

<i>Practice</i>	<i>Alex comment</i>	<i>Ben comment</i>	<i>Resolve in discussion</i>	<i>action?</i>	<i>code: 0=non-issue 1=arguably sub-optimal detection 2=sub-optimal detection</i>
<i>desogestrel</i>					
<i>B87031</i>	two drops -prescribing is weird		agree could have been shallower slope but same initiation month. note moving p-value threshold changes this, but n detected changes in other practices drops substantially: trade-offs.		1
<i>B83033</i>		arguably better to detect change at 39 months and consider whole drop as one drop.	marginal. overall no issue here.		0
<i>D81062</i>	slightly early first change		not material to the results of this study, agree somewhat interesting!		0
<i>E81009</i>		very much borderline, and depends on use-case, but possibly better to consider it as one drop starting month 33.	marginal. overall no issue here.		0
<i>E85746</i>	I'd have drawn two flat lines with a step change		arguable, but noisy institution. marginal. overall no issue here.		0
<i>F81114</i>	same as B87031		agree could have been shallower slope and slightly earlier; as above, moving p-value threshold changes this, but n detected changes in other practices drops substantially: trade-offs.		1
<i>F84717</i>	slightly too early		arguable, noisy series. overall no issue here.		0
<i>J84013</i>	same as B87031		agree could have been shallower slope and slightly earlier; as above, moving p-value threshold changes this, but n detected changes in other practices drops substantially: trade-offs.		1
<i>L83051</i>		could argue better as one drop from month 47 but barely.	very marginal. overall no issue here.		0
<i>L84045</i>		could argue as one drop from month 33.	very marginal. overall no issue here. timing unaffected		0
<i>trimethoprim</i>					
<i>E84077</i>	detects a downward shift immediately after an increase, not really the algorithm misbehaving, but not a very meaningful change		agree, a drop seems fair, but problem with magnitude in particular.		2
<i>E86030</i>	as above	?off-topic but worth noting up-tick.	agree, consider in future whether to drop observations where a large drop follows a large rise.	feasibility test on this?	2
<i>F83665</i>		off-topic but as above wonder if in some use-cases the long second slope is more important.	agree, consider in future whether to prioritise the drop with the largest magnitude.		0
<i>G82113</i>	detected drop based on 2 months?? data followed by a slow increase		odd prescribing behaviour too.		1