#### SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX

Predictors for disease worsening defined by organ failure in diffuse systemic sclerosis: a European scleroderma trials and research (EUSTAR) analysis Mike O Becker<sup>1</sup>, Nicole T Graf<sup>2</sup>, Rafael Sauter<sup>3</sup>, Yannick Allanore<sup>4</sup>, John Curram<sup>5</sup>, Christopher P Denton<sup>6</sup>, Dinesh Khanna<sup>7</sup>, Marco Matucci-Cerinic<sup>8</sup>, Janethe de Oliveira Pena<sup>9</sup>, Janet E Pope<sup>10</sup>, Oliver Distler<sup>1</sup>

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Selection and elimination of predictor variables ('shrinkage') was conducted using the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) method, a penalised regression approach that performs variable selection through regularisation. The applied LASSO regression was adapted to account for multiple imputation (MI) such that the model selection yielded consistent results, which is not guaranteed if standard LASSO is repeatedly applied to several imputed datasets.(21, 35-37) The MI-LASSO approach is a type of group LASSO regression (38) that has superior model-choice properties compared with stepwise model selection strategies or LASSO regression including complete cases only.(21)

Predictors without face validity and not clinically useful (as judged by the clinical experts) as well as predictors with  $\geq$ 50% missing values were excluded from the regression model. Two variables with ~40% missing cases were also excluded to achieve a proportion of  $\geq$ 25% complete cases (online supplementary table S1), increasing the accuracy of the MI-LASSO analysis. This resulted in 42 remaining predictors (online supplementary table S1). Most of the predictors had few missing cases.

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

Page 1 of 22

The optimal shrinkage parameter based on the MI-LASSO analysis and the corresponding model with regression coefficients was determined according to the optimal Bayesian information criterion (BIC) model choice criteria as suggested by Chen and Wang (2013) (21).

Bootstrap with 10 000 repetitions was used to validate the logistic model. Missing values were imputed with single conditional mean imputation, as validation of a model with MI data is not possible.

All analyses were performed using SPSS version 20 or R 3.3.32.5 (packages Hmisc, rms, mice, and survival).

# VARIABLE DEFINITIONS, RECODING AND HANDLING OF OUTLIERS

Impossible values for disease duration (<0 years) and for body mass index (BMI) (>70 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were set to missing.

The categories of scleroderma (puffy fingers) were recoded as follows: 0=No / Never;

1= Yes / Current / Previously.

Pericardial effusion was recoded as a binary variable (0=0; >0=1).

Modified skin progression rate was calculated as the ratio of modified Rodnan skin

score (mRSS) at baseline to disease duration in months.

Lung fibrosis was defined as fulfilling either of two conditions:

- Forced vital capacity (FVC) <60%
- FVC <70% and presence of lung fibrosis on high-resolution computed tomography

Valentini activity index (VAI) was calculated by totalling the scores of the ten

Valentini index items (online supplementary table S3). Patients who scored >3 with the

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

 $\mathsf{Page}\, 2 \, \mathsf{of}\, 22$ 

available items were defined as active. Patients who did not score >3 with the available items, and for whom the missing variables (if positive) would not add up to >3, were defined as inactive. Patients who did not score >3, but for whom the missing items (if positive) could change the activity score to active, were defined as unknown.

mRSS was defined with help of the variable 'modified Rodnan skin score only imported value'. If this variable had missing values, a sum score of all 17 body parts ('face' to 'left foot') was calculated, provided that there were at least six valid values including valid values for left and right fingers.

Pulmonary hypertension was defined as present or not present based on assessment of the echocardiogram by the treating physician/cardiologist and was not explicitly based on the systolic pulmonary arterial pressure (measured by echocardiography) or right heart catheterisation values.

Dyspnoea was considered to be significant based on the judgement of the treating physician.

Presence of digital ulcer (DU) was a composite endpoint that was considered positive if either DU (from the minimal essential dataset) or gangrene was present (gangrene was present only in a minority of patients).

Death was all-cause mortality. If the treating physician deems a death SSc-related, there is a box that can be ticked in the database. According to this information, 50/79 deaths (63.3%) that occurred were due to SSc, 29/79 deaths were not due to SSc (36.7%) and for 10/79 deaths (12.7%) there was no information on this.

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

Page 3 of 22

#### **CHOOSING PREDICTOR VARIABLES**

Patients could be included in the analysis even if they had a prior occurrence of one of the composite endpoints (excluding death), as further progression of disease worsening was still possible.

Selection of predictors focused on clinically relevant variables and those with face validity. In addition, predictors with  $\geq$ 50% missing values were not considered for inclusion; thus, 44 predictors with <50% missing values for the analysis remained.

As the accuracy of the multiple imputation – least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (MI-LASSO) analysis – decreases as the proportion of incomplete cases increases, the proportion of complete cases was set to  $\geq 25\%$ . To achieve a proportion of complete cases  $\geq 25\%$ , the two predictors with the largest amount of missing data (BMI and anti-RNApolymerase III positive) were not considered for MI-LASSO, and thus, 42 predictors were retained.

#### **MISSINGNESS AT RANDOM**

For variables with >10% missing data (total lung capacity [TLC], forced expiratory volume after 1 second [FEV<sub>1</sub>], anti-U1RNP positive, hypocomplementaemia, VAI and skin progression rate), an assumption of missingness not at random (MNAR) was explored. TLC correlated best with FEV<sub>1</sub> (online supplementary figure S2). Missingness for TLC was not associated with values for FEV<sub>1</sub> and vice versa, indicating that MNAR is not a likely assumption for TLC or FEV<sub>1</sub> (see online supplementary figure S3 and S4). Anti-U1RNP positive, hypocomplementaemia, VAI and skin progression rate did not correlate well with any of the other variables. Thus, the MNAR assumption could not be explored for these variables.

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

Page 4 of 22

#### NUMBER OF IMPUTATIONS

The MI-LASSO with 100 imputed datasets was applied. It was noted that the number of imputed datasets (M) had an effect on the MI-LASSO results. This was probably related to the threshold, which is used to set regression coefficients to a non-zero value.<sup>1</sup> The method of Chen and Wang (2013) was followed and the threshold was set to delta=10e-10.<sup>1</sup> The applied stop criterion depended on delta and M, and Chen and Wang (2013) presented results for M=5 imputed datasets only. It was observed that trace plots look different if M is increased, mainly because lambda is rescaled. However, the threshold may also affect the regression coefficients with a value of >0.006 at the optimal model (according to the BIC) were included. This adapted rule for the inclusion of regression coefficients led to the same model (same selected regression coefficients) for M=5 and M=100.

# IMPACT OF PREDICTORS FROM THE FINAL MODEL DURING LONG-TERM OBSERVATION

In order to evaluate the impact of the predictors retained in the final model on survival, we additionally calculated long-term event-free survival curves for patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc) with and without risk factors. Patients with diffuse cutaneous disease, for whom outcome data were available and with a baseline visit in 2009 or later and death or at least one follow-up visit earliest at 12±3 months were included. For this analysis, there was no imputation of missing values, but longer observation periods were possible. 'No risk factors' means that none of the following factors was present at baseline: active DU, C-reactive protein (CRP) elevation, significant dyspnoea, lung fibrosis, muscle weakness, pericardial

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

 $\operatorname{Page} 5 \ \mathrm{of} \ 22$ 

effusion and proteinuria. Active DU as risk factor, for example, means that active DU was present at baseline, which does not preclude the presence of other risk factors. The online supplementary figures S5A to S5E show the cumulative survival curves, and online supplementary table S4 gives the corresponding median survival times for some risk factors of the final model and their combination(s).

### REFERENCE

 Chen Q, Wang S. Variable selection for multiply-imputed data with application to dioxin exposure study. Stat Med 2013;32:3646–59.

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

Page 6 of 22

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES AND TABLES

# Supplementary table S1 List of predictors with <50% missing values and percentage of

available data for included patients with valid data for the primary endpoint (n=706)

Predictor	Available data (%)
Demographic	
Sex	100.0
Age, years	100.0
Disease duration, months	94.1
Body weight, kg	97.2
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	61.3
Laboratory parameters	
ANA positive	98.6
ACA positive	96.3
Anti-Scl70 positive	97.5
Anti-U1RNP positive	81.3
Anti-RNA-polymerase III positive	59.6
Creatine kinase elevation	95.2
Proteinuria	95.6
Hypocomplementaemia	88.1
ESR >20 mm/1h	94.5
CRP elevation	97.0
Vascular	
Raynaud's present	100.0

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

 $\operatorname{Page}7 \ \mathrm{of} \ 22$ 

DU	98.9
Active DU	98.7
Scleroderma (puffy fingers)	97.2
Musculoskeletal	
Tendon friction rubs	98.3
Joint synovitis	99.3
Joint contractures	98.9
Muscle weakness	99.3
Cardiopulmonary	
Pericardial effusion	92.5
Pulmonary hypertension	98.0
Conduction blocks	94.2
Abnormal diastolic function	96.2
Lung fibrosis	94.3
Arterial hypertension	99.6
Dyspnoea	97.7
DLCO, %predicted	94.1
FVC, %predicted	96.5
FEV <sub>1</sub> , %predicted	78.3
TLC, %predicted	66.1
LVEF, %predicted	96.5

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

 $\operatorname{Page}8 \ \mathrm{of} \ 22$ 

Gastrointestinal	
Oesophageal symptoms	99.9
Stomach symptoms	99.3
Intestinal symptoms	99.3
Kidney	
Renal crisis	99.4
Skin	
mRSS	93.2
Worsening of skin changes within the last month	98.3
Worsening of finger vascularisation within the last month	98.3
Skin progression rate	88.2
Multiorgan	
VAI*>3	88.1

\*VAI is a measure of disease activity in SSc.

To achieve a proportion of complete cases  $\geq$ 25%, two predictors with the largest amount of missing data (body mass index and anti-RNA-polymerase III positive) were not considered for MI-LASSO. ACA, anti-centromere antibody; ANA, anti-nuclear antibody; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; DLCO, diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; DU, digital ulcer; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume after 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI-LASSO, multiple imputation – least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; mRSS, modified Rodnan skin score; TLC, total lung capacity; VAI, Valentini activity index.

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

 $\operatorname{Page}9 \operatorname{of}22$ 

# Supplementary table S2 Comparison of patients with and without missing data on the

outcome

Demographics and	Patients without missing data on	Patients with missing data on
baseline characteristics	outcome	outcome
	( <b>n=706</b> )	(n=745)
Demographic		
Male sex	172 (24.4)	174 (23.4)
Age, years (mean±SD)	52.9±12.9	51.9±14.1
Disease duration, months	101.1±94.0	94.5(±79.8
(mean±SD)		
Bodyweight,	64.6±13.4	67.3±15.3
mean±SD		
Laboratory parameters		
ANA positive	657 (94.4)	631 (94.9)
ACA positive	48 (7.1)	58 (9.6)
Anti-Scl70 positive	414 (60.2)	372 (59.9)
Anti-U1RNP positive	27 (4.7)	25 (5.7)
CK elevation	64 (9.5)	68 (11.5)
Proteinuria	57 (8.4)	60 (10.2)
Hypocomplementaemia	39 (6.3)	38 (8.2)
ESR >20 mm/1h,	25.3±20.6	24.5 (19.4)
mean±SD		
CRP elevation	190 (27.7)	184 (30.7)

Vascular

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

 $\mathsf{Page}\ 10 \ \mathsf{of}\ 22$ 

DU  266 (38.1)  247 (35.8)    Active DU  126 (18.1)  123 (18.6)    Scleroderma (puffy  303 (44.2)  342 (53.2)    fingers)	Raynaud's present	683 (96.7)	703 (97.0)
Active DU  126 (18.1)  123 (18.6)    Scleroderma (puffy  303 (44.2)  342 (53.2)    fingers)  Musculoskeletal  Musculoskeletal    Tendon friction rubs  89 (12.8)  87 (12.8)    Joint synovitis  108 (15.4)  109 (15.9)    Joint contractures  310 (44.4)  323 (47.2)    Muscle weakness  164 (23.4)  167 (24.6)    Cardiopulmonary  V  V    Pericardial effusion  58 (8.9)  39 (8.7)    Suspected PH  V  V    Conduction blocks  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Atrerial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	DU	266 (38.1)	247 (35.8)
Scleroderma (puffy  303 (44.2)  342 (53.2)    fingers)  Musculoskeletal    Tendon friction rubs  89 (12.8)  87 (12.8)    Joint synovitis  108 (15.4)  109 (15.9)    Joint contractures  310 (44.4)  323 (47.2)    Muscle weakness  164 (23.4)  167 (24.6)    Cardiopulmonary  12  167 (24.6)    Pericardial effusion  58 (8.9)  39 (8.7)    Echocardiography  113 (16.3)  73 (14.1)    suspected PH  12  106 (21.0)    function  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Atherial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)	Active DU	126 (18.1)	123 (18.6)
fingers)    Musculoskeletal    Tendon friction rubs  89 (12.8)  87 (12.8)    Joint synovitis  108 (15.4)  109 (15.9)    Joint contractures  310 (44.4)  323 (47.2)    Muscle weakness  164 (23.4)  167 (24.6)    Cardiopulmonary  113 (16.3)  73 (12.8)    Pericardial effusion  58 (8.9)  39 (8.7)    Suspected PH  113 (16.3)  73 (12.8)    Conduction blocks  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Atterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)	Scleroderma (puffy	303 (44.2)	342 (53.2)
Musculoskeletal    Tendon friction rubs  89 (12.8)  87 (12.8)    Joint synovitis  108 (15.4)  109 (15.9)    Joint contractures  310 (44.4)  323 (47.2)    Muscle weakness  164 (23.4)  167 (24.6)    Cardiopulmonary  167 (24.6)  167 (24.6)    Pericardial effusion  58 (8.9)  39 (8.7)    Echocardiography  113 (16.3)  73 (14.1)    suspected PH  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Conduction blocks  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Lung fibrosis  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Pyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	fingers)		
Tendon friction rubs  89 (12.8)  87 (12.8)    Joint synovitis  108 (15.4)  109 (15.9)    Joint contractures  310 (44.4)  323 (47.2)    Muscle weakness  164 (23.4)  167 (24.6)    Cardiopulmonary      Pericardial effusion  58 (8.9)  39 (8.7)    Echocardiography  113 (16.3)  73 (14.1)    suspected PH      Conduction blocks  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function      Lung fibrosis  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	Musculoskeletal		
Joint synovitis  108 (15.4)  109 (15.9)    Joint contractures  310 (44.4)  323 (47.2)    Muscle weakness  164 (23.4)  167 (24.6)    Cardiopulmonary      Pericardial effusion  58 (8.9)  39 (8.7)    Echocardiography  113 (16.3)  73 (14.1)    suspected PH      Conduction blocks  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function      Lung fibrosis  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	Tendon friction rubs	89 (12.8)	87 (12.8)
Joint contractures  310 (44.4)  323 (47.2)    Muscle weakness  164 (23.4)  167 (24.6)    Cardiopulmonary      Pericardial effusion  58 (8.9)  39 (8.7)    Echocardiography  113 (16.3)  73 (14.1)    suspected PH      Conduction blocks  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function      Lung fibrosis  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	Joint synovitis	108 (15.4)	109 (15.9)
Muscle weakness  164 (23.4)  167 (24.6)    Cardiopulmonary      Pericardial effusion  58 (8.9)  39 (8.7)    Echocardiography  113 (16.3)  73 (14.1)    suspected PH      Conduction blocks  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function      Lung fibrosis  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	Joint contractures	310 (44.4)	323 (47.2)
Cardiopulmonary    Pericardial effusion  58 (8.9)  39 (8.7)    Echocardiography  113 (16.3)  73 (14.1)    Suspected PH  113 (16.3)  73 (12.8)    Conduction blocks  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function  121 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	Muscle weakness	164 (23.4)	167 (24.6)
Pericardial effusion  58 (8.9)  39 (8.7)    Echocardiography  113 (16.3)  73 (14.1)    suspected PH  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Conduction blocks  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function  113 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	Cardiopulmonary		
Echocardiography113 (16.3)73 (14.1)suspected PHConduction blocks104 (15.6)73 (12.8)Abnormal diastolic170 (25.0)106 (21.0)functionLung fibrosis131 (19.7)75 (17.4)Arterial hypertension154 (21.9)166 (23.9)Dyspnoea91 (13.2)83 (14.1)DLCO, %predicted64.1±20.263.1±19.7	Pericardial effusion	58 (8.9)	39 (8.7)
suspected PH    Conduction blocks  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function  111 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	Echocardiography	113 (16.3)	73 (14.1)
Conduction blocks  104 (15.6)  73 (12.8)    Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Lung fibrosis  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	suspected PH		
Abnormal diastolic  170 (25.0)  106 (21.0)    function  101 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Lung fibrosis  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	Conduction blocks	104 (15.6)	73 (12.8)
function    Lung fibrosis  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	Abnormal diastolic	170 (25.0)	106 (21.0)
Lung fibrosis  131 (19.7)  75 (17.4)    Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	function		
Arterial hypertension  154 (21.9)  166 (23.9)    Dyspnoea  91 (13.2)  83 (14.1)    DLCO, %predicted  64.1±20.2  63.1±19.7	Lung fibrosis	131 (19.7)	75 (17.4)
Dyspnoea91 (13.2)83 (14.1)DLCO, %predicted64.1±20.263.1±19.7	Arterial hypertension	154 (21.9)	166 (23.9)
DLCO, %predicted 64.1±20.2 63.1±19.7	Dyspnoea	91 (13.2)	83 (14.1)
	DLCO, %predicted	64.1±20.2	63.1±19.7
(mean±SD)	(mean±SD)		
FVC <sub>1</sub> , %predicted 86.4±21.3 86.4±20.9	FVC <sub>1</sub> , %predicted	86.4±21.3	86.4±20.9
(mean±SD)	(mean±SD)		

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

 $\mathsf{Page}\ 11 \ \mathsf{of}\ 22$ 

FEV <sub>1</sub> , %predicted	85.0±18.7	85.1±19.5
(mean±SD)		
TLC, %predicted	84.2±19.9	88.7±20.6
(mean±SD)		
LVEF, %predicted	61.7±7.0	60.4±8.3
(mean±SD)		
Gastrointestinal		
Oesophageal symptoms	455 (64.5)	462 (64.1)
Stomach symptoms	192 (27.4)	176 (25.3)
Intestinal symptoms	177 (25.2)	208 (29.7)
Kidney		
Renal crisis	34 (4.8)	20 (2.9)
Skin		
mRSS, mean±SD	14.2±9.1	13.9±8.7
Worsening of skin changes	141 (20.3)	167 (24.5)
within the last month		
Worsening of finger	162 (23.3)	187 (27.7)
vascularisation within the		
last month		
Skin progression rate,	0.6±1.6	0.5±1.4
mean±SD		
Disease activity		
VATA - 2	101 (20 7)	177 (25.5)

\*VAI is a measure of disease activity in SSc.

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

 $\mathsf{Page}\ 12 \ \mathsf{of}\ 22$ 

ANA, anti-nuclear antibody; ACA, anti-centromere antibody; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; DLCO, diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; DU, digital ulcer; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume after 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI-LASSO, multiple imputation – least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; mRSS, modified Rodnan skin score; PH, pulmonary hypertension; SSc, systemic sclerosis; TLC, total lung capacity; VAI, Valentini activity index.

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

Page 13 of 22

# Supplementary table S3 Definition of Valentini activity index (VAI) for

systemic sclerosis

Variable	Score
mRSS >14	1.0
Scleroderma (puffy fingers) = Yes / Current	0.5
Worsening of skin within the last month	2.0
Digital necrosis (gangrene)	0.5
Worsening of finger vascularisation within the last month	0.5
Joint synovitis	0.5
DLCO <80% predicted	0.5
Worsening of cardiopulmonary manifestations within the last	2.0
month	
ESR >30 mm/1h	1.5
Hypocomplementaemia	1.0
Maximum disease activity index score	10.0

DLCO, diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation

rate; mRSS, modified Rodnan skin score.

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

Page 14 of 22

Supplementary table S4 Impact of predictors retained in the fi	nal model on long-term
--	------------------------

#### survival

Risk factor	Number of patients	Median survival	95% CI (years)
	with data	time	
	(of which had an	(years)	
	event)		
Active DU*			
No active DU	645	4.48	3.70-4.97
Active DU	(245)	2.38	1.97–2.96
Corresponds to			
Figure S5A			
CRP elevation*			
No CRP elevation	765	4.48	3.7–4.97
CRP elevation	(365)	2.20	1.9–2.51
Corresponds to			
Figure S5B			
Lung fibrosis*			
No lung fibrosis	629	4.48	3.70-4.97
Lung fibrosis	(229)	2.00	1.74–2.32
Corresponds to			
figure S5C			
Muscle weakness*			
No muscle weakness	734	4.48	3.70-4.97
Muscle weakness	(334)	2.33	2.03-2.75

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

Page 15 of 22

Corresponds to			
figure S5D			
Active DU, CRP			
elevation, lung	411		
fibrosis and muscle	(11)		
weakness*		4.48	3.70-4.97
None of the above		1.13	0.32–1.74
All of the above			
Corresponds to			
figure S5E			
CRP elevation and			
lung fibrosis*			
None of the above	492	4.48	3.70-4.97
Both of the above	(92)	1.53	1.13–1.99
Corresponds to			
figure 2A			
CRP elevation and			
active DU*			
None of the above	493	4.48	3.70-4.97
Both of the above	(93)	1.82	1.23–2.47
Corresponds to			
figure 2B			

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

Page 16 of 22

For each risk factor (or a combination), the median survival time of patients with and without this risk factor (or combination thereof) have been calculated. The data correspond to figure 2 in the main text and online supplementary figure S5. \*=p<0.001 by log-rank test.

CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; DU, digital ulcer

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

Page 17 of 22



#### Supplementary figure S1. Calibration curve of final model after bootstrapping

The plot shows observed responses against predicted responses. When a dataset is used to fit a regression model, the slope of observed versus predicted values is forced to be 1 ('apparent'). However, when the model is applied to a different dataset, overfitting will cause the slope of the calibration plot to be <1 ('bias-corrected'). For our model, the slope shrinkage factor (which is not to be confused with the shrinkage factor of the actual MI-LASSO regression analysis) was 0.926, and the maximum absolute error in predicted probability was only 0.026. Thus, the final model shows only minor overfitting.

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

Page 18 of 22



#### Supplementary figure S2 Scatterplot between FEV1 and TLC (Pearson correlation, r=0.616)

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

**Supplementary figure S3** Boxplot for FEV<sub>1</sub> stratified for patients with (n=139) and without (n=414) missing data on TLC. A two-samples t-test did not indicate a difference in means (p=0.510)



Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

Page 20 of 22

**Supplementary figure S4** Boxplot for TLC stratified for patients with (n=53) and without (n=414) missing data on FEV<sub>1</sub>. A two-sample t-test did not indicate a difference in means (p=0.760)



Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018

 $\mathsf{Page}\,21\,\mathsf{of}\,22$ 

**Supplementary figure S5** Event-free survival of patients with SSc fulfilling the inclusion criteria (diffuse cutaneous SSc, death or at least one follow-up visit earliest at  $12\pm3$  months after baseline visit in 2009 or later) with risk factors (active DU – S5A; elevated CRP – S5B, presence of lung fibrosis – S5C, or muscle weakness – S5D; or their combination, S5E) versus no risk factors. The log-rank test was significant for all comparisons (p<0.001)



CRP, C-reactive protein; LF, lung fibrosis; DU, digital ulcer; MW, muscle weakness; SSc, systemic sclerosis.

Predictors of Organ Progression in SSc V0.2

07.06.2018