Estimating national-level exposure to antineoplastic agents in the workplace: CAREX Canada findings and future research needs Hall AL¹, Demers PA², Astrakianakis G¹, Ge CB³, Peters CP⁴

1. School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada 2. Occupational Cancer Research Centre, Cancer Care Ontario, Toronto, Canada 3. Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands 4. Department of Health Sciences, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1: Information sources, methods, and exclusions for CAREX Canada exposure prevalence estimates (antineoplastic agents)

	STEP 1		STEP 2		STEP 3			
Occupation / Location	Baseline Population Description	CAREX Baseline Population	CAREX Exposure Prevalence Rationale	CAREX Exposure Prevalence	Baseline Population x Exposure Prevalence	CAREX Numbers Exposed ¹	Information Source, Sex Breakdowns	Exclusions ²
PHARMACISTS IN COMMUNITY	All community pharmacists in Canada (sum of registered pharmacists in each province/ territory) (A,B)	23,700	61% (1) and 63.7% (2) of responding pharmacies report weekly dispensation of 1 or more chemotherapy prescriptions	60%	23,700x60%	14,200	L	Assisting occupations in pharmacy settings (assistants, student interns, administrative staff)
PHARMACY TECHNICIANS IN COMMUNITY	All pharmacy technicians in Canada (extrapolation based on reported staffing ratios: 1.12 pharmacy technicians per 1 pharmacist) (D)	26,000		60%	26,000x60%	16,000	D	
PHARMACISTS IN HOSPITALS	All pharmacists employed in hospitals (A,B)	6,800	89% of hospital respondents report preparation of IV cytotoxic drugs in their pharmacy department (3)	85%	6,800x0.85%	5,800	L	
PHARMACY TECHNICIANS IN HOSPITALS	All pharmacy technicians employed in hospitals (extrapolation based on reported staffing ratios: 1.20 pharmacy technicians per 1 pharmacist) (C,D)	8,100	8,100 Brianacy department (3) Surface contamination is likely common in pharmacies preparing antineoplastic agents (4)	85%	8,100×0.85%	6,900	D	
REGISTERED NURSES (RNs) AND LICENSED PRACTICAL NURSES (LPNs) IN HOSPITALS	All direct care nurses (E,F) in: Oncology	7,000	Estimates proportion of nurses in each clinical area with potential exposure to antineoplastic agents (5,6) : Oncology: 75.6%	75%	7,000×75%	5,300	Nurs and whei E,F ager infre emei roon	
	Ambulatory	10,300	Ambulatory: 27.3%	27%	10,300x27%	2,800		Nurse practitioners and nurses in areas where antineoplastic agents may be used infrequently, e.g., emergency, operating room
	Medical/Surgical	59,000	Medical/Surgical: 18.3%	18%	59,000x18%	10,600		
	Pediatric	7,200	Pediatrics: 5.8%	6%	7,200×6%	500		
REGISTERED NURSES (RNs) AND LICENSED PRACTICAL NURSES (LPNs) IN GERIATRIC / LONG TERM CARE	All direct care nurses (E,F) in: Geriatric/Long-Term Care	65,000	1.8% of individuals in hospital continuing care and <1% in residential care receive chemotherapy (7)	1%	65,000x1%	700		
VETERINARIANS IN COMMUNITY	All veterinarians employed in small animal practice (G) ³	6,900	Antineoplastic agents most commonly used to treat companion animals (8) Surface contamination has been detected in both the US (9) and The Netherlands (10) Prevalence of antineoplastic agent use in veterinary practice ranges from 46% (11,12) up to 70.8% (13)	40%	6,900×40%	2,800	G	Assisting occupations in veterinary settings (assistants, student interns, administrative staff)
	All veterinarians employed in mixed (small and large) animal practice (G) ³	1,000		20%	1000x20%	200		
VETERINARY TECHNICIANS IN COMMUNITY	All veterinary technicians employed in small animal practice: extrapolated from veterinarian numbers (H,I)	5,500		40%	5,500x40%	2,200		
	All veterinary technicians employed in mixed (small and large) animal practice: extrapolated from veterinarian numbers (H,I)	800		20%	800x20%	200		

	STEP 1		STEP 2		STEP 3		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Occupation / Location	Baseline Population Description	CAREX Baseline Population	CAREX Exposure Prevalence Rationale	CAREX Exposure Prevalence	Baseline Population x Exposure Prevalence	CAREX Numbers Exposed ¹	Information Source, Sex Breakdowns	Exclusions ²
CLEANING WORKERS IN HOSPITALS	All cleaning workers (NOC-S G931) in hospitals (NAICS 6220) and in nursing and residential care facilities (NAICS 6230) (J)	19,600	~20% of cleaning workers employed by hospitals in the province of British Columbia have physical contact with inpatient or	15%	19,600x15%	2,900		
CLEANING WORKERS		14,800	Surface contamination with antineoplastic agents is likely common in such areas (4)	1%	14,800x0.01	100	J	Subcontracted cleaners
HOME CARE WORKERS (HOME SUPPORT WORKERS AND NURSE AIDES) IN COMMUNITY	All nurse aides (NOC-S D312) in home health services (NAICS 6216) (J)	3,600	Support workers and nurse aides mostly assist clients with basic activities; licensed nurses (RNs and LPNs) most frequently report administering medications (15)5%3,600x5%200Antineoplastic agent contamination has5%26,300x5%1,300	5%	3,600x5%	200	м	
	All home support workers (NOC-S G811) in home health services (NAICS 6216) (J)	26,300		м	Case managers, respiratory therapists, dieticians, physicians,			
HOME CARE WORKERS (REGISTERED NURSES (RNS) AND LICENSED PRACTICAL NURSES (LPNS)) IN COMMUNITY	All direct care nurses in home care (E,F)	14,200	receiving chemotherapy treatment (10,16,17) 10% of home care clients are living with cancer; 1% or fewer receive chemotherapy treatment at a given time (18)	5%	14,200x5%	800	E,F	other para/professional groups
LAUNDRY WORKERS IN HOSPITALS	All laundry workers (NOC-S code G981) employed in hospitals (NAICS 6220) (J)	2,700	Antineoplastic agents are handled in most Canadian hospital facilities (3) Approximately 25-35% of laundry workers are in soiled laundry sorting areas (19) Exposure may occur via handling of contaminated linens (20)	20%	2,700x20%	500	L	N/A
LAUNDRY WORKERS IN 1) GERIATRIC / LONG TERM CARE 2) SUB-CONTRACTOR FACILITIES	All laundry workers (NOC-S G981) employed in nursing and residential care facilities (NAICS 6230) and in private laundry services (NAICS 8123) (J)	13,400	1.8% of individuals in hospital continuing care and <1% in residential care receive chemotherapy (7) Linen contamination has been detected in private laundry facilities (17,21)	2%	13,400x2%	300		
PHYSICIAN SPECIALISTS IN HOSPITALS	All medical oncologists, gynecologic oncologists (K)	500	Exposure prevalence of physicians specializing in medical or gynaecologic oncology reflects proportion used for oncology nurses	75%	500x75%	400	к	Other medical doctors who may contact antineoplastic agents (patients or contaminated surfaces) through the course of their work
	Other relevant specialists (haematologists and paediatric haematologists) (K)	500	Lower exposure prevalence of 25% used to address uncertainty in location of practice (e.g., oncology vs. non-oncology haematology)	25%	500x25%	100		

NAICS = North American Industrial Classification System, 2002, **NOC-S** = National Occupation Classification System, 2006

¹Where occupations are grouped, numbers may not reflect proportion applied ²Occupations excluded due to insufficient data

³Individual provincial/territorial veterinary associations (n=12) were also consulted on a case-by-case basis

REFERENCES (Baseline Populations and Sex Breakdowns)

A: Canadian Institute for Health Information. (2015). Pharmacists in Canada, 2014. Ottawa, Canada.

B: Ordre des pharmaciens du Québec. (2015). Rapport Annuel, 2014-2015. Montréal, Canada.

C: Hospital Pharmacy in Canada Editorial Board. (2015). Hospital Pharmacy in Canada 2013/2014 Report. Canada.

D: Canadian Pharmacists Association. (2007). Pharmacy Human Resources for the Future. The Pharmacy Technician Workforce in Canada: Roles, Demographics and Attitudes. Ottawa, Canada

E: Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI). (2015). Regulated Nurses, 2014. Ottawa, Canada.

F: College of Nurses of Ontario. (2014). Nursing Employment Positions Report. Toronto, Canada.

G: Canadian Veterinary Medical Association. (2013). Online Veterinary Statistics. Ottawa, Canada.

H: Poole A, Shane S, Kearney M, Rehn W. (1998). Survey of occupational hazards in companion animal practices. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 212(9):1386-88. L: Canadian Association of Animal Health Technologists and Technicians. (2004). Canadian Association of Animal Health Technologists/Technicians Survey 2002-2003. Kemptville, Canada.

J: Statistics Canada. (2006). 2006 Census of Population. Ottawa, Canada.

K: The Canadian Medical Association. (2015). Physician Statistics. Ottawa, Canada.

L: Canadian Institute for Health Information (2013). Pharmacist Workforce, 2012. Ottawa, Canada.

M: Canadian Healthcare Association. Home Care in Canada: From the Margins to the Mainstream. Ottawa, ON; 2009.

REFERENCES (Exposure Proportions)

1) Abbott, R., Edwards, S., Whelan, M., Edwards, J., & Dranitsaris, G. (2014). Are community pharmacists equipped to ensure the safe use of oral anticancer therapy in the community setting? Results of a cross-country survey of community pharmacists in Canada. Journal of Oncology Pharmacy Practice: Official Publication of the International Society of Oncology Pharmacy Practitioners, 20(1), 29–39.

2) O'Bryant, C. L., & Crandell, B. C. (2008). Community pharmacists' knowledge of and attitudes toward oral chemotherapy. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association, 48(5), 632–9.

3) Hospital Pharmacy in Canada Editorial Board. (2015). Hospital Pharmacy in Canada 2013/2014 Report. Canada.

4) Hon, C.-Y., Teschke, K., Chu, W., Demers, P., & Venners, S. (2013). Antineoplastic drug contamination of surfaces throughout the hospital medication system in Canadian hospitals. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 10(7), 374–83.

5) Ward, H. D., Teschke, K., Ratner, P., Le, N., Spinelli, J., & Gallagher, R. (2006). A Retrospective Cohort Study of Mortality and Cancer Incidence among Registered Nurses in British Columbia (Report to WorkSafeBC). Vancouver, Canada.

6) Dimich-Ward, H., Lorenzi, M., Teschke, K., Spinelli, J. J., Ratner, P. A., Le, N. D., ... Gallagher, R. P. (2007). Mortality and cancer incidence in a cohort of registered nurses from British Columbia, Canada. American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 50(12), 892–900.

Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI). (2015). Continuing Care Reporting System (CCRS) Profile of Residents in Hospital-based Continuing Care 2014-2015. Ottawa, Canada.
Elliot, K. M., & Mayer, M. N. (2009). Cancer treatment therapies. The Canadian Veterinary Journal. La Revue Vétérinaire Canadianne. 50(7), 771-2.

9) Couch, J., Gibbins, J., & Connor, T. H. (2013). Evaluation of Chemotherapy Drug Exposure at a Veterinary Teaching Hospital in Michigan. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 10(4), D45–D51.

10) Meijster, T., Fransman, W., Veldhof, R., & Kromhout, H. (2006). Exposure to antineoplastic drugs outside the hospital environment. The Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 50(7), 657-64.

11) Epp, T., & Waldner, C. (2012). Occupational health hazards in veterinary medicine: physical, psychological, and chemical hazards. The Canadian Veterinary Journal, 53(2), 151–7.

12) Soest, E. van, & Fritschi, L. (2004). Occupational health risks in veterinary nursing: an exploratory study. Australian Veterinary Journal, 82(6), 346-350.

13) Cave, T., Norman, P., & Mellor, D. (2007). Cytotoxic drug use in treatment of dogs and cats with cancer by UK veterinary practices (2003 to 2004). The Journal of Small Animal Practice, 48(7), 371-7.

14) Personal Communication (January 2016). Provincial environmental services technical team, British Columbia, Canada.

15) Home Care Sector Study Corporation. (2003). Canadian home care human resources study: Technical report. Canada.

16) Yuki, M., Takase, K., Sekine, S., & Ishida, T. (2014). Evaluation of surface contamination with cyclophosphamide in the home setting of outpatients on cancer chemotherapy. Journal of Nursing Education and Practice, 4(10), 16-23.

17) Böhlandt, A., Sverdel, Y., & Schierl, R. (2017). Antineoplastic drug residues inside homes of chemotherapy patients. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health, In Press. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2017.03.005

18) Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI). (2015). Home Care Reporting System (HCRS) Profile of Clients in Home Care, 2014–2015. Ottawa, Canada.

19) Personal Communication (February 2016). A. Griffiths, Vancouver Island Health Authority, British Columbia, Canada.

20) Fransman, W., Vermeulen, R., & Kromhout, H. (2005). Dermal exposure to cyclophosphamide in hospitals during preparation, nursing and cleaning activities. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 78(5), 403-12.

21) Fransman, W., Huizer, D., Tuerk, J., & Kromhout, H. (2007). Inhalation and dermal exposure to eight antineoplastic drugs in an industrial laundry facility. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 80(5), 396-403.

EXPOSURE DEFINITION

PHARMAG	CY WORKERS (Pharmacists and Pharmacy Technicians)
Preparing	antineoplastic agents (any type)
AND/OR	
Contact w	vith contaminated surfaces
NURSES (Registered Nurses and Licensed Practical Nurses)
Administe	ring antineoplastic agents (any type)
AND/OR	
Contact w	vith individuals receiving antineoplastic agents
AND/OR	
Contact w	vith contaminated surfaces or fluids
VETERINA	ARY WORKERS (Veterinarians and Veterinary Technicians)
Preparing	antineoplastic agents (any type)
AND/OR	
Administe	ring antineoplastic agents (any type)
AND/OR	
Contact w	vith individuals receiving antineoplastic agents
AND/OR	
Contact w	vith contaminated surfaces or fluids
CLEANIN	G WORKERS
Contact w	vith contaminated surfaces or fluids
AND/OR	
Contact w	vith contaminated linens
НОМЕ СА	RE WORKERS (Home Support Workers and Nurse Aides)
Administe	ring antineoplastic agents (any type)
AND/OR	
Contact w	vith individuals receiving antineoplastic agents
AND/OR	
Contact w	vith contaminated surfaces or fluids
LAUNDRY	WORKERS
Contact w	vith contaminated linens
PHYSICIA	N SPECIALISTS
Contact w	vith individuals receiving antineoplastic agents
AND/OR	
Contact w	vith contaminated surfaces or fluids