## 1 SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

2	Conceptual Model of Biofilm Antibiotic Tolerance that Integrates Phenomena of Diffusion,
3	Metabolism, Gene Expression, and Physiology
4	Philip S. Stewart, Ben White, Laura Boegli, Timothy Hamerly, Kerry S. Williamson, Michael J.
5	Franklin, Brian Bothner, Garth A. James, Steve Fisher, Francisco G. Vital-Lopez, Anders
6	Wallqvist
7	
8	<b>Table S1</b> . Parameter values for reaction-diffusion modeling of oxygen penetration into P.

9 *aeruginosa* drip-flow biofilm.

Parameter	Value	Source
cell volume fraction	0.212	to match experimental
		biomass concentration of
		63,500 g m <sup>-3</sup>
biomass intrinsic density	300,000 g m <sup>-3</sup>	typical bacterium
external mass transfer liquid layer	$10^{\text{-2}} \text{ or } 10^2  \mu\text{m}$	to bound anticipated
thickness		dimensions
biofilm relative effective diffusion	0.6	(1)
coefficient		
oxygen aqueous diffusion coefficient	2.11 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> m <sup>2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup>	(1)
lactic acid aqueous diffusion	$1.12 \text{ x } 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ d}^{-1}$	(2)
coefficient		
bulk fluid oxygen concentration	6 g m <sup>-3</sup>	air saturation in Bozeman,
		MT
maximum specific growth rate	26.2 d <sup>-1</sup>	experimentally measured
yield coefficient, biomass on oxygen	1.0 g g <sup>-1</sup>	typical value
yield coefficient, biomass on lactate	0.27 g g <sup>-1</sup>	from elemental balances
Monod coefficient for oxygen	0.39 g m <sup>-3</sup>	from data in (3)
	č	

**Table S2**. Analysis of ammonium production, pH change, and urea uptake in *P. aeruginosa* 

- 12 biofilm reactors. Ammonium production and urea uptake was determined as the difference
- 13 between the concentration in the fresh medium and biofilm reactor effluent. WT wild type;
- *ureA* urease mutant; WT no urea = urea omitted from medium. Values are given as the mean
- $\pm$  standard deviation.

(mM)			(mM)
Condition	NH <sub>3</sub>	ΔpH	Urea
WT	$1.49\pm0.14$	$-0.09 \pm 0.12$	$0.65\pm0.34$
urea	$1.56\pm0.34$	$\textbf{-0.28} \pm 0.33$	$0.23\pm0.32$
WT – no urea	$1.51\pm0.03$	$\textbf{-0.01} \pm 0.01$	0

	$(\mu g \text{ cm}^{-2})$	$(\mu g \ cm^{-2})$	$(\mu g \text{ cm}^{-2})$
Condition	Protein	Carbohydrate	DNA
Untreated	$362\pm141$	$184 \pm 20$	$13.9\pm1.5$
CIP-treated	$83.4\pm37.4$	$109\pm16$	$10.7\pm2.5$

**Table S3**. Biofilm composition. Values are given as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

**Table S4**. Calculated oxygen requirement for Psl extracellular polysaccharide biosynthesis from

20 various substrates in *P. aeruginosa*.

21

	(C-mole PSL/mole O <sub>2</sub> )
Substrate	Yield
Alanine	3.96
Arginine	2.54
Aspartate	7.26
Cysteine	0.00
Glutamate	3.62
Glutamine	3.47
Glycine	3.21
Histidine	2.54
Isoleucine	1.54
Leucine	1.54
Lysine	0.87
Methionine	0.00
Phenylalanine	1.30
Proline	2.08
Serine	2.61
Threonine	2.15
Tryptophan	1.50
Tyrosine	1.76
Valine	1.02
Glucose	12.57
Lactate	2.43

Strain	Genotype and relevant characteristics	Source or Reference
P. aeruginosa PAO1∆PA2231	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> with markerless <i>pslA</i> deletion	(5)
P. aeruginosa PAO1ΔPA0934ΔPA5338	P. aeruginosa with markerless relAspoT deletion	(6)
P. aeruginosa PAO1∆PA3622	P. aeruginosa with markerless rpoS deletion	(7)
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISlacZ::PA5200	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> with transposon insertion in <i>amgR</i>	UW strain PW9752
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISphoA::PA3540	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in algD	UW strain PW6997
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISphoA::PA5100	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in hutU	UW strain PW6916
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISphoA::PA4876	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in osmE	UW strain PW9201
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISlacZ::PA2826	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in PA2826	UW strain PW5733
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISphoA::PA4217	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> with transposon insertion in <i>phzS</i>	UW strain PW8154
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISphoA::PA4865	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in ureA	UW strain PW9185
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISphoA::PA3064	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in pelA	UW strain PW6141
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISlacZ::PA0927	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in ldhA	UW strain PW2681
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISlacZ::PA0519	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in nirS	UW strain PW1951
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISlacZ::PA2570	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in lecA	UW strain PW5513
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISphoA::PA3361	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> with transposon insertion in <i>lecB</i>	UW strain PW6664
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISlacZ::PA3478	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in rhlB	UW strain PW6884
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISphoA::PA0524	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in norB	UW strain PW1962
P. aeruginosa PAO1 ISlacZ::PA2634	P. aeruginosa with transposon insertion in aceA	UW strain PW5404

**Table S5**. Mutant strains used in this study. UW strains are from the University of Washington transposon collection (4).



2 **Figure S1**. Reaction-diffusion modeling predicts oxygen limitation inside *P. aeruginosa* 

- 3 biofilms. The concentration of oxygen at the base of the biofilm decreases geometrically with
- 4 increasing biofilm thickness. The parameter  $L_{\rm L}$  denotes the thickness of an external mass
- 5 transfer fluid layer.



7 Figure S2. Continued utilization of carbon sources by *P. aeruginosa* biofilms treated with

- 8 ciprofloxacin for 24 h. Colors denote amino acids (red), lactate and glucose (blue), and the
- 9 excreted product acetate (green).



Figure S3. Volcano plot comparing metabolite concentrations between A, untreated biofilm and planktonic; B, treated biofilm and planktonic; C, treated biofilm and untreated biofilm. For each plot, comparisons were made such that a negative fold change correlates to decreased abundance in the untreated biofilm (A), treated biofilm (B), or treated biofilm (C), while a positive fold change indicates increased abundance for the same samples. Shown are metabolites with

- tentative identifications. Those that were significantly different (fold change > 1.5, *p*-value
- (0.05) between the two conditions compared are denoted by larger, brighter circles.
- 20 Metabolites above the dashed horizontal line have a p-value < 0.05, and metabolites to the left or
- right side of the vertical lines have a fold change > 1.5.



- 22
- 23
- Figure S4. Image of untreated *P. aeruginosa* drip-flow biofilm at 96 h. Arrow indicates the spot
- 25 at which the medium dripped onto the slide.

## 26 Computation of oxygen requirement to synthesize Psl from different carbon sources

27 We computed the theoretical oxygen requirement to synthesize Psl from each of the carbon

sources present in the artificial chronic wound exudate (ACWE) medium (i.e., lactate, glucose

and the 20 amino acids). To perform this computation, we used a mathematical method called

30 flux balance analysis (FBA) (8). This method uses linear optimization to assess the capability of

a metabolic network to synthesize a metabolic product such as biomass or Psl. In FBA, the

32 metabolic network is represented as a linear system of algebraic equations:

## $\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$

(1)

Where the stoichiometric matrix **S** has dimensions  $n \times m$ , and **v** is a  $m \times 1$  vector representing the 33 metabolic fluxes or rates of the metabolic reactions. The rows of  $\mathbf{S}$  represent the mass balances 34 35 of each of the *n* metabolites and each column represents the stoichiometry of each of the *m* reactions included in the network. Thus, the coefficients of a column of S correspond to the 36 37 stoichiometric coefficients of the metabolites participating in the corresponding reaction. The 38 mass balances represented by Eq. 1 constrain the yield at which a metabolic network can transform substrates into products. In this work, we used a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* metabolic 39 network model with 584 metabolites and 702 metabolic reactions (9). The metabolic network 40 included all major known pathways of *P. aeruginosa* metabolism such as 41 glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, TCA cycle, pentose phosphate pathway, amino acids synthesis and 42 degradation, nucleic acid synthesis, as well as the Psl synthesis pathway. 43 44

To compute oxygen requirement to synthesize Psl from each carbon source in the ACWE, we

follow a two-step procedure. First, we solved the following optimization problem to obtain the

47 maximum Psl yield from each carbon source in the ACWE medium:

$\max_{\mathbf{v}} v_{Psl}$	
s.t.	
$\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$	(2)
$l \le v \le u$	(2)
$v_i = 1$ , <i>i</i> denotes one of the carbon sources	
$v_j = 0, j$ denotes all carbon sources, except <i>i</i>	
	1

- 48 Where  $v_{Psl}$  denotes the synthesis rate of Psl, l and u are the lower and upper bounds of the
- 49 metabolic fluxes v. For a carbon source i, its uptake flux is set to 1 (in arbitrary units), while the
- 50 uptake rates for the rest of the carbon sources are set to 0.
- 51
- 52 Then, we compute the minimum oxygen uptake required to attain the maximum Psl yield for
- 53 each carbon source by solving the following optimization problem:

m	$ \sum_{v} v_{oxy} $	
s.	t.	
S ·	$\mathbf{v} = 0$	
l <u>&lt;</u>	$\leq v \leq u$	(3)
$v_i$	= 1, $i$ denotes one of the carbon sources	
$v_j$	= 0, $j$ denotes all carbon sources, except $i$	
$v_P$	$v_{Psl,i} = v_{Psl,i}$	
Where $v_{oxy}$ denotes the oxygen uptake rate	e and $v_{Psl,i}$ denotes the maximum Psl yield for carb	on

54 Where  $v_{oxy}$  denotes the oxygen uptake rate and  $v_{Psl,i}$  denotes the maximum Psl yield for carbon 55 source *i*. The oxygen requirement to synthesize Psl from each carbon source is then obtained by 56 the ratio  $v_{Psl,i} / v_{oxy}$ . The results for each carbon source are provided in Table S4.

57

## 59 **References**

- 1. Stewart PS. 2003. Diffusion in biofilms. J Bacteriol 185:1485-1491.
- 2. Ribeiro ACF, Lobo VMM, Leaist DG, Natividade JJS, Veríssimo LP, Barros MCF and
- AMTDPV Cabral. 2005. Binary diffusion coefficients of aqueous solutions of lactic acid. J
   Soln Chem 34:1009-1016.
- Alvarez-Ortega C, Harwood CS. 2007. Responses of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to low
   oxygen indicate that growth in the cystic fibrosis lung is by aerobic respiration. Mol
   Microbiol 65:153-165.
- 4. Jacobs MA, Alwood A, Thaipisuttikul I, Spencer D, Haugen E, Ernst S, Will O, Kaul R,
- Raymond C, Levy R, Chun-Rong L, Guenthner D, Bovee D, Olson MV, Manoil C. 2003.

69 Comprehensive transposon mutant library of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci
70 USA 100:14339-14344.

5. Byrd MS, Sadovskaya I, Vinogradov E, Lu H, Sprinkle AB, Richardson SH, Ma L, Ralston

B, Parsek MR, Anderson EM, Lam JS, Wozniak DJ. 2009. Genetic and biochemical analyses

73 of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Psl exopolysaccharide reveal overlapping roles for

- polysaccharide synthesis enzymes in Psl and LPS production. Mol Microbiol 73:622-638.
- Nguyen D, Joshi-Datar A, Lepine F, Bauerle E, Olakanmi O, Beer K, McKay G, Siehnel R,
   Schafhauser J, Wang Y, Britigan BE, Singh PK. 2011. Active starvation responses mediate

antibiotic tolerance in biofilms and nutrient-limited bacteria. Science 334:982-986.

- 78 7. Suh S-J, Silo-Suh L, Woods DE, Hassett DJ, West SHE, Ohman DE. 1999. Effect of *rpoS*79 mutation on the stress response and expression of virulence factors in *Pseudomonas*80 *aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 181:3890-3897.
- 8. Orth JD, Thiele I, Palsson BØ. 2010. What is flux balance analysis? Nat Biotechnol 28:245 248.
- 9. Vital-Lopez FG, Reifman J, Wallqvist A. 2015. Biofilm formation mechanisms of
- 84 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* predicted via genome-scale kinetic models of bacterial
- 85 metabolism. PLoS Comput Biol 11:e1004452.