) GRN of downregulated cell cycle pathway genes in day 2 AGHMT iCLMs. Each node represents a gene and edges are drawn to all the annotated genes of reprogramming TF peaks.

(B) Gene expression heatmap of all genes from (A).

(C) A genome browser view of the *Egfr* locus showing multiple unique TF peaks and dampening of H3K27ac signal in AGHMT iCLMs.

(D,E) Quantification of spontaneous beating CF (D) and TTF (E) iCLMs after treatment (n=3, independent experiments). (* P< 0.05 vs. AGHMT+DMSO, ** P< 0.01 vs. AGHMT+DMSO). Data represented as mean ± SD.

(F) Representative immunocytochemistry images of AGHMT reprogrammed fibroblasts from α MHC-GFP transgenic mice treated with DMSO or the indicated chemicals. Cells were fixed and stained for α MHC-GFP (green), Tnnt2 (red), and DAPI (blue) 7 days after infection. (Bars, 100µm).

(G) Quantification by flow cytometry of α MHC-GFP+ and Tnnt2+ iCLMs 7 days after treatment with AGHMT and DMSO or the indicated chemicals (n=3, independent experiments). (* *P*< 0.05 vs. AGHMT+DMSO, ** *P*< 0.01 vs. AGHMT+DMSO). Data represented as mean ± SD.

(H) Suppression of *Egfr* and *Jak2* transcript levels in MEFs 2 days after infection of shRNAs (n=3, independent experiments). Transcript levels are normalized to 18S. (** *P*< 0.01 vs. shLacZ, **** *P*< 0.0001 vs. shLacZ). Data represented as mean ± SD.

(I) Strategy for knockdown by shRNA during direct cardiac reprogramming in MEFs. shRNAs were retrovirally delivered to MEFs together with the reprogramming factors. (dpi, days post induction).

	GMT	GHMT	AGHMT
Single	9372 (66.9%)	13660 (53.6%)	20868 (50.2%)
Two	3584 (25.6%)	6657 (26.1%)	11037 (26.5%)
Three	1054 (7.5%)	3743 (14.7%)	6791 (16.3%)
Four	-	1447 (5.7%)	2900 (7.0%)
Total	14010	25507	41596

Table S1, related to Figures 1 and S2. Summary of TF occupancy in day2 iCLMs.

TF Peaks with single TF occupancy

	GMT	GHMT	AGHMT
Gata4	1613 (17.2%)	1769 (13.0%)	7037 (33.7%)
Hand2	-	4531 (33.2%)	5901 (28.3%)
Mef2c	3362 (35.9%)	2506 (18.3%)	2125 (10.2%)
Tbx5	4397 (46.9%)	4854 (35.5%)	5805 (27.8%)
Total	9372	13660	20868

TF Peaks with two TF occupancy

	GMT	GHMT	AGHMT
GM	480 (13.4%)	332 (5.0%)	786 (7.1%)
GT	1663 (46.4%)	1260 (19.0%)	4471 (40.5%)
MT	1441 (40.2%)	838 (12.6%)	781 (7.1%)
GH	-	859 (12.9%)	1609 (14.6%)
HM	-	1011 (15.2%)	584 (5.3%)
HT	-	2357 (35.4%)	2806 (25.4%)
Total	3584	6657	11037

TF Peaks with three TF occupancy

	GMT	GHMT	AGHMT
GMT	1054 (100%)	383 (10.2%)	1294 (19.1%)
GHM	-	352 (9.4%)	421 (6.2%)
GHT	-	1793 (47.9%)	4401 (64.8%)
HMT	-	1215 (32.5%)	675 (9.9%)
Total	1054	3743	6791

TF Peaks with four TF occupancy

	GMT	GHMT	AGHMT
GHMT	-	1447	2900

Table S2, related to Figure 2. Known motifs recovered from reprogramming enhancers inday 2 iCLMs. Percent of target describes the frequency of motifs discovered at the target sites.Reprogramming TFs are highlighted in bold.

Symbol	Motif	GMT- Enhancer P-Value	GMT- Enhancer % of Targets	Hand2- Enhancer P-Value	Hand2- Enhancer % of Targets	Akt1- Enhancer P-Value	Akt1- Enhancer % of Targets
Nfib	NF1-halfsite(CTF)	1.00E-14	18.54	1.00E-09	20.41	1.00E-20	18.55
Meis1	Meis1(Homeobox)	1.00E-15	20.24	0.001	20.23	1.00E-17	19.31
Tgif1	Tgif1(Homeobox)	1.00E-14	36.1	1.00E-06	36.62	1.00E-17	34.45
Tgif2	Tgif2(Homeobox)	1.00E-11	37.48	1.00E-08	38.69	1.00E-14	36.33
Smad2	Smad2(MAD)	1.00E-13	17.11	1.00E-08	19.79	1.00E-14	16.4
Smad3	Smad3(MAD)	1.00E-12	32.49	1.00E-07	36.67	1.00E-08	31.42
Smad4	Smad4(MAD)	1.00E-16	17.4	1.00E-11	20.61	1.00E-13	16.74
Mef2a	Mef2a(MADS)	1.00E-122	8.22	1.00E-27	6.16	1.00E-24	4.56
Mef2b	Mef2b(MADS)	1.00E-160	14.56	1.00E-34	11.47	1.00E-36	8.94
Mef2c	Mef2c(MADS)	1.00E-132	8.82	1.00E-38	6.8	1.00E-31	5.04
Mef2d	Mef2d(MADS)	1.00E-104	4.69	1.00E-26	3.07	1.00E-29	2.29
Ar	AR-halfsite(NR)	1.00E-11	42	0.001	46.64	1.00E-16	42.48
Stat4	STAT4(Stat)	0.0001	10.16	1.00E-06	11.29	0.001	9.94
Tbx5	Tbx5(T-box)	1.00E-16	34.43	1.00E-06	36.86	0.001	32.28
Gata3	GATA3(Zf)	1.00E-65	21.27	1.00E-31	20.01	0.001	15.29
Gata1	Gata1(Zf)	1.00E-34	8.45	1.00E-20	7.95	0.001	5.75
Gata4	Gata4(Zf)	1.00E-51	14.39	1.00E-25	13.4	1.00E-06	10.17
Gata6	Gata6(Zf)	1.00E-56	13.43	1.00E-26	12.37	1.00E-06	9.35
Zfp711	ZNF711(Zf)	1.00E-07	14.64	0.0001	17.67	1.00E-28	16.42
Zfx	ZFX(Zf)	1.00E-08	11.86	1.00E-05	13.75	1.00E-33	13.26
Atoh1	Atoh1(bHLH)	1.00E-06	11.29	1.00E-15	14.25	1.00E-11	11.66
Hand2	Hand2(bHLH)	1.00E-13	6.19	1.00E-20	7.58	1.00E-09	5.53
Myod1	MyoD(bHLH)	0.0001	7.9	1.00E-07	8.67	0.001	7.94
Neurod1	NeuroD1(bHLH)	0.0001	8.36	1.00E-07	10.44	1.00E-07	8.48
Neurog2	NeuroG2(bHLH)	1.00E-07	16.52	1.00E-11	19.66	1.00E-13	16.8
Olig2	Olig2(bHLH)	1.00E-07	20.91	1.00E-07	24.51	1.00E-09	20.65
Tal1	SCL(bHLH)	1.00E-08	46.44	1.00E-05	51.33	1.00E-20	47.33
Tfap4	Ap4(bHLH)	1.00E-07	12.84	0.0001	14.49	1.00E-07	12.83
Rbpj	Rbpj1	1.00E-09	15.55	1.00E-07	16.74	1.00E-05	15.02

Table S3, related to Figure 2. Known motifs recovered from enhancers gained by day 7 compared to day 2 iCLMs. Percentage of targets describes the frequency of motifs discovered at the target sites. Reprogramming TFs are highlighted in bold.

Symbol	Motif	GMT P-Value	GMT % of Targets	GHMT P-Value	GHMT % of Targets	AGHMT P-Value	AGHMT % of Targets
Ar	AR-halfsite(NR)	1.00E-05	36.83	1.00E-05	37.7	1.00E-05	36
Bapx1	Bapx1(Homeobox)	0.1	19.66	1	19.72	1.00E-06	20.05
Nr2f2	COUP-TFII(NR)	0.01	14.11	0.01	14.54	1.00E-06	14.02
Ctcf	CTCF(Zf)	1.00E-09	1.06	0.1	0.83	0.1	0.61
Esrra	Erra(NR)	1.00E-06	18.62	1.00E-06	19.14	1.00E-06	18.13
Esrrb	Esrrb(NR)	1.00E-05	5.96	0.0001	5.89	1.00E-09	5.92
Gata1	Gata1(Zf)	1.00E-06	6.66	1.00E-05	6.19	0.01	6.03
Gata2	Gata2(Zf)	0.0001	7.45	1.00E-05	7.13	0.01	6.92
Gata4	Gata4(Zf)	1.00E-07	11.86	0.001	10.92	0.001	11.2
Gata6	Gata6(Zf)	1.00E-06	10.96	0.01	9.92	0.01	10.15
Mef2a	Mef2a(MADS)	1.00E-18	5.84	1.00E-29	5.69	1.00E-35	6.16
Mef2b	Mef2b(MADS)	1.00E-35	11.49	1.00E-27	10.62	1.00E-50	11.82
Mef2c	Mef2c(MADS)	1.00E-35	6.9	1.00E-28	6.04	1.00E-38	6.73
Mef2d	Mef2d(MADS)	1.00E-31	3.23	1.00E-20	2.88	1.00E-32	3.14
Nfib	NF1(CTF)	0.001	2.76	1.00E-06	2.96	0.1	2.4
Sox15	Sox15(HMG)	1	11.35	1	10.99	1.00E-09	12.08
Thrb	THRb(NR)	1.00E-05	36.81	1.00E-08	37.7	1.00E-09	36.58
Tlx1	Tlx(NR)	1.00E-08	3.45	0.01	3.47	1	2.77
Zfp418	ZNF416(Zf)	0.0001	10.99	0.1	10.98	1.00E-07	10.55

Table S5, related to Figures 4 and S4. List of VISTA mouse elements and Reprogramming Enhancers used to confirm *in vivo* cardiac activity by LacZ transgenic mouse assay.

Enhancer ID	Enhancer coordinates (mm10)	Enhancer length(bp)	Enhancer activation dependence	TF co-occupancy dependence	Bracketing genes
mm64	chr19:53715915-53717934	2019	GMT	GMT	Rbm20 (intragenic)
mm67	chr11:65207498-65210380	2882	GMT	GMT	Myocd (intragenic)
mm69	chr9:64044784-64046949	2165	GMT	GMT	1700055C04Rik- 1110036E04Rik
mm71	chr8:95426508-95428314	1806	GMT	GMT	Gtl3 (intragenic)
mm72	chr3:152304130-152305473	1343	Mock	GMT	Fam73a (intragenic)
mm78	chr12:83072395-83074413	2018	Akt1	Hand2	Rgs6 (intragenic)
mm82	chr17:73022163-73024151	1988	GMT	GMT	Lbh-Lclat1
mm86	chr3:20145236-20146308	1072	Mock	GMT	Gyg (intragenic)
mm87	chr10:45228613-45231786	3173	Hand2	GMT	Prep-Popdc3
mm104	chr15:66824301-66827162	2861	Hand2	GMT	Sla-Tg
mm130	chr9:24887072-24890068	2996	Hand2	Hand2	Tbx20-Herpud2
mm145	chr4:120391603-120393946	2343	GMT	GMT	Foxo6-Scmh1
mm146	chr11:77422469-77424441	1972	GMT	GMT	Ssh2 (intragenic)
mm152	chr18:80330119-80332361	2242	Hand2	Hand2	Kcng2 (intragenic)
mm169	chr7:80445068-80446500	1432	Hand2	Hand2	Furin-Blm
mm174	chr7:125764047-125765353	1306	Hand2	Hand2	D430042O09Rik (intragenic)
mm245	chr14:63367789-63369845	2056	GMT	GMT	Gata4-Blk
mm1343	chr11:54879186-54884157	4971	GMT	GMT	Hint1-Gpx3
Putative Gja5	chr3:97062652-97063357	705	Hand2	Hand2	Gja5-Acp6
Putative Tnni1	chr1:135800059-135801243	1184	GMT	GMT	Tnni1 (intragenic)

	Upregulated GRN	Downregulated GRN
Gata4	86	85
Hand2	91	105
Mef2c	31	32
Tbx5	76	77
GM	19	13
GT	107	85
MT	27	10
GH	52	62
НМ	28	24
HT	93	64
GMT	70	42
GHM	38	22
GHT	332	265
HMT	56	28
GHMT	700	290
Total	1806	1204

Table S6, related to Figures 6 and 7. Number of genes in each cluster of day 2 AGHMT iCLMs GRN.