# **Supplementary Information**

# Macrocyclic colibactin induces DNA double-strand breaks via copper-mediated oxidative

## cleavage

Authors: Zhong-Rui Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Jie Li<sup>4,6</sup>, Wenlong Cai<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer Y. H. Lai<sup>1</sup>, Shaun M. K. McKinnie<sup>4</sup>, Wei-Peng Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Bradley S. Moore<sup>4,5</sup>, Wenjun Zhang<sup>2,3</sup> & Pei-Yuan Qian<sup>1</sup>\*

## Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ocean Science and Division of Life Science, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, California 94158, USA.

<sup>4</sup>Center for Marine Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA.

<sup>5</sup>Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA.

<sup>6</sup>Present address: Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, USA

\*e-mail: boqianpy@ust.hk; wjzhang@berkeley.edu

### 1. Materials and Methods

**Bacterial strains, plasmids and general materials.** All bacterial strains and plasmids used in the present study are listed in Supplementary Table 4. All oligonucleotide primers (ordered from GenScript) used are listed in Supplementary Table 5 and the sequences of the homology arms used for recombination are underlined. All polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were performed using Phusion polymerase (Thermo Scientific), 200  $\mu$ M dNTPs (Thermo Scientific), and 0.5  $\mu$ M of each oligonucleotide primer. Luria–Bertani (LB) medium (Affymetrix) for the cultivation of *E. coli* strains was purchased from Thermo Scientific. All antibiotics were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Inc., and were used for plasmid maintenance at the following concentrations: ampicillin (100  $\mu$ g/mL), apramycin (50  $\mu$ g/mL), chloramphenicol (25  $\mu$ g/mL), and kanamycin (50  $\mu$ g/mL). L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine and L-[<sup>15</sup>N]serine were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. for the feeding experiments. The plasmid pBR322 (Invitrogen) at a concentration of 1000  $\mu$ g/mL was purchased from New England BioLabs for the DNA double-strand break assays. Catalase and neocuproine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Inc., and superoxidase dismutase was purchased from MP Biomedicals, LLC.

Inactivation of *clbS* in the *E. coli* heterologous expression system and genetic complementation of the  $\Delta clbS$  mutant with ClbS. Using  $\lambda$  Red-mediated recombination<sup>1</sup>, the inactivation of the peptidase-encoding gene *clbP*, the thioesterase-encoding gene *clbQ*, and the resistance protein-encoding gene *clbS* was carried out to generate the *clb*  $\Delta clbP \Delta clbQ \Delta clbS$  mutant (the three genes were adjacent to each other in the bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) pCAP01-clb<sup>2</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 1a)). The PCR amplification product of the aac(3)-IV apramycin-resistance gene  $(apra^{R})$  flanked with homologous arm was obtained by PCR using a pair of primers *clbPOS*-knockout-F and *clbPOS*-knockout-R from the plasmid pIJ773<sup>3</sup>. Through electroporation, the purified PCR product was transformed into the L-arabinose-induced E. coli BW25113 cells that carried both the BAC pCAP01-*clb* and the  $\lambda$  Red recombinase expression plasmid pIJ790. The homologous recombination between the PCR product and pCAP01-clb resulted in an in-frame deletion of clbPQS in pCAP01-clb. The recombinants were selected from an LB agar plate containing apramycin and kanamycin; the temperature-sensitive plasmid pIJ790 was eliminated by incubation at 37 °C. The mutant colonies were selected by colony PCR using the primers *clbPOS*-knockout check-F and *clbPOS*-knockout check-R. The construct was then examined by restriction analysis with KpnI and XhoI digestion (Supplementary Fig. 2a, b). The newly created BAC pCAP01-clb  $\triangle clbP \triangle clbO \triangle clbS$  was isolated from the E. coli BW25113 and introduced into the *E. coli* DH10B cells through electroporation to obtain the heterologous expression strain *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta$ *clbP\DeltaclbQ\DeltaclbS*.

The genomic DNA of *E. coli* CFT073 was isolated as the template for the PCR amplification of  $clbS^4$ . The PCR product was cloned into the expression vector pETDuet-1 to generate pETDuet-1-ClbS. The construct was identified and confirmed by PCR screening, restriction analysis, and sequencing, and was finally transformed into the competent *E. coli* DH10B cells harboring the pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  through electroporation. The transformed cell was grown on an LB agar plate containing ampicillin, apramycin and kanamycin at 37 °C overnight.

All strains, including *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ^5$ , *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$ , and *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$ ::pETDuet-1-ClbS, were inoculated into 10 mL of LB broth containing kanamycin (for  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  and  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  mutants) or ampicillin and kanamycin (for  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$ ::pETDuet-1-ClbS) and incubated for 1 day at 37 °C and 250 r.p.m. Using the UPLC–MS analysis method described below, the chemical profiles of these strains were recorded.

**UPLC–MS-based analysis of** *clb* **biosynthetic pathway metabolites.** For UPLC–MS-based analysis, a single colony of the strain tested was picked for culture. After incubation, the culture broth was extracted with an equal volume of ethyl acetate (EtOAc) twice. The organic phase extract was separated by centrifugation (10)

min, 4,200 r.p.m.), evaporated, and finally resuspended in 100 µL of methanol (MeOH). The prepared extract was filtered through a 0.2-µm regenerated cellulose filter (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) before it was subjected to analysis by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (UPLC–MS).

For the UPLC–MS analysis, a 5  $\mu$ L aliquot was injected onto a Waters BEH C18 reversed-phase UPLC column (1.7  $\mu$ m, 150 mm × 2.1 mm inner diameter) and analyzed with a Bruker microTOF-Q II mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics GmbH) coupled with a Waters ACQUITY UPLC system (Waters ACQUITY) by a gradient elution (A, CH<sub>3</sub>CN with 0.1% formic acid (FA); B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA: 5% A over 2 min, 5–100% A from 2 to 22 min, 100% A from 22 to 25 min, and 100–5% A from 25 to 27 min; flow rate, 250  $\mu$ L/min). The TOF-MS settings were as follows: acquisition, mass range *m*/*z* 200–2,000 Da, MS scan rate 1 s<sup>-1</sup>; source, gas temperature 200 °C, gas flow 8 L/min; nebulizer 4 Bar, ion polarity positive; scan source parameters, capillary exit 140 V, skimmer 50 V. HyStar chromatography software (Bruker Daltonik) was used to control the system. Bruker Compass DataAnalysis 4.0 software (Bruker Daltonik) was used for data analysis.

**Data analysis.** The chemical profiles of the *E. coli* DH10B heterologous expression host harboring pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ$ , pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  or pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$ ::pETDuet-1-ClbS were recorded in quintuplicate (N = 5). The relative abundances of all the previously or newly identified precolibactin metabolites were compared, calculated and expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviation. All data analyses were carried out with version 17.0 of SPSS for Windows (SPSS Inc.).

**Cloning and overexpression of the transcriptional regulator ClbR.** The transcriptional regulator gene *clbR* was PCR amplified from *E. coli* CFT073 genomic DNA using the primers shown in Supplementary Table 5<sup>6</sup>. The PCR product was cloned into the expression vector pETDuet-1 to generate pETDuet-1-ClbR. The construct was identified and confirmed by PCR screening, restriction analysis, and sequencing of the purified plasmid DNA. Through electroporation, the correct construct was transformed into the *E. coli* DH10B competent cells harboring the pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  for coexpression. The transformed cells were grown on an LB agar plate containing ampicillin, apramycin, and kanamycin at 37 °C overnight. Then a single colony of the *E. coli* DH10B heterologous expression strain harboring both pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  and pETDuet-1-ClbR was picked from the LB agar plate and inoculated into 10 mL of LB broth containing ampicillin and kanamycin. The culture was incubated at 37 °C on a rotary shaker (250 r.p.m.) for 1 day. Using the UPLC–MS analysis method described above, the chemical profile of the ClbR overexpression strain was recorded.

Inactivation of clb biosynthetic pathway genes in the E. coli heterologous expression system. Individual PKS-NRPS building block genes (including *clbB*, *clbC*, *clbH*, *clbI*, *clbJ*, *clbK*, and *clbO*)<sup>7</sup> and 2-aminomalonyl building block-related genes (including biosynthetic gene cassette *clbDEF* and *clbG*)<sup>8,9</sup> were disrupted in the newly created BAC pCAP01-clb  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$ . Using  $\lambda$  Red-mediated recombination in the L-arabinose-induced E. coli BW25113 cells that harbored both pCAP01-clb  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbO\Delta clbS$  and plasmid pIJ790, each of these genes (or gene cassettes) was replaced by an ampicillin-resistance marker to generate the following nine derivative BACs: pCAP01-clb  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbB$ , pCAP01-clb  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbC$ , pCAP01-clb pCAP01-clb  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbH$ ,  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbI$ , pCAP01-clb  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbJ$ , pCAP01-clb  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbK,$ pCAP01-clb  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbO$ , pCAP01-clb  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbO\Delta clbS\Delta clbDEF$ , and pCAP01-clb  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbO\Delta clbS\Delta clbG$ . All of these BACs were isolated from the E. coli BW25113 cells and individually introduced into the E. coli DH10B cells through electroporation for heterologous expression. The chemical profiles of these *clb* pathway-related heterologous expression strains were analyzed with UPLC-MS as mentioned above.

Screening of potential novel *clb* biosynthetic pathway metabolites.  $Clb^+$  heterologous expression strain *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbO$  was selected for a further screening of potential novel *clb* pathway metabolites. The culture of  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbO$  mutant was prepared and then extracted as described above. The prepared extract was filtered through a 0.2-µm regenerated cellulose filter before it was subjected to analysis by UPLC–MS.

For the further screening, a new gradient elution was set using MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O as the elution mixture to increase the resolution: A, MeOH with 0.1% formic acid (FA); B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA: 5% A for 2 min, 5–100% A from 2 to 52 min, 100% A from 52 to 57 min, and 100–5% A from 57 to 60 min; flow rate, 250  $\mu$ L/min.

Fermentation and isolation of precolibactin-795a (8), precolibactin-795b (9), and precolibactin-969 (11). The *clb*<sup>+</sup> heterologous expression strain *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbO$  showed a higher yield of 8 and thus, was selected for production of this metabolite (Fig. 2c). A single colony of the  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbO$  mutant was picked from a freshly streaked LB agar plate containing ampicillin, apramycin, and kanamycin and inoculated into 10 mL of LB medium containing the same three antibiotics in a sterile 50-mL Falcon tube. After an overnight culture at 37 °C and 250 r.p.m., 2 mL of the preculture was inoculated into 200 mL of LB medium containing ampicillin, apramycin, and kanamycin for overnight incubation a second time at 37 °C and 250 r.p.m.. Ten mL of overnight culture was added to 1 L of LB medium in a large Fernbach flask (2.8 L) containing only kanamycin. In total, 500 L of LB medium were cultured at 32 °C and 160 r.p.m. for 40 hours and were then extracted with EtOAc (2 × 500 L) to obtain a dried crude extract (60 g). The crude extract was fractionated on a flash chromatography system (2,500 g of Sepra C18 (50 µm) sorbent) and eluted with an MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient (10:90, 30:70, 50:50, 80:20, and 100:0) to yield five fractions (F01-F05). UPLC-MS analyses showed that the fraction F04 (15 g) contained 8; F04 was then further chromatographed over the same Sepra C18 column with isocratic elution (MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O 70:30) to obtain 10 subfractions (F0401-F0410). F0406 (4 g), which contained 8, was subjected to three rounds of HPLC purification, all using the same semi-preparative C18 Phenomenex Luna column (5 µm, 250 mm × 10 mm inner diameter). In the first round of HPLC separation, F0406 was eluted with an CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient mixture (A, CH<sub>3</sub>CN with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA; 40% A over 5 min, and 40-80% A from 5 to 100 min) at a flow rate of 4.0 mL/min to obtain subfraction F040603 ( $t_{\rm R} = 38-42$  min; 500 mg), which was found to contain 8 based on UPLC-MS analysis. This subfraction was purified through HPLC again with an MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient elution system (A, MeOH with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA: 60% A over 5 min, and 60–100% A from 5 to 120 min; flow rate, 2.5 mL/min) to obtain a further subfraction F04060305 ( $t_{\rm R}$  = 58–59 min; 6.4 mg), which contained 8. This subfraction was subjected to a final round of HPLC purification using an CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O elution system (A, CH<sub>3</sub>CN with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA: 50% A over 5 min, and 50–90% A from 5 to 100 min; flow rate, 4.0 mL/min) to yield pure 8 ( $t_{\rm R}$  = 19.7 min; 1.1 mg).

The *clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbG$  mutant was selected to produce **9**. The preparation and purification of **9** were conducted following a similar method to that for the production of **8**, and 4.5 mg of **9** was obtained from 50 L of the *clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbG$  mutant fermentation broth. After one round of purification using a Sepra C18 (50 µm) flash chromatography system, three rounds of HPLC separation were performed to obtain pure **9** for an NMR test. In the first round, the parameters were set as follows: CH<sub>3</sub>CN–H<sub>2</sub>O gradient mixture: A, CH<sub>3</sub>CN with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA; 40% A over 5 min, and 40–80% A from 5 to 100 min; flow rate, 4.0 mL/min; F040603 (35 mg,  $t_R = 38-42$  min) was collected. In the second round, the parameters were set as follows: MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O gradient mixture: A, MeOH with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA: 60% A over 5 min, and 60–100% A from 5 to 120 min; flow rate, 2.5 mL/min; F04060307 (6.3 mg,  $t_R = 62-63$  min) was collected. In the third round, the parameters were set as follows: CH<sub>3</sub>CN–H<sub>2</sub>O gradient mixture: A, CH<sub>3</sub>CN with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA; 50% A over 5 min, and 50–90% A from 5 to 100 min; flow rate, 4.0 mL/min; **9** (4.5 mg,  $t_R = 19.5$  min) was collected.

All of the clb PKS/NRPS building block genes and the 2-aminomalonyl building block-related genes were indispensable to the biosynthesis of 11 (Fig. 2a). Therefore, the heterologous expression strain E. coli DH10B that harbored pCAP01-clb  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  was selected for the production of 11. A large-scale fermentation was performed, following a similar method to that as mentioned above. From 2,000 L of the fermentation broth of the  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  mutant, 230 g of crude extract was collected. All the crude extract was subjected to a flash chromatography system (2,500 g of Sepra C18 (50 µm) sorbent) with two rounds of elution using an MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient elution (eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O, 10:90, 30:70, 50:50, 80:20 and 100:0) and an MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O isocratic elution (eluted with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O, 65:35), to obtain a subfraction F0408 (24 g) containing 11. The subfraction F0408 was purified using semi-preparative HPLC with five rounds of purification. In the first round, the parameters were set as follows: CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient mixture: A, CH<sub>3</sub>CN with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA; 40% A over 5 min, and 40-80% A from 5 to 100 min; flow rate, 4.0 mL/min; F040804 (2.5 g,  $t_R = 34-38$  min) was collected. In the second round, the parameters were set as follows: MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient mixture: A, MeOH with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA: 50% A over 5 min, and 50–100% A from 5 to 120 min; flow rate, 3.0 mL/min; F04080405 (450 mg,  $t_{\rm R} = 60-63$  min) was collected. In the third round, the parameters were set as follows: CH<sub>3</sub>CN-MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient mixture: A, CH<sub>3</sub>CN/MeOH (1:1, vol/vol) with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA; 40% A over 5 min, and 40–90% A from 5 to 120 min; flow rate, 4.0 mL/min; F0408040507 (25 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  = 48–52 mim) was collected. In the fourth round, the parameters were set as follows: MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O gradient mixture: A, MeOH with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA; 50% A over 5 min, and 50–85% A from 5 to 140 min; flow rate, 3.0 mL/min; F040804050706 (867  $\mu$ g,  $t_R$ = 96–101 min) was collected. In the fifth round, the parameters were set as follows: CH<sub>3</sub>CN–H<sub>2</sub>O gradient mixture: A, CH<sub>3</sub>CN with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA; 35% A over 5 min, and 35-60% A from 5 to 180 min; flow rate, 4.0 mL/min; 11 was collected (50  $\mu$ g,  $t_R = 151-155$  min). Similar to precolibactin-886 (10)<sup>5</sup>, 11 present as an approximately equal mixture of two isomers which were collected together.

**High-resolution ESI-MS, MS/MS, and NMR characterization of 8, 9, and 11.** All of the *clb* pathway metabolite samples were dried and resuspended in MeOH. Then, 20 µL of the suspension were injected onto an Agilent Technologies 6520 Accurate-Mass Q-TOF LC–MS instrument fitted with an Agilent Eclipse Plus C18 column (4.6 × 100 mm) for LC–HRMS analysis. A linear gradient elution was performed using CH<sub>3</sub>CN–H<sub>2</sub>O (A, CH<sub>3</sub>CN with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA: 40% A over 2 min, and 40–100% A from 2 to 18 min, at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min). LC–HRMS/MS analyses were performed using the same program described above with the target mass m/z 796.37  $[M + H]^+$  and collision energy of 30 V or 45 V around the retention time 12.6 ± 0.5 min for **8**; with the target mass m/z 796.35  $[M + H]^+$  and collision energy of 30 V or 45 V around the retention time 12.5 ± 0.5 min for **9**; with the target mass m/z 887.38  $[M + H]^+$  and collision energy of 15 V or 30 V around the retention time 12.2 ± 0.5 min for **10**; and with the target mass m/z 970.38  $[M + H]^+$  and collision energy of 15 V or 30 V around the retention time 12.1 ± 0.5 min for **11**. All MS data were analyzed using Agilent MassHunter Qualitative Analysis software.

<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HSQC, and <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HMBC NMR spectra for **8**, <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H NOESY, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HSQC, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HMBC, and <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>15</sup>N HSQC NMR spectra for **9**, and <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H TOCSY, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H NOESY, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HSQC, and <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HMBC NMR spectra for **11** were acquired on a Bruker Ascend 850 NMR spectrometer (850 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 212.5 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C) equipped with a cryoprobe. For all of the NMR tests, the samples were dissolved in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>; Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) and loaded into 2.5 mm NMR tubes. Data were collected and reported as follows: chemical shift, integration multiplicity (s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet), and coupling constant. Chemical shifts were reported using the DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> resonance as the internal standard for <sup>1</sup>H-NMR DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>:  $\delta = 2.50$  ppm and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>:  $\delta = 39.6$  ppm.

Feeding experiments for biosynthetic pathway study. The newly generated heterologous expression strain E.

*coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  was selected to inoculate 5 mL of LB medium containing apramycin and kanamycin, and incubated at 37 °C on a shaker (250 r.p.m.) overnight. The next day, 100 µL of seed culture were used to inoculate 10 mL of LB medium supplemented with kanamycin and 0.25 mg/mL isotope-labeled L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine or L-[<sup>15</sup>N]serine in a 50-mL sterile Falcon tube, and incubated at 37 °C with shaking (250 r.p.m.) for 1 day. After incubation, each culture supernatant was extracted with an equal volume of EtOAc twice. The organic layer was separated by centrifugation at 4,200 r.p.m. for 10 min, collected, and evaporated to dryness. All of the prepared extract samples were redissolved in 20 µL of MeOH for UPLC–MS analysis following the method described above.

**Amino acid homology analysis of ClbK**<sub>PKS</sub> **and ClbO.** The amino acid sequences of ketosynthase (KS) domains of ClbK<sub>PKS</sub>, ClbO, and 78 other polyketide synthases (PKSs) were collected (both *cis*-acyltransferase PKS and *trans*-acyltransferase PKS were involved; the PKS domains of gene clusters of some classical thiazole-containing secondary metabolites were selected). Their accession numbers and amino acid sequences used for homology analysis are listed in Supplementary Tables 6 and 7, respectively.

Phylogenetic analysis to visualize relationships among the KS domains of ClbK<sub>PKS</sub>, ClbO, and closely related PKSs was performed with version 7.0 of Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) using the maximum-likelihood method based on the JTT matrix-based model<sup>10</sup>. The analysis of 80 amino acid sequences was conducted with a total of 390 aligned amino acid positions and 500 bootstrap replicates.

**Construction and expression of peptidase ClbP.** The peptidase gene *clbP* was amplified by PCR from the genomic DNA of *E. coli* CFT073 and then cloned into pET28a(+) expression vector by using appropriate restriction sites (Supplementary Table 5). The construct was identified and confirmed by PCR screening, restriction analysis, and sequencing and was then transformed into the competent *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) for recombinant protein expression.

Cleavage of precolibactin-969 (11) and precolibactin-886 (10). To examine if peptidase ClbP cleaves 11 or 10 into the corresponding mature colibactin-645 (13) or 15, respectively, whole cell assays were performed. Ten mL culture of the *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) harboring pET-28a-ClbP was inoculated from a single colony and grown in LB medium supplemented kanamycin at 37 °C. At an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.5, 100  $\mu$ L of this culture were transferred into a 500- $\mu$ L tube. One  $\mu$ L of 11 dissolved in DMSO was then added into the culture to a final concentration of 1  $\mu$ M. After incubation for 2 hours at 37 °C with shaking at 250 r.p.m., the cleavage reaction of 11 by ClbP was quenched by the addition of 100  $\mu$ L of MeOH. After centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and lyophilized. The lyophilized powder was extracted with 100  $\mu$ L MeOH by vortexing the mixture. The sample was then centrifuged and the supernatant was analyzed by UPLC–MS with the method mentioned above.

Meanwhile, the same reaction procedure was followed with the treatment of metal chelators. To remove the trace metals, 1) all of LB medium used in the assay was pre-treated with Chelex-100; 2) the *E. coli* BL21 cells harboring pET-28a-ClbP were washed once prior to the addition of compound; 3) ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) at a final concentration of 500  $\mu$ M was added into the culture during the *in vivo* reaction. Following the same protocol for cleaving **11**, the ability of peptidase ClbP to cleave **10** was examined.

**Isolation and identification of colibactin-645 (13) and 15.** The **13** and **15** as the respective cleaved products of **11** and **10** by peptidase ClbP (as mentioned above; with the treatment of metal chelators) were collected. To purify **13**, the lyophilized powder was loaded into a flash chromatography system with Sepra C18 sorbent and eluted with an MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O gradient to afford fractions. F02 (from 25% MeOH elution) was subsequently subjected to semi-preparative HPLC with two rounds of purification. In the first round, the parameters were set

as follows: CH<sub>3</sub>CN–H<sub>2</sub>O gradient mixture: A, CH<sub>3</sub>CN with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA; 5% A over 5 min, and 5–30% A from 5 to 120 min; flow rate, 4.0 mL/min; F0226 (1.2 mg,  $t_R = 55-59$  min) was collected. In the second round, the parameters were set as follows: MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O gradient mixture: A, MeOH with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA: 5% A over 5 min, and 5–35% A from 5 to 180 min; flow rate, 3.0 mL/min; **13** (20 µg,  $t_R = 105-108$  min) was collected. The purification of **15** was conducted, following a similar method to that for purifying **13**. Briefly, F02 (from 25% MeOH elution) was collected from Sepra C18 sorbent separation; F0224 (1.8 mg,  $t_R = 56-60$  min) was collected from the first round of HPLC separation; and **15** (80 µg,  $t_R = 109-112$  min) was collected from the second round of HPLC separation.

Both 13 and 15 were identified by analyzing their HR-MS/MS fragmentation patterns. Twenty  $\mu$ L of each sample were injected onto an Agilent Technologies 6520 Accurate-Mass Q-TOF LC–MS instrument fitted with an Agilent Eclipse Plus C18 column. A linear gradient elution was performed using CH<sub>3</sub>CN–H<sub>2</sub>O (A, CH<sub>3</sub>CN with 0.1% FA; B, H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% FA: 2% A over 2 min, and 2–35% A from 2 to 25 min, at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min). LC–HRMS/MS analyses were performed with the target mass *m*/*z* 646.14 [*M* + H]<sup>+</sup> and collision energy of 15 V around the retention time 13.6 ± 0.5 min for 13, and with the target mass *m*/*z* 563.14 [*M* + H]<sup>+</sup> and collision energy of 15 V around the retention time 13.8 ± 0.5 min for 15.

**Investigation of metabolites from native** *clb*<sup>+</sup> *E. coli* **strain.** Glycerol stock containing the native *clb*<sup>+</sup> strain *E. coli* CFT073 was streaked on an LB agar plate and grown for 1 day at 37 °C. A resulting colony was picked up and inoculated into 20 mL of LB medium for overnight incubation at 37 °C and 250 r.p.m.. Ten mL of overnight culture were added to 1 L of LB medium in a large Fernbach flask. In total, 2 L of LB medium were cultured at 37 °C and 160 r.p.m.. Following the incubation for 2 days, the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4 °C (20 min, 4,800 r.p.m.). The pellets were washed twice with PBS and then extracted twice with MeOH by ultrasonic treatment. The dried extract was saved as the crude cellular extract. The supernatant from the centrifugation step was filtered aseptically using sterile cellulose pyrogen free disposable filters of 0.2  $\mu$ m pore size. The cell-free broth was then transferred to a new sterile flask with a polypropylene mesh bag containing 100 g of washed Amberlite XAD16N resin (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) and incubated on a rotary shaker (220 r.p.m.) for 8 hours at room temperature. Residual broth was pressed out of the resin bag and the resin was extracted with 40% of MeOH. After evaporation, the dried extract was saved as the crude cell-free extract.

Both the cellular extract and cell-free extract were individually chromatographed over Sepra C18 sorbent and then purified by semi-preparative HPLC with the same separation conditions used in the separation of **13** (as mentioned above). Briefly, the crude extract was loaded into the Sepra C18 sorbent column, the fraction F02 eluted by 25% of MeOH was collected; F02 was purified by HPLC with an CH<sub>3</sub>CN–H<sub>2</sub>O gradient elution system, the subfraction F0202 with retention time of 55–59 min was collected; then F0202 was purified by HPLC again with an MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O gradient elution system, the subfraction F020202 with retention time of 105–108 min was collected. F020202CE and F020202CFE were obtained from the crude cellular extract and cell-free extract, respectively, and analyzed by UPLC–MS as mentioned above. Additionally, both the cellular and cell-free extracts from the *E. coli* CFT073 *clb*<sup>-</sup> mutant were prepared and analyzed using a similar method as mentioned above.

Effect of metal chelators on the yields of precolibactins. A single colony of *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  was picked up and inoculated into 10 mL of LB medium containing apramycin and kanamycin. Following an overnight incubation at 37 °C and 250 r.p.m., 100 µL of the preculture were inoculated into 10 mL of LB medium containing kanamycin with or without metal chelators (2 mM EDTA or 2 mM D-DDC, or 1 mg Chelex-100). After incubation for 2 days at 37 °C, each culture broth was individually extracted with an equal volume of EtOAc twice. All of extracts were analyzed by UPLC–MS as mentioned above. To examine the yields of various precolibactins, the ion chromatogram traces corresponding to 2, 5, 7, and 11 were extracted and compared. These four metabolites represent linear, aza-spirocyclopropane,

thiazole-containing, and aminomalonate-containing precolibactins, respectively.

**Plasmid DNA cleavage assays.** The ability of colibactin-645 (**13**) to cause DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) *in vitro* was assessed using the plasmid pBR322 DNA by following the conversion of supercoiled DNA (Form I) to the open circular (Form II) and linear DNA (Form III) and using agarose gel electrophoresis to separate the cleavage products<sup>11,12</sup>. In each 15- $\mu$ L of reaction mixture, 0.15  $\mu$ L of **13** dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were added to a solution of 0.5  $\mu$ L pBR322 DNA (250 ng) in 10.0 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) with or without Cu(II) to achieve the final concentration of 15  $\mu$ M of **13**. Cupric chloride was added to the mixture of pBR322 DNA and **13** to reach the final concentration of Cu(II) ions of 3  $\mu$ M or 30  $\mu$ M. The negative control was prepared by adding 0.15  $\mu$ L of DMSO instead of **13** to the sample solution. All of these reactions were incubated for 12 hours at 37 °C in a darkroom. After incubation, all of the reaction samples were individually mixed with 6X DNA loading dye (3  $\mu$ L) and separated over a 0.8% agarose gel stained with SYBR Safe DNA Gel Stain. The electrophoresis was carried out at room temperature in 1X-TAE buffer at 60 V for 120 min. After electrophoresis, the gel was viewed with a CCD camera gel documentation system (ChemiDoc, Bio-Rad) and photographed (Fig. 4a). The ability of precolibactin-969 (**11**) to induce DSBs *in vitro* with or without metal ions (Cu(II), Fe(III) or Fe(II)) was examined by following a similar method (described in the legend to Supplementary Figure 15).

The effect of the Cu(II)-mediated DNA cleavage of pBR322 DNA by **11** was further evaluated in the presence of a specific Cu(I) chelator neocuproine  $(1 \text{ mM})^{13}$  or different reductants, including  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol ( $\beta$ -ME) (5 mM) or dithiothreitol (DTT) (5 mM). The Cu(I) chelator neocuproine or reductants were added alternatively to the reaction mixture of **11** (15  $\mu$ M), pBR322 DNA (250 ng), and Cu(II) (30  $\mu$ M). After incubation at 37 °C for 4 hours in a darkroom, the effectiveness of different reagents at preventing or promoting DNA breakage was observed using electrophoresis as described above (Fig. 4c).

To explore the mechanism underlying the Cu(II)-mediated DNA DSBs, DNA cleavage assays in the presence of various reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavengers were conducted. The ROS scavengers selected in the current study were as follows: potassium iodide (KI) which is a hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) scavenger [at a final concentration of 1 mM]<sup>14</sup>; catalase which mediates the decomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [at a final concentration of 0.1 mg/mL]<sup>12</sup>; superoxide dismutase (SOD) which catalyzes the conversion of the superoxide radical into H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [at a final concentration of 10 units]<sup>12</sup>; and mannitol [at a final concentration of 50 mM] and DMSO [at a final concentration of 10<sup>\0</sup>, v/v], both of which are hydroxyl radical scavengers<sup>12,15</sup>. These ROS scavengers were added alternatively to the reaction mixture of **11** (15  $\mu$ M), pBR322 DNA (250 ng), and Cu(II) (30  $\mu$ M). All of reactions were incubated for 12 hours at 37 °C in a darkroom and then analyzed using agarose gel electrophoresis as described above (Fig. 4d).

**Freifelder–Trumbo analysis.** Using the plasmid DNA cleavage assay method described above, the ratio of the number of single-strand breaks (SSBs) ( $n_1$ ) to the number of double-strand breaks (DSBs) ( $n_2$ ) per DNA molecule was calculated using the Freifelder–Trumbo relationship<sup>12,16</sup>. A time-course assay was conducted using the samples of pBR322 plasmid DNA (250 ng) that were treated with 15  $\mu$ M **11** in the presence of 30  $\mu$ M Cu(II) in 10.0 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) for various durations (1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 10 h, and 12 h) (Fig. 4b). Following incubation at 37 °C in a darkroom, Form I (supercoiled), Form II (nicked), and Form III (linearized) plasmid DNA in the reaction mixture were separated by agarose gel (0.8%, w/v) electrophoresis following the method described above; and their amounts were quantitated using Quantity One 1-D analysis software (Supplementary Figure 16b). The said ratio was finally calculated according to the equations in Supplementary Figure 16b.

**Detection of Cu(II) reduction.** The concentration of free Cu(I) was determined spectrophotometrically using a specific Cu(I) chelator neocuproine to evaluate the ability of precolibactin-886 (10) or precolibactin-969 (11)

to reduce Cu(II), with or without plasmid pBR322 DNA (500 ng). Briefly, 150  $\mu$ M **11** or **10**, 300  $\mu$ M cupric chloride, and 1 mM neocuproine in 10.0 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) were used in combination experiments. Following a 16-hours incubation at 37 °C in a darkroom, the amount of Cu(I) was determined by measuring the visible absorbance at 450 nm of the cuprous-neocuproine complex using a NanoDrop (*ND*-8000, 8-Sample Spectrophotometer)<sup>17,18</sup>. The final Cu(I) concentrations were determined through comparison to a standard curve; and  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol was selected as a bioreductant for establishing the standard curve. Additionally, in order to characterise the ability of **10** to reduce Cu(II), the concentration of Cu(I) was recorded at different time points (0.5 h, 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 12 h, and 16 h). Meanwhile, the same admixture without neocuproine was directly injected into the mass spectrometer to record the disappearance of **10** and to detect the copper-bound colibactin species.

**Determination of the binding constant.** To calculate the binding constant of Cu(II) with macrocyclic colibactin, a modified titration experiment was used<sup>19</sup>. Upon gradual addition of Cu(II), the intensity of precolibactin-886 (10) was measured by using the mass spectrometer method mentioned above. The binding constant  $K_a$  was determined from the Benesi–Hildebrand plot of I<sub>0</sub>/(I<sub>0</sub> - I) against [Cu(II)]<sup>-1</sup>, where I<sub>0</sub> and I are the intensities before and after the addition of the Cu(II). The binding constant was calculated from the ratio of intercept/slope<sup>20</sup>.

**Cell culture.** HeLa cells, human normal colon fibroblast CCD-112 CoN cells, and colorectal cancer HCT-116 cells were provided by the Cell Culture Facility at the University of California, Berkeley. Human normal colon epithelial FHC cells were obtained from the ATCC (Manassas, VA). Cells were grown in the Cell Culture Facility at the University of California, Berkeley, with expert technical assistance. HeLa cells, HCT-116 cells, and CCD-112 CoN cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. FHC cells were cultured in DMEM:F12 Medium with 10% fetal bovine serum. All of cells were maintained at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

**Immunofluorescence.** HeLa cells and FHC cells were seeded on glass coverslips prior to the treatment of compounds. Cells were treated with 50 nM of **13** or 500 nM of **15** for 4 hours at 37 °C in a darkroom. The mouse monoclonal anti-phospho-histone H2A.X (Ser139) (EMD Millipore Corporation) and rabbit polyclonal anti-53BP1 (Novus Biologicals) were employed as the primary antibodies; the Goat anti-Mouse IgG (Alexa Fluor 488, Life Technologies) and Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (Alexa Fluor 647, Life Technologies) were employed as the secondary antibodies. Prior to immunostaining, cells were fixed in solution containing 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature, permeabilized with 0.25% Triton X-100 solution for 20 min at 4 °C, and blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Subsequently, cells were incubated with the primary antibodies (1:100) for 1 hour at 37 °C in dark, washed with PBS, and then incubated with the secondary antibodies (1:100) for 1 hour at 37 °C in dark. Coverslips were washed four times with PBS, then mounted onto glass slides with Vectashield mounting medium containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories) to counterstain nuclear DNA and visualized with a Zeiss Axio Imager M1 fluorescence microscope. Filters for DAPI, GFP, and Cy5 were used to acquire images.

**Neutral comet assays.** The DNA damage was evaluated by using the Reagent Kit for Single Cell Gel Electrophoresis Assay/CometAssay (Trevigen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, HeLa cells were treated with **13** at different concentrations (20 nM, 50 nM, or 200 nM) for 4 hours at 37 °C in dark. Following treatment, the cells were harvested in cold PBS at a density of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells/mL. An aliquot of this cell suspension was mixed with pre-warmed LM Agarose (37 °C) at a 1:10 ratio (v/v) and immediately pipetted onto a comet slide. Comet slides were immersed in cold lysing solution for 1 hour, followed by an incubation in cold 1X neutral electrophoresis buffer for 30 min. Electrophoresis was then carried out for 30

min at 15V (~1V/cm) at 4 °C. DNA was stained in a 1:10,000 dilution of SYBR Gold (Molecular Probes). Cells were photographed using a Zeiss Axio Imager M1 fluorescence microscope.

To investigate the prevention of DNA damage in cells by metal chelators, HeLa cells were either treated with **13** (50 nM) or infected by the *clb*<sup>+</sup> *E. coli* CFT073 (multiplicity of infection (MOI) = 100), then either ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) or bathocuproinedisulfonic acid (BCS)<sup>21</sup> was added into the cell culture at a final concentration of 2.5 mM EDTA or 2 mM BCS. Following the incubation (4 hours at 37 °C), infected cells with or without the treatment of metal chelators were washed three times with PBS. To quantify the DNA damage levels in these cells, all of cells were collected with trypsinization, then the neutral comet assay was preformed. On each slide, at least 50 cells were chosen randomly for examine. Tail moment which is positively correlated with the extent of DNA breakage in a cell was used as an index of DNA damage. [Tail moment combines a measure of length of the comet tail and the proportion of DNA to migrate into the tail]. In the controls, cell cultures with the treatment of EDTA or BCS alone in the same condition did not show measurable difference comparing to the control of DMSO.

### 2. Supplementary Text

#### **Structure elucidation of precolibactin-795b (9)**

The molecular formula of **9** was determined as  $C_{39}H_{53}N_7O_7S_2$  by high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) on the basis of its protonated molecular ion peak at m/z 796.3520 (calculated, 796.3521) (Supplementary Fig. 9b). In the current study, the molecular formula of **9** was consistent with that of the previously reported synthetic precolibactin C<sup>22</sup>. We initially detected **9** from the ethyl acetate extracts of  $clb^+$  heterologous expression strain *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP$ . By comparing its MS/MS fragmentation with that of precolibactin-712 (7) which had been identified by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, we proposed its bithiazole-containing structure<sup>2</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 1). Then Balskus and co-workers provided the first <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of this bithiazole-containing metabolite, which showed two proton resonance in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 7<sup>9</sup>. However, they did not provide any two-dimensional NMR spectra to confirm the exact structure of this natural bithiazole-containing *clb* pathway metabolite. Subsequently, Herzon and co-workers synthesized this bithiazole-containing compound (named precolibactin C), based on the proposed structure<sup>22</sup>. Considering that two similar *clb* pathway-related metabolites **8** and **9** were detected, we performed thorough analysis to first confirm the chemical structure of **9**.

Using an ethyl acetate extract prepared from 50 L of the fermentation broth of *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbG$ , we obtained 4.5 mg of metabolite **9** which appeared as a white and amorphous powder. A comparison of the 1D and 2D NMR spectra of **9** and **7** indicated that **9** contained the same *N*-myristoyl-D-asparagine residue and 1*H*-pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyridine-3,6(2*H*,5*H*)-dione unit as did **7** (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 10, Supplementary Table 2). However, unlike the <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum of **7**, the <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum of **9** showed two thiazole proton resonances at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  8.33/ $\delta_{\rm C}$  127.8 (H-34/C-34) and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  8.28/ $\delta_{\rm C}$  119.0 (H-37/C-37) (Supplementary Fig. 10f). The <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HMBC correlations from H-34 to C-33 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  161.7) and C-35 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  150.2), from H-37 to C-36 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  166.7) and C-38 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  147.2) indicated the assigned bithiazole moiety (Supplementary Fig. 10g). Herein we confirmed the chemical structure of the natural **9**.

#### Structure elucidation of precolibactin-795a (8)

The molecular formula of **8** was determined as  $C_{39}H_{53}N_7O_9S_1$  by HRMS on the basis of its protonated molecular ion peak at *m/z* 796.3697 (calculated, 796.3698) (Supplementary Fig. 9a). Interestingly, the only difference between the molecular formulas of **8** and **9** was the substitution of a sulfur atom in **9** with two oxygen atoms in **8**. This similarity led to an initial co-elution of metabolites **8** and **9** during the metabolite screening and prevented us from realizing that they were in fact two distinct metabolites. After optimizing the isolation conditions, we successfully separated **8** and **9**. Next, based on the observation that the deletion of PKS gene *clbO* increased the yield of **8** (Fig. 2c), we cultivated the *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbO$  strain in LB medium and obtained 1.1 mg of **8** from a 500 L culture for its structural identification.

Metabolite **8** was a white and amorphous powder. The 1D and 2D NMR spectra of **8** suggested it contained the *N*-myristoyl-D-asparagine residue and the 1*H*-pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyridine-3,6(2*H*,5*H*)-dione unit (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 11, Supplementary Table 3). Being different from **9**, only one thiazole proton (H-34,  $\delta_{\rm H}$  8.76) resonance was observed in the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum of **8**, and the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C HMBC correlations from H-34 to C-33 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  164.1) and C-35 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  142.9) were consistent with the assignment of a thiazole moiety. An HMBC correlation from H-32 ( $\delta_{\rm Ha}$  5.51,  $\delta_{\rm Hb}$  5.59) to C-33 suggested an attachment between the thiazole and the 1*H*-pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyridine-3,6(2*H*,5*H*)-dione unit via C-32 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  44.4). In high-resolution tandem mass spectrometry (HRMS<sup>n</sup>) fragmentation analysis, **8** and **9** showed similar fragmentation patterns, including a group of decarboxylated fragmentation species, which indicated the existence of the terminal carboxyl group in **8** (Supplementary Fig. 12). From the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **8**, no extra proton signal was observed, but what

we did observe were four extra <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals of chemical shifts at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  123.9, 133.0, 160.9, and 164.0. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR signal of shift at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  160.9 was assigned as the terminal carboxyl carbon (C-39). The remaining structural assignment of **8** required a C<sub>3</sub>HNO<sub>2</sub> moiety and four degrees of unsaturation. On the basis of the remaining molecular formula and HMBC correlations, we proposed that **8** contained a newly formed 5-hydroxy oxazole moiety. Three quaternary carbons were assigned as C-36 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  164.0), C-37 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  133.0) and C-38 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  123.9); and a key HMBC correlation from H-34 to C-36 revealed the linkage between the thiazole moiety and the 5-hydroxy oxazole moiety.

Then the HRMS<sup>n</sup> data of both **8** and **9** were further compared. The majority of the MS/MS fragmentation species of **8** were assigned (Supplementary Fig. 12a, d) and their counterparts in the HRMS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation spectrum of **9** were identified (Supplementary Fig. 12c, e), including three identical fragmentation species (which could be observed in the HRMS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation spectra of both **8** and **9**) and 14 pairs of corresponding fragmentation species (which displayed the same difference in molecular weight due to 5-hydroxy oxazole moiety [C<sub>3</sub>HNO<sub>2</sub>] *vs* thiazole moiety [C<sub>3</sub>HNS]) (Supplementary Fig. 12f). In addition, two more distinctive MS/MS fragmentation species were observed in the fragmentation spectra of **8** but not in that of **9** (*fragmentation species 18 and 19* in Supplementary Fig. 12). These two carbonium-based ions were produced by the hydroxy group-induced elimination of one H<sub>2</sub>O molecule from their decarboxylated precursor ions, suggesting the presence of a hydroxy group in the distinctive moiety of C<sub>3</sub>HNO<sub>2</sub>.

#### **Structure elucidation of precolibactin-969 (11)**

The molecular formula of **11** was determined as  $C_{44}H_{59}N_9O_{12}S_2$  by HRMS on the basis of its protonated molecular ion peak at *m/z* 970.3799 (calculated, 970.3797) (Supplementary Fig. 3). From 2,000 L of fermentation broth of *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$ , we obtained 50 µg of **11** as a white and amorphous powder for its structure elucidation. Similar to precolibactin-886 (**10**), **11** was isolated as an approximately equal mixture of two diastereomers (Supplementary Fig. 5). By comparing the HRMS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation data of **10** (molecular formula:  $C_{41}H_{58}N_8O_{10}S_2$ ) and **11** (molecular formula:  $C_{44}H_{59}N_9O_{12}S_2$ ), we were able to assign the majority of the MS/MS fragmentation species of **10** (Supplementary Fig. 7a, c) and identify their counterparts in the HRMS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation spectrum of **11** (Supplementary Fig. 7b, d), including eight identical fragmentation species (which were N-terminal ions and could be observed in the HRMS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation species (which were N-terminal ions and could be observed in the HRMS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation species (which were R-terminal ions and could be observed in the HRMS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation species (which were R-terminal ions and could be observed in the HRMS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation species (which were R-terminal ions and could be observed in the HRMS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation species (which were R-terminal ions and displayed a difference in molecular weight of 83.00 daltons) (Supplementary Fig. 7e). All of these HRMS<sup>n</sup> fragmentation data indicated that an additional C<sub>3</sub>HNO<sub>2</sub> fragment in **11** is likely attached to the carboxyl terminal of **10**.

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic data of **11** were almost identical to those of **10**, with the exception of some shifts of corresponding proton signals (Supplementary Fig. 6b). The <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H TOCSY, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HMQC and <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HMBC data indicated that **11** and **10** shared the same carbon skeleton from C-1 to C-40, including a heterocycle-fused macrocycle and bisected bithiazole (Supplementary Fig. 6, Supplementary Table 1). A key HMBC correlation from H-22 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  34.6) to C-23 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  107.9) established the hemiacetal functionality, which was a unique connectivity for the macrocycle formation; a linear connection from C-24 to C-33 was established by comprehensive and clear HMBC correlations along with a characteristic ketone resonance at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  205.4 (C-29) as indicated by the HMBC correlations from H-30 ( $\delta_{\rm Ha}$  3.08 and  $\delta_{\rm Hb}$  3.73) to C-29; two thiazole protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.97/ $\delta_{\rm C}$  119.8 (H-34/C-34) and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  9.07/ $\delta_{\rm C}$  120.5 (H-39/C-39) were observed from both <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectra, presenting two thiazole moieties.

We did not obtain adequate HMBC correlations to the additional molecular formula C<sub>3</sub>HNO<sub>2</sub>, because of its proton-deficient structure. Being enlightened by the chemical structure of **8** and the results from the feeding experiment which showed that **11** was labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine or L-[<sup>15</sup>N]serine with a relative [M + H + 1] signal intensity approximately twice as high as those of **8** and **10** (Supplementary Fig. 4), we proposed that the remaining C<sub>3</sub>HNO<sub>2</sub> moiety in **11** forms a 5-hydroxy oxazole ring, the same as in **8** (Fig. 1).

# 3. Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. A summary of findings from previous and current study on the colibactin biosynthesis. a. Organization of the colibactin (clb) biosynthetic gene cluster (not drawn to scale). Genes encoding nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS), polyketide synthase (PKS), and NRPS-PKS hybrid enzyme are shown in blue; genes encoding tailoring enzyme or accessory enzyme are shown in pink; the *clb* pathway transcriptional regulator (TR) gene *clbR* is shown in grey; the multidrug and toxic compound extrusion transporter (MATET) gene *clbM* is shown in green; and the *clb* pathway resistance protein (RP) gene *clbS* is shown in yellow. The predicted functions are shown using the following abbreviations: A, adenylation; ACP, acyl carrier protein; Am, amidase; AT, acyltransferase; C, condensation; Cy, cyclization; DH, dehydratase; DHG, dehydrogenase; E, epimerase; ER, enoyl reductase; HCDH, hydroxylacyl-CoA dehydrogenase; KR, ketoreductase; KS, ketosynthase; Ox, oxidase; PCP, peptidyl carrier protein; Pep, peptidase (a periplasmic D-amino peptidase): PPT. phosphopantetheinvl-transferase: TE. thioesterase. AT\* domains are predicted based on structural topology as ancestral inactive relics. **b**, Formation and transportation of an unusual PKS extender unit aminomalonyl-ACP, which is involved in the biosynthesis of (pre)colibactins. c, Proposed biosynthesis of precolibactins. d, A prodrug mechanism is involved in the *clb* biosynthetic pathway. Precolibactin(s) can be recognized and hydrolyzed by the peptidase ClbP, resulting in the release of mature colibactin(s) and a prodrug motif (an *N*-myristoyl-D-asparagine). **e**, Chemical structures of precolibactins.







С



Supplementary Figure 2. Inactivation of resistance protein gene *clbS* and the effect of ClbS on the production of *clb* pathway-related precolibactins. **a**, **b**, Physical map of bacterial artificial chromosome pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  with predicted KpnI + XhoI cleavage sites and restriction fragment sizes (**a**) and the experimentally determined restriction map with KpnI + XhoI (**b**). **c**, Effect of resistance protein ClbS on the production of various *clb* pathway-related precolibactins. A comparison of the relative abundances of individual precolibactin derivatives from extracts of *ClbS*-containing (pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ)$ , *ClbS*-knockout (pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS)$ , and *ClbS*-complemented (pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS)$ . E. *coli* strains, based on the extracted ion chromatograms. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  s.d. (n = 5).



**Supplementary Figure 3.** The high-resolution ESI-MS of precolibactin-969 (11); its molecular formula was determined as  $C_{44}H_{59}N_9O_{12}S_2$  on the basis of its protonated molecular ion peak at m/z 970.3799 (calculated, 970.3797).



Supplementary Figure 4. UPLC–MS analysis of the feeding experiment. a–i, Considering that the genes related to the 2-aminomalonyl building block, including biosynthetic gene cassette *clbDEF* and *clbG*, have been proven to form and transfer the L-serine-derived rare PKS extender unit<sup>8,9</sup>, in order to interrogate the incorporation of the aminomalonyl extender unit into precolibactin-795a (8), precolibactin-886 (10), or precolibactin-969 (11), both L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine and L-[<sup>15</sup>N]serine were selected for the feeding experiments. L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine or L-[<sup>15</sup>N]serine at a concentration of 0.25 mg/mL was added to the LB medium for culturing the heterologous expression strain *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$ . Compared to the blank tests without isotope feeding (a for 8, b for 10, and c for 11), the isotope-labeled metabolites were observed, displaying mass shifts of [*M* + H + 1] with different abundances. (d) 8 was labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine; (e) 10 was labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine; (i) 11 was labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine; (g) 8 was labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine; (h) 10 was labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine; (i) 11 was labeled by L-[<sup>15</sup>N]serine; however, 11 was labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine in the results show that all of these metabolites could be labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine or L-[<sup>15</sup>N]serine; however, 11 was labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine in the results show that all of these metabolites could be labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine or L-[<sup>15</sup>N]serine; however, 11 was labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine in the results show that all of these metabolites could be labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine or L-[<sup>15</sup>N]serine; however, 11 was labeled by L-[1-<sup>13</sup>C]serine or L-[<sup>15</sup>N]serine with relative [*M* + H + 1] signal intensity approximately twice as higher as those of 8 and 10, indicating that 11 contains two L-serine derived moieties.



Supplementary Figure 5. a, The detection of precolibactin-886 (10) diastereomer pairs and precolibactin-969 (11) diastereomer pairs. The metabolic profile of  $clb^+$  heterologous expression strain *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  was investigated using UPLC-MS-based analysis, displaying that both of the 10 and 11 were produced as approximately equal mixtures of diastereomer pairs which were separated by chromatography. b, Proposed structures of 10a/10b and 11a/11b.



11

Key HMBC

Key HSQC



а





f



g

f2 (ppm)

Supplementary Figure 6. 1D and 2D NMR spectra of precolibactin-969 (11). **a**, NMR-based key correlations for the structural assignment of 11. **b**, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, **c**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum, **d**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H TOCSY spectrum, **e**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H NOESY spectrum, **f**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum, and **g**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HMBC spectrum. Recorded with 850 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 212.5 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C, cold probe, in DMSO- $d_6$ .





120 140 160 180 200 220 240 260 280 300 320 340 360 380 400 420 440 460 480 500 520 540 560 580 600 620 640 660 680 700 720 740 760 780 800 820 840 860 880 900 920 940 Counts vs. Mass-to-Charge (m/z)





d



Fragmentation	Obs. mass	Calc. mass	Error [Da]	Obs. mass	Calc. mass	Error [Da]	Note
	precolibactin-886 (10)			precolibactin-969 (11)			
1	870.3542	870.3525	0.0017	953.3552	953.3532	0.0020	+ C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub>
2	852.3424	852.3419	0.0005	935.3396	935.3426	-0.0030	+ C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub>
3	660.1536	660.1541	-0.0005	743.1495	743.1548	-0.0053	+ C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub>
4	643.1277	643.1275	0.0002	726.1205	726.1283	-0.0078	+ C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub>
5	591.3743	591.3752	-0.0009	591.3703	591.3752	-0.0049	Identical
6	574.3495	574.3487	0.0008	574.3454	574.3487	-0.0033	Identical
7	545.1270	545.1272	-0.0002	628.1254	628.1279	-0.0025	+ C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub>
8	528.1000	528.1006	-0.0006	611.0982	611.1013	-0.0031	+ C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub>
9	527.1163	527.1166	-0.0003	610.1174	610.1173	0.0001	+ C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub>
10	466.3270	466.3275	-0.0005	466.3251	466.3275	-0.0024	Identical
11	449.2999	449.3010	-0.0011	449.2973	449.3010	-0.0037	Identical
12	433.3021	433.3061	-0.0040	433.3004	433.3061	-0.0057	Identical
13	422.0582	422.0587	-0.0005	505.0572	505.0595	-0.0023	+ C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub>
14	405.0318	405.0322	-0.0004	488.0301	488.0329	-0.0028	+ C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub>
15	297.0101	297.0111	-0.0010	380.0057	380.0118	-0.0061	+ C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub>
16	249.1218	249.1234	-0.0016	249.1202	249.1234	-0.0032	Identical
17	142.0843	142.0863	-0.0020	142.0842	142.0863	-0.0021	Identical
18	124.0742	124.0757	-0.0015	124.0731	124.0757	-0.0026	Identical

**Supplementary Figure 7. a**, HR-MS/MS fragmentation pattern of precolibactin-886 (10). Fragmentation was acquired with collision energies of 15 and 30 V. **b**, HR-MS/MS fragmentation pattern of precolibactin-969 (11). Fragmentation was acquired with collision energies of 15 and 30 V. **c**, The major fragmentation species from HR-MS/MS measurement of 10. **d**, The major fragmentation species from HR-MS/MS measurement of 11. **e**, A comparison between the fragmentation species of 10 and 11. Obs. = observed; Calc. = calculated.



**Supplementary Figure 8. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic analysis of ClbK**<sub>PKS</sub> and ClbO. Molecular phylogenetic analysis by the maximum likelihood method to indicates the relationships among the ketosynthase (KS) of ClbK<sub>PKS</sub>, ClbO, and 78 other representative polyketide synthase (PKS) domains. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-43888.4672) is shown. The tree is drawn to scale with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site.



Supplementary Figure 9. The high-resolution ESI-MS of precolibactin-795a (8) (a) and precolibactin-795b (9) (b). Their molecular formulas were determined as  $C_{39}H_{53}N_7O_9S_1$  and  $C_{39}H_{53}N_7O_7S_2$  on the basis of their protonated molecular ion peaks at *m*/*z* 796.3697 (calculated, 796.3698) and 796.3520 (calculated, 796.3521), respectively.



Key HMBC





33

# С



f





**Supplementary Figure 10.** 1D and 2D NMR spectra of precolibactin-795b (9). **a**, NMR-based key correlations for the structural assignment of 9. **b**, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, **c**, <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, **d**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum, **e**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H NOESY spectrum, **f**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum, **g**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HMBC spectrum, and **h**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>15</sup>N HSQC spectrum. Recorded with 850 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 212.5 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C, cold probe, in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.








С



f



g

Supplementary Figure 11. 1D and 2D NMR spectra of precolibactin-795a (8). **a**, NMR-based key correlations for the structural assignment of 8. **b**, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, **c**, <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, **d**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum, **e**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HSQC spectrum, and **f**, <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HMBC spectrum. Recorded with 850 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 212.5 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C, cold probe, in DMSO- $d_6$ . In the NMR test, 8 was mixed a small quantity of precolibactin-413 (1) (g1). We labeled the overlapping <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals (g2) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals (g3) of 8 and 1 with blue (for 8) and red (for 1) arrows.









d





Frag. 1 (779.3255)



Frag. 4 (586.1537)





Frag. 2 (761.3150)



Frag. 5 (569.1272)



Frag. 3 (735.3357)

Frag. 6 (552.1006)



Frag. 7 (551.1166)



Frag. 8 (525.1373)



Frag. 9 (472.1108)



Frag. 10 (455.0842)



Frag. 11 (437.0737)



Frag. 12 (411.0944)

H<sub>2</sub>N ŃН

Frag. 13 (345.1557)



Frag. 14 (328.1292)



H<sub>2</sub>N





Frag. 17 (180.9889)



Frag. 16 (224.9787)

Fragmentation	Obs. mass	Calc. mass	Error [Da]	Obs. mass	Calc. mass	Error [Da]	Note
	precolibactin-7	795a ( <b>8</b> )		precolibactin-7	'95b ( <b>9</b> )		
1	779.3425	779.3433	-0.0008	779.3251	779.3255	-0.0004	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
2	761.3314	761.3327	-0.0013	761.3172	761.3150	0.0022	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
3	735.3507	735.3534	-0.0027	735.3337	735.3357	-0.0020	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
4	586.1698	586.1715	-0.0017	586.1522	586.1537	-0.0015	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
5	569.1437	569.1449	-0.0012	569.1269	569.1272	-0.0003	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
6	552.1165	552.1184	-0.0019	552.0997	552.1006	-0.0009	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
7	551.1327	551.1343	-0.0016	551.1148	551.1166	-0.0018	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
8	525.1552	525.1551	0.0001	525.1368	525.1373	-0.0005	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
9	472.1269	472.1285	-0.0016	472.1103	472.1108	-0.0005	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
10	455.1002	455.1020	-0.0018	455.0839	455.0842	-0.0003	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
11	437.0899	437.0914	-0.0015	437.0731	437.0737	-0.0006	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
12	411.1096	411.1122	-0.0026	411.0928	411.0944	-0.0016	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
13	345.1539	345.1557	-0.0018	345.1559	345.1557	0.0002	Identical
14	328.1287	328.1292	-0.0005	328.1273	328.1292	-0.0019	Identical
15	231.1117	231.1128	-0.0011	231.1117	231.1128	-0.0011	Identical
16	224.9967	224.9965	0.0002	224.9775	224.9787	-0.0012	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
17	181.0058	181.0066	-0.0008	180.9872	180.9889	-0.0017	C <sub>3</sub> HNO <sub>2</sub> vs C <sub>3</sub> HNS
18	717.3476	717.3429	0.0047				Discrepant fragment
19	507.1426	507.1445	-0.0019				Discrepant fragment

Supplementary Figure 12. a, HR-MS/MS fragmentation pattern of precolibactin-795a (8). Fragmentation was acquired with collision energies of 30 and 45 V. b, Tow highlighted HR-MS/MS fragments displaying the difference in HR-MS/MS fragmentation pattern between 8 and precolibactin-795b (9). c, HR-MS/MS fragmentation pattern of 9. Fragmentation was acquired with collision energies of 30 and 45 V. d, The major fragmentation species from HR-MS/MS measurement of 8. Tow discrepant fragmentation species of 8 from 9 are highlighted. e, The major fragmentation species from HR-MS/MS measurement of 9. f, A comparison between the fragmentation species of 8 and 9. Obs. = observed; Calc. = calculated.



precolibactin-943





Supplementary Figure 13. The detection of precolibactin-943 (12). a, Proposed structure of 12. b, Proposed biosynthesis of 12. Compared to the biosynthesis of precolibactin-969 (11) (Fig. 1), the assembly of 12 shows a different biosynthetic logic for the utilization of the aminomalonate extender unit. ClbO is shown to incorporate the aminomalonate through a decarboxylative Claisen condensation in forming 12. c, The metabolic profile of  $clb^+$  heterologous expression strain *E. coli* DH10B/pCAP01-*clb*  $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$  was investigated using UPLC–MS-based analysis, revealing the different retention times of 11 and 12. EIC+ = 944.40 ± 0.01 and 970.38 ± 0.01, corresponding to metabolites 12 and 11, respectively. d, The high-resolution ESI-MS of 12. Compared to 11, the  $[M + H - NH_3]^+$  MS fragmentation of 12 is observed.





**Supplementary Figure 14.** Structure analysis of colibactin-645 (13) by HR-MS/MS. **a**, HR-MS/MS fragmentation pattern of 13. Fragmentation was acquired with collision energy of 15 V. **b**, The major fragmentation species from HR-MS/MS measurement of 13. Obs. = observed; Calc. = calculated.



**Supplementary Figure 15.** Effect of various metal chelators, including ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), diethylammonium diethyldithiocarbamate (D-DDC), and Chelex-100, on the production of different precolibactins with diverse carbon skeletons from  $clb^+ \Delta clbP$  mutant. Precolibactin-441 (2), precolibactin-546 (5), precolibactin-712 (7), and precolibactin-969 (11) were selected and evaluated, which represent linear, aza-spirocyclopropane, thiazole-containing, and aminomalonate-containing structural derivatives, respectively. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  s.d. (n = 3).



**Supplementary Figure 16.** Analysis of the effect of precolibactin-969 (11) on plasmid pBR322 DNA in the presence of Cu(II), Fe(III) or Fe(II). Reactions were performed at 15  $\mu$ M 11 in the presence of Cu(II) (5  $\mu$ M or 30  $\mu$ M) or Fe(II) (10  $\mu$ M), or in the absence of metal ion for 16 hours at 37 °C. DNA cleavage by 11 was observed only in the co-incubation of Cu(II) and 11, but not Fe(III) or Fe(II), in which nicked and linearized DNA appeared while supercoiled DNA completely disappeared. The control (reactions without 11) of Cu(II) or Fe(III) at 30  $\mu$ M showed DNA cleavage similar to the negative control presented in the figure (the lane with DNA and DMSO only). Top band, nicked DNA (Form II); middle band, linearized DNA (Form III); bottom band, supercoiled DNA (Form I). *Eco*RI-linearized pBR322 DNA is shown as the standard.

				Form I		Form II		Form II	I
	Band	3 (2 h)	)	33.2 %		63.5 %		3.3 %	
	Band	4 (4 h	)	24.4 %		67.2 %		8.4 %	
	Band	5 (6 h)	)	13.7 %		71.0 %		15.3 %	
	Band	6 (8 h)	)	4.1 %		70.5 %		25.4 %	
		-			<i>n</i> <sub>1</sub>		n <sub>2</sub>		
		Ban	nd 3 (2	h)	1.0685		0.0341		
		Ban	d 4 (4	h)	1.3185		0.0921		
		Ban	nd 5 (6	h)	1.8039		0.1839		
		Ban	nd 6 (8	h)	2.8278		0.3664		
					-1	7-			
				t <sub>111</sub> =	=n <sub>2</sub> e'	12			
				f.	$ (n_1 + n_2)$	n <sub>2</sub> )			
				11-	-6				
h									
	72)	0.41							
	5					- 0.000			
	ks				R	= 0.998	5		
	ea	0.3					/		
	þ					/			
	р	0.2			/				
	ิเลเ				•				
	stl	1		/					
	<u> </u>	0.1							
	qn		/						
	00	0	•						
		0.01	·			2			3
				Single	e-strai	nd bre	eaks	$(n_1)$	

**Supplementary Figure 17. Freifelder–Trumbo analysis of precolibactin-969 (11)-induced DNA linearization. a**, Quantification of Form I, Form II, and Form III plasmid DNA; and the equations for calculating the number of single-strand breaks (SSBs) ( $n_1$ ) and the number of double-strand breaks (DSBs) ( $n_2$ ) per plasmid molecule.  $f_1$  and  $f_{III}$  represent the fractions of Form I and Form III DNA, respectively, after treatment. **b**, Ratio of DNA SSBs ( $n_1$ ) to DSBs ( $n_2$ ) per DNA molecule in a plasmid cleavage assay using **11**. Data points (left to right) correspond to reactions with incubation times of 2, 4, 6 and 8 hours. The Freifelder–Trumbo relationship postulates that if the ratio of  $n_1$  to  $n_2$  is approximately 120, the DSBs arise from the accumulation of SSBs randomly distributed over the plasmid molecule<sup>23</sup>. The value was constant for **11** (5.35:1), and was much lower than 120, indicating the **11**-induced DNA linearization was formed through DSBs rather than SSBs.



**Supplementary Figure 18. a**, The DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) activities of precolibactin-969 (11), bleomycin and precolibactin-546 (5) were evaluated. Reactions were performed with either 11 (15  $\mu$ M), bleomycin (15  $\mu$ M) or **5** (5 mM) in the presence of both Cu(II) (30  $\mu$ M) and  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol (5 mM) for 12 hours at 37 °C. [We tested the DNA DSBs ability of **5** under a variety of assay conditions, including higher Cu(II) concentrations and higher reductant concentrations. **5** did not display any DNA DSBs ability *in vitro* in our assays using plasmid pBR322 DNA (data not shown).] **b**, The DNA DSBs activities of **11** and precolibactin-886 (10) were compared. Reactions were performed at the same concentration of **11** (15  $\mu$ M) or **10** (15  $\mu$ M) in the presence of Cu(II) (30  $\mu$ M) for 12 hours at 37 °C. **a**, **b**, All of the controls (reactions without compound) of each reagent or metal ion show no DNA cleavage similar to the negative control presented in the figure (the lane with DNA and DMSO only). Top band, nicked DNA (Form II); middle band, linearized DNA (Form III); bottom band, supercoiled DNA (Form I). *Eco*RI-linearized pBR322 DNA is shown as the standard.



Supplementary Figure 19. Reduction of Cu(II) by macrocyclic colibactins. The formation of Cu(I) indicates that both precolibactin-886 (10) and precolibactin-969 (11) have the ability to reduce Cu(II) to Cu(I), with and without plasmid pBR322 DNA. Reactions were performed at 150  $\mu$ M 11 or 10 in the presence of 300  $\mu$ M cupric chloride and 1 mM neocuproine, with or without adding plasmid pBR322 DNA (500 ng). In control, only DMSO solvent was added. Following incubation for 16 hours at 37 °C in a darkroom, the amount of Cu(I) was determined by measuring the visible absorbance at 450 nm of the cuprous-neocuproine complex using a NanoDrop. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  s.d. (n = 3).



**Supplementary Figure 20. a**, Backbone structure of the lowest energy conformer of colibatin-645 (13) calculated by molecular modeling. **b**, Proposed structure of colibatin Cu(II). The potential DNA-binding moiety in **13**, the thiazole/5-hydroxy oxazole moiety, is highlighted.



Supplementary Figure 21. Degradation of precolibactin-886 (10) along with the formation of Cu(I) after mixing 10 and Cu(II) over time. The degradation of 10 was monitored by the loss of its mass signal in HRMS analysis. The formation of Cu(I) was measured by adding neocuproine as mentioned in Supplementary Figure 19. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  s.d. (n = 3).



**Supplementary Figure 22. HR-ESI-MS analyses of the colibactin copper complex.** In this assay, the precolibactin-886 (10) which contains the putative active center for Cu(II) binding and reduction was selected as a representative for the mature colibactin, because this precursor was more readily available. After incubation with Cu(II), the mass signal of 10 disappeared over time, and a putative copper-bound colibactin species was tracked with mass signal consistent with the isotopic distribution of a copper-bound complex. The mass signal of the copper-bound colibactin species was weak and transient, suggesting the instability of this species.



**Supplementary Figure 23.** Double-reciprocal (Benesi–Hildebrand) plot derived from a titration experiment of precolibactin-886 (10) with Cu(II).



а





5

6

7

8



Supplementary Figure 24. Structure analysis of 15 by HR-MS/MS. a, Scheme of maturation of 15 from the hydrolysis of its precursor precolibactin-886 (10) by expressed peptidase ClbP, with the release of a prodrug motif N-myristoyl-D-asparagine (14) simultaneously. b, HR-MS/MS fragmentation pattern of 15. Fragmentation was acquired with collision energy of 15 V. c, The major fragmentation species from HR-MS/MS measurement of 15. Obs. = observed; Calc. = calculated.

405.0391

297.0165

249.1279

124.0745

422.0587

405.0322

297.0111

249.1234

124.0750

-0.0104

0.0069

0.0054

0.0045

-0.0005

а



Supplementary Figure 25. Analysis of colibactin-induced DNA damage in cell cultures. Immunofluorescence imaging of  $\gamma$ H2AX and 53BP1 foci in human normal colon epithelial FHC cells (a), human normal colon fibroblast CCD-112 CoN cells (b), and colorectal cancer HCT-116 cells (c) that are treated with 13 (50 nM), showing these foci are induced and colocalize in these cells. In control, only DMSO solvent was added. Columns from left to right, nucleus (blue),  $\gamma$ H2AX (green), 53BP1 (red), and merge.



**Supplementary Figure 26.** Production of the prodrug motif **14** of *clb* pathway was selected as a proxy for flux through the biosynthetic pathway to evaluate the effects of different metal chelators on metabolite production during a transient infection process. The results showed that both ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA, 2.5 mM) and bathocuproinedisulfonic acid (BCS, 2 mM) could not suppress the production of *clb* pathway-related metabolites in the transient infection process.



Supplementary Figure 27. Proposed mechanism for the generation of 'activated colibactin' *in vivo*. a, After being secreted from a producing bacterium that localizes close to or in contact with the intestinal brush border<sup>24</sup>, colibactin (colibactin-645, 13) binds to exchangeable copper in the intestinal lumen, likely coming from diet<sup>25</sup>, to form a colibactin Cu(II) complex. This complex is quickly transported into the epithelial cell while reduced to a colibactin Cu(I) complex and the accrdination of  $O_{1}$  to this current complex in colla

while reduced to a colibactin Cu(I) complex. This complex is quickly transported into the epithenal cell generates 'activated colibactin' that attacks DNA and initiates DNA cleavage. Additionally, this mechanism dose not exclude the possibility that colibactin quickly enters the epithelial cell and then binds the intracellular copper to exert its activity. **b**, Proposed reactions in colibactin activation. Cu(II)–O<sup>•</sup> (or Cu(III)=O) is proposed to be the active species in the 'activated colibactin' complex susceptible of DNA carbon–hydrogen bond activation<sup>26</sup>, which is consistent with the observed inhibitory effects of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> scavengers on the DNA cleavage reaction *in vitro* as colibactin·Cu(II)–OOH is a key intermediate to colibactin·Cu(II)–O<sup>•</sup>.

## 4. Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Structure characterization data of precolibactin-969 (11).



NMR spectroscopic data for 11 in DMSO-d6

С	$\delta_{\rm C}^*$	$\delta_{\rm H}$	COSY	TOCSY	NOESY	HMBC ( <sup>1</sup> H to <sup>13</sup> C)
1	13.7 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	0.85	2	2		2, 3
2	22.3 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	1.23	1	1		1, 3
3	31.4 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	1.19-1.23				
4-10	28.7 (CH <sub>2</sub> ),	1.19-1.23				
	28.8 (CH <sub>2</sub> )					
11	28.8 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	1.19-1.23				
12	24.9 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	1.43	13			11
13	35.6 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	2.08	12		14NH	14
14	172.5 (C)	-				
		NH, 7.93	15	15, 16	13, 15, 20	
15	50.3 (CH)	4.46	14NH, 16	14NH, 16	14NH, 16, 18NH	16, 18
16	38.4 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	a 2.30	15, 16b	14NH	15	15, 17
		b 2.41	15, 16a	14NH	15	15, 17
17	171.2 (C)	-				
		a NH, 6.82	17bNH	17bNH	17bNH	16
		b NH, 7.27	17aNH	17aNH	17aNH	
18	170.4 (C)	-				
		NH, 7.52	19	19, 20, 21	15, 19, 20, 21	
19	48.1 (CH)	3.70	18NH, 20	18NH	18NH	
20	20.7 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	0.98	19	18NH	14NH, 18NH	19, 21
21	30.4 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	1.42	22	18NH	18NH	
22	34.6 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	1.78	21			23
23	107.9 (C)	-				
24	45.7 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	a 2.60	24b		24b	25
		b 3.29	24a		24a, 25NH	
25	170.6 (C)	-				
		NH, 7.94			24b	
26	37.3 (C)	-				
27	21.7 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	1.23	28			28
28	21.7 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	1.23	27			27
29	205.5 (C)	-				

30	48.1 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	a 3.08	30b	30b	30b, 31	26, 29, 31
		b 3.73	30a	30a	30a, 31	
31	167.0 (C)	-				
		NH, 9.03	32b	32a, 32b	30a, 30b, 32a,	
					32b	
32	40.8 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	a 4.27	32b	31, 32b	31, 32b	
		b 4.79	31NH, 32a	31, 32a	31, 32a	33
33	167.8 (C)	-				
34	119.8 (CH)	7.97				33, 35
35	154.3 (C)	-				
36	– (C)	-				
37	– (C)	-				
38	– (C)	-				
39	120.5 (CH)	9.07				
40	– (C)	-				
41	– (C)	-				
42	– (C)	-				
43	– (C)	-				
44	– (C)	-				

\* Determined from <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C HSQC and <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C HMBC NMR data

Supplementary Table 2. Structure characterization data of precolibactin-795b (9).



NMR sp	NMR spectroscopic data for 9 in DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub>						
С	δ <sub>C</sub>	δ <sub>H</sub> (J in Hz)	COSY	HMBC ( <sup>1</sup> H to <sup>13</sup> C)			
1	14.1	0.82 t (6.8)	2	2, 3			
2	22.2	1.21 m	1	1, 3			
3	31.4	1.19 m					
4-10	28.7, 29.0, 29.1, 29.1,	1.13-1.19 m					
	29.1, 29.1, 29.2						
11	28.8	1.13 <b>-</b> 1.19 m					
12	25.3	1.39 m	13				
13	35.3	2.04 m	12	11, 12, 14			
14	172.3	-					
		NH, 7.91 d (6.0)	15	14, 15, 16			
15	50.1	4.50 m	14NH, 16a, 16b	16, 18			
16	37.5	a 2.38 m	15, 16b	15, 17			
		b 2.48 m	15, 16a				
17	171.7	-					
		a NH, 6.82 s	17bNH	17			
		b NH, 7.28 s	17aNH	17			
18	170.6	-					
		NH, 7.70 d (6.8)	19	18, 19, 20, 21			
19	44.8	3.87 m	18NH, 20, 21	18, 20, 21, 22			
20	20.4	1.07 d (5.1)	19	19, 21			
21	35.5	1.72 m	19, 22a, 22b	19, 20, 22, 23			
22	24.2	a 3.35 m	21, 22b				
		b 3.42 m	21, 22a				
23	153.2	-					
24	109.8	-					
25	166.8	-					
		NH, 8.50 s		23, 24, 25, 26, 29			
26	40.5	-					
27	15.3	a 1.35 m	27b, 28a, 28b	26, 28, 29			
		b 1.39 m	27a, 28a, 28b	26, 28, 29			
28	15.3	a 1.35 m	27a, 27b, 28b	26, 27, 29			
		b 1.39 m	27a, 27b, 28a	26, 27, 29			
29	160.0	-					
30	103.4	6.16 s		23, 24, 26, 29, 31			
31	161.9	-					

32	44.5	a 5.55 d (6.0) b 5.59 d (6.0)	32b 32a	23, 31, 33 23, 31, 33
33	166.7	-		
34	119.1	8.23 s		33, 35
35	147.2	-		
36	161.7	-		
37	127.9	8.33 s		36, 38, 39
38	150.2	-		
39	162.7	-		

Supplementary Table 3. Structure characterization data of precolibactin-795a (8).



NMR spectroscopic data for 8 in DMSO-d6						
С	δc	δ <sub>H</sub> (J in Hz)	COSY	HMBC ( <sup>1</sup> H to <sup>13</sup> C)		
1	14.1	0.85 t (6.9)	2	2, 3		
2	22.2	1.24 m	1	1, 3		
3	31.4	1.19-1.25 m				
4-10	28.8, 28.8, 29.0, 29.1,	1.19-1.25 m				
	29.2, 29.2, 29.2					
11	28.7	1.19-1.25 m				
12	25.3	1.46 m	13	11, 13, 14		
13	35.4	2.08 m	12	11, 12, 14		
14	172.4	-				
		NH, 8.18 d (7.6)	15	14, 15		
15	50.6	4.49 m	14NH, 16, 18NH	16, 18		
16	37.4	2.33 m	15	15, 17		
		2.53 m	15	15, 17		
17	171.6	-				
		a NH, 6.78 s	17bNH	16, 17		
		b NH, 7.37 s	17aNH	17		
18	170.7	-				
		NH, 7.87 d (7.6)	15, 19	18, 19		
19	44.6	3.83 m	18NH, 20, 21a, 21b	18, 20, 21, 22		
20	20.3	1.02 d (6.0)	19	19, 21		
21	35.4	a 1.51 m	19, 21b, 22	19, 22		
		b 1.68 m	19, 21a, 22	19, 22		
22	24.2	3.35 m	21a, 21b	23		
23	153.1	-				
24	109.7	-				
25	166.8	-				
		NH, 8.45 s		23, 24, 25, 26, 29		
26	39.7	-				
27	15.3	a 1.34 m	27b, 28a, 28b	26, 28, 29		
		b 1.37 m	27a, 28a, 28b	26, 28, 29		
28	15.3	a 1.34 m	27a, 27b, 28b	26, 27, 29		
		b 1.37 m	27a, 27b, 28a	26, 27, 29		
29	159.8	-				
30	103.3	6.15 s		23, 24, 26, 31		
		70				

31	161.9	-		
32	44.4	a 5.51 d (5.1)	32b	23, 31, 33
		b 5.59 d (5.1)	32a	23, 31, 33
33	164.1	-		
34	120.0	8.76 s		33, 35, 36
35	142.9	-		
36	164.0	-		
37	133.0	-		
38	123.9	-		
39	160.9	-		

## Supplementary Table 4. Plasmids and strains used in this study.

	Description	Source
Strains		
E. coli CFT073	Wild type E. coli strain harboring the colibactin (clb) gene cluster	2, 27
E. coli Top10	Host strain for routine cloning	Invitrogen
E. coli DH10B	Host for heterologous expression: $\Delta(araABC-leu)7697$ , $araD139$ ,	Invitrogen
	deoR, endA1, galK, galU, $\Delta(lac)X74mcrA$ , $\Delta(mcrCB-hsdSMR-mrr)$ ,	
	$mupG$ , $recA1$ , $rpsL(Str^{r})$ , ( $\varphi 80 \ lacZ\Delta M15$ )	
<i>E. coli</i> BW25113	K12 derivative, $\Delta araBAD$ , $\Delta rhaBAD$	1
E. coli	E. coli BW25113 harboring pCAP01-clb and pIJ790, for the further	2
BW25113/pCAP01-c	genetic manipulation of pCAP01- <i>clb</i>	
<i>lb</i> /pIJ790		
E. coli	<i>E. coli</i> BW25113 harboring pCAP01- <i>clb</i> $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$ and	This study
BW25113/pCAP01-c	pIJ790, for the further genetic manipulation of pCAP01-clb	
lb	$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$	
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS/pI$		
J790		
Plasmids		
pETDuet-1	Protein expression vector, pBR322 ori, T7 promoter, <i>amp<sup>R</sup></i>	Novagen
pIJ790	$\lambda$ -Red (gam, bet, exo), cat, araC, rep101ts	3
pIJ773	Source of $apra^R$	3
pDR111	Source of $amp^R$	28
Protein expression pl	asmids constructed	
pETDuet-1-ClbS	pETDuet-1, the <i>clb</i> pathway specific resistance protein ClbS for <i>in</i>	This study
	vivo complementation	
pETDuet-1-ClbR	pETDuet-1, the <i>clb</i> pathway transcriptional regulator ClbR for	This study
	overexpression	
pET-28a-ClbP	pET28a(+), the <i>clb</i> pathway peptidase ClbP for expression	This study
Plasmids for heterolo	gous expression and genetic manipulation of <i>clb</i> pathway	
pCAP01-clb	pCAP01 derivative that carries a 70-kb genomic region containing	2
	the entire <i>clb</i> gene cluster	
pCAP01-clb	pCAP01- <i>clb</i> derivative ( $\Delta clbP \& \Delta clbQ$ ): $apra^R$	5
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ$		
pCAP01-clb	pCAP01- <i>clb</i> derivative ( $\Delta clbP \& \Delta clbQ \& \Delta clbS$ ): <i>apra<sup>R</sup></i>	This study
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$		
pCAP01- <i>clb</i>	pCAP01- <i>clb</i> derivative ( $\Delta clbP$ & $\Delta clbQ$ & $\Delta clbS$ ): <i>apra<sup>R</sup></i> ; ( $\Delta clbB$ ):	This study
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta c$	$amp^{\kappa}$	
lbB		mat to the
pCAP01-clb	pCAP01-clb derivative ( $\Delta clbP \& \Delta clbQ \& \Delta clbS$ ): apra <sup><math>\kappa</math></sup> ; ( $\Delta clbC$ ):	This study
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta c$	$amp^{\kappa}$	
lbC		<b>T</b> 1 · 1
pCAP01- <i>clb</i>	pCAP01-clb derivative ( $\Delta clbP$ & $\Delta clbQ$ & $\Delta clbS$ ): apra <sup>A</sup> ;	This study
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta c$	$(\Delta clbDEF)$ : $amp^{\kappa}$	
IDDEF		TT1 · / 1
pCAPUI-clb	pCAPUI-clb derivative ( $\Delta clbP  \&  \Delta clbQ  \&  \Delta clbS$ ): apra <sup>k</sup> ; ( $\Delta clbG$ ):	This study
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta c$	amp"	
lbG		
--	---	-------------
pCAP01-clb	pCAP01- <i>clb</i> derivative ( $\Delta clbP$ & $\Delta clbQ$ & $\Delta clbS$ ): <i>apra</i> <sup><i>R</i></sup> ; ( $\Delta clbH$ ):	This study
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta$	$\Delta c = amp^R$	
lbH		
pCAP01-clb	pCAP01-clb derivative ( $\Delta clbP \& \Delta clbQ \& \Delta clbS$ ): apra <sup>R</sup> ; ( $\Delta clbI$ ):	This study
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbSZ$	$\Delta c  amp^{\kappa}$	
IDI	pCAD01 all derivative (A all P & A all O & A all S); any all (A all D);	This study
$\Delta clb P \Delta clb O \Delta clb S \Lambda$	performed derivative ( $\Delta cloF \propto \Delta cloQ \propto \Delta cloS$ ). upra , ( $\Delta cloS$ ).	This study
lbJ	ac ump	
pCAP01-clb	pCAP01- <i>clb</i> derivative ( $\Delta clbP$ & $\Delta clbQ$ & $\Delta clbS$ ): <i>apra<sup>R</sup></i> ; ( $\Delta clbK$ ):	This study
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta lbK$	$\Delta c = amp^R$	
pCAP01-clb	pCAP01- <i>clb</i> derivative ( $\Delta clbP$ & $\Delta clbQ$ & $\Delta clbS$ ): <i>apra<sup>R</sup></i> ; ( $\Delta clbO$ ):	This study
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta lbQ$	$\Delta c = amp^R$	
<i>clb</i> <sup>+</sup> heterologous e	expression strains	
<i>E. c</i>	oli E. coli DH10B harboring pCAP01-clb	2
DH10B/pCAP01-cl	lb	
<i>E. c</i>	oli E. coli DH10B harboring pCAP01-clb $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ$	5
DH10B/pCAP01-cl	lb	
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ$		
E. ce	<i>cli E. coli</i> DH10B harboring pCAP01- <i>clb</i> $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$	This study
DH10B/pCAP01-cl	lb	
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$	ali E aali DU10D hashasing nCAD01 alk AalkDA alkOA alkOA alk	This study.
E. $C$	$\mathcal{L}$	This study
$\Delta c lb P \Delta c lb O \Delta c lb S /$		
lbB		
E. co	oli E. coli DH10B harboring pCAP01-clb ΔclbPΔclbOΔclbSΔclbC	This study
DH10B/pCAP01-cl	lb	j
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta$	$\Delta c$	
lbC		
<i>E. c</i>	oli E. coli DH10B harboring pCAP01-clb $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbDEF$	This study
DH10B/pCAP01-cl	lb	
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta$	$\Delta c$	
lbDEF		
E. co	oli E. coli DH10B harboring pCAP01-clb $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta clbG$	This study
DH10B/pCAP01-cl		
$\Delta clop \Delta cloQ \Delta clos L$	$\Delta \mathcal{C}$	
	$di = F coli DH10B hattoring pCAP01_clb AclbPA clbOA clbSA clbH$	This study
DH10B/pC $\Delta$ P01-c	$b_{\mu} = b_{\mu} \cos \theta = 0$	rins study
$\Lambda clbP\Lambda clbO\Lambda clbS/$	$\wedge c$	
lbH		
E. ce	oli E. coli DH10B harboring pCAP01-clb ΔclbPΔclbQΔclbSΔclbI	This study
DH10B/pCAP01-cl	lb	5

$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta c$			
lbI			
E. coli	<i>E. coli</i> DH10B harboring pCAP01- <i>clb</i> Δ <i>clbP</i> Δ <i>clbQ</i> Δ <i>clbS</i> Δ <i>clbJ</i>	This study	
DH10B/pCAP01-clb			
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta c$			
lbJ			
E. coli	<i>E. coli</i> DH10B harboring pCAP01- <i>clb</i> Δ <i>clbP</i> Δ <i>clbQ</i> Δ <i>clbS</i> Δ <i>clbK</i>	This study	
DH10B/pCAP01-clb			
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta c$			
lbK			
E. coli	<i>E. coli</i> DH10B harboring pCAP01- <i>clb</i> Δ <i>clbP</i> Δ <i>clbQ</i> Δ <i>clbS</i> Δ <i>clbO</i>	This study	
DH10B/pCAP01-clb			
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS\Delta c$			
lbO			
Protein expression st	rain for $\Delta clbS$ complementation assay		
E. coli	Protein expression strain for <i>in vivo</i> $\Delta clbS$ mutant complementation	This study	
DH10B/pCAP01-clb	with the <i>clb</i> pathway specific resistance protein ClbS		
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS:::p$			
ETDuet-1-ClbS			
Protein expression st	rain for <i>clbR</i> overexpression		
E. coli	Protein expression strain for transcriptional regulator ClbR	This study	
DH10B/pCAP01-clb	overexpression		
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS/p$			
ETDuet-1-ClbR			
or (E. coli			
DH10B/pCAP01-clb			
$\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS::c$			
lbR)			
Protein expression st	rain for <i>clbP</i> expression		
<i>E. coli</i> BL21	Protein expression strain for peptidase ClbP expression	This study	
(DE3)/pET-28a-ClbP			

**Supplementary Table 5.** Oligonucleotides used in this work. Restriction sites are marked in bold and homologous arms for recombination are underlined.

Primer	Sequence	Description
Primers for gene deletions		
	<u>GTGGACGCTGGTTGTAGGAGGGGAATCG</u>	
clbB-knockout-F	<u>GGATTAACACTGTTCAGTGATG</u> GACACTC	
	CTTATTTGATTTT	Deletion of gene <i>clbB</i> from
	TAAGCGCACGTAACTCAATAGGATCACCC	pCAP01-clb ΔclbPΔclbQΔclbS
clbB-knockout-R	AGCACCGTACCGGTGCCGTGC TTGGTCTG	
	ACAGTTACCAAT	
	AACGGCATGGAAATCGCCATTATTGGTAT	
<i>clbC</i> -knockout-F	<u>GGCGGTCCGTTTCCCGCAGTC</u> GACACTCC	
	TTATTTGATTTT	Deletion of gene <i>clbC</i> from
	ACTCCTGCGGCTGTATCGGGATATAAAAC	pCAP01-clb ΔclbPΔclbQΔclbS
<i>clbC</i> -knockout-R	<u>GGTGAATGCGCCAGATCCAGC</u> TTGGTCTG	
	ACAGTTACCAAT	
albDEE knockout	TGCAGGAGTAATGGGAACTGGCGTCGCT	
E	CATAACATGGCGCAATACGGCAGACACT	
-1,	CCTTATTTGATTTT	Deletion of gene <i>clbDEF</i> from
albDEE knockout	TTTCTTTTTCCCGTTCAGCCGCCAACCGTC	pCAP01-clb ΔclbPΔclbQΔclbS
D	GCCATCCTGCTGTAATTCTGTTGGTCTGA	
-K	CAGTTACCAAT	
	GTGGACGCTGGTTGTAGGAGGGGAATCG	
<i>clbG</i> -knockout-F	<u>GGATTAACACTGTTCAGTGATG</u> GACACTC	
	CTTATTTGATTTT	Deletion of gene <i>clbG</i> from
	TAAGCGCACGTAACTCAATAGGATCACCC	pCAP01-clb ΔclbPΔclbQΔclbS
<i>clbG</i> -knockout-R	AGCACCGTACCGGTGCCGTGCTTGGTCTG	
	ACAGTTACCAAT	
	ACGCGGAGAATCTGTCGCACTGCAACTGC	
<i>clbH</i> -knockout-F	CTTTTTGTTTCGAATTGATTAGACACTCCT	
	TATTTGATTTT	Deletion of gene <i>clbH</i> from
	TTGATGGTAGTGAAGCGCAGCAGGTCAA	pCAP01-clb ΔclbPΔclbQΔclbS
<i>clbH</i> -knockout-R	CCAACGCCACGTGCTGACCGCA TTGGTCT	
	GACAGTTACCAAT	
	ATAGCTATCATTGGGATGGCGGGGGCGTTT	
clbI-knockout-F	<u>CCCTCAAGCCGATACGGTACA</u> GACACTCC	
	TTATTTGATTTT	Deletion of gene <i>clbI</i> from
	<u>GCTGTTATCGGAAAACGCCCGACAGTGG</u>	pCAP01-clb ΔclbPΔclbQΔclbS
<i>clbI</i> -knockout-R	<u>CCATCGGCGGCGGTGATCCCAC</u> TTGGTCT	
	GACAGTTACCAAT	
	<u>GATCATGTGGCCCGCGCCCTGTTAGCGCT</u>	
<i>clbJ</i> -knockout-F	<u>GGGCGTGCAGCATGGCGACCG</u> GACACTC	
	CTTATTTGATTTT	Deletion of gene <i>clbJ</i> from
	AAATAGCTCAGCAATAGGTACCGTAACCT	pCAP01- <i>clb</i> Δ <i>clbP</i> Δ <i>clbQ</i> Δ <i>clbS</i>
<i>clbJ</i> -knockout-R	TAAAAATCTCCTCAATACGGCTTGGTCTG	
	ACAGTTACCAAT	

	<u>GTACACGGCATTTTACGACTGGGTGCGGT</u>	
<i>clbK</i> -knockout-F	CTATCTGCCAGTGGATCCGGTGACACTCC	
	TTATTTGATTTT	Deletion of gene <i>clbK</i> from
	CTGCCCGATAATCGCCTCAAGTGCCTGCT	pCAP01-clb $\Delta clbP\Delta clbQ\Delta clbS$
<i>clbK</i> -knockout-R	GAATACGCACCAATTCTATAGTTGGTCTG	-
	ACAGTTACCAAT	
	TGGCTCACTGGATATTGCCATTATTGGCA	
<i>clbO</i> -knockout-F	TGAGCGGGCGTTTTTCCGGTGGACACTCC	
	TTATTTGATTTT	Deletion of gene <i>clbO</i> from
	TGTGCGGCGCACATGCCGGTGCGAAAAC	pCAP01-clb $\Delta clbP\Delta clbO\Delta clbS$
clbO-knockout-R	CCGGTGCAGAGCTTCAAGCTCATTGGTCT	
	GACAGTTACCAAT	
	ACACGTTAGCATTAAAACATTATATCATC	
<i>clbPQS</i> -knockout	TCCTGTGCTGTATGCTGCTCTCTCACGTTA	
-F	AGGGATTTTGG	Deletion of genes <i>clbP</i> & <i>clbQ</i> &
	GGCAAACGGTAAGCACCCCGCTATTCTGC	<i>clbS</i> from <i>E. coli</i> DH10B harboring
<i>clbPQS</i> -knockout	AAGACATTTCTGCAGTTTATTGCTCATGAG	pCAP01-clb
-R	CTCAGCCAATC	
<b>Colony PCRs for a</b>	correct insert check	
clbB-knockout		
check-F	GCAACGCCGIGICCACCACGA	Colony PCR for correct insert
clbB-knockout		check ( <i>clbB</i> knockout)
check-R	IGCGGCGACCGAGIIGCICII	
clbC-knockout		
check-F	IGGCGCGICACIAICCGCAAGIG	Colony PCR for correct insert
clbC-knockout	TOCOCOLOCACTTCOTOTT	check ( <i>clbC</i> knockout)
check-R	IGUGGUGAUGAGIIGUIUII	
clbDEF-knockout		
check-F	ACUCACCATTATCAGOCACO	Colony PCR for correct insert
clbDEF-knockout	TCCCCCACCACTCCTCT	check ( <i>clbDEF</i> knockout)
check-R	ICCOCCACCOACTICETETT	
<i>clbG</i> -knockout	GCAACGCCGTGTCCACCACGA	
check-F	UCAACUCCUTUTCEACEACUA	Colony PCR for correct insert
<i>clbG</i> -knockout	TGCGGCGACCGAGTTGCTCTT	check ( <i>clbG</i> knockout)
check-R	locolocaliceanormeren	
<i>clbH</i> -knockout	GCACCTGGTGGCGCAGTGGA	
check-F	Serverseresereseres	Colony PCR for correct insert
<i>clbH</i> -knockout	TGCGGCGACCGAGTTGCTCTT	check ( <i>clbH</i> knockout)
check-R		
<i>clbI</i> -knockout	GCAGCAATACATCGGGCAGCAGTG	
check-F		Colony PCR for correct insert
<i>clbI</i> -knockout	TGCGGCGACCGAGTTGCTCTT	check ( <i>clbI</i> knockout)
check-R		
<i>clbJ</i> -knockout	GATCGAGTTGGCTGGGGGAGTTGCA	
check-F		Colony PCR for correct insert
<i>clbJ</i> -knockout	TGCGGCGACCGAGTTGCTCTT	check ( <i>clbJ</i> knockout)
check-R		

<i>clbK</i> -knockout check-F	CTCCTGCACGCCCTAGCCCAG	Colony PCR for correct insert	
<i>clbK</i> -knockout check-R	TGCGGCGACCGAGTTGCTCTT	check ( <i>clbK</i> knockout)	
<i>clbO</i> -knockout check-F	TGCGGCATGCACCGGAAGACT	Colony PCR for correct insert	
<i>clbO</i> -knockout check-R	TGCGGCGACCGAGTTGCTCTT	check (clbO knockout)	
<i>clbPQS</i> -knockout check-F	CGCTGTTGGGCACTCTTTGGCAA	Colony PCR for correct insert	
<i>clbPQS</i> -knockout check-R	CGAGTGAGGTGGCAGGGGCAAT	check ( <i>clbPQS</i> knockout)	
<b>Protein expression</b>	for <i>in vivo</i> complementation assay		
<i>clbS</i> -BamHI-F	agtgagt <b>GGATCC</b> CTGTTCCATCATCAAAAG AA	Amplification of gene <i>clbS</i> for	
clbS-HindIII-R	agtgagtAAGCTTTTCTGCAAGACATTTCTGC AG	protein expression, inserted into the expression vector pETDuet-1	
<b>Protein overexpres</b>	sion		
<i>clbR</i> -BamHI-F	agtgagtGGATCCATGGGGGGGAAACATG	Amplification of gene <i>clbR</i> for	
clbR-HindIII-R	agtgagt <b>AAGCTT</b> GATAATCTCATTCCTGTTA G	protein expression, inserted into the expression vector pETDuet-1	
<b>Protein expression</b>			
<i>clbP</i> -BamHI-F	agtgagt <b>GGATCC</b> ATGACAATAATGGAACAC GTTAGC	Amplification of gene <i>clbP</i> for	
<i>clbP</i> -XhoI-R	agtgagt <b>CTCGAG</b> TTACTCATCGTCCCACTCC TTG	protein expression, inserted into the expression vector pET28a(+)	

Compound	Protein	AT Type	Accession Number
albicidin	AlbI1	trans-AT	AJ586576
albicidin	AlbI2	trans-AT	AJ586576
amicoumacin	AmiI	cis-AT	SRS606572
amicoumacin	AmiK	cis-AT	SRS606572
amicoumacin	AmiL	cis-AT	SRS606572
amicoumacin	AmiM	cis-AT	SRS606572
antimycin	AntD	cis-AT	AP017424
bengamide	BenA	cis-AT	KP143770
bengamide	BenB	cis-AT	KP143770
bengamide	BenC	cis-AT	KP143770
bleomycin	BlmVIII	cis-AT	AF210249
calyculin	CalC	trans-AT	AB933566
calyculin	CalE	trans-AT	AB933566
calyculin	CalF	trans-AT	AB933566
carbamidocyclophane	CabD	cis-AT	KT826756
carbamidocyclophane	CabH	cis-AT	KT826756
chejuenolide	CheC	trans-AT	DI339174
chejuenolide	CheF	trans-AT	DI339174
chivosazol	ChiD	trans-AT	DQ065771
chivosazol	ChiE	trans-AT	DQ065771
chivosazol	ChiF	trans-AT	DQ065771
colibactin	ClbB	cis-AT	AE014075
colibactin	ClbC	trans-AT	AE014075
colibactin	ClbI	cis-AT	AE014075
colibactin	ClbK	trans-AT	AE014075
colibactin	ClbO	trans-AT	AE014075
didemnin	DidE	trans-AT	NC_017958
didemnin	DidG	trans-AT	NC_017958
disorazol	DisA	trans-AT	AJ874112
disorazol	DisB	trans-AT	AJ874112
disorazol	DisC	trans-AT	AJ874112
epothilone	EpoC	cis-AT	AF217189
epothilone	EpoD	cis-AT	AF217189
epothilone	ЕроЕ	cis-AT	AF217189
hygrocin	HgcA	cis-AT	CP023992
hygrocin	HgcC	cis-AT	CP023992
hygrocin	HgcE	cis-AT	CP023992
laidlomycin	LadSIII	cis-AT	JQ793783
laidlomycin	LadSIV	cis-AT	JQ793783
laidlomycin	LadSV	cis-AT	JQ793783
leinamycin	LnmI	trans-AT	AF484556
leinamycin	LnmJ1	trans-AT	AF484556
leinamycin	LnmJ2	trans-AT	AF484556

**Supplementary Table 6.** Accession numbers and descriptions of ketosynthase domains and polyketide synthases used for alignment analysis.

meridamycin	MerA	cis-AT	DQ351275
meridamycin	MerB	cis-AT	DQ351275
meridamycin	MerC	cis-AT	DQ351275
nigericin	NigAII	cis-AT	CP023992
nigericin	NigAIV	cis-AT	CP023992
nigericin	NigAVI	cis-AT	CP023992
nostophycin	NpnA	cis-AT	JF430079
nostophycin	NpnB	cis-AT	JF430079
oxazolomycin	OzmH	trans-AT	EF552687
oxazolomycin	OzmJ	trans-AT	EF552687
oxazolomycin	OzmK	trans-AT	EF552687
oxazolomycin	OzmN	trans-AT	EF552687
oxazolomycin	OzmQ	trans-AT	EF552687
patellazole	PtzA	trans-AT	CP003539
pyxipyrrolone	РухА	trans-AT	KY765914
pyxipyrrolone	РухС	trans-AT	KY765914
pyxipyrrolone	PyxD	trans-AT	KY765914
rhizopodin	RizB	trans-AT	FR854394
rhizopodin	RizD	trans-AT	FR854394
rhizopodin	RizE	trans-AT	FR854394
rhizoxin	RhiB	trans-AT	AM411073
rhizoxin	RhiD	trans-AT	AM411073
rhizoxin	RhiE	trans-AT	AM411073
rifamycin	RifA	cis-AT	AF040570
rifamycin	RifC	cis-AT	AF040570
rifamycin	RifE	cis-AT	AF040570
tallysomycin	TlmVIII	cis-AT	EF032505
tautomycetin	TmcA	cis-AT	DQ983361
tautomycetin	TmcB	cis-AT	DQ983361
tiancimycin	TnmE	cis-AT	KT716443
xenocoumacin	XcnF	cis-AT	CCWM01000217
xenocoumacin	XcnH	cis-AT	CCWM01000217
xenocoumacin	XcnL	cis-AT	CCWM01000217
yersiniabactin	HMWP1	cis-AT	AE014075
zwittermicin	ZmaA1	trans-AT	FJ430564
zwittermicin	ZmaA2	cis-AT	FJ430564
zwittermicin	ZmaK	cis-AT	FJ430564

albicidin-AlbI1	IAIVAMHCEVPGAGENTEALWSFLRSDVNAIRPIESTRPDLWAAMRAYPGLAGEQLPRYAGFLDDVDAFDAAFFGISRREAECMDPQQRKVLEMVWKLIEQAGHDPLSWGGQPVGLFVGAHTSDYGELLASQPQLMAQCGAYIDSGSHLTMIPNRASRWFNFTGPSEVINSACSSSLVALHRAVQSLRQGESSVALVLGVNLILAPKVLLASASAGMLSPDGRCKTLDAAADGFVRSEGI
	AGVILKPLAQALADGDRVYGLVRGVAVNHGGRSNSLRAPNVNAQRQLLIRTYQEAGVEPASVGYVELHGTGTSLGDPIEIQALKEAFIALGAQAAPSNCGIGSVKSALGHLEAAAGLTGLIKVLLMLKHGEQAGTRHFSTLNPLIDLRGTSFEVVAQHRAWPSQVGIHGTLLPRRAGISSFGFGGANAHAIVEEH
albicidin-AlbI2	AIIGLAGRFPGADTLEEFWNNLRNGQSSMGEVPGERWDHQHYFDSERQAPGKTYSRWGAFLRDIDGFDAAFFEWPDSVALESDPQARIFLEQAYAGIEDAGYTPGSLSKSQRVGVFVGVMNGYYSGGARFWQIANRVSYQFDFRGPSLAVDTACSASLTAIHLALESLRSGSCEVALAGGVNLLVDPQQYLNLAGAAMLSAGASCRPFGEAADGFVAGEACGVVLLKPLKQARADGDV
	IHAVIRGSMINAGGHTSAFSSPNPAAQAEVVRQALQRAGVAPDSISYIEAHGTGTVLGDAVELGALNKVFDKRAAPCPIGSLKANIGHAESAAGIAGLAKLVLQFRHGELVPSLNAFPLNPYIEFGRFQVQQQPAPWPRRGAQPRRAGLSAFGAGGSNAHLVVEEAP
amicoumacin-	IAIIGMAGRFPGAKNIDEFWHNLQNGKESISFFTDEELFAEGIDEQTFARTDYVRAKGIIDGPDLFDASFFGYSPGQAEVMDPQIRLLHEYVWKTLEDAGCVSAEYEGKIGLFTGTTSNFQWLQHFADSLDGRMSELFEIGSLNDTYTISTRVAHKLNLKGPAITLQTACSTSLVALHLACKSVLNGESDMALAGGVSILHPVKSGYIYQENMVKSPDGHCRAFDKDAKGTVGGDGVGFVAVKS
AmiI	LSRALADGDRIYAVVKGSAVNNDGDQKVGFNAPSVEGQTEVIRDAIADAGIEPETISYVETHGTGTALGDPIEIEALTKAFQTNKTAFCRIGSVKTNIGHLDAAAGAAGLIKTVLSLQHQQLVPSLHFKEANPNIDFQNSPFLVNDKLRVWKRTNTPRRAGVSSFGMGGTNAHVILEEAP
amicoumacin-	IAVIGMACRFPGAKNIEEFWENIKTGRESITFFSKEELMEAGVPKEQLDHKNYVRAKGKVDLHDHFDAAFFGYSQREAEVMDPQVRMFHEVAWESLENAGYNPETYSQPIGLFGAASANLYWQASSMLLRSSSSSEQFAAVQLTDKDFMNTQISYKLNLKGPSIAVDTACSSSLTAVHLASRALLTGDCKMALAGGVTVTCPHKKGYMYQEGMIMSPDGHCRAFDEEAKGTVGGEGCGV
AmiK	VMLKTLKQALKDQDHIYAVIKGSAYNNDGSRKVGYTAPSIEGQADVIRKALNISRVESESISYVEAHGTGTSLGDPVEIEALKQAFQTEKKQFCAIGSVKTNIGHLDSAAGIAGFIKTALSLKARTLPPSLHYKKPNPKIDFENSPFYVSTSAKQWTASDRVFRAGVSSFGIGGTNVHVVMEEP
amicoumacin-	IAVVGMAGKFPGAANIDEYWSNISKGIESVTQFTDEELKEAGVDETLLRQEAYVKAKPIIDEVSDFDAEFFGYSPREAALMDPQIRLMHECTWEALEHAGCDAERFNGLIGLYAGASSNLSWMGRHMSSLHKNEDAFQIMHLNDSSFASRIAYKLNLKGPSVSVQTACSTSLVAIHMACQGLIGGECDLALAGGVTLSLPHISGYLYQEGMIYSADGHCRPFDEKANGTIFGEGAGVVALKRL
AmiL	KDAQADGDVIYAVIKGSAINNDGNNKAGYTAPSAEGQANVIATAMEMAETEPESIGYVEAHGTGTPVGDPIEIEALTRAYNTDKKAYCRIGSVKANIGHLDAASGVAGFIKTVMMLHHKMLPPAFHYQTSNPRIKFENTPFFVNTELTEWESLQGPRRAGVSAFGIGGANAHVVLEEP
amicoumacin-	IAVIGMAGRFPGAKNVQEFWSNLKNAKETISFFTDEELREEGVNEELLNDPRFVKAKGIVEDVDLFDAGFFDYTPKEAAMMDPQFRIFHECVWTALEDAGYDPFTYKGQIGLYTGAGLNAEWVLRALQGAKSGEDSKTLETAVLNMRDYMATLISYKLNLKGPSMMVQTACSTSMVSIHLAAQALLNGDCHMAVAGGVSIRLPQKSGYLYQEGMIHSPDGHCRVFDDEAAGTVFGDGA
AmiM	GAVILKTLEDAEADGDHIYAVIKGTAINNDGSRKVGYTAPSTKGQVTAIRTALHMAEVEPETITYVEAHGTGTTLGDPIEIEALKQAYQTDRRGYCRIGSVKSNIGHLNDASGVAGFMKAVLSLKHKVIPPTLNFEKPNAKIDFEQSPFVVNTKLTPWEENEFPRRAGVSSFGIGGTNAHVILEEAP
antimycin-Ant	VAVIGMAGRFPGADDLDAFWDNLAAGRASVRPVTDEEFLAAGGDPRDLDDPSLIRMASVVEGIDRFDSGFFGYTPAEAAVVDPQQRLLLETAYQALEDGGRLAPGTDNGSFGVYAGSGDSRYYPAHIHPRYAGQPGSIELVHAATANSLGTLATRISYELGLTGPSVSLQTACSTALVAVHTACQDLLDYRCDTALAAAVSLNPSALLGYRHVPGGPFSPDGYCRAFAADAAGTSSGDGVGA
D	VVLKRLDDALADGDRIRAVIRGSAVNNDGRRKVGFTAPSSVGQAEVILAAQAHAMVDAGTIGLVEAHGTATRLGDPIEVAALTEAFGQSTERRGYCALGSVKTNIGHLGAAAGIAGLIKAVLALERRQIPPSLHFERPNPLIDFAAGPFRVPTALEDWPDAGHPRRAAISAFGVGGTNAHVVLEEAP
bengamide-Ben	IAIVGLACRLPGAGNPSEFWELLRAGRSAVKPIPAERWNAERWPTPSRWAGFLDRVEPFEPAFFGISPREARLMDPQQWLMMKLAWEALGDAGLPPARLRGSMTGVFLGAVWHDFADVKLRKGEPVAQHSATGRALNMLANRVSYGFGFEGPSLVLDTACSSSLVAVHLAARSLRAGESSLALAGGVNLILSPETLLALSKFGGLSPGPTCWVFDERANGYVRGEGAAIVVLKRYSQALK
А	DGNHIYALVRGSAMNNDGASNGLTAPNPLAQQDVLRRACADARVHPSELQYVEAHGTGTPLGDPIEVSALGAVLSAERLPSEPLRIGSVKTNIGHLEGAAGIAGLLKLTLAMHHRELPPTLNFERPNPNIPFAALGVQVQTQRSPWPASSGSPLLGGVSSFGWGGTNCHVILQSA
bengamide-Ben	IAIVGMSCRFPGASSPSAFWQMLRNGTDGISEVPASRWPVDAFYDPDPDRPGKMASRWGGFIDGVDAFDAHFFRCSPAEAAGMDPQQRLFLDVAWEALEDAAQPLDELSGTQTGIFVGAMTNDYAQLQDATQGLKQIDAHYAIGTEFSFIAGRVAHLLGVRGPCMMLSTACSSSLVALHLATRSLRSGESDLSVVGGVNVILSPRMHVASSKLRVMAANGRCKTFDASADGYVRGEG
В	CGVVVLKRLSDAVKQGDRIHAVIRGTAVNHDGPSSGLTVPSSDAQAAVIRSALADAGADSATLTLLEAHGSGTPLGDAIEIEGIRRALGAGLEDRRPPLLVGSVKTNVGHLEAAAGMAGLFKVVLALRNGEVPPHLHLKQLNPQLNMSGLQVPVRLGPWPDAPERPLAGVSSFGLSGINAHVVVEAP
bengamide-Ben	IAVIGIAGRFPGARDVQAFWNNLRSGVESIRFFSEEELLGQGVPAELLRNPNYVRAKGILSDVDCFDAGFFGISPAEARLMDPQQRLLIECAWEVLEDAGYAPGQLQERVGMHVGVGSNRYASEHVWPSLKGEHWLEHVEAAIGNEKDTAATRIAYLLDMKGPCFTVQTFCSSSLVAVHQAVSSLLNQECDIALAGGAYVEVPHVVGYLHREGSFTSPDGHCRAFDAGAAGTPFGSAVALV
С	ALKRLEDALEDNDAIYGVIKGSAINNDGSQRAGYAAPSADGQAQVISEALGMAGAEPESISYVEAHGTGTSLGDPIEISALTRALSRGGRPHPGCLLGSVKTNIGHTDRASGVVSLIKVLQALAHGEIPPTLHFSKPNPAIDFGPFEVPTRLREWPRRDTPRRAGVSSFGLGGTNAHVIVEEAP
bleomycin-Blm	IAVISLGGRFPGADRVDRLWTNLLDREDAISHFTADERLARGRDPELVRHPRFVGAEGVLGDVSLFDAEFFGCSPREAEVMDPQHRLCLEEAWHVFDTAGYDPAATGTAVGVFLSASLSSYLIRNVLPGGAAQRLLGGFPLLIHNDKDFLATTVSHKLGLTGPSYAVGSACSSSLVAVHLACQSLLTEECDMALAGGVSLQVPQGQGVVHADDGIYSPDGRCAPFDAGAAGTVGGSGVGLVLL
VIII	KRLADAVRDGDRVHAVILGSAVNNDGADKVGYTAPGVTGQSAVVAEALAVAGISAATVGVLEAHGTGTRLGDPVEVAALTRAFRAHTDRSGFCALGSVKANVGHLDAAAGVTGLIKAVLAVREGVIPGTPHYRSPNPAIDFATTPFYVTADTLAWPEADHPRRAGVSSFGIGGTNAHVILEQAP
calyculin-CalC	IAVIGLSGRYPGAPSPEVFWENLKNGVDSISEIPRERWDYRTLPDGAIARWGGFIDDVDCFDPLFFNISPREAERMDPQERLFLETVWHAVEDAGYSREALEAAFAGRVGVFTGAMYGEYQFFSAPLSESGFAISTSYGSIANRVSYIFNLHGPSLAIDSLCSSSLTALHLAVESIRRGECLAAIAGGVNLSLHPNKYLLLAEMQMASADGRCRSFGAGGTGMVPGEGVGAVLLKPLAQAERDG
	DRIYGMIRASAINHDGRTNGYTVPNPNAQAEMIESALARAGTCPEWISYVEAHGTGTPLGDPIEIAGLTRAFATDKTGYCALGSVKSNIGHPEAAAGIAGLTKILLQMQHCQLVPSLHAEETNPSIDFARTPFTLQRECCEWKRPTIDGREIPRLACISSFGAGGANAHAVIEEY
calyculin-CalE	IAIVGVGGFFPGAENFHDFWGKILAGQDLISEMPEPRRSSVYTVYEQEISDLNGLYGGFIKNADRFDADFFQYRHEEVMAMDLQQRLFLEATWATLEDAGYHPLSLSEQQVGVYVGAIGNDYRAFLEDCGYPIDMFYEGTGASLAGIANRTSYFYNFNGPSQIVDTACCSSLYAVDMAVQAIRQSLCETAIAGGVSSICTATGFHMYAAMDVLSKDWRCKTFSDEGDGWSKGEMVAAVYLK
-	PYDKALRDRDHIYGLIRSSGTNHGGRAFFYTQPNNSKHVALIKDVYRKAGVDPRDVAYIEAHGSGTKMGDALEYNGISRALKELAREADVGLDNGRCGIGSIKSNIGHTEAAAGIAGLLKALMILHDGQIPASLHIDRENENIAKASPLYLTRERQPLPPARIAGRPRHAGVHSFNFSGATVHALLAE
calyculin-CalF	IAIIGLAGTYPHAPNLEFFWQNLLEGRDCIDEIPAERWSLDFFDPDPKAAAQNGRSYGKWGGFIENLYHFDPLFFGISPREAVIMNPKEPLVMQCAWHVLEDAGYSPDGLSDKTVGVFIGVTRAGTDPYPGTFASMTNRISYAFDFQGPSFPIDTMCSSSLVAIHEACRHLRDGECSVALAGGVNAYVDPSHYAVLAAGQFLSPDGRCRSFGADANGMVPGEGVGVLLLKPLSRAEQDGDH
calyculin-CalF	
calyculin-CalF Carbamidocycl	IAIIGLAGTYPHAPNLETFWQNLLEGRDCIDEIPAERWSLDFFDPDPKAAAQNGRSYGKWGGFIENLYHFDPLFFGISPREAVIMNPKEPLVMQCAWHVLEDAGYSPDGLSDKTVGVFIGVTRAGTDPYPGTFASMTNRISYAFDFQGPSFPIDTMCSSSLVAIHEACRHLRDGECSVALAGGVNAVVDPSHYAVLAAGQFLSPDGRCRSFGADANGMVPGEGVGVLLLKPLSRAEQDGDH IYAVIRGSATNHGGRTNGFTVPNPKAHRDLIRLALDRAGVDARQVSYVEAHGTGTTLGDPIEIRGLAQAFAYDTEDTGFCSIGSVKSNIGHLEAAAGISGITKILLQMKHGSLVPSLHSDSLNRQIDFPSTPFFVQQDVEPWNPTDENGDPKARIACVSSFGAGGSNAHVVLEAY IAIIGIGVRFPGAQNPESFWHLLREGVDAITEIPPERWNVDEFYDPKPATPGKMITRCGGFLDDVGSFDAGFFGISPREAECIDPQQRLVLEVAWEALENAGIAPHTLNSSQTGVFVGIGNHDYGKLQSWQFSRLNAYDGTGRTLGVAANRLSVVLNLQGPSLVVETSCSSSLVAVHYACQSLRTKESNLCLVGGVSVMLSPESHIIFSQAKMMAPDGRCKTFDASADGYVRGEGCGVVVLKR

## **Supplementary Table 7.** Amino acid sequences of ketosynthase domains of 80 polyketide synthases used for homology analysis.

carbamidocyclo	IAIIGISCRYPGAKNWREFWENLKNGVDSVTEVPPGRWQEKEWYHPDPQHPGTSYSKCAGFLDEIDKFDPLFFQISPVEAQFIEPQQRIFLEEAYHAIEDAGYAADSLKGKQCGVFVGAGTNGDYNRLLSLAGLDTNRLALTGNLLSMIPARIAYFLDLKGPVVALDTACSSSLVAVHQACESIQRGESELAIAGGIAIMSTADFQVLSSQFQMLSAAGRCKTFDESASGTVWSEGCGVVLLKSY
phane-CabH	EQAIRDNDHIYGIIKGTGVNYDGNTNGISAPSGQSQTRLEQGVYEKFGINPETISYVEAHGTATPLGDPIEVEALTEAFSKWTSKKQFCAIGSVKTNIGHAATSAGISGLIKTVLCLKHQKLVPSLHYDQANPHIDFENSPFYVNTEFKDWQVSDRHPRRATVSSFGFSGTNAHLVVEEAP
chejuenolide-C	IAIVGMAARLPKAQDVARFWENLRNGRDCTDVIPAERWKQEGFLSEAPLNGRGSYTNRGAFIDDVDAFDHVFFNLTPNEAARMDPQERIMLEQTYRSMLDAGYTRKQWAGSDTAVFVGVMNGDYAWHTPAQTTTAPATSLFWSMANRASYFFDWRGPSMAVDTACSASLTALHLACQALKNGDCEQAVVGGVNLITHPRHYELLCGLHMLSRSEQCKPFGANADGFVDGEGVVC
heC	LVVKRAEDAIRDKDRVYAFIKGSAINAGGRSNGYTAPNPEAQAALIGKALRAAGVSPQEVGYVEAHGTGTELGDPIELRALSKSYGDAAPQSIRLGSVKSNLGHLESAAGLCGVLKAVLQMHHGEWVPSLHAEQLNPHLDFTQTPFLLNRERRVWDATAPRVSAVSSFGAGGGNAHVVIQ
chejuenolide-C	IAIIGMAGEFPNGATPEAFWRSLAAGEDAVRVIPQSRWDWRRDYSASTAQGEATYGRHGGFMEGVAEFDPAFFNIAPVDAALLDPQERRFLQVSYHALEDAGYFVSPSKDVGVFAAAMFGHYQDLDASRVVSSSFAAIANRVSYAFDLQGPSLTVDTMCSGSLTALHMACNSLRLGECRMALSGGVNIMAHPGKYRLLSQGKFLSASGHCHAFGVEADGYVPGEGAAVVVLKSVADAL
heF	RDQDVIYAIVRATAINSGGKTSSFTVPSARAQQRVIQDALRKSGVNPAQVNYIEAHGTGTGLGDPIELQALQSAYGANLEGADTPCYLGSVKSNIGHLESAAAMAGLFKVVQQFAHEQLAPTLHCAIENPYLNIEQTRFQLVREKQPWPLAGAATRFAGLSSFGAGGANGHVILQQY
chivosazol-Chi	IAIIGVAGRYPGADDLREFWQNLREGKDCITEIPADRWDHAAWYDPDRSKLGRIHNKWGGFLRGIDLFDPMFFRISPREAEFMDPQERLFLEVAWSTFEDAGYTRELLQRRHGGCVGVFAGVMYSEYPFYGVEATLRGRPVAVGLGYGSIANRVSFVMDLNGPSLSVDTLCSSSLTCLHLAVESLRRGECAMALAGGVNLSLHPNKYLQHSLFKMTAPDGRCRSFGEGGDGFTPGEGVGC
D	VLLKPLSHALRDGDPIHGVIRGVAVNHGGRSSGYTVPSAKAQAALVKAAVARAGIPARSIGYIEAHGTGTPLGDPIELEGLNQAFQGAAEPGFSCPIGSLKSNMGHLEAAAGVAGLTKVLLQMKHGELAPSLHAEALNPNIDWTATPFFVQRRPAPWERATVEVDGRSQVLPRRAGISSFGAGGANAHVIVEEAP
chivosazol-Chi	IAVIGLSGRFPGSPDLDAFWDNLAAGRDCITEVPKDRWDPDRIGGSAAQFRWGGFLDDIDKFDPLFFKISGREAELSDPQQRLFLEEAWKALEGAGYTPEALDRARCGVYAGALDGNYQAFMSPKDLDEPQTLWANDTSVIAARIAYFLNLKGPAITINGACSSSLIAIHMACQALWSGEVDLMLAGGVCLMTSHHFHEVAGKAGMLSPSGRCRTFDDGADGFVPGEGVGVVLLKRLDD
Е	ALRDGDPIRAVIAGSGVNQDGRTNGITAPSALSQAELEARIYRDFDVHPESITLVEAHGTGTRLGDPIEVNALTDAFRTLTSKTGYCAIGSVKTNIGHTALASGVAGFIKAVLAMERGQIPPSLHFRGANEHIDFANSPFFVNTELRPWRPSGPRRAAISSFGMSGTNAHVVLEEP
chivosazol-Chi	IAVIGVSGRYPMADDLDAFWENLAAGRDCITEVPADRFPVDAYYDPEVGKLGKTYTRWGGFLSDVDRFDPLVFNIAPREAELMDPQERLFLETAWATLENAGYARGALAKRRVGVFAGVMWGQYQLLGAERAGRSTVLPASIFASIANRVSYCFDWRGPSLAVDTMCSSSLTAIHLACESIRRGECEMALAGGVNVTVHPMKYLYLSQGRMVSTDGRCRGFGQGGDGYVPGEGVGAVL
F	LKPLSRALEDGDHIHAVIKGTAINHGGRSSGYTVPNPEAHGALIRDALSAAGFEPESVSYVEAHGTGTSLGDPIEMTGLAAAFPGLPARSRALGTVKSNIGHLEAAAGIAALTKVLLQLEHRQLAPSLHADPPNPNIDFDASPFHVQRELAAWQPPEVPGQQGRARYPRRAGISSFGAGGNNVHVLLEEH
colibactin-ClbB	VAIVGMAGRFPGAANIAALWTLVVGGESGLTLFSDEELRAHGVTPDTLKQANYIKTKGIVDDHEWFDADFFGYTPNEAECMDPQIRLLHQCCWQTLEHAGCDPATFTGAIGIYAGLLTSPHWLNAVMQDTTDSTALYKASILNIHSVTALIAHALNLTGPAVTLDTACSTSAVAIHQACIALRNRDCDAALAGGVSIEMPAYRGYEYHEGMINARDGVCRPFDSQASGTVTGDGLGMLLLKR
	LDDALADRDCIYGVIKGSAVNNDGNNKIGYTAPSVIGQSTVIRTSLRRAGFDSDSIGLVEAHGTGTVLGDPIELRALNEVFGPTPVPFCVVSALKSNIGHLNSAAGVAGVIKTTLALHHQVLPPTAHFRQLNPAIDLSRSALYVNQQVQPWPSTRPRRALVSSFGIGGTNASIALEA
colibactin-ClbC	IAIIGMAVRFPQSRTLHEFWHNIVQGKECVTFFSEEELLAEGVEQSTLDNPAYVRAKPYIEGICDFDAAFFGYSHKEAQTLDPKSRVLHEVAYHALEDAGYAQRTSDLITGVFVGASEDVDWLRRSLSQIGGDALNRFESGIYGHKDLLAHLIAYSLNLNGPVYSLYTSCSTSLSATHIACRSLLFGECDLALAGGITIDLPQKSGYFCQQGMIHSTDGHCRPFDSQASGTLFGDGAGVVVLRRLED
	ALAAGDRIYAVIRGSAVNNDGKQKIGFVAPGHEGQKAVICAACHLAEVSPESIGYVETHGTGTRIGDPIEFAALTEAFDTSHRQYCALGAVKANIGHTHAAAGVAGLIKTALVLHHRTIPPLANYQMPNSKLDLAHSPFYIPIQPQEWPASRMPPRAGVSSFGIGGTNVHMILEG
colibactin-ClbI	IAIIGMAGRFPQADTVQAFWENLLASRECISFYSDEELLAMGISPEFVQHPDYVKAKGEVADIDKFDAAFFGIAPREAELMDPQHRVLLETAWAAFEDAGYVAADYPGDVGIFAGKSMDSYLMLNLMPHFKRVFSSGSLQAAIGNDKDSITTTIAYHLNLRGPAITVQTSSSTSLVAVCVACQSLLTWQCDMAIAGGVTLGPPAKTGYLSQEGGITAADGHCRAFSDNSSGFVPGTGAGLVVL
	KRVDEALRDGDNIYAVIKGFAVNNDGSEKISYTAPSVDAQARAIAQAQRLAGLTPQDITYVEAHGTGTRLGDPVEFSALSQAFAGASQKQYCALGSVKTNIGHLDTAAGVAGLIKTALAVQQGIIPATLHFERPNAQIDLTNSPFYINTTCQPWQPESGIRRAGVTSLGMGGTNAHVVLEQAP
colibactin-Clb	IAIVGMNCRYPGVHSVAAFETVLRTGCNILDPKVTPSNGHNHITLNNVYEHMAEFDANFFGYSRAEAEIMDPQQRVFLTCAWEMFEQSGYNPKQHDARVGLYAGVSTSFYLLTHLMNNPDKLAQLGGLQIMVGNDKDHLTSQLAYRLNITGPCVTVQASCATSLVAVHLACEGLLSGQCDMALAGGVTFRMEEQRSYESHGDGLQAEDGLIHTFDAQASGTVYSSGLGMVLLKRATDA
Κ	QVQGDNILAVIKGSAINNDGGARSGYTVPGVDGQEAVMIEAHSLAEVTPQQIQYLELHGSGTPLGDAIEFAAIKRVFGTPAPNATPWRLGAVKPNVGHVEMASGITSLIKTVLSLTNRVFYPTLNFQRANPQLGLEDSPFEVVSRLTPWPEGTTPRTAGVSAFGLGGTNAHLVVQA
colibactin-Clb	IAIIGMSGRFSGAESVPEWWDKLLAGEEFTQPTCTEDDNGNPWIRLRNIITAPYDFDAAFFNIPPGEALLMDPQQRIFLECCYNALEHAGYIPTQLKRVGVYGATYANNYFIDRVYPYLKMSGDHHYLQAQIGNEKDYLCAQVAYKLGFTGPAVSVQTACSSSLVAAYLACEGLLTFQADVALAGGVTLGFLQAHGYSPQGDKLVSQDGHCAPFSAEATGTVYSSGAGVVVLKRLEDALRDQD
0	RVYAVIKGGAVNNDGGRRLGFVAPSVEGQVEAINTALAAAEVVPTDIALIETHGTGTPLGDEIELEALHRVFAPACAPHSIQLGAVKANLGHLGVASGIVSLMKTALTLYTGLVPPQINLVNKHKKLLQPASPFYLSDVVTSVPQTKRIHATVSSFGLGGTNAHLVLQN
didemnin-DidE	IAIIGMACRVPGADGPEALARLLAEGREGIADLPADDGIDDPRWVRRKGLLADPFGFDAGLFGHAPREAALIDPQARLLLELGWEALERAGQPPRATEGQGRTAVYAGAGISTYLLTHLLNNPAAADLATPFEAVLANSGDSLVTRIAYELDLRGPAVDVQTACSTSLVAVHLAVQALIAGECRMALAGGASIDLPAPAGYWYAPGMILSPDGHCRAFAADAAGTVPASGGGMVVLKRLVDA
didemnin-DidG	IAVTGLACRAIGVDGPDALRRVLLEGRDVLARHDAADAAALGVPAALARDPRVVGTAAMLPGQESFDHALFGLSLREAELLDPQHRLFLELCREALEDAAWLAPAAGRRVGVFGGCGSPIYLMTRLAGAGAALEAAGATALSFAAKPDFLSLRVAYRLDLRGPAMTVQTGCSTGMSVVQAAVAALAAGECEAALAGAACLTRLDPAGYLHHPGAIESPTGRCRPFDAAADGTVGGSGGAV
	VVLKRLADAERDGDHVHAVIRAVAITNDGGAKIGFTAPGEAAQAEAVARAFRASGIHPDTIGCVEAHGTATALGDPIEVAALTRGFRAAGGTRLAHAALGSVKGNLGHADAAAGMLSIAKAVLSVAHGEIYPTANTTRANPELRLAETPFRLPTAPEAWPVADHPRRMAVNCYGMGGTNLHAILEQAP
disorazol-DisA	
	AVLLKPLEQALRDGDHVYGIVRGSAINHGGRATGFTVPDPEAQARLVFDALRRARVSPDQLSYIECHGTGTALGDPVEIAGLSKAFRMAGATRTSIPIGSVKSNLGHLEAAAGIAALIKVLLCMQHQAIPKSLHSDVKNPNIRFEEVPFEVVNETRSWQGDGGAPRFAGVSSFGAGGSNAHVILESY
disorazol-DisB	IAIVGLSGRYPMAPDLDAFWENLAAGRDCVVEIPADRWDHGRYFDPNPGAAGKSYSKWGGFLDDVDRFDPLFFNIAPREAEAMDPQERVFLEVAWHALEDAGYARSPLANRATGVFVGVMYGHYQLFGAEALALDRPVSAGSSFASIANRVSYFFDFRGPSVALDTMCSSSLTAIHLACAALQRGEIEMALAGGVNLSLHPQKYILLSRGKFMATDGRCRSFGEGGDGYVPGEGAGAV
	VLKRLDRAIADGDRIHGVVKASALNHGGKTSGYTVPNPSAQADVVAAALAQSGVDPRTITYVEAHGTGTSLGDPIEIAGLTRAFEASPKERPTCAIGSVKSNVGHLESAAGVAGLTKVLLQMAHEQLVPSIHADPPNPNINFAESPFRVQRELGPWRAPVDERGQRLPLRAGLSSFGAGGANAHLVLEAY

disorazol-DisC	IAVIGLAGRYPGADTPRQLWRALRSGQSAVTRPPAGRFGASAPQGDEPRGGGASPGWGGYLERLDRFDSLFFGISPAEAKLMDPQERLFIEVAWECLEDAGYTPEELRRAAPRVGVFVGAMWSDYQSVGLEAWQRDRRAKAVAFHSSIANRISYLFDLHGPSVAIDTSCSSGLTALHLASRSLRLGECDVALVGGVNLLGHPFHPDLLEGLNLTSRDDKTRAFGAGGSGWVPGEGVGAVL
epothilone-Epo	IAVIGMSGRFPGARDLDEFWRNLRDGTEAVQRFSEQELAASGVDPALVLDPNYVRAGSVLEDVDRFDAAFFGISPREAELMDPQHRIFMECAWEALENAGYDPTAYEGSIGVYAGANMSSYLTSNLHEHPAMMRWPGWFQTLIGNDKDYLATHVSYRLNLRGPSISVQTACSTSLVAVHLACMSLLDRECDMALAGGITVRIPHRAGYVAEGGIFSPDGHCRAFDAKANGTIMGNGC
С	GVVLLKPLDRALSDGDPVRAVILGSATNNDGARKIGFTAPSEVGQAQAIMEALALAGVEARSIQYIETHGTGTLLGDAIETAALRRVFDRDASTRRSCAIGSVKTGIGHLESAAGIAGLIKTVLALEHRQLPPSLNFESPNPSIDFASSPFYVNTSLKDWNTGSTPRRAGVSSFGIGGTNAHVVLEEAP
epothilone-Epo	IAIVGAACRFPGGVEDLESYWQLLTEGVVVSTEVPADRWNGADGRGPGSGEAPRQTYVPRGGFLREVETFDAAFFHISPREAMSLDPQQRLLLEVSWEAIERAGQDPSALRESPTGVFVGAGPNEYAERVQDLADEAAGLYSGTGNMLSVAAGRLSFFLGLHGPTLAVDTACSSSLVALHLGCQSLRRGECDQALVGGVNMLLSPKTFALLSRMHALSPGGRCKTFSADADGYARAEGCA
D	VVVLKRLSDAQRDRDPILAVIRGTAINHDGPSSGLTVPSGPAQEALLRQALAHAGVVPADVDFVECHGTGTALGDPIEVRALSDVYGQARPADRPLILGAAKANLGHMEPAAGLAGLLKAVLALGQEQIPAQPELGELNPLLPWEALPVAVARAAVPWPRTDRPRFAGVSSFGMSGTNAHVVLEEAP
epothilone-Epo	IAIVGIGCRFPGGAGTPEAFWELLDDGRDAIRPLEERWALVGVDPGDDVPRWAGLLTEAIDGFDAAFFGIAPREARSLDPQHRLLLEVAWEGFEDAGIPPRSLvGSRTGVFVGVCATEYLHAAVAHQPREERDAYSTTGNMLSIAAGRLSYTLGLQGPCLTVDTACSSSLVAIHLACRSLRARESDLALAGGVNMLLSPDTMRALARTQALSPNGRCQTFDASANGFVRGEGCGLIVLKRLSD
Е	ARRDGDRIWALIRGSAINQDGRSTGLTAPNVLAQGALLREALRNAGVEAEAIGYIETHGAATSLGDPIEIEALRTVVGPARADGARCVLGAVKTNLGHLEGAAGVAGLIKATLSLHHERIPRNLNFRTLNPRIRIEGTALALATEPVPWPRTGRTRFAGVSSFGMSGTNAHVVLEEAP
hygrocin-HgcA	IAIVGMACRLPGGVGSPEELWELVLAGGEGIGEFPADRGWDLEKLFDPDPDHAGTSYARRGGFLHDAGEFDADFFGISPREALAMDPQQRLLLETSWEALERAGIDPVSLRGQDVGVFAGMMHQNYGVGAGEGTDAPVGLEGHLMTGTSASVVSGRVSYVLGFEGPAVTVDTACSSSLVALHLAAQALRAGECSMALAGGVTVMAGPDSFVEFSRQRGLAVDGRCKSFSASADGTG
	WAEGVGVVVLERLSVAERCGHRVLAVVRGSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRRALAGAGLVAADVDAVEAHGTGTPLGDPIEAQAVLATYGQDRDRPLLMGSLKSNVGHAQAAAGVAGVIKMVLALRYGVLPRTLHVDEPTPQVDWSSGAVELLTEERVWPEVGRPRRAGVSGFGVSGTNAHVILEQAP
hygrocin-HgcC	IAIVAMACRLPGGVSSPEQLWDLVEHGRDGITGFPVNRGWDLANLFHPDPDHRGTSYVREGGFLHDAGEFDAAFFGISPREAVTMDPQQRIMLELSWEAFERAGIDPTAWRGKDVGVFSGFAGSDYGSGLPELPEALESYLMTSDAGSVLSGRISYTLGFEGPAVTVDTACSASLVALHLAARALRAGDCSMALAGGVTVLGTPRAFIGFSRQRGLAADARCKAFAAAADGTGFSEGAGV
	LLLERLSDAERNGHRVLAVVRGSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRQALANAHLTPSEVDAVEAHGTGTALGDPIEAQALLATYGQGRPEDRPLWLGSLKSNIGHTQGAAGVAGVIKMVLALRYGVLPRTLHVDEPSPQVDWSSGAVELLTEQRVWPEVDRPRRAGVSGFGVSGTNAHVILEQAP
hygrocin-HgcE	IAIVGMACRLPGGVTTPEGLWDLVASGGDAISGFPEDRGWDLENLFDPDPDHPGTSYVREGGFLRDAGEFDAGFFGISPREALAMDPQQRIMLELSWEAFERAGIDPTALRGKDIGVFSGVTYHSYGSGARVPEELEAVMGTGTSASVLSGRVSYALGFEGPSVAVDTACSSSLVALHLAVQALRLGECSMALAGGVTVMANPGIFVGFSRQRGMSKDGRCRAFAAAADGTGFSEGAGV
	LLVERLSDAERNGHRVLAVVRGSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRQALASAGLVASDVDAVEAHGTGTALGDPIEAQALLDTYGQDRPEGRPLWLGSLKSNIGHAQAAAGVAGVIKMVLALRCGVLPRTLHVDEPSRQVDWSAGAVELLTEERVWPEVGRPRRAGVSGFGVSGTNAHVILEQAP
laidlomycin-La	VAVVQMACRYPGGVRTPEDLWELVAAGGHALGAFPDNRGWDLARLFHPDPDHPGTTYASEGGFLHDADLFDPEFFGISPREAQALDPQQRLLLECAWEALERAGIDPQSLQGSRTGVYAGAALPGFGTPHIDLEAEGHLVTGNAPSVLSGRLAYTFGLEGPAVTIDTACSSSLVAVHLAAHALRRRECDLALAGGVTVMTTPYVFTEFSRQRGLAADGRCKPFAAAADGTAFSEGAGLLVL
dSIII	ERLSDARRNGHEVLAVIRGSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRAALAGARLSPAEVDAVEAHGTGTKLGDPIEAQALLATYGQERHEDRPLWLGSVKSNIGHTQGAAGAAGLIKMILALRHGMLPPTVNVDRPTPHADWESGAVRLLTEPVTWPRGERVRRAGISSFGISGTNAHLILEEP
laidlomycin-La	IAIVSMACRFPGGVSSADELWDLVESGQDAMGAFPTDRGWDLDRLFHPDPDHPGTSYSDQGGFLHDAGDFDASFFGISPREALAMDPQQRLLLEASWEVLERAGIDPTSLKGTLTGTYVGVMYHDYGKAFPEADAQLEGYAYLASSGSVVSGRVAYTLGLEGPAVTVDTACSSSLVSIHLAAQALRQGECDLALAGGVTVMADPDVFAGFSRQRGLSPDGRCKPYAAAADGVGFSEGV
dSIV	GLILLERLSDARRRGHRVLGVVRGSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQERVIRQALASGRLVASDVDVVEGHGTGTTLGDPIEAQALLATYGQGRPDGRPLWLGSVKSNIGHTQAAAGVAGVIKMVMAMRRGVVPASLHVDEPSPHVDWEAGAVRLVSEAVPWPESDRPRRAGVSSFGASGTNAHLIIEHV
laidlomycin-La	VAVVSMACRFPGGVESPEGLWELVAQGGDAIEGFPADRGWDLDGLYHPDPDHPGTCYVREGGFLSGADRFDSGFFGFSPREALASSPQLRLLLETSWEVLERAGIDPTTLKGSPTGVYVGAATTGNLTQGDPAGKATEGYAGSAPSVLSGRVSFTLGLEGPAVTIETACSSSLVAMHLAAQALRQGECDLALAGGVTVMSTPEVFTGFSRQRGLAPNGRCKPFAAAADGTGWGEGVGLILL
dSV	ERLSDARRNGHKVLALLRGSAVNQDGASNGFTAPNGPSQRRVIRQALAGANLSTSEIDVVEAHGTGTKLGDPIEAEALIATYGKEREDDRPLwLGSVKSNIGHTQAAAGVAGVIKMVMALQRELLPATLHVDEPTPHVQWEGGGVRLLTEPVPWSRSERVRRAGVSSFGISGTNAHVILEEP
leinamycin-Ln	IAVIGIAGRYPGAGDLETFWSNLAEGVDSVGPLPAERARDGWPTEQMWGGFLDGVDRFDALFFGIAPRDAQLMDPQERQFLQVVWETLEDAGCTRARIREQLGSDVGVFVGTMYNEYPFFGVERSLAGESADTGSAVAGIANRVSYFLDLHGPSLAVDTMCSSSLTALHLAVESLRRGECAAAVAGGVNLSLHPHKFRQQTRLKMSSSDHRCRSFGAGGDGFVPAEGVGAVLLKPLSA
mI	AEADGDRIHAVIRGTAVNHGGKTNGYMVPNPVAQGDLVRAALRRAGADPATIGYVEAHGTGTQLGDPVEINGLNRAFAGASVAPASRAIGSVKANIGHAEAAAGIAGLTKVVLQLRHRHLVPSLHTEELNDAVDWASSPFEVVREGRPWAPLTGADGAPLPRRAGLSAFGAGGANAHVVVEEY
leinamycin-Ln	IAIIGVAGRYPEAEDLEAFWRNLAEGRDCVGEVPADRWDHAAYYDPERGKEGRTYGRRGGFLDGVDRFDAASFGISRREAELMDPQERLFLTVGRQAVENAGYRPEELARTRVGVFAGVMWNHYQLCTDGSAEPVAPTALHCSVANRLSYCLDLSGPSMAVDTACSSSLTSLHLAVESIRRGECALAVAGGVNVAAHPQKYLQLAQGRFLSSDGRCRAFGADGDGYVPGEGVGAVLLKP
mJ1	LADALADGDHVHAVIKGSFLNHSGRTSGFTVPSPAAQATLIADALDRSGVAADSVGYIEAHGTGTALGDPIEIEGLRQAFADAGLAPGSCAIGSVKSGIGHLESAAGIAAVTKVLLQMRHRELVPSLHSEQPNPHIDFAATPFAVQRTRAPWVPRPGSTVLRAGVSAFGAGGSNAHVLLESAP
leinamycin-Ln	IAVIGMSGRFPGAEDLDAFWENIAAGRDSFTEVPAQRWDVGPVFDADRLVPDRTYSKWAAMLPEVGRFDAAFFNHSPLEAEVMDPQQRLFLEQSWAALEHAGYAVGADDRTSCGVFVGCAPGDYSTLLTEAGRADTGHAFLGTTSSLLPARIGYFLNLDGPTMAVDTACSSSLVAVHLAADSIRRGECAMALAGGVALMVTPQLHVRASKVGMLSPRGTCVPFDASADGTVLGEGVG
mJ2	AVVLKRLDRAVADGDHIHGVIKATGVNGDGRTNGITAPSALSQAALIADVHRRAGVGADDIGYVEAHGTGTALGDPIEVRALTEVFRRSTDRSGYCGIGTVKANIGHTTMAAGIAGLLKTLLALRHSELPPAPAFDTPNPKTELDSSPFFVVRDRQEWEPGPGGQRIATVSSFGFSGTNAHAVLAQAP
meridamycin-	VVIVGMGCRFPGRAHSPEDLWRIVADGEDAISGFPSDRGWDLAGLYHPDPDHPGTSYARDGGFLYDAAEFDAGFFGISPREAEAMDPQQRLLLETSWEALERAGIPAEHIKGSSTGVFIGASSVGYAADAGEAAEGYQLTGTAASVASGRVSYTLGLEGPAVTVDTACSSSLVALHLAVQSLRAGECSLALAGGVTVMATPAMFVEFSRQRGLAMDGRCKAFAAAADGTGWAEGVGVLV
MerA	VERLSDAERNGHRVLAVVRGSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRQALASAGLVASDVDAVEAHGTGTTLGDPIEAQALLATYGQGRDADRPLWLGSVKSNIGHTQAAAGVAGVIKMVMAMRHGVLPRTLHVDEPSTHVDWSGGRVELLTGTTPWPTTGGLRRAGVSSFGVSGTNAHVILEQVP
meridamycin-	IVIVGMSCRYPGGITSPEALWDLVRSDGDAISVLPADRGWDLDGLYDPDPDRTGTSYARSGGFVYDAAEFDAAFFGISPREAAAMDPQQRLLLETSWEAFERAGIPATSVKGERIGVFTGVMHHDYLTRLSTTPDAVEGYLGTGAAAGVASGRVAYTFGLEGPAVTVDTACSSSLVALHLAVQALRLGECSLALAGGVTVMSTPTVFVEFSRQRGLAPDGRCKAFAGAADGTGFAEGIGMLL
MerB	VERLSDARRNGHPVLAVVRGSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRQALASAGLSTVDVDAVEAHGTGTTLGDPIEAQALLATYGQGRDSDRPLLLGSIKSNIGHTQAAAGVAGVIKMVMAMRHGVLPQSLHIDEPTPHVDWSTGAVELLSEQTAWPEAGRPRRAGVSSFGISGTNAHLILEQAP
meridamycin-	IVIVGMSCRFPGDVESPEDLWRLIDSDGDAITAFPTDRGWDLTGLFDTAVGESGTSYARVGGFVHDAGEFDPAFFGISPREATAMDPQQRLLLHAAWEAFERAGIPAASVRGSRTGVFVGASPQGYGAAEASEGYFLTGSSGSVISGRVSYTLGLEGPAVTVDTACSSSLVALHLAVQALRSGECSLALAGGVTVMATPTAFVEFSRQRGLAADGRCKSFAAGADGTGWSEGVGLLLVERLSD

MerC	AERLGHRVLAVVRGSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRQALANARLSAVDVDAVEAHGTGTALGDPIEAQALLATYGQGRDVGRPLWLGSVKSNIGHTQAAAGVAGVIKMVMALRHGVLPRTLHVDEPSPHVDWSSGAVELLSERAAWPEMGRPRRAGVSSFGVSGTNAHVVLEQAP
nigericin-NigA	VAVVGMACRFPGGVTSPEELWELLVSGVDAIGDFPTDRGWDLENLYHPDPEHYGTSCVRQGGFVEADRFDAAFFGISPREALAMDPQQRLVLELAWESLERAGIDPVSLKGTRTGVYAGVSSQDYLSRAPRIPEGFEGYATTGGLTSVISGRVAYTFGLEGPAVTVDTACSASLVAMHLAVQALRQGECALALAGGVTSLATPIMFTEFSRQRALAPDGRCKSFAADADGTGFSEGVGLVVL
II	ERLSEARRNGHRVLAVIRGSAINQDGASNGLTAPNDVAQERVIGQALANAQLAPGDVDAVEAHGTGTKLGDPIEAEALIATYGQNRPADRPLLLGSLKSNIGHTHAAAGVAGVIKMVMALRHPQLPANLHLDEPTPHVDWDGSGLRLLTEPVEWPRLERPRRAAVSSFGISGTNAHLILEQAP
nigericin-NigA	VAIVSMACRYPGGITSPEELWELVASRGDAIGEFPTDRGWQLDGLFHPDPDHFGTSYVRHGGFLDRADGFDATFFGISPREALAADPQQRQLLEVAWELIERAGIDPTSLKGTATGVYAGAGILGFGTPQIEKSTEGFLLTGNTLSVVSGRVAFTLGLEGPAVTVDTACSSSLVAMHLACQALRQGECTLALAGGVTVMTTPNTFVEFSRQRGLAPDGRCKPFAAAADGTGFSEGVGLLLERL
IV	SDAQRNGHQVLAVIRGSAINQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRQALINAQLSSAEVDAVEAHGTGTTLGDPIEAEALLAAYGQDRTAEQPLWLGSLKSNIGHAQGAAGVAGVIKMVMALRHELLPATLYVDEPTPHADWSSGAVRLLTDSVEWPRNERPRRAGVSAFGISGTNAHLIVEQAP
nigericin-NigA	VAIVSMACRYPGGVGCPEELWELVASGGDGITAFPTDRGWDLDGLYHPDPDHPGTSYVRHGGFLGGTDRTDGTDRFDAEFFGISPREALAMDPQQRLLLEVSWELFERAGVDPVTTKGSRTGVYAGVSSQDYLSRMPRVPEGFEGYATTGSLTSVVSGRVAYTFGLEGPAVTVDTACSSSLVAMHLAAQALRQGECDLALAGGVTALTTPTAFAEFSRQRGLAPDGRCKSFAAGADGTGF
VI	SEGVGLVLLERLSDARRNGHRVLALIRGSAINQDGASNGLTAPNDVSQERVIRQALAGARLAPDQVDAVEAHGTGTSLGDPIEAHALLATYGQDRPGERPLWLGSLKSNIGHAQAAAGVAGVIKMVMALRHETLPVTLHIDEPTPHVEWEGGGVRLLTEPVPWPTGERPRRAGVSSFGISGTNAHLILEQAP
nostophycin-N	IAVIGMSCRFPGANNIDEFWQNLANGVESISFFTEAEIIAAGVDPTLVKNPNYVKAKPILSDVESFDADFFGYSSREAELMDPQQRLLLECAWESLENAGYNPLTYNGAIGIYAGAVMNTYLLNNVYPNRHQLDVNDNLQVATMDSMGGLQLMVANDKDYLTTRISYKLNLTGPSVNVQTACSTSLVAIHMACANLLSGESDMVLAGGVSINAPQKVGHLYQEGMIVTPDGHCRAFDAQ
pnA	AQGTIFGSGVGLVVLKRLQDAIADQDHIYAVVKGSATNNDGGTKVGYMAPNGDGQTAVVTEAMTMAGVDAETIGYVEAHGTGTPMGDPIEIGGLTQAFRASTQSKNFCGIGSVKTNVGHLQIASGVVGFIKTVLSLYHKQIPPSLHFEQPNPQLDLPNTPFYVNTTLKDWHTQDYPRRAGVNSLGIGGANAHVILEEAP
nostophycin-N	IAIVGMGCRFPGGANNPEAFWQLLRDGVDAVTEVPANRWDIDALYDSNPTTPGKIYTRYGGFVSQLEEFDAQFFGISPREAVSLDPQQRLLLEVSWEALENASINPSQLAGTQSGVFVGISGNDYLQRLWASEATEIDAYQGTGNAHSVAAGRLSYILGLTGPSLAVDTACSSSLVAVHLACQSLRNQESDLAIAGGVNLLLSSEISINLSKARMLAPDGRCKTFDATADGYVRGEGCGVIILKR
pnB	LSDAIKDKNQILALIRGSAVNQDGRSSGLTAPNGPSQQAVIRQALANSGVEPGEVSYLEAHGTGTSLGDPIEVGAMTAVFGKNRLKDEPLTIGSVKTNIGHLEAAAGIAGIIKVVLQMQHQEIAPHLHFQDPSPHINWENLPLIVPTQKSDWQTAEKPLLAGVSSFSFSGTNAHLVLAQP
oxazolomycin-	IAVVGVAGRFPGSADLAEFWDHLEQGRDLVTEIPGDRWDWRARTGTSRSRWGGFVPGVDRFDAAFFGISPREAELMDPQQRLLLEVVWTAVEDAGYRASDLAGRRVGVFIGTTNSDYAEVQRAGGRPAEAHTLTGAALSVIPNRISYLLDLRGPSVAVDTACSSSLTAVHQAVGALRDGTCDLAIAGGVSLILDPRLYDALSQNEMLSEDGRCKAFDASANGYVRGEGVGVVVLKDHAA
OzmH	ARADGDRVAAVIRAAAVNHGGRTTSLTSPNPDAQAELLVEAYRTAGVDPRTVGYIEAHGTGTALGDPIEITGLTEAFQRLGGDGGPGDGGGSGGGGAAPGAGRASCGIGSVKTNIGHLEAAAGIAGLLKVVLALRHRTIPASLHFRERNPYLDLDGSPFEIVGATRPWPAPLAADGTALPRRAGVSSFGFGGANAHVVIEEAP
oxazolomycin-	IAVVGMAGQFPGAADVRAFWRNLTEGRVGYGELPAHYTAPDDPAGYRWGGALTERDHFDAEFFGIRPHEADLMSHNQRLLLQESWHALEDAAIDPTSLAGSRTGLFIGAEPTGYPHESFTGASDALVASRLSYFLDLRGAALVVNTACSSSLAAVHLACQSLSSGESTLALAGGVNAGLDARGLDLLVESGAMSPSGECRTFDADANGTVYSEAVAVLVLKRLSDAVADGDHVHGVIRATG
OzmJ	MNQDGASNGITAPNGAAQEELVLDVYRRFGIPAERVGYVEAHGTGTALGDPVEANALVRAFRRLTGERGFAALGSAKAHIGHTGAPAGVVGMIKVLLSMRYGRLVGMPTLRDLNPLIDLTGSAFVADATAGPWRHPAGVPLMAAVNSFGHSGTNAHVVVEQY
oxazolomycin-	VAVIGMHCRTAGARDPEEFWQVIASGEDRTTEVDDPVALSLLKEHFPDVAAPRCGRMADSDRFDPAFFRIAPREAAAMDAAQRVLLESCYHALEDAAQDASALRGRAVATIVGSTGLAPQAEYSAHALMGADTSIMAARLAYQLDLSGPAMTVDTACSSSLVAVDIARRLLLDGESDLALAAGVYVANHPGTFVTMEALGTVSPGRACRPFDADADGMLVGEGVGVLVLKRLSDAVRD
OzmK	NDRVLGVIRGSATNQDGRTSGITSPSSAAQSALLRDVYRRSGVDMGRLRYVEAHGTGTSLGDPIEVHGLTEAFAEFTDRRRFCAVGSVKANIGHTMGAAGVLGAIKVLLCLRHGQLPPAANFRTENKHADFDESPVYVSREPADWNREGEEPRVAGVSSFGYSGTNAHVIIEEY
oxazolomycin-	VAVIGLSGRYPMADDVDEFWANLAAGRDCVTEIPADRWDHDRYFDADPSAPGRASTRWGGFLRDVDRFDPLFFGISPREAELMDPQERLFLQNAWHVFEDAGYRRDELSGRPVGVFVGVMYGEYQLYGAADAERGGIRVTGSSFASIANRVSYTLGLNGPSIALDTMCSSSLTAIHLACESLRTGESEAAVAGGVNLSLHPYKYVFLSQGRFVSADGRCRAFGAGGTGYVPGEGVGAVL
OzmN	LKPLAAAERDGDHIYGVIRGHAVNHGGRTNGYTVPSPHAQADAVRRALRGAGLEPADIGYVEAHGTGTSLGDPIEIAGLAKAFGTPKEDGPRWPIGSVKSNIGHLESAAGIAGLTKVLLQFRHRRLVPSLHADEPNPNIDFGRAPFRVQREAADWPEPAGADGAVPRRAGLSSFGAGGSNAHLVVEEY
oxazolomycin-	IAVIGLACRFPGAATPDTFWKVLSEGRETLTHFSDEELRAAGVAEPLLADDRYVKAGQVLVDADKFDAGLFGITRDEAELIDPQQRQFLECAYEALERAGYDPQRGEQRIGVYAGVGLNTYLLHNLGERYRTASSVDRYRMMITNDKDFVATRTAYKLNLCGPSVSTNTACSTSLVAVHLACLSLLSGDCTMALAGAAHIQADQGEGYLHHEGMIFSPDGHCRAFDAKAQGTVIGNGVGAVV
OzmQ	
patellazole-Ptz	IAIIGMAGRYPDAPNLEQYWKNLRQGVCSLHDVPPERWRVEDFTDPSRPDRTTVRKGGFITDVDRFDSMFFGITPKEAKLMDPQHRLFLEVAWQAIEDAGYTPAELKRSAGNGAVGDVGVIVGAMNQPYRLVGLPATASGKVVQNGHWSIANRLSYHLDLTGVSMAVDTACSASLTAVHLACAALTQGDCGVVVVGGVNLILHPLQSLELARIGMLSPSNLCRPFAEGADGTIQGEGIG
А	AMVLKPLDRALADGDTIRSIILGSAIDAGGRTSGYTVPNPNAQRRVIQAAMAQARIDPASIAHVECHGTGTDLGDPIEVNALADALKDRHEKILIGSVKGNIGHLESAAGIAGLSKLIMEIEAGEVVPSLGAENLSKRIDFTGQKLEVATTLRSWPCRFDVAGKIQPRRGGISSFGAGGANAHLVIEQAP
pyxipyrrolone-	VAIIGMAGALPGARDLDELWRRLRAGEDLISEAPLERWDWRAWGEDDGAFLKWGGFAPGFDCFDAPFFNVAPREAELMDPQQRMLLQTTWKALEDAGHPPATLSGRNVGVFMGVWAQDYHHRLSHHGLVHAQVETGNALTMLGNRISYVFNLRGPSEVFNAACASSLVALHRAAQALRSGECELAIVGGVNALVLPEVTRNLAQVGILSRNGRCRTFDASANGYVRGEGAVVVV
PyxA	LKRLEQAEADADFIHAVVRGSRQNHGGRASSLTAPNPKAQAELIFGALDEAGFEPETVTYIEAHGTGTELGDPVEVDGLKSAFEQLARKRQRALEGTQFCGLGSIKSNVGHLESAAGLAGLVKVVLAMRHGELPASLHVQKLNPLIRLEGSPFYVVRRTQPWARVEDAEGRPVPRRAGVSSFGFGGSNAHVALEEY
pyxipyrrolone-	IAIIGVSGRYPMADDLWDFWDNLKAGRNCITEIPVGRWEHHPYFDRDKDAEGRSYSKWGGFLSDVDRFDALFFNISTREAEGMDPQERLFLQTVWSLFEDAGYTRQSLAPAAARTGVFVGVMYGQYEWLGVEAALRGHVSRASSGRWSIANRISYFFNFQGPSLAVDTACSSSLTAIHLACQALRAGECEQAVAGGVNVSIHPHKYTLLSQGRFASSDGLCRSFGAGGDGYVPGEGIGA
PyxC	VLLKPLRSALADGDRVYAVVKGSALNHGGRTNGYTVPSPHAQGEVISEALRRARVEAKELGYIEAHGTGTSLGDPIEVTGLSKAFGASGPAAGRCAIGSVKSNIGHLEAAAGIAGVTKVLLQFQHGQLVPSLHAEPPNPNIRFADTPFVVQKELAAWPRPVAGEPRRAAVSSFGAGGANAHVVLEEF
pyxipyrrolone-	VAIIGMAGRFPGAQNLDEYWSNLARGVDSVTEVPPERWSVAKHFDPDPKKKGTSYSKWGGFLTDIDKFDPLFFSVSPAEARLMDPQQRLFLEQAWKAFEDAGYSPEQLDQARCGVFVGLMSNDYARMILAAEPDRSPSLQMMGNSSSILAARIAYLLNLKGPALCLDTACSSSLVATHLAVQSLLAGETDLMLAGGVTLYLDEESYIQMSKAGMLSPEGRCKTFDDRADGFVPGEGVGA
PyxD	VVLKRLSDALRDGDHVYGIIRGSGLNQDGKTNGISAPSSEAQMRLELEVYQRSGISPDSLTYVEAHGTGTRLGDPIEIEALSEAFARYTDRKQFCAIGSVKSNLGHTSAAAGMAGLMKVLLSFRHRQLPPSLHFHRQNSHIDFGSTPFFVNTALRDWVVPGHGPRRAAISGFSFSGTNAHLVLEEP
rhizopodin-Riz	IAIVGAAAVLPGSETLGAFWRNIEEGKDLIREIPAERWDWRTLRGDTSGDVDAESLRWGGFIDGVDQFDGRFFGISRREGELMDPQQRIFLQTVWRALEDAGWDPASLSGTRTGLFVGVCTSDYAELLARSGGQVEAHTSTGNARSILPNRISHLLGLRGPSEPIDTACSSSLIAVQHAIHALRSGAADVAIAGGVNLVLSPFFHLSFSHAGMLSPGGRCRTFDHRADGYVRGEGSAAVVLKP
В	LSRAEADGDTIHGLIVGGAVGHAGHANSLTAPNGASQAELLVEAYSNAGIDVRTVGYIEAHGTATRLGDPIEIHALQRAFRELSRDASTVAPWCGLGSVKSNFGHLEAAAGVAGLLKVLLAMRAQTLPATLHFEQLNPHIDLRDSPFFIVERNTPWRVHGDAPRRAGVSSFGFGGVNAHLVLEEY

rhizopodin-Riz	VAIVGVAGRYPMAEDLDQLWENLAAGRDCIIEIPAQRWDHSRYFDSRRGQPGKTYCKWGGFIEDVDCFDPLFFGITPRDAEWIDPQERLFLETAWSTVEDAGYTREALKRSTGDRVGVFVGVMYGEYQLFGDAAGSTPVGLAYGSIANRVSYCLDVSGPSLAVDTLCSSSLTAIHLAVESLRRGECAAAIAGGVNLSLHPSKYLLHAQAQMSASDGRCRSFGAGGDGFAPGEGVGAVLLKSL
D	TQAEADGDHIYAIIRSTAVNHGGRTSGYTVPNPQAQAQLIQQALTVGRIDPASVSYIEAHGTGTSLGDPIEAAGLIQALSSNGRDRCAIGSIKSNIGHLESAAGIAALTKVLLQMRHGRIAPSLHSRVVNPNIDFSGTPFYIPQELTAWETGLDGAGRPLPRRAGISSFGAGGANAHLILEEY
rhizopodin-Riz	VAVIGMSGRYPGARDVRGFYANLKAGRRALSELPGERGSWSRWFDPQPERAAEGRIYRRTGGFISGVELFDPLAFQMTPAEAKGIHPEERLLLQAAWEAIEDAGYAHTALEGKRHGVFIGVNGLSYPLLGLERWAQRGDVLLDQSYFGLPNRISHFFDLRGPSVPFDTGCSSALVALDAARRAIAQGECDGALVGGVNLYLHVSRFSTLCQARLASTHEAPLLFERGGDGFVPGEGVGLVLL
E	KPLDDALRDGDHVYGVIRATTVSHKGRSSSYLLPSPPAQRALIERALTEARVSPSDIGYVELQAVGAEMTDLSEWRSLSAVFGGVEAGARCALGSVKPLIGHLEAASGMAQLTRVLLQLDHGELFPTPVAVNMHEGISVDTAPFYVPAKSGPWSHPRRARGEGWTPRRALLGSAAAGGTQVFTVLEEC
rhizoxin-RhiB	IAIIGLAGRFPGAADIDSFWNNLKNGVDSISEIPAERWEHSKYYSRDRSVKGKIHTKWGGFIDDIDRFDPLFFNISPRDAERMDPQERIFLETAWRTLEDAGYDRAGLRRHYQGEMGVFVGVMHGEYLLYTRSSAPDCTEDAVDSSFGSIANRVSYVFDCNGPSMAVDTICSSSLTALHLAVESLRRGECQLALAGGVNLSLHPNKYFIQSQLTMSSSDGRCRSFGDGGDGFVPGEGVGAVLL
	KPLSRAEADGDNIYAVIRATSINHNGKTHGYTVPSPNAQGAMIADSLDKAGMDPRELSYIEAHGTGTALGDPIEITGLQLGFTRRSATLGSAMASAQQCAVGSVKSNIGHLESAAGIAGIAKVLLQLKHRQLAPSLHSKRLNPNIPFADSPFYIPQQLQEWRRPVLNLDGREREYPRAASVSSFGSGGSNGHVIIAEY
rhizoxin-RhiD	IAVIGMAGQFPAADNVERFWRNLSTGVDGIVELGERYLDARRSYSSQRQPGKTYCKWGGVLEQRDDFDPLFFNISPRDAESMNPHQRLILQESWKALEDAGYNPKSFRNQAVGIFVGAEPTGYFHETFIGASDAIIASRLSYFLDFKGPALVVNTGCSSSAVAIHLACENLRNRETDLALAGGVFATLGEPSLISLSQMDMLSPTGRCHTFDSRSDGIVLSEAVGMVTLKRLPEAIADGDPIYG
	VICASGMNQDGASNGITAPSGQAQERLISELYRRFGIDPRQISYVEAHGTGTPLGDPVEVNALARAFRQFTADTGYCTLGSAKAHIGHTSAAAGVVSLIKILLSMKYRQLPGLLNFEQLNPRIALADSAFTIHRELKDWPVGDSGLRMAALNSFGHSGTNVHIVVREA
rhizoxin-RhiE	IAIIGISGRFPGARNADELWRVLAEGRDMVGEIPADRYDWRDYYGDHREDPSKTRCIWMGSVPGVSEFDPMFFEISPREAKTLDPRQRLLLQESWNALEDAGVGPRHLETQRVGMFVGVEEGDFQRLASEESLTSGHNGILASRLAYFLNLTGPTMAINTACSSALVALHQAALSLRNNECEIAVVAGVNLIFSPDAFIGMTQAGMLSPDGKCFAFDRRANGMVPGEAVCCVVLKPLSRA
	EADGDPIYGVLRGSGINYDGKTNGITAPSGASQQRLLEEVYQRAGMNTEAVEYIVTHGTGTQLGDPVEINALNDAFRGNKPGHCALTSTKTNLGHTFAASGLVSVISLLQAFRHETIPASLHCEQENDYIHWQQSPFYVNKTNKAWPAAGRDSERLGAVSAFGMSGTNAHVVLESY
rifamycin-RifA	IAIVGMACRLPGGVASPEDLWRLVAERVDAVSEFPGDRGWDLDSLIDPDRERAGTSYVGQGGFLHDAGEFDAGFFGISPREAVAMDPQQRLLLETSWEALENAGVDPIALKGTDTGVFSGLMGQGYGSGAVAPELEGFVTTGVASSVASGRVSYVLGLEGPAVTVDTACSSSLVAMHLAAQALRQGECSMALAGGVTVMATPGSFVEFSRQRALAPDGRCKAFAAAADGTGWSEGVG
	VVVLERLSVARERGHRILAVLRGSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGLSQQRVIRRALAAAGLAPSDVDVVEAHGTGTTLGDPIEAQALLATYGQERKQPLWLGSLKSNIGHAQAAAGVAGVIKMVQALRHETLPPTLHVDKPTLEVDWSAGAIELLTEARAWPRNGRPRRAGVSSFGVSGTNAHLILEEAP
rifamycin-RifC	IAIVGMACRLPGGVTGPGDLWRLVAEGGDAVSGFPTDRCWDLDTLFDPDPDHAGTSYTDQGGFLHDAALFDPGFFGISPREALAMDPQQRLLLEASWEALEGVGLDPASLQGTDVGVFTGAGGSGYGGGLTGPEMQSFAGTGLASSVASGRVSYVFGFEGPAVTIDTACSSSLVAMHLAAQALRQGDCSMALAGGAMVMSGPDSFVVFSRQRGLATDGRCKAFASGADGMVLAEG
	ISVVVLERLSVARERGHRVLAVLRGSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRAALANAGIGPSDVDLVEAHGTGTSLGDPIEAQALLATYGQDRETPLWLGSLKSNIGHTQAAAGVASVIKVVQALRHGVMPPTLHVDEPSSQVDWSEGAVELLTGSRDWPRGDRPRRAGVSSFGVSGTNVHLIIEEAP
rifamycin-RifE	AVVGMACRFPGGVSSPEDLWQLVAGGVDALSDFPDDRGWELDGLFDPDPDHPGTSYTSQGGFLRGAGLFDAGLFGISPREALVMDPQQRVLLETSWEALEDAGVDPLSLKGSDVGVFSGVFTQGYGAGAITPDLEAFAGIGAASSVASGRVSYVFGLEGPAVTIDTACSSSLVAIHLAAQALRAGECSMALAGGATVMPTPGTFVAFSRQRVLAADGRSKAFSSTADGTGWAEGAGVLV
	LERLSVAQERGHRILAVLRGSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRKALAGAGLVASDVDVVEAHGTGTALGDPIEAQALLATYGQGRERPLWLGSVKSNFGHTQAAAGVAGVIKMVQALRHGAMPPTLHVAEPTPEVDWSAGAVELLTEPREWPAGDRPRRAGVSAFGISGTNAHLILEEAP
tallysomycin-Tl	IAIVSLAGRFPGADDVPTFWENLRAGEESIRRYTREELLALGRDPALVDHPRFVGAEGVLGDIAGFDADFFGYSPREAEIMDPQHRLCLETAWAAFDSAGYDPAALTEPVGVFLATSLSSYLVRNLLSDPGLARGIGGFPLLIHNDKDFAATTVSHKLGLTGPSYAVGSACSSSLVAVHLACQSLQSHECDMALAGGVSLQVPQGQGYVAEDGIYSPDGRCAAFDASAGGTVGGSGVGLVLLK
mVIII	RLSDAVRDRDQVHALVLGTAVNNDGANKVGYTAPSVDGQSAVIVEAHAVAGISADTVGYVEAHGTGTSLGDPIEVTALTQAFRASTDRTGFCALGSVKTNIGHLDAAAGIAGLVKAALAVRDGIIPASLNFREPNPRINFAATPFHVADTTRPWRAEGAPRRAGVSSFGIGGTNVHVVLEQP
tautomycetin-t	IVLVGMACRFPGGVSDPDGLWRLVAEEADATGPFPTDRGWDLDRLQEVSATSRGGFVAGVDGFDAAFFRISPREALATDPQQRLLLEVSWEALEQAGIDPGTLAGTPTGVFAGAYGSGYGDLVSREQLQGHLLTGGAGSVISGRVAYALGLEGPAVSVDTACSSSLVAMHLAAQALRTGECNLALAGGVTVMATPEMFLEFTAQNGLAEDGRCRSFADSADGTGWSEGVGVVVMERLS
mcA	DAVRNGHEVLAVMRSSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRQALAAAGLSSADVDAVEAHGTGTRLGDPIEAQALLATYGQDRPGDRPLWLGSLKSNIGHAQAAAGVGGVIKMVMALRHGVLPRTLHVDAPSTQVDWTQGDVRLLTDAVPWPETGRPRRAGVSSFGVSGTNAHVILEA
tautomycetin-t	IVLVGMACRFPGGVADPDDLWQLVAGGIDGVTAFPADRGWDLDALLGADGSAASATAEGGFLAGAGEFDAAFFRISPREALATDPQQRLLLEVSWEALERAGIDPGTLAGSPTGVFAGAFGSGYGELVARSGEQLQGHLITGTAGSAISGRVAYALGLEGPAVSVDTACSSSLVAMHLAAQALRAGECSLALAGGVTVMATADAFVGFTAQGGLSPDGRCRSFADSADGTGWSEGVGVV
mcB	VLERLSDAVRNGHEVLAVMRSSAVNQDGASNGLTAPNGPSQQRVIRQALAAAGLSSADVDAVEAHGTGTRLGDPIEAQALLSTYGQDRPGDRPLWLGSLKSNIGHAQAAAGVGGVIKMVMALRHGVLPRTLHVDEPSTQVDWTQGDVRLLTDAVPWPETGRPRRAGVSSFGVSGTNAHVILEA
tiancimycin-Tn	IAVVGMACRYPDADDPHQLWQTVLAGRRAFRRIPPERLRVADYQEGPGGPDAPDGIHARQAAVLENWTFDRSAFRVPGPAYRATDLTHWLALDVAAAALRDAGLPDGDGLDRDRAGVVIGNSLTGEFSRAGLVRLRWPYVRRTLDQVLRDRGTAPAERRELLQALEEAYKAPFPAPGDETLAGGLANTISGRVCNHFDFHGAGYTVDGACASSLISVATACAALAQGDLDFALAGGVD
mE	LSLDPFELVGFSRLGALARGTMRVYDRNPTGFLPGEGCGMVALAREDDAVRMGLRVHARIEGWGLASDGHGGLTRPERTGQALALRRAYARAGFGPERTGLVEGHGTGTAVGDDVELAVLDAELRAAGAGGVRPPALGSIKANIGHTKAAAGVAGLIKAVLAVRDGVLPPTTGCPDPHPLLGADGAALRTLAEPEDWPDRERHASVNSLGFGGINAHVVVG
xenocoumacin-	IAVVGISGRFPGSHNVREYWKNILSGKEGISFFTDDELIDAGIPEYIFKNKHYVRAKGIVENALDFDKTAFGYTMREAQYMDPQFRLLHEITWEVLDDAGYGNPKYRPVTGLFASSGSNYEWLDRVKEEVKDTTEQFSIGLLNDREFLTTRIAYKLNLTGPAVTVQSACSSSALAITLACQSLRVNQCDLALAGGVSITVPVKSGYMYVNGMVNSADGHCKPFSEDASGTVFSDGIGMVALKRL
XcnF	EDAIENNDHIYGVIAGYGVNNDGYEKAGFTAPSANGQAACIKQSLAMAGISANDVGYLEAHGTATLVGDDIELEGVKSALSVADDNPSICHIGSVKSNLGHTDSAAGVAGFIKTLLTVKHKKIPPTCHTEKNDHGLLQGTRFRLINAATDWDNNNDIRTAGLSSFGIGGTNVHITIQS
xenocoumacin-	
XcnH	DRALEDNDHIHAIIKGTSVNNDGQRKVGFTAPSIEGQIEVISNAQMLAGVEPEDISYIECHGTATEIGDPIEVTALARVFQHSQHTCWLGAVKSNIGHLDEAAGIAGIIKTVMSLKNKQFAPTLHYKKPNDKLYLDETPFKVVDRPMEWAVPEGKKRMAGVSSFGIGGTNAHIVLAEA
xenocoumacin-	IAIVGVSCQFPNSPTVESFWQNCLEGNDCITRFSDETLAENGVPENEYKSQNYVNAKGVIENPLKFDAEFFQYNKREAELLEPQIRHLHQCSFDALGSAGIAPSVYKGKIGCYLAASAQLQWQMAAYEESKQDAVGLFSAFSLIEKDFAATRLAYKLGLTGPAVALQTACSSSLTAVHMAARALLLGECQMALVAAAALTLPNQQGYLYLDGMIESPDGMCRPFDEHANGTVGGEGIGAIVLQ
XcnL	PLRQALEEKRPIIALIKGSAINNDGARKVGYTAPSIEGQTDVIRSAITVSKVDPKSISYIEAHGTATKLGDPIEMAALKNIFDDCPAGSIAIGSAKSILGHLDSAAGMAGLIKTALMLKNKMLCANKYFNAPNPKLKLESSPFYINTQSQEWHSQSIRRAGVSSFGIGGTNAHVILEEM

yersiniabactin-	VAVIGYACHFPESPDGETFWQNLLEGRECSRRFTREELLAVGLDAAIIDDPHYVNIGTVLDNADCFDATLFGYSRQEAESMDPQQRLFLQAVWHALEHAGYAPGAVPHKTGVFASSRMSTYPGREALNVTEVAQVKGLQSLMGNDKDYIATRAAYKLNLHGPALSVQTACSSSLVAVHLACESLRAGESDMAVAGGVALSFPQQAGYRYQPGMIFSPDGHCRPFDASAEGTWAGNGLGC
HMWP1	VVLRRLRDALLSGDPIISVILSSAVNNDGNRKVGYTAPSVAGQQAVIEEALMLAAIDDRQVGYIETHGTGTPLGDAIEIEALRNVYAPRPQDQRCALGSVKSNMGHLDTAAGIAGLLKTVLAVSRGQIPPLLNFHTPNPALKLEESPFTIPVSAQAWQDEMRYAGVSSFGIGGTNCHMIVASL
zwittermicin-Z	IAIIGMAGKFPGANEINQFWDNLKNGVDSISTFTEDELIKEGVNLDVLQHPNFVKAKGYLEEVEYFDESFFAYTPREAKIMDPQIRMLQETTWEALEMAGYNPFDYEGLIGLYVGASTNFNWMKHTPLLNSDSVVEFSEAGTLSYKDAISTLTSYKLGLKGPSFTLYTACSTSLLSIHLACRSLLTGECSIAAAGGVSITYPKKNGYKYHEGMTSSPDGKVRTFDAEAQGAVFSDGVGMVILKRLE
maA1	DAIADGDTIYGVIKGSAANNDGGRKVGYTAPSVEGQAEVIQAAHSFAEVDPSTISYVETHGTATPLGDSIEVEALKRAFQDVDKKSFCAIGSVKSNVGHLDTAAGVTGLIKTVLSMRHKQLPPTLNVKRPNSKIDFIDSPFYINTDLVNWQSDDNDLLRAGVSAFGYGGTNVHIVLEEAP
zwittermicin-Z	IAIIGIDGRFPGAQNVNEFWNNIKSGTESIQFFTDEELIESGVNPMEVKSPNYVKAKGYLEGTDNFDAPFFDYTPQDASLMDPQLRVFHECAWSALEHAGYNIETYPGLIGVYSGASPNLYWQVLSTLSEANEPAGQFLISLLNDKDSLSTQISYKFNLKGPSMNIFTGCSTSLVAIHNACQALLQGHCDIAIAGGITLTQPEKAGYTYQEGMLFSSDGHCRPFDENANGMLFGDGVGIVVLKPL
maA2	QEAINDGDTIHAVIKGTAINNDGNRKIGYTAPSVEGQVEVIKMAQHEANVEPESISYIETHGTATKLGDTIEIKALSEVFNSNEKQSVPIGSVKANVGHLNAASGVAGLIKTVFAMKDQVLPPSVNFTKPNTQIGFEKTPFYVNQQLNEWKEDNKPLRAGVSSFGIGGTNAHIILEEAP
zwittermicin-Z	VAVVGMAVRMPGASNLKQFWSNLEQGIESIRTFTDEELSEMGIPKEVIQKPNYIKSKGYLEDVDAFDNEFFDYSLKEAEMMDPQLRILHECAWEALEQAGEVNSSHMNTGVYVGGSPNFHWLRSIASESSNTLEDFQAMLLNEKDFFATRLAYKLNLKGPAITVQTACSTSLVAIQNAWADLIDGRCDIALAGGVSITYPTKTGYLYEDGMIFSPDGHCRAFDKDAQGTVGGNGAGIVVLK
maK	RLEDALRDGNLIHGVIKGAAVNNDGSNKAGFTAPGVDGQAAVIKSAHEIAGVKPEEISYIETHGTGTQLGDPIEVEALKLAFGKQEPGRVLIGSVKTNIGHLDAAAGVGGFIKTVLALKNKKIPPSLHYNNPNPSIDFGKNPFRVNTELIPWKEEVRRAGVSSFGMGGTNAHIVLESV

## 5. Supplementary References

1. Datsenko, K. A. & Wanner, B. L. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 6640–6645 (2000).

2. Li, Z.-R. et al. Critical intermediates reveal new biosynthetic events in the enigmatic colibactin pathway. *ChemBioChem* **16**, 1715–1719 (2015).

3. Gust, B., Challis, G. L., Fowler, K., Kieser, T. & Chater, K. F. PCR-targeted *Streptomyces* gene replacement identifies a protein domain needed for biosynthesis of the sesquiterpene soil odor geosmin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 1541–1546 (2003).

4. Welch, R. A. et al. Extensive mosaic structure revealed by the complete genome sequence of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 17020–17024 (2002).

5. Li, Z.-R. et al. Divergent biosynthesis yields a cytotoxic aminomalonate-containing precolibactin. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **12**, 773–775 (2016).

6. Brotherton, C. A., Wilson, M., Byrd, G. & Balskus, E. P. Isolation of a metabolite from the *pks* island provides insights into colibactin biosynthesis and activity. *Org. Lett.* **17**, 1545–1548 (2015).

7. Nougayrède, J. P. et al. *Escherichia coli* induces DNA double-strand breaks in eukaryotic cells. *Science* **313**, 848–851 (2006).

8. Brachmann, A. O. et al. Colibactin biosynthesis and biological activity depends on the rare aminomalonyl polyketide precursor. *Chem. Commun.* **51**, 13138–13141 (2015).

9. Zha, L., Wilson, M. R., Brotherton, C. A. & Balskus, E. P. Characterization of polyketide synthase machinery from the *pks* island facilitates isolation of a candidate precolibactin. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **11**, 1287–1295 (2016).

10. Kumar, S., Stecher, G. & Tamura, K. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**, 1870–1874 (2016).

Balbás, P. et al. Plasmid vector pBR322 and its special-purpose derivatives — a review. *Gene* 50, 3–40 (1986).

12. Colis, L. C. et al. The cytotoxicity of (–)-lomaiviticin A arises from induction of double-strand breaks in DNA. *Nat. Chem.* **6**, 504–510 (2014).

13. Rahman, A. et al. Strand scission in DNA induced by dietary flavonoids: role of Cu(I) and oxygen free radicals and biological consequences of scission. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **111**, 3–9 (1992).

14. Junglee, S., Urban, L., Sallanon, H. & Lopez-Lauri, F. Optimized assay for hydrogen peroxide determination in plant tissue using potassium iodide. *Am. J. Anal. Chem.* **5**, 730–736 (2014).

15. Repine, J. E., Pfenninger, O. W., Talmage, D. W., Berger, E. M. & Pettijohn, D. E. Dimethyl sulfoxide prevents DNA nicking mediated by ionizing radiation or iron/hydrogen peroxide-generated hydroxyl radical. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **78**, 1001–1003 (1981).

16. Freifelder, D. & Trumbo, B. Matching of single-strand breaks to form double-strand breaks in DNA. *Biopolymers* **7**, 681–693 (1969).

17. Chaturvedi, K. S., Hung, C. S., Crowley, J. R., Stapleton, A. E. & Henderson, J. P. The siderophore yersiniabactin binds copper to protect pathogens during infection. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **8**, 731–736 (2012).

18. Azam, S., Hadi, N., Khan, N. U. & Hadi, S. M. Antioxidant and prooxidant properties of caffeine, theobromine and xanthine. *Med. Sci. Monit.* **9**, 325–330 (1969).

19. Chu, T., Hu, Y., Wu, J., Zeng, C., Yang, Y. & Ng, S. W. A new luminescent lanthanide supramolecular network possessing free Lewis base sites for highly selective and sensitive Cu<sup>2+</sup> sensing. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **15**, 744–751 (2016).

20. Benesi, H. & Hildebrand, J. A spectrophotometric investigation of the interaction of iodine with aromatic hydrocarbons. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **71**, 2703–2707 (1949).

21. Zaengle-Barone, J. M. et al. Copper influences the antibacterial outcomes of a  $\beta$ -lactamase-activated prochelator against drug-resistant bacteria. *ACS Infect. Dis.* **4**, 1019–1029 (2018).

22. Healy, A. R., Vizcaino, M. I., Crawford, J. M. & Herzon, S. B. Convergent and modular synthesis of candidate precolibactins. structural revision of precolibactin A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 5426–5432 (2016).

23. Melvin, M. S. et al. Double-strand DNA cleavage by copper-prodigiosin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **122**, 6333–6334 (2000).

24. Cuevas-Ramos, G. et al. *Escherichia coli* induces DNA damage in vivo and triggers genomic instability in mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **107**, 11537–11542 (2010).

25. Stern, B. R. et al. Copper and human health: biochemistry, genetics, and strategies for modeling dose-response relationships. *J. Toxicol. Env. Heal. B* **10**, 157–222 (2007).

26. Pitié, M. & Pratviel, G. Activation of DNA carbon-hydrogen bonds by metal complexes. *Chem. Rev.* **110**, 1018–1059 (2010).

27. Brotherton, C. A. & Balskus, E. P. A prodrug resistance mechanism is involved in colibactin biosynthesis and cytotoxicity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 3359–3362 (2013).

28. Wagner, J. K., Marquis, K. A. & Rudner, D. Z. SirA enforces diploidy by inhibiting the replication initiator DnaA during spore formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **73**, 963–974 (2009).