

**Supplementary Table 1a. Quantitative input strengths of Camk2a-Cre SUB excitatory neurons versus CA1-projecting SUB excitatory neurons**

Camk2a-Cre excitatory neurons	CA1 S.P.	CA1 S.O.	CA1 S.R.	CA1 S.L.M.	PrS	RSG	Vis Ctx	Au Ctx	TeA	PRh/Ect	LEn t	mEn t	ACC	MS-DB	Thalamus
<b>Average CSI</b>	47.72	0.90	0.14	0.17	1.16	0.46	0.74	0.51	0.38	0.39	0.53	0.46	0.09	0.75	0.30
SE	2.69	0.22	0.02	0.05	0.13	0.19	0.22	0.29	0.15	0.08	0.20	0.11	0.03	0.15	0.08
<b>Average %</b>	86.71	1.58	0.26	0.31	2.16	0.81	1.32	0.88	0.68	0.71	0.92	0.85	0.16	1.42	0.54
SE	1.56	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.35	0.30	0.35	0.46	0.26	0.12	0.30	0.22	0.06	21.34	0.15
<b># of starter</b>	50 ± 8	<b>Total labeled neurons</b>				2681 ± 372				<b>N=5</b>					
CA1-projecting SUB neurons	CA1 S.P.	CA1 S.O.	CA1 S.R.	CA1 S.L.M.	PrS	RSG	Vis Ctx	Au Ctx	TeA	PRh/Ect	LEn t	mEn t	ACC	MS-DB	Thalamus
<b>Average CSI</b>	14.90	4.00	0.06	0.08	0.54	0.47	3.27	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.06
SE	1.25	0.44	0.06	0.08	0.15	0.26	0.55	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.06
<b>Average %</b>	60.28	16.19	0.21	0.41	2.20	2.01	13.18	2.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.99	0.21
SE	4.41	1.58	0.21	0.41	0.67	1.20	2.08	1.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.21
<b># of starter</b>	3.2 ± 0.6	<b>Total labeled neurons</b>				77 ± 13				<b>N=6</b>					

Note: The input connection strength index (CSI) is defined as the ratio of the number of presynaptic neurons in a given brain structure versus the number of starter neurons. % is the percentage of the total input, which is defined as the number of labeled neurons in a given brain structure compared to the total number of labeled neurons. The local SUB input quantification is excluded. All data are presented as mean ± SE

**Supplementary Table 1b. Statistic comparisons between input measurements of Camk2a-Cre SUB excitatory neuron and CA1-projecting SUB excitatory neuron**

CSI	Comparisons of CSI, related to Figure2	Statistic Method	Significance	P value
CA1 s.p.		t-test	***	9.13 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>
CA1 s.o.		t-test	***	0.0002
CA1 s.r.		t-test	ns	0.1873
CA1 s.l.m		t-test	ns	0.4085
PrS		t-test	*	0.0156
RSG		t-test	ns	0.9823
Visual Ctx		t-test	**	0.0032
Auditory Ctx		t-test	ns	0.6044
TeA		t-test	*	0.0239
PRh/Ect		t-test	***	0.0004
LEnt		t-test	*	0.0167
mEnt		t-test	**	0.0013
ACC		t-test	*	0.0175
MS-DB		t-test	ns	0.3070
AV Thalamus		t-test	*	0.0306
%	Comparisons of % of total input	Statistic Method	Significance	P value
CA1 s.p.		t-test	***	0.0006
CA1 s.o.		t-test	***	1.75 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>
CA1 s.r.		t-test	ns	0.8087
CA1 s.l.m		t-test	ns	0.8440
PrS		t-test	ns	0.9580
RSG		t-test	ns	0.4002
Visual Ctx		t-test	***	0.0006
Auditory Ctx		t-test	ns	0.1877
TeA		t-test	*	0.0186
PRh/Ect		t-test	***	0.0001
LEnt		t-test	**	0.0083
mEnt		t-test	**	0.0018
ACC		t-test	*	0.0240
MS-DB		t-test	ns	0.4935
AV Thalamus		t-test	ns	0.2329

**Supplementary Table 2a. Data for object-location and novel-object experiments with DREADDs-mediated inactivation of CA1-projecting subiculum excitatory neurons**

Object-location	Training (10 min)		Testing (5min)	
	Saline	CNO	Saline	CNO
Total Time (s)	20.83	20.67	8.24	7.40
SE	1.03	0.99	0.72	0.49
Discrimination Index (%)	0.51	-0.88	22.31	4.60
SE	2.24	1.04	3.16	2.82

  

Novel-object	Training (10 min)		Testing (5min)	
	Saline	CNO	Saline	CNO
Total Time (s)	21.27	21.69	7.92	9.70
SE	1.16	1.72	1.05	0.75
Discrimination Index (%)	-0.91	1.02	24.67	21.72
SE	2.24	0.72	5.22	6.30

**Supplementary Table 2b. Training and Testing data for object-location memory enhancement experiments with activation of CA1-projecting subiculum neurons via optogenetic stimulation**

Object-location	Training (3 min)			Testing (5 min)		
	Laser + EGFP ctrl	No laser ctrl	Laser + ChR2	Laser + EGFP ctrl	No laser ctrl	Laser + ChR2
Total Time (s)	4.18	4.19	3.78	5.99	6.14	7.09
SE	0.46	0.42	0.25	0.47	0.75	0.72
Discrimination Index (%)	0.70	1.82	0.15	3.43	2.10	25.25
SE	3.25	2.02	1.95	3.10	4.54	4.69

**Supplementary Table 3. Behavioral data of object-location memory experiments concurrent with miniscope imaging**

Object-location tasks with miniscope imaging	Training (10 min)		Testing (10min)	
	Saline	CNO	Saline	CNO
Total Time (s)	8.76	9.06	8.70	9.18
SE	1.08	0.86	0.85	0.80
Discrimination Index (%)	-1.32	0.44	21.25	1.86
SE	1.51	1.28	2.58	2.37

**Supplementary Table 4. Mouse strains and viral injections for the experiments**

Mouse strain	Virus Injection	Target brain region	Experiments performed
Camk2a-Cre; TVA	AAV8-EF1a-FLEX-HB EnvA-SADΔG-mCherry	Subiculum (AP: -3.40 mm)*	Rabies tracing of inputs to subiculum excitatory neurons (n = 5)
Ai9 (RCL-tdTomato)	CAV2-Cre	CA1 (AP: -1.94 mm)*	Verification of the efficiency and specificity of CAV2-Cre (n = 4)
C57BL/6	CAV2-Cre	CA1	Rabies tracing of circuit inputs to CA1-projecting subiculum excitatory neurons (n = 6)
	AAV8-EF1a-FLEX-HTB EnvA-SADΔG-mCherry	Subiculum	
C57BL/6	CAV2-Cre H129DTK-TT (HSV)	CA1 Subiculum	Anterograde HSV tracing of output projections of CA1-projecting subiculum neurons (n = 5)
C57BL/6	AAV2/1-CAG-ChR2-Venus	Subiculum	ChR2 photoactivation mediated circuit mapping (n = 5)
C57BL/6	CAV2-Cre AAV2-DIO-hM4D-mCherry	CA1 Subiculum	Inactivation of CA1-projecting subiculum neurons during object relocation (n = 21) and novel object recognition (n = 10) tasks, dry-land maze experiments (n = 10)
Camk2a-Cre	AAV2/1-CAG-ChR2-Venus / AAV2-DIO-hM4D-mCherry	Subiculum	In vitro validation of optogenetic stimulation (n = 4) or hM4D-CNO mediated neuronal inhibition (n = 4)
Ai32 (RCL-ChR2(H134R)/EYFP)	rAAV2-retro-hSyn-Cre	CA1	Optogenetic activation of CA1-projecting subiculum neurons during object location memory training (n = 18)
C57BL/6	rAAV2-retro-hSyn-EGFP	CA1	GFP control for optogenetic activation during object location memory experiment (n = 8)
C57BL/6	CAV2-Cre	CA1	Miniscope-based GCaMP6 imaging of CA1 place cells and genetic inactivation of CA1-projecting subiculum neurons. Linear track (n = 9), open field (n = 8), object location memory (n = 21)
	AAV1-CamkII-GCaMP6f	CA1 (AP: -2.04 mm)	
	AAV2-DIO-hM4D-mCherry	Subiculum	

\* Standard anterior-posterior values relative to the bregma (see the Methods) are used for injections in CA1 and the subiculum, except otherwise specified. For all the experiments, mice at 8-12 weeks old (either sex) were used for surgeries and had free access

to food and water in their home-cages before and after surgeries. Please refer to the main text and online methods for more detailed information.