### **Supplementary Material and Methods**

# Expression constructs

S6-tagged human wild-type p75<sup>NTR</sup> (wt p75<sup>NTR</sup>) construct was previously described (1). In this study we used S6- wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> cloned both as C-terminal GFP fusion in pReceiver-M03 (GeneCopoeia<sup>TM</sup>), and without GFP in an "all-in-one" third generation Tet-on lentiviral pTRE vector. In the first case, expression is driven by CMV promoter, in the second one by an inducible promoter bearing a Tet-responsive element (TRE) (2). Mutated p75<sup>NTR</sup> (mut p75<sup>NTR</sup>), bearing deletion corresponding to 221-246 aminoacids (193-218 in previous nomenclature (3)) plus C256A and G265I point mutations in pEGFP-N1 (Clontech) was a gift from Dr. Robert Youker and was subjected to insertional mutagenesis to introduce S6 tag (1) and either used as is, or subcloned in lentiviral pTRE vector. S6-tagged p75<sup>NTR</sup>-LeucineZipper chimera construct (dim p75<sup>NTR</sup>) was generated from tagged wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> by replacing a region encompassing its juxtamembrane domain (206-251 aminoacids) with the leucine zipper (LZ) motif of human c-Jun, cloned in pCDNA3.1 (Life Technologies) and either used as is, or subcloned in lentiviral pTRE vector. S6-tagged wt TrkA construct was previously described (1). pTagRFP-actin and pTagRFP-Lamin are from Evrogen. wt Dynamin1-pmCherryN1 (Addgene 27697) and Dynamin1(K44A)-mRFP (Addgene 55795) were already described (4, 5). Soluble GFP used in this study is pEGFP-N1 plasmid from Clontech or EGFP cloned in pTRE lentiviral vector. Farnesyl-GFP construct was described previously (6). All primer sequences used in cloning procedures are reported in Table S2.

### Cell culture

SH-SY5Y (ECACC 94030304), SK-N-BE(2) (ATCC® CRL-2271<sup>TM</sup>), CHO-K1 (ATCC® CCL-61<sup>TM</sup>) cell lines were grown in DMEM/F-12 medium supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum, 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin, 1% L-Glutamine and 25 mM HEPES. HEK293T/17 (ATCC® CRL-11268TM) cell line was grown in DMEM High-Glucose (4.5 g/L D-Glucose) medium supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum, 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin, 1% L-Glutamine and 1% Sodium Pyruvate. PC12 cell line was grown in RPMI1640 medium supplemented with 10% Horse Serum, 5% Fetal Bovine Serum, 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin, 1% L-Glutamine. Hippocampal and brain cortex tissues were extracted from wild-type (B6129) or p75 knockout (7) P0-P4 mice; the procedure was approved by the Italian Ministery of Health and was conducted in compliance with the Italian National Research Council guidelines. Primary neuron (P0) or astrocyte (P4) cultures were established as described in (8). The day of plating was defined as day-in-vitro (DIV) 0. After surgery and isolation, hippocampus and cortex tissues were grinded up in cold Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) (Sigma-Aldrich®), supplemented with 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin. 0.1% trypsin and 100 U/ml DNAse (Sigma-Aldrich®) were then added for digestion. Following trypsin inactivation in 10% FBS DMEM, neurons were seeded on plasma-treated WillCo® dishes or glass slides, previously coated with Poly-D-lysine (PDL), in Neurobasal-A medium (Gibco) supplemented with 4.5 g/L D-Glucose (Sigma-Aldrich®), 10% FBS, 2% B-27 (Gibco), 1% GlutaMAX (Thermo Fisher), 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 4 µM reduced glutathione (Sigma-Aldrich®), 12.5 µM glutamate (Sigma-Aldrich®). From DIV 1 on, neurons were maintained in Neurobasal-A supplemented with 2% B-27, 1% GlutaMAX, 1-10 µg/ml Gentamicin (culture medium) and medium was refreshed every 2-3 days. In all our assays except those shown in Fig. 6a, DIV 3 neurons were used. Astrocytes cultures were established by seeding dissociated cells on previously PDL coated flasks in DMEM/F-12 medium supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum, 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin and 1% L-Glutamine and 25 mM HEPES as described in (9). In our experiments we used mature (two- to three-week-old) astrocytes, obtained by trypsinization of the flasks and seeding on Poly-D-lysine coated WillCo® dishes. All cell cultures were maintained at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in a humidified incubator.

## Acyl-Biotinyl Exchange (ABE) Assay

The ABE assay was performed as previously described (10), with modifications. Briefly, SH-SY5Y cells were transfected with S6-wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> (pTRE plasmid) and, after 24 hours inducted with 1 µg/ml doxycycline, washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed in RIPA Buffer supplemented with 10 mM N-Ethylmaleimide (NEM). 2x Protease Inhibitors (a fresh 100x stock was made by 1 mg/ml of Pepstatin and 10 mg/ml of each Leupeptin, Antipain and Chymostatin, and then 50-fold diluted) and 2 mM Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) on ice. Cells lysate was transferred in 1.5 ml tubes and endover-end rotated for 1 hour at 4°C. The solution was then centrifuged for 30 min, 4°C, 16000 ×g and protein concentration was determined by Pierce<sup>TM</sup> Coomassie (Bradford) Protein Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). Half of the sample was treated with 0.7 M Hydroxylamine (HA) and half was not (as a control, -HA), then both were supplemented with 0.2 mM N-[6-(Biotinamido)hexyl]-3'-(2'-pyridyldithio)propionamide (HPDP-biotin, ThermoFisher Scientific). Differently from (8), the biotinylated pool from +HA and −HA samples was then precipitated with Dynabeads® MyOne<sup>TM</sup> Streptavidin C1 (ThermoFisher Scientific) and the supernatant (SPN +HA and SPN -HA) kept as a control. Complexes of streptavidin beads and biotinylated proteins were washed twice with PBS supplemented with 0.02% Tween®20. The beads were heated at 95°C for 10 minutes in SDS gel loading buffer 2x (20% glycerol, 4% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 0.1 % bromophenol blue, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 5% β-mercaptoethanol); eluted proteins were loaded on a 4-12% Criterion<sup>TM</sup> XT Bis-Tris Protein Gel (Bio-Rad) and then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and blotted with anti P75<sup>NTR</sup> antibody (07-476, Millipore) 1:1000 diluted in TBST (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris HCl pH 7.4, 0.05% Tween®20) plus 5% Non-Fat Dry Milk (Bio-Rad). All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich except where otherwise stated.

## p75<sup>NTR</sup> constructs expression, localization and S6 tag labeling

 $p75^{NTR}$  constructs were expressed in cells by means of transfection with Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer instructions, or they were transduced using inducible third-generation lentiviral vectors. In the latter case, packaging in HEK293T cells and concentration with Lenti-X<sup>TM</sup> Concentrator (Clontech) was performed as described (2). To assess the correct membrane localization of S6-tagged wt p75<sup>NTR</sup>, we lipofected it in SH-SY5Y; cells were then seeded on glass-bottomed dishes and, after 24 hours, imaged with confocal SP2. As a reference, we observed subcellular distribution of endogenous p75<sup>NTR</sup> in PC12 cells transfected with pTagRFP-lamin or farnesyl-GFP and immunostained, the next day, with an anti p75<sup>NTR</sup> antibody (07-476, Millipore). Samples were then imaged with confocal SP2, using a laser diode with 403 nm excitation wavelength and [410-470] nm emission window for Hoechst (added to the medium immediately before imaging); for EGFP we exploited the 488 nm line of the Argon laser with [500-550] nm emission window. Colocalization of native p75<sup>NTR</sup> was verified by overlapping the intensity (I) versus distance (d) plots of line profiles, drawn in the two channels with ImageJ software.

SH-SY5Y, SK-N-BE(2), CHO-K1, HEK293T/17, astrocytes and neurons, in their related culture medium, were transduced with viral like particles containing the three p75<sup>NTR</sup> constructs. To reach optimal p75<sup>NTR</sup> expression, doxycycline was added to the culture medium for at least 18-24 hours before every experiment. We calibrated doxycycline doses to get the most suitable receptor level for each type of assay, also considering that the same receptor level was reached at different doxycycline doses in different cellular models. For single molecule experiments in neuroblastoma cell lines,  $0.001 - 0.01 \,\mu$ g/ml doxycycline range was used to get wt and mut p75<sup>NTR</sup> low (< 0.18 receptors/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>), medium  $(0.18 < \text{receptors}/\mu\text{m}^2 < 0.36)$  and high ( > 0.36 receptors/ $\mu\text{m}^2$ ) membrane receptor densities; dim p75<sup>NTR</sup> was also transduced but we only got low densities in this case, therefore we also transfected the corresponding construct in pCDNA3.1, and observed it at least 24 hours later, in order to explore medium-high density ranges. Cells in the medium density range were used for the tracking analysis, while for the single-step photobleach analysis we also used cells in the high range (up to 0.5 receptors/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) upon induction with 0.05  $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline. For experiments with neurons, we found 0.05 µg/ml doxycycline to be optimal, as lower concentrations were not sufficient to reach medium-high membrane p75<sup>NTR</sup> densities in the tracking experiments (Fig. S7); also, this doxycycline concentration, but not higher concentrations like 1 µg/ml, ensured reliable results in the cleaved caspase-3 assay (compare Fig. 5 and Fig. S9). After induction or transfection, cells were labeled as stated in (2). Briefly, cells were washed once with PBS and labeled with one of these strategies: i) for one-color and dual-color single molecule experiments we prepared a mix containing 2 µM Sfp Synthase, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 - 10 nM of a CoA-conjugated form of Abberior635P in culture medium; ii) for growth cone collapse assay and p75<sup>NTR</sup> polarized localization in neurons we prepared this mix: 2 µM Sfp Synthase, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 µM CoA-biotin in culture medium. Both were added to cells for 30 min at 37°C. After ii), cells were washed three times with PBS and subjected to a second reaction with 10 nM S-Qdot655 in Qdot Binding Buffer (borate buffer pH 8.3, supplemented with 0.5% BSA and 215 mM sucrose) for 2 min at room temperature, washed again five times with PBS and imaged; instead, after i) cells were washed five times with PBS and imaged.

## Preparation of recombinant neurotrophins

Preparation of hproNGF and hNGF procedure has been already published (11). For preparation of hproBDNF, the hproBDNF cDNA was subcloned in the prokaryotic expression vector pET11a and the protein was expressed as recombinant protein in E. coli strain BL21(DE3), refolded from inclusion bodies and purified by using protocols adapted from previously published ones (12, 13). Briefly, BL21(DE3) E. coli were transformed with 30 ng of plasmid pET11a containing the gene of human proBDNF; bacteria were plated on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar plates supplemented with Ampicillin (Amp) and grown overnight at 37°C. Then a single colony was inoculated in 20 ml of LB supplemented with Amp and grown overnight at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm. The day after, the inoculum was diluted 1:50 in 1L of LB + Amp and grown at 37°C at 250 rpm to reach an OD600 of about 1 before induction with 1 mM of isopropyl-b-thiogalactoside (IPTG). The proBDNF production was continued at 37°C at 250 rpm shaking. After 5 hours bacteria were collected by centrifugation at 5,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The bacterial pellet was first resuspended with Lysis Buffer (10 mM TRIS HCl pH 8, 1 mM EDTA and 1mg/ml lysozyme) at 5 ml/g v/w ratio and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. After sonication on ice (3 cycles of 45 seconds on and 60 seconds off using a Microson<sup>TM</sup> Ultrasonic Cell Disruptor XL at maximum power), 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 50 µg/ml DNaseI solutions were added and the sample was incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. To isolate inclusion bodies (IBs), 0.5 vol. of Triton Buffer (60 mM EDTA, 1.5 M NaCl, 6% Triton X-100) was added and the sample was incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes on a stirring plate. The IBs were then centrifuged at 18000  $\times g$  for 30 minutes at 4°C, resuspended in 20 ml of resuspension buffer (10 mM TRIS HCl pH 8, 1 mM EDTA) 0.5 vol. of Triton Buffer and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes on a stirring plate. The IBs were then centrifuged at 18000  $\times g$  for 30 minutes at 4°C and subsequently washed three times with a total volume of 100ml of Washing Buffer (50 mM TRIS HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA). The IBs pellet was then resuspended with 5 ml/g of Solubilization Buffer (6M guanidinium, 100 mM TRIS HCl pH 8, 1 mM EDTA and 100 mM DTT), and incubated until complete solubilization at room temperature on a rocking plate. Then the pH was lowered to 3.5 with HCl 3M and the sample was centrifuged at 18000  $\times g$  for 30 minutes at 4°C. The resulting solution was dialyzed three times against 300 ml of Dialysis Buffer (6M guanidinium pH 3.5) each one for twelve hours using Visking dialysis membrane (cut-off 7 kDa, Medicell Membranes Ltd) and the protein concentration was measured with the Bio-Rad Protein Assay. IBs solution containing denatured hproBDNF was refolded by a drop by drop addition in 100 ml of Refolding buffer (1 M Arginin, 100 mM TRIS HCl pH 9.3, 5 mM EDTA pH 8, 1mM GSSG and 5 mM GSH). Every hour 50 µg/ml of sample were added to the buffer under vigorous stirring at 4 °C. After 16-48 hours the sample was dialyzed at 4 °C using a Visking dialysis membrane (cut-off 12-14 kDa, Medicell Membranes Ltd) against 2 L of IEX-A (50 mM Na phosphate pH 7, 1 mM EDTA) replacing the buffer after 12 hours. The dialyzed sample containing proBDNF was filtered using a 0.22  $\mu$ m filter and purified by FPLC. The sample was loaded on a HiLoad 16/10 SP Sepharose High Performance (~ 20 ml - GE Healthcare) equilibrated with IEX-A buffer using a liquid chromatography system (ÄKTA<sup>TM</sup> - FPLC). The protein was eluted with linear gradient from 0 to 100% of IEX-B (50 mM Na phosphate pH 7, 1 mM EDTA and 1M NaCl) at 1ml/min flow in 6 column volume (CV) and 2ml fractions collected. The ones corresponding to the UV(280nm) FPLC peak containing hproBDNF were pooled and dialyzed against 100 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>. The dialyzed protein was concentrated up to 1mg/ml using an Amicon ultrafiltration membrane with 10 kDa cut-off (Merck-Millipore) and stored at - 80 °C. The purity and the correct molecular weight of the protein was monitored by SDS-PAGE and MS analyses.

## Cell cholesterol-content modulation and quantification

In order to modulate membrane cholesterol levels of SK-N-BE(2) cells, these were treated as follows: i) 10  $\mu$ M mevastatin overnight treatment to decrease cholesterol content ii) 5 mM of cholesterol:M $\beta$ CD plus 5  $\mu$ M of free cholesterol incubated for 30 min at 37°C to increase cholesterol content.

In order to modulate cholesterol levels in neurons for the proBDNF apoptosis assay, cholesterol biosynthesis was inhibited with 10 µM mevastatin for 50 h starting on DIV 2; on DIV 3, neurons were treated for 30 min with 5mM of Methyl-βcyclodextrin, washed three times and incubated for 12 hours with 10 µM mevastatin, with or without 20 ng/ml proBDNF. To increase cholesterol content, 5 mM of cholesterol:M $\beta$ CD plus 5  $\mu$ M of free cholesterol were added to the proBDNF or control mixes for the first 30 minutes of the 12h incubation on DIV 3 neurons. After 30 minutes, this medium was washed three times and changed into normal medium with (or without) proBDNF. To assess membrane cholesterol levels, cells were seeded on Willco® dishes, cultured and washed twice with PBS and stained with fluorescent filipin III of Cholesterol Assay Kit (Cell-Based) (Abcam) following manufacturer guidelines. Stained samples were imaged at TIRF microscope with HCX PL APO 100.0X oil-immersion objective, using a 405 nm laser with a Quad ET TIRF MC fluorescence cube, a [420 - 510] nm emission filter and a 90 nm penetration depth. Typically, a 272 x 320 pixels (61.94 x 72.91 µm) field was analyzed by ImageJ software, measuring filipin mean intensity of the whole field after background subtraction and application of a threshold to remove pixels outside the cells. For filipin intensity quantification in neurons, glia signal was always removed from the image before measurement; furthermore, samples treated with cholesterolaffecting drugs -due to loss of glass-adhered neuronal plasma membrane caused by the treatment- were not imaged at TIRF, but at confocal SP2 using HCX PL APO CS 40.0X oil-immersion objective (NA 1.25), a 378 nm laser line, and [390 - 470] nm emission. 512 x 512 pixels (124.97 x 124.97 µm) fields were acquired and quantified measuring filipin III mean intensity of each neuron after background subtraction.

## Microscopy setups

Cell imaging was performed by both confocal and TIRF microscopy. In the first case, we used Leica TCS SP2 on inverted DM IRE2 with HCX PL APO CS 63.0X oil-immersion objective (NA 1.40), and Argon and diode lasers, with pinhole set at Airy 1 [confocal SP2]; or Leica TCS SP5 on DM6000 confocal microscopes with Argon and various solid-state lasers [confocal SP5] (Leica Microsystems). Objectives and pinhole values have been changed depending on the experimental requirements, as indicated in the following or in the specific experiment description. For growth cone collapse assay, we used oil objective HCX PL APO CS 40.0X (NA 1.25) and pinhole at 1 Airy Unit. Ar 488 nm laser line was used for EGFP ([500-550] nm emission window) and S-Qdot655 ([650-750] nm emission window), a solid-state 561 nm laser for TagRFP ([575-650] nm emission range) and a pulsed 405 nm laser for DAPI ([420-500] emission range). For cleaved Caspase-3 assay, we used HC PL FLUOTAR 20.0X air-objective (NA 0.5) and pinhole at 1.5 Airy Units, a 633 nm laser line and [648-718] nm emission window for Alexa647, a 488 nm laser and [500-600] nm emission window for Alexa488 and a pulsed 405 nm laser line with [410-500] nm emission range for DAPI. For axon morphology analysis we used a HCX PL APO CS 40.0X objective; excitation laser lines and collected emitted fluorescence were 488 nm and [500-550] nm for EGFP, 561 nm and [575-650] nm for TagRFP-actin, and 633 nm and [650-750] nm for Alexa647. For TIRF microscopy, we used Leica DM6000 inverted microscope (Leica Microsystems) equipped with epifluorescence module, DIC in transmission, TIRF-AM module operating in one-color or dual-color modes, HCX PL APO 100.0X oilimmersion objective (NA1.47), and four laser lines. For TIRF single-color measurements, we used an EM-CCD camera (ImagEM C9100-13, Hamamatsu) on the bottom port, after a -0.7x adapter and filter mounter, obtaining image pixel dimensions of 228 nm (unless the use of a 1.6x or a 2x magnifier is stated, in which case pixel dimensions are 143 and 114 nm, respectively). Unless otherwise stated, we used the "Hamamatsu overlapping mode" in the Leica AF6000 software and collected data from a rectangular ROI on the CCD, obtaining a frame time equal to the integration time. For TIRF dual-color mode, we used an external laser combiner (iFLEX-adder, QiOptiq), using kineFLEX polarization maintaining fibers (QiOptiq) and kineMATIX fiber coupler (QiOptiq), and a 488nm supplementary laser (iFLEX-iRIS, Qioptiq) with power tuned by a DAC. The used fluorescence cube contained the TRF59906 - ET - 488/640nm Laser Dual Band Set for TIRF applications (Chroma). Typically, laser powers at the objective were set at 0.178 mW (488 line) and 2.6 mW (635 line of the Leica system); the penetration depth was set at 130 nm for the 635 nm laser line corresponding to a penetration depth of about 100 nm for the 488 nm line. To detect both channels at the same time, a Dual View (Optical Insights DV-CC, with filters Chroma ET525-50 and r647lp and dichroic beam splitter T600lpxr) was placed in front of an EM-CCD camera (iXon Ultra 897, Andor) on the left port, so that emitted light was splitted and collected in the two halves of the camera. We included an Optomask adjustable field mask (OPTMSK-L, Andor) between the microscope output and the DualView in order to limit illuminated area of the camera and increase the frame rate. Camera parameters were optimized to achieve the best compromise between temporal resolution and signal-to-noise ratio: temperature was set to  $-75^{\circ}$  C, pixel clocking rate to 17000 MHz, vertical shift speed to 0.5 µs, and vertical clock voltage to +2. The Dual View windows in the different channels were aligned for tilting, horizontal position, and correspondence of imaged areas using bright field imaging of the supplied grid, taking care of leaving ~3 unilluminated pixels between the two. A constant ROI of 343 x 127 pixels (54.72 x 20.16 µm, corresponding to ~27.2 x 20.16 µm for each single color window, having image pixel sizes of 160nm) was used to acquire 500-frame time series with integration time of 21 ms and frame time of 30 ms. All microscopes were equipped with incubator chambers to maintain 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> conditions for live cell imaging. More details can be in each specific experiment description.

## One-color single-molecule $p75^{NTR}$ imaging and tracking

In order to test whether S6 tag affects polarization of  $p75^{NTR}$  in neurons, we infected DIV1 hippocampal neurons with wt  $p75^{NTR}$  and soluble EGFP lentiviral particles. After labeling with S-Qdot655, cells were imaged by TIRF microscopy with a 488 nm excitation laser, a Qdot655 emission filter (FF01-655/15 Semrock) and a penetration depth of 110 nm. A constant region of interest (ROI) of 232 x 240 pixels (52.80 x 54.63 µm) was used to acquire 500-frame time series with integration time of 47 ms, and the trajectories of the single-membrane receptors were reconstructed as specified below. Relative enrichment of  $p75^{NTR}$  was calculated as the ratio between the percentage of the area of neurites and somas explored by S6-  $p75^{NTR}$  trajectories. Neurite and soma ROIs were hand-drawn on DIC image, and the area explored by  $p75^{NTR}$  was calculated using a custom macro in ImageJ, that counts the number of pixels containing the coordinates of at least one spot throughout all trajectories of a movie.

For single molecule dynamics experiments, cells transduced with S6-tagged p75<sup>NTR</sup> constructs were labeled with Abberior 635P and usually imaged within 30 minutes after labelling. Cholesterol affecting treatments were performed before (mevastatin) and during (cholesterol load) the labeling reaction. Ligand stimulation was performed by addition, into the medium of the labelled cells, of NGF (150 ng/ml) or its immature form (300 ng/ml proNGF). In this experiment, p75<sup>NTR</sup> dynamics was monitored for a total of 15 minutes after ligand administration to culture medium. Samples were imaged at the TIRF microscope, using a 635 nm excitation laser, a Cy5 Leica1152303 emission filter and a 110 nm penetration depth. Usually a 500-frame time series was acquired in a fixed 200 x 208 pixels (45.49 x 47.31 µm) ROI, with 21 ms integration time for movies acquired at 228 nm pixel size and 30 ms integration time for movies acquired at 143 nm pixel size. For analysis on single particle movies, we developed algorithms in MATLAB R2015b (The MathWorks, Inc.). The analysis was based on the work presented in (14) (refer to this reference for some details not reported here), but using functionalities from the u-track 2.1.3 software (Danuser Lab) (15) for detection, localization, and tracking of spots. In order to automatize as much as possible this part of the analysis, we integrated in our algorithms these procedures, together with automatic file loading using the OME Bio-Format package, revision 33bb1150. Briefly, we applied a mask to movies from one-color experiments in order to exclude spots outside of cell membranes due to fluorophores unspecifically adhered to the glass; this operation was done either with ImageJ software or with a home-made algorithm, starting from a maximum intensity projection of the whole movie. The detection of the spots was made with a custom-modified u-track algorithm, where particles recognition at the borders of the mask was optimized, since we observed often misdetection or undetection. Particles positions were detected in xy over time and the correspondent trajectories were generated as standard in the u-track software. The output trajectories can be composed by several subtrajectories, linked to each-other in order to take into account merge and/or split events (M&S events), where two spots can associate or dissociate. Therefore, there are actually part of trajectories where more than one molecule travel together. We developed an algorithm to separate all the subtrajectories composed by a different numbers  $N_P$  of particles, obtaining therefore only non-branched trajectories. Used additional outputs of this procedure are the total number of M&S events in a movie, and Np for each subtrajectory (actually, an estimate for a minimum number of particles in a subtrajectory considering only M&S events). Transient dimer (Td) subtrajectories are the ones with Np=2 preceded by a merge event and followed by a split event; we retrieved their number and the average and standard error of their duration distribution per cell, and used these data to obtain the total distributions in Fig. 2g (as in (14)). From all subtrajectories, two main parameters were calculated as in (14): average intensity  $(I_{av})$ , which is the average of the intensities of the spots (given by the u-track algorithm) in the whole track, and D, which is the diffusion coefficient calculated on the first two points of the Mean Square Displacement (MSD) function. Their distributions have been calculated like in (14), taking into account their uncertainty and the number of frames when the particle has been detected in a trajectory. It should be noted that the D values calculated for many of the trajectories contributing to the D distributions below ~0.1  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s are compatible with 0  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s when considering the uncertainties. Thus, we do not exclude that the low tail of slowly diffusing trajectories visible in the D distribution (Figs. 2d, S8c) may correspond to immobile or much slower fluorophores. Finally, we also calculated for each cell the spot density, which corresponds to the average number of detected spots (excluding those not belonging to a track) in the first 5 frames of the movie divided by the area of the cell bottom membrane.

For step-photobleaching quantification, we used the three p75<sup>NTR</sup> constructs above described plus the S6-tagged TrkA construct (1) transduced in SK-N-BE(2) cells and labeled with 20nM CoA-Abberior635P for 30 min at 37°C according to (2). TrkA expressing cells were treated for 15 min at 37°C with 125 ng/ml mouse NGF (Alomone Labs), diluted in medium without serum. After that, both p75<sup>NTR</sup> and TrkA samples were fixed for 90 min at room temperature with 4% PFA/Sucrose solution supplemented with 0.1% Glutaraldehyde (GA, Electron Microscopy Sciences) (16), washed five times with PBS and imaged in PBS at the TIRF microscope. We employed the same microscope setup used for single molecule dynamics experiment, but for a 3000-frame movie (needed to achieve exhaustive fluorophore photobleaching) acquired in a 144 x 144 pixels (32.68 x 32.68 µm) ROI, with 21 ms integration time. We acquired time series of about 60 sec, reaching at least 80% dye photobleaching. Time series were then analyzed as described previously (17). Briefly, background fluorescence was subtracted using the ImageJ software rolling ball algorithm (with a 6 pixel radius) on the whole movie. The first 20 frames were averaged, and a mask was calculated on this image using a threshold lower bound set as four times the mean intensity of a region without fluorescent spots; such mask was then applied to the whole movie. Single spots were selected on the first frame of the background-subtracted and thresholded TIRF movie using a 3 x 3 pixels ROI, their intensity profile during time was plotted and we quantified the number of photobleaching steps and the pre-bleach mean intensity. Precise criteria were applied to select particles: i) spots needed to be clearly distinguishable and not belonging to areas of clustered receptors; ii) number of photobleaching steps was calculated only for profiles whose intensity reached background by the end of the movie; the others were used only to calculate the pre-bleach mean intensity; iii) spots whose intensity step up during the movie were discarded; iv) spots whose intensity profiles were very scattered were discarded; v) the first photobleaching step must be at least 5 frames long; vi) when blinking occurs, preblink intensity must be equal to post blink one; vii) blinking must in any case not exceed 20% of the time the particle is observed; viii) when more than one photobleaching events are observed, their relative steps must be similar. For the selected spots, we plotted the intensity profile and quantified the number of photobleaching steps and the pre-bleach mean intensity. The frequency distribution of pre-bleach intensity was fitted with a multi-gaussian function: we assumed linear summation of mean and variance, yielding the following equation:

$$\frac{A_1}{\sigma} \cdot e^{-\frac{(x-x_c)^2}{2\sigma^2}} + \frac{A_2}{\sigma\sqrt{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{(x-2x_c)^2}{4\sigma^2}} + \frac{A_3}{\sigma\sqrt{3}} \cdot e^{-\frac{(x-3x_c)^2}{6\sigma^2}} + \frac{A_4}{2\sigma} \cdot e^{-\frac{(x-4x_c)^2}{8\sigma^2}},$$

where  $x_c$  is the mean value of the first peak and  $\sigma^2$  is its variance. A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>, A<sub>3</sub> and A<sub>4</sub> are multiplying factors that represent how populated each species is.

## Dual-color lipid raft and p75<sup>NTR</sup> imaging

SK-N-BE cells infected with S6-tagged wt or mut p75<sup>NTR</sup> were seeded on Willco® dishes and expression induced with 0.01 µg/ml doxycycline. p75<sup>NTR</sup> was labeled with CoA-Abberior635P, treated with fresh medium or 100 ng/ml human NGF in fresh medium for 15 min at 37°C and subjected to lipid raft staining by means of Vybrant Alexa Fluor 488 Lipid Raft Labeling Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). Briefly, cells were labeled with Cholera Toxin-subunit B (CT-B) conjugated with Alexa488, washed five times with cold PBS, crosslinked with Anti-CT-B, washed again five times with cold PBS and imaged. All of the rafts staining steps were performed at 4°C in medium with or without NGF. Samples were then imaged at 37°C either in absence or presence of NGF, using the TIRF microscope in the two-color configuration: Abberior635p was excited at 635 nm (red channel) and Alexa488 at 488 nm (green channel). Misalignments between the green (raft) and red (p75<sup>NTR</sup>) channels can remain after the alignment procedure for the Dual View, also because of chromatic aberrations; these were corrected using a superposition algorithm. This was implemented (i) acquiring a DIC image immediately before or after the fluorescence time-lapse; (ii) extracting from the DIC images and movies the "green" and "red" windows excluding the pixels on the borders; (iii) finding the best affine transformation for superimposing the DIC "green" channel on the corresponding "red" one using the 'imregtform' function in MatLab; if this transformation could not be found, or if the Pearson correlation coefficient for the superposition of the transformed green image on the red one was less than 0.85, the best transformation found for the series of experiments (on the same petri) was used instead; (iv) transforming the green fluorescence movie using the 'imwarp' function in MatLab with the transformation found in point iii and 'cubic' interpolation. The localization of p75<sup>NTR</sup> spots in raft or non-raft regions was quantified using the ratio of the average intensity of the red channel ( $p75^{NTR}$ ) inside the raft (green-positive) regions ( $\bar{I}_{raftp75}$ ), divided by the average intensity of the red channel in the whole cell ( $\overline{I}_{totalp75}$ ); this parameter is analogous to the first Manders split coefficient, but corrected for the area of raft and non-raft regions. To evaluate green-positive regions, we applied an image segmentation algorithm to the whole movie, treated as a 3D image; the segmentation was based on a seed-and-threshold method (https://svi.nl/SeedAndThreshold), but with two sets of thresholds (as percentages over the maximum intensity in the green channel) for high-intensity and low-intensity regions.

#### Axon morphology analysis

Dissected hippocampal neurons from wt or p75<sup>NTR</sup> KO mice were cultured at low density and on DIV 1, transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher) with soluble EGFP and pTagRFP-actin following manufacturer's instruction. On DIV 5, neurons were fixed in 4% formaldehyde 5% sucrose PBS and permeabilized for 7' in 0.1% Triton X-100 PBS 2.5% BSA. After 5 washes in PBS, samples were blocked in 5% BSA/PBS and incubated for 2 hr at room temperature with 1:500 anti-NFH200 (abcam ab7795) in 2.5% BSA/PBS, followed by 1:100 anti-mouse Alexa647 (ThermoFisher Scientific). Samples were mounted with Fluoroshield with DAPI and imaged at confocal SP5 using HCX PL APO CS

40.0X objective. Excitation laser lines and collected emitted fluorescence were 488 nm and [500-550] nm for EGFP, 561 nm and [575-650] nm for TagRFP-actin, and 633 nm and [650-750] nm for Alexa647. In rescue experiments, neurons from  $p75^{NTR}$  KO mice were transfected on DIV 1 with S6-tagged wt or mut  $p75^{NTR}$ -EGFP, and pTagRFP-actin, and fixed and processed for immunofluorescence on DIV 5. Axons were identified as NFH-200 immunoreactive protrusions and analyzed using ImageJ. Axon length was measured as total linear axon length. Branch points were counted as axon bifurcations whose emerging branches were at least 10 µm in length. Lateral growth cones are actin-positive growth cones emerging along the axon and not disposed terminally.

### Growth cone collapse assay

DIV1-2 hippocampal neurons were transiently transfected with S6-wt-p75<sup>NTR</sup>-GFP or S6-mut-p75<sup>NTR</sup>-GFP using Lipofectamine2000 (Thermo Fisher); alternatively, they were transduced with inducible lentiviral vectors bearing S6-wtp75<sup>NTR</sup> or S6-mut-p75<sup>NTR</sup> according to an established procedure (2). Control cultures were transfected or transduced with soluble EGFP. To help growth cone area evaluation, cultures were co-transfected with pTagRFP-actin, or subjected to phalloidin staining (Thermo Fisher) after cell fixation. To evaluate the role of p75<sup>NTR</sup> internalization in growth cone collapse, cultures were co-transfected with a red fluorescent wt- or K44A- Dynamin 1 construct. For inducible p75<sup>NTR</sup> expression, we added 0, 0.05 or 1 µg/ml doxycycline to the neuron growth medium after infection. On DIV3, fresh medium was added to control neurons, while p75<sup>NTR</sup> expressing ones were incubated with the following labeling mix in fresh medium: 2 µM Sfp Synthase (New England Biolabs), 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 µM CoA-biotin for 30-45 min at 37°C, in order to biotinylate S6-tags exposed at the cell surface. All neurons were then washed three times with HBSS (supplemented with 2mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) and incubated 30 minutes at 37°C with either culture medium only or 20 ng/ml proNGF in culture medium. Neurons were then washed once; p75<sup>NTR</sup> expressing neurons were incubated with 10 nM Qdot<sup>™</sup> 655 Streptavidin Conjugate (S-Qdot655, ThermoFisher Scientific) for 15 min at 4°C, then washed 5 times and fixed in 2% formaldehyde plus 5% Sucrose in PBS for 15 min at room temperature, washed three times with PBS and imaged. To inhibit dynamin-dependent endocytosis, the above experiment was repeated in the presence of 80 µM Dynasore (Sigma-Aldrich) in the biotinylation step and proNGF (or control) treatment. To selectively inhibit clathrindependent endocytosis, the experiment was also repeated with addition of 25 µM Pitstop2 (Abcam, ab120687) only during proNGF treatment, or with 0.5% DMSO as a control. Imaging was done with confocal SP5, using oil objective HCX PL APO CS 40.0X (NA 1.25) and the pinhole set at 1 Airy Unit. Ar 488 nm laser line was used for EGFP ([500-550] nm emission window) and S-Qdot655 ([650-750] nm emission window), a solid-state 561 nm laser for TagRFP ([575-650] nm emission range) and a pulsed 405 nm laser for DAPI ([420-500] emission range). Alternatively, we used the TIRF microscope in epifluorescence mode, using oil objective 100.0X (NA 1.47) and a 2x magnifier lens. Images of Odot655 labelled receptors were acquired using a 488nm laser line and FF01-655/15 Semrock emission filter; RFP or mCherry were imaged using the 561nm laser line and a Cy3 filter cube; GFP or Phalloidin-Alexa488 were imaged using a 488nm laser line, a 482-510 excitation filter and a BP 525/20 Leica emission filter. For each experiment, we quantified with ImageJ software from background-subtracted images: i) the area of all detectable growth cones, as derived from the RFPactin, GFP or Phalloidin channels; ii) for S6-p75<sup>NTR</sup>-EGFP constructs only, the ratio between the Qdot and EGFP channels as a measure of membrane versus total receptor pool; iii) for all p75<sup>NTR</sup> constructs, the intensities in Qdot channel as a measure of membrane abundance at the different levels of expression examined.

#### Cleaved Caspase-3 assay

Cortical neurons from wild type and p75<sup>NTR</sup> KO mice were seeded on glass slides in 24-well dishes at medium-high density. On DIV1, KO neurons were transduced with S6- wt/mut p75NTR lentiviral particles. On DIV2, transgene expression was induced with 0.05 µg/ml doxycycline. On DIV3, neurons were treated for 12 h with 20 ng/ml human proBDNF or standard culture medium. Samples were then fixed in cold 1:1 acetone:methanol solution for 15 min at -20°C, washed three times in PBS, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 plus 2.5% BSA/PBS 5 min at room temperature, blocked 1 h at room temperature with 5% BSA/PBS, incubated 2.30 h at room temperature with anti- cleaved caspase-3 (1:300, 9664 Cell Signaling Technology®) and anti- MAP-2 (1:2500, M9942 Sigma-Aldrich) antibodies in 2.5% BSA/PBS and stained 1 h at room temperature with relative fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies (anti rabbit-Alexa647, 1:100; anti mouse-Alexa488, 1:100, ThermoFisher). Glass slides were then mounted on glass coverslips with Fluoroshield<sup>TM</sup> (containing DAPI) and imaged at the confocal SP5 with HC PL FLUOTAR 20.0X air-objective (NA 0.5) and pinhole at 1.5 Airy Units. We used a 633 nm laser line and [648-718] nm emission window for Alexa647, a 488 nm laser and [500-600] nm emission window for Alexa488 and a pulsed 405 nm laser line with [410-500] nm emission range for DAPI. Cleaved caspase-3 positive neurons were defined as MAP2 positive cells displaying a mean intensity above an intensity threshold in the Alexa647 channel set according to evidently non-apoptotic cells in the untreated sample; neurons were counted in at least 6 fields per coverslip. All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich except where otherwise stated.

# Detection of S6-tagged wt and mut p75<sup>NTR</sup> from cell lysates by western blot

For blots shown in Figs. S1, S2 and S4, SH-SY5Y, PC12 or SK-N-BE(2) cells were transfected or transduced with S6-tagged wt or mut p75<sup>NTR</sup> and induced with the indicated doxycycline (if not indicated, 1  $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline was used). After 24-48h induction, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (Sigma-Aldrich®) supplemented with proteases inhibitors tablet

(Roche) and 1mM Phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, Sigma-Aldrich®) for 15 min on ice. Lysates were centrifuged at 16.000 xg for 15 min at 4°C and quantified with Bradford assay. 20-35µg of each sample was mixed with SDS gel loading buffer and loaded on a standard 4–12% polyacrylamide Criterion<sup>™</sup> XT Bis-Tris Protein Gel (Bio-Rad). The run was performed in MOPS 1x buffer (Bio-Rad) and transferred in Towbin 1x on a Nitrocellulose membrane (Ge Healthcare). Membrane was blocked with Tris-buffered Saline, supplemented with 0.05% Tween-20® (TBST) and 5% Non-Fat Dry Milk (Bio-Rad), for 1h a room temperature and blotted with anti-p75<sup>NTR</sup> (07-476 Millipore) 1:1000 diluted in TBST+ 5% Milk for 2h at room temperature. After three washes with TBST only, membrane was then blotted with Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L)-HRP Conjugate (Bio-Rad) 1:2500 diluted in TBST + 5% Milk for 1h at room temperature. Membrane was washed and then imaged with ECL™ Western Blotting Detection Reagents (GE Healthcare). For blots shown in Fig. S14, SH-SY5Y cells were infected with S6-tagged wt or mut p75<sup>NTR</sup> and induced for 24h with 1µg/ml doxycycline. Cells were lysed with TBS pH 7.4 supplemented with proteases inhibitors, 10mM Iodoacetamide, 60mM Octyl-β-D-glucopyranoside and 1% Triton X-100 (all reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich®) for 15min on ice; lysates were then centrifuged at 16000 xg for 15 min at 4°C and protein contents were quantified with Bradford assay. 40 µg of each total cell extract was diluted both in DTT-containing 2X gel loading buffer (250mM Tris HCl pH 6.8 with 25% glycerol, 5% SDS, 0.05% Bromophenol Blue and 250mM DTT) and in DTT-missing 2X gel loading buffer (250mM Tris HCl pH 6.8 with 25% glycerol, 5% SDS, 0.05% Bromophenol Blue). DTT samples were boiled at 95°C for 5min, non-DTT samples were maintained at room temperature for 20min; then they were loaded on a 4–20% polyacrylamide Mini-PROTEAN® TGX<sup>™</sup> Precast Protein gel (Bio-Rad) and run in Tris-Glycine-SDS 1X buffer (Bio-Rad). Transfer, blot and detection were performed as stated above. From a comparison between Figs. S4c and S14, it is evident that the run of high molecular weight bands (putative oligomeric p75<sup>NTR</sup> species) depends on experimental conditions. In Fig. S4 a clear unique band at about 150kDa is visible for wt but not mut p75<sup>NTR</sup>, which would match the molecular weight of a dimer. In Fig. S14 however, where samples are prepared with a different lysis buffer and a different gradient is used in the gel, wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> runs as a double 50-75kDa band, and we observed a smear at higher molecular weights with two bands more visible in a region spanning from 150kDa to 250kDa, which would match the molecular weight of dimers or trimers. This smear was almost not visible for mut p75<sup>NTR</sup>. However, in all conditions used in our studies, the band of the monomer was the predominant for either p75<sup>NTR</sup> construct, similarly to what obtained by other groups using the 07-476 Millipore anti-p75<sup>NTR</sup> antibody (18). We conclude that too many factors can affect the run of a protein in a gel, such as the antibody employed for detection, the lysis buffer, the length of a gel, the percentage of acrylamide or the use of denaturing or nondenaturing conditions. For these reasons, we preferred a direct and quantitative method for estimation of stoichiometry in a membrane of a cell, instead of using cell extracts.

# Ex-vivo branching analysis in wt versus p75<sup>NTR</sup> mice

*Ex vivo* analysis was performed on  $p75^{NTR}$  KO (5) and wt C57 female mice: animals were 5-6 months of age at the time of the experiment. All procedures were approved by the Italian Ministry of Health and are in compliance with the National Council of Research (CNR) guidelines. p75<sup>NTR</sup> KO or C57 mice were anesthetized with avertin and injected bilaterally with 10° vg AAV9.EF1a.DIO.eYFP.WPRE.hGH (Addgene 27056) and 107 vg AAV1.hSyn.Cre.WPRE.hGH (Addgene 105553) in hippocampus CA3 (stereotaxic coordinates -1.9 AP, ±2.35 ML, -2.35 DV). AAV solution was adjusted with sterile PBS and 500nl were injected at 200nl/min in each hemisphere. After two weeks animals were anesthetized with avertin and perfused transcardially with 2% formaldehyde in PBS; after 5 hours in post-fixation, brains were cryoprotected in 30% sucrose PBS. 50µm slices were cut and mounted in Fluoroshield with DAPI (Sigma), and imaged with a Zeiss Axioskop microscope using a 40X air objective. Single axons emerging from CA3 area were identified and traced with Neurolucida software (MBF Biosciences). The number of branch points was counted and normalized on the total length on the analysed axon. For NF-200 area calculation, 50µm slices were cut and processed for IF as follows: (i) blocked in PBST (PBS 0.3% Triton X-100) 10%FBS for 90', (ii) incubated overnight at 4°C with 10% FBS PBS anti-NF200 (abcam ab7795) 1:200, (iii) after 3 washes in PBS, incubated in 10%FBS PBS 0.1% Triton X-100 anti-mouse Alexa633 1:100 (Life Technologies) for 3 hours, (iv) incubated with 10µg/ml DAPI in PBS 0.1% Triton X-100 10', (v) washed three times in PBS and (vi) mounted with Vectashield (Vector Labs). A stack of 1024x1024 images (z-step 0.5µm) was acquired in the stratum radiatum of CA1 with confocal Leica SP5. After background subtraction, the maximum projection was taken and the resulting image was thresholded to remove pixels whose intensity was twice the mean intensity of the resulting background (calculated in an area devoid of axons). The threshold was kept constant for all samples, and the ratio of the supra-threshold area to the total area was quantified. For SYN1 points calculation, p75<sup>NTR</sup> KO or C57 slices were taken and processed for immunofluorescence as above, with anti-SYN1 1:100 (Synaptic Systems 101011 clone 7.2) and anti-NMDAR2 1:100 (Synaptic Systems 244003), and secondary antibodies anti-mouse Alexa633 1:100, anti-rabbit Alexa555 1:100 (Life Technologies). 1024x1024 images from the stratum radiatum in CA1 were acquired with confocal SP5. After background subtraction, images were thresholded and particles were detected with ImageJ Analyze Particles feature. Only 0.5-5 µm<sup>2</sup> particles were considered, with 0.3-1 circularity. Before particle detection, Watershed feature was run to separate overlapping synapses. Areas of the images devoid of SYN1 puncta due to the presence of blood vessels were excluded from the analysis. The resulting calculated puncta number accounts for that, as it is normalized for the considered area.

## **Statistics**

Statistical analysis was performed with OriginPro v9.0 or GraphPad Prism 6. Parametric tests used were Student's t-test (two-tailed), or one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni or Tukey's correction for multiple comparisons of more than two groups. Significance was set at  $\alpha$ =0.05. Comparisons between groups whose distributions were not normal, or requirements for parametric tests were not met, were performed with Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by Dunn's test for pairwise comparison. Nonparametric comparisons between two samples were performed with Mann–Whitey test. All information is summarized in Supplementary Table 3.

Readers will be able to access data, associated protocols, codes, and materials by directly contacting the corresponding authors.

## **Supplemental Figures**



Fig. S1 S6-p75<sup>NTR</sup> is labeled more efficiently than A1-p75<sup>NTR</sup> (related to Fig. 1). (a) Typical SH-SY5Y cells expressing A1- or S6-tagged wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> after receptor labeling. Cells were infected with lentivirus driving the expression of either of the two constructs under the tetracycline promoter and treated with 1µg/ml doxycycline to induce expression. A1- or S6-tagged wt p75 NTR was labeled with 500nM Alexa488-CoA and Acp or Sfp synthase enzymes, respectively (1). Images were acquired at the TIRF microscope in epifluorescence mode. Scale bar, 10um. (b) At matching doses of doxycycline, labeled A1-p75<sup>NTR</sup> is less abundant than S6-P75<sup>NTR</sup>, as indicated by the lower number of spots per area in SH-SY5Y cells induced with 10<sup>-3</sup> µg/ml doxycycline and labelled with 2nM streptavidin coated Qdot655 after receptor biotinylation (see Supplemental text for details about labeling). \*\*P<0.01, Mann-Whitney test. Box plots are 25% - 75% range of the data, with median line. (c) Total cell lysates from SH-SY5Y cells expressing A1- or S6-wtP75 induced with 1  $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline show slightly lower expression of the former, as seen in the anti-p75<sup>NTR</sup> blot. Thus, lower A1-tag labeling is most probably due to a combination of lower expression and less efficient enzymatic reaction. (d-e) Considerations about S6 tag labeling efficiency. SH-SY5Y were infected with S6tagged wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> lentiviral particles, induced with 0, 10<sup>-3</sup>, 10<sup>-2</sup> or 1 µg/ml doxycycline, biotinylated and labelled with 2nM Qdot655. The density of spots per membrane area was quantified for cells in the different doxycycline ranges. The results, reported in panel d, demonstrate that the use of fluorescent probes in the nM range are not a limiting amount for exhaustive labeling of receptor in the low doxycycline concentrations (left), because the same Qdot concentration allows to obtain a much higher number of receptors labeled at high doxycycline doses (right). These data are consistent with previous reports demonstrating an efficiency of tag labeling close to 100% for chemical tags of the ACP family (19, 20). \*P<0.013, Unpaired Student's t-test. Data are plotted as mean±S.E.M. Two representative TIRF images used in this quantification are reported in panel e. Scale bar, 5µm.



Lane 1: supernatant Lane 2: streptavidin-IP, w/o HA Lane 3: streptavidin-IP, + HA Lane 4: supernatant, w/o HA Lane 5: supernatant, + HA

**Fig. S2 Endogenous p75<sup>NTR</sup> is palmitoylated in PC12 cells (related to Fig. 1).** The Acyl-Biotinyl Exchange assay (see Supplemental methods for details) was performed on PC12 cells showing palmitoylation of endogenous p75<sup>NTR</sup>. The total cell lysate (lane 1) is processed as described in Supplemental text, and pulled down with streptavidin beads (lane 3), while the supernatant is presented in lane 5. Removing hydroxyalammine (HA) from the protocol impairs palmitic acid-biotin exchange: as a consequence, p75<sup>NTR</sup> is not pulled down with streptavidin beads (lane 2), and is contained in the supernatant (lane 4). The panel shows the resulting anti-p75<sup>NTR</sup> Western blot.



**Fig. S3 p75**<sup>NTR</sup> **diffusion coefficient distribution depends on the fluorescent label (related to Fig. 2).** SH-SY5Y cells were transduced with S6-tagged wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> viral particles and expression induced with 0.001-0.05 µg/ml doxycycline. Surface receptor molecules were either biotinylated and further labeled with 2nM streptavidin-coated Qdot655, or directly labeled with 25nM Abberior635P. After analysis of the trajectories in the two cases, we found that wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> diffusion is influenced by the fluorophore size. Indeed, Qdot labeling after receptor biotinylation (black trace) determines a radically slower profile of the diffusion coefficient compared to the same receptor labeled with the smaller organic dye (red trace). Distribution peaks are indicated with arrowheads. Therefore, we always measured diffusion of p75<sup>NTR</sup> fluorolabeled with small organic dyes.



**Fig. S4 S6-tagged mut p75**<sup>NTR</sup> **localization is similar to the one of its cognate wt construct (related to Fig. 2).** (a) S6-tagged mut p75<sup>NTR</sup> is expressed and translocates to the surface of SK-N-BE(2) cells similarly to the wt counterpart. Cells were infected with lentiviral vector driving the doxycycline-dependent expression of the constructs, and visualized by TIRF microscopy after expression was induced with  $0.05\mu$ g/ml doxycycline. p75<sup>NTR</sup> constructs were labelled with 5nM Abberior635P. Cell profiles are drawn with thick white lines. Scale bar,  $5\mu$ m. (b) EGFP-fused S6-tagged mut p75<sup>NTR</sup> localizes both to the plasma membrane and to the nuclear envelope, as S6-tagged wt p75<sup>NTR</sup>. Confocal image of SH-SY5Y cells transfected with S6-tagged mut p75<sup>NTR</sup>-EGFP. Scale bar,  $5\mu$ m. (c) anti-P75<sup>NTR</sup> Western Blot from transfected SK-N-BE(2) confirms S6-tagged mut p75<sup>NTR</sup> expression and integrity compared to the cognate wt construct. S6-tagged mut p75<sup>NTR</sup> has a slightly lower molecular weight than S6-tagged wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> due to the deletion of the juxtamembrane region (see Fig. 2c and relative methods). Note the complete absence of the higher molecular weight band in the mut construct lane, that is instead present in the wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> lane, although representing a small fraction of the whole p75<sup>NTR</sup> population.



**Fig. S5** Average intensities of wt, mut and dim p75<sup>NTR</sup> trajectories (related to Fig. 3). Trajectories retrieved from SK-N-BE(2) cells expressing wt, mut and dim p75<sup>NTR</sup>, whose *D*, M&S, and Td quantification are reported in Fig. 2, were also analyzed for their average intensities. The I<sub>av</sub> distributions, obtained as in (14), show no substantial differences among the three constructs, although a slightly higher high-I<sub>av</sub> tail could be noticed for dim p75<sup>NTR</sup>.



**Fig. S6 Single step photobleaching analysis for TrkA and p75NTR constructs (related to Fig. 3). (a)** Single step photobleaching analysis for SK-N-BE(2) cells expressing S6-tagged TrkA construct (1) after 15 minutes of NGF treatment readily identifies monomers and dimers. More than 40% of the step-photobleached spots has two (or more) photobleach steps (top panel), and two peaks are clearly visible in the I<sub>PRE</sub> distribution, corresponding to the monomeric and dimeric species (bottom panel). Note the non-negligible presence of species with pre-bleach intensity higher than 7500 a.u., that can be identified in the I<sub>PRE</sub> histogram and most likely correspond to oligomeric forms of activated receptors whose intensity profiles do not completely bleach in the observation time and for this reason are excluded from the photobleaching steps count (see Methods for details of quantification). (b) I<sub>PRE</sub> distributions (gray-column histograms) obtained for the three p75<sup>NTR</sup> constructs analyzed in Fig. 3 with multigaussian fits superimposed (thick blue curve; thin red curves are the single fitted gaussians).



Fig. S7 Diffusivity of wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> receptor particles in the absence or presence of proNGF in cortical neurons (related to Fig. 4). Cortical neurons from wt and p75<sup>NTR</sup> KO neonatal mice were cultured and transduced with wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> lentiviral particles; transgene expression was induced with 0.05  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l doxycycline and receptor molecules labeled with Abberior 635P dye. Box-plot for D values retrieved from trajectories collected from DIV3 wt (left) and p75<sup>NTR</sup> KO (right) neurons in resting conditions (black) and up to 15 min after proNGF administration (red) are reported. Cells displaying a density of membrane receptors in the [0.1-0.4] spots/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> range were considered for this analysis (average spot density wt neurons: 0.36±0.05 (unst); 0.35±0.04 (proNGF); p75 KO neurons: 0.22±0.08 (unst); 0.24±0.06 (proNGF). Boxes: 25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentiles; line: median; dashed line: mean. \*\*\*P<0.001 Kruskal-Wallis test, with Dunn's means comparison.



Fig. S8 p75<sup>NTR</sup> diffusion coefficient depends on cholesterol cell content (related to Fig. 4). (a) Representative TIRF images of membrane filipin III staining for different cell models. Scale bar,  $10\mu$ m. (b) Quantification of the cholesterol content for the various cell models. Values are normalized on the SK-N-BE(2) value. Bars are mean±S.D. (c) Characteristic D distribution of S6-tagged wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> in different cellular models. The D distribution in the various cell

types follows the relative cholesterol level order, with cells containing higher cholesterol levels displaying lower D values and vice-versa.



Fig. S9 When overexpressed, both wt and mut  $p75^{NTR}$  are able to induce apoptosis (related to Fig. 5). Percentage of cleaved caspase-3 positive cortical neurons from  $p75^{NTR}$  KO mice, transduced with S6-tagged wt or mut  $p75^{NTR}$  lentiviral particles. Transgene overexpression was induced with  $1\mu g/ml$  doxycycline, and cultures treated with proBDNF for 12 hours as outlined in Fig. 5a. Overexpressing wt  $p75^{NTR}$  significantly increases the proportion of apoptotic neurons, and overexpressing mut  $p75^{NTR}$  rescues its ability to induce apoptosis upon proBDNF administration. Along with results in Fig. 5, our interpretation is that, under overexpression conditions, the occupancy of cholesterol-rich areas (where apoptotic signaling can start) is also increased as a consequence of total membrane pool increase. This would explain the ability of mut  $p75^{NTR}$  to induce apoptosis under proBDNF stimulus, and would also explain the higher number of apoptotic cells in cultures expressing wt  $p75^{NTR}$  even in the absence of proBDNF. Bars represent mean±sem, n.s. is not significant at the 0.05 level, \*\*\*P<0.001, \*\*P<0.01 one-way ANOVA with Tukey's comparison of means.



**Fig. S10** p75<sup>NTR</sup> KO mice display increased axon complexity than wt ones (related to Fig. 6). (a) wt and p75 KO animals were injected with an AAV encoding floxed EYFP and limiting hSYN:Cre AAV in the CA3 area, driving the sparse expression of EYFP (green) in CA3 neurons; nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Axons in the CA1 area were traced with Neurolucida softwares (black profiles below), showing a higher number of branching points per unit of length for the p75 KO mice; scale bar, 10 $\mu$ m. Below, we confirmed that the traced EYFP-positive neurite are axons as they are either strongly immunoreactive to axonal marker NF200 (arrowheads) or are terminal axonal tracts displaying varicosities (empty arrowheads); scale bar 10 $\mu$ m. On the bottom, quantification of axonal branch points per length, \*\*\*P<0.001 Mann-Whitney test. (b) Consistently, the area occupied by NF200<sup>+</sup> axons (magenta) is larger in p75<sup>NTR</sup> KO than wt mice in CA1 stratum radiatum; scale bar, 20 $\mu$ m. Below, quantification of the fraction of area occupied by axons; \*\*P<0.01 Mann-Whitney test. (c) This is also reflected in the higher synapse density observed in p75<sup>NTR</sup> KO mice in the CA1 stratum radiatum, evaluated as a higher density of SYN1 positive puncta. We confirmed that the identified SYN1 puncta (cyan) are juxtaposed to postsynaptic marker NMDAR2 (red). Scale bar, 5 $\mu$ m. Below, quantification of SYN1 puncta per area. \*P<0.05 Mann-Whitney test. Bars are mean±sd.





set + Oranin water -sopherer ponts SP25555 Provident 2012-234 × Magninet Art tore to poor animate Fig. S11 Surface p75<sup>NTR</sup> causes growth cone collapse (related to Fig. 7). (a) Representative confocal images of growth cones of wt hippocampal neurons, transfected with S6-tagged wt and mut p75<sup>NTR</sup>-EGFP constructs, untreated or incubated with proNGF for 30'. Scale bar, 5µm. The corresponding growth cone area is quantified in (b), in comparison to a nontransfected control (nt). \*\*\*P<0.001, ns: not significant at the 0.05 level in a one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni multiple comparisons. Bars are means±sem. (c) Representative images of growth cones for wt and mut p75<sup>NTR</sup>-EGFP (or EGFP only) transfected in wt hippocampal neurons, untreated or treated with Dynasore in the absence or presence of proNGF, as indicated. Quantification is reported in Fig. 7f. (d) Inhibition of p75<sup>NTR</sup>-EGFP internalization with co-expression of dominant negative (DN) K44A Dynamin-RFP is sufficient to induce growth cone collapse in the absence of proNGF. Scale bar, 10µm. (e) Quantification of growth cone area for EGFP- or wt S6-p75<sup>NTR</sup>-EGFP- expressing neurons. DN, but not wt, Dynamin co-expression, caused growth cone collapse. \*\*\*P<0.001 one-way ANOVA, Tukey's comparison of means.

S6 p75-EGFP Dynamin K44A-RFP

20

0

EGP\* PONGE



Fig. S12 p75<sup>NTR</sup> expression levels in the various conditions tested in our study (related to Figs. 6-7). p75<sup>NTR</sup> levels were measured quantifying the intensity of QDot-labeled p75<sup>NTR</sup> in wt neurons. Neurons were infected with TRE-S6 p75<sup>NTR</sup> lentivirus and induced with 0.05 or 1  $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline, or transfected with CMV-S6 p75<sup>NTR</sup>-EGFP (constitutive). Bars are mean±s.e.m.



**Fig. S13 p75<sup>NTR</sup> causes growth cone collapse in a concentration-dependent manner (related to Figs. 6-7). (a)** Quantification of growth cone area for wt (left) or mut (right) p75<sup>NTR</sup> at various expression levels as in Fig. S12. Infected neurons were induced with 0, 0.05 or 1  $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline, or transfected with CMV-S6 (wt/mut) p75<sup>NTR</sup>-EGFP (constitutive), and left untreated or treated with proNGF for 30 minutes. \*P<0.05 \*\*P<0.01 \*\*\*P<0.001 one-way ANOVA, Tukey's comparison of means. (b) p75<sup>NTR</sup> molecules were biotinylated before proNGF treatment, then the surface pool was labeled with Streptavidin-QDot655 (see Fig. 7). The mean intensity of the QDot channel is a good estimate of the density of receptors per  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>. Regression line is in red, with ± 95% prediction bands (dotted black lines; r<sup>2</sup>=0.5821, ANOVA on regression F=39, df(1,28) P<0.0001). (c) Dependency of growth cone area on the surface intensity of wt (red dots) or mut (green dots) shows a similar sigmoidal trend. Data from the samples induced at 0, 0.05 and 1  $\mu$ g/ml doxycyclin and treated with proNGF are included for both receptors. The populations of growth cone areas for the two receptors are not significantly different from each other (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, P=0.1349). Red and green curves are guide for the eyes for the behavior of data from wt p75NTR and mut p75NTR, respectively (curves are sigmoid fits with extremes and slope obtained from the pooled data).



**Fig. S14 Putative oligomeric p75**<sup>NTR</sup> **species detected in western blot experiments.** S6-tagged wt p75<sup>NTR</sup> and mut p75<sup>NTR</sup> were infected in SH-SY5Y cells and induced with 1µg/ml doxycycline. Lysis was performed as reported in (21): Octyl- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside ( $\beta$ -GP) and iodoacetamide (IA) were added to lysis solution. Equal amounts of total cell lysates (40µg) were supplemented with a 2X loading buffer with or without dithiothreitol (DTT) and loaded on a 4-20% acrylamide gradient gel. The anti-p75<sup>NTR</sup> Western blot shows the presence of a higher molecular-weight smear, including the bands corresponding to putative dimeric (150 kDa) and trimeric (circa 215 kDa) oligomeric species of wt p75<sup>NTR</sup>, which are absent in the mut p75<sup>NTR</sup> lanes. The blot reported in panel (**a**) was exposed to saturation to see the higher-molecular weights bands; the standard-exposed blot is presented in panel (**b**).

### **Supplemental Videos**

**Video S1** Typical 500-frame TIRF movie of a living SK-N-BE(2) cell expressing S6-tagged p75<sup>NTR</sup> and labeled with CoA-Abberior635P (~0.39 spots/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) moving in plasma membrane. Scale bar, 5 $\mu$ m.

**Video S2** Typical 500-frame TIRF movie of a living, DIV3 cortical  $p75^{NTR}$  KO neuron expressing S6-tagged  $p75^{NTR}$  and labeled with CoA-Abberior635P (~0.38 spots/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>). A mask, drawn on movie maximum intensity projection, was applied to exclude surrounding astrocytes signals, and fluorophores adhered to the glass because of PDL coating. Scale bar, 5 $\mu$ m.

**Video S3** Output of single particles detection and tracking by u-track software on a SK-N-BE(2) cell expressing S6-tagged  $p75^{NTR}$  and labeled with CoA-Abberior635P (~0.27 spots/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>). Trajectories are reported in blue.

**Video S4** Representation of a merge (S4) event in a SH-SY5Y cell surface expressing CoA-Abberior635P-labeled S6-tagged  $p75^{NTR}$ . Continuous blue lines are single particles tracks over time, blue triangles pointing upwards represent the beginning of a track, blue triangles pointing rightwards and red line show two distinct particles merging in a single one (SV4), asterisks depict gaps in particle tracking. Scale bars, 1µm.

**Video S5** Representation of a split (S5) event in a SH-SY5Y cell surface expressing CoA-Abberior635P-labeled S6-tagged  $p75^{NTR}$ . Continuous blue lines are single particles tracks over time, blue triangles pointing upwards represent the beginning of a track, blue triangles pointing leftwards and green line indicate a split event of one particle in two distinct trajectories, asterisks depict gaps in particle tracking. Scale bars, 1µm.

### **Supplemental References**

- 1. Marchetti L, et al. (2014) Site-specific labeling of neurotrophins and their receptors via short and versatile peptide tags. *PLoS One* 9(11). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113708.
- 2. Gobbo F, Bonsignore F, Amodeo R, Cattaneo A, Marchetti L (2018) Site-specific direct labeling of neurotrophins and their receptors: From biochemistry to advanced imaging applications. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, pp 295–314.
- 3. Youker RT, et al. (2013) Multiple motifs regulate apical sorting of p75 via a mechanism that involves dimerization and higher-order oligomerization. *Mol Biol Cell*. doi:10.1091/mbc.E13-02-0078.
- 4. Hynes TR, Mervine SM, Yost EA, Sabo JL, Berlot CH (2004) Live cell imaging of Gs and the  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic receptor demonstrates that both  $\alpha$ s and  $\beta$  1 $\gamma$ 7 internalize upon stimulation and exhibit similar trafficking patterns that differ from that of the  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic receptor. *J Biol Chem.* doi:10.1074/jbc.M405151200.
- 5. Taylor MJ, Perrais D, Merrifield CJ (2011) A high precision survey of the molecular dynamics of mammalian clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *PLoS Biol.* doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000604.
- 6. Storti B, Bizzarri R, Cardarellis F, Beltrams F (2012) Intact microtubules preserve transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) functionality through receptor binding. *J Biol Chem.* doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.332296.
- 7. Lee KF, et al. (1992) Targeted mutation of the gene encoding the low affinity NGF receptor p75 leads to deficits in the peripheral sensory nervous system. *Cell.* doi:10.1016/0092-8674(92)90286-L.
- 8. Gobbo F, et al. (2017) Activity-dependent expression of Channelrhodopsin at neuronal synapses. *Nat Commun.* doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01699-7.
- 9. Capsoni S, et al. (2017) The chemokine CXCL12 mediates the anti-amyloidogenic action of painless human nerve growth factor. *Brain*. doi:10.1093/brain/aww271.
- 10. Wan J, Roth AF, Bailey AO, Davis NG (2007) Palmitoylated proteins: Purification and identification. *Nat Protoc.* doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.225.
- 11. De Nadai T, et al. (2016) Precursor and mature NGF live tracking: One versus many at a time in the axons. *Sci Rep* 6. doi:10.1038/srep20272.
- 12. Rattenholl A, et al. (2001) The pro-sequence facilitates folding of human nerve growth factor from Escherichia coli inclusion bodies. *Eur J Biochem.* doi:10.1046/j.1432-1327.2001.02232.x.
- 13. Paoletti F, et al. (2009) Intrinsic structural disorder of mouse proNGF. *Proteins Struct Funct Bioinforma*. doi:10.1002/prot.22311.
- 14. Marchetti L, et al. (2013) Ligand signature in the membrane dynamics of single TrkA receptor. *J Cell Sci* 126(19):4445–4456.
- 15. Jaqaman K, et al. (2011) Cytoskeletal control of CD36 diffusion promotes its receptor and signaling function. *Cell* 146(4):593–606.
- 16. Tanaka KAK, et al. (2010) Membrane molecules mobile even after chemical fixation. *Nat Methods*. doi:10.1038/nmeth.f.314.
- 17. Zhang W, et al. (2009) Single-molecule imaging reveals transforming growth factor-β-induced type II receptor dimerization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. doi:0908279106 [pii]\r10.1073/pnas.0908279106.
- 18. Sykes AM, et al. (2012) The effects of transmembrane sequence and dimerization on cleavage of the p75 neurotrophin receptor by  $\gamma$ -secretase. *J Biol Chem.* doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.382903.
- 19. Kasai RS, et al. (2011) Full characterization of GPCR monomer-dimer dynamic equilibrium by single molecule imaging. *J Cell Biol*. doi:10.1083/jcb.201009128.
- 20. Meyer BH, et al. (2006) FRET imaging reveals that functional neurokinin-1 receptors are monomeric and reside in membrane microdomains of live cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* doi:10.1073/pnas.0507686103.
- 21. Anastasia A, Barker PA, Chao M V., Hempstead BL (2015) Detection of p75NTR Trimers: Implications for Receptor Stoichiometry and Activation. *J Neurosci*. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0591-15.2015.
- 22. Vilar M, et al. (2009) Activation of the p75 neurotrophin receptor through conformational rearrangement of

disulphide-linked receptor dimers. Neuron 62(1):72-83.

- 23. Vilar M, et al. (2009) Ligand-independent signaling by disulfide-crosslinked dimers of the p75 neurotrophin receptor. *J Cell Sci* 122(Pt 18):3351–7.
- 24. Yaar M, et al. (2002) Amyloid β binds trimers as well as monomers of the 75-kDa neurotrophin receptor and activates receptor signaling. *J Biol Chem.* doi:10.1074/jbc.M110929200.
- 25. Langevin C, Jaaro H, Bressanelli S, Fainzilber M, Tuffereau C (2002) Rabies virus glycoprotein (RVG) is a trimeric ligand for the N-terminal cysteine-rich domain of the mammalian p75 neurotrophin receptor. *J Biol Chem.* doi:10.1074/jbc.M201374200.
- 26. Nadezhdin KD, et al. (2016) Structural basis of p75 transmembrane domain dimerization. *J Biol Chem.* doi:10.1074/jbc.M116.723585.

# **Supplemental Tables**

**Table S1:** List of technical details of main works reporting  $p75^{NTR}$  oligomerization detected as shifted protein band by western blot analysis. We report for each work: Reference, specie of  $p75^{NTR}$  sequence analyzed (**r**: rat sequence; **m**: mouse sequence; **h**: human sequence), cell type probed, lysis conditions (where not specified, traditional lysis conditions are used), type of gel used in the SDS-PAGE, antibody used for the WB detection, and abundance and molecular weight (MW) of the shifted  $p75^{NTR}$  band.

Reference	p75 <sup>NTR</sup> specie (r,m,h)	Cell type	Cell Lysis Conditions	Type of SDS-PAGE Gel	Detection	MW of the shifted band and relative abundance
(22)	Endogenous-r Construct-r	PC12 SCG RN22 Hippocampus Cortex Cerebellum HEK COS7	+ oGlucosyde + iodoacetamide	n.d.	WB anti-p75 <sup>NTR</sup> (MC192 antibody)	Clear dimer with variable abundance, mostly dimers in neurons or at high expression.
(23)	Construct-r	COS7	+ oGlucosyde + iodoacetamide	n.d.	WB anti-p75 <sup>NTR</sup> (MC192 antibody)	Dimer:Monomer 1:1
(21)	Construct-m Construct-h Endogenous-m Endogenous-r	HEK Cortex DRG PC12	+ oGluco- pyranoside + iodoacetamide	Big (17x17 cm)	WB anti-p75 <sup>NTR</sup> (Covance #PRB- 602C or R&D Systems #AF367)	Mainly trimer up to 1:1 with monomer
(18)	Construct-r	НЕК	Normal, with or without crosslinking	gradient	WB anti-p75 <sup>NTR</sup> (Millipore 07- 476) + anti-His tag	Faint Dimer band
(24)	Endogenous-r Construct-h	Cortex NIH3T3- p75 <sup>NTR</sup>	Normal after DTSSP crosslinking	n.d.	WB anti-p75 <sup>NTR</sup> (Anti-human and anti-rat antibodies from Roche Molecular Biochemicals)	Faint trimer band in cortex, trimer:monomer 1:1 in NIH3T3
(25)	Construct-h	SKNBE(2) COS	+ NEM	n.d.	WB anti-p75 <sup>NTR</sup> antibodies (monoclonal ME20.4, ATCC or polyclonal Promega)	trimer:monomer 1:1 with the monoclonal antibody; faint trimer band with the polyclonal antibody
(26)	Construct-h	HELA	+ iodoacetamide after BS3 crosslinking	n.d.	WB anti-p75 <sup>NTR</sup> antibody (polyclonal Promega)	Dimer band less represented than monomer

n.d. = not described

Table S2: List of cloning and mutagenesis primers.

Primer name and length	Primer sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$					
P75 <sup>NTR</sup> -insert1-FW (54mer)	ggggtgtcccttggaggtgccggagattctcttaaggaggcatgccccacaggc					
P75 <sup>NTR</sup> -insert1-RV (54mer)	gcctgtggggcatgcctccttaagagaatctccggcacctccaagggacacccc					
$P75^{NTR}$ - $S_6$ insert2-FW (66mer)	ggaggtgccggagattctctttcgtggctgcttaggcttttgaataaggaggcatgccccacaggc					
$P75^{NTR}$ - $S_6$ insert2-RV (66mer)	gcctgtggggcatgcctccttattcaaaagcctaagcagccacgaaagagaatctccggcacctcc					
S <sub>6</sub> P75 <sup>NTR</sup> -Nterm-FW (36mer)	cacgaggctagcacgcgtatgggggcaggtgccacc					
S <sub>6</sub> P75 <sup>NTR</sup> -CRD4-RV (46mer)	cgagcggatccaccgcctccacctccgccagggatctcctcgcact					
P75 <sup>NTR</sup> -LeuZip-FW (29mer)	cgagcggatccctggagagaatcgcccgg					
P75 <sup>NTR</sup> -LeuZip-RV (36mer)	ctaggeggtacegactttetgtttaagetgtgeeac					
S <sub>6</sub> P75 <sup>NTR</sup> -JM-FW (48mer)	ctaggeggtaccggaggtggaggeggtggeaccaccgacaacctcatc					
S <sub>6</sub> P75 <sup>NTR</sup> -Cterm-RV (38mer)	ccagtcctcgagacgcgttcacaccggggatgtggcag					
P75 <sup>NTR</sup> -MluI-FW (32mer)	gtcagctgacgcgtatgggggcaggtgccacc					
P75 <sup>NTR</sup> -MluI-RV (35mer)	cagtcgacacgcgttcacaccggggatgtggcagt					

**Table S3.** Statistics information. The table lists the figure or panel number (**Figure**), the name (**Sample**) and the numerosity (**n**) of analysed samples, the number of independent measures (**Replicates : R**), a description of the sample (**Notes**) and the details of statistic test used for analysis (**Statistics**).

Figure	sample	n	R	Notes	Statistics		
1d	wt p75 transduced neurons	19	1	n are neurons	Paired Student's t-test, two-tailed t=- 10.9 dF= 18 P=2.32494E-9		
	NT	37	2		one-way ANOVA, Kruskall-Wallis		
	NT +proNGF	20	2		test, followed by Dunn's comparison		
1e	P75	62	2	n are growth cones	n=4,178 S=55.55 P<10E-5		
	D75 threNCE	66	2		NT vs NT +proNGF P>0.999 P75 $_{\rm M}$ P75 + proNGE P>10E 4		
		2	2	2064 maymana	P/5 VS P/5 +protof P>10E-4		
	wt pro	3	3	1850 neurons	Welch's correction for unequal variance t=10.3 dF=2.059 P=0.0084		
1f	КО	3	3	4500 neurons	one-way ANOVA, followed by		
	KO pro	3	3	5337 neurons	Tukey's comparisons dF=3,10 F=226.6 P<10E-5		
	KO P75	4	4	4685 neurons	KO vs KO pro P=0.7323 KO vs KO P75 P=0.8110		
	KO P75 pro	4	4	4601 neurons	KO P75 vs KO P75 pro P<10E-4		
	wt p75	180491	4	trajs from 43 cells			
2d	mut p75	207280	4	trajs from 47 cells	NA		
	dim p75	108422	5	trajs from 43 cells			
	wt P75	43	4		one-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni's comparison		
2e	mut P75	47	4	n are analyzed cells	dF=2,130 F=14.37 P<0.0001 wt p75 vs mut P75 n.s.		
	dim P75	43	5		wt P75 vs dim P75 P<0.0001 mut P75 vs dim P75 P<0.0001		
	wt p75	3977	4	n are trajectories			
	mut p75	4106	4	corresponding to			
2g	dim p75	1803	5	Td events in the cells reported in Fig. 2e	NA		
	P75	416	3		Chi-square test $\chi^2 = 347.7$ , df=6		
3c	mut P75	653	3	n are spots from	P<0.0001		
	dim P75	816	3	15 cens			
	dim p75	132251	5	trajs from 69 cells			
	wt p75	59237	4	trajs from 34 cells	Kruskal–Wallis test ( $P < 0.0001$ )		
4a	wt p75 + NGF	65387	4	trajs from 37 cells	followed by Dunn's comparison of means ( $\mathbf{P} < 0.001$ considered as		
	mut p75	85615	4	trajs from 27 cells	significant) $(\mathbf{F} < 0.001 \text{ considered as})$		
	mut p75 + NGF	103472	4	trajs from 38 cells	significant)		
	СНО	90	8				
/h	astrocytes	40	4				
40 (cholest	HEK	20	2	n are analyzed			
erol)	SK-N-BE	20	1	fields			
	P75 KO neurons	20	2		Linear fit adi $r^2 = 0.07612$ ANOVA :		
	wt neurons	30	2		regression df=5 $E$ =205 37087		
4b (D)	СНО	5538	1	trajs from 9 cells	P<1 37754F-4		
	astrocytes	69349	3	trajs from 23 cells			
	НЕК	15144	1	trajs from 10 cells			
	SK-N-BE	3043	1	trajs from 7 cells			
	P75 KO neurons	11063	2	trajs from 7 cells			
	wt neurons	10619	1	trajs from 4 cells			
4.2	naive	85	1	n are analyzed One-way analysis of variance, T			
4c	mevastatin	100	1	cells	naive vs meva P<0.05		

	cholesterol	86	1		naive vs chol P<0.001	
	wt p75 + NGF	65387	4	trajs from 37 cells		
	wt p75 +meva +NGF	35441	2	trajs from 14 cells	Kruskal–Wallis test (P < 0.0001)	
	wt p75 +chol +NGF	47116	2	trajs from 20 cells	followed by Dunn's comparison of	
4d	mut p75 + NGF	103472	4	trajs from 38 cells	means ( $P < 0.001$ considered as	
	mut p75 +meva +NGF	39198	2	trajs from 15 cells	significant)	
	mut p75 +chol +NGF	40749	2	trajs from 20 cells		
	p75	21	3		one-way ANOVA, Bonterroni	
4f	mut P75	23	3	n are cells	Df=3 F=3.600	
	mut P75 +NGF	32	3		P75 vs P75 +NGF P=P=0.0162	
	naive	153	1		one-way ANOVA, Tukey's	
5b	meva	145	1	n are cells	comparison of means Df=2,426 F=188.4 P<10E-6	
	chol	131	1		naive vs meva P<10E-4 naive vs chol P<10E-4	
	naive	3	3	2064 neurons	one-way ANOVA, Tukey's	
	naive pro	3	3	1850 neurons	comparison of means $D_{f}=5.14 E = 13.87 P < 10E.6$	
_	meva	3	3	686 neurons	Nt vs NT pro P=0.0013	
50	meva pro	3	3	368 neurons	NT vs meva P=0.4790	
	chol	5	5	552 neurons	NV vs chol P=0.1953 meva vs meva pro P=0.9115	
	chol pro	3	3	495 neurons	chol vs chol pro P=0.0007	
	NT	3	3	4500 neurons	one-way ANOVA, Tukey's	
	NT pro	3	3	5337 neurons	comparison of means Df=5,16 F=60.77 P<10E-6	
5.1	P75	4	4	4685 neurons	NT vs NT pro P=0.9814	
5d	P75 pro	4	4	4601 neurons	mut P75 vs mut P75 pro P<10E-4	
	mut P75	4	4	4248 neurons	P75 vs NT P=0.9907	
	mut P75 pro	4	4	3941 neurons	mut r 75 vs W1 r =0.5580	
5.	NT	4	4	1786 neurons	one-way ANOVA, Tukey's	
	NT pro	4	4	1848 neurons	Df=5,18 F=0.01308 P>0.999	
	P75	4	4	2676 neurons	NT vs NT pro P>0.999	
36	P75 pro	4	4	2040 neurons	mut P75 vs mut P75 pro PP>0.999	
	mut P75	4	4	1294 neurons	P75 vs NT P>0.999	
	mut P75 pro	4	4	1030 neurons	111ut r 73 vs 1v1 r >0.999	
	NT	5	5	2843 neurons	one-way ANOVA, Tukey's	
	NT pro	5	5	3984 neurons	comparison of means $D_{f=5,20} = 42.3 D < 10E.6$	
	P75	4	4	3731 neurons	NT vs NT pro P=0.8512	
5t	P75 pro	4	4	3164 neurons	P75 vs P75 pro P<10E-4	
	mut P75	4	4	3976 neurons	mut P75 vs mut P75 pro P<10E-4	
	mut P75 pro	4	4	2801 neurons	mut P75 vs NT P=0.9846	
6c	wt	30	3		one way ANOVA K-1 11 Well's	
	КО	49	3		one-way ANOVA, Kruskall-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's comparison n=4,159 S=59.95 P<10E-5 KO vs wt P<10E-4 KO vs KO +P75 P<10E-4 KO vs KO +mut P75 P<10E-4 wt vs KO +P75 P>0.999 wt vs KO +mut P75 P>0.999	
	KO +P75	43	3	n are axons		
	KO +mut P75	37	3			

	wt	30	3		one-way ANOVA, Kruskall-Wallis test followed by Dunn's comparison
6d	КО	49	3	n are branch points	n=4,159 S=59.05 P<10E-5 KO vs wt P<10E-4 KO vs KO +P75 P<10E-4 KO vs KO + mut P75 P<10E 4
	KO +P75	43	3		
	KO +mut P75	37	3		wt vs KO +P75 P>0.999 wt vs KO +mut P75 P>0.999
	wt	30	3	n are lateral	one-way ANOVA, Kruskall-Wallis
	КО	49	3		n=4,159 S=68.85 P<10E-5 KO vs wt P<10E-4
6e	KO +P75	43	3	growth cones	KO vs KO +P75 P<10E-4 KO vs KO +mut P75 P<10E-4
	KO +mut P75	37	3		wt vs KO +P75 P>0.999 wt vs KO +mut P75 P>0.999
	NT	37	2		one-way ANOVA (Geisser-
	NT +proNGF	20	2		variance), Bonferroni comparison of
	P75	62	2		means
6g	P75 +proNGF	66	2	n are growth cones	Dt=5,312 F=18.13 P<10E-5 NT vs NT +proNGF P<0.999
	mut P75	83	2		P75 vs P75 +proNGF P<10E-4
	mut P75 + proNGF	57	2		mut P75 vs mut P75 +proNGF P<10E- 4
	P75	19	2		one-way ANOVA, Kruskall-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's comparison N=4,105 S=39.86 P=9.16659E-5 P75 vs P75 +proNGF rank diff=-47.26 P<0.0001
	P75 +proNGF	15	2	n are neurons	
7b	mut P75	37	2		
	mut P75 +proNGF	34	2		mut P75 vs mut P75 +proNGF rank diff=-29.44 P=0.0003
	P75 Dynasore	17	2	n are neurons	
7e	P75 Dynasore +proNGF	9	2		one-way ANOVA, Kruskall-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's comparison
10	mut P75 Dynasore	38	2		N=4,78 S=4.879 P=0.1808
	mut P75 Dynasore +proNGF	14	2		
	EGFP	19	2		one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni comparison of means Df=8,186 F=19.72178 P<10E-6 EGFP vs EGFP Dyn. P=1 EGFP vs EGFP pro P=1 P75 Dyn. vs EGFP Dyn. P=4.33635E- 5 P75 Dyn. pro vs EGFP Dyn. P=9.02041E-5
	EGFP +proNGF	30	2		
	EGFP Dynasore	17	2		
7f	EGFP Dynasore +proNGF	26	2	n are growth cones	
/1	P75 Dynasore	17	2	in the growth cones	
	P75 Dynasore +proNGF	14	2		mut P75 Dyn. vs EGFP Dyn. P=1.36495E-7
	mut P75 Dynasore	41	2		mut P75 Dyn. pro vs EGFP Dyn. P=2.89682E-7
	mut P75 Dynasore +proNGF	31	2		
7h	Vehicle	12	2	n are neurons	Welch's test t=6.241 df=18.24
/11	Pitstop	19	2		P<0.0001

EGFP Pitstop         21         2         n are growth construction         One-way ANOVA $(r_{1,15})=1.39^{2}$ (PC)0001           77         P75 vehicle         26         2           P75 vehicle         26         2           P75 Pitstop         69         2           S1b         A1-P75         14         3           S1c         107/1071         doxycycline         25           S1d         107/1071         doxycycline         8           S1         107/1071         doxycycline         8           S1         107/1071         doxycycline         8           S3         Abberiord53P         5086         3           S6         mit p75NTR         108422         5         traig from 47 cells           Multigaussian fit adj r <sup>2</sup> -0.97913.         reduced $\chi^{2} = 42.86579.$ NA           S6b         mut p75NTR         764         3         spots from 15 cells         NA           Multigaussian fit adj r <sup>2</sup> -0.97913.         reduced $\chi^{2} = 32.07497.$ ANOVA of regression df=4,12         F=218.69841 P=1.89826F-12           Multigaussian fit adj r <sup>2</sup> -0.97913.         reduced $\chi^{2} = 23.07497.$ ANOVA of regression df=4,12         F=292.07497.           S7         P75NTR		EGFP vehicle	23	2		0 ANOLA E(2.125), 12.07
n         P75 vehicle         26         2           P75 vehicle         26         2           P75 vehicle         26         2           P75 vehicle         26         2           S1b         A1-P75         14         3         n are cells           S6P75         18         3         n are cells         Paired Student's t-test, two-tailed t=-3.923 dH=13 P=0.0017           S1d         [10 <sup>+</sup> 11] doxycycline         8         2         n are cells         Unpraired Student's t-test, two-tailed t=-8.647 dF=2 P=0.0131           S3         Qdot655         4994         1         trings from 10 cells         NA           statestry DNTR         108422         5         trings from 43 cells         NA           statestry histogram         273         spots from 15 cells         NA           wt p75NTR         108422         5         trings from 4 cells         NA           mut p75NTR         108422         3         spots from 15 cells         NA           Multigaussian fit adj r=0.97913, reduced $\chi^2 = 22.86579, regression df=.514         F=28.138763 P=2.009321-11         Multigaussian fit adj r=0.97719, reduced \chi^2 = 23.0549, reduced \chi^2 = $	7i	EGFP Pitstop	21	2		One-way ANOVA F(3,135)=13.97 P<0.0001
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		P75 vehicle	26	2	n are growth cones	EGFP vehicle vs P75 vehicle P=0.9979
S1b         SA-P75         14         3         n are cells         Pared Student's text, wo-tailed te- 3.923 dF=13 P=_0.0017           S1d         [10 <sup>3</sup> ,10 <sup>3</sup> ] doxycycline         25         2         n are cells         3.923 dF=13 P=_0.0017           S1d         [10 <sup>3</sup> ,10 <sup>3</sup> ] doxycycline         8         2         n are cells         1.032167           S3         (20155)         4994         1         trajs from 10 cells         NA           statistics         4.04055         4.0924         1.01116         NA           statistics         108422         5         trajs from 47 cells         NA           statistics         2077.88         4         trajs from 47 cells         NA           statistics         925         3         spots from 15 cells         NA           wt p75NTR         764         3         n are spots from 15 cells         NA           statistics as in Fig. 4b         1         trajs from 5 cells         NA           statistics as in Fig. 4b         1         trajs from 5 cells         NA           statistics as in Fig. 4b         1         trajs from 5 cells         Trajs from 7 cells         statistics as in Fig. 4b           statistics as in Fig. 4b         1         trajs from 13 cells		P75 Pitstop	69	2		EGFP Plistop vs P75 Plistop P<0.0001
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	S1b	A1-P75	14	3	n are cells	Paired Student's t-test, two-tailed t=-
S1d       ID_17[1] doxycycline       2.1       2       n are cells       n are spots from 15 cells       n are spo		50-P/5	18	3		3.923 dF=13 P=0.0017
S3         Qd0655         4994         1         trajs from 10 cells         NA           s3         Abberior635P         5086         3         trajs from 20 cells         NA           s5         mt p75NTR         180491         4         trajs from 32 cells         NA           s5         mt p75NTR         207280         4         trajs from 43 cells         NA           s6a         photobleaching steps         267         3         spots from 15 cells         NA           s6a         intensity histogram         273         3         spots from 15 cells         NA           wt p75NTR         536         3         mare spots from 15 cells         NA           wt p75NTR         536         3         reduced $\chi^2 = 42.0879$ , ANOVA of regression df=5.12           wt p75NTR         764         3         n are spots from 15 cells         Multigaussian fit adj r=0.97319, reduced $\chi^2 = 70.45649$ , ANOVA of regression df=5.14           wt p75NTR         925         3         nare spots from 15 cells         Fe280.86112         Multigaussian fit adj r=0.98707, reduced $\chi^2 = 92.07497$ , ANOVA of regression df=5.14           F75 KO neurons         11063         2         trajs from 7 cells         Frasket (P < 0.0001) followed by Dum's comparison of means (P < 0.001) followed by Dum's comparison of mea	S1d	$[>10^{-2}\cdot1]$ doxycycline	23	$\frac{2}{2}$	n are cells	t=8.647 dF=2 P=0.0131
S3         Abberior635P         5086         3         trajs from 42 cells         NA           statistics as in Fig. 4b         itraj 57NTR         180491         4         trajs from 42 cells         NA           S5         mut p75NTR         108422         5         trajs from 42 cells         NA           S6a         photobleaching steps         267         3         spots from 15 cells         NA           mut p75NTR         536         3         spots from 15 cells         NA           wt p75NTR         536         3         reduced $\chi^2 = 70.97913$ , reduced $\chi^2 = 70.97497$ , ANOVA of regression df=5.14           F=281.38763 P=2.20993E-11         Multigaussian fit adj r2=0.98707, reduced $\chi^2 = 92.07497$ , ANOVA of regression df=5.14           f=75 KO neurons         5544         1         trajs from 5 cells           wt neurons         5544         1         trajs from 5 cells           P75 KO neurons + proNGF         787         1         trajs from 7 cells           P75 KO neurons + proNGF         2         2         4876 neurons           wt neurons + proNGF         2         2         4876 neurons           wt P75 proBDNF         2         2         4876 neurons	~~~	Odot655	4994	1	trais from 10 cells	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	\$3	Abberior635P	5086	3	trajs from 20 cells	NA
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		wt p75NTR	180491	4	trajs from 43 cells	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	S5	mut p75NTR	207280	4	trajs from 47 cells	NA
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		dim p75NTR	108422	5	trajs from 43 cells	
Soa         intensity histogram         273         3         spots from 15 cells         NA           wt p75NTR         536         3         Multigaussian fit adj r <sup>2</sup> =0.97913, reduced $\chi^2 = 42.86579, ANOVA or gression df = 4.12 F=281.38763 P=2.20993E-11         Multigaussian fit adj r2=0.97319, reduced \chi^2 = 70.45649, ANOVA or gression df = 5.14 F=218.69841 P=1.89826E-12           dim p75NTR         764         3         n are spots from 15 cells         Multigaussian fit adj r2=0.97319, reduced \chi^2 = 70.45649, ANOVA or gression df = 5.14 F=218.69841 P=1.89826E-12           dim p75NTR         925         3         NOVA or gression df = 5.14 F=218.69841 P=1.89826E-12           wt neurons         5544         1         trajs from 4 cells         NoVA or gression df = 6.20 F=592.07497, ANOVA or gression df = 6.20 F=592.07497 P<10E-12$	9.6	photobleaching steps	267	3	spots from 15 cells	
$S6b = \frac{1}{mut p75NTR} = \frac{536}{536} = \frac{3}{3}$ $S6b = \frac{1}{mut p75NTR} = \frac{536}{764} = \frac{3}{3}$ $s6b = \frac{1}{mut p75NTR} = \frac{536}{764} = \frac{3}{3}$ $s6b = \frac{1}{mut p75NTR} = \frac{536}{764} = \frac{3}{3}$ $s6b = \frac{1}{mut p75NTR} = \frac{764}{3} = \frac{3}{3}$ $s6b = \frac{1}{10}$ $s7 = \frac{1}{75 NTR} = \frac{5544}{75 NTR} = \frac{1}{925} = \frac{1}{3}$ $s7 = \frac{1}{75 KO} = \frac{5544}{10} = \frac{1}{1063} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{mut runns} + \frac{5544}{10} = \frac{1}{1063} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{mut runns} + \frac{5544}{10} = \frac{1}{1063} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{mut runns} + \frac{1}{1063} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{$	S6a	intensity histogram	273	3	spots from 15 cells	NA
S6b         mut p75NTR         764         3         n are spots from 15 cells         Multigaussian fit adj r <sup>2</sup> =0.97319, reduced $\chi^2$ = 70.45649, ANOVA of regression df=5,14 F=218.69841 P=1.89826E-12           dim p75NTR         925         3         are spots from 15 cells         Multigaussian fit adj r <sup>2</sup> =0.9707, reduced $\chi^2$ = 592.07497, ANOVA of regression df=6,20 F=592.07497, ANOVA of regression df=6,20 F=592.07497 P<10E-12           wt neurons         5544         1         trajs from 4 cells wt neurons + proNGF         Kruskal-Wallis test (P < 0.0001) followed by Dum's comparison of means (P < 0.001 considered as significant)           S7         wt neurons + P75 KO neurons + proNGF         24593         2         trajs from 13 cells         Kruskal-Wallis test (P < 0.0001) followed by Dum's comparison of means (P < 0.001 considered as significant)           S8         statistics as in Fig. 4b         untransfected wt P75 proBDNF         2         2         4921 neurons unt vs wt pro P=0.9122 unt vs wt pro P=0.9122 unt vs wt pro P=0.0020 unt vs wt pro P=0.0020 unt vs wt pro P=0.0020 unt vs wt pro P=0.0002 unt vs wt pro P=0.0025 del vs del pro P=0.0086           S10a         wt         49         2         n are axons P<0.001         Man-Whitney U test, U=2 P=0.0027           S10b         wt         0         2         n are fields         P=0.0021           P75 KO         8         2         n are fields         P=0.0027           Wt		wt p75NTR	536	3		Multigaussian fit adj r <sup>2</sup> =0.97913, reduced $\chi^2$ =42.86579, ANOVA of regression df=4,12 F=281.38763 P=2.20993E-11
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	S6b	mut p75NTR	764	3	n are spots from 15 cells	Multigaussian fit adj r <sup>2</sup> =0.97319, reduced $\chi^2$ = 70.45649, ANOVA of regression df=5,14 F=218.69841 P=1.89826E-12 Multigaussian fit adj r <sup>2</sup> =0.98707, reduced $\chi^2$ = 592.07497, ANOVA of regression df=6,20 F=592.07497 P<10E-12
$S7 = \begin{bmatrix} wt neurons & 5544 & 1 & trajs from 4 cells \\ wt neurons + proNGF & 7887 & 1 & trajs from 5 cells \\ P75 KO neurons & 11063 & 2 & trajs from 7 cells \\ P75 KO neurons + & 24593 & 2 & trajs from 13 cells \\ P75 KO neurons + & 24593 & 2 & trajs from 13 cells \\ proNGF & 24593 & 2 & trajs from 13 cells \\ \end{bmatrix}$ $S8 = statistics as in Fig. 4b$ $wt nutransfected & 2 & 2 & 2961 neurons \\ untransfected_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 4876 neurons \\ wt P75 & 2 & 2 & 4876 neurons \\ wt P75 & 2 & 2 & 4291 neurons \\ wt P75 & 2 & 2 & 4941 neurons \\ wt P75 & 2 & 2 & 4941 neurons \\ mut P75 & 2 & 2 & 4941 neurons \\ mut P75 & 2 & 2 & 4064 neurons \\ mut P75 & 2 & 2 & 4064 neurons \\ mut P75 & 2 & 2 & 4064 neurons \\ mut P75 & 2 & 2 & 4064 neurons \\ mut P75 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m$		dim p75NTR	925	3		
$S7 = \frac{\text{wt neurons + proNGF}}{P75 \text{ KO neurons}} \frac{7887}{11063} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{trajs from 5 cells}}{11063} \frac{11063}{2} \frac{2}{173 \text{ trajs from 7 cells}} \frac{\text{Kruskal-wallis test } (P < 0.001)}{\text{followed by Dunn's comparison of means}} \frac{11063}{P75 \text{ KO neurons + }} \frac{24593}{2} \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{173 \text{ trajs from 13 cells}} \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$		wt neurons	5544	1	trajs from 4 cells	
S7 $P75 \text{ KO neurons}$ $11063$ 2trajs from 7 cells trajs from 13 cellsIolowed by Dum 8 comparison of means (P < 0.001 considered as significant)S8statistics as in Fig. 4bS8untransfected222961 neurons 4876 neuronsone-way ANOVA, Tukey's comparison of meansS9untransfected_proBDNF224876 neurons 4875one-way ANOVA, Tukey's comparison of meansS9untransfected_proBDNF224491 neurons 492one-way ANOVA, Tukey's comparison of meansS9wt P75 mut P75 mut P75 mut P75 DEDNF224064 neurons 4064 neuronsuntr vs unt pro P=0.9122 untr vs wnt P=0.0002 untr vs wnt P=0.0025 del vs del pro P=0.0025 del vs del pro P=0.0086S10awt492 P75 KOn are axons PMann-Whitney U test, U=493 P=0.0001S10bwt62 P75 KOn are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=22 P=0.0027S10cwt102 P75 KOn are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=23 P=0.0433FGFP72 to pn are growth conesone-way ANOVA, Tukey comparison		wt neurons + proNGF	7887	1	trajs from 5 cells	Kruskal–Wallis test ( $P < 0.0001$ )
Means ( $P < 0.001$ considered as significant)P75 KO neurons + proNGF245932trajs from 13 cellssignificant)S8statistics as in Fig. 4bone-way ANOVA, Tukey's comparison of meansS9untransfected untransfected_proBDNF224876 neurons 4876 neuronsone-way ANOVA, Tukey's comparison of meansS9untransfected_proBDNF wt P75_proBDNF224941 neurons 4941 neuronsDf=5,6 F=119.7 P<0.0001 untr vs unt pro P=0.9122 untr vs wt P=0.0002 untr vs wt P=0.0002 untr vs wt P=0.0002 wt vs wt pro P=0.0025 del vs del pro P=0.0086S10awt P75 KO492 P75 KOn are axonsMann-Whitney U test, U=2493 P<0.0001	S7	P75 KO neurons	11063	2	trais from 7 cells	magna (D < 0.001 considered as
S8statistics as in Fig. 4bS9 $untransfected_proBDNF$ 222961 neurons 4876 neuronsone-way ANOVA, Tukey's comparison of means Df=5,6 F=119.7 P<0.0001 untr vs unt pro P=0.9122 untr vs unt pro P=0.9122 untr vs unt pro P=0.0025 del vs del pro P=0.0025 del vs del pro P=0.0086S10awt492 P75 KOn are axons P75 KONann-Whitney U test, U=493 P<0.0001		P75 KO neurons + proNGF	24593	2	trajs from 13 cells	significant)
$S9 = \begin{bmatrix} untransfected & 2 & 2 & 2501 neurons \\ untransfected_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 4876 neurons \\ wt P75 & 2 & 2 & 4291 neurons \\ wt P75_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 4941 neurons \\ mut P75 & 2 & 2 & 3356 neurons \\ mut P75_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 3356 neurons \\ mut P75_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 4064 neurons \\ mut P75_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 4064 neurons \\ mut P75_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 4064 neurons \\ mut P75_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 4064 neurons \\ S10a & wt & 49 & 2 \\ P75 KO & 49 & 2 \\ P75 KO & 49 & 2 \\ P75 KO & 8 & 2 \\ P75 KO & 8 & 2 \\ P75 KO & 8 & 2 \\ P75 KO & 10 & 2 \\ S10c & wt & 10 & 2 \\ F75 KO & 10 & 2 \\ F6FP & 7 & 2 \\ F75 KO & 10 & 2 \\ F6FP & 7 & 2 \\ F6FP & 7 & 2 \\ F6FP & 7 & 2 \\ F75 KO & 500 \\ F75 KO & 10 & 2 \\ F6FP & 7 & 2 \\ F75 KO & 10 & 2 \\ $	<b>S</b> 8	statistics as in Fig. 4b	2	2	2061 nourons	ono way ANOVA Tukay's
$S9 = \begin{bmatrix} untrainstelled_probb DNF & 2 & 2 & 4876 neurons \\ \hline wt P75 & 2 & 2 & 4291 neurons \\ \hline wt P75_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 4941 neurons \\ \hline mut P75 & 2 & 2 & 3356 neurons \\ \hline mut P75_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 3356 neurons \\ \hline mut P75_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 4064 neurons \\ \hline mut P75_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 4064 neurons \\ \hline mut P75_proBDNF & 2 & 2 & 4064 neurons \\ \hline S10a & \hline wt & 49 & 2 \\ \hline P75 KO & 49 & 2 \\ \hline P75 KO & 8 & 2 \\ \hline P75 KO & 8 & 2 \\ \hline S10c & \hline wt & 10 & 2 \\ \hline P75 KO & 10 & 2 \\ \hline P75 KO & 10 & 2 \\ \hline F75 KO & 10 & 2 \\ \hline S10c & \hline wt & 10 & 2 \\ \hline F75 KO & 10 & 2 \\ \hline S10c & \hline S10c & \hline Wt & 10 & 2 \\ \hline S10c & \hline S10c & \hline Wt & 10 & 2 \\ \hline S10c & \hline$		untransfected proPDNE	2	2	2901 lieurons	comparison of mans
S9wt P75_proBDNF224251 neuronsD1=3,61 = 112.11 < 0.0001mut P75224941 neuronsuntr vs untr pro P=0.9122mut P75_proBDNF223356 neuronsuntr vs wt P=0.0002mut P75_proBDNF224064 neuronswt vs wt pro P=0.0025del vs del pro P=0.0086492n are axonsMann-Whitney U test, U=493P75 KO492n are fieldsP<0.0001		wt P75	2	2	4291 paurons	Df=5.6 F=119.7 P<0.0001
S9       wrt $r_{5}$ _probber       2       2       4941 neurons       und vs und pro 1=0.9122         mut P75       2       2       3356 neurons       untr vs wt P=0.0002       untr vs mut P=0.0509         mut P75_proBDNF       2       2       4064 neurons       wt vs wt pro P=0.0025       del vs del pro P=0.0086         S10a       wt       49       2       n are axons       Mann-Whitney U test, U=493       P<0.0001         S10b       wt       6       2       n are fields       Mann-Whitney U test, U=2       P=0.0027         S10c       wt       10       2       n are fields       Mann-Whitney U test, U=23       P=0.0433         S10t       EGFP       7       2       n are growth cones       one-way ANOVA, Tukey comparison		wt P75 proRDNE	2	2	40/1 nourons	untr vs untr pro $P=0.9122$
Indit 175223550 fieldonsand 10 with 0.0002mut P75_proBDNF224064 neuronsuntr vs mut P=0.0509wt924064 neuronswt vs wt pro P=0.0025S10awt492n are axonsMann-Whitney U test, U=493P75 KO492n are fieldsP<0.0001	<b>S</b> 9	mut P75	2	2	3356 nourons	untrys wt $P=0.0002$
mut P75_proBDNF224064 neuronsund 10 mut P00000S10awt492n are axonsMann-Whitney U test, U=493P75 KO492n are fields $P<0.0001$ S10bwt62n are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=2P75 KO82n are fields $P=0.0027$ S10cwt102n are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=23P75 KO102n are fields $P=0.0433$ S10tEGFP72n are growth conesone-way ANOVA, Tukey comparison			2	2	5550 lieurons	untrys mut $P=0.0509$
S10awt492n are axonsMann-Whitney U test, U=493 P<0.0001S10bwt62n are fields $P<0.0001$ S10bwt62n are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=2 P=0.0027S10cwt102n are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=23 P=0.0433S111EGFP72n are growth conesone-way ANOVA, Tukey comparison		mut P75_proBDNF	2	2	4064 neurons	wt vs wt pro P=0.0025 del vs del pro P=0.0086
S10aP75 KO492n are axons $P<0.0001$ S10bwt62n are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=2P75 KO82n are fields $P=0.0027$ S10cwt102n are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=23P75 KO102n are fields $P=0.0433$ EGFP72n are growth conesone-way ANOVA, Tukey comparison	C10-	wt	49	2		Mann-Whitney U test, U=493
S10bwt62n are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=2P75 KO82n are fields $P=0.0027$ S10cwt102n are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=23P75 KO102n are fields $P=0.0433$ EGFP72n are growth conesone-way ANOVA, Tukey comparison	S10a	Р75 КО	49	2	n are axons	P<0.0001
S10bP75 KO82n are fields $P=0.0027$ S10cwt102n are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=23P75 KO102n are fields $P=0.0433$ EGFP72n are growth conesone-way ANOVA, Tukey comparison	<b>010</b>	wt	6	2	C* 1.1	Mann-Whitney U test, U=2
S10cwt102n are fieldsMann-Whitney U test, U=23P75 KO102n are fieldsP=0.0433EGFP72n are growth conesone-way ANOVA. Tukey comparison	S10b	P75 KO	8	2	n are fields	P=0.0027
S10c     P75 KO     10     2     n are fields     P=0.0433       EGFP     7     2     n are growth cones     one-way ANOVA, Tukey comparison		wt	10	2	<i>a</i>	Mann-Whitney U test. U=23
EGFP     7     2     n are growth cones     one-way ANOVA, Tukey comparison	S10c	P75 KO	10	2	n are fields	P=0.0433
n are growth cones one-way ANOVA. Tukey comparison		EGFP	7	2		
I NIT FUTP DIONGE I IN I 7 I BOUNDARY I IN I IN I STATE	S11	EGEP proNGE	18	2	n are growth cones	one-way ANOVA, Tukey comparison
EGFP Dynamin wt         10         2 $F(7,246)=12.35 \text{ P}<0.0001$	511	EGFP Dynamin wt	14	2	1	of means F(7,246)=12.35 P<0.0001

	EGFP Dynamin K44A	19	2		EGFP proNGF vs p75 proNGF P=
P75 P75 proNGF		45	2		0.0002
		32	2		EGFP Dyn K44A vs P75 Dyn K44A
	P75 Dynamin wt	29	2		P=0.0003
	P75 Dynamin K44A	90	2	-	P75 vs P75 proNGF P<0.0001
	,				P/5 Dyn wt vs P/5 Dyn K44A
	0.05.1	120	2		P<0.0001
610		120	2	-	NT A
512	1 doxy	128	2	n are neurons	NA
	constitutive	117	2		
	Wt 0	40	2	-	one-way ANOVA, F(7,566)=10.23
	Wt 0 proNGF	57	2	-	P<0.0001 Tukey comparison of means
	Wt 0.05	56	2	-	wt 0 proNGF vs wt 0.05 proNGF
	Wt 0.05 proNGF	60	2		P=0.041
	Wt 1	110	2		wt 0.05 vs wt 0.05 proNGF P=0.4042
	Wt 1 proNGF	104	2		wt 1 vs wt 1 proNGF P<0.0001
	Wt const	61	2		wt con vs wt con proNGF P<0.0001
S12a	Wt const proNGF	86	2	n and anowith compa	
5158	Mut 0	28	2	If are growin cones	one-way ANOVA, F(7,913)=7,931
	Mut 0 proNGF	47	2		P<0.0001 Tukey comparison of means
	Mut 0.05	54	2		mut 0 proNGF vs mut 0.05 proNGF
	Mut 0.05 proNGF	47	2		P=0.007
	Mut 1	68	2		mut 0.05 vs mut 0.05 proNGF P=0.322
	Mut 1 proNGF	76	2		mut 1 vs mut 1 proNGF P<0.0001
	Mut const	50	2		mut con vs mut con proNGF P=0.0001
	Mut const proNGF	46	2		
S13b	S6-P75	37	2	n are growth cones	y=a*x+b a=26529±4710, b=98.57±579 r <sup>2</sup> =0.4755 F(1,35)=31.73 P<0.0001

NA: not applicable