

# Supplementary Information for

Oligogalacturonides production *upon Arabidopsis thaliana-Botrytis cinerea* interaction.

Aline Voxeur, Olivier Habrylo, Stéphanie Guénin, Fabien Miart, Marie-Christine Soulié, Christophe Rihouey, Corinne Pau-Roblot, Jean-Marc Domon, Laurent Gutierrez, Jérôme Pelloux, Grégory Mouille, Mathilde Fagard, Herman Höfte & Samantha Vernhettes

Samantha Vernhettes Email: [samantha.verhnettes@i](mailto:samantha.verhnettes@x)nra.fr

# **This PDF file includes:**

Supplementary text Figs. S1 to S19 Tables S1 to S3 References for SI reference citations

# **Other supplementary materials for this manuscript include the following:**

Datasets S1

#### **Supplementary Information Text**

# **Material and Methods**

#### **Plant Growth**

*A. thaliana* wild-type Wassilewskija (Ws-0) plants were grown in soil in a growth chamber at 22 °C, 70% humidity, under irradiance of 100 μmol**·**m**<sup>−</sup>**<sup>2</sup> **·**s **<sup>−</sup>**<sup>1</sup> with a photoperiod of 8 h light/16 h dark.

#### **Fungal strains and growth**

The wild-type *B. cinerea* B05.10 strain and *Bcpg1-6 and Bcpme1/2* (**1,2**) mutants were grown on potato dextrose agar at 23 °C under continuous light. After 10 days, each strain produced a dense carpet of conidia.

### **The** *BcPNL1* **cloning**

The coding sequence of BcPNL1(BofuT4\_P032630) (**3**) including the native peptide signal part, was amplified by PCR using Phusion®Taq polymerase (Thermofisher scientific) from *B. cinerea* gDNA with two specific primers (**Table S3**). The expression vector pPICZαB (Invitrogen, Cat. No. V19520) was digested by *Bst*BI and *Not*I, and the insert was ligated into the vector. After transformation in *E. coli* TOP10 (Invitrogen, Cat. No. C404003), the insert was verified by sequencing, the linearized construct was used to transform *Pichia pastoris* X-33 strain as described in the instruction manual *P. pastoris* expression kit (Invitrogen, Cat. No. K1710-01). Transformants were selected on Zeocin.

#### **Virulence assays**

The spores were washed from the surface of the plate using potato dextrose broth medium, the concentration of spores was determined using a Malassez cell and adjusted to a final concentration of  $3.10^5$  conidia/mL. Twenty microliter drops of spore suspension were placed on *A. thaliana* leaves of 5-week-old or 6-week-old plants. Control leaves were spotted with droplets of potato dextrose broth medium. Fungal DNA was extracted and quantified according to Gachon and Saindrenan (**4**).

#### **OG production**

The spores were washed from the surface of the plate using Gamborg's B5 basal medium, 2% (w/v) fructose and 10 mM phosphate buffer pH 6.4. Fungal hyphae were removed from the suspension by filtering. The concentration of spores was determined using a Malassez cell and adjusted. To analyze OGs released from citrus pectins (Sigma, P9135), a 0,8% pectic solution in Gamborg medium was mixed v/v with spore suspensions at  $3 \times 10^5$  spores/ml and incubated on a rotary shaker at 100 rpm at 23  $^{\circ}$ C during 6, 16 or 24 h. To analyze OGs released during infection, isolated *A. thaliana* leaves of 5-week-old plants were either spotted with spore suspension drops or directly immersed in a *B. cinerea* suspension (6 leaves for 10 ml of suspension at 3 x 10<sup>5</sup> spores/ml) and incubated on a rotary shaker at 100 rpm at 23 °C during 12, 15, 18 or 20 h. To analyze OGs released from plant cell wall, alcohol insoluble residue from *A. thaliana* leaves were incubated with *B. cinerea* spore suspension. At last, DP3-DP15 were obtained from polygalacturonic acid and incubated with germinated spores. For all the experiments, the liquid media was then collected and an equal volume of 96% ethanol was added to precipitate the largest molecules. After centrifugation (5000 g during 10 min), the supernatant was collected and dried in a speed vacuum concentrator at room temperature. The obtained pellet was then diluted. For OGs produced from pectins, 2 ml were dried and diluted in 200 µl. For OGs released during infection, the equivalent of the digestate of 3 leaves of 5-week-old *A. thaliana* plants was dried and diluted in 200 µl. 10 µl were injected for MS analysis.

#### **Protein extraction and purification, enzymatic activities**

Endopolygalacturonase M2 from *Aspergillus aculeatus* (Megazyme) was used as reference. *Pichia pastoris* lines expressing *B. cinerea* BcPG2, BcPG3 and BcPME1, were obtained from Jan A. L. Van Kan and grown for 3 days at 30 °C in Yeast Extract Peptone Dextrose (YEPD) solid medium (**5, 6**). The methods to purify BcPME1, BcPG2 and BcPG3 have been described previously (**5, 6**). Enzymatic activities were tested using commercial pectic substrates (Citrus peel pectins with a with a degree of methylesterification (DM) of 70% (Sigma), sugar beet pectins).

The *P. pastoris line expressing* BcPNL1 were grown in baffled flasks in 10 mL of buffered glycerol-complex medium, overnight at 30°C using the appropriate antibiotic. Cells were then collected by centrifugation and resuspended to an OD600 of 1.0 in 100 mL of buffered methanol complex medium. A final concentration of 0.5 %  $(v/v)$  methanol was added every 24 h to maintain induction. After 72h of induction, the culture was centrifuged at 1 500 g for 10 min. The supernatant was loaded onto a 1ml HisTrap excel column (GE Healthcare) to affinity purification. The eluate fractions were concentrated using centrifugal filter units (Amicon® Ultra-4, Millipore). 6 µg of eluate were loaded into a 10% SDS-PAGE with Coomassie blue staining. The protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford assay with bovine serum albumin as a standard. To identify the recombinant protein by Western blot, SDS-PAGE was transferred from resolving gel to PVDF blotting membrane using the appropriate cathode and anode buffers and a Trans-Blot TURBO Transfer System (Bio-Rad, Cat. No. 170-4155) at 0.1A for 30 min. TBS-T (0.5% Tween 20 in TBS) was used as washing buffer and 4% non-fat dried milk in TBS-T was used as blocking reagent.

Transferred proteins were incubated for 1 h at room temperature under shaking with 1:4000 dilution of anti-his antibody coupled with peroxidase (Sigma, Cat. No. A7058). After washes, the reagent DAB substrate (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat. No. 34002) was used to detect the protein of interest according to the supplier's instructions. Substrate specificities of pectin lyase were tested on following substrates: polygalacturonic acid (Sigma, Cat. No. 81325); *Citrus* pectin, degree of methylesterification (DM) 20-34% (Sigma, Cat. No. P9311); *Citrus* pectin, DM 55-70% (Sigma, Cat. No. P9436); *Citrus* pectin, DM >85% (Sigma, Cat. No. P9561); apple pectin DM 70-75% (Sigma, Cat. No. 76282); sugar beet pectin, DM 42%, degree of acetylation 31% (CPKelco). Pectin lyase activity from purified BcPNL1 was determined adapted from Albersheim (1966). 25 μl of purified BcPNL1 (17.2 ng) was added into 100 μl of pre-heated 0.5 % (w/v) substrate in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8) and incubated at 40 °C. Pectin lyase activity of forming unsaturated products was determined by measuring a linear increase in absorbance at 235 nm for 20 min.

The optimal pH of BcPNL1 was assayed in 50 mM with glycine-NaOH buffer (pH 7.7-10.0) and Tris-HCl buffer (pH  $6.8-8.2$ ) at  $40^{\circ}$ C, according to the same conditions as previously described and using high DM *Citrus* pectin as substrate.

One enzyme unit is defined as the formation of 1 μmol unsaturated pectin per min, with a molar extinction coefficient of 5500  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. Each enzymatic measurement was performed in triplicate.

### **OG characterization and quantification**

*Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC).* Pectin digests, diluted to 1 mg/ml in 50% (v/v) acetonitrile, were analyzed using an UltiMate™ 3000 RSLCnano System system (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) coupled to an Impact II UHR-QqTOF (Bruker). Chromatographic separation was performed on an Acquity UPLC BEH HILIC column (1.7  $\mu$ m, 2.1 mm × 150 mm, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA). Elution was

performed at a flow rate of 500  $\mu$ l/min and a column oven temperature of 40 °C. The injection volume was set to  $1$  µl.

The composition of the two mobile phase lines was (A)  $99:1(v/v)$  water/acetonitrile 15 mM with (water/ACN) 0.1% formic acid, (B) 90% (v/v) ACN ammonium formate 15 mM/ formic acid 0.1%. The following elution profile was used: 0–1 min, isocratic 100% B; 1–30 min, linear from 100% to 60% B; followed by column re-equilibration; 35–45 isocratic 100% B. MS-detection was performed in negative mode with the end plate offset set voltage to 500 V, capillary voltage to 2500 V, Nebulizer 50 psi, dry gas 10 l/min and dry temperature 200  $^{\circ}$ C. Mass spectra were acquired over the scan range m/z 150–2000. Compass 1.8 software, (Bruker Daltonics) was used to acquire and process the data.

*High-performance size-exclusion chromatography (HP-SEC).* Samples were diluted at 1 mg/ml in ammonium formate 50 mM, formic acid 0.1%. Chromatographic separation was performed on an ACQUITY UPLC Protein BEH SEC Column (125Å, 1.7 µm, 4.6 mm X 300 mm, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA). Elution was performed in 50 mM ammonium formate, formic acid  $0.1\%$  at a flow rate of 400  $\mu$ l/min and a column oven temperature of 40  $\degree$ C. The injection volume was set to 10 µl. MS-detection was performed in negative mode with the end plate offset set voltage to 500 V, capillary voltage to 4000 V, Nebulizer 40 psi, dry gas 8 l/min and dry temperature 180 °C.

#### **Data analysis**

Major peaks were annotated following accurate mass annotation, isotopic pattern and MS/MS analysis. The MS fragmentation pattern is indicated according to the nomenclature of Domon and Costello (**7**). The fragments are designated as X for cross-ring cleavages and Y, Z for glycosidic bond cleavages when charge is retained at the reducing end and A (cross ring cleavages) and B, C (glycosidic bond cleavages) when charge is retained at the non-reducing end. Sugars, indicated as subscript number, are numbered from the reducing end for X, Y and Z ions and from the non-reducing end for the others. For cross-ring cleavages, the cleaved bonds are indicated by superscript numbers. At last, ions produced as a result of more than one cleavage are designated with a slash between cleavage sites (e.g.  ${}^{0,2}A_{4}/{}^{1,5}X_{2}$ ). We took in account that Z- and C-type were found to be dominant over Y- and B-type ions in negative ion mode (**8-10**).

For the targeted analysis of 72 specific oligosaccharides (see **Table S2**), the theoretical exact masses were used with 4 significant figures with a scan width of 5 ppm. The resulting extracted ion chromatograms were integrated and the area under the curve was used for relative quantitation. Data were analyzed using principle component analysis (PCA). The heatmap presented in **Fig. 7a** was generated using ClustVis [\(http://biit.cs.ut.ee/clustvis\)](http://biit.cs.ut.ee/clustvis).

#### **Semi-purification of OGs and GUS quantification**

OG-containing samples corresponding to 16 leaves infected either by WT strain or *Bcpme1/2* were desalted using Pierce Graphite spin column (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). After desalting, both samples were dried and then resuspended at a final concentration of 50 g/ml; A final concentration of OGs were next infiltrated in leaves of 5-week-old seedlings expressing the defense reporter construct *pAtPGIP1::GUS.* GUS activity analyses were performed on the aerial part of 5-week-old seedlings as described (**11)** with some modifications: the GUS buffer does not contain any  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol and the measures were performed with a fluoroscan ascent (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Three pools of two leaves of two different replicates were analyzed. For GUS staining of infiltrated leaves, hypocotyls and leaves infected with fungal strains, leaves expressing the promoter *pGIP1-GUS* fusion were submerged in GUS buffer, infiltrated 3 times (2 min) under vacuum and incubated at 37°C for 5h (**12-14**).

#### **Size-exclusion chromatography and multi-angle laser-scattering**

Pectins (1 mg/ml in 0.1 M LiNO<sub>3</sub>) were injected on an on-line size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) column coupled with multi-angle laser-light scattering (MALLS), a differential refractive index (dRI) detector and a viscometer (Viscostar, Wyatt Technology Inc. (Santa Barbara, USA). Experiments were performed as described (**15**).

#### **Quantitative RT-PCR**

After incubation for 6 and 16 h of WT strain on *A. thaliana* leaves, total fungal RNA was extracted from harvested biomass using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carsbad, CA, U.S.A.). Reverse transcription was performed using an oligo-dT20 for a primer and Superscript II RnaseH-reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Real-time quantitative PCR analysis was performed using Bio-rad Cfx Connect. A 1:5 dilution of cDNA (2.5 µl) was amplified in a 7.5 µl reaction mix containing Power Sybr green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) and 10 µM of each primer (**Table S3**). Gene expression values were normalized to expression of *B. cinerea* actin gene.

After incubation for 3 h with semi-purified OGs (50 µg/mL), leaves were quick-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. RNA was extracted using Qiagen RNAeasy kit (Qiagen, Paris, France). 1μg of RNA was treated with RNA-free DNAse and used for RT-PCR using the RevertAid H using ingredients from ThermoFisher (Villebon-sur-Yvette, France). 10 ng of RNA was used for each q-PCR. 3 technical repeats were performed for each primer pair (**Table S3)** and 3 biological repeats for each sample. Transcript levels were normalized using the reference gene *UBI4* according to the formula  $E^*2^{\wedge}$  Cq target – Cq ref). Gene transcript levels were expressed relative to the mock (treatment without OG), i.e. the calibrator for which expression level was set to 1.

#### **Affymetrix Microarray analysis**

24 h after infection with Bcpme or WT strains,leaves were collected and RNA was extracted. Total RNA extraction was carried out from three biological replicates of infected leaves using the Nucleospin RNA XS purification kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Macherey Nagel, Germany), including the removal of genomic DNA. RNA samples were quantified using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) and quality control (RIN>8) was assessed by a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA).

cRNAs, prepared from 100 ng of total RNA according to the GeneChip Whole Transcript (WT) PLUS protocol (Affymetrix, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), were used to generate single-stranded DNAs, which were fragmented and biotinylated according to the manufacturer's instructions. The labelled single-stranded DNAs were hybridized for 18 hours at 48 °C on Affymetrix four-arrays strips (Arabidopsis Gene 1.1 ST Array strip) in Affymetrix GeneAtlas hybridization station. After hybridization, strips were washed (Affymetrix GeneAtlas Fluidics Station) and imaged (GeneAtlas imaging station).

Data were normalized using the Expression Console software (Affymetrix) using default RMA-sketch normalization. Normalized files were then analyzed by Transcriptome Analysis Console (TAC) 4.0 software (Affymetrix) including Limma differential expression analysis with eBayes correction of ANOVA variance using default settings. Normalized expression values were filtered for statistical relevance of differential expression using FDR F-Test pvalue<0,01. Affymetrix Microarray data will be available in the Gene expression Omnibus database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE120933).

#### **Statistical analyses**

For testing the normality of distribution, the Shapiro-Wilk test was performed. For normally

distributed data, a Bartlett's test was performed to compare the variance of samples. Unpaired t-tests were used. For smaller set of data, we performed a Mann-Whitney test.









yillus<br>, (d)<br>aation<br>iignal  $GalA<sub>4</sub>Me<sub>3</sub>$ , (e)  $GalA<sub>3</sub>Me<sub>2</sub>$ . OGs are named  $GalA<sub>x</sub>Me<sub>y</sub>$  Subscript numbers indicate the degree of polymerization and the number of methyl groups respectively. GalA: galacturonic acid; Me: methylester intensity.



![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S5 : Botrytis cinerea growth over Arabidopsis thaliana leaf infection in liquid medium. (a). A. thaliana leaves stained with trypan blue after 6, 9, 12 and 15 hours of incubation in solution containing  $3.10^5$  spore/mL. (b). Abundance of *B. cinerea CUTINASE* A (*BcCUTA*) quantified in 6, 9, 12, 15 and 18 hours -infected leaves and normalised with the plant SHAGGY-RELATED KINASE 11 (At

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S6. Comparison of OGs produced upon Arabidopsis thaliana - Botrytis cinerea

Figure S6. Comparison of<br>OGs produced upon<br>Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>Botrytis cinerea<br>interaction.<br>(a) Comparison of<br>extracted ion<br>chromatograms obtained<br>by HP-SEC–MS analysis in<br>negative ionization mode of<br>oligogalacturonide Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC–MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC–MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC–MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC–MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>OGs produced upon<br>Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>Botrytis cinerea<br>interaction.<br>(a) Comparison of<br>extracted ion<br>chromatograms obtained<br>by HP-SEC–MS analysis in<br>negative ionization mode of<br>oligogalacturonide Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC–MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC–MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal Figure S6. Comparison of<br>
OGs produced upon<br>
Arabidopsis thaliana -<br>
Botrytis cinerea<br>
interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogal rigure of control and and control and control and control and and and and the state interaction.<br>
(a) Comparison of extracted ion chromatograms obtained by HP-SEC-MS analysis in negative ionization mode of oligogalacturoni **Arabidopsis thaliana -**<br> **Arabidopsis thaliana -**<br> **Botrytis cinerea**<br> **interaction.**<br>
(a) Comparison of extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogalacturonides (O **Example Interaction.**<br> **Interaction.**<br> **(a)** Comparison of extracted ion chromatograms obtained by HP-SEC-MS analysis in negative ionization mode of oligogalacturonides (OG) endogenously produced from spore drops spotted **Example 10**<br> **(a)** Comparison of<br>
extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>
negative ionization mode of<br>
oligogalacturonides (OG)<br>
endogenously produced<br>
from spore drops spotted<br>
on leaves 24 hpi ( (a)<br>extracted in<br>extracted in<br>chromatograms obtained<br>by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>negative ionization mode of<br>oligogalacturonides (OG)<br>endogenously produced<br>from spore drops spotted<br>on leaves 24 hpi (red) or<br>from immersed leav Extranced by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>chromatograms obtained<br>by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>negative ionization mode of<br>oligogalacturonides (OG)<br>endogenously produced<br>from spore drops spotted<br>on leaves 24 hpi (red) or<br>from immersed on minimalism in the summan<br>by HP-SEC-MS analysis in<br>negative ionization mode of<br>oligogalacturonides (OG)<br>endogenously produced<br>from spore drops spotted<br>on leaves 24 hpi (red) or<br>from immersed leaves in<br>spore medium 20 hpi by the Control control of the distance of digogalacturonides (OG) endogenously produced from spore drops spotted on leaves 24 hpi (red) or from immersed leaves in spore medium 20 hpi (black) during infection of A. *thalian* negaure branchained in the digogral acturonides (OG)<br>endogenously produced<br>from spore drops spotted<br>on leaves 24 hpi (red) or<br>from immersed leaves in<br>spore medium 20 hpi<br>(black) during infection of<br>A. thaliana leaves infec diated and species and the endogenously produced<br>from spore drops spotted<br>on leaves 24 hpi (red) or<br>from immersed leaves in<br>spore medium 20 hpi<br>(black) during infection of<br>A. *thaliana* leaves infected<br>by WT B. *cinerea* s Ender Special Correction Spore drops spotted<br>on leaves 24 hpi (red) or<br>from spore drops spotted<br>on leaves 24 hpi (red) or<br>from immersed leaves in<br>spore medium 20 hpi<br>(black) during infection of<br>A. *thaliana* leaves infecte From the special of the specifical on leaves 24 hpi (red) or<br>from immersed leaves in<br>spore medium 20 hpi<br>(black) during infection of<br>A. *thaliana* leaves infected<br>by WT B. *cinerea* strain.<br>Extracted ion<br>chromatograms obt of noting the control of the control of the control of the spore medium 20 hpi<br>(black) during infection of A. *thaliana* leaves infected<br>by WT *B. cinerea* strain.<br>Extracted ion<br>chromatograms obtained<br>for control drops (li From the medium 20 hpi<br>spore medium 20 hpi<br>(black) during infection of<br>A. *thaliana* leaves infected<br>by WT *B. cinerea* strain.<br>Extracted<br>for control drops (light red)<br>and control drops (light red)<br>and control drops (ligh strong interaction of<br>(black) during infection of<br>A. *thaliana* leaves infected<br>by WT *B. cinerea* strain.<br>Extracted ion<br>chromatograms obtained<br>for control drops (light red)<br>and control drops (light red)<br>and control medium (alternal methods)<br>
A. thaliana leaves infected<br>
by WT B. cinerea strain.<br>
Extracted ion<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
for control drops (light red)<br>
and control drops (light red)<br>
and control drops (light red)<br>
and control med  $GalA_xMe_yAc_z$  Subscript<br>numbers indicate the Extracted in<br>
Extracted in<br>
chromatograms obtained<br>
for control drops (light red)<br>
and control drops (light red)<br>
and control drops (light red)<br>
dark) are also presented.<br>
(b) MS identification of<br>
OGs of DP4 and DP5 from Extranced chromatograms obtained<br>chromatograms obtained<br>for control drops (light red)<br>and control medium (light<br>dark) are also presented.<br>(b) MS identification of<br>OGs of DP4 and DP5 from<br>spore drops spotted on<br>leaves (red) of control drops (light red)<br>and control drops (light red)<br>and control medium (light<br>dark) are also presented.<br>(b) MS identification of<br>OGs of DP4 and DP5 from<br>spore drops spotted on<br>leaves (red) or from<br>immersed leaves i From and control medium (light<br>and control medium (light<br>dark) are also presented.<br>(b) MS identification of<br>OGs of DP4 and DP5 from<br>spore drops spotted on<br>leaves (red) or from<br>immersed leaves in spore<br>medium (black) during dark) are also presented.<br>
dark) are also presented.<br>
(b) MS identification of<br>
OGs of DP4 and DP5 from<br>
spore drops spotted on<br>
leaves (red) or from<br>
immersed leaves in spore<br>
medium (black) during<br>
infection of A. *thali* div) MS identification of<br>Colos of DP4 and DP5 from<br>spore drops spotted on<br>leaves (red) or from<br>immersed leaves in spore<br>medium (black) during<br>infection of A. *thaliana*<br>leaves infected by WT B.<br>*cinerea* strain. (c) MS<br>id (b) The Tourist Controller and DP5 from<br>spore drops spotted on<br>leaves (red) or from<br>immersed leaves in spore<br>medium (black) during<br>infection of A. *thaliana*<br>leaves infected by WT B.<br>*cinerea* strain. (c) MS<br>identification spore drops spotted on<br>spore drops spotted on<br>leaves (red) or from<br>immersed leaves in spore<br>medium (black) during<br>infection of A. *thaliana*<br>leaves infected by WT B.<br>*cinerea* strain. (c) MS<br>identification of OGs<br>detected shows (red) or from<br>leaves (red) or from<br>immersed leaves in spore<br>medium (black) during<br>infection of A. *thaliana*<br>leaves infected by WT B.<br>*cinerea* strain. (c) MS<br>identification of OGs<br>detected from cell wall of<br>leaves

 $\overline{a}$ 

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

intensity.

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_54.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

# a a comparative control of the control of

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

week-old rosette leaves infected by BcWT, *Bcpme1/2* and *Bcpg1 72* hours post infection and normalised with the plant SHAGGY-RELATED KINASE 11 (AtSK11) gene.

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

a Reticulum endoplasmic protein processing

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

b

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

(a) or in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (b) in non-infected leaves (Log2, FDR F-Test p-value<0.01) are shown and *Bcomet* 2 strains. Otherwise consideration of transcripts involved in the processing of proteins in the endo **EXECUTE:**<br> **BCPMEN/2**<br> **EXECUTE:**<br> **EXEC** Bottom Contribution Control and September 20 strains compared to non-infected leaves (Log2, FDR F-Test p-value  $\sim$ 0.01) are shown and Bepme1/2 strains. Values of normalized transcript quantities from genes differentially Figure S18. Different patterns of gene expression were detected in planta during *Bcpme1/2*<br>
Figure S18. Different patterns of gene expression were detected in planta during *Bcpme1/2*<br>
Figure S18. Different patterns of g

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S19. Spectrum of semi-purified OG preparations from Bcpme1/2 infected leaves.

![](_page_29_Picture_653.jpeg)

**Supplementary Table 1.** List of oligogalacturonides produced by the commercial *Aspergillus aculeatus* polygalacturonase from citrus and sugar beet pectins.

![](_page_30_Picture_613.jpeg)

**Supplementary Table 2.** List of the oligogalactuturonides produced upon infection of *Arabidopsis thaliana* by *Botrytis cinerea.*

![](_page_31_Picture_1500.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_154.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_155.jpeg)

### **References for SI reference citations**

- 1. Ten Have A, Breuil WO, Wubben JP, Visser J, van Kan JAL (2001) *Botrytis cinerea* endopolygalacturonase genes are differentially expressed in various plant tissues. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **33**:97–105.
- 2. Kars I, McCalman M, Wagemakers L, Van Kan JAL (2005) Functional analysis of *Botrytis cinerea* pectin methylesterase genes by PCR-based targeted mutagenesis: BcPME1 and BcPME2 are dispensable for virulence of strain B05.10. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **6**:641–652.
- 3. Leroch M, Kleber A, Silva E, Coenen T, Koppenhöfer D, Shmaryahu A, Valenzuela PD, Hahn M (2013) Transcriptome profiling of Botrytis cinerea conidial germination reveals upregulation of infection-related genes during the prepenetration stage. *Eukaryot Cell*. **12**:614-626.
- 4. Gachon C, Saindrenan P. Real-time PCR monitoring of fungal development in Arabidopsis thaliana infected by Alternaria brassicicola and Botrytis cinerea. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2004 May;42(5):367-71
- 5. L'Enfant M et al*.* (2015) Substrate specificity of plant and fungi pectin methylesterases: Identification of novel inhibitors of PMEs. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **81**:681–691.
- 6. Kars I et al. (2005) Necrotizing activity of five *Botrytis cinerea* endopolygalacturonases produced in *Pichia pastoris*: Necrotizing activity of BcPGs. *Plant J.* **43**:213–225.
- 7. Domon B, Costello CE (1988) A systematic nomenclature for carbohydrate fragmentations in FAB-MS/MS spectra of glycoconjugates. *Glycoconj. J*. **5**:397–409.
- 8. Körner R, Limberg G, Christensen TM, Mikkelsen JD, Roepstorff P (1999) Sequencing of partially methylesterified oligogalacturonates by tandem mass

spectrometry and its use to determine pectinase specificities. *Anal. Chem.* **71**: 421– 1427.

- 9. Quéméner B, Cabrera Pino JC, Ralet MC, Bonnin E, Thibault JF (2003) Assignment of acetyl groups to O-2 and/or O-3 of pectic oligogalacturonides using negative electrospray ionization ion trap mass spectrometry: Assignment of oligogalacturonide acetyl groups by ESI-ITMS. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **38**:641–648.
- 10. Quéméner B, Désiré C, Lahaye M, Debrauwer L, Negroni L (2003) Structural characterisation by both positive- and negative-ion electrospray mass spectrometry of partially methylesterified oligogalacturonides purified by semi-preparative highperformance anion-exchange chromatography. *Eur. J Mass Spectr*om. **9**:45.
- 11. Elmayan, T. & Vaucheret, H. Expression of single copies of a strongly expressed 35S transgene can be silenced post-transcriptionally. *Plant J.* **9,** 787–797 (1996).
- 12. Davidsson P et al. (2017) Short oligogalacturonides induce pathogen resistanceassociated gene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana. *BMC Plant Biol.* **17**:19.
- 13. Ferrari S, Vairo D, Ausubel FM, Cervone F, De Lorenzo G (2003) Tandemly duplicated Arabidopsis genes that encode polygalacturonase-inhibiting proteins are regulated coordinately by different signal transduction pathways in response to fungal infection. *Plant Cell* **15**:93-106.
- 14. Jefferson RA, Kavanagh TA, Bevan MW (1987) GUS fusions: beta-glucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants. *EMBO J* **6 :**3901–3907.
- 15. Rihouey C, Paynel F, Gorshkova T, Morvan C (2017) Flax fibers: assessing the noncellulosic polysaccharides and an approach to supramolecular design of the cell wall. *Cellulose* **24**:1985–2001.