

Supplemental Movie 1: Visualization of Propagating Activation. Static activation thresholds are calculated for each pixel, shown as the surface in red. For each pixel, when the fluorescence intensity exceeds the activation threshold, it is assigned a different color, indicating its activation time. Thus, each individual color is an isochrone. The z -value for each activated pixel indicates fluorescence (a.u.). x - and y -axes indicate pixel number, micron to pixel ratio is 26 $\mu\text{m}/\text{px}$.

Supplemental Table 1: Cell Density

All cell density data were normally distributed as determined by Lilliefors' test. Significant values are bold and starred. Values with $p > 0.1$ are written as NS (Not Significant).

Comparison	Statistical Test	p -value
<i>Subset: Isotropic Tissues</i>	One-way ANOVA	<0.09
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	<0.10
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	<0.04*
<i>Subset: Aligned Tissues</i>	One-way ANOVA	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
<i>Subset: Stiff PDMS, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	NS
<i>Subset: Soft PDMS, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	<0.02*
<i>Subset: Gelatin, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	NS

Supplemental Table 2: Cell Alignment

All cell alignment data were normally distributed as determined by Lilliefors' test. Significant values are bold and starred. Values with $p > 0.1$ are written as NS (Not Significant).

Comparison	Statistical Test	p -value
<i>Subset: Isotropic Tissues</i>	One-way ANOVA	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
<i>Subset: Aligned Tissues</i>	One-way ANOVA	<0.0003
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	<0.0004*
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	<0.0003*
<i>Subset: Stiff PDMS, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	<0.0001*
<i>Subset: Soft PDMS, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	<0.0001*
<i>Subset: Gelatin, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	<0.0001*

Supplemental Table 3: Cell Length

All cell length data were normally distributed as determined by Lilliefors' test. Significant values are bold and starred. Values with $p > 0.1$ are written as NS (Not Significant).

Comparison	Statistical Test	<i>p</i> -value
<i>Subset: Isotropic Tissues</i>	One-way ANOVA	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	<0.07
<i>Subset: Aligned Tissues</i>	One-way ANOVA	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	<0.08
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
<i>Subset: Stiff PDMS, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	< 0.0001 *
<i>Subset: Soft PDMS, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	< 0.0003 *
<i>Subset: Gelatin, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	< 0.0002 *

Supplemental Table 4: Cell Width

All cell width data were normally distributed as determined by Lilliefors' test. Significant values are bold and starred. Values with $p > 0.1$ are written as NS (Not Significant).

Comparison	Statistical Test	<i>p</i> -value
<i>Subset: Isotropic Tissues</i>	One-way ANOVA	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
<i>Subset: Aligned Tissues</i>	One-way ANOVA	<0.03
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	< 0.04 *
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	< 0.02 *
<i>Subset: Stiff PDMS, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	< 0.0001 *
<i>Subset: Soft PDMS, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	< 0.0001 *
<i>Subset: Gelatin, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	< 0.0001 *

Supplemental Table 5: Cell Aspect Ratio

Cell aspect ratio data were not normally distributed as determined by Lilliefors' test. Significant values are bold and starred. Values with $p > 0.1$ are written as NS (Not Significant).

Comparison	Statistical Test	p-value
<i>Subset: Isotropic Tissues</i>	Kruskal-Wallis	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	<0.05*
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
<i>Subset: Aligned Tissues</i>	Kruskal-Wallis	<0.09
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	<0.08
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	<0.05*
<i>Subset: Stiff PDMS, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	<0.0001*
<i>Subset: Soft PDMS, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	<0.0001*
<i>Subset: Gelatin, Isotropic vs Aligned</i>	Student's t-test	<0.0001*

Supplemental Table 6: Full FOV vs Uniaxial Conduction Velocity

Conduction velocity data comparing FOV choice were not normally distributed as determined by Lilliefors' test. Significant values are bold and starred. Values with $p > 0.1$ are written as NS (Not Significant).

Comparison	Statistical Test	p-value
<i>Subset: Stiff PDMS, Isotropic</i>	Student's t-test	NS
<i>Subset: Stiff PDMS, Longitudinal</i>	Student's t-test	<0.04*
<i>Subset: Stiff PDMS, Transverse</i>	Student's t-test	NS
<i>Subset: Soft PDMS, Isotropic</i>	Student's t-test	NS
<i>Subset: Soft PDMS, Longitudinal</i>	Student's t-test	<0.09
<i>Subset: Soft PDMS, Transverse</i>	Student's t-test	NS
<i>Subset: Gelatin, Isotropic</i>	Student's t-test	NS
<i>Subset: Gelatin, Longitudinal</i>	Student's t-test	NS
<i>Subset: Gelatin, Transverse</i>	Student's t-test	NS

Supplemental Table 7: Uniaxial Conduction Velocity

Uniaxial conduction velocity data were not normally distributed as determined by Lilliefors' test. Significant values are bold and starred. Values with $p > 0.1$ are written as NS (Not Significant).

Comparison	Statistical Test	p-value
<i>Subset: Isotropic Tissues</i>	Kruskal-Wallis	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
<i>Subset: Aligned Tissues, Longitudinal</i>	Kruskal-Wallis	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	NS
<i>Subset: Aligned Tissues, Transverse</i>	Kruskal-Wallis	<0.009
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	NS
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	<0.04*
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	<0.003*
<i>Subset: Stiff PDMS</i>	Kruskal-Wallis	<0.002
Isotropic vs Longitudinal	Fisher's LSD	NS
Isotropic vs Transverse	Fisher's LSD	<0.003*
Longitudinal vs Transverse	Fisher's LSD	<0.0005*
<i>Subset: Soft PDMS</i>	Kruskal-Wallis	<0.007
Isotropic vs Longitudinal	Fisher's LSD	NS
Isotropic vs Transverse	Fisher's LSD	<0.02*
Longitudinal vs Transverse	Fisher's LSD	<0.003*
<i>Subset: Gelatin</i>	Kruskal-Wallis	<0.0006
Isotropic vs Longitudinal	Fisher's LSD	NS
Isotropic vs Transverse	Fisher's LSD	<0.002*
Longitudinal vs Transverse	Fisher's LSD	<0.0003*

Supplemental Table 8: Uniaxial Conduction Velocity Anisotropy Ratio

All anisotropy ratio data were normally distributed as determined by Lilliefors' test. Significant values are bold and starred. Values with $p > 0.1$ are written as NS (Not Significant).

Comparison	Statistical Test	p-value
<i>Subset: Aligned Tissues</i>	One-way ANOVA	<0.002
Stiff PDMS vs Soft PDMS	Fisher's LSD	<0.03*
Stiff PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	<0.07
Soft PDMS vs Gelatin	Fisher's LSD	<0.0003*