#### **Supplementary Material for:**

#### **SDS22 selectively recognizes and traps metal-deficient, inactive PP1**

Meng S. Choy<sup>1,#</sup>, Thomas M. Moon<sup>1,#</sup>, Rini Ravindran<sup>2,#</sup>, Johnny A. Bray<sup>1</sup>, Lucy C. Robinson<sup>2</sup>, Tara L. Archuleta<sup>1</sup>, Wuxian Shi<sup>3</sup>, Wolfgang Peti<sup>1</sup>, Kelly Tatchell<sup>2,\*</sup> & Rebecca Page<sup>1,\*</sup>

#### **Table of Contents**

- **1. Methods**
- **2. Table S1:** *Data collection and refinement statistics*
- **3. Table S2**: *SPR binding kinetics for the association of NIPP1 with PP1 variants*
- **4. Table S3**: *Tms and Michalis-Menten activity constants of PP1 variants*
- **5. Table S4:** *Yeast strains used in this study*
- **6. Table S5:** *Key resources*
- **7. Figure S1**. *Sequence alignment of the PPP family and SDS22 structure*.
- **8. Figure S2**. *PP1 active site metals differ in otherwise identical SDS22:PP1 reconstituted versus coexpressed complexes*.
- **9. Figure S3**. *SPR sensorgrams for SDS22 and PP1 variants.*
- **10. Figure S4**. *Time-course of M1 metal loss in PP1 and SDS22 binding to M1-metal free PP1*.
- **11. Figure S5**. *SPR sensorgrams for NIPP1 and PP1 variants.*
- **12. Figure S6:** *Mn2+ is unable to dissociate assembled SDS22:PP1 complexes*
- **13. Figure S7.** *Crystal structure of the H66K*.
- **14. Figure S8**. *Mn2+ competes with SDS22 for PP1 binding and the structures of PP1 variants Y134K and Y134A*
- **15. Figure S9**. *A platform for monitoring protein binding to nascent PP1*.
- **16. Figure S10**. *Low levels of Sds22 are recruited to the bud neck in cells expressing the Y133A and K146A K149A Glc7 variants.*
- **17. Figure S11**. *Mutations altering the GLC7 active site disrupt normal localization due to SDS22 sequestration*.

#### **METHODS**

*Plasmid construction.* SDS22<sub>56-360</sub>, PP1α<sub>1-300</sub>, I<sub>29-165</sub>, I<sub>31-126</sub>, and Spinophilin<sub>417-602</sub> genes were cloned into a modified pcDNA3.4 vector (pcDNA3.4\_K\_RP1B). The pcDNA3.4\_K\_RP1B vector contains a Kozak consensus sequence, an N-terminal His<sub>6</sub>-tag, a TEV cleavage site and a multiple cloning site (the latter elements are derived from the *E. coli* RP1B expression vector;) following the pcDNA3.4 human cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter. This plasmid was amplified and purified using the PureLink HiPure Plasmid Maxiprep Kit (ThermoFisher). PP1 variants D64A, H66K, Y134F, Y134K, Y134A and G135A were generated using QuikChange mutagenesis (Agilent) following the manufacturer's protocol.

*Protein expression.* SDS2256-360 and PP1α1-300 were expressed in Expi293F cells (ThermoFisher) at a ratio of 1.0 µg DNA per mL of final transfection culture volume. The SDS22<sub>56-360</sub>:PP1α<sub>1-300</sub> complex was coexpressed in Expi293F cells at a 1:1 ratio of 1.0 µg total DNA per milliliter of final transfection culture volume. Transfections were performed using 600 ml medium (Gibco Expi293 Expression Medium) in 2 L baffled flasks according to the manufacturer's protocol in a humidified incubator at  $37^{\circ}$ C and  $6.5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> under shaking (125 rpm). On the day of transfection, the cell density was between 3-5  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells ml<sup>-1</sup>. Prior to transfection, the Expi293F cells were seeded at 2.9  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells ml<sup>-1</sup> in 85% of the final transfection volume. SDS2256-360:PP1α1-300 DNA (1:1 ratio) was mixed with Opti-MEM Reduced Serum Medium (ThermoFisher); in a separate tube, Expifectamine reagent (ThermoFisher) was mixed with Opti-MEM medium. These mixtures were incubated separately for 5 min, then the DNA and Expifectamine mixtures were combined and incubated for an additional 20 min. The final transfection mixture was then added to the cells. Enhancer 1 and Enhancer 2 were added to the cells 18–20 h post-transfection. After an additional 24–28 h, the cells were harvested and the pellet was stored at −80°C.

 $129-165$ : PP1α1-300,  $131-126$ : PP1α1-300 and Spinophilin<sub>417-602</sub>: PP1α1-300 complexes were expressed in Expi293F cells (ThermoFisher) at a 1:1 ratio of 0.8 µg total DNA per milliliter of final transfection-culture volume. Transfections were performed using 60 ml in 250 mL baffled flasks (Corning) using the same conditions as for  $SDS22_{56-360}$ : $PP1\alpha_{1-300}$ . Alternatively, FectoPro (Polyplus) was used for transfection. Here, the DNA mixture was added to FectoPro, mixed, and incubated for 10 min. This final transfection mixture was added to the cells. Boost reagent (Polyplus) and 2 mM (final concentration) Valproic acid were added to the cells 4 h posttransfection. Cells were harvested 48 h post transfection and the pellets stored at −80°C. Wt-PP1 and variants were expressed according to established protocols (1). SDS2256-360 was expressed as previously described (2). NIPP1<sub>158-216</sub> was expressed as previously described (3).

*Protein purification.* WT PP1 and PP1 variants were purified as previously described (1). SDS22<sub>56-360</sub> was purified as previously described (2). NIPP1<sub>158-216</sub> was purified as previously described (3). The SDS2256-360:PP1α1-300 complex coexpressed in Expi293F cells (coexpressed SDS22:PP1) was purified as follows. Expi293F cells coexpressing SDS22<sub>56-360</sub>:PP1α<sub>1-300</sub> were

lysed in His<sub>6</sub>-tag Lysis Buffer (25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 0.1% Triton X-100, EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablet [Roche]) using high-pressure homogenization (Avestin C3). The resulting lysate was centrifuged at 40,000 *x*g for 45 min and the clarified supernatant was filtered through 0.22 µm polyethersulfone (PES) membrane filter (Millipore) before loading onto a HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with 50 mL of His-tag Buffer A (25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole), followed by 6% His-tag Buffer B (25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole) until the 280 nm UV trace reached baseline. Column-bound proteins were washed with 40 mL of 5 mM ATP-Mg<sup>2+</sup> in Histag buffer A at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min to remove contaminants. The coexpressed SDS22:PP1 complex was eluted with a gradient elution of 6-65% His-tag buffer B at a flow rate of 2 mL/min. The peak fractions were pooled and dialyzed overnight in Dialysis Buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCI, 0.5 mM TCEP) in the presence of TEV to cleave the His $6$ -tag. Subtraction purification was performed to remove the His $6$ -tag and TEV and the flow-through that contain the coexpressed SDS22:PP1 complex was purified using size exclusion chromatography (SEC, Superdex 75 26/60, GE Healthcare) in SDS22:PP1 complex SEC buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP). The fractions containing the SDS22:PP1 complex were pooled and concentrated to 6.5 mg/mL for crystallization.

The reconstituted SDS2256-360:PP1α1-300 complex (reconstituted SDS22:PP1) was purified as follows. Bacteria expressing His6-PP1 were lysed in PP1 Lysis Buffer (25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 700 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 1 mM MnCl2, 0.1% Triton X-100, EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets [Roche]) using high-pressure homogenization (Avestin C3). The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 40,000 *x*g for 45 min and filtered through 0.22 µm PES membrane filter (Millipore) before loading onto Ni-NTA resin (Genesee Scientific). Bound His<sub>6</sub>-PP1 was washed with PP1 buffer A (25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 700 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 1 mM MnCl2) followed by 6% PP1 Buffer B (25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 700 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, 1 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>). His<sub>6</sub>-PP1 was eluted with 100% PP1 Buffer B and immediately subjected to size exclusion chromatography (SEC, Superdex 75 26/60, GE Healthcare) in SEC Buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP). Purified SDS22 was then incubated with His6-PP1 at 1:1.2 (SDS22:PP1) molar ratio and dialyzed in complex SEC buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP) in the presence of TEV at  $4^{\circ}$ C to remove the His $_6$ -tag from PP1. A second Ni-NTA purification was performed to remove the His $_6$ -tag and TEV. The complex was again subjected to SEC in complex SEC buffer. The fractions containing the SDS22:PP1 complex were pooled and concentrated to 6.5 mg/mL for crystallization.

*Crystallization and structure determination.* Crystals of the coexpressed and reconstituted SDS22:PP1 complex were grown using hanging drop vapor diffusion at 16°C by mixing 3 µL of protein (7.5 mg/mL) with 1 µL of crystallization condition (14% w/v PEG 4000, 6% MPD, 0.1 M sodium/potassium phosphate pH 6.2). Drops were streak-seeded with micro-crystals obtained from the initial hits. Small, cylindrical, hollow crystals or large plates grew 3-5 days after seeding. Crystals were cryo-protected with crystallization condition supplemented with 35% MPD and

flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data of the coexpressed SDS22:PP1 complex crystals (hollow cylindrical crystals) were collected at beamline 17-ID-2 (FMX) at NSLSII, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Long Island New York. A raster scan was used to identify the most strongly diffracting region on the crystal and the data collected using a helical/vector data collection protocol. X-ray diffraction data of the reconstituted SDS2256-360:PP1α1-300 complex (large plates) were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) beamline 12-2. The SDS22:PP1 datasets were phased using molecular replacement (Phaser as implemented in Phenix) using PP1 (PDBID 4MOV (1)) and SDS22 (PDBID 6HKW (4)) as search models. The space group of the coexpressed SDS22:PP1 is  $P6<sub>5</sub>22$  and the space group of the reconstituted SDS22:PP1 is P21212. Crystals of PP1 variants, Y134K, Y134K:microcystin-LR (MC), Y134A:MC and H66K:MC (Y134A, Y134K or H66K were incubated with MC at a 1:1 molar ratio on ice for 1 hr prior to crystallization) were obtained in 0.1 M MES, pH 6.0, 20 % PEG6000, 1 M LiCl at 16°C. All PP1 variant structures were solved by molecular replacement using PP1 (PDBID 4MOV) as the search model (space group of P212121). All structures were completed using multiple cycles of building and refinement using Coot (5) and Phenix (6). Data collection and refinement statistics are reported in **Table S1**.

*Surface Plasmon Resonance.* SPR measurements were performed using a 4-channel Reichert 4SPR instrument fitted with autosampler and degassing pump (Reichert Technologies). SPR buffers containing 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 0.05% Tween (with and without the addition of 1 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub> or 1 mM ZnCl<sub>2</sub>) were prepared, sterile filtered, and degassed in autoclaved glassware prior to each experiment. Running buffer was used to prime and run both the sample and syringe pump reservoirs. Gold sensorchips modified with Ni-NTAfunctionalized dextran (NiD50L; Xantec) were installed and equilibrated under flow conditions (100 µL/min) for ≥60 min at 25°C. Surface contaminants were cleared from the chip surface by a pair of 120 µL injections of 2 M NaCl and 10 mM NaOH during the equilibration step. Experiments were conducted at 25°C with a 5 Hz sampling rate and were initiated by injecting 120  $\mu$ L of His<sub>6</sub>-PP1 constructs (50 – 200 nM) diluted in 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM TCEP, 0.05% Tween onto channels 1 and 2 for 120 s at 50 µL/min which resulted in between 150 – 350  $\mu$ RIU of surface loading (channel 3 and 4 were used as reference surfaces). The sensorchip was allowed to equilibrate for 30 min at 50 µL/min prior to beginning the experiments. Purified SDS2256-360 was diluted into running buffer from concentrated stocks and single 90 µL injections were applied for 90 s at 50 µL/min followed by a dissociation step of 180 s. Control experiments measuring NIPP1<sub>158-216</sub> binding to PP1 variants were set-up similarly except for a change in injection profile (60  $\mu$ L injections of NIPP1<sub>158-216</sub> were applied for 60 s at 50 µL/min followed by a dissociation step of 120 s). For all experiments, two buffer blank injections were included to achieve double-referencing. Technical replicates were obtained by utilizing two channels per chip coupled with stripping of the sensorchip with 350 mM EDTA pH 8, reconditioning the surface with 10 mM NaOH to remove non-specifically bound PP1 aggregates, charging the surface with 40 mM NiSO4, and reloading fresh PP1 onto the surface.

All replicates were generated with freshly diluted PP1 and SDS22. Single injection kinetic parameters were determined by curve-fitting using TraceDrawer software (Ridgeview Instruments AB) fit with a one-to-one model.

For kinetic titration experiments, PP1 and SDS22 were prepared as described above. A series of four buffer blank injections was followed by sequential injections of increasing concentrations of SDS22 without surface regeneration. For both blanks and SDS22, 90 µL of sample were injected for 90 s at 50 µL/min, followed by a 180 s dissociation step. Data was analysed by Scrubber (BioLogic Software) and ClampXP (Biosensor Data Analysis) using a kinetic titration model without mass transport (7). Time-dependence of metal dissociation was monitored by measuring the response of SDS22 binding normalized to the total amount of PP1 loaded on the surface. The wash step between PP1 loading and SDS22 injection was defined as 5, 14, 39, and 70 minutes to measure the changes in maximum SDS22 response relative to the amount of time PP1 was affixed to the chip. Single injection maximums were determined by curve-fitting using TraceDrawer software (Ridgeview Instruments AB) and fit with a one-phase exponential association model using Prism8 (GraphPad). Statistical analyses of SPR data were completed using SigmaPlot13 (Systat).

*Protein stability measurements.* Protein stability measurements (T<sub>m</sub>) were performed on a Tycho NT.6 (Nanotemper) using standard capillaries using a 30°C/min ramp and evaluated using the Tycho NT.6 software version 1.2.0.750.

*pNPP activity assay.* All enzymatic assays were carried out in assay buffer (150 mM Bis-Tris pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl) containing p-nitrophenyl phosphate (pNPP, 0 to 8000 µM) as substrate. PP1 (wt and variants) and PP1 complexes were diluted to a final concentration of 1 µM in PP1 buffer without MnCl<sub>2</sub> (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP). The reaction was initiated by adding the substrate into the reaction buffer containing PP1 (final concentration of 0.1 µM; 96 well plate) and incubated at 30°C for 30 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 300 mM potassium phosphate, pH 10. The absorbance was measured at 405 nm using an Epoch2TC spectrophotometer (BioTek) and the data analyzed using GraphPad Prism8. Measured absorbance from blanks that contained substrate but no protein were subtracted from all measurements. The rate of dephosphorylation of pNPP was analyzed using the molar extinction coefficient for pNPP (18000 M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>) and an optical path length of 0.3 cm (96 well plates). All experiments were carried out in triplicate or more.

*Pull-down experiments.* To prepare lysates for pull-downs, 1 mL lysis buffer was added to each sample (lysis buffer: 50 mM Tris pH 8.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM Imidazole, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1% NP40, 10 U/mL DNasel, 1 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 protease inhibitor tablet [Roche/Millipore]). Cells in lysis buffer were then gently homogenized using a pipette. Cells were left on ice for 45 minutes to allow for full lysis (tubes were occasionally inverted). Lysed cells were centrifuged at 15,000 *x*g for 50 min. Pellets were washed with 1 mL lysis buffer and centrifuged at 15,000 *x*g

for 50 min. Lysis buffer was removed from pellets, and pellets were then prepped for gel sample (diluted loading dye into lysis buffer). The soluble fractions (supernatant at centrifugation step) were removed, added to 80 µL equilibrated Ni-NTA resin (equilibration buffer: 50 mM Tris pH 8.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM Imidazole) and incubated 60 min. Samples were centrifuged at 1,500 *x*g for 8 min and the supernatant discarded. To wash the resin, 1 mL of equilibration buffer was added to each sample, the sample inverted and then centrifuged at 1,500 *x*g for 8 min. The resin washing steps were repeating a total of 3x. Bound protein(s) were eluted from the resin by adding 80 µL elution buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.5, 500 mM NaCl, 500 mM Imidazole). After 10 min, the samples were centrifuged at 2,500 *x*g for 8 min. The eluate was then carefully removed and incubated with SDS-PAGE loading buffer. The pellet and eluate samples were then compared using SDS-PAGE (4-12%; Bio-Rad).

*Western Blotting.* Samples prepared from pulldowns were also examined by Western blot (n=4). Samples were loaded at equal volumes for SDS-PAGE (4–12%; Bio-Rad; Tris/Glycine/SDS Running Buffer; Precision Plus Protein Dual Color Standard was used to estimate the molecular weights). Proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane by wet transfer and membranes were blocked in Li-COR Odyssey Blocking Buffer in PBS. Primary antibody, PP1γ (Sigma-PA5- 21671), (diluted in Li-COR Odyssey Blocking Buffer) was added and incubated overnight at 4°C. Blots were washed in PBS-T, followed by incubation with the secondary antibody, Rabbit IgG StarBright Blue 520 (Bio-Rad 12005870, also in Li-COR Odyssey Blocking Buffer) for 60 min at room temperature. At this point, blots were washed in PBS-T and finally PBS. The PVDF membrane was dried before imaging. Fluorescent detection of the secondary antibody was carried out using a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

*Strains, media and general methods:* Strains used in this study are listed in **Table S4**, respectively. Yeast strains were cultured at 24°C either in rich media (YP - 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% designated carbon source) or synthetic medium lacking specific amino acids, as indicated (8). New strains were constructed either by integrating a plasmid carrying a modified gene at an ectopic or native genomic locus or by crossing strains and isolating required meiotic progeny by tetrad analysis. Strains were sporulated at 24°C on medium containing 0.1% yeast extract, 0.05% glucose and 1% potassium acetate. Tetrad dissection and analysis were done as described (9). Yeast transformation, manipulation of *Escherichia coli*, and the preparation of bacterial growth media were performed as described previously (8). Protein extracts from G418 resistant meiotic progeny of transformants with tagged PCR products were assayed for the presence of the tagged protein by immunoblotting. The *Escherichia coli* strain DH5α was used to amplify all plasmids. Plasmids were purified from *E. coli* using the GenElute miniprep kit (Sigma-Aldrich). DH5α was grown in LB medium supplemented with ampicillin to select for plasmids. Restriction enzymes (Promega), DNA ligase (NEB), and high-fidelity DNA polymerase for PCR (Bio-Rad and Phenix) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions. For

Iodine tests in Figures S6D, plates were exposed to iodine vapor according to Chester (10). Strains used in this study are reported in **Table S4**.

*Construction of the Cdc10-Glc7 chimera.* The construction of the *CDC10-GLC7* fusion was described previously (11). The *GLC7-H65K* variant was introduced into pGEM-CDC10-GLC7 (pCZ6) using the QuikChange method. The *CDC10-GLC7* variant was subcloned as SacI/SpeI restriction fragments into the shuttle vector YCpIF16 (12) or the integration vector pMA1, which was made by cloning the *GAL1* promoter, including the HA epitope from YCpIF16, into the vector pRS306. The pMA1-*CDC10-GLC7* plasmid was linearized with NcoI prior to transformation into yeast and selection for uracil prototrophy.

*Induction of the Cdc10-Glc7 chimera for microscopy and immunoblotting***.** Stationary phase yeast cultures of strains with ectopically integrated *CDC10-GLC7* were prepared by growing cells in rich media (YP-raffinose) overnight (~16 hours) at 24°C on a rotor. The stationary phase cultures were diluted 1:20 in YP-raffinose and allowed to grow in a water bath shaker at 24°C for 3 hours, followed by addition of galactose to 2% final concentration. For experiments in which strains were transformed with plasmids containing *CDC10-GLC7* fusions, the same growth conditions and durations were used, except that transformants were grown in selective media to retain plasmids. Samples were taken simultaneously for microscopy and immunoblotting.

*Microscopy*: Aliquots of cultures (500µL) were centrifuged at 3000 rpm and the pelleted cells were pipetted on to a 2% agarose pad containing synthetic complete (SC) medium with 2% galactose. Cells were imaged using a Photometrics CoolSNAP HQ charge-coupled device camera or Prime95D CMOS camera through an Olympus UPlanFl 100 × /1.3 NA objective. Filters from Chroma Technology were used to image cells expressing proteins tagged with mCit. SlideBook 6 software (Intelligent Imaging Innovations) was used to control camera acquisition, fluorescence filter wheels, and the z axis stepping motor (Ludl Electronic Products). Images were acquired in a series of z-axis planes (0.5 μm apart). For quantification of fluorescence intensity of mCit-tagged proteins, images in nine planes were converted into a z-axis projection and the maximum fluorescence in 4 adjacent pixels was measured using ImageJ software. The number of cells containing Glc7-mCit foci were counted manually (n=300) and the nuclear-to-cytoplasmic fluorescence ratio was quantified by determining average intensity in nine adjacent pixels using ImageJ and plotted using Kaleidagraph.

*Indirect immunofluorescence*: The basic protocol and buffers used were as described in (13). In short, cultures were grown to mid-log phase in YP-Raffinose and HA-Cdc10-Glc7 was induced by adding galactose. Cells were fixed in 5% formaldehyde for 30 mins, followed by zymolyase treatment (20µg/ml) to remove the cell wall. Spheroplasted cells were incubated on poly lysinecoated slides, blocked and incubated in mouse monoclonal anti-HA 12CA5 antibody (1:2000 dilution) overnight in a petri dish lined with wet Kimwipes. After washing, cells were incubated in

a 1/500 dilution of Alexa 546-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma A-11003) for 1 hour. Finally, cells were stained with DAPI, covered with Fluoromount G mounting media (Thermo-Fisher Scientific), and images were acquired using the RFP filter set.

*Immunoblot analysis*: Immunoblotting was done as described in (14). Immunoblots were incubated with primary antibodies- rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (JL-8) and mouse monoclonal anti-HA (12CA5) at 1:2000 and 1:1000 dilutions respectively, followed by incubating with HRPconjugated secondary antibodies (Bio-Rad 170-5046 and 170-5047) and subsequent detection using ECL reagents (Clarity Western Bio-Rad). Protein levels were quantified from immunoblot signal using the ChemiDoc Touch imaging system with Image Lab 6.0 software (Bio-Rad). Antiphosphoglycerate kinase (Pgk1) (Life Technologies) was used as a loading control.

*Quantification and statistical analysis.* SDS-PAGE and Western blots of PP1 coexpression pulldown studies were repeated 4 times (**Figure 1C**). All SPR and enzyme activity measurements were repeated between 3-9 times (described explicitly in **Tables 1, S2 and S3**); reported values are the average and standard deviation for the replicated measurements. Sigma Plot 12.5/13 or GraphPad Prism 7/8 was used for the statistical analysis of activity assays (**Figure 1D**; **Table S3).** Quantitative analysis of fluorescence in cells (SDS22-mCit) were performed as described (see Microscopy section in Methods); P-values calculated by two-tailed *t*-test (see **Figures 5D, 5H, S10B, S11B, S11C, S11F, S11G** and legends). All Western blots and microscopy experiments were performed at least three time using two biological replicates.

*Data and software availability.* Atomic coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (6OBN, 6OBP, 6OBQ, 6OBR, 6OBS and 6OBU). A compresensive summary of all resources used/generated in this study can be found in **Table S5**.



# **Table S1**. *Data collection and refinement statistics (1/2)*

aData was collected from a single crystal

\*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

# *Data collection and refinement statistics (2/2)*



<sup>a</sup>Data was collected from a single crystal

\*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

<b>PP1</b> variant	<b>k</b> <sub>on</sub> $(M^{-1} S^{-1})^{\sharp}$	$\mathbf{k}$ off $(\mathsf{s}^{\text{-}1})^{\text{+}}$	$K_D \, (\text{nM})^{\ddagger}$	$X^{2}$	$\mathbf n$
No metal*					
$PP1\alpha$ 7-330	$9.27 \times 10^5 \pm 1.22 \times 10^5$	$2.15x10^{-2} \pm 1.4x10^{-3}$	$23.5 \pm 3.4$	$0.20 \pm 0.06$	$\overline{5}$
PP1y 7-323	$1.34x10^6 \pm 9.29x10^4$	$3.10x10^{-2} \pm 6.9x10^{-3}$	$23.1 \pm 3.7$	$0.48 \pm 0.18$	$\overline{3}$
$PP1\alpha$ 7-300	$6.14x10^{5} \pm 4.44x10^{4}$	$4.98x10^{-2} \pm 5.3x10^{-3}$	$81.6 \pm 12.3$	$0.34 \pm 0.06$	$\overline{4}$
PP1α 7-300 Y134A	$6.75x10^{5} \pm 1.43x10^{5}$	$5.94 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.00 \times 10^{-2}$	$91.3 \pm 25.6$	$0.36 \pm 0.20$	4
PP1α 7-300 Y134F	$6.73x10^{5} \pm 9.20x10^{4}$	$3.65x10^{-2} \pm 4.0x10^{-3}$	$54.7 \pm 6.6$	$0.55 \pm 0.11$	5
PP1α 7-300 Y134K	6.81x10 <sup>5</sup> ± 1.69x10 <sup>5</sup>	$5.34x10^{-2} \pm 1.74x10^{-2}$	$83.7 \pm 36.2$	$0.19 \pm 0.07$	$\overline{4}$
$PP1\alpha$ 7-300: MC	$1.42x10^6 \pm 1.48x10^5$	$6.72x10^{-3} \pm 4x10^{-4}$	$4.8 \pm 0.3$	$0.96 \pm 0.59$	4
PP1α 7-300 H66K	$8.47x10^{5} \pm 6.46x10^{4}$	$5.3x10^{-2} \pm 5x10^{-4}$	$70.3 \pm 5.1$	$0.42 \pm 0.02$	3
with $Mn^{2+}$					
$PP1\alpha$ 7-330	$1.18x10^6 \pm 6.50x10^4$	$1.90x10^{-2} \pm 1.5x10^{-3}$	$16.0 \pm 4.8$	$0.48 \pm 0.15$	4
PP1y 7-323	$1.03x10^6 \pm 3.61x10^4$	$2.15x10^{-2} \pm 1.2x10^{-3}$	$21.0 \pm 1.7$	$0.24 \pm 0.11$	$\overline{3}$
$PP1\alpha$ 7-300	$8.32x10^{5} \pm 1.59x10^{5}$	$3.94 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$48.7 \pm 8.8$	$0.76 \pm 0.25$	6
PP1α 7-300 Y134A	$5.60x10^{5} \pm 9.09x10^{4}$	$5.14 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$93 \pm 11.9$	$0.85 \pm 0.46$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$
PP1α 7-300 Y134F	$6.72x10^{5} \pm 1.09x10^{5}$	$3.85x10^{-2} \pm 2.4x10^{-3}$	$58.3 \pm 8.5$	$0.23 \pm 0.15$	$\overline{6}$
PP1α 7-300 Y134K	$7.92x10^5 \pm 6.65x10^4$	$5.69x10^{-2} \pm 3.9x10^{-3}$	$72.0 \pm 4.4$	$0.45 \pm 0.12$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$
PP1α 7-300: MC	$1.31x10^6 \pm 7.85x10^4$	$7.05x10^{-3} \pm 1.1x10^{-3}$	$5.4 \pm 1.1$	$0.50 \pm 0.06$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$
PP1α 7-300 H66K	$7.33x10^5 \pm 1.20x10^5$	$4.66x10^{-2} \pm 9x10^{-4}$	$64.4 \pm 8.5$	$0.79 \pm 0.14$	3
with $Zn^{2+}$					
$PP1α$ 7-330	$6.37x10^{5} \pm 2.10x10^{5}$	$1.72x10^{-2} \pm 3.3x10^{-3}$	$27.8 \pm 5.1$	$0.15 \pm 0.08$	3

**Table S2**: *SPR binding kinetics for the association of NIPP1^ with PP1 variants*

^ NIPP1158-216; these SPR experiments serve as controls to show that the absence of metal in the SPR buffer does impact NIPP1 binding (which binds PP1 distally from the active site).







# **Table S4**: *Yeast strains used in this study*

All yeast strains are congenic to KT1113 and KT1112 (*leu2 his3 ura3-52*).

# **Table S5**: *Key resources*











**Figure S1**. *Sequence alignment of the PPP family and SDS22 structure*. **A**. Sequence alignment of the PPP family illustrates the lack of conservation in the PP1 residues that comprise the SDS22:PP1 interface (highlighted magenta). Asterisk (\*) indicates fully conserved residues. **B**. Cartoon showing the overlay of the crystal structures of SDS22 from the coexpressed (blue) and reconstituted (pink) SDS22:PP1 complexes. **C**. Same as B except the overlay includes the crystal structure of free SDS22 (PDBID 6HKW, yellow). RMDS for the overlays are the following: SDS22 from the coexpressed SDS22:PP1 complex (RMSD=0.47 Å compared with free SDS22); SDS22 from the reconstituted SDS22:PP1 complex (RMSD=0.44 Å compared with free SDS22).



**Figure S2.** *PP1 active site metals differ in otherwise identical SDS22:PP1 reconstituted versus coexpressed complexes.* **A.** *Coexpressed SDS22:PP1 complex (PP1 expressed in mammalian cells)*. Anomalous map from data collected just above (7132 eV; above) and just below (7092 eV; bottom) the Fe absorption edge indicates the presence of a single  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$  at the M2 position of the active site. Orange mesh, anomalous map of Fe at  $4\sigma$ ; orange spheres are Fe2+. **B.** *Reconstituted SDS22:PP1 (PP1 expressed in E. coli)*. Anomalous map from data collected just above (6549 eV) and just below (6509 eV) the Mn<sup>2+</sup> absorption edge indicates the

presence of a single atom of  $Mn^{2+}$  at the M2 position of the active site. Pink mesh, anomalous map of Mn<sup>2+</sup> at 4 $\sigma$ ; pink spheres, Mn<sup>2+</sup>. **C.** *PP1*<sub>Y134A</sub> (expressed in *E. coli*). Anomalous map from data collected just above (6549 eV) and just below (6509 eV) the Mn<sup>2+</sup> absorption edge indicates the presence  $Mn^{2+}$  ion at both the M1 and M2 positions. Pink mesh, anomalous map of  $Mn^{2+}$  at  $4\sigma$ ; pink spheres, Mn<sup>2+</sup>. **D**. Overlay of the crystal structures of coexpressed (lavender) and reconstituted (green) SDS22:PP1 show that the coexpressed and reconstituted SDS22:PP1 complexes are identical. Magenta box is the region shown in 'B'. **E**. Close up of the PP1 active sites in both complexes. Metal coordination residues are shown as sticks; orange sphere,  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$ at the active site of coexpressed SDS22:PP1 (Mn<sup>2+</sup> in reconstituted SDS22:PP1; not shown but located at same position as the  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$  ion).



**Figure S3**. *SPR sensorgrams for SDS22 and PP1 variants***.** SPR sensorgrams depicting SDS2256-360 (red) binding to PP1 constructs in the absence (white background) and presence of MnCl2 (pink background) or ZnCl2 (blue background). **A**. PP1α7-330. **B**. PP1α7-300, **C**. PP1γ7-323. **D**. PP1H66K. **E**. PP1Y134A. **F**. PP1Y134K. **G**. PP1Y134F. **H**. PP1α1-300. **I**. PP1α7-330:MC. Concentration of injected SDS22 is 500 nM. When binding data is fit with a kinetic model (one-to-one), a plot of the residuals is shown below each trace.



**Figure S4**. *Time-course of M1 metal loss in PP1 and SDS22 binding to M1-metal free PP1*. **A**. The normalized maximum response of SDS22 binding to PP1α7-300 was measured at increasing incubation times in the absence of Mn<sup>2+</sup>. An increase in SDS22 binding to PP1 was observed over the course of 40 minutes and a drop in signal was observed at 70 minutes (red time point). Data were fit using a one-phase association model excluding the 70 minute time point to determine the M1 half-life. **B**. SPR sensorgrams showing duplicate kinetic titrations of SDS22<sub>56-360</sub> binding to PP1α7-330.



**Figure S5**. *SPR sensorgrams NIPP1 and PP1 variants.* SPR sensorgrams measuring the binding of NIPP1<sub>158-216</sub> (control, a known PP1 binding regulator) to PP1 variants in the absence (white background) or presence of MnCl2 (pink background) or ZnCl2 (blue background). **A**. PP1α7-330. **B**. PP1α7-300. **C**. PP1γ7-323. **D**. PP1H66K. **E**. PP1Y134A. **F**. PP1Y134K. **G**. PP1Y134F. **H**. PP1α7-330:MC. Concentrations of injected NIPP1158-216 are 2 nM, 20 nM and 200 nM unless otherwise specified. When binding data is fit with a kinetic model (one-to-one), a plot of the residuals is shown below each trace.



**Figure S6.** *Mn2+ is unable to dissociate assembled SDS22:PP1 complexes***.** A representative SPR sensorgram depicting SDS22 (blue) injected onto a surface charged with PP1 (PP1α7-330). After 3 minutes of flow in the absence of SDS22, 250  $\mu$ M MnCl<sub>2</sub> (red) was injected onto the SDS22-PP1 complex surface for four minutes. During this time, no changes in the rate of dissociation of SDS22 from PP1 were observed. This shows that the presence of metals not sufficient to dissociate SDS22 from PP1.



**Figure S7. Crystal structure of the H66K variants. A. Crystal structure of PP1<sub>H66K</sub> has only a** single metal present at the active site (in position M2; anomalous map (magenta mesh; contoured at 4.0 σ) from data collected just above (6549 eV) and just below (6509 eV) the Mn<sup>2+</sup> absorption edge indicates the presence of a single atom of  $Mn^{2+}$ ). Blue mesh,  $2F_0-F_c$  map, contoured at 1.0 σ. Pink sphere, Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion. **B**. Overlay of the active sites of PP1<sub>H66K</sub> (magenta) with coexpressed SDS22:PP1 (lavender) and reconstituted SDS22:PP1 (green).



**Figure S8**. *Mn2+ competes with SDS22 for PP1 binding and the structures of PP1 variants Y134K and Y134A*. **A**. A representative sensorgram depicting 500 nM SDS22 binding to PP1α7-  $300$  in the absence (grey, shaded area) or presence of MnCl<sub>2</sub> (0.25 mM, pink shaded area). There is a nearly 4-fold reduction in response of PP1 when SDS22 is injected in the presence of manganese, indicating that manganese can rapidly bind, change the conformation of PP1 and compete with SDS22 binding. **B**. Overlay of free PP1 (PDBID 4MOV; grey), PP1Y134K (salmon), PP1<sub>Y134K</sub>:MC (cyan), PP1<sub>Y134A</sub>:MC (gold) shows that there are no significant structural changes between wt PP1 and the Y134 variants. **C**. The active sites of PP1<sub>Y134K</sub>, PP1<sub>Y134K</sub>:MC and PP1 $Y134A$ :MC adopt the metal-loaded conformation, with 2 metal ions (Mn<sup>2+</sup>; pink spheres) at the active site just like PP1WT (grey). **D**. Residue Y134 orders R96 by forming a cation-π interaction. R96 is crucial for substrate (phosphate) recruitment at the active site. **E**. Y134K/A disrupts the cation- $\pi$  interaction between Y134 and R96.



**Figure S9**. *A platform for monitoring protein binding to nascent PP1*. Cdc10-Glc7 fusion is functional and targets to the bud neck. **A**. Model of the system: HA-CDC10-GLC7 chimera was placed under the transcriptional control of the *GAL1* promoter. A flexible linker was introduced between the 3' end of Cdc10 and the 5' end of Glc7 coding sequences to allow both proteins of the fusion to adopt native conformations. Upon induction, YFP-tagged Glc7 regulators (yellow spheres) are visualized at the bud neck. **B**. Immunoblot analysis of whole cell extracts from *CDC10-GLC7* (KT2954), *CDC10-GLC7<sub>H65K</sub>* (KT2986) strains grown to mid-log phase at 24°C, followed by induction with 2% galactose for the indicated times. Immunoblots were probed with anti-HA antibody to determine Cdc10-Glc7 protein levels and Pgk1 was used as the loading control. As can be seen, Cdc10-Glc7 is induced within one hour following the addition of galactose. **C**. Indirect immunofluorescence of HA-tagged Cdc10-Glc7 using anti-HA primary antibody and Alexa 546 secondary antibody. Cultures of *CDC10-GLC7* (KT4022 X KT4023) and *CDC10-GLC7H65K* (KT4020 X KT4021) strains were grown to mid-log phase at 24°C followed by induction with 2% galactose for 2 hours prior to preparation for indirect immunofluorescence, as described in material and methods. White arrows indicate Cdc10-Glc7 localization at the bud neck. Scale bar, 5 µm. **D**. To determine if the Cdc10-Glc7 fusion is functional, we assayed the

ability of the fusion to complement the glycogen deficiency of a glc7-1 mutant. glc7-1 mutants fail to accumulate glycogen (17), in part because the Glc7-1 protein cannot bind the glycogen targeting subunits (15) and hence, cannot activate glycogen synthase (18). Complementation of *glc7* mutations by *CDC10-GLC7* show that strains carrying *glc7-1* or another glycogen-deficient mutation, *glc7-132* (19), accumulate glycogen at levels comparable to the *WT* when expressing the *CDC10-GLC7* fusion gene*.* Cultures of the designated genotypes were serially diluted onto media containing glucose or galactose and incubated for 24h at 24°. Prior to image acquisition, the cells were exposed to iodine vapors to stain for glycogen (dark brown).



**Figure S10.** *Low levels of Sds22 are recruited to the bud neck in cells expressing the Y133A and K146A/K149A Glc7 variants.* **A**. Sds22-mCit was imaged in CDC10-GLC7 (KT2926), CDC10-GLC7Y133A (KT4097) and CDC10-GLC7K146A K149A (KT4110) cells grown in galactose for the indicated times. **B**. Quantitative analysis of Sds22-mCit fluorescence in cells imaged in panel A. P values were calculated by two-tailed t-test (\*\*\*=p<0.001). **C**. Immunoblot analysis of whole cell extracts from strains used in (A). with anti-GFP, anti HA and anti Pgk1 antibodies. Relative levels of Sds22-mCit quantified using Pgk1 as the loading control are shown below the Sds22 blot.



**Figure S11.** *Mutations altering the GLC7 active site disrupt normal localization due to SDS22 sequestration – related to Figure 5*. **A.** Because our strains have a wild-type *GLC7* allele at its normal chromosomal position, we tested whether Cdc10 fused to the Glc7<sub>H65K</sub> variant interferes with WT Glc7 function. A Glc7-mCit strain (JRL852) transformed with plasmids containing either *CDC10-GLC7* or *CDC10-GLC7H65K* was used to monitor the localization of endogenous Glc7-mCitrine (mCit) following Cdc10-Glc7/Cdc10-Glc7<sub>H65K</sub> induction. Transformants were grown in galactose for the indicated times and Glc7-mCit was imaged. Scale bar, 5µm. Strains expressing Cdc10-Glc7 (top panels) maintain normal nuclear localization of Glc7-mCit even after 8 hours in galactose. However, within 4 hours of inducing Cdc10-Glc7<sub>H65K</sub> (bottom panels), the nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio of Glc7-mCit is reduced with 55% of the cells showing bright Glc7 foci after 8 hours of Cdc10-Glc7<sub>H65K</sub> induction. These defects are reminiscent of the reduced nuclear Glc7 and bright puncta of aggregated Glc7 resulting from loss of function of several Glc7 regulators, including Sds22 and Ypi1 (Inhibitor-3) (20–24) showing Glc7<sub>H65K</sub> behaves as a dominant-negative mutant by interfering with normal Glc7 function. **B.** Nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio of Glc7-mCit fluorescence was quantified for cells described for (A) (n=100). **C**. Quantitative analysis of percentage cells from (A) possessing Glc7 foci. Error bars represent SD for three replicates (n=100 each). *P* values for (B) and (C) were calculated by two-tailed *t*-test (\*\*\*=p<0.001). **D**. Immunoblot analysis of whole cell extracts from strains used in Figure 5C with anti-GFP, anti HA and anti Pgk1 antibodies. Relative levels of Sds22-mCit quantified using Pgk1 as the loading control are shown below the Sds22 blot. **E**. A Glc7-mCit strain (KT2492) was co-transformed with *CDC10-GLC7<sub>H65K</sub>* and either the empty

vector (top panel) or HC SDS22 (bottom panel). The transformants were grown to mid-log phase in selective media for both plasmids and Glc7-mCit was imaged after addition of galactose for the indicated duration. Scale bar, 5µm. As can be seen, high copy *SDS22* also suppresses Glc7 dysregulation caused by Cdc10-Glc7H65K expression, as evident in higher nuclear-tocytoplasmic ratios (compared to empty vector; see (F) and reduced formation of Glc7 foci (compared to empty vector; see (G)). These data show that the dominant negative effects of Cdc10-Glc7H65K on Glc7 localization and cell growth and division result from sequestration of Sds22, leading to inadequate availability of Sds22 for endogenous Glc7. **F**. Nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio of Glc7-mCit fluorescence was quantified for cells imaged in panel (E) (n=100). **G**. Quantitative analysis of percentage cells possessing Glc7 foci imaged in panel (E). Error bars represent SD for three replicates (n=100 each). *P* values for (F) and (G) were calculated by twotailed *t*-test (\*\*\*=p<0.001, \*\*=p<0.005).

# **SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES**

- 1. Choy MS, et al. (2014) Understanding the antagonism of retinoblastoma protein dephosphorylation by PNUTS provides insights into the PP1 regulatory code. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111(11):4097–4102.
- 2. Choy MS, Bolik-Coulon N, Archuleta TL, Peti W, Page R (2018) The structure of SDS22 provides insights into the mechanism of heterodimer formation with PP1. *Acta Crystallogr F Struct Biol Commun* 74(Pt 12):817–824.
- 3. O'Connell N, et al. (2012) The molecular basis for substrate specificity of the nuclear NIPP1:PP1 holoenzyme. *Structure* 20(10):1746–1756.
- 4. Heroes E, et al. (2019) Structure-Guided Exploration of SDS22 Interactions with Protein Phosphatase PP1 and the Splicing Factor BCLAF1. *Structure* 27(3):507-518.e5.
- 5. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K (2010) Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 66(Pt 4):486–501.
- 6. Adams PD, et al. (2010) PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 66(Pt 2):213–221.
- 7. Karlsson R, Katsamba PS, Nordin H, Pol E, Myszka DG (2006) Analyzing a kinetic titration series using affinity biosensors. *Anal Biochem* 349(1):136–147.
- 8. Sherman F, Fink, GR, Hicks JB (1986) *Methods in Yeast Genetics* (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY).
- 9. Rose MDF, Winston F, Hieter, P. (1990) *Methods in Yeast Genetics. A Laboratory Course Manual* (Cold spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY).
- 10. Chester VE (1968) Heritable glycogen-storage deficiency in yeast and its induction by ultraviolet light. *J Gen Microbiol* 51(1):49–56.
- 11. Larson JR, et al. (2008) Protein phosphatase type 1 directs chitin synthesis at the bud neck in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol Biol Cell* 19(7):3040–3051.
- 12. Foreman PK, Davis RW (1994) Cloning vectors for the synthesis of epitope-tagged, truncated and chimeric proteins in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Gene* 144(1):63–68.
- 13. Berkower C, Loayza D, Michaelis S (1994) Metabolic instability and constitutive endocytosis of STE6, the a-factor transporter of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol Biol Cell* 5(11):1185– 1198.
- 14. Ravindran R, Polk P, Robinson LC, Tatchell K (2018) New ubiquitin-dependent mechanisms regulating the Aurora B-protein phosphatase 1 balance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *J Cell Sci* 131(16). doi:10.1242/jcs.217620.
- 15. Stuart JS, Frederick DL, Varner CM, Tatchell K (1994) The mutant type 1 protein phosphatase encoded by glc7-1 from Saccharomyces cerevisiae fails to interact productively with the GAC1-encoded regulatory subunit. *Mol Cell Biol* 14(2):896–905.
- 16. Frederick DL, Tatchell K (1996) The REG2 gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae encodes a type 1 protein phosphatase-binding protein that functions with Reg1p and the Snf1 protein kinase to regulate growth. *Mol Cell Biol* 16(6):2922–2931.
- 17. Cannon JF, Pringle JR, Fiechter A, Khalil M (1994) Characterization of glycogen-deficient glc mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* 136(2):485–503.
- 18. Anderson C, Tatchell K (2001) Hyperactive glycogen synthase mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae suppress the glc7-1 protein phosphatase mutant. *J Bacteriol* 183(3):821–829.
- 19. Baker SH, Frederick DL, Bloecher A, Tatchell K (1997) Alanine-scanning mutagenesis of protein phosphatase type 1 in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* 145(3):615– 626.
- 20. Bharucha JP, Larson JR, Gao L, Daves LK, Tatchell K (2008) Ypi1, a positive regulator of nuclear protein phosphatase type 1 activity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol Biol Cell* 19(3):1032–1045.
- 21. Cheng Y-L, Chen R-H (2015) Assembly and quality control of the protein phosphatase 1 holoenzyme involves the Cdc48-Shp1 chaperone. *J Cell Sci* 128(6):1180–1192.
- 22. Pedelini L, et al. (2007) YPI1 and SDS22 proteins regulate the nuclear localization and function of yeast type 1 phosphatase Glc7. *J Biol Chem* 282(5):3282–3292.
- 23. Peggie MW, et al. (2002) Essential functions of Sds22p in chromosome stability and nuclear localization of PP1. *J Cell Sci* 115(Pt 1):195–206.
- 24. Robinson LC, Phillips J, Brou L, Boswell EP, Tatchell K (2012) Suppressors of ipl1-2 in components of a Glc7 phosphatase complex, Cdc48 AAA ATPase, TORC1, and the kinetochore. *G3 (Bethesda)* 2(12):1687–1701.