Supplementary Information

Supplementary tables

Table S1. Exome sequencing capture statistics

Table S2. Recessive protein-altering variants (minor allele frequency < 1%) identified in probands

*ND, not done. **CADD model: GRCh37-v1.4; PHRED scores are listed. ****ZNF142* variants were absent from our in-house dataset of 10,000 exomes, which includes >300 of Czech and Slovakian descent, and >500 individuals of Turkish origin.

*ND, not done. **CADD model: GRCh37-v1.4; PHRED scores are listed. VUS, variant of uncertain significance.

Table S4. C₂H₂-type zinc finger proteins with clinical synopses. The 720 genes in the C₂H₂ family were cross-referenced with OMIM clinical synopses and classified as involved in neurodevelopmental processes (NDD) or other processes (OT). The NDDM designation was assigned to genes with a 'neurologic' and/or 'muscle, soft tissue' clinical synopsis, and also includes 'head and neck' clinical synopses only when they comprise macrocephaly or microcephaly.

Zinc finger protein subgroups

- Zinc fingers C₂H₂-type **Ring finger proteins** West Times Times Times Times Times Times **Zinc fingers AN1-type**
-
- Nuclear hormone receptors CCCH-type Zinc fingers DBF-type
-
-
-
- Zinc fingers RANBP2-type Zinc fingers SWIM-type Zinc fingers ZZ-type
- Zinc fingers PARP-type Zinc fingers CW-type Zinc fingers CRF-type
-
- LIM domain containing **Example 2** Zinc fingers C₂H₂C-type
-
- **Zinc fingers BED-type THAP domain containing The Set of the C2HC-type C₂HC-type**
	-
	-
- Zinc fingers HIT-type
■ Zinc fingers MIZ-type
■ Zinc fingers MIZ-type
- **Zinc fingers MYM-type The Cina Construction Constraint PHD** finger proteins
	-
	-
	-
	-
-
-
-
- Zinc fingers DHHC-type Zinc fingers FYVE-type GATA zinc finger domain containing
	-
	-
	-
	-
- Zinc fingers CXXC-type
■ Zinc fingers CXXC-type Zinc fingers 3CxxC-type
■ ZF class homeoboxes and pseudogenes

Figure S1

Fig. S1: Zinc finger protein (ZNF/zfps) subgroups in human. ZNF/zfps represent a large class of proteins with 1593 genes described in the HUGO Gene Nomenclature committee (HGNC) database (https://www.genenames.org/). The ZNF/zfp family subdivides into 29 subgroups based on folded protein structures. ZNF142 belongs to the largest subgroup, whose 720 members have a C₂H₂ domain. Pie chart shows the size comparison of the various ZNF/zfp subfamilies represented in the HGNC database, with ZNF142 denoted within the C₂H₂ subfamily.

Fig. S2: Extended pedigree of Family C indicating a recessive pattern of inheritance. Family C has two consanguineous loops represented by double horizontal lines. The unaffected individuals are indicated by unfilled circles (females) and squares (males) whereas the affected individuals are represented by black filled circles. The blue arrows indicate individuals subjected to ES. Genotypes are labeled under each individual (WT/WT for wild type, M4/WT for heterozygous and M4/M4 for homozygous). M4; c. 4183delC+4185G>A; p. Leu1395*.

Fig. S3: Missense *ZNF142* **variants in family D are highly conserved.**

(a) Schematic representation of ZNF142 protein; gray colored rectangles represent predicted C2H2-type domains. Missense variants are indicated with salmon-colored lollipops. (b) Multiple sequence alignment of regions surrounding the two missense variants in ZNF142 generated with Clustal Omega*.* Amino acid color scheme: Red- hydrophobic or aromatic (AVFPMILWY); blue- acidic (DE); magenta- basic (RHK); green- hydroxyl, amine, basic and glutamine (STYHCNGQ). Consensus symbols, an asterisk (*) indicates positions which have a single, fully conserved residue; a colon (:) indicates conservation between groups of strongly similar properties scoring > 0.5 in the Gonnet PAM 250 matrix.

Supplementary Methods

ES and variant annotation

Families A and B. We performed quartet-based ES (Family A, Fig. 1a); or ES of the simplex case (Family B, Fig. 1b). We constructed exome-enriched indexed libraries with the SureSelect All Exon system (Agilent Technologies) per manufacturer`s recommendations. Paired-end (101 base pair [bp]) sequencing was carried out on either a HiSeq4000 (family A) or HiSeq2500 (family B) platform (Illumina). We processed raw data with an in-house bioinformatics pipeline with established methods^{1,2}. In brief, read-mapping to the reference genome (hg19) was done with the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) tool³ or GATK. Single-nucleotide variants and small insertions and deletions were called with SAMtools and PINDEL and we mandated that variants satisfied the criteria of a Phred-scaled genotype quality ≥30 and a read depth ≥10. ExomeDepth was used to query exomes for copy-number variants. Aligned sequencing reads were inspected with the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV, Broad Institute).

Family C. We performed ES on DNA obtained from peripheral blood of two affected females (C-IV-3 and C-IV-7), their healthy mother (C-III-1), two healthy siblings (C-IV-1, C-IV-4), and a healthy cousin (C-IV-11; Fig. 1c; Fig. S2). We performed library capture with the Nimblegen Exome capture kit and generated 150 bp paired-end reads on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 instrument as described.⁴ Sequencing reads were processed initially with the TrimGalore toolkit, to remove any Illumina adapter sequences or low-quality base calls from the 3' end of the reads. Reads were then aligned to the human genome (hg19) with BWA³. Alignment processing and variant calls were performed using GATK^{5,6}, following the Broad Institute's Best Practices workflow⁷. Variants were then annotated with the Variant Effect Predictor⁸ toolkit to identify the potential functional impact of each variant according to the human transcriptome (GRCh37r75)⁸. We confirmed filtered variants visually using IGV.

Family D. Exome sequencing was performed on three individuals from Family D (D-I-1, D-I-2, D-II-2; Fig. 1d). Genomic DNA was sonicated to approximately 200 bp fragments and adaptorligated to make a library for paired-end sequencing. Following amplification and barcoding, the libraries were hybridized to biotinylated complementary RNA oligonucleotide baits from the SureSelect XT Human All Exon +UTR v5 75Mb Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and purified using streptavidin-bound magnetic beads. Amplification was performed prior to sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 system (San Diego, CA). Exome reads were aligned with Novoalign (v3.02.00) and genome reads with bwa mem (v0.7.15) to the human reference genome assembly (hg19 dbSNP132-masked, UCSC Genome Browser). PCR duplicates were removed using Mark Duplicates from Picard (http://picard.sourceforge.net). SamTools and PicardTools were used to further process the SAM/BAM files. Variant calling was performed with GATK Unified Genotyper and variant annotation using ANNOVAR.

Variant filtering

We filtered data to retain functional variants exclusive to affected individuals (predicted to alter mRNA splicing or amino acid sequence) with a minor allele frequency (MAF) of ≤1% in public SNP databases (dbSNP142, 1000 Genomes, Exome Aggregation Consortium [ExAC], Genome aggregation database [gnomAD]) that segregated with disease in the pedigree. We also considered phenotype assessment, pathogenic variant database searches (ClinVar, Human Gene Mutation Database [HGMD], and the DatabasE of genomiC varIation and Phenotype in Humans using Ensembl Resources [DECIPHER]), and American College of Medical Genetics categorization⁹ as described¹. We used SAMtools varFilter, custom scripts and our in-house database of controls (Munich, n=10,000 exomes; families A and B); Enlis Genome Research software (Enlis Genomics; family C); and SamTools and PicardTools (family D).

References

- 1. Zech M, Boesch S, Jochim A, et al. Clinical exome sequencing in early-onset generalized dystonia and large-scale resequencing follow-up. *Mov Disord.* 2017;32(4):549-559.
- 2. Zech M, Boesch S, Maier EM, et al. Haploinsufficiency of KMT2B, Encoding the Lysine-Specific Histone Methyltransferase 2B, Results in Early-Onset Generalized Dystonia. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2016;99(6):1377-1387.
- 3. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics.* 2009;25(14):1754-1760.
- 4. Villanueva A, Willer JR, Bryois J, Dermitzakis ET, Katsanis N, Davis EE. Whole exome sequencing of a dominant retinitis pigmentosa family identifies a novel deletion in PRPF31. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2014;55(4):2121-2129.
- 5. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* 2010;20(9):1297-1303.
- 6. DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, et al. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat Genet.* 2011;43(5):491- 498.
- 7. Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, et al. From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics.* 2013;43:11 10 11-33.
- 8. Cunningham F, Amode MR, Barrell D, et al. Ensembl 2015. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015;43(Database issue):D662-669.
- 9. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med.* 2015;17(5):405-424.