# Supplemental Methods, Findings, Tables, and Figures

Socioemotional dispositions of children and adolescents predict general and specific second-order factors of psychopathology in early adulthood: A 12-year prospective study

# Quetzal A. Class, Carol A. Van Hulle, Paul J. Rathouz, Brooks Applegate, David H. Zald and Benjamin B. Lahey

Table S1. Spearman correlations among the three CADS dispositions based on parent and youth ratings (N = 499).

	Parent Informant			Youth Informant		
	Negative	Prosociality	Daring	Negative	Prosociality	Daring
	emotionality			emotionality		
Parent						
Informant:						
Negative						
emotionality						
Prosociality	-0.30***					
Daring	0.21***	-0.23***				
Youth						
Informant:						
Negative	0.25***	-0.14**	-0.01			
emotionality						
Prosociality	-0.11*	0.39***	-0.17***	-0.06		
Daring	0.07	-0.18***	0.41***	0.03	-0.08	

Italics indicate cross-informant correlations of ratings of the same dispositions.

\* p < .05; \*\* p < .01; \*\*\* p < .001

Dimension	Count	Frequency	%
Specific Phobia	0	282	56.51
	1	137	27.45
	2	47	9.42
	3	25	5.01
	4	7	1.40
	5	1	0.20
Social Phobia	0	320	64.13
	1	0	0.00
	2	57	11.42
	3	64	12.83
	4	58	11.62
Agoraphobia/Panic	0	389	77.96
	1	75	15.03
	2	27	5.41
	3	3	0.60
	4	3	0.60
	5	2	0.40
Generalized Anxiety	0	376	75.35
	1	55	11.02
	2	16	3.21
	3	13	2.61
	4	8	1.60
	5	6	1.20
	6	11	2.20
	7	9	1.80
	8	5	1.00
PTSD	0	400	80.1
	1	21	4.2
	2	13	2.6
	3	10	2.0
	4	4	0.8
	5	11	2.2
	6	7	1.4
	7	10	2.0
	8	10	2.0
	9	4	0.8
	10	3	0.6
	11	2	0.4
	12	2	0.4
	13	1	0.2
	14	1	0.2
Major depression	0	90	18.04
	1	90	18.04

Table S2. Frequencies of each count of symptoms of each first-order dimension of psychopathology in early adulthood.

	2	73	14.63
	3	71	14.23
	4	65	13.03
	5	37	7.41
	6	39	7.82
	7	19	3.81
	8	8	1.60
	9	6	1.20
	10	1	0.20
Obsessive-Compulsive	0	436	87.37
	1	46	9.22
	2	15	3.01
	3	2	0.40
Antisocial personality	0	222	44.49
	1	123	24.65
	2	62	12.42
	3	60	12.02
	4	19	3.81
	5	6	1.20
	6	6	1.20
	7	1	0.20
Alcohol Use Disorder	0	372	74.55
	1	53	10.62
	2	29	5.81
	3	18	3.61
	4	10	2.00
	5	6	1.20
	6	6	1.20
	7	3	0.60
	8	1	0.20
	9	0	0.00
	10	1	0.20
Cannabis Use Disorder	0	413	82.77
	1	31	6.21
	2	22	4.41
	3	13	2.61
	4	9	1.80
	5	8	1.60
	6	1	0.20
	7	0	0.00
	8	1	0.20
	9	1	0.20
Nicotine Use Disorder	0	354	70.94
	1	39	7.82
	2	30	6.01
	3	29	5.81

	4	26	5.21
	5	6	1.20
	6	7	1.40
	7	2	0.40
	8	3	0.60
	9	2	0.40
	10	1	0.20
Inattention	0	334	66.93
	1	64	12.83
	2	40	8.02
	3	29	5.81
	4	13	2.61
	5	8	1.60
	6	3	0.60
	7	3	0.60
	8	1	0.20
	9	4	0.80
Hyperactivity-Impulsivity	0	265	53.11
	1	101	20.24
	2	56	11.22
	3	25	5.01
	4	18	3.61
	5	15	3.01
	6	10	2.00
	7	4	0.80
	8	3	0.60
	9	2	0.40
Mania	0	352	70.54
	1	91	18.24
	2	33	6.61
	3	18	3.61
	4	2	0.40
	5	1	0.20
	6	2	0.40

#### SUPPLEMENTAL ANALYSES

# Supplemental Analyses to Estimate the Stability of Second-Order Factors from Childhood and Adolescence (Wave) 1 to Early Adulthood (Wave 2) in the Tennessee Twins Study

Each informant was interviewed in the family home separately using the Child and Adolescent Psychopathology Scale (CAPS)<sup>29</sup> by trained lay interviewers. The CAPS queried DSM-IV symptoms of inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity, oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), conduct disorder (CD), major depressive disorder (MDD), generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), separation anxiety disorder (SAD), agoraphobia, social phobia, specific phobia, obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), and panic attacks during the last 12 months. Informants rated each symptom on a four-point scale, reflecting both frequency and severity. The test-retest intra-class correlations (ICCs) for ratings of dimensions of symptoms of DSM-IV mental disorders across informants were .62 - .89, median = .77 (Lahey et al., 2004). As in previous analyses of wave 1 CAPS data (Tackett et al., 2013), parent and youth reports of symptoms of anxiety disorders, depression, and CD were combined by taking the higher rating of each symptom from the adult caretaker or youth (Piacentini, Cohen, & Cohen, 1992). Only caretaker ratings defined ODD, inattention, and hyperactivity due to concerns about the reliability and validity of youth reports of these symptoms (Lahey et al., 2004).

#### Statistical Analyses

Analyses were restricted to the 499 participants with data at both waves. All analyses took selection strata, clustering within families, and sampling weights into account as in the analyses of predictive associations between CADS dispositions and wave 2 psychopathology. To test the longitudinal stability of second-order factors, we simultaneously fit two separate freely estimated bifactor measurement models to data at wave 1 and wave 2. All three second-order psychopathology factors at wave 2 were simultaneously regressed on all three second-order disposition factors at wave 1 resulting in 9 regression coefficients. Specific externalizing and internalizing factors within wave were not allowed to correlate based on the results of the best fitting bifactor models for wave 1<sup>19</sup> and wave 2 data<sup>35</sup>. Drawing on these published findings, the bifactor models were fit to first-order psychopathology dimensions as follows: At wave 1, MDD and GAD loaded on the general factor only. Social phobia, specific phobia, agoraphobia, SAD and OCD loaded on the general factor and specific internalizing factor. Inattention, hyperactivity/impulsivity, ODD and CD loaded on the general factor and specific externalizing factor. The wave 2 model was the same one used to assess predictions from wave 1 CADS dispositions in this paper. At wave 2, mania loaded only on the general factor only. MDD, GAD, PTSD, social phobia, specific phobia, agoraphobia/panic, and OCD loaded on the general factor and specific internalizing factor. Inattention, hyperactivity-impulsivity, antisocial personality disorder and maladaptive marijuana, nicotine and alcohol use loaded on the general factor and specific externalizing factor. The MLR estimator was used to account for any non-normality in the distribution of the firstorder symptom dimensions and to adjust standard errors to reflect the clustering of twins within twin pairs. Factor loadings for the freely estimated psychopathology factor models in the two waves are presented in Table S3.

	Second-order factors		
Factor loadings	General	INT	EXT
Wave 1 (childhood/adolescence)			
Depression	1.00		
GAD	0.87		
Separation Anxiety	0.63	0.42	
Social Phobia	0.51	0.30	
Specific Phobia	0.42	0.67	
Agoraphobia	0.47	0.73	
OCD	0.66	0.31	
Inattention	0.37		0.72
Hyper-Impulsivity	0.35		0.66
ODD	0.41		0.72
CD	0.49		0.31
Wave 2 (Early adulthood)			
Depression	0.53	0.37	
GAD	0.26	0.57	
Social Phobia	0.18	0.40	
Specific Phobia	0.07ª	0.60	
Agoraphobia/Panic	0.20	0.70	
OCD	0.48	0.26ª	
PTSD	0.37	0.41	
Mania	0.57		
Antisocial Personality	0.39		0.63
Alcohol Misuse	0.27		0.32
Nicotine Misuse	0.25		0.35
Marijuana Misuse	0.13ª		0.48
Inattention	0.64		
Hyper-Impulsivity	0.56		

Table S3. Standardized factor loadings for second-order factors of psychopathology estimated separately using data from wave 1 and wave 2 (N = 499 in both waves).

<sup>a</sup>Factor loading was not significant at p < .05.

*Note*: Hyper-Impulsivity = hyperactivity-impulsivity; INT = specific internalizing factor; EXT = specific externalizing factor.

Standardized regression coefficients resulting from simultaneously regressing all three wave 2 second-order factors on all three wave 1 second-order factors, controlling for age in wave 1, age in wave 2, sex, maternal education, log of total family income, and race-ethnicity (white versus other groups) are presented in Table S4. The general factor at wave 1 was a significant predictor of the wave 2 general factor after FDR correction for 9 tests. The wave 1 second-order specific internalizing factor did not predict any of the second-order factors at wave 2. The wave 1 second-order specific externalizing factor predicted its wave 2 counterpart at a nominal level of significance.

	Standardized		
	Estimate ( $\beta$ )	SE	Р
Gen <sub>1</sub> -Gen <sub>2</sub>	0.32	0.10	0.002
INT <sub>1</sub> -Gen <sub>2</sub>	0.03	0.11	0.819
$EXT_1$ -Gen <sub>2</sub>	0.11	0.09	0.208
$Gen_1$ - INT <sub>2</sub>	0.22	0.12	0.068
$INT_1-INT_2$	0.12	0.10	0.196
$EXT_1$ -INT <sub>2</sub>	0.06	0.08	0.444
$Gen_1$ -EXT <sub>2</sub>	0.20	0.11	0.066
$INT_1$ -EXT <sub>2</sub>	-0.15	0.10	0.116
$EXT_1$ - $EXT_2$	0.23	0.11	0.040

Table S4. Wave 1 second-order factors predicting wave 2 second-order factors.

Note:  $Gen_1 - general second-order factor at wave 1$ ;  $INT_1 - internalizing second-order factor at wave 1$ ;  $EXT_1 - externalizing second-order factor at wave 1$ ;  $Gen_2 - general second-order factor at wave 2$ ;  $INT_2 - internalizing second-order factor at wave 2$ ;  $EXT_2 - externalizing second-order factor at wave 2$ .  $Gen_1 - Gen_2$  refers to the regression coefficient for  $Gen_1$  predicting  $Gen_2$ , etc.

Coefficient in bold is significant after false discovery rate correction for 9 tests at a 5% false discovery rate.

### SENSITIVITY ANALYSES

Three sets of sensitivity analyses examined the extent to which modeling decisions influenced findings:

# 1. Sensitivity analyses to evaluate the decision to fix unstandardized loadings in the bifactor psychopathology measurement model in the SEMs.

The two SEMs presented in Table 3 were repeated, but with freely estimated bifactor measurement models under MLR in the SEMs for parent or youth CADS ratings, with the results presented in Table S5. Compared to the results presented in Table 3 based on psychopathology measurement models in which factor loadings were fixed, there were no appreciable differences between the two strategies in the directions or magnitudes of the regression coefficients and no differences in statistical significance after FDR correction. The few differences in the two sets of results reflected on differences in which associations were significant at nominal levels of after FDR correction.

Table S5. Standardized regression coefficients when latent general, specific internalizing, and specific externalizing dimensions of psychopathology measured at 23-31 years of age in *freely estimated* bifactor measurement models were simultaneously regressed on CADS dimensions of daring, negative emotionality, and prosociality measured at 10-17 years of age and demographic covariates<sup>a</sup>. Separate models were fitted for CADS ratings by parent and youth informants (N = 499).

	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Interval	р <	
Response variable: General fa	actor of psycho	pathology			
Daring	-0.151	0.100	-0.330 - 0.062	0.131	
Negative emotionality	0.196	0.070	0.054 – 0.328	0.005	
Prosociality	-0.002	0.083	-0.182 - 0.144	0.981	
Response variable: Specific internalizing factor of psychopathology					
Daring	0.002	0.086	-0.172 – 0.166	0.981	
Negative emotionality	0.068	0.077	-0.089 - 0.212	0.380	
Prosociality	-0.066	0.072	-0.192 - 0.090	0.356	
Response variable: Specific ex	kternalizing fac	tor of psychopathology	/		
Da+ring	0.210	0.096	-0.001 – 0.375	0.028	
Negative emotionality	0.192	0.075	0.005 – 0.299	0.010	
Prosociality	-0.213	0.092	-0.355 – 0.005	0.020	
Youth self-rated CADS disposi	tions				
	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Interval	p <	
Response variable: General fa	actor of psycho	pathology			
Daring	-0.035	0.102	-0.221 – 0.179	0.733	
Negative emotionality	0.023	0.096	-0.149 – 0.227	0.810	
Prosociality	-0.164	0.084	-0.3310.001	0.052	
Response variable: Specific in	ternalizing fact	or of psychopathology	,		
Daring	-0.024	0.152	-0.324 – 0.272	0.873	
Negative emotionality	0.156	0.109	-0.085 – 0.343	0.153	
Prosociality	-0.073	0.100	-0.256 – 0.136	0.466	
Response variable: Specific ex	kternalizing fac	tor of psychopathology	/		

## Parent-rated CADS dispositions

Daring	0.231	0.080	0.035 – 0.349	0.004
Negative emotionality	0.223	0.062	0.075 – 0.275	0.000
Prosociality	-0.173	0.077	-0.291 - 0.011	0.024

<sup>a</sup>Covariates = sex, age in wave 1, age in wave 2, maternal education, log of total family income, and raceethnicity.

Note: CADS = Child and Adolescent Dispositions Scale. Bold indicates statistically significant after adjustment for 18 tests at a 5% false discovery rate.

2. Sensitivity analyses to evaluate the decision to treat the first-order dimensions of psychopathology data as continuous under maximum likelihood estimation with robust variance estimators (MLR).

The SEMs presented in Table S5 were repeated separately for parent- and youth-rated CADS dispositions, but treating the symptom counts as ordered categorical data under WSLMV. The CFAs in each measurement model were freely estimated to allow comparison across these models. It was necessary to top-code the number of symptoms of PTSD, depression, and nicotine use disorder at 9+ symptoms to conform to the limits of Mplus. In the measurement models in these SEMs, the CFA was conducted on polychoric correlation matrices.

The full results of four models (for both parent and youth CADS informants and both MLR estimation treating psychopathology dimensions as continuous under MLR and WLSMV estimation treating psychopathology dimensions as ordered categorical data are presented in Table S6. Across models, the factor loadings are relatively similar and consistent with the hypothesized psychopathology model (Lahey, Krueger, Rathouz, Waldman, & Zald, 2017). The fit statistics for each model are presented in Table S7. All four models fit adequately, with all fit indices indicating better fit in absolute terms for the WLSMV model for ordered categorical data than the MLR model for continuous data, except for SRMR.

Table S6. Fully standardized factor loadings and regression coefficients for four models run as sensitivity analyses in which the measurement models were freely estimated in bifactor measurement models and the resulting latent general, specific internalizing, and specific externalizing factors of psychopathology measured at 23-31 years of age were simultaneously regressed on three CADS dispositions measured at 10-17 years of age and demographic covariates of no interest.<sup>a</sup> Separate models were fitted in Mplus (A) treating counts of symptoms as continuous under MLR, regressed on Parent CADS ratings, (B) treating counts of symptoms as ordered categorical under WLSMV, regressed on Parent CADS ratings, and (D) treating counts of symptoms as ordered categorical under categorical under WLSMV, regressed on Youth CADS ratings, and (D) treatings (all N = 499).

A. First-order psychopa	thology dimensi	ons treated as continuous	under MLR for	Parent CADS
Stand	ardized factor lo	badings on general factor o	of psychopathol	ogy
	Loading	Standard Error	95% CI	p <
Antisocial personality	0.428	0.075		0.000
Alcohol Misuse	0.300	0.086		0.000
Cannabis Misuse	0.138	0.065		0.034
Nicotine dependence	0.254	0.086		0.003
Hyper-impulsivity	0.535	0.083		0.000
Inattention	0.618	0.084		0.000
Depression	0.562	0.061		0.000
PTSD	0.390	0.066		0.000
Generalized Anxiety	0.295	0.091		0.001
Mania	0.582	0.069		0.000
Agoraphobia/Panic	0.230	0.078		0.003
OCD	0.509	0.095		0.000
Social Anxiety	0.198	0.065		0.002
Specific Phobia	0.106	0.088		0.230
Standardize	d factor loadings	s on specific internalizing f	actor of psycho	pathology
Depression	0.363	0.110		0.001
PTSD	0.412	0.075		0.000
Generalized Anxiety	0.572	0.132		0.000
Agoraphobia/Panic	0.696	0.065		0.000
OCD	0.266	0.143		0.063
Social Anxiety	0.397	0.092		0.000
Specific Phobia	0.607	0.133		0.000
Standardized	d factor loadings	s on specific externalizing f	actor of psycho	pathology
Antisocial personality	0.580	0.117		0.000
Alcohol Misuse	0.309	0.109		0.004
Cannabis Misuse	0.525	0.083		0.000
Nicotine dependence	0.398	0.115		0.001
Hyper-impulsivity	0.060	0.146		0.679
Inattention	-0.121	0.107		0.260
Standardized coefficier	nts for regressio	ns of parent-rated disposit	ions on 2nd-ord	der psychopathology
		factors		
Disposition	Estimate	Standard Error	95% CI	p <
Response variable: Gen	eral factor of ps	ychopathology		

Daring	-0.151	0.100	-0.330 - 0.062	0.131
NE	0.196	0.070	0.054 – 0.328	0.005
Prosociality	-0.002	0.083	-0.182 - 0.144	0.981
Response variable: Specific internalizing factor of psychopathology				
Daring	0.002	0.086	-0.172 – 0.166	0.981
NE	0.068	0.077	-0.089 – 0.212	0.380
Prosociality	-0.066	0.072	-0.192 - 0.090	0.356
Response variable: Spec	ific externalizing fac	tor of psychopathology	ogy	
Daring	0.210	0.096	-0.001 – 0.375	0.028
NE	0.192	0.075	0.005 – 0.299	0.010
Prosociality	-0.213	0.092	-0.355 – 0.005	0.020

B. First-order psych	nopathology dimensi	ions treated as conti	B. First-order psychopathology dimensions treated as continuous under MLR for Youth CADS				
Stand	ardized factor loadir	ngs on general factor	of psychopatholog	y			
	Loading	Standard Error	95% CI	p <			
Antisocial personality	0.407	0.077		0.000			
Alcohol Misuse	0.286	0.079		0.000			
Cannabis Misuse	0.158	0.073		0.030			
Nicotine dependence	0.277	0.093		0.003			
Hyper-impulsivity	0.551	0.089		0.000			
Inattention	0.630	0.083		0.000			
Depression	0.537	0.061		0.000			
PTSD	0.394	0.068		0.000			
Generalized Anxiety	0.296	0.103		0.004			
Mania	0.574	0.071		0.000			
Agoraphobia/Panic	0.230	0.085		0.007			
OCD	0.520	0.113		0.000			
Social Anxiety	0.187	0.072		0.009			
Specific Phobia	0.101	0.093		0.279			
Standardized	d factor loadings on	specific internalizing	factor of psychopa	thology			
Depression	0.375	0.104		0.000			
PTSD	0.409	0.072		0.000			
Generalized Anxiety	0.568	0.144		0.000			
Agoraphobia/Panic	0.684	0.077		0.000			
OCD	0.261	0.159		0.100			
Social Anxiety	0.412	0.077		0.000			
Specific Phobia	0.613	0.126		0.000			
Standardized	d factor loadings on s	specific externalizing	g factor of psychopa	thology			
Antisocial personality	0.674	0.100		0.000			
Alcohol Misuse	0.339	0.094		0.000			
Cannabis Misuse	0.447	0.089		0.000			
Nicotine dependence	0.311	0.129		0.016			
Hyper-impulsivity	0.017	0.148		0.906			
Inattention	-0.121	0.119		0.311			

Standardized coefficients for regressions of youth-rated dispositions on 2nd-order psychopathology					
factors					
Disposition	Estimate	Standard Error	95% CI	p <	
Response variable: Gen	eral factor of psycho	pathology			
Daring	-0.035	0.102		0.733	
NE	0.023	0.096		0.810	
Prosociality	-0.164	0.084		0.052	
Response variable: Spec	ific internalizing fact	tor of psychopatholo	ogy		
Daring	-0.024	0.152		0.873	
NE	0.156	0.109		0.153	
Prosociality	-0.073	0.100		0.466	
Response variable: Spec	Response variable: Specific externalizing factor of psychopathology				
Daring	0.231	0.080		0.004	
NE	0.223	0.062		0.001	
Prosociality	-0.173	0.077		0.024	

C. First-order psychopathology dimensions treated as ordered categorical under WLSMV for Parent CADS					
Stand	ardized factor loadir	ngs on general factor	of psychopatholog	y	
	Loading	Standard Error	95% CI	p <	
Antisocial personality	0.507	0.045		0.000	
Alcohol Misuse	0.441	0.068		0.000	
Cannabis Misuse	0.251	0.073		0.001	
Nicotine dependence	0.328	0.049		0.000	
Hyper-impulsivity	0.628	0.056		0.000	
Inattention	0.726	0.054		0.000	
Depression	0.672	0.047		0.000	
PTSD	0.458	0.067		0.000	
Generalized Anxiety	0.446	0.057		0.000	
Mania	0.671	0.053		0.000	
Agoraphobia/Panic	0.309	0.072		0.000	
OCD	0.614	0.075		0.000	
Social Anxiety	0.250	0.064		0.000	
Specific Phobia	0.168	0.061		0.006	
Standardized	d factor loadings on	specific internalizing	factor of psychopa	thology	
Depression	0.381	0.077		0.000	
PTSD	0.563	0.070		0.000	
Generalized Anxiety	0.657	0.067		0.000	
Agoraphobia/Panic	0.850	0.055		0.000	
OCD	0.384	0.143		0.007	
Social Anxiety	0.488	0.083		0.000	
Specific Phobia	0.613	0.064		0.000	
Standardized factor loadings on specific externalizing factor of psychopathology					
Antisocial personality	0.582	0.059		0.000	
Alcohol Misuse	0.466	0.071		0.000	
Cannabis Misuse	0.735	0.068		0.000	

Nicotine dependence	0.503	0.069		0.000		
Hyper-impulsivity	-0.057	0.091		0.532		
Inattention	-0.204	0.084		0.015		
Standardized coefficier	nts for regressions of	parent-rated dispos	sitions on 2nd-order	psychopathology		
		factors				
Disposition	Estimate	Standard Error	95% CI	p <		
Response variable: Gen	eral factor of psycho	pathology				
Daring	-0.134	0.079		0.090		
NE	0.222	0.063		0.001		
Prosociality	0.016	0.077		0.839		
Response variable: Specific internalizing factor of psychopathology						
Daring	-0.032	0.101		0.749		
NE	0.083	0.071		0.245		
Prosociality	-0.083	0.080		0.299		
Response variable: Specific externalizing factor of psychopathology						
Daring	0.267	0.072		0.001		
NE	0.187	0.080		0.020		
Prosociality	-0.206	0.086		0.016		

D. First-order psychopathology dimensions treated as ordered categorical under WLSMV for Youth CADS					
Stand	ardized factor loadir	ngs on general factor	of psychopatholog	y	
	Loading	Standard Error	95% CI	p <	
Antisocial personality	0.492	0.051		0.000	
Alcohol Misuse	0.421	0.067		0.000	
Cannabis Misuse	0.245	0.072		0.001	
Nicotine dependence	0.315	0.053		0.000	
Hyper-impulsivity	0.629	0.055		0.000	
Inattention	0.727	0.055		0.000	
Depression	0.673	0.045		0.000	
PTSD	0.483	0.063		0.000	
Generalized Anxiety	0.444	0.054		0.000	
Mania	0.672	0.052		0.000	
Agoraphobia/Panic	0.324	0.071		0.000	
OCD	0.583	0.066		0.000	
Social Anxiety	0.256	0.065		0.000	
Specific Phobia	0.151	0.061		0.013	
Standardized factor loadings on specific internalizing factor of psychopathology					
Depression	0.379	0.070		0.000	
PTSD	0.561	0.065		0.000	
Generalized Anxiety	0.646	0.057		0.000	
Agoraphobia/Panic	0.832	0.058		0.000	
OCD	0.397	0.115		0.001	
Social Anxiety	0.459	0.084		0.000	
Specific Phobia	0.618	0.063		0.000	

Standardized factor loadings on specific externalizing factor of psychopathology						
Antisocial personality	0.622	0.048		0.000		
Alcohol Misuse	0.448	0.069		0.000		
Cannabis Misuse	0.670	0.071		0.000		
Nicotine dependence	0.521	0.071		0.000		
Hyper-impulsivity	-0.056	0.088		0.526		
Inattention	-0.164	0.077		0.033		
Standardized coefficier	nts for regressions o	f youth-rated dispos	itions on 2nd-order	psychopathology		
		factors				
Disposition	Estimate	Standard Error	95% CI	p <		
Response variable: Gene	eral factor of psycho	pathology				
Daring	0.034	0.069		0.629		
NE	0.070	0.083		0.403		
Prosociality	-0.136	0.071		0.056		
Response variable: Specific internalizing factor of psychopathology						
Daring	-0.109	0.107		0.307		
NE	0.181	0.078		0.019		
Prosociality	-0.089	0.073		0.223		
Response variable: Specific externalizing factor of psychopathology						
Daring	0.162	0.079		0.041		
NE	0.190	0.086		0.028		
Prosociality	-0.223	0.087		0.011		

<sup>a</sup>Covariates = sex, age in wave 1, age in wave 2, maternal education, log of total family income, and raceethnicity.

FDR adjustments for multiple testing were made for the 18 tests in each of two families of analyses for (1) analyses under MLR for parent and youth CADS informants, and under WLSMV for parent and youth CADS informants. Bold indicates statistically significant after FDR adjustment for 18 tests at a 5% false discovery rate.

Table S7. Fit statistics for models run as sensitivity analyses in which the measurement models were freely estimated in bifactor models and second-order latent psychopathology factors were simultaneously regressed on the three CADS dispositions and demographic covariates of no interest.

CADS informant	Model	X2	d.f.	р	RMSEA (90% C.I.)	CFI	TLI	SRMR
Parent	MLR	302.295	163	0.0001	0.041 (0.034- 0.049)	0.839	0.785	0.049
	WLSMV	203.951	163	0.0163	0.022 (0.010- 0.032)	0.950	0.934	0.158
Youth	MLR	302.354	163	0.0001	0.041 (0.034- 0.049)	0.831	0.775	0.049
	WLSMV	197.420	163	0.0342	0.021 (0.006- 0.030)	0.960	0.946	0.157

Note: MLR = maximum likelihood estimation treating first-order psychopathology dimensions as continuous data; WLSMV = mean- and variance-adjusted weighted least squares estimation treating first-order psychopathology dimensions as ordered categorical data; RMSEA = root mean square of estimation; CFI = comparative fit index; Tucker-Lewis index; SRMR = Standardized root mean square residual.

# 3. Sensitivity analyses to evaluate the decision to test associations between dispositions and psychopathology separately by parent and youth informants on the CADS.

Although our decision to test associations between dispositions and psychopathology separately by parent and youth informants on the CADS was based on previous findings of informant differences in associations between CADS dispositions and psychopathology (Tackett et al., 2013) and the broader literature on differences between parent and youth informants in the assessment of dispositions in this age range (Boson, Brandstrom, & Sigvardsson, 2018; Capaldi & Rothbart, 1992; Tackett, 2011), we also estimated the common variance in psychopathology factors predicted by parent and youth ratings together. In a freely estimated measurement model for the three CADS dispositions, parent and youth ratings on each CADS disposition loaded on latent negative emotionality, prosociality, and daring factors. These multiple-informant latent dispositions were simultaneously regressed on freely estimated general, specific externalizing, and specific internalizing factors of psychopathology and demographic covariates used in the primary analyses.

The results of sensitivity analyses in which the second-order psychopathology factors were simultaneously regressed on multi-informant latent disposition scores jointly defined by parent and youth reports in a measurement model are presented in Table S8. The results were similar to the results for the separate analyses of parent-rated CADS dimensions, but the predictive associations were weaker. When latent multi-informant dispositions were the predictors, negative emotionality predicted the general factor of psychopathology and the specific externalizing factor, but neither association was significant after FDR correction in spite of the smaller number of statistical tests.

Table S8. Standardized regression coefficients when latent general, specific internalizing, and specific externalizing dimensions of psychopathology measured at 23-31 years of age in freely estimated bifactor measurement models were simultaneously regressed on *latent multi-informant CADS dimensions of daring, negative emotionality, and prosociality* measured at 10-17 years of age based on parent and youth ratings and demographic covariates<sup>a</sup> (N = 499).

	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Interval	р <			
Response variable: General factor of psychopathology							
Daring	-0.254	0.160	-0.402 - 0.226	0.111			
Negative emotionality	0.291	0.114	-0.060 - 0.386	0.011			
Prosociality	-0.087	0.187	-0.404 - 0.330	0.641			
Response variable: Specific internalizing factor of psychopathology							
Daring	-0.093	0.184	-0.339 – 0.383	0.613			
Negative emotionality	0.171	0.246	-0.254 - 0.710	0.487			
Prosociality	-0.082	0.159	-0.430 - 0.194	0.604			
Response variable: Specific externalizing factor of psychopathology							
Daring	0.217	0.139	-0.321 - 0.223	0.119			
Negative emotionality	0.326	0.166	-0.198 - 0.452	0.049			
Prosociality	-0.181	0.168	-0.468 - 0.190	0.283			

### Latent CADS multi-informant dispositions based on parent and youth ratings

<sup>a</sup>Covariates = sex, age in wave 1, age in wave 2, maternal education, log of total family income, and raceethnicity.

Note: CADS = Child and Adolescent Dispositions Scale. No coefficients were statistically significant after adjustment for 9 tests at a 5% false discovery rate.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES



Figure S1. Standardized regression coefficients and factor loadings for the correlated-factors model of parentreported dispositions at youth aged 10 to 17 years old predicting latent psychopathology factor scores. Note: Bold and solid arrows indicate statistically significant regression coefficient after false discovery rate adjustment.



Figure S2. Standardized regression coefficients and factor loadings for the correlated-factors model of youthreported dispositions at youth aged 10 to 17 years old predicting latent psychopathology factor scores. Note: Bold and solid arrows indicate statistically significant regression coefficient after false discovery rate adjustment.

### REFERENCES

- Boson, K., Brandstrom, S., & Sigvardsson, S. (2018). The Junior Temperament and Character Inventory (JTCI): Psychometric properties of multi-informant ratings. *Psychological Assessment, 30*(4), 550-555. doi:10.1037/pas0000513
- Capaldi, D. M., & Rothbart, M. K. (1992). Development and validation of an early adolescent temperament measure. *The Journal of Early Adolescence, 12*(2), 153-173. doi:10.1177/0272431692012002002
- Lahey, B. B., Applegate, B., Waldman, I. D., Loft, J. D., Hankin, B. L., & Rick, J. (2004). The structure of child and adolescent psychopathology: Generating new hypotheses. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *113*, 358-385.
- Lahey, B. B., Krueger, R. F., Rathouz, P. J., Waldman, I. D., & Zald, D. H. (2017). A hierarchical causal taxonomy of psychopathology across the life span. *Psychological Bulletin*, *143*, 142-186.
- Piacentini, J. C., Cohen, P., & Cohen, J. (1992). Combining discrepant diagnostic information from multiple sources: Are complex algorithms better than simple ones? *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 20*, 51-62.
- Tackett, J. L. (2011). Parent Informants for Child Personality: Agreement, Discrepancies, and Clinical Utility. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, *93*(6), 539-544. doi:10.1080/00223891.2011.608763
- Tackett, J. L., Lahey, B. B., Van Hulle, C. A., Waldman, I. D., Krueger, R. F., & Rathouz, P. J. (2013).
  Common genetic influences on negative emotionality and a general psychopathology factor in childhood and adolescence. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *122*, 1142-1153.