Main Figures Summary Statistics

Figure 1D			
Unpaired t test		Figure 1E	
P value	0.017	Unpaired t test	
P value summary	*	P value	0.4294
Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes	P value summary	ns
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed	Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	No
t, df	t=3.937 df=4	One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed
		t, df	t=0.7923 df=149
How big is the difference?			
Mean ± SEM of column A	83.81 ± 4.111, n=3	How big is the difference?	
Mean ± SEM of column B	100 ± 0, n=3	Mean ± SEM of column G	92.78 ± 4.492, n=119
Difference between means	16.19 ± 4.111	Mean ± SEM of column H	100 ± 5.407, n=32
95% confidence interval	4.772 to 27.6	Difference between means	7.223 ± 9.116
R squared (eta squared)	0.7949	95% confidence interval	-10.79 to 25.24
		R squared (eta squared)	0.004196
F test to compare variances			
F, DFn, Dfd	Infinity, 2, 2	F test to compare variances	
P value	< 0.0001	F, DFn, Dfd	2.566, 118, 31
P value summary	****	P value	0.0034
Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes	P value summary	**
		Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes
Figure 1G			
dots/nucleus		Relative intensity/nucleus	
Unpaired t test		Unnaired t test	
P value	0.1018	P value	0.1128
P value summary	ns	P value summary	ns
Significantly different ($P < 0.05$)?	No	Significantly different ($P < 0.05$)?	No
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed	One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed
t, df	t=1.653 df=91	t, df	t=1.601 df=91
How big is the difference?		How big is the difference?	
Mean ± SEM of column A	3.95 ± 0.8413, n=20	Mean ± SEM of column J	100 ± 8.859, n=20
Mean ± SEM of column B	2.589 ± 0.3649, n=73	Mean ± SEM of column K	86.51 ± 3.689, n=73
Difference between means	-1.361 ± 0.8234	Difference between means	-13.49 ± 8.423
95% confidence interval	-2.997 to 0.2747	95% confidence interval	-30.22 to 3.243
R squared (eta squared)	0.02914	R squared (eta squared)	0.02741
F test to compare variances		F test to compare variances	
F, DFn, Dfd	1.457, 19, 72	F, DFn, Dfd	1.58, 19, 72
P value	0.2577	P value	0.1704
P value summary	ns	P value summary	ns
0.000			
Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	No	Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	No

Uppaired test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column C Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	0.9086 ns No Two-tailed t=0.1197 df=6 1.003 ± 0.08642, n=4 1.022 ± 0.1254, n=4 0.01823 ± 0.1523 -0.3543 to 0.3908 0.002383	Uppaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column J Mean ± SEM of column K Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	0.0587 No Two-tailed t=1.899 df=244 100 ± 15.11, n=133 65.42 ± 8.571, n=113 -34.58 ± 18.2 -70.43 to 1.28 0.01457
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	2.104, 3, 3 0.5569 ns No	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	3.659, 132, 112 <0.0001 **** Yes
Figure 2E Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	7.09E-08 Yes Two-tailed t=5.66 df=156	Figure 2F Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	0.0363 * Yes Two-tailed t=2.685 df=6
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column C Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	69.92 ± 4.128, n=79 45.6 ± 1.197, n=79 -24.32 ± 4.298 -32.81 to -15.83 0.1703	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column D Mean ± SEM of column E Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	1 ± 0.101, n=4 0.4756 ± 0.1672, n=4 -0.5244 ± 0.1953 -1.002 to -0.04642 0.5457
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	11.9, 78, 78 <0.000000001 **** Yes	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	2.739, 3, 3 0.4299 No
Figure 2G			

	D	iscovery?	P value	Mean1	Mean2
	No		0.3875556	49 1.178	1.435
	No		0.0207286	25 1.2	1.902
	No		0.0265952	256 1.084	3.106
	No		0.0236561	1.028	3.314
	No		0.2327810	1.169	2.391
Difference	SE	of difference	t ratio	df	q value
	-0.257	0.2653	0.96	687 4	0.391431206
	-0.702	0.1894	3.7	706 4	0.044768682
	-2.022	0.5899	3.4	4 4	0.044768682
	-2.286	0.6427	3.5	557 4	0.044768682
	-1.222	0.8697	1.4	405 4	0.293886044
	Difference	D No No Difference SE -0.257 -0.702 -2.022 -2.286 -1.222	Discovery? No No No Difference -0.257 0.2653 -0.702 0.1894 -2.022 0.5899 -2.286 0.6427 -1.222 0.8697	Discovery? P value No 0.3875556 No 0.0207286 No 0.0265955 No 0.0265956 No 0.02327810 Difference SE of difference t ratio -0.257 0.26553 0.96 -0.702 0.1894 3.7 -2.022 0.5899 3.4 -2.226 0.6697 1.4 -1.222 0.8697 1.4	Discovery? P value Mean1 No 0.387555649 1.178 No 0.02072625 1.2 No 0.0225655 1.024 No 0.023656159 1.028 No 0.232781025 1.169 Difference SE of difference t ratio d -0.257 0.2653 0.9687 4 -0.702 0.1894 3.706 4 -2.022 0.5899 3.428 4 -2.286 0.6427 3.557 4 -1.222 0.8697 1.405 4

Figure 3A							
Column B	Id1 BMP		Column D	Id2 BMP	Column F	Id3 BMP	
VS.	vs.		vs.	VS.	vs.	vs.	
Column A	Id1 FGF		Column C	Id2 FGF	Column E	Id3 FGF	
Unpaired t test			Unpaired t test		Unpaired t test		
P value		0.0322	P value	0.0746	Pvalue	0.0	0072921
P value summary	*		P value summary	ns	P value summary	***	
Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes		Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	No	Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes	
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed		One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed	One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed	
t. df	t=3.221 df=4		t. df	t=3.453 df=2	t. df	t=9.348 df=4	
How big is the difference?			How big is the difference?		How big is the difference?		
Mean ± SEM of column A	1 ± 0.3057, n=3		Mean ± SEM of column C	1 ± 0.1197, n=2	Mean ± SEM of column E	1 ± 0.1075, n=	=3
Mean ± SEM of column B	13.73 ± 3.94. n=	=3	Mean ± SEM of column D	8.156 ± 2.069. n=2	Mean ± SEM of column F	6.8 ± 0.6111.	n=3
Difference between means	12.73 + 3.952		Difference between means	7.156 + 2.073	Difference between means	5.8 ± 0.6205	
95% confidence interval	1.759 to 23.7		95% confidence interval	-1.761 to 16.07	95% confidence interval	4.077 to 7.523	3
R squared (eta squared)		0 7218	R squared (eta squared)	0.8563	R squared (eta squared)		0.9562
(ora oqualou)		0.72.10	ri oqualoa (ota oqualoa)	0.0000	(ota oqualoa)		0.0002
F test to compare variances			F test to compare variances		F test to compare variances		
F. DFn. Dfd	166.1.2.2		F. DEn. Dfd		F. DEn. Dfd	32.29.2.2	
P value		0.012	P value		P value		0.0601
P value summary	*	0.012	P value summary		P value summary	ns	0.0001
Significantly different ($P < 0.05$)?	Ves		Significantly different ($P < 0.05$)?		Significantly different ($P < 0.05$)?	No	
	103					110	
Column H	IQ4 DIVIP						
vs.	VS.						
Column G	Id4 FGF						
Unpaired t test							
P value		0 0018					
P value summer	**	0.0010					
F value summary Significantly different ($D < 0.05$)?	Vee						
Significantly different (F < 0.05)?	Tue telled						
One- or two-tailed P value?	I WO-Laned						
t, df	t=7.418 at=4						
How big is the difference?							
Mean + SEM of column C	1 ± 0.281 n=3						
Mean + SEM of column H	1 ± 0.201, 11-3	-2					
	21.44 ± 3.003, 1	1-3					
Difference between means	∠0.44 ± 3.564						
95% contidence interval	16.54 to 36.33						
R squared (eta squared)		0.9322					

F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?

159.9, 2, 2

* Yes 0.0124

Figure 3C

No. 10 and Relations of the difference? 10 and relation relatio relation relation relation relation relation relatio	Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	0.000753309 *** Yes Two-tailed t=6.288 df=6	Figure 3E Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	2.32E-11 **** Yes Two-tailed +=7.206.4f=466	Figure 3K Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	8.4E-11 Yes Two-tailed
	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column D Mean ± SEM of column E Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	1 ± 0.5676, n=4 4.627 ± 0.1026, n=4 3.627 ± 0.5769 2.216 to 5.039 0.8682	I, di How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column E Mean ± SEM of column F Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	31.38 ± 1.249, n=79 48.98 ± 2.099, n=79 17.6 ± 2.443 12.78 to 22.43 0.2497	How big is the difference? How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column B Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	105.7 ± 5.727, n=20 36.75 ± 5.25, n=20 -68.96 ± 7.769 -84.69 to -53.24 0.6746
Significantly different (P < 0.05)7 Yeak Public summary response Public summary response Public summary response Figure 30 3.066-00 Paired 1 test 3.066-00 Paired 1 test 0.0739 0.0739 Public summary response 3.066-00 Paired 1 test 0.0739 0.0739 0.0739 Main a SEM of column 4 Yeak as provide summary response 0.0739 0.0739 0.0739 Mars 3 SEM of column 4 5.41 s 2.62, r-931 Mark of difference 7 0.0559 0.0559 Mars 3 SEM of column 4 5.41 s 2.62, r-931 Mark of difference 7 0.0559 0.0559 Mars 3 SEM of column 4 5.41 s 2.62, r-931 Mark of difference 7 0.0559 0.0559 P value summary response 0.143 SEM of difference 7 0.0559 0.0559 P value summary response 0.143 SEM of difference 7 0.0519 0.0519 P value summary response 0.0519 0.0519 0.0519 0.0519 P value summary response 0.0509 0.0519 0.0519 0.0519 P value response 0.0519 0.0519 0.	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary	30.59, 3, 3 0.0189	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value	2.823, 78, 78 <0.0001	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value	1.19, 19, 19 0.7084
Fundament	Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes	P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes	P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	ns No
P value summary ************************************	Figure 4D Unpaired t test P value	3.05E-09	Figure 4E Paired t test			
One	P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	**** Yes	P value P value summarv	0.8739 ns		
Have big ins difference? (10 + 73, 1-10) Number of pairs 3 Differences 0.02459 Differences 0.04517 Pairo Loopner variances F. Dr., Odi F. Dr., Odi 57.3, 82, 92 P value summary Consistion cefficient (n) -0.1451 P value summary P value summary re P value summary Ves P value summary re Significa	One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	Two-tailed t=6.233 df=184	Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	No Two-tailed t=0.1798 df=2		
80% continuent interval 40.2 to -31.37 SD of differences 0.4535 to 5364 F let to company variances 5.71.8 (2.9) SM of differences 0.4535 to 0.5844 P value immany 5.71.8 (2.9) Value immany 0.4535 to 0.5844 P value immany 5.71.8 (2.9) Value immany 0.4537 P value immany 5.71.8 (2.9) Value (no tabled) 0.4537 P value immany 70.000 P value (no tabled) 0.4537 P value immany Na 0.059 Na P value immany Na Na 0.4537 P value immany Na Na 0.4537 P value immany Na Na 0.4537 P value immany Na Na Na Significantly different (P < 0.057)	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column G Mean ± SEM of column H Difference between means	100 ± 6.793, n=93 54.1 ± 2.842, n=93 -45.9 ± 7.364	Number of pairs How big is the difference? Mean of differences	-0.02549		
P lest in compare variances F13, 02, 02 R squared (partial els squared) 0,001 P value summary -0001 -0001 -0001 P value summary -0001 -0001 -0001 Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	-60.42 to -31.37 0.1743	SD of differences SEM of differences 95% confidence interval	0.2455 0.1418 -0.6354 to 0.5844		
Significantly difference (P < 0.05)? Yes Produce come tailed to Produce summary is No. Figure 4G Parted test 0.05 Produce summary 0.1148 Produce summary 0.1148 Produce summary 0.1148 Significantly different (P < 0.05)? No. Figure 4G Produce summary 0.1148 Significantly different (P < 0.05)? No. Figure 4G Produce summary 0.1148 Significantly different (P < 0.05)? No. Figure 4G Produce summary 0.1148 Significantly different (P < 0.05)? No. Figure 4G Produce summary 0.1148 Significantly different (P < 0.05)? No. Figure 4G Produce summary 0.1148 One- or two-tailed One- One- One- One- One- One- One- One-	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary	5.713, 92, 92 <0.0001	R squared (partial eta squared) How effective was the pairing? Correlation coefficient (r)	-0.1451		
Figure 46Figure 41Paried 1 test0.08Public summary0.08Public summary0.08Public summary0.08Public summary0.1148Public summary0.0138Public summary0.01768Public summary0.01768Public summary0.0178Public summary0.0138Public summary1.0111Public summary <td>Significantly different (P < 0.05)?</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?</td> <td>0.4537 ns No</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes	P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	0.4537 ns No		
One-or two-tailed P value?Two-tailed t.dOne-or two-tailed P value?Two-tailed t.edNumber of pairs13.33 df-34Number of pairs3How big is the difference?How big is the difference?-8.833Bean of differences13.27 (SD of differences5.666SEM of differences13.27 (SD of differences3.28396% confidence interval-22.96 to 5.2920.7835How differences0.7715 (S quared (partial eta squared))0.7835How effective was the paining?-0.000000.7756Correlation coefficient (r)0.070000.7231P value summarynsNa0.2427P value summarynsNaNaNoP value summaryns0.0758P value summary-0.0131P value summarynsNoNaNaNaP value summary-0.0131P value (partial et P value?)NoNo-0.0131P value summarynsSignificantly different (P < 0.05)?	Figure 4G Paired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	0.05 * Yes	Figure 4I Paired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	0.1148 ns No		
How big is the difference?How big is the difference?Mean of differences-21.11Mean of differences-21.11SD of differences5.883SEM of differences5.883SEM of differences5.883SEM of differences5.883Sex confidence interval-42.22 to -0.001007S% confidence interval-22.96 to 5.292R squared (partial eta squared)0.7715R squared (partial eta squared)0.7835How effective was the pairing?0.7708Correlation coefficient (r)0.7231P value (one tailed)0.465P value (one tailed)0.2427P value (one tailed)0.2427P value (one tailed)0.0131P value summarynsNoNoP value summarynsNoNoP value summarynsP value summarynsP value summarynsNoNoP value summaryNoP value summarynsSignificantly different (P < 0.05)?	One- or two-tailed P value? t, df Number of pairs	Two-tailed t=3.183 df=3 4	One- or two-tailed P value? t, df Number of pairs	Two-tailed t=2.691 df=2 3		
How effective was the pairing? Correlation coefficient (r)Line (related pairing?) Correlation coefficient (r)Line (related pairing?) 0.7231P value (one tailed)0.465 P value (one tailed)0.2427P value (one tailed)0.465 P value summary Nons Was the pairing significantly effective?0.2427P value summaryns NoWas the pairing significantly effective?NoFigure 4K Unpaired t testPaired t test P value summary0.0151 P value summaryNoP value summary*P value summary P value summaryns NoSignificantly different (P < 0.05)?	How big is the difference? Mean of differences SD of differences SEM of differences 95% confidence interval R sourared (partial et a sourced)	-21.11 13.27 6.633 -42.22 to -0.001007 0.7715	How big is the difference? Mean of differences SD of differences SEM of differences 95% confidence interval R squared (nartial eta squared)	-8.833 5.686 3.283 -22.96 to 5.292 0.7835		
P value (one tailed) 0.2427 P value summary ns P value summary ns P value summary ns P value summary No Was the pairing significantly effective? No Figure 4K Paired t test P value 0.0131 P value summary ns Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	How effective was the pairing? Correlation coefficient (r)	-0.07008	How effective was the pairing? Correlation coefficient (r)	0.7231		
Figure 4K Figure 4L Unpaired 1 test Paired 1 test P value 0.0131 P value 0.0758 P value summary * P value summary ns Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	P value (one talled) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No	P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No		
Significantly different (P < 0.05)?YesSignificantly different (P < 0.05)?NoOne- or two-tailed P value?Two-tailedOne- or two-tailed P value?Two-tailedt, dft=2.528 df=98t, dft=3.423 df=2How big is the difference?Number of pairs3Mean \pm SEM of column G100 \pm 7.731, n=51How big is the difference?Mean \pm SEM of column G100 \pm 7.731, n=51How big is the difference?Mean \pm SEM of column G100 \pm 7.731, n=51How big is the differencesOfference between means42.52 \pm 16.82SD of differences95% confidence interval9.138 to 75.9SEM of differences95% confidence interval0.0621995% confidence interval95% confidence interval0.0621995% confidence interval7, DFn, Dfd3.694, 48, 50How effective was the pairing?P value<0.0001	Figure 4K Unpaired t test P value P value summary	0.0131	Figure 4L Paired t test P value P value summary	0.0758 ns		
How big is the difference? How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column G 100 ± 7.731, n=51 How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column H 142.5 ± 15.16, n=49 Mean of differences -0.3785 Difference between means 42.52 ± 16.82 SD of differences 0.1915 95% confidence interval 9.138 to 75.9 SEM of differences 0.1106 R squared (eta squared) 0.06121 95% confidence interval -0.8543 to 0.09724 F test to compare variances R squared (partial eta squared) 0.8542 F value <0.0001	Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	Yes Two-tailed t=2.528 df=98	Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df Number of pairs	No Two-tailed t=3.423 df=2 3		
R squared (rela squared) 0.0621 (95% contributice filter via (100,00724) F test to compare variances 0.8542 F, DFn, Dfd 3.694, 48, 50 P value <0.0001	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column G Mean ± SEM of column H Difference between means 95% confidence interval Descured (the secured)	100 ± 7.731, n=51 142.5 ± 15.16, n=49 42.52 ± 16.82 9.138 to 75.9	How big is the difference? Mean of differences SD of differences SEM of differences	-0.3785 0.1915 0.1106		
P value <0.0001	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd	3.694, 48, 50	R squared (partial eta squared) How effective was the pairing?	0.8542		
	P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	<0.0001 **** Yes	Correlation coefficient (r) P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	0.746 0.232 ns No		

Figure 4N				
%KI67+		%EdU+		
Paired t test		Paired t test		
P value	0.	0485 P value		0.085
P value summary	•	P value summary	ns	
Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes	Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	No	
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed	One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed	
t, df	t=4.372 df=2	t, df	t=3.208 df=2	
Number of pairs		3 Number of pairs		3
How big is the difference?		How big is the difference?		
Mean of differences	3	35.48 Mean of differences		4.904
SD of differences	1	14.06 SD of differences		2.648
SEM of differences	8	3.116 SEM of differences		1.529
95% confidence interval	0.5627 to 70.4	95% confidence interval	-1.674 to 11.48	

R squared (partial eta squared)		0.9053	R squared (partial eta squared)		0.8373
How effective was the pairing?			How effective was the pairing?		
Correlation coefficient (r)		0.9999	Correlation coefficient (r)		0.6835
P value (one tailed)		0.004	P value (one tailed)		0.2604
P value summary	**		P value summary	ns	
Was the pairing significantly effective?	Yes		Was the pairing significantly effective?	No	

Figure SE			10	D14 1	
Gene name DII1	-2.16653603	logCPM 5.151465748	LR 44.15167485	PValue 3.04E-11	FDR 1.44E-09
DII3	-1.605697967	0.774961332	22.23532548	2.41E-06	4.63E-05
Skp2	-2.263976591	5.234419896	73.61448822	9.50E-18	1.65E-15
Cdk1	-2.351352295	5.058298298	78.38606663	1.32E-12 8.47F-19	7.82E-11 2.09E-16
Cdk2	-1.016666564	5.861506896	12.71589534	0.000362561	0.00342061
Foxm1	-2.173282828	6.186910054	56.14989656	6.72E-14	5.20E-12
Egtr Rrm2	-1.1/5/149/9 -2.782875601	7.21832039	20.41016581	6.25E-Ub 1.13E-23	0.000108167 1.28F-20
Fbl	-1.102638987	6.612927396	20.85305929	4.96E-06	8.75E-05
Gene name	BL056741 EGE GEP 1	Counts per Million	BLOSETAR EGE GER R		
DII1	2063	1062	1002		
DII3	51	70	53		
Skp2	1439	1722	1262		
Cdk1	3037	3974	3997		
Cdk2	1643	1959	1926		
Foxm1	2307	3034	3130		
Egtr Brm2	13198	11667	12829		
Fbl	3547	3093	2859		
		Counts per Million			
Gene name	BLO567A10_FGF_ID4_1	BLO567A11_FGF_ID4_2	BLO567A12_FGF_ID4_3		
DII1	296	268	359		
DII3 Skn2	21	1/	18		
Birc5	200	183	402		
Cdk1	610	552	847		
Cdk2	734	723	1302		
Egfr	6884	4936	4848		
Rrm2	1067	756	864		
Fbl	1497	1460	1472		
Figure 6C Number of families Number of comparisons per family Alpha	1 3 0.05				
Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95.00% CI of diff.	Significant?	Summary	Adjusted P Value
1d4 fl/+ vs 1d4 fl/fl	7 349	14.2 to .0.4996	Voc	*	0.0381
ld4 fl/+ vs. ld4 +/+ ld4 fl/fl vs. ld4 +/+	3.504 10.85	-3.346 to 10.35 4.003 to 17.7	No Yes	NS **	0.3276
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff.	SE of diff.	N1
ld4 fl/+ vs. ld4 fl/fl	7.937	15.29	-7.349	2.232	4
ld4 fl/+ vs. ld4 +/+	7.937	4.433	3.504	2.232	4
Id4 fl/fl vs. Id4 +/+	15.29	4.433	10.85	2.232	4
			N2	q	DF
Figure 6D			4	4.656	6
Column R	Id4 ^{cKO}		4	2.22	6
vs.	VS.		4	6.875	6
Column Q	Control				
I Innaired t test					
P value	2.01749E-05				
P value summary	****				
Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes				
Une- or two-tailed P value?	1 WO-Talled				
i, di	(=4.515 di=415				
How big is the difference?					
Mean ± SEM of column Q	100 ± 6.474, n=236				
Mean ± SEM of column R	161.6 ± 14, n=179				
95% confidence interval	33.51 to 89.63				
R squared (eta squared)	0.0431				
F test to compare variances	2 546 470 225				
P value	3.546, 176, 235 <0.0001				
P value summary	****				
Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	Yes				
Figure 6E					
Number of families	1				
Number of comparisons per family	3				
Alpha	0.05				
Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95.00% CI of diff.	Significant?	Summary	Adjusted P Value
		F 070 1: 4 F04	N.		
Id4 +/fl vs. Id4 fl/fl	-2.069	-5.672 to 1.534	No	ns	0.3157
Id4 +/11 vs. Combined Id4wt	0.1065	-3.497 to 3.71 -1 588 to 5 939	NO NO	ns	0.9967
ia- ini va. Combineu lu4Wl	2.1/6	- 1.000 to 0.000		110	0.3113
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff.	SE of diff.	n1
ld4 +/fl vs. ld4 fl/fl	3.151	5.22	-2.069	1.365	6
Id4 +/fl vs. Combined Id4wt	3.151	3.044	0.1065	1.365	6
104 II/fl VS. Combined Id4wt	5.22	3.044	2.176	1.425	5
			B-C		
			B-E		
			C-E		

n2

DF

q

					5 5 5		2.144 0.1103 2.158		13 13 13
Figure 6G Number of families Number of comparisons per family Alpha		1 3 0.05							
Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95.00% CI of diff.		Significant?		Summary		Adjusted P V	alue
ld4 +/+ vs. ld4 fl/+ ld4 +/+ vs. ld4 fl/fl ld4 fl/+ vs. ld4 fl/fl		-1.336 -7.003 to 4.331 -9.593 -15.26 to -3.927 -8.257 -13.92 to -2.591		No Yes Yes		NS ** *			0.7595 0.0049 0.0101
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2		Mean Diff.		SE of diff.		N1	
ld4 +/+ vs. ld4 fl/+ ld4 +/+ vs. ld4 fl/fl ld4 fl/+ vs. ld4 fl/fl		4.142 4.142 5.478	5.47 13.7 13.7	8 3 3	-1.336 -9.593 -8.257		1.847 1.847 1.847		4 4 4
				N2	4 4 4	q	1.023 7.346 6.323	DF	6 6 6
Figure 6H Number of families Number of comparisons per family Alpha		1 3 0.05							
Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95.00% CI of diff.		Significant?		Summary		Adjusted P V	alue
Id4 fl/+ vs. Id4 fl/fl Id4 fl/+ vs. Combined Id4wt Id4 fl/fl vs. Combined Id4wt		-2.53 -4.086 to -0.9735 -0.1114 -1.668 to 1.445 2.419 0.8621 to 3.975		Yes No Yes		** NS **			0.0026 0.9801 0.0036
Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2		Mean Diff.		SE of diff.		n1	
Id4 fl/+ vs. Id4 fl/fl Id4 fl/+ vs. Combined Id4wt Id4 fl/fl vs. Combined Id4wt		2.658 2.658 5.188	5.18 2.76 2.76	8 9 9	-2.53 -0.1114 2.419		0.5834 0.5834 0.5834		5 5 5
				C-D C-E D-E					
				n2		q		DF	
Figure 61					5 5 5		6.133 0.2701 5.863		12 12 12
Uppaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	** Yes Two-tailed t=7.658 df=4	0.0016				Figure 6L Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < One- or two-tailed P value?	0.05)?	1.8 **** Yes Two-tailed t=42.28 df=4	7108E-06
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column B Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared) E test to compare variances	38.37 ± 4.561, ı 74.16 ± 1.017, ı 35.79 ± 4.673 22.81 to 48.76	n=3 n=3				How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column B Mean ± SEM of column D Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)		9.779 ± 1.049 75.31 ± 1.141 65.54 ± 1.55 61.23 to 69.84	, n=3 , n=3 4 0.9978

F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd 20.13, 2, 2 P value P value summary ns Significantly different (P < 0.05)? No

0.0946

F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd 1.182, 2, 2 P value 0.9165 P value summary ns Significantly different (P < 0.05)? No

Figure S1G Multiple t tests	Pinter d	Durba	Suppleme	entary Figures Summa	ry Statistics		67 d #					
0 5 10	No No	P value 0.387556 0.020728	549 1.1 525	178 1 1.2 1	435 902	-0.257 -0.702	0.265 0.185	13 14	0.9687 3.706	u	4 0. 4 0.	391431206 044768682
30 60 120	No No	0.026595 0.023656 0.232781	256 1.0 159 1.0 125 1.1	184 3 128 3 169 2	106 314 391	-2.022 -2.286 -1.222	0.585 0.642 0.865	19 27 17	3.428 3.557 1.405		4 0. 4 0. 4 0.	044768682 044768682 293886044
Figure S1I Unpaired t test												
P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	Yes Two-tailed t=15.66 df=415	IE	-13									
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column M Mean ± SEM of column N Difference between means 95% confidence interval	34.62 ± 0.7523, n=218 100 ± 4.292, n=199 65.38 ± 4.175 57.18 to 73.59	3										
R squared (eta squared) F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary	29.71, 198, 217 <0.0001	0.3715										
Significantly different (P < 0.05)? Figure S2L	Yes	Durber	Marriel	142	Difference		07 of 400					
0° 15' 30'	No Yes Yes	0.37390 0.013268 0.001575	197 126 1 203 0.95	1 .12 0.1 .12 0.4	1 -1 1859	1.667E-08 0.4338 0.5039	1.667E-0 0.100 0.0655	18 13 14	1 4.24 7.642	u.	4 0 4 0. 4 0.	0.18881999 008927355 001590955
60' 120' 240'	Yes Yes Yes	0.000826 0.01473 0.000397	63 0.9 161 0.7 588 0.3	135 0.1 754 0. 103 0.00	1477 1621 1546	0.5658 0.6133 0.3148	0.0625 0.145 0.028	13 12 18	9.049 4.11 10.93		4 0. 4 0. 4 0.	001252091 008927355 001204693
Figure S3C Unpaired t test		0.007	Figure S3G Unpaired t test	~0.0000								
P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	** Yes Two-tailed		P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05) One- or two-tailed P value?	7 No Two-tailed								
t, or How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column D	80.36 ± 7.817, n=19		How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column C	100 ± 4.972, n=57								
Mean ± SEM or coumn E Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	114.2 ± 8.901, n=19 33.88 ± 11.85 9.857 to 57.91	0.1852	Mean ± SEM or column D Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	100 ± 2.408, n=318 1.122e-008 ± 6.066 -11.93 to 11.93 9.1738	5-21							
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P volve	1.297, 18, 18	0.5975	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value	1.309, 317, 56	221							
P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	ns No	0.3675	P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)	ns)? No	221							
Figure S4A Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	• Yes Two-tailed	0.0196	Figure S48 Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	ns 0. No Two-tailed	1842							
t, df How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column B	t=4.578 df=3 6.912 ± 1.314, n=3		t, df How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column I	t=0.7701 df=4 27.5 ± 5.204, n=3								
Mean ± SEM of column F Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	15.56 ± 1.111, n=2 8.644 ± 1.888 2.635 to 14.65	0.8748	Mean ± SEM of column L Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	22.61 ± 3.645, n=3 -4.893 ± 6.354 -22.53 to 12.75 0.1	1291							
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary			F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dtd P value P value summary	2.038, 2, 2 0.1	5583							
Significantly different (P < 0.05)? Figure S4E			Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	No								
Column C vs. Column B	ld1 vs. Empty	Column D vs. Column B	ld2 vs. Empty	Column E vs. Column B	ld3 vs. Empty	0	Column F vs. Column B	ld4 vs. Empty				
Unpaired t test P value P value summary		Unpaired t test 0.0022 P value P value summary		Unpaired t test 134 P value P value summary		0.0051 F	Unpaired t test P value P value summary		0.0006			
Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	Two-tailed t=6.984 df=4	Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	Yes Two-tailed t=6.217 df=4	Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	Two-tailed t=5.573 df=4	c t	Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	Two-tailed t=9.791 df=4				
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column B Mean ± SEM of column C Difference between means	14.16 ± 0.9912, n=3 4.541 ± 0.9555, n=3 -9.614 ± 1.377	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column B Mean ± SEM of column D Difference between means	14.16 ± 0.9912, n=3 7.073 ± 0.5616, n=3 -7.082 ± 1.139	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column B Mean ± SEM of column E Difference between means	14.16 ± 0.9912, n=3 4.953 ± 1.321, n=3 -9.202 ± 1.651	1 1 1	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column B Mean ± SEM of column F Difference between means	14.16 ± 0.9912, n=3 2.889 ± 0.5845, n=3 -11.27 ± 1.151				
95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared) F test to compare variances	-13.44 to -5.792	95% confidence interval 0.9242 R squared (eta squared) F test to compare variances	-10.24 to -3.919 0.90	95% confidence interval 62 R squared (eta squared) E test to compare variances	-13.79 to -4.618	0.8859 F	95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared) F test to compare variances	-14.46 to -8.072	0.9599			
F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Simplificantle different (R < 0.05/2	1.076, 2, 2 ns	F, DFn, Dfd 0.9633 P value P value summary Significantly different (R < 0.05)2	3.115, 2, 2 ns No	F, DFn, Dfd 185 P value P value summary Singlificantly different (P < 0.05)2	1.775, 2, 2 ns	0.7207 F	F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Stanificanthy different (B < 0.05/2	2.875, 2, 2 ns	0.5161			
Figure S4F Column B	Id1 ABC 20nM each 4	18h Column C	ld2 ABC 20nM each	Column D	ld3 ABC 20nM each	k	Column E	Id4 ABC 20nM each				
vs. Column A Raired I test	vs. Scrambled 60nM 48h	vs. Column A Paired I test	vs. Scrambled 60nM 48h	vs. Column A Prired t test	vs. Scrambled 60nM 48h	0	vs. Column A Paland t tast	vs. Scrambled 60nM 48h				
P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One-or two-tailed P value? t, df Number of rates	ns No Two-tailed t=0.8421 df=2	0.4884 P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df 2 bitmber of noim	0.06 No Two-tailed t=3.583.df=2	59 P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One-or two-tailed P value? t, df 2) blumber of naim	ns No Two-tailed t=0.4758 df=2	0.6811 F	P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One or two-tailed P value? t, df	ns No Two-talled t=0.5689 df=2	0.6268			
How big is the difference? Mean of differences		How big is the difference? 18.21 Mean of differences	26	How big is the difference? 49 Mean of differences		7.503	How big is the difference? Mean of differences		-9.308			
SD of differences SEM of differences 95% confidence interval R sourced (natial eta sourced)	-74.85 to 111.3	37,46 SD of differences 21,63 SEM of differences 95% confidence interval 0,2618 B coulard (partial eta souared)	12 7.3 -5.325 to 58.31	.81 SD of differences 195 SEM of differences 195% confidence interval 1972 R sourced (natial eta sourced)	-60.35 to 75.36	27.31 5	SD of differences SEM of differences 95% confidence interval R squared (nartial eta squared)	-79.7 to 61.09	28.34 16.36 0.1393			
How effective was the pairing? Correlation coefficient (r)		How effective was the pairing? 0.02275 Correlation coefficient (r)	0.76	How effective was the pairing? 519 Correlation coefficient (r)		0.9218	How effective was the pairing? Correlation coefficient (r)		-0.8882			
P value (one tated) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No	0.4928 P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No	P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No	0.1267 F	P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No	0.152			
Column H vs. Column A	Id4+Id1 AA 30nM eac vs. Scrambled 60nM 48h	h Column G vs. Column A	Id4+Id2 AA 30nM each vs. Scrambled 60nM 48h	Column F vs. Column A	Id4+Id3 AA 30nM each vs. Scrambled 60nM 48h	h						
Paired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	ns No Two-tailed	Paired t test 0.2285 P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	ns 0.4 No Two-tailed	Paired t test 187 P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	ns No Two-tailed	0.9929						
t, df Number of pairs How big is the difference? Mean of differences	t=1.715 df=2	t, dt 3 Number of pains How big is the difference? 27 77 Mean of difference?	t=0.8452 df=2	t, df 3 Number of pairs How big is the difference? 22 Mean of difference?	t=0.01006 df=2	10 3840. 3						
SD of differences SEM of differences 95% confidence interval R squared (partial eta squared)	-41.91 to 97.44	28.05 SD of differences 16.19 SEM of differences 95% confidence interval 0.5952 R squared (partial eta squared)	41 23 -82.7 to 123.1 0.26	43 SD of differences 92 SEM of differences 95% confidence interval 332 R squared (partial eta squared)	-122.6 to 122.1	49.25 28.43 00005056						
How effective was the pairing? Correlation coefficient (r) P value (one tailed)		How effective was the pairing? -0.979 Correlation coefficient (r) 0.0653 P value (one tailed)	-0.95	How effective was the pairing? Correlation coefficient (r) 447 P value (one talled)		-0.5758 0.3047						
Was the pairing significantly effective?	No	Was the pairing significantly effective?	Yes	Was the pairing significantly effective?	No	I						
Column B vs. Column A	Id1 ABC 20nM each vs. Scrambled 60nM Id1	Column N vs. Column A	Id4+Id1 AA 30nM each - Id1 vs. Scrambled 60nM Id1	Column D vs. Column C	Id2 ABC 20nM each vs. Scrambled 60nM Id2	0	Column M vs. Column C	Id4+Id2 AA 30nM each vs. Scrambled 60nM Id2	n - Id2	Column F vs. Column E	Id3 ABC 20nM each vs. Scrambled 60nM Id3	
Paired t test P value		Paired t test 0.0042 P value		Paired t test 49 P value P value summary		0.0005 F	Paired t test P value R value	~	0.3668	Paired t test P value P value summary		0.059
P value solitimary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df Number of pairs	Yes Two-tailed t=15.36 df=2	Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df 3 Number of pairs	Yes Two-tailed t=4.557 df=2	significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df 3 Number of pairs	Yes Two-tailed t=45.66 df=2	31	value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df Number of pairs	No Two-talled t=1.157 df=2	3	P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df Number of pairs	No Two-tailed t=3.932 df=2	3
How big is the difference? Mean of differences SD of differences SEM of differences		How big is the difference? -53.09 Mean of differences 5.988 SD of differences 3.457 SEM of differences	-26 10 5.8	How big is the difference? 88 Mean of differences 22 SD of differences 399 SEM of differences		-37.93 1.439 0.8306 5	How big is the difference? Mean of differences SD of differences SEM of differences		16.76 25.09 14.49	How big is the difference? Mean of differences SD of differences SEM of differences		-33.95 14.96 8.635
95% confidence interval R squared (partial eta squared)	-67.96 to -38.21	95% confidence interval 0.9916 R squared (partial eta squared)	-52.26 to -1.5	95% confidence interval 122 R squared (partial eta squared)	-41.5 to -34.35	0.999 F	95% confidence interval R squared (partial eta squared)	-45.57 to 79.09	0.401	95% confidence interval R squared (partial eta squared)	-71.11 to 3.203	0.8854
Correlation coefficient (r) P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No	O.9599 Correlation coefficient (r) O.916 P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the nation similarity affection?	-0.95 0.03 Yes	H48 Correlation coefficient (r) H48 Correlation coefficient (r) P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	Yes	0.9927 0 0.0384 F	Correlation coefficient (r) P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No	-0.7336 0.2378	Correlation coefficient (r) P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	 Yes	0.9999 0.0049
Column L	Id4+Id3 AA 30nM eac	h - Id3 Column H	Id4 ABC 20nM each vs.	Column K	Id4+Id1 AA 30nM each	h - ld4 0	Column J vs.	Id4+Id2 AA 30nM each	n - Id4	Column I vs.	Id4+Id3 AA 30nM ea	ch - Id4
Paired t test P value	scrambled 60nM Id3	Paired t test 0.0583 P value	acramoled 60nM Id4	Paired t test 051 P value	scrambled 60nM Id4	0.0051 E	Paired t test P value	ocrampled 60nM Id4	0.0241	Pained t test P value	scrambled 60nM Id4	0.0321
P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	ns No Two-tailed	P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	Yes Two-tailed	P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	** Yes Two-tailed	E S	P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	• Yes Two-tailed		P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value?	Yes Two-tailed	
t, df Number of pairs How big is the difference?	t=3.957 df=2	t, df 3 Number of pairs How big is the difference?	t=14.01 df=2	r, df 3 Number of pairs How big is the difference?	t=14.01 df=2	31	t, ατ Number of pairs How big is the difference?	t=6.322 df=2	3	n, at Number of pairs How big is the difference?	t=5.45 df=2	3
Mean of differences SD of differences SEM of differences	.2 22 ## 27	26.52 Mean of differences 11.61 SD of differences 6.704 SEM of differences	-35 4.4 2	.87 Mean of differences 133 SD of differences .56 SEM of differences	-20 22 1- 22 -	-30.01 3.71 2.142 5	Mean of differences SD of differences SEM of differences	46.01 0.015	-27.91 7.648 4.415	Mean of differences SD of differences SEM of differences	47 90 #	-26.74 8.5 4.907
R squared (partial eta squared) How effective was the pairing?	-2.32 to 55.37	0.8867 R squared (partial eta squared) How effective was the pairing?	-40.00 IU -24.80 0.90	How effective was the pairing?	-39.23 to -20.8	0.9899 F	R squared (partial eta squared) How effective was the pairing?	-40.31 10 -6.915	0.9523	R squared (partial eta squared) How effective was the pairing?	-++1.0010-0.628	0.9369
Correlation coefficient (r) P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No	0.8777 Correlation coefficient (r) 0.1591 P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	0.90 0.11 No	854 Correlation coefficient (r) 151 P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No	0.9841 0.0568 F	Correlation coefficient (r) P value (one talled) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No	0.3398 0.3897	Correlation coefficient (r) P value (one tailed) P value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?	ns No	-0.9356 0.1149
Figure S4H Column F vs.	Id1 ABC 20nM each vs.	Column I vs.	ld4+ld1 AA 30nM each (ID1) vs.	Column E vs.	Id2 ABC 20nM each vs.	ľ	Column H vs.	Id4+Id2 AA 30nM each vs.	n (ID2)			
Column A Unpaired t test	Scrambled 60nM	Column A Unpaired t test	Scrambled 60nM	Column A Unpaired t test	Scrambled 60nM	c L	Column A Unpaired t test	Scrambled 60nM				

P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	Yes Two-tailed t=10.63 df=4	0.0004	P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	<0.0001 Yes Two-tailed t=27.33 df=4		P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df		<0.0001 **** Yes Two-tailed t=21.95 df=4		P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	ns No Two-tailed t=0.2739 df=4	0.7977
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column F Difference between means 95%; confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	1.005 ± 0.003384, n=3 0.4218 ± 0.0547, n=3 -0.5828 ± 0.05481 -0.7349 to -0.4306	0.9658	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column I Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	1.005 ± 0.003384, n=3 0.5289 ± 0.01707, n=3 -0.4756 ± 0.0174 -0.5239 to -0.4273	0.9947	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column E Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)		1.004 ± 0.00389, n=3 0.2388 ± 0.03464, n=3 -0.7651 ± 0.03486 -0.8619 to -0.6683	0.9918	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean = SEM of column H Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	1.004 ± 0.00389, n=3 1.036 ± 0.1188, n=3 0.03257 ± 0.1189 -0.2976 to 0.3627	0.01841
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	261.3, 2, 2 ** Yes	0.0076	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	25.45, 2, 2 ns No	0.0756	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?		79.27, 2, 2 • Yes	0.0249	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	933.2, 2, 2 ** Yes	0.0021
Column D vs. Column A	Id3 ABC 20nM each vs. Scrambled 60nM		Column G vs. Column A	Id4+Id3 AA 30nM each (ID3) vs. Scrambled 60nM		Column C vs. Column A		Id4 ABC 20nM each vs. Scrambled 60nM		Column G vs. Column A	Id4+Id3 AA 30nM each vs. Scrambled 60nM	(ID4)
Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	<0.0001 **** Yes Two-tailed t=33.36 df=4		Unpaired t test P value P value exummary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	Yes Two-tailed t=8.733 df=4	0.0009	Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-talled P value? t, df		** Yes Two-tailed t=8.484 df=4	0.0011	Unpaind t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	*** Yes Two-tailed t=10.91 df=4	0.0004
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column D Difference between means 9%; confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	1.001 ± 0.0002326, n=3 0.3329 ± 0.02002, n=3 -0.668 ± 0.02002 -0.7236 to -0.6124	0.9964	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean 4 SEM of column G Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	1.001 ± 0.0002326, n=3 0.5872 ± 0.04737, n=3 -0.4136 ± 0.04737 -0.5452 to -0.2821	0.9502	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column C Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)		1.002 ± 0.0007659, n=3 0.4765 ± 0.0619, n=3 -0.5252 ± 0.0619 -0.6971 to -0.3533	0.9474	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column G Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	1.002 ± 0.0007659, n=3 0.5229 ± 0.04388, n=3 -0.4788 ± 0.04389 -0.6006 to -0.3569	0.9675
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	7410, 2, 2 *** Yes	0.0003	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	41473, 2, 2 <0.0001 **** Yes		F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?		6531, 2, 2 *** Yes	0.0003	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	3282, 2, 2 *** Yes	0.0005
Column H vs. Column A	Id4+Id2 AA 30nM each (I vs. Scrambled 60nM	D4)	Column I vs. Column A	Id4+Id1 AA 30nM each (ID4) vs. Scrambled 60nM		Column B vs. Column A		HPRT 60nM vs. Scrambled 60nM				
Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	** Yes Two-tailed t=5.996 df=4	0.0039	Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	Yes Two-tailed t=10.77 df=4	0.0004	Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df		<0.0001 **** Yes Two-tailed t=42.79 df=4				
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column H Difference between means 95%; confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	1.002 ± 0.0007659, n=3 0.475 ± 0.08783, n=3 -0.5267 ± 0.08784 -0.7706 to -0.2828	0.8999	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column I Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	1.002 ± 0.0007659, n=3 0.5324 ± 0.04359, n=3 -0.4693 ± 0.0436 -0.5904 to -0.3483	0.9666	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column B Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)		1.007 ± 0.003698, n=3 0.1843 ± 0.01886, n=3 -0.8224 ± 0.01922 -0.8758 to -0.7691	0.9978			
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	13150, 2, 2 *** Yes	0.0002	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	3239, 2, 2 *** Yes	0.0006	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?		26.01, 2, 2 ns No	0.074			
Figure S4I Urpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	0.0008 Yes Two-tailed t=5.128 df=8	898847		Figure S4J Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.0 One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	05)?	* Yes Two-talled t=3.171 df=8	0.0132					
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column G Mean ± SEM of column H Difference between means 95%; confidence interval R squared (efa squared)	14.12 ± 2.674, n=5 0.3125 ± 0.3125, n=5 -13.81 ± 2.693 -20.02 to -7.597	0.7667		How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column J Mean ± SEM of column M Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)		50 ± 13.94, n=5 4 ± 4, n=5 -46 ± 14.51 -79.45 to -12.55	0.5569	9				
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	73.25, 4, 4 ** Yes	0.0011		F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.0	05)?	12.15, 4, 4 • Yes	0.0329	•				

Figure S5A								
Gene name	BL0567A1_FGF_GFP_1	BLOS67A2_FGF_GFP_2	BLOS67A3_FGF_GFP_3	Counts per Million BL0567A10_FGF_ID4_1	BL0567A11_FGF_ID4_2	8L0567A12_FGF_ID4_3		
Asci1 Tcf3		1730	1392 3076	1516	1802 2152	1403 2018	939 2362	
Ye64		22871	25329	24487	28622	27349	29468	
Hell		1253	700	878	954	813	1415	
Hes5 Hey1		301 784	297	26 658	10 598	395	420	
Figure S6C Unpaired I test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	** Yes Two-tailed t=2.709 df=161	0.0075						
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column B Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	6.425 ± 0.5706, n=80 4.422 ± 0.4739, n=83 -2.003 ± 0.7394 -3.464 to -0.5431	0.0436						
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	1.397, 79, 82 ns No	0.1347						
Figure S6E Unpaired I test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	ns No Two-tailed t=1.668 df=2	0.2373						
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column B Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	2.812 ± 1.982, n=2 6.379 ± 0.8031, n=2 3.567 ± 2.139 -5.635 to 12.77	0.5817						
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?								
Figure S6F Unpaired t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	ns No Two-tailed t=1.212 df=2	0.3493						
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column E Mean ± SEM of column F Difference between means 95% confidence interval R squared (eta squared)	34.14 ± 14.14, n=2 54.43 ± 8.98, n=2 20.3 ± 16.75 -51.77 to 92.36	0.4234						
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dfd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?								
Figure S6G P65 RGL3/mm3 Tukey's multiple comparisons test Id4 +/tl vs. Iod4 filft Id4 +/tl vs. Combined Id4wt Id4 ftl vs. Combined Id4wt	Mean Diff.	95.00% Cl of dff. 4139 -4717 to 12996 2452 -6884 to 11787 -1688 -10554 to 7169	Significant? No No No	Summary ns ns	Adjusted P Value	0.5872 B-C 0.9138 B-E 0.9712 C-E n2 4	g 5	DF 2.107
Test details Id4 +/fl vs. Id4 fl/fl Id4 +/fl vs. Combined Id4wt Id4 fl/fl vs. Combined Id4wt P90 RGLs/mm3 Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean 1 Mean Diff.	Mean 2 32120 32981 95.00% Cl of diff.	Mean Diff. 27981 29669 29669 Significant?	SE of diff. 4139 2452 -1688 Summary	2779 2929 Adjusted P Value 2779	4 5 0.5493 B-C 0.8848 B-E 0.9732 C-E	4 4	1.184 0.8589
Id4 +/tl vs. Id4 fl/tl Id4 +/tl vs. combined Id4 wt Id4 fl/tl vs. combined Id4 wt		-3799 -11323 to 3726 -2261 -9786 to 5263 1537 -6322 to 9397	No No No	ns ns	n1	n2 6	9 5 5	DF 2.187 1.302
Test details Id4 +/fl vs. Id4 fl/fl Id4 +/fl vs. combined Id4 wt Id4 fl/fl vs. combined Id4 wt	Mean 1	Mean 2 23116 23116 26915	Mean Diff. 26915 25377 25377	SE of diff. -3799 -2261 1537	2456 2456 2565	5	5	0.8476
Figure S6H Urpained t test P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One- or two-tailed P value? t, df	** Two-tailed t=5.054 df=4	Figure 561 Unpaired t tast 0.0072 P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)? One-or two-tailed P value? t, df	<0.0001 *** Yes Two-tailed t=17.27 df=4					
How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column B Difference between means 95% confidence interval	47.48 ± 7.392, n=3 88.02 ± 3.117, n=3 40.55 ± 8.022 18.27 to 62.82	How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A Mean ± SEM of column D Difference between means 95% confidence interval	11.24 ± 2.941, n=3 74.4 ± 2.173, n=3 63.16 ± 3.657 53.01 to 73.31					

R squared (eta squared)	0.864	I6 R squared (eta squared)		0.9868
F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dtd P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	5.622, 2, 2 ns No	F test to compare variances F, DFn, Dtd I2 P value P value summary Significantly different (P < 0.05)?	1.833, 2, 2 ns No	0.7061