SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Bayesian weighing of electron cryo-microscopy data for integrative structural modeling

Massimiliano Bonomi^{1,*}, Samuel Hanot², Charles H. Greenberg³, Andrej Sali³, Michael Nilges², Michele Vendruscolo¹, Riccardo Pellarin^{2,*‡}

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1EW, United Kingdom ²Structural Bioinformatics Unit, Institut Pasteur, CNRS UMR 3528, 75015 Paris, France ³Department of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, and California Institute for Quantitative Biomedical Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, California 94158, United States

*Correspondence to: mb2006@cam.ac.uk; riccardo.pellarin@pasteur.fr ‡Lead contact



Figure S1: Selection of the optimal data-GMM. (A) Fourier Shell Correlation of each GMM in the series with the original map. The horizontal dotted line represents the 0.5 threshold, and the vertical dotted line marks the inverse of the resolution of the original map (11.5 Å). (B) Ellipsoid representation of the GMM series, together with the absolute relative deviation $|\Delta r|/r$ between the data-GMM resolution and the density map resolution. The optimal GMM, defined as the GMM which minimizes $|\Delta r|/r$, is highlighted.



Figure S2: Likelihood and score. (A) Likelihood expressed as the product of individual datacomponent terms. (B) Representation of the evolution of the likelihood as a function of the overlap between the data- and model-GMMs. (C) Scores associated with each conformation.



Figure S3: Schematic representation of the iterative sampling protocol. For the four iterations of the exosome modeling, the data-GMM is represented as a set of ellipsoids along with resulting models that were selected as seed for the next iteration. A subset of models as structurally diverse as possible is selected from the 100 best scoring models produced at every iteration and used as starting configurations for the following iteration.



Figure S4: Modeling of the GroEL/ES complex. (A) Absolute relative deviation $|\Delta r|/r$ between the data-GMM resolution and the density map resolution as a function of the number of Gaussians of the data-GMM; (B) The model-GMM is represented as a set of ellipsoids (left) and representation of the best scoring model (right). Each subunit is represented as a string of connected beads, where the diameter of the beads defines the coarse-graining resolution.



Figure S5: Modeling of the RNA polymerase II. (A) The cryo-EM map (EMDB code 1883) used in the modeling. (B) The prior structure (PDB code 1WCM) used to initialize the coordinates of the beads. (C, D) Visual representation of the two XL-MS datasets used. The sequence of each subunit is represented as a rectangle, with numbers indicating the residue indexes in the sequence. Cross-links between two residues are represented as a line connecting the two residues. The intra-molecular and inter-molecular cross-links are represented as curved purple lines and straight green lines, respectively.



Figure S6: Model representation of the RNA polymerase II. (A) Representation of each subunit, with different colors for each individual rigid body. (B) Representation of the best scoring model. Each subunit is represented as a string of connected beads, where the diameter of the beads defines the coarse-graining resolution. The model-GMM is represented as a set of ellipsoids.



Figure S7: Modeling of the exosome. (A) The cryo-EM map (EMDB code 3367) and (B) a visualization of the XL-MS dataset used in the modeling. (C) Prior structure (PDB code 4IFD), (D) reference structure (PDB code 5G06), and (E) an alignment of the two, in which the conformational change between RNA-bound (blue) and RNA-free (red) structures is highlighted.



Figure S8: Model representation of the exosome. (A) Representation of each subunit, with different colors for each individual rigid body. (B) Representation of the best scoring model. Each subunit is represented as a string of connected beads, where the diameter of the beads defines the coarse-graining resolution. The model-GMM is represented as a set of ellipsoids.

	2UZ 28	X:A 39	2UZ 72	X:B 7
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]
best rsmd	0.5	0.5, 3.3	0.3	0.5, 0.6
C1	0.8	0.9, 6.7	0.5	0.6, 0.8

	3R5D:A 347		3R5 34	D:B 17	3R5D:C 347		
	rmsd [Å] PS [Å,°]		rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	
best rsmd	0.7	0.9, 2.0	0.9	1.6, 0.4	0.6	0.8, 2.8	
C1	0.8	1.2, 1.5	0.9	1.4, 2.4	0.7	0.6, 3.8	

		1CS4:A 225		1CS 21	4:B 2	1CS4:C 394		
		rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	
	best rsmd	1.3	2.0, 1.6	1.1	0.7, 1.3	0.4	0.2, 0.3	
	C1	1.3	1.0, 2.9	0.9	0.8, 0.3	0.9	0.7, 0.4	

	2WV 73	YY:A 37	2WV 73	YY:B 37	2WVY:C 737		
	rmsd [Å] PS [Å,°]		rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	
best rsmd	0.4	0.5, 1.1	0.3	0.3, 0.0	0.5	0.4, 0.4	
C1	0.6	0.9, 1.0	0.3	0.2, 0.4	0.4	0.4, 0.4	

	2DQJ:H 114		2D 1	QJ:L 07	2DQJ:Y 129		
	rmsd [Å] PS [Å,°]		rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	
best rsmd	0.7	1.2, 0.2	1.4	0.8, 6.3	1.2	1.1, 2.0	
C1	0.9	1.2, 1.2	2.0	2.3, 6.5	1.3	1.5, 1.7	
C2	13.6 1.0, 179.0		3.6	3.0, 14.3	1.1	1.0, 1.7	
C3	1.6	1.0, 5.8	12.6	3.7, 169.7	1.4	1.1, 2.2	

	1VC 16	B:C 60	1VC 11	B:A 8	1VCB:B 112		
	rmsd [Å] PS [Å,°]		rmsd [Å] PS [Å,°]		rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	
best rsmd	0.7	0.4, 5.3	0.6	0.9, 1.5	0.8	1.1, 1.0	
C1	0.6	0.6, 4.5	0.7	0.9, 1.8	1.1	1.7, 0.8	

	2GC7:C		2GC7:D		2GC7:A		2GC7:B	
	105		147		386		131	
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]						
best rsmd	1.1	0.9, 0.8	0.5	0.6, 0.5	0.7	0.8, 1.2	0.6	0.5, 1.0
C1	1.6	1.5, 0.9	0.6	0.2, 0.8	1.1	0.9, 2.9	0.5	0.8, 0.3

	2BO9:A 308		2BO9:C 308		2BO9:B 222		2BO9:D 222	
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]						
best rsmd	0.8	0.9, 0.3	0.2	0.2, 0.2	0.8	1.0, 2.5	0.7	0.8, 1.3
C1	0.9	0.8, 0.5	0.7	1.1, 0.1	1	0.6, 4.0	0.7	0.9, 1.9

	2BBK:H 355		2BBK:J 355		2BBK:L 125		2BBK:M 125	
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	rmsd [Å] PS [Å,°]		PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]
best rsmd	0.9	1.2, 0.7	1.3	1.9, 1.0	1.4	1.8, 0.7	1.4	2.1, 1.4
C1	1.2	1.2, 0.8	1.2	1.7, 0.9	1.1	1.5, 0.6	2.2	3.2, 1.9

	1GPQ:A 135		1GP 13	1GPQ:B 135		1GPQ:C 129		1GPQ:D 129	
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	
best rsmd	0.9	1.4, 1.0	1.0	1.7, 0.4	1.0	0.4, 1.4	1.1	1.0, 2.0	
C1	0.9	1.0, 1.4	1.2	2.0, 0.5	1.2	1.0, 1.8	1.3	1.6, 2.4	

	3V6D:A 556		3V6D:B 428		3V6D:P 21		3V6D:T 27	
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]
best rsmd	0.7	1.0, 1.6	0.6	1.0, 0.7	2.9	1.9, 32.6	2.2	1.7, 8.8
C1	1.0	1.2, 3.9	0.7	0.6, 2.4	4.0	2.0, 70.4	3.4	1.7, 23.8
C2	22.2	38.5, 1.1	16.1	27.9, 1.6	19.5	32.4, 90.0	19.7	32.7, 51.2

	3SFD:A 622		3SF 25	3SFD:B 252		3SFD:C 140		3SFD:D 103	
_	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	
best rsmd	0.3	0.2, 0.1	1.0	1.3, 1.9	1.6	1.9, 1.3	1.1	1.7, 4.1	
C1	0.3	0.2, 0.1	1.0	1.3, 1.9	1.6	1.8, 1.1	1.1	1.7, 4.1	

	3PDU:A 287		3PD 28	U:B 37	3PD 28	U:C 37	3PDU:D 287		
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	
best rsmd	0.5	0.7, 0.5	0.9	1.1, 1.2	0.7	1.2, 0.4	0.7	1.1, 0.4	
C1	0.6	0.6 0.7, 0.7		1.6, 2.0	0.9	1.5, 0.3	0.8	1.3, 0.5	

	3NVQ:A 590		3NV 59	Q:E 90	3NV 47	Q:B 76	3NVQ:F 476		
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	
best rsmd	0.5	0.7, 0.2	0.5	0.7, 1.3	0.3	0.2, 0.2	0.7	0.8, 0.4	
C1	0.6	0.8, 0.4	0.8	0.7, 1.8	0.2	0.2, 0.2	0.5	0.7, 0.2	

	2Y7H:A 464		2Y7H:B 529		2Y7H:C 529		2Y7 2	H:D 0	2Y7H:E 20		
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	
best rsmd	1.0	1.5, 0.7	1.1	1.4, 1.9	0.8	1.0, 1.4	2.0	3.1, 9.7	2.0	3.0, 10.6	
C1	1.5 2.6, 1.0 1.3 2.0, 1.3		2.0, 1.3	0.7	1.1, 1.2	3.1	3.4, 17.5	3.1	2.7, 14.2		

	1SUV:A 639		1SUV:B 639		1SUV:C 329		1SUV:D 329		1SUV:E 345		1SUV:F 345	
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]										
best rsmd	2.9	4.9, 0.6	2.8	4.8, 0.1	3.3	5.6, 0.6	3.4	5.8, 0.5	2.9	5.0, 0.2	3.0	5.2, 0.1
C1	2.9	4.9, 0.6	3	5.2, 0.1	3.3	5.6, 0.6	3.4	5.8, 0.5	2.9	5.0, 0.2	3.0	5.2, 0.1

	1Z5 15	S:A 56	1Z5S:B 82		1Z5S:C 172		1Z5S:D 83	
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]
best rsmd	1.0	1.6, 1.0	12.0	1.2, 171.0	0.7	0.9, 0.7	1.4	2.3, 1.4
C1	1.3	2.0, 1.7	12.3	2.2, 178.9	1.0	1.4, 0.9	1.3	1.9, 4.1

	3LU0:A 329		3LU0:B 329		3LU0:C 1342		3LU 14(10:D)7	3LU0:E 91	
	rmsd [Å] PS [Å,°]		rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]
best rsmd	10.1	10.2, 35.5	9.6	7.5, 30.5	4.8	3.6, 1.1	2.6	3.1, 0.3	5.5	7.4, 20.7
C1	10.3	10.9, 33.7	9.8	7.3, 24.1	4.2	3.2, 0.8	2.8	3.1, 0.4	11.3	13.5, 34.6
C2	9.9	11.0, 30.3	27.3	21.2, 50.4	5.5	3.2, 1.6	2.3	3.5, 0.3	43.5	72.6, 151.7

	1MDA:A 103		1MDA:B 103		1MDA:H 368		1ME 36	DA:J 58	1MDA:L 121		1MDA:M 121	
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]
best rsmd	10.3	2.0, 178.4	10	3.1, 172.0	1.4	2.2, 0.4	2.1	2.7, 2.5	2.4	0.8, 2.3	1.7	2.3, 2.8
C1	10.4	3.6, 176.1	1.8	2.4, 1.2	1.9	2.8, 1.4	2.1	3.4, 0.3	2.0	3.4, 3.0	9.4	4.0, 174.0

	3PU	JV:A	3PUV:B		3PI	3PUV:E 378		UV:F	3PUV	V:G				
	30	81	3	81	3	/8		014	29	5				
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]				
best rsmd	15.7	17.3, 14.6	24.7	16.9, 134.1	0.6	6 0.7, 1.9	1.3	8 1.7, 1.2	1.3	2.1, 0.4				
C1	16.1	17.6, 17.7	25.1	18.1, 136.7	1.1	0.9, 2.7	1.7	2.0, 0.7	1.5	2.2, 1.4				
	-		-						-		-		-	
	1T	YQ:A	1TY	ZQ:B	1TY	Q:C	1T	YQ:D	1T	YQ:E	1T	YQ:F	1TY	Q:G
	۷	418	3	94	37	2	3	00]	.78]	168	15	51
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]
best rsmd	1.0	0.7, 3.8	3.1	2.6, 4.9	1.2	0.6, 2.3	21.0	7.7, 144.5	14.7	1.6, 175.7	12.1	14.1, 154.7	2.4	2.9, 5.0
C1	0.9	1.0, 0.9	3.6	3.3, 5.4	1.1	1.2, 1.2	21.3	7.9, 144.8	14.9	1.5, 178.4	13.1	16.0, 154.5	2.6	3.4, 8.1
C2	28.3	36.0, 147.4	3.3	2.7, 5.3	1.4	1.0, 2.7	32.2	49.0, 140.7	0.6	0.6, 1.0	10.2	12.4, 30.6	3.3	4.7, 9.7
C3	28.5	36.5, 148.6	1.6	2.3, 3.6	0.8	1.0, 1.0	31.9	48.9, 141.0	1.0	1.5, 0.4	10.1	12.8, 30.5	3.0	2.9, 10.8

Table S1: Detailed results of the benchmark. Rmsd (in Ångstrom) and Placement scores (PS, in Ångstrom and angular degrees) of every subunit for the best-rmsd model and the best scoring model of each structural cluster. By construction, cluster C1 contains the absolute best scoring model, whose properties are reported in **Table 2**. Each column is titled with the PDB code, chain id, and number of residues. For instance, 2B09:A 308 refers to chain A of PDB id 2B09, which is composed of 308 residues.

rank	rank	rmsd [Å]	p(10)	CC	PS [Å,°]
best rsmd	99	8.6	0.98	0.85	4.4, 18.2
C1	0	9	0.98	0.85	5.4, 18.1
C2	1	30.9	0.53	0.82	5.2, 103.3
C3	84	25	0.55	0.82	5.3, 94

	groEL-trans (524)		groEL-cis (524)		groES (97)	
	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]	rmsd [Å]	PS [Å,°]
best rsmd	4.4	2.9, 0.3	9	5.7, 11.8	18.3	4.8, 148.9
C1	6.2	5.6, 2.9	9.1	4.6, 12.4	17.4	7.9, 130.7
C2	43.2	2.9, 177.5	11.1	6.4, 19.7	21.8	10.6, 153.3
C3	33.4	3.1, 178.6	13.3	5.4, 19.7	20.4	16.3, 38.3

Table S2: Results for the GroEL/GroES modeling. (Top) Rmsd (in Ångstrom) Placement scores (PS, in Ångstrom and angular degrees) p(10) and cross-correlation (CC) for the best-rmsd structure, and the best scoring structures of clusters C1, C2 and C3. (Bottom) rmsd and PS contributions for the three subunits.

			rmse	1 [Å]			PS	[Å,°]	
	Reference	Total	GroEL-cis	GroEL-	GroES	Total	GroEL-cis	GroEL-	GroES
				trans				trans	
This Work		9.0	9.1	6.2	17.4	5.4, 18.1	4.6, 12.4	5.6, 2.9	7.9, 130.7
gmfit	Kawabata 2008	14.7							
Attract-EM ¹	de Vries 2012	11.1	5.7	12.7	19.7				
IQP ²	Zhang 2010	(best) 8.6				5.7,17.7			
MultiFit ³	Lasker 2009					11.0,84			
ISD	Habeck 2017		3.5 (both Gro	EL rings)	2.0-20.04				
y-TEMPy ⁵	Pandurangan 2015			11.7				8.9,21.0	
PowerFit	Zundert 2015		3.8-7.3	2.9-5.5	4.2-4.6				
gEMfitter	Hoang 2013		4.0-4.5	2.5-2.8	5.3-6.1				
COLORES ⁶	Chacon 2002	Failed							
ADP_EM	Garzon 2006		<1.3 (both Gr	oEL rings)	Failed				
Segger	Pintilie 2012		5.07	3.06	6.03				

Table S3: Comparison of GroEL/ES modeling with other software. Rmsd (in Ångstrom) and Placement scores (PS, in Ångstrom and angular degrees) for the whole complex (Total) or its subunits.

¹ Before refinement.

² Fit into a manual segmentation of GroEL-trans ring. Only best-rmsd is reported.

 ³ Fine grained search.
⁴ GroES was found to have two clusters with similar scores.

⁵ Only GroEL-trans fitted.

⁶ In the gEMfitter article it is reported that COLORES was not able to model the heptameric double-ring by fitting GroEL-cis and -trans separately.