

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

# **BMJ Open**

## Cannabinoids versus placebo for pain. A systematic review with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis; Protocol

| Journal:                         | BMJ Open  |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Manuscript ID                    | bmjopen-2019-031574   |
| Article Type:                    | Protocol  |
| Date Submitted by the<br>Author: | 11-May-2019   |
| Complete List of Authors:        | Barakji, Jehad; The Copenhagen Trial Unit, Rigshospitalet Dept. 7812<br>Korang, Steven Kwasi; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Trial Unit; Holbaek<br>Sygehus, Pediatric Dept.<br>Feinberg, Joshua; Copenhagen Univ Hosp<br>Maagard, Mathias; The Copenhagen Trial Unit, Rigshospitalet Dept. 7812<br>Gluud, Christian; Copenhagen Trial Unit (CTU), Center for Clinical<br>Intervention Research<br>Mathiesen, Ole; University of Copenhagen<br>Jakobsen, Janus; Copenhagen Trial Unit, Centre for Clinical Intervention<br>Research, Department 7812, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University<br>Hospital |
| Keywords:                        | PAIN MANAGEMENT, Herbal medicine < THERAPEUTICS, Adverse events < THERAPEUTICS, CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Health & safety < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT  |

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

**BMJ** Open

# Cannabinoids versus placebo for pain: A protocol systematic review with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis

Jehad A. Barakji<sup>1</sup>, Steven Kwasi Korang<sup>1</sup>, Joshua Rose-Hansen Feinberg<sup>1</sup>, Mathias Maagaard<sup>1</sup>, Christian Gluud<sup>1</sup>, Ole Mathiesen<sup>2,3</sup>, Janus C. Jakobsen<sup>1,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The Copenhagen Trial Unit, Centre for Clinical Intervention Research, Department 7812, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Blegdamsvej 9, DK 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>2</sup> Centre for Anaesthesiological Research, Department of Anaesthesiology, Zealand University Hospital, Koege, Denmark

<sup>3</sup> Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Copenhagen University, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>4</sup> Department of Cardiology, Holbæk Hospital, Holbæk, Denmark

<sup>5</sup> Department of Regional Health Research, The Faculty of Heath Sciences, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

Corresponding author

Jehad A. Barakji

Tlf.: +45 21 52 07 80

Email: jehad.barakji@ctu.dk

## Abstract

**Introduction** Pain is a frequent clinical symptom, and with significant impact on patient well-being. Therefore, sufficient pain management is of utmost importance. While cannabinoids have become a more popular alternative to traditional types of pain medication among patients, the quality of evidence supporting the use of cannabinoids has been questioned. The beneficial and harmful effects of cannabinoids in patients with pain is unknown. Accordingly, we aim to assess the efficacy, tolerability, and safety of cannabinoids (herbal, plant-derived extracts and synthetic) compared with placebo for any type of pain.

**Methods and analysis** We will conduct a systematic review of randomised clinical trials with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis to assess the beneficial and harmful effects of cannabinoids in any dose, formulation, and duration. We will accept placebo or no treatment as control interventions. We will include participants with any type of pain (acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain or any other types of pain). We will systematically search The Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Science Citation Index, and BIOSIS for relevant literature. We will follow the recommendations by Cochrane and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement. The risk of systematic errors (bias) and random errors (play of chance) will be assessed. The overall certainty of evidence will be evaluated using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach.

**Ethics and dissemination** Ethical approval is not a requirement since no primary data will be collected. The findings of this systematic review will be submitted for peer-reviewed publication and disseminated in national and international conferences.

**Discussion** Although cannabinoids are now being used to manage different pain conditions, the evidence for the clinical effects are unclear. The present review will systematically assess the current evidence for the benefits and harms of cannabinoids to inform practice and future research.

#### 

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our methodology is based on the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, the PRISMA guideline, and a systematic eight step procedure for valid assessments of statistical and clinical significance
- We systemically plan to assess risks of random errors ('play of chance) and systematic errors ('bias')
- We have systematically predefined minimal important differences for all outcomes
- The certainty of the evidence with be assessed using the GRADE approach

## Description of pain

Pain is the most commonly reported symptom in the general population and in a medical setting [1-3]. Persistent pain is a major international health problem [4], prompting the World Health Organization (WHO) to endorse a global campaign against pain [5]. Pain is the leading reason for use of alternative medicines (e.g. acupuncture) [6]. Pain has been associated with a low degree of health-related quality of life and may lead to psychosocial distress, insomnia, and depressive symptoms [7-15]. Pain is also among the most common reasons for temporary or permanent work disability [16]. Pain is always subjective and may be defined as "an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage" [17].

Pain may be caused by or be related to different clinical disorders and classified according to several different characteristics [18-21]. Below, we describe shortly some of these classifications.

## Acute and chronic pain

Pain may be classified as 'acute pain' or 'chronic pain'.

- Acute pain usually has a well-defined onset and most often a readily identifiable cause (e.g. surgery).
   Acute pain is expected to run its course in a short time frame and management typically focuses on symptomatic relief until this happens [22]. Acute pain is a common symptom, affecting between 37% to 84% of hospitalised patients [23].
- Chronic pain is often characterised by an ill-defined onset and a prolonged, fluctuating course [22]. Chronic pain often persists past normal healing time and hence lacks the acute warning function of

physiological nociception [24]. Pain is usually regarded as chronic when it lasts or recurs for more than three to six months [17, 25]. A chronic pain patient usually does not appear to be in pain, and the only definitive way to determine the presence of pain is to obtain a verbal report from the patient [22]. A recent systematic review has demonstrated considerable heterogeneity in the criteria for a diagnosis of chronic pain applied in large epidemiological studies [26]. Chronic pain is a frequent condition, affecting an estimated 20% of people worldwide [27-30] and accounting for 15% to 20% of physician visits according to European observational studies [31, 32].

#### Cancer-related pain

Pain may also be classified based on whether it is cancer-related or non-cancer-related. Cancer-related pain is pain caused by the cancer itself (primary tumour and metastases) or its treatment (e.g. radiation therapy) [22, 33].

#### Postoperative pain

Postoperative pain includes pain from inflammation caused by tissue trauma (i.e. surgical incision, dissection, burns) or direct nerve injury (i.e. nerve transection, stretching, or compression) [34]. Inflammation results in activation and sensitisation of nociceptive pain pathways, resulting in primary and secondary hyperalgesia and central sensitisation, which is characterized by clinically increased pain, allodynia, and increased sensitivity from surrounding non-damaged anatomical areas [35].

#### Headache

Up to 90% of all patients with headaches may be classified as suffering from either tension-type headache, migraine, or cluster headache. While episodic tension-type headache is the most frequent headache type in population-based studies, migraine is the most common diagnosis in patients presenting to primary care physicians with headache [36].

#### Other types of pain

Pain in one or more anatomic regions where the aetiology is unknown is defined as idiopathic pain [37]. Examples of idiopathic pain are chronic widespread pain, fibromyalgia, irritable bowel syndrome, and back pain that is not diagnosed as musculoskeletal or as neuropathic pain [33].

#### **BMJ** Open

## Pain types defined according to specific mechanism causing the pain

#### Somatic nociceptive pain

Nociceptive pain is the most frequent type of pain. It results from activity in neural pathways caused by actual tissue damage or potentially tissue-damaging stimuli [31, 38] originating from somatic nociceptors from skin, bone, joints, or muscles [39].

#### Visceral nociceptive pain

The visceral nociceptive pain is pain resulting from viscera in the thoracic, pelvis, or abdominal organs [40-42]. Visceral pain is diffuse, less distinctive, and difficult to localise [42]. It is often characterised by referred visceral pain and followed by symptoms from the autonomic nerve system (e.g. nausea, sweating, cardiovascular symptoms) [43].

#### Neuropathic pain

The 2011 International Association for the Study of Pain definition of neuropathic pain is "pain caused by a lesion or disease of the somatosensory system" [44]. Neuropathic pain leads to a heterogeneous group of symptoms, including unremitting and spontaneous burning or shooting sensations, abnormal pain sensation to normal and harmless stimuli (allodynia), or a raised sensitivity to noxious stimuli (hyperalgesia) [45].

Neuropathic pain may be classified as central neuropathic pain or peripheral neuropathic pain. Central neuropathic pain conditions are mainly attributed to multiple sclerosis and post-stroke pain [46], while peripheral neuropathic pain is largely due to post-herpetic neuralgia and diabetic neuropathy [47]. Persistent postoperative pain (incidence up to 10% of surgical patients) may mostly be considered as iatrogenic neuropathic pain [48].

## Description of the intervention

Cannabis (also called marijuana) is the most common illegally used psychoactive substance worldwide [49]. Cannabis was used by an estimated 182 million people worldwide in 2014, this corresponds to approximately 3.8 percent of the global adult population [49]. Cannabinoids refer to a heteromorphic group of molecules that demonstrate activity upon cannabinoid receptors [50]. Cannabinoids may be classified into three groups: 1) endocannabinoids, 2) phytocannabinoids, and 3) synthetic cannabinoids [50].

#### Endocannabinoids

Endocannabinoids are characterised by being the endogenously generated cannabinoids [51]. The primary types of endocannabinoids are the lipid endocannabinoid arachidonoyl ethanolamide (named anandamide) [52] and the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) [53, 54]. Arachidonoyl ethanolamide binds to the brain cannabinoid receptor with high affinity and mimics the behavioural actions of tetrahydrocannabinol when injected into rodents (e.g. block peripheral pain, inhibiting gastric emptying) [52, 55-57]. A number of other endocannabinoids have been discovered, but follow-up studies about biosynthesis, cellular transport, metabolism, and biological function have focused primarily on anandamide and 2-AG [58].

#### Phytocannabinoids

Phytocannabinoids are cannabinoids found in the cannabis plant [59]. The best characterised phytocannabinoids are the psychotropic tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and the primarily anti-inflammatory cannabidiol (CBD) [60]. Nabiximols (marketed as Sativex<sup>®</sup>) is a sublingually administered oromucosal spray based on a mixture of tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol [61].

#### Synthetic cannabinoids

Synthetic cannabinoids are analogues of the cannabinoids found in natural marijuana that are chemically synthesised. They may have been commercially available in Europe since 2004 and in the United States since 2008 [62]. The use of synthetic cannabinoids is increasing in Europe [63]. From 2005 to 2011, synthetic cannabinoids represented two-thirds of all new substances reported to the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction Early Warning System [63].

The most commonly prescribed cannabinoid-based medicines are the synthetic cannabinoids dronabinol (marketed as Marinol<sup>®</sup>) and nabilone (marketed as Cesamet<sup>®</sup>) [61].

#### Endocannabinoid system

#### **BMJ** Open

All cannabinoids act on cannabinoid receptors. These cannabinoid receptors are located throughout the body but are mostly located in the brain [64]. The cannabinoid receptors and endocannabinoids (see paragraph above) are together named the endocannabinoid system [65].

The endocannabinoid system is thought to have three broad and overlapping functions in mammals [66]. The first function of the endocannabinoid system is a stress recovery role, operating in a feedback loop in which endocannabinoid signalling is activated by stress and functions to return endocrine, nervous, and behavioural systems to homeostatic balance [66]. The second function of the endocannabinoid system is to control energy balance through regulation of the intake, storage, and utilisation of food [66]. The third function of the endocannabinoid system involves immune regulation; endocannabinoid signalling is activated by tissue injury and modulates immune and inflammatory responses [66].

#### Cannabinoid receptors

There are two types of cannabinoid receptors, type I and type II [67]. Cannabinoid receptor type I are most abundant in the central nervous system, especially in areas promoting nociception, short-term memory, and in the basal ganglia, but are also found in the peripheral nerves, uterus, testis, and bones [67]. Tetrahydrocannabinol activates cannabinoid type I receptors in the dopaminergic mesolimbic brain circuit, resulting in enhanced release of dopamine [68]. Such activation of the so-called 'brain reward system' is hypothesised to mediate the positive reinforcing and rewarding effects of almost all drugs of abuse [58]. More than weekly use of cannabis downregulates brain cannabinoid type I receptors; abstinence results in receptor upregulation within several days [69]. These receptor changes are associated with an often uncomfortable or distressing cannabis withdrawal syndrome [70], which may serve as negative reinforcement to continue cannabis use in order to suppress the withdrawal symptoms.

In contrast, cannabinoid receptor type II, is mostly found in the periphery, often in conjunction with immune cells, but may appear in the central nervous system particularly under conditions of inflammation in association with microcytes [67]. The physiological responses that result from cannabinoid receptor activation are euphoria, psychosis, impaired memory and cognition, reduced locomotor function, increased appetite, as well as anti-emetic, pain-relieving, anti-spasticity, and sleep-promoting effects [71].

## Applicability of cannabinoid-based medicines

Cannabis is most commonly consumed via smoked, inhaled vapor, or oral routes of administration [72]. Vaporising cannabis ('vaping') heats the material without burning which theoretically minimises potential carcinogens compared to smoking and may produce less respiratory irritation [73, 74]. Sublingual administration is used for some medical cannabis preparations (e.g. nabiximols).

In recent years, cannabinoid-based medicines have become increasingly available to patients in many countries [61]. Besides usage for treatment of different pain conditions [50], cannabinoid-based medicines are used for treatment of nausea and vomiting associated with cancer chemotherapy and the treatment of AIDS-associated anorexia [75]. Cannabinoid-based medicines are used to reduce seizure frequency in patients with drug-resistant epilepsy [76]. In Denmark, Sativex<sup>®</sup> (nabiximols) is approved for the treatment of moderate to severe spasticity due to multiple sclerosis in patients who have not responded adequately to other anti-spasticity medication [77]. An American survey indicated that 6% of adults (or 12 million) have utilised cannabis in attempts to treat chronic pain [78]. In pain clinics across Canada, the proportion of users of cannabinoid-based medicines is estimated to be between 12% to 15% [79].

## Why it is important to do this review

We identified ten previous reviews with meta-analyses assessing the effects of cannabinoids on different types of pain [79-88]. Bearing in mind that some of the previous reviews investigated more than one type of pain, eight reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on neuropathic pain [79-85, 88]; four reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on nociceptive pain (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis) [79, 80, 83, 84]; three reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on cancer-related pain [79, 83, 84]; four reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on cancer-related pain [79, 80, 83, 84]; four reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on fibromyalgia-related pain [79, 80, 83, 87]; and three reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on postoperative pain [79, 84, 86]. All the previous reviews included randomised clinical trials, but only two of the ten reviews systematically assessed the risk of bias in the trials [81, 88], and none of the previous reviews took into account the risks of random errors [79-88]. Only two out of the ten reviews used predefined Cochrane methodology [87, 88] and only four reviews used the GRADE approach [81, 86-88].

#### **BMJ** Open

Most of the reviews concluded that the assessed cannabinoids were effective against pain [79-83, 85, 88]. In **Table 1 (Additional file 1),** we have summarised the results and conclusions of the previous reviews. Five of the reviews reported serious adverse events (e.g. agitation, impaired memory, abuse, dissociation, acute psychosis, and death) [79, 81-83, 88]. The reviews also showed that the most commonly reported adverse events were sedation, dizziness, dry mouth, increased appetite, somnolence, confusion, nausea, and disturbances in concentration [79-82, 84, 85, 87, 88].

A correlation between psychiatric disorders (e.g. schizophrenia and psychosis) and increased cannabinoid consumption have previously been hypothesised [89-95]. Di Forti et. al recently conducted a study indicating that daily cannabis use was associated with increased odds of psychotic disorder compared with never users (adjusted odds ratio [OR] 3.2, 95% Cl 2.2–4.1), increasing to nearly five-times increased odds for daily use of high-potency (THC  $\geq$ 10%) types of cannabis (adjusted odds ratio [OR] 4.8, 95% Cl 2.5–6.3) [96].

## Objective

The objective of our systematic review is to assess the beneficial and harmful effects of cannabinoids versus placebo or no intervention for any type of pain (acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain, or any other types of pain).

## Methods

This systematic review protocol has been developed based on Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) guidelines for reporting systematic reviews evaluating healthcare interventions [97, 98]. A PRISMA-P checklist file is attached (Additional file 2).

## Criteria for considering studies for this review

## Type of studies

Randomised clinical trials irrespective of trial design, setting, publication status, publication year, and language. If we identify quasi-randomised studies and observational studies during our searches for randomised clinical trials, we will only include their reporting on harms in a narrative way. By not systematically searching for all observational studies on harm, we run the risk of putting more focus on benefit than harm. We are aware that this is a limitation of our review.

## Types of participants

Participants with any type of pain, i.e. acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain, or any other types of pain (as defined by the trialists). Participants will be included irrespective of age, sex, and comorbidities.

## **Types of interventions**

## Experimental intervention

Any type of cannabinoids such as: herbal cannabis (hashish, marihuana), plant-based extracts (e.g. nabiximole), or synthetic cannabinoids (e.g. cannabidiol, dronabinol, levonantradol, nabilone). We will accept cannabinoids at any dose, by any route, administered for the relief of pain.

## Control intervention

Placebo or no intervention.

#### Co-interventions

We will accept any co-intervention but only if this co-intervention is planned to be delivered similarly in both intervention groups. If this plan is not followed, then these trials will be assessed as a subgroup due to potential confounding.

## **Patient and Public Involvement**

We have had email correspondence with several relevant patient associations in Denmark to select the most patient relevant outcomes. The patient associations we have been in contact with include: The Danish Diabetes Association, Steno Diabetes Centre Copenhagen, The Danish Rheumatism Association, The Danish Multiple Sclerosis Society, and Danish Cancer Society. We are very thankful for their input.

## Types of outcome measures

## Primary outcomes

- All-cause mortality
- Pain assessment on visual analogue scale (VAS) or numerical rating scale (NRS)

#### BMJ Open

Proportion of participants with a serious adverse event defined as any untoward medical occurrence that resulted in death; was life threatening; was persistent; or led to significant disability, nephrotoxicity, superinfection, need for respiratory support, need for circulatory support, or prolonged hospitalisation [99]. As we expect the trialists' reporting of serious adverse events to be heterogeneous and not strictly according to the ICH-GCP recommendations, we will include the event as a serious adverse if the trialists either: 1) use the term 'serious adverse event' but not refer to ICH-GCP, or 2) report the proportion of participants with an event we consider fulfils the ICH-GCP definition (e.g. myocardial infarction or hospitalisation). If several of such events are reported then we will choose the highest proportion reported in each trial.

• Quality of life measured on any valid continuous scale

## Secondary outcomes

- Dependence (as defined by trialists)
- Psychosis (as defined by trialists)
- Proportion of participants with one or more adverse event not considered to be serious
- Sleep quality measured on any valid continuous scale

## Exploratory outcomes

- Each serious adverse event separately
- Each adverse event not considered serious separately.
- Twenty-four-hour morphine consumption (as defined by trialists)
- Physical function (as defined by trialists)
- Depressive symptoms (e.g. Hamilton Depression Rating Scale)

We will for all outcomes use the trial results reported at maximal follow-up except for acute pain. For acute pain, we will use the trials' results reported at the time point closest to 24 hours after the intervention is given.

## Search methods for identification of studies

## Electronic searches

We will search the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE), Excerpta Medica database (EMBASE), Latin American and Caribbean Health

| Scienc  | es Literature (LILACS), Science Citation Index Expanded on Web of Science, and BIOSIS in order to identify     |
|---|--|
| relevant trials.  |  |
| We wi   | I search all databases from their inception to the present.  |
| Search  | ing other resources  |
| The re  | ference lists of relevant publications will be checked for any unidentified randomised trials. We will         |
| contac  | t authors of included studies, and major pharmaceutical companies, by email asking for unpublished             |
| randor  | nised trials. Further, we will search for ongoing trials on:   |
| •   | ClinicalTrials.gov (www.clinicaltrials.gov)  |
| •   | Google Scholar ( <u>https://scholar.google.dk/</u> )   |
| •   | The Turning Research into Practice (TRIP) Database ( <u>https://www.tripdatabase.com/</u> )                    |
| •   | European Medicines Agency (EMA) (http:// <u>www.ema.europa.eu/ema/</u> )                                       |
| •   | United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) ( <u>www.fda.gov</u> )  |
| •   | China Food and Drug Administration (CFDA) ( <u>http://eng.sfda.gov.cn/WS03/CL0755/</u> )                       |
| •   | Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency  |
|   | (https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/ medicines-and-healthcare-products-regulatory-                    |
|   | agency)  |
| •   | The World Health Organization (WHO) International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) search             |
|   | portal ( <u>http://apps.who.int/</u> trialsearch/)   |
| We wi   | I also consider relevant for the review unpublished and grey literature trials, if we identify such trials.    |
|   |  |
| Data  | collection and analysis  |
| We wi   | I perform the review following the recommendations of Cochrane [100]. The analyses will be performed           |
| using Review Manager 5 [101] and Trial Sequential Analysis [102]. In case of Review Manager statistical |  |
| software not being sufficient, we will use STATA 15 [103].  |  |
| 501111  |  |
| Selecti   | on of studies  |
|   | uthors (JB, SKK) will independently screen titles and abstracts. We will retrieve all relevant full-text study |
|   | s/publications, and four review authors (JB, SKK, JRF, MM) will independently screen the full text and         |
| •   | y and record reasons for exclusion of the ineligible studies. We will resolve any disagreement through         |
| .acriti   | , and record reasons for exclusion of the mengine studies. We will resolve dry disagreement through            |
|   |  |
|   |  |

1 2

59

#### **BMJ** Open

discussion or, if required, we will consult a fifth author (JCJ). Trial selection will be displayed in an adapted flow diagram as per the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement [104].

## Data extraction and management

Four authors (JB, SKK, JRF, MM) will in pairs extract data independently from included trials. Disagreements will be resolved by discussion with a fifth author (JCJ). We will assess duplicate publications and companion papers of a trial together to evaluate all available data simultaneously (maximise data extraction, correct bias assessment). We will contact the trial authors by email to specify any additional data, which may not have been reported sufficiently or at all in the publication.

## Trial characteristics

Bias risk components (as defined below); trial design (parallel, factorial, or crossover); number of intervention arms; length of follow-up; estimation of sample size; inclusion and exclusion criteria.

## Participant characteristics and diagnosis

Number of randomised participants; number of analysed participants; number of participants lost to follow-up/ withdrawals/crossover; compliance with medication; age range (mean or median) and sex ratio; type of pain (acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain or any other types of pain); baseline pain score; drug and dosing regimen; study design (placebo or active control); study duration and follow-up; analgesic outcome measures and results; adverse events (participants experiencing any adverse event, or serious adverse event).

## Co-intervention characteristics

Type of co-intervention; dose of co-intervention; duration of co-intervention; and mode of administration.

## Outcomes

All outcomes listed above will be extracted from each randomised clinical trial, and we will identify if outcomes are incomplete or selectively reported according to the criteria described later in 'incomplete outcome data' bias domain and 'selective outcome reporting' bias domain.

## Notes

Funding of the trial and notable conflicts of interest of trial authors will be extracted, if available. We will note in the 'Characteristics of included studies' table if outcome data were not reported in a usable way. Four review authors (JB, SKK, JRF, MM) will independently transfer data into the Review Manager file [101]. Disagreements will be resolved through discussion or, if required, we will consult with a fifth author (JCJ).

## Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

We will use the instructions given in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [100] in our evaluation of the methodology and hence the risk of bias of the included trials. We will evaluate the methodology in respect of:

- Random sequence generation
- Allocation concealment
- Blinding of participants and treatment providers
- Blinding of outcome assessment
- Incomplete outcome data
- Selective outcome reporting
- For-profit bias
- Overall risk of bias

These components enable classification of randomised trials as being at low risk of bias and at high risk of bias.

The latter trials tend to overestimate positive intervention effects and underestimate negative effects [105-

111].

We will classify the trials according to the following criteria.

## Random sequence generation

- Low risk: If sequence generation was achieved using computer random number generator or a random number table. Drawing lots, tossing a coin, shuffling cards, and throwing dice were also considered adequate if performed by an independent adjudicator.
- Unclear risk: If the method of randomisation was not specified, but the trial was still presented as being randomised.

• High risk: If the method of sequence generation was inadequate i.e. alternate medical record numbers or other non-random sequence generation.

## Allocation concealment

- Low risk: If the allocation of patients was performed by a central independent unit, on-site locked computer, identical-looking numbered sealed envelopes, drug bottles, or containers prepared by an independent pharmacist or investigator.
- Uncertain risk: If the trial was classified as randomised but the allocation concealment process was not described.
- High risk: If the allocation sequence was familiar to the investigators who assigned participants.

## Blinding of participants and treatment providers

- Low risk: If the participants and the treatment providers were blinded to intervention allocation and this was described.
- Uncertain risk: If the procedure of blinding was insufficiently described.
- High risk: If blinding of participants and the treatment providers was not performed.

## Blinding of outcome assessment

- Low risk of bias: If it was mentioned that outcome assessors were blinded and this was described.
- Uncertain risk of bias: If it was not mentioned if the outcome assessors in the trial were blinded or the extent of blinding was insufficiently described.
- High risk of bias: If no blinding or incomplete blinding of outcome assessors was performed.

## Incomplete outcome data

- Low risk of bias: If missing data were unlikely to make treatment effects depart from plausible values. This could be either (1) there were no drop-outs or withdrawals for all outcomes or (2) the numbers and reasons for the withdrawals and drop-outs for all outcomes were clearly stated and could be described as being similar to both groups. Generally, the trial is judged as at a low risk of bias due to incomplete outcome data if drop-outs are less than 5%. However, the 5% cut-off is not definitive.
- Uncertain risk of bias: If there was insufficient information to assess whether missing data were likely to induce bias on the results.

• High risk of bias: If the results were likely to be biased due to missing data either because the pattern of drop-outs could be described as being different in the two intervention groups or the trial used improper methods in dealing with the missing data (e.g. last observation carried forward).

## Selective outcome reporting

- Low risk of bias: If a protocol was published before or at the time the trial was begun, and the outcomes specified in the protocol were reported on. If there is no protocol or the protocol was published after the trial has begun, reporting pain assessment on VAS or NRS and serious adverse events will grant the trial a grade of low risk of bias.
- Uncertain risk of bias: If no protocol was published and the outcome pain assessment on VAS or NRS and serious adverse events were not reported on.
- High risk of bias: If the outcomes in the protocol were not reported on.

## For-profit bias

- Low risk of bias: If the trial appeared to be free of other components of for-profit bias.
- Unclear risk of bias: If it was unclear whether the trial was free of for-profit bias.
- High risk of bias: If there was a high risk of for-profit bias.

## Overall risk of bias

- Low risk of bias: The trial will be classified at overall 'low risk of bias' only if all of the bias domains described in the above paragraphs are classified at 'low risk of bias'.
- High risk of bias: The trial will be classified at 'high risk of bias' if any of the bias risk domains described in the above are classified at 'unclear' or 'high risk of bias'.

We will assess the domains 'blinding of outcome assessment', 'incomplete outcome data', and 'selective outcome reporting' for each outcome result. Thus, we can assess the bias risk for each outcome assessed in addition to each trial. Our primary conclusions will be based on the results of our primary outcome results at overall low risk of bias. Both our primary and secondary analyses will be presented in the summary of findings tables.

## Differences between the protocol and the review

We will conduct the review according to this published protocol and report any deviations from it in the 'Differences between the protocol and the review' section of the systematic review. Measures of treatment effect Dichotomous outcomes We will calculate risk ratios (RRs) with 95% confidence interval (CI) for dichotomous outcomes, as well as the Trial Sequential Analysis- adjusted CIs (see below). *Continuous outcomes* We will calculate the mean differences (MDs) and the standardised mean difference (SMD) with 95% CI for continuous outcomes, as well as the Trial Sequential Analysis-adjusted CIs (see below). Dealing with missing data We will, as first option, contact all trial authors to obtain any relevant missing data (i.e. for data extraction and for assessment of risk of bias, as specified above). Dichotomous outcomes We will not impute missing values for any outcomes in our primary analysis. In two of our sensitivity analyses (see paragraph below), we will impute data. Continuous outcomes We will primarily analyse scores assessed at single time points. If only changes from baseline scores are reported, we will analyse the results together with follow-up scores [100]. If standard deviations (SDs) are not reported, we will calculate the SDs using trial data, if possible. We will not use intention-to-treat data if the original report did not contain such data. We will not impute missing values for any outcomes in our primary analysis. In our sensitivity analysis (see paragraph below) for continuous outcomes, we will impute data.

## Assessment of heterogeneity

We will primarily investigate forest plots to visually assess any sign of heterogeneity. We will secondly assess the presence of statistical heterogeneity by  $chi^2$  test (threshold P < 0.10) and measure the quantities of

heterogeneity by the I<sup>2</sup> statistic [112, 113]. We will investigate for heterogeneity through subgroup analyses. Ultimately, we may decide that a meta-analysis should be avoided [100].

#### Assessment of reporting biases

We will use a funnel plot to assess reporting bias if ten or more trials are included. We will visually inspect funnel plots to assess the risk of bias. We are aware of the limitations of a funnel plot (i.e. a funnel plot assesses bias due to small sample size). From this information, we assess possible reporting bias. For dichotomous outcomes, we will test asymmetry with the Harbord test [114] if  $\tau^2$  is less than 0.1 and with the Rücker test if  $\tau^2$  is more than 0.1. For continuous outcomes, we will use the regression asymmetry test [115] and the adjusted rank correlation [116].

#### Unit of analysis issues

We will only include randomised clinical trials. For trials using crossover design, only data from the first period will be included [100, 117]. There will therefore not be any unit of analysis issues.

#### Minimal important difference

In clinical intervention research it is of utmost importance always to define minimal important differences (MID) and to define thresholds for clinical significance [118]. If a large number of trial participants are randomised, small and clinically irrelevant intervention effects may lead to statistically significant results and rejection of the null hypothesis [119]. Jaeschke et al. defined the minimal important difference as "the smallest difference in score in the domain of interest which patients perceive as beneficial" [120].

Estimations of minimal important differences should be used as arbitrary strict precise thresholds. However, to avoid erroneous conclusions minimal important differences need to be estimated and predefined when assessing the effects of interventions for pain. Olsen et al. have conducted two systematic reviews on this matter in order to gather the evidence and present an estimate of the minimal important difference [121, 122]. Olsen et al. conducted a systematic review on the minimal important difference in patients with acute pain and concluded that the median of the studies' results was 17 mm on VAS (IQR 14 mm to 23 mm) [121]. Another systematic review conducted by Olsen et al. was on the minimal important difference in patients with chronic pain and the results showed a median of 23 mm on VAS (IQR 12 mm to 39 mm) when using the within-patient anchor-based method, while the median in studies using the sensitivity- and specificity-based method was 20 mm on VAS (IQR

#### **BMJ** Open

15 mm – 30 mm) [122]. We have described detailed considerations about minimal important differences in **Appendix 1**.

Based on the previously conducted systematic reviews we will choose at minimal important difference equivalent to 10 mm or 1 point on the visual analogue scale and the numerical rating scale, respectively, regarding a pain-relieving effect.

## Data synthesis

#### Meta-analysis

We will undertake this meta-analysis according to the recommendations stated in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [100], Keus et al. [123], and the eight-step assessment suggested by Jakobsen et al. [118]. We will use the statistical software Review Manager 5.3 [101] provided by Cochrane to analyse data. We will assess our intervention effects with both random-effects meta-analyses [124] and fixedeffect meta-analyses [125]. We will use the more conservative point estimate of the two [118]. The more conservative point estimate is the estimate closest to zero effect. If the two estimates are similar, we will use the estimate with the highest P value [118]. We use four primary and four secondary outcomes, and therefore, we will consider a P value of 0.02 as the threshold for statistical significance [118, 126]. We will investigate for heterogeneity through subgroup analyses. Ultimately, we may decide that a meta-analysis should be avoided [100]. We will use the eight-step procedure to assess if the thresholds for statistical and clinical significance are crossed [118]. Our primary conclusion will be based on results with low risk of bias [118].

Where multiple trial intervention groups are reported in a single trial, we will include only the relevant groups. If two comparisons are combined in the same meta-analysis, we will halve the control group to avoid doublecounting [100]. Trials with a factorial design will be included.

If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, we will report the results in a narrative way.

#### Trial Sequential Analysis

Traditional meta-analysis runs the risk of random errors due to sparse data and repetitive testing of accumulating data when updating reviews. We wish to control the risks of type I errors and type II errors. We will therefore perform Trial Sequential Analysis on the outcomes, in order to calculate the required information

size (that is the number of participants needed in a meta-analysis to detect or reject a certain intervention effect) and the cumulative Z-curve's breach of relevant trial sequential monitoring boundaries [102, 127-135]. A more detailed description of Trial Sequential Analysis can be found in the Trial Sequential Analysis manual [128] and at <u>http://www.ctu.dk/tsa/</u>. For dichotomous outcomes, we will estimate the required information size based on the observed proportion of patients with an outcome in the control group (the cumulative proportion of patients with an event in the control groups relative to all patients in the control groups), a relative risk reduction of 20%, an alpha of 2.0% for our primary and secondary outcomes, a beta of 10%, and diversity as suggested by the trials in the meta-analysis. For the outcome "pain assessment on visual analogue scale (VAS) or numerical rating scale (NRS)", we will use a minimal important difference estimate based on previously conducted systematic reviews [121, 122]. We will accept a pain-relieving effect equivalent to 10 mm or 1 point on the visual analogue scale and the numerical rating scale, respectively, or a consumption of at least 5 mg morphine.

For all remaining continuous outcome, we will in the Trial Sequential Analysis use the observed SD, a mean difference of the observed SD/2, an alpha of 2.0% for our primary and secondary outcomes, and a beta of 10%.

## Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

## Subgroup analysis

We will perform the following subgroup analysis when analysing the primary outcomes (All-cause mortality, pain assessment on VAS or NRS, serious adverse event, and quality of life).

- Trials at high risk of bias compared to trails at low risk of bias
- Trials compared according to type of pain (acute pain, chronic pain and cancer pain)
- Trials compared according to type of chronic pain
- Trials compared according to type of cannabinoids used
- Trials compared according to dosage of cannabinoids used (below median compared to median and above)
- Trials compared according to duration of cannabinoids administration (below median compared to median and above)
- Age of participants: 0 to 59 years compared to 60 to 79 years compared to above 80 years
- Trials compared according to baseline pain score (below median compared to median and above)

#### **BMJ** Open

#### Sensitivity analysis

To assess the potential impact of the missing data for dichotomous outcomes, we will perform the two following sensitivity analyses on both the primary and secondary outcomes.

- 'Best-worst-case' scenario: We will assume that all participants lost to follow-up in the cannabinoid intervention group have survived and had no serious adverse event, and that all those participants lost to follow-up in the placebo group have not survived, and had a serious adverse event.
- 'Worst-best-case' scenario: We will assume that all participants lost to follow-up in the cannabinoid intervention group have not survived, and had a serious adverse event, and that all those participants lost to follow-up in the placebo group have survived, and had no serious adverse event.

We will present results of both scenarios in our review.

For all continuous outcome when analysing a 'beneficial outcome' will be the group mean plus two standard deviations (SDs) (we will secondly use one SD in another sensitivity analysis) of the group mean and a 'harmful outcome' will be the group mean minus two SDs (we will secondly use one SD in another sensitivity analysis) of the group mean [118].

To assess the potential impact of missing SDs for continuous outcomes, we will perform the following sensitivity analysis.

• Where SDs are missing and it is not possible to calculate them, we will impute SDs from trials with similar populations and low risk of bias. If we find no such trials, we will impute SDs from trials with a similar population. As the final option, we will impute SDs from all trials.

We will present results of this scenario in our review. Other post hoc sensitivity analyses might be warranted if unexpected clinical or statistical heterogeneity is identified during the analysis of the review results [118].

## Summary of Findings

We will create a Summary of Findings table using each of the primary outcomes (all-cause mortality, pain assessment on VAS or NRS, serious adverse event, and quality of life). We will use the five GRADE considerations (bias risk of the trials, consistency of effect, imprecision, indirectness, and publication bias) to

assess the quality of a body of evidence as it relates to the studies which contribute data to the meta-analyses for the prespecified outcomes [118, 136-138]. We will use methods and recommendations described in Chapter 8 (Section 8.5) and Chapter 12 of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [100] using GRADEpro software. We will justify all decisions to downgrade the quality of studies using footnotes, and we will make comments to aid the reader's understanding of the review where necessary. Firstly, we will present our results in the Summary of Findings table based on the results from the trials with low risk of bias, and secondly, we will present the results based on all trials.

#### **Ethics and Dissemination**

Ethical approval is not a requirement since no primary data will be collected. The findings of this systematic review will be submitted for peer-reviewed publication and disseminated in national and international conferences and is expected to inform healthcare workers and providers about the occurrence of serious and non-serious adverse events following cannabinoid consumption. It is expected that the findings of this systematic review will identify some research gaps for future trials.

## Discussion

This protocol aims at investigating the beneficial and harmful effects of cannabinoids in patients with any type of pain condition. The outcomes will be all-cause mortality, pain assessment on VAS or NRS, serious adverse events, quality of life, dependence, psychosis, non-serious adverse events, and sleep quality.

This protocol has several strengths. The predefined methodology is based on the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [100], the eight-step assessment suggested by Jakobsen et al. [118], Trial Sequential Analysis [84], and GRADE assessment [136-138]. Hence, this protocol takes into account both the risk of random error and the risk of systematic error. We predefined evidence-based estimations of minimal important differences which will limit the risk of focusing on statistically significant results with questionable clinical importance. This threshold of minimal important difference is based on the estimations of several previously conducted studies and reviews [121, 122]. Moreover, we are including all types of cannabinoids and all types of pain which will increase the statistical power and make it possible to perform essential subgroup analyses. We have been in contact with several relevant patient associations which has assisted us in choosing the most clinically relevant outcomes.

#### **BMJ** Open

Our protocol also has several limitations. One of the potential limitations is that we include participants with all types of pain; cannabinoids might have different effects on different types of pain. It might e.g. be problematic to combine trials assessing the effects of cannabinoids on acute pain and chronic pain because of different underlying pathophysiological mechanisms [139]. On the other hand, the effects of cannabinoids on acute pain and chronic pain might be comparable and hence it might be valid to combine trials assessing the effects of cannabinoids on acute pain and chronic pain might be comparable and hence it might be valid to combine trials assessing the effects of cannabinoids on acute pain and chronic pain and chronic pain in meta-analysis, which would increase the statistical power. The results of the subgroup analysis comparing trials including participants with acute pain to participants with chronic pain will therefore be highlighted when reporting our review results. Moreover, we only intend to assess cannabinoids versus placebo or no intervention. Further systematic reviews with meta-analyses and Trial Sequential Analyses need to assess the benefits and harms of cannabinoids versus other pain killers, provided that cannabinoids show more benefit than harm in the present systematic review.

Furthermore, more than one active cannabinoid agent is often combined in the different intervention options provided to the patients with a pain condition, thereby making difficult to explore the analgesic effect and adverse event associated with a single cannabinoid agent. Hence, if we show a difference between the intervention options, it will be difficult to conclude what exactly caused the difference in effect. To minimise these limitations, we have planned a careful assessment of statistical and clinical heterogeneity as well as several subgroup analyses and sensitivity analyses. Another limitation is the large number of comparisons which increase the risk of type 1 error. We have adjusted our thresholds for significance according to the number of primary outcomes, but, as mentioned, we have also included multiple subgroup analyses. This large risk of type 1 error will be taken into account when interpreting the review results.

#### Acknowledgements

We hugely appreciate the contribution of The Danish Diabetes Association, Steno Diabetes Centre Copenhagen, The Danish Rheumatism Association, The Danish Multiple Sclerosis Society, and Danish Cancer Society in selecting the most patient relevant outcomes.

#### **Funding statement**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

## Authors' contributions

JB drafted the protocol. JCJ, SKK, OM, CG, JRF and MM amended the protocol. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

## Word Count

10835 words, including the full references.

## References

- 1. Verhaak P, Kerssens J, Dekker J, Sorbi M, and Bensing J, *Prevalence of chronic benign pain disorder among adults: a review of the literature.* PAIN, 1998. **77**(3): p. 231-9.
- 2. Kroenke K, Patients presenting with somatic complaints: epidemiology, psychiatric comorbidity and management. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res, 2003. **12**(1): p. 34-43.
- 3. Sternbach RA, *Survey of pain in the United States: The nuprin pain report.* The Clinical Journal of Pain, 1986. **2**(1): p. 49-53.
- 4. Gureje O, Von Korff M, Simon G, and Gater R, *Persistent pain and well-being: a World Health Organization Study in Primary Care.* Jama, 1998. **280**(2): p. 147-51.
- 5. Breivik H, International association for the study of pain: update on WHO-IASP activities. J Pain Symptom Manage, 2002. **24**(2): p. 97-101.
- 6. Astin J, Why patients use alternative medicine: Results of a national study. JAMA, 1998. **279**(19): p. 1548-1553.
- 7. Davison SN, Jhangri GS, and Johnson JA, *Cross-sectional validity of a modified Edmonton symptom assessment system in dialysis patients: a simple assessment of symptom burden.* Kidney Int, 2006. **69**(9): p. 1621-5.
- Davison SN, Jhangri GS, and Johnson JA, Longitudinal validation of a modified Edmonton symptom assessment system (ESAS) in haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2006.
   21(11): p. 3189-95.

| 1        |     |  |
|----------|-----|--|
| 2        |     |  |
| 3        |     |  |
| 4        | 9.  | Davison SN and Jhangri GS, Impact of pain and symptom burden on the health-related quality of                    |
| 5        |     | life of hemodialysis patients. J Pain Symptom Manage, 2010. <b>39</b> (3): p. 477-85.                            |
| 6<br>7   | 10. | Davison S, <i>Chronic pain in end-stage renal disease</i> . Adv Chronic Kidney Dis, 2005. <b>12</b> (3): p. 326- |
| 8        | 10. | 34.  |
| 9        |     |  |
| 10       | 11. | Kimmel PL, Emont SL, Newmann JM, Danko H, and Moss AH, ESRD patient quality of life:                             |
| 11       |     | symptoms, spiritual beliefs, psychosocial factors, and ethnicity. Am J Kidney Dis, 2003. <b>42</b> (4): p.       |
| 12       |     | 713-21.  |
| 13       | 12. | Leinau L, Murphy TE, Bradley E, and Fried T, Relationship between conditions addressed by                        |
| 14       |     | hemodialysis guidelines and non-ESRD-specific conditions affecting quality of life. Clin J Am Soc                |
| 15       |     | Nephrol, 2009. <b>4</b> (3): p. 572-8.   |
| 16       | 13. | Weisbord SD, Carmody SS, Bruns FJ, Rotondi AJ, Cohen LM, Zeidel ML, et al., Symptom burden,                      |
| 17       | 15. | quality of life, advance care planning and the potential value of palliative care in severely ill                |
| 18       |     |  |
| 19<br>20 |     | haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2003. <b>18</b> (7): p. 1345-52.                                |
| 20       | 14. | Weisbord SD, Fried LF, Arnold RM, Fine MJ, Levenson DJ, Peterson RA, et al., Prevalence,                         |
| 22       |     | severity, and importance of physical and emotional symptoms in chronic hemodialysis patients.                    |
| 23       |     | J Am Soc Nephrol, 2005. <b>16</b> (8): p. 2487-94.   |
| 24       | 15. | Gamondi C, Galli N, Schonholzer C, Marone C, Zwahlen H, Gabutti L, et al., Frequency and                         |
| 25       |     | severity of pain and symptom distress among patients with chronic kidney disease receiving                       |
| 26       |     | <i>dialysis.</i> Swiss Med Wkly, 2013. <b>143</b> : p. w13750.   |
| 27       | 16. | Smith BH, Elliott AM, Chambers WA, Smith WC, Hannaford PC, and Penny K, The impact of                            |
| 28       | 10. |  |
| 29       | 47  | chronic pain in the community. Fam Pract, 2001. <b>18</b> (3): p. 292-9.   |
| 30<br>31 | 17. | Merskey H, Lindblom U, Mumford JM, Nathan PW, and Sunderland S, Part III: Pain terms—a                           |
| 32       |     | current list with definitions and notes on usage with definitions and notes on usage In: Merskey                 |
| 33       |     | H, Bogduk N editor(s). Classification of Chronic Pain, IASP Task Force on Taxonomy. IASP Press,                  |
| 34       |     | 1994(2nd Edition): p. 209-14.  |
| 35       | 18. | Carr DB and Goudas LC, Acute pain. The Lancet, 1999. <b>353</b> (9169): p. 2051-2058.                            |
| 36       | 19. | Ashburn MA and Staats PS, Management of chronic pain. The Lancet, 1999. 353(9167): p. 1865-                      |
| 37       |     | 1869.  |
| 38       | 20. | Kanner R, <i>Pain Management.</i> JAMA, 1986. <b>256</b> (15): p. 2112-2114.                                     |
| 39       |     |  |
| 40       | 21. | Loeser J, Melzack R, <i>Pain: an overview.</i> The Lancet, 1999. <b>353</b> (9164): p. 1607-1609.                |
| 41       | 22. | Portenoy R and Dhingra L. Assessment of cancer pain. 2017 [cited 18/04 2018].                                    |
| 42<br>43 | 23. | Gregory J and McGowan L, An examination of the prevalence of acute pain for hospitalised adult                   |
| 44       |     | <i>patients: a systematic review.</i> J Clin Nurs, 2016. <b>25</b> (5-6): p. 583-98.                             |
| 45       | 24. | Treede R, Entstehung der schmerzchronifizierung. Rückenschmerzen und nackenschmerzen.                            |
| 46       |     | 2016: Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.  |
| 47       | 25. | American Geriatrics Society Panel Pharmacological management of persistent pain in older                         |
| 48       | 20. | persons. J Am Geriatr Soc, 2009. 57: p. 1331-46.   |
| 49       | 26  |  |
| 50       | 26. | Steingrimsdottir OA, Landmark T, Macfarlane GJ, and Nielsen CS, Defining chronic pain in                         |
| 51       |     | epidemiological studies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pain, 2017. 158(11): p. 2092-                    |
| 52       |     | 2107.  |
| 53       | 27. | Breivik H, Collett B, Ventafridda V, Cohen R, and Gallacher D, Survey of chronic pain in Europe:                 |
| 54<br>55 |     | prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. Eur J Pain, 2006. <b>10</b> (4): p. 287-333.                    |
| 55<br>56 |     |  |
| 57       |     |  |
| 58       |     |  |
| 59       |     |  |
| 60       |     | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml  |

Goldberg DS and McGee SJ, Pain as a global public health priority. BMC Public Health, 2011. 11:

- p. 770. 29. Gureje O, Von Korff M, Kola L, Demyttenaere K, He Y, Posada-Villa J, et al., The relation between multiple pains and mental disorders: results from the World Mental Health Surveys. PAIN, 2008. **135**(1-2): p. 82-91. 30. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Advancing Pain Research, Care, and Education, Relieving pain in America: A blueprint for transforming prevention, care, education, and research. National Academies Press 2011. 31. Koleva D, Pain in primary care: an Italian survey. Eur J Public Health, 2005. 15: p. 475–79. 32. Mantyselka P, Kumpusalo E, Ahonen R, Kumpusalo A, Kauhanen J, Viinamaki H, et al., Pain as a reason to visit the doctor: a study in Finnish primary health care. PAIN, 2001. 89(2-3): p. 175-80. 33. Treede RD, Rief W, Barke A, Aziz Q, Bennett MI, Benoliel R, et al., A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. PAIN, 2015. 156(6): p. 1003-7.
- 20<br/>21<br/>2234.Kelly DJ, Ahmad M, and Brull SJ, Preemptive analgesia I: physiological pathways and<br/>pharmacological modalities. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia, 2001. 48(10): p. 1000-1010.
  - 35. Pogatzki-Zahn EM, Segelcke D, and Schug SA, *Postoperative pain-from mechanisms to treatment*. Pain Rep, 2017. **2**(2): p. e588.
- <sup>25</sup> 36. Bajwa ZH, Wootton J, and Wippold II FJ. *Evaluation of headache in adults*. 2018 [cited 2018.
  - 37. Lipowski Z, Chronic idiopathic pain syndrome. Annals of Medicine, 1990. 22(4): p. 213-217.
  - 38. Goucke C, The management of persistent pain. Med J Aust, 2003. **178**(9): p. 444-7.
- 29 39. Chang V. Approach to symptom assessment in palliative care. 2018 [cited 2018.
- 40. Knowles CH and Aziz Q, Basic and clinical aspects of gastrointestinal pain. Pain, 2009. 141(3): p. 191-209.
   32. All Charles Charles Line in Contrast of Charles Line 2012, 12(4) = 705, 200.
- 41. Stein S L, *Chronic pelvic pain*. Gastroenterol Clin North Am, 2013. **42**(4): p. 785-800.
- 42. Schwartz ES and Gebhart GF, *Visceral pain*. Curr Top Behav Neurosci, 2014. **20**: p. 171-97.
- 43. Giamberardino M, Affaitati G, and Costantini R, *Chapter 24 Referred pain from internal organs*.
   Handb Clin Neurol, 2006. **81**: p. 343-61.
   An and State R. Jacob R. Jacob R. Jacob A. Kales F. Jacob A. S. et al. A new definition of neuronathic
  - 44. Jensen TS, Baron R, Haanpaa M, Kalso E, Loeser JD, Rice AS, et al., A new definition of neuropathic pain. Pain, 2011. **152**(10): p. 2204-5.
  - 45. Mannion RJ and Woolf CJ, *Pain mechanisms and management: a central perspective.* Clin J Pain, 2000. **16**(3 Suppl): p. S144-56.
- 42 46. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society, *The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version).* Cephalalgia, 2013. **33**: p. 629-45 808.
- 46
   47. Institute for clinical systems improvement, *Health care guideline: Assessment and management* 47
   48
   48
   48
   48
  - 48. Kehlet H, Jensen TS, and Woolf CJ, *Persistent postsurgical pain: Risk factors and prevention.* The Lancet, 2006. **367(9522):1618-25**.
  - 49. United Nations office on drugs and crime, *World Drug Report, United Nations.* 2016.
- 50. Russo E, Cannabinoids in the management of difficult to treat pain. Ther Clin Risk Manag, 2008.
   4(1): p. 245-59.
- 59 60

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

23

24

27

28

38

39

40 41

49

50

51

28.

| 1           |     |   |
|-------------|-----|---|
| 2           |     |   |
| 3           |     |   |
| 4<br>5<br>6 | 51. | Ueda N, Tsuboi K, and Uyama T, <i>Metabolic enzymes for endocannabinoids and endocannabinoid-like mediators</i> . 2015, Boston: Academic Press. |
| 7           | 52. | Devane WA, Hanus L, Breuer A, Pertwee RG, Stevenson LA, Griffin G, et al., Isolation and  |
| 8           |     | structure of a brain constituent that binds to the cannabinoid receptor. Science, 1992.   |
| 9           |     | <b>258</b> (5090): p. 1946-9.   |
| 10          | 53. | Mechoulam R, Ben-Shabat S, Hanus L, Ligumsky M, Kaminski NE, Schatz AR, et al., <i>Identification</i>   |
| 11          | 55. | of an endogenous 2-monoglyceride, present in canine gut, that binds to cannabinoid receptors.   |
| 12          |     |   |
| 13<br>14    | Γ 4 | Biochem Pharmacol, 1995. <b>50</b> (1): p. 83-90.   |
| 15          | 54. | Sugiura T, Kondo S, Sukagawa A, Nakane S, Shinoda A, Itoh K, et al., 2-Arachidonoylglycerol: a  |
| 16          |     | <i>possible endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand in brain.</i> Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 1995.  |
| 17          |     | <b>215</b> (1): p. 89-97.   |
| 18          | 55. | Shook JE and Burks TF, Psychoactive cannabinoids reduce gastrointestinal propulsion and   |
| 19          |     | motility in rodents. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, 1989. 249(2): p.  |
| 20<br>21    |     | 444-449.  |
| 21          | 56. | Calignano A, La Rana G, Giuffrida A, and Piomelli D, Control of pain initiation by endogenous   |
| 23          |     | cannabinoids. Nature, 1998. <b>394</b> (6690): p. 277-81.   |
| 24          | 57. | Jaggar S I, Hasnie F S, Sellaturay S, and Rice A S, The anti-hyperalgesic actions of the cannabinoid  |
| 25          |     | anandamide and the putative CB2 receptor agonist palmitoylethanolamide in visceral and  |
| 26          |     | somatic inflammatory pain. Pain, 1998. <b>76</b> (1-2): p. 189-99.  |
| 27          | 58. | Pacher P, Bátkai S, and Kunos G, The endocannabinoid system as an emerging target of  |
| 28<br>29    |     | <i>pharmacotherapy.</i> Pharmacol Rev, 2006. <b>58</b> (3): p. 389-462.   |
| 30          | 59. | Fisar Z, <i>Phytocannabinoids and endocannabinoids</i> . Curr Drug Abuse Rev, 2009. <b>2</b> (1): p. 51-75.                                     |
| 31          | 60. | Häuser W, Fitzcharles M, Radbruch L, and Petzke F, <i>Cannabinoids in pain management and</i>   |
| 32          | 00. | <i>palliative medicine.</i> Deutsches Arzteblatt international, 2017. <b>114</b> (38): p. 627-634.  |
| 33          | 61. | Hazekamp A, Ware MA, Muller-Vahl KR, Abrams D, and Grotenhermen F, <i>The medicinal use of</i>  |
| 34          | 01. |   |
| 35<br>36    |     | cannabis and cannabinoids—An international cross-sectional survey on administration forms.  |
| 37          | 6.2 | Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, 2013. <b>45</b> (3): p. 199-210.   |
| 38          | 62. | European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, Understanding the spice  |
| 39          |     | phenomenon. Lisabon, 2009.  |
| 40          | 63. | EMCDDA, Annual report on the state of the drugs problem in Europe. 2012.  |
| 41          | 64. | Watson SJ, Benson JA, and Joy JE, Marijuana and medicine: assessing the science base: a   |
| 42<br>43    |     | summary of the 1999 Institute of Medicine report. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 2000. 57(6): p. 547-52.  |
| 43<br>44    | 65. | Brenneisen R, Chemistry and analysis of phytocannabinoids and other cannabis constituents, in   |
| 45          |     | Marijuana and the Cannabinoids, ElSohly M A, Editor. 2007, Humana Press: Totowa, NJ. p. 17-   |
| 46          |     | 49.   |
| 47          | 66. | Hillard C, Weinlander K, and Stuhr K, Contributions of endocannabinoid signaling to psychiatric   |
| 48          |     | disorders in humans: genetic and biochemical evidence. Neuroscience, 2012. <b>204</b> : p. 207-29.  |
| 49<br>50    | 67. | Pertwee R, Cannabis and cannabinoids: Pharmacology and rationale for clinical use. Pharmacy   |
| 50<br>51    |     | and Pharmacology Communications, 1997. <b>3</b> (11): p. 539-545.   |
| 52          | 68. | Solinas M, Goldberg SR, and Piomelli D, <i>The endocannabinoid system in brain reward processes</i> .   |
| 53          | 00. | Br J Pharmacol, 2008. <b>154</b> (2): p. 369-83.  |
| 54          |     | Bi 3 i humacol, 2000. 10 (2). p. 303 03.  |
| 55          |     |   |
| 56<br>57    |     |   |
| 57<br>58    |     |   |
| 59          |     |   |
| 60          |     | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml   |

69. D'Souza D, Cortes-Briones J, Ranganathan M, Thurnauer H, Creatura G, Surti T, et al., Rapid Changes in CB1 Receptor Availability in Cannabis Dependent Males after Abstinence from Cannabis. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging, 2016. 1(1): p. 60-67.

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

49

51 52

53

- 70. American Psychiatric Association, Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. Fifth Edition. 2013.
- 71. Koppel BS, Brust J, Fife T, Bronstein J, Youssof S, Gronseth G, et al., Systematic review: efficacy and safety of medical marijuana in selected neurologic disorders: report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology, 2014. 82(17): p. 1556-63.
- 72. Gorelick D, Saxon A, and Hermann R Cannabis use and disorder: Pathogenesis and pharmacology. UpToDate Cannabis use and disorder: Pathogenesis and pharmacology, 2018. [cited Access 2018 Access Date].
- 73. Morean ME, Kong G, Camenga DR, Cavallo DA, and Krishnan-Sarin S, High school students' use of electronic cigarettes to vaporize cannabis. Pediatrics, 2015. **136**(4): p. 611-616.
- 74. Loflin M and Earleywine M, No smoke, no fire: What the initial literature suggests regarding vapourized cannabis and respiratory risk. Canadian journal of respiratory therapy, 2015. 51(1): p. 7-9.
- 75. Aggarwal SK, Carter GT, Sullivan MD, ZumBrunnen C, Morrill R, and Mayer JD, Medicinal use of cannabis in the United States: historical perspectives, current trends, and future directions. J Opioid Manag, 2009. 5(3): p. 153-68.
- 76. Stockings E, Zagic D, Campbell G, Weier M, Hall WD, Nielsen S, et al., Evidence for cannabis and cannabinoids for epilepsy: a systematic review of controlled and observational evidence. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry, 2018. 89(7): p. 741-753.
- 77. GW Pharmaceuticals, Sativex<sup>®</sup> approved in Denmark for the treatment of spasticity due to Multiple Sclerosis (MS). https://www.gwpharm.com/about-us/news/sativex®-approveddenmark-treatment-spasticity-due-multiple-sclerosis-ms, 2011. 2018.
- ABC NEWS, STANFORD MEDICAL CENTER POLL: Broad Experience with Pain Sparks a Search for 78. Relief. 2005.
- 79. Aviram J and Samuelly-Leichtag G, Efficacy of Cannabis-Based Medicines for Pain Management: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Pain Physician, 2017. 20(6): p. E755-e796.
- 80. Lynch M, Campbell, F, Cannabinoids for treatment of chronic non-cancer pain; a systematic review of randomized trials. Br J Clin Pharmacol, 2011. 72(5): p. 735-44.
- 44 81. Meng H, Johnston B, Englesakis M, Moulin DE, and Bhatia A, Selective Cannabinoids for Chronic 45 Neuropathic Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Anesth Analg, 2017. 125(5): p. 1638-46 47 1652. 48
- 82. Boychuk DG, Goddard G, Mauro G, and Orellana MF, The effectiveness of cannabinoids in the management of chronic nonmalignant neuropathic pain: a systematic review. J Oral Facial Pain 50 Headache, 2015. 29(1): p. 7-14.
  - 83. Martin-Sanchez E, Furukawa TA, Taylor J, and Martin JL, Systematic review and meta-analysis of cannabis treatment for chronic pain. Pain Med, 2009. 10(8): p. 1353-68.
    - For peer review only http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| 1<br>2                           |     |   |
|----------------------------------|-----|---|
| 2<br>3                           |     |   |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7                 | 84. | Campbell FA, Tramèr MR, Carroll D, Reynolds DJ, Moore RA, and McQuay HJ, Are cannabinoids an effective and safe treatment option in the management of pain? A qualitative systematic review. BMJ (Clinical research ed.), 2001. <b>323</b> (7303): p. 13-16.                                  |
| 7<br>8<br>9<br>10                | 85. | Deshpande A, Mailis-Gagnon A, Zoheiry N, and Lakha SF, Efficacy and adverse effects of medical marijuana for chronic noncancer pain: Systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Can   |
| 10                               |     | Fam Physician, 2015. <b>61</b> (8): p. e372-81.   |
| 12<br>13<br>14                   | 86. | Stevens AJ and Higgins MD, A systematic review of the analgesic efficacy of cannabinoid medications in the management of acute pain. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand, 2017. <b>61</b> (3): p. 268-280.  |
| 15<br>16<br>17                   | 87. | Walitt B, Klose P, Fitzcharles MA, Phillips T, and Hauser W, <i>Cannabinoids for fibromyalgia</i> .<br>Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2016. <b>7</b> : p. Cd011694.  |
| 18<br>19                         | 88. | Mucke M, Phillips T, Radbruch L, Petzke F, and Hauser W, <i>Cannabis-based medicines for chronic neuropathic pain in adults</i> . Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2018. <b>3</b> : p. Cd012182.   |
| 20<br>21<br>22<br>23             | 89. | Boydell J, Van Os J, Caspi A, Kennedy N, Giouroukou E, Fearon P, et al., <i>Trends in cannabis use prior to first presentation with schizophrenia, in South-East London between 1965 and 1999.</i> Psychological Medicine, 2006. <b>36</b> (10): p. 1441-1446.                                |
| 24<br>25                         | 90. | Andreasson S, Allebeck P, Engstrom A, and Rydberg U, <i>Cannabis and schizophrenia</i> . A <i>longitudinal study of Swedish conscripts</i> . Lancet, 1987. <b>2</b> (8574): p. 1483-6.  |
| 26<br>27<br>28<br>29             | 91. | Arseneault L, Cannon M, Poulton R, Murray R, Caspi A, and Moffitt TE, <i>Cannabis use in adolescence and risk for adult psychosis: longitudinal prospective study.</i> Bmj, 2002. <b>325</b> (7374): p. 1212-3.   |
| 30<br>31                         | 92. | van Os J, Bak M, Hanssen M, Bijl R V, de Graaf R, and Verdoux H, <i>Cannabis use and psychosis: a longitudinal population-based study.</i> Am J Epidemiol, 2002. <b>156</b> (4): p. 319-27.   |
| 32<br>33<br>34<br>35             | 93. | Zammit S, Allebeck P, Andreasson S, Lundberg I, and Lewis G, Self reported cannabis use as a risk factor for schizophrenia in Swedish conscripts of 1969: historical cohort study. Bmj, 2002. <b>325</b> (7374): p. 1199.   |
| 36<br>37                         | 94. | Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, and Ridder EM, <i>Tests of causal linkages between cannabis use and psychotic symptoms</i> . Addiction, 2005. <b>100</b> (3): p. 354-66.  |
| 38<br>39<br>40<br>41             | 95. | Henquet C, Krabbendam L, Spauwen J, Kaplan C, Lieb R, Wittchen HU, et al., <i>Prospective cohort study of cannabis use, predisposition for psychosis, and psychotic symptoms in young people.</i> Bmj, 2005. <b>330</b> (7481): p. 11.  |
| 42<br>43<br>44<br>45             | 96. | Di Forti M, Quattrone D, Freeman TP, Tripoli G, Gayer-Anderson C, Quigley H, et al., <i>The contribution of cannabis use to variation in the incidence of psychotic disorder across Europe (EU-GEI): a multicentre case-control study.</i> Lancet Psychiatry, 2019. <b>6</b> (5): p. 427-436. |
| 46<br>47<br>48                   | 97. | Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al., <i>Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation.</i> Bmj, 2015. <b>350</b> : p. g7647.  |
| 49<br>50<br>51<br>52             | 98. | Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al., <i>Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement</i> . Syst Rev, 2015. <b>4</b> : p. 1.   |
| 53<br>54<br>55<br>56<br>57<br>58 | 99. | International conference on harmonisation of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use (ICH) adopts consolidated guideline on good clinical practice in  |
| 59                               |     | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml   |
| 60                               |     | For peer review only integr/ only periodify contracted upout guidelines. And in   |

the conduct of clinical trials on medicinal products for human use. Int Dig Health Legis, 1997. 48(2): p. 231-4. 100. Higgins J and Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1.0. www.handbook.cochrane.org. 2011. Review Manager (RevMan). 2014, Copenhagen: the Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane 101. Collaboration. 102. TSA—trial sequential analysis. Copenhagen Trial Unit. 103. StataCorp: Stata: Release 14. 2014, College Station, TX: StataCorp LP. 104. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, and Altman DG, Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLOS Medicine, 2009. 6(7): p. e1000097. 105. Gluud LL, Bias in clinical intervention research. Am J Epidemiol, 2006. **163**(6): p. 493-501. 106. Kjaergard LL, Villumsen J, and Gluud C, Reported methodologic quality and discrepancies between large and small randomized trials in meta-analyses. Ann Intern Med, 2001. 135(11): p. 982-9. 107. Lundh A, Sismondo, S, Lexchin, J, Busuioc, OA, Bero, L, Industry sponsorship and research outcome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2012. 12: p. Mr000033. Moher D, Pham, B, Jones, A, Cook, DJ, Jadad, AR, Moher, M et al., Does quality of reports of 108. randomised trials affect estimates of intervention efficacy reported in meta-analyses? Lancet, 1998. **352**(9128): p. 609-13. 109. Schulz KF, Chalmers I, Hayes RJ, and Altman DG, Empirical evidence of bias. Dimensions of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trials. JAMA, 1995. **273**(5): p. 408-12. 110. Wood L, Egger M, Gluud L, Schulz K, Jüni P, Altman D, et al., Empirical evidence of bias in treatment effect estimates in controlled trials with different interventions and outcomes: metaepidemiological study. BMJ, 2008. 336(7644): p. 601-605. Savovic J, Jones H, Altman D, Harris R, Juni P, Pildal J, et al., Influence of reported study design 111. characteristics on intervention effect estimates from randomised controlled trials: combined analysis of meta-epidemiological studies. Health Technol Assess, 2012. 16(35): p. 1-82. 112. Higgins JP and Thompson SG, Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med, 2002. 21(11): p. 1539-58. 113. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, and Altman DG, Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ, 2003. **327**(7414): p. 557-60. Harbord RM, Egger M, and Sterne JA, A modified test for small-study effects in meta-analyses of 114. controlled trials with binary endpoints. Stat Med, 2006. 25(20): p. 3443-57. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, and Minder C, Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, 115. graphical test. BMJ, 1997. 315(7109): p. 629-34. 116. Begg CB and Mazumdar M, Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics, 1994. 50(4): p. 1088-101. Elbourne D, Altman D, Higgins J, Curtin F, Worthington H, and Vail A, Meta-analyses involving 117. cross-over trials: methodological issues. International Journal of Epidemiology, 2002. 31(1): p. 140-149.

59 60

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51 52

53

| 1        |      |   |
|----------|------|---|
| 2<br>3   |      |   |
| 4        | 110  | Jakobson JC Wattarslay I Winkel D. Lange T. and Cluud C. Thresholds for statistical and clinical                      |
| 5        | 118. | Jakobsen JC, Wetterslev J, Winkel P, Lange T, and Gluud C, <i>Thresholds for statistical and clinical</i>             |
| 6        |      | <i>significance in systematic reviews with meta-analytic methods.</i> BMC Med Res Methodol, 2014.                     |
| 7        | 440  | <b>14</b> : p. 120.   |
| 8<br>9   | 119. | Hagg O, Fritzell P, and Nordwall A, The clinical importance of changes in outcome scores after                        |
| 9<br>10  |      | treatment for chronic low back pain. Eur Spine J, 2003. <b>12</b> (1): p. 12-20.                                      |
| 11       | 120. | Jaeschke R, Singer J, and Guyatt GH, Measurement of health status. Ascertaining the minimal                           |
| 12       |      | <i>clinically important difference.</i> Control Clin Trials, 1989. <b>10</b> (4): p. 407-15.                          |
| 13       | 121. | Olsen MF, Bjerre E, Hansen MD, Hilden J, Landler NE, Tendal B, et al., Pain relief that matters to                    |
| 14       |      | patients: systematic review of empirical studies assessing the minimum clinically important                           |
| 15<br>16 |      | <i>difference in acute pain.</i> BMC Med, 2017. <b>15</b> (1): p. 35.   |
| 17       | 122. | Olsen MF, Bjerre E, Hansen MD, Tendal B, Hilden J, and Hrobjartsson A, Minimum clinically                             |
| 18       |      | important differences in chronic pain vary considerably by baseline pain and methodological                           |
| 19       |      | factors: systematic review of empirical studies. J Clin Epidemiol, 2018. <b>101</b> : p. 87-106.e2.                   |
| 20       | 123. | Keus F, Wetterslev J, Gluud C, and van Laarhoven CJ, Evidence at a glance: error matrix approach                      |
| 21       |      | for overviewing available evidence. BMC Med Res Methodol, 2010. <b>10</b> : p. 90.                                    |
| 22       | 124. | DerSimonian R and Laird N, <i>Meta-analysis in clinical trials</i> . Control Clin Trials, 1986. <b>7</b> (3): p. 177- |
| 23<br>24 |      | 88.   |
| 25       | 125. | Demets DL, Methods for combining randomized clinical trials: strengths and limitations. Stat                          |
| 26       | 125. | Med, 1987. <b>6</b> (3): p. 341-50.   |
| 27       | 120  |   |
| 28       | 126. | Jakobsen J C, Wetterslev J, Lange T, and Gluud C, Viewpoint: taking into account risks of random                      |
| 29       |      | errors when analysing multiple outcomes in systematic reviews. Cochrane Database of                                   |
| 30<br>31 |      | Systematic Reviews, 2016(3).  |
| 32       | 127. | Wetterslev J, Thorlund K, Brok J, and Gluud C, Trial sequential analysis may establish when firm                      |
| 33       |      | <i>evidence is reached in cumulative meta-analysis.</i> J Clin Epidemiol, 2008. <b>61</b> (1): p. 64-75.              |
| 34       | 128. | Thorlund K W J, Brok J, Imberger G, Gluud C, User manual for trial sequential analysis (TSA).                         |
| 35       |      | 2011.   |
| 36       | 129. | Brok J, Thorlund K, Gluud C, and Wetterslev J, Trial sequential analysis reveals insufficient                         |
| 37       |      | information size and potentially false positive results in many meta-analyses. J Clin Epidemiol,                      |
| 38<br>39 |      | 2008. <b>61</b> (8): p. 763-9.  |
| 40       | 130. | Brok J, Thorlund K, Wetterslev J, and Gluud C, Apparently conclusive meta-analyses may be                             |
| 41       |      | inconclusiveTrial sequential analysis adjustment of random error risk due to repetitive testing                       |
| 42       |      | of accumulating data in apparently conclusive neonatal meta-analyses. Int J Epidemiol, 2009.                          |
| 43       |      | <b>38</b> (1): p. 287-98.   |
| 44       | 131. | Thorlund K, Devereaux PJ, Wetterslev J, Guyatt G, Ioannidis JP, Thabane L, et al., <i>Can trial</i>                   |
| 45<br>46 | 101. | sequential monitoring boundaries reduce spurious inferences from meta-analyses? Int J                                 |
| 40<br>47 |      | Epidemiol, 2009. <b>38</b> (1): p. 276-86.  |
| 48       | 122  | Wetterslev J, Thorlund K, Brok J, and Gluud C, Estimating required information size by                                |
| 49       | 132. |   |
| 50       |      | <i>quantifying diversity in random-effects model meta-analyses.</i> BMC Med Res Methodol, 2009. <b>9</b> :            |
| 51       |      | p. 86.  |
| 52       | 133. | Thorlund K, Anema A, and Mills E, Interpreting meta-analysis according to the adequacy of                             |
| 53<br>54 |      | sample size. An example using isoniazid chemoprophylaxis for tuberculosis in purified protein                         |
| 55       |      | derivative negative HIV-infected individuals. Clin Epidemiol, 2010. <b>2</b> : p. 57-66.                              |
| 56       |      |   |
| 57       |      |   |
| 58       |      |   |
| 59<br>60 |      | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml   |
| 00       |      | · ····································  |

- 134. Imberger G, Gluud C, Boylan J, and Wetterslev J, Systematic reviews of anesthesiologic interventions reported as statistically significant: problems with power, precision, and type 1 error protection. Anesth Analg, 2015. **121**(6): p. 1611-22.
  - 135. Imberger G, Thorlund K, Gluud C, and Wetterslev J, *False-positive findings in Cochrane metaanalyses with and without application of trial sequential analysis: an empirical review.* BMJ Open, 2016. **6**(8).
- 136. Guyatt G, Oxman A, Vist G, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al., *GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations.* BMJ, 2008. **336**(7650): p. 924-926.
- 137. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Schunemann HJ, Tugwell P, and Knottnerus A, *GRADE guidelines: a new* series of articles in the Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. J Clin Epidemiol, 2011. **64**(4): p. 380-2.
- 138. Schunemann HJ, Best D, Vist G, and Oxman AD, *Letters, numbers, symbols and words: how to communicate grades of evidence and recommendations.* Cmaj, 2003. **169**(7): p. 677-80.
- 139. Voscopoulos C and Lema M, When does acute pain become chronic? Br J Anaesth, 2010. 105 Suppl 1: p. i69-85.

## Appendix

## **Minimal important difference**

For the determination of minimal important differences in clinical trials two types of methods are available; anchor-based methods and distributional-based methods [1].

## Anchor-based methods

Anchor-based methods relate the change in on a person reported outcome score, (e.g. a score on the visual analog scale (VAS)) to a subjective global assessment rating (e.g. scores from the Clinical Global Impressions-Improvement (CGI-I)) which is used as an 'anchor' [1]. Ideally, there needs to be an established association between the person reported outcome score and the 'anchor' to make any meaningful inference about a minimal important difference [2].

There are two subtypes of anchor-based methods, i.e., the 'within-patient score' and the 'between-patients score' [1].

- Within-patient score defines minimal important difference as the average minimal change in a given person's reported outcome score that leads to a clinically observable change in the subjective global assessment rating (the latter is used as an anchor) [1]. For example, to ascertain the minimal important difference regarding depression management, Moncrieff et. al describes the linking of within-patient scores (change from baseline) scores on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (the most commonly used depression rating scale) to scores on the Clinical Global Impressions-Improvement (CGI-I) scale, a scale which rates improvement on a scale of 1 (very much improved from baseline) through 4 (no change from baseline) to 7 (very much worse from baseline) [3]. Moncrieff et. al conclude that seven points on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale correspond to a minimal important difference when using within-patient scores [3].
- The between-patients score method, also known as 'the group difference' method, compare the reported outcome scores between a group of people with no clinically observable change (based on a subjective global assessment rating (used as an anchor)) to a group of people with clinically observable change (based on a subjective global assessment rating (used as an anchor)). The minimal important difference is then estimated as the mean difference between these two groups [4]. For example, Musoro et. al defines the minimal important difference (MID) as the group difference in terms of quality of life assessed by HRQOL scores [5]. Participants were assigned to distinct subgroups reflecting various levels of change (e.g. no change, small positive changes, large positive changes, small negative changes or large negative changes). The group difference was identified by the

comparison of the average of the HRQOL scores of the group of participants with at 'small change' to the HRQOL scores of the group of participants with 'no change' [5].

There are also other anchor-based methods (e.g. the sensitivity- and specificity-based method and the social comparison method) [1]. The sensitivity- and specificity-based method aims to identify the minimal important difference that allows for the best discrimination between groups of patients (i.e., the score that produces the greatest sensitivity and specificity) [1]. For example, an outcome measure (e.g. NRS score) is considered a 'diagnostic test' and the anchor (e.g. Global Perceived Effect) is used as gold standard and hence standard methods may be used to estimate sensitivity and specificity. Sensitivity is the proportion of patients who report an improvement on the external criterion (anchor) and whose person reported outcome scores are above the threshold minimal important difference value [1]. Specificity is the proportion of patients who do not report an improvement on the external criterion (anchor) and whose person reported outcome scores are below the threshold minimal important difference value [1]. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves are then used to identify the person reported outcome score with the greatest sensitivity and specificity [6-8].

#### The distributional-based methods

Distribution-based methods are based on the statistical characteristics of the obtained sample [9]. Crosby et. al [9] have identified two general types of distribution-based methods for estimations of minimal important differences:

The first type of distribution-based method evaluate change in relation to sample variation [9]. Different types of variation can be used: effect size, standardised response mean, and responsiveness statistic [9]. The effect size represents individual change in relation to the number of pre-test standard deviations (SDs) [9]. Cohen et. al has suggested benchmarks to better interpret the effect sizes: .20 for "small" effects, .50 for "moderate" effects, and .80 for "large" effects [10]. Whereas the effect size is the ratio of individual change to the baseline standard deviation of the sample, standardised response mean is the ratio of individual change to the standard deviation of that change [11]. A large standardised response mean indicates that the change is large in comparison to the background variability in the measurements [9]. Guyatt et. al has proposed a responsiveness statistic as a variation of standardised response mean; calculated by dividing the difference between pre-test and post-test by the standard deviation of change observed for a group of stable participants [12].

Page 35 of 61

#### **BMJ** Open

The second type distribution-based method is based on the measurement precision of the instrument [9]. This method include the standard error of the mean (SEM) and evaluate the change in relation to variation of the instrument as opposed to variation in the sample [9]. Standard error of the mean (SEM) is a measure of the precision of a test instrument and considered an attribute of the measure and not a characteristic of the sample per se [13]. The standard error of the mean (SEM) for a given measure is likely to vary across samples depending upon the method used to estimate reliability and the presence of extreme scores [9]. Different thresholds for a minimal important difference have been suggested, i.e., values of 1 SEM [14], 1.96 SEM [15], and 2.77 SEM [13, 15].

In conclusion, different methods for estimating minimal important differences exist, but no single method has been shown to be the optimal method. The question of whether to use anchor-based or distribution-based methods for determining clinically meaningful change has received considerable attention and debate [9]. Dworkin et. al defined the clinical importance of patient improvement as the clinically important changes in individuals that can be identified using either within-patient anchor-based method or distributional-based method [16, 17], while the clinical importance of group differences could be the clinical difference between a treatment group and a placebo group or between two different treatment groups [18]. Dworkin et. al claim that the clinical important difference identified in individuals cannot be directly extrapolated to the evaluation of group differences [17, 19-22]. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration also states in their web site "When defining meaningful change on an individual patient basis, that definition is generally larger than the minimum important difference for application to group mean comparisons" [22].

While it is claimed that the within-patient differences are larger than the between-group difference [22], based on the studies included in our review we are not able to find a significant difference between the minimal important difference estimated by the two different methods.

#### Previously conducted reviews on this subject

- Lynch & Campbell and Boychuk et. al both concluded that cannabinoids are a modestly effective and a safe treatment option for neuropathic pain [23, 24]. Lynch & Campbell and Boychuk et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [23, 24].
- Meng et. al concluded that there is moderate quality evidence to suggest that nabiximols (phytocannabinoid mixture) is effective in reducing neuropathic pain [25].

- Mücke et. al concluded that there is no high-quality evidence for the efficacy of any cannabis-based medicine in any condition with chronic neuropathic pain [26]. Mücke et. al further concluded that some adverse events may limit the clinical usefulness of cannabis-based medicines [26].
- Deshpande et. al concluded that current evidence suggests that very low-dose medical marijuana (< 34 mg/d) is associated with an improvement in refractory neuropathic pain of moderate severity in adults using concurrent analgesics. Deshpande et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [27].
- Martín-Sánchez et. al concluded that treatment of chronic pain based on cannabinoid compounds would entail more risk of adverse events than benefit [28]. Martín-Sánchez et. al included trials randomising participants with either neuropathic pain, cancer pain, fibromyalgia related pain and nociceptive pain [28]. Martín-Sánchez et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [28].
- Aviram et. al concluded that cannabinoid-based medicines were not effective for postoperative pain, however further investigation is advised [29]. Aviram et. al also concluded that evidence suggests a moderate to good treatment effect on neuropathic pain [29]. Furthermore, neuropathic pain patients should be advised that the inhalation of cannabinoids showed relatively better pain reduction effects than other routes of administration [29]. Aviram et. al stated that the total number of adverse events that were accumulated in the meta-analysis indicated that cannabinoid-based medicines should be used with caution [29]. Aviram et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [29].
- Campbell et. al concluded that levonantradol (synthetic cannabinoid analogue) was superior to placebo on postoperative pain but no more effective than codeine [30]. Campbell et. al also stated that there are suggestions of efficacy in spasticity and in neuropathic pain and that increasing the cannabinoid dose to increase the analgesia will increase adverse effects [30]. Campbell et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [30].
- Stevens et. al concluded that cannabinoids have no role in the management of acute pain, but cannabinoids were found to be well-tolerated, with most reported adverse effects only mild to moderate in severity [31].
- Walitt et. al concluded that no convincing, unbiased evidence suggests that nabilone (synthetic cannabinoid analog) is of value in treating people with fibromyalgia [32]. The tolerability of nabilone was low and adverse events (particularly somnolence, dizziness, vertigo) may limit its clinical usefulness [32].

#### TABEL 1

Page 37 of 61

1

## BMJ Open

Conc lusio n

Over all ther e is evid ence that cann abin oids are safe and mod estly effec tive in neur opat hic pain with preli mina ry evid ence of effic асу

| Firs | Titl      | Ye  | De  | Туре        | Ту         | Inform         | No       | N   | Р  | Outco            | As | As        | Acc  | U |
|------|-----------|-----|-----|-------------|------------|----------------|----------|-----|----|------------------|----|-----------|------|---|
| t    | e         | ar  | sig | of          | pe         | ation          |          | 0.  | u  | mes              | se | se        | oun  | S |
| aut  |           | of  | n   | cann        | S          | source         | of       | of  | bl |                  | SS | SS        | ts   | e |
| hor  |           | pu  |     | abin        | of         | S              | tri      | pa  | is |                  | m  | m         | for  | 0 |
|      |           | bli |     | oid         | par        |                | als      | rti | h  |                  | en | en        | ran  | f |
|      |           | са  |     |             | tici       |                |          | ci  | e  |                  | t  | t         | do   | t |
|      |           | tio |     |             | ра         |                |          | pa  | d  |                  | of | of        | m    | h |
|      |           | n   |     |             | nts        |                |          | nt  | pr |                  | ad | ris       | erro | e |
|      |           |     |     |             |            |                |          | s   | ot |                  | ve | k         | r    | G |
|      |           |     |     |             |            |                |          |     | ос |                  | rs | of        |      | R |
|      |           |     |     |             |            |                |          |     | ol |                  | e  | bi        |      | А |
|      |           |     |     |             |            |                |          |     |    |                  | ev | as        |      | D |
|      |           |     |     |             |            |                |          |     |    |                  | en |           |      | Е |
|      |           |     |     |             |            |                |          |     |    |                  | ts |           |      |   |
| Lyn  | Ca        | 20  | Sys | Phyt        | Ne         | PubMe          | 18       | 76  | Ν  | The              | Ye | Ye        | No   | Ν |
| ch   | nn        | 11  | te  | ocan        | ur         | d <i>,</i>     | tri      | 6   | 0  | primar           | S  | s,        |      | 0 |
| &    | abi       |     | ma  | nabi        | ор         | EMBAS          | als      |     |    | У                |    | ex        |      |   |
| Ca   | noi       |     | tic | noid        | ath        | Ε,             | со       |     |    | outco            |    | ce        |      |   |
| mp   | ds        |     | Re  | s;          | ic .       | CINAH          | m        |     |    | me               |    | pt        |      |   |
| bell | for       |     | vie | Smo         | pai        | L              | pa       |     |    | was              |    | fo        |      |   |
| [23  | tre       |     | w   | ked         | n,         | (EBSCO         | rin      |     |    | pain in          |    | r         |      |   |
| ]    | at        |     |     | cann        | fib        | ),<br>Developf | g        |     |    | subject          |    | re        |      |   |
|      | me        |     |     | abis,       | ro         | PsycInf        | th       |     |    | s with<br>chroni |    | po<br>rti |      |   |
|      | nt        |     |     | oro         | my         | o<br>(EBSCO    | e<br>int |     |    | cinoni           |    |           |      |   |
|      | of        |     |     | muc<br>osal | alg<br>ia, | ), The         | er       |     |    | non-ca           |    | ng<br>bi  |      |   |
|      | chr       |     |     | extra       | rh         | Cochra         | ve       |     |    | ncer             |    | as,       |      |   |
|      | oni       |     |     | cts         | eu         | ne             | nti      |     |    | pain.            |    | pu        |      |   |
|      | С         |     |     | of          | ma         | Library        | on       |     |    | pann             |    | bli       |      |   |
|      | no        |     |     | cann        | toi        | , ISI          | wi       |     |    | The              |    | са        |      |   |
|      | n-c       |     |     | abis-       | d          | Web of         | th       |     |    | second           |    | tio       |      |   |
|      | an        |     |     | base        | art        | Scienc         | pla      |     |    | ary              |    | n         |      |   |
|      | cer       |     |     | d           | hri        | e, ABI         | ce       |     |    | outco            |    | bi        |      |   |
|      | pai<br>n; |     |     | medi        | tis,       | Inform         | bo       |     |    | mes              |    | as        |      |   |
|      | a ii,     |     |     | cine,       | an         | (Proqu         |          |     |    | were             |    | an        |      |   |
|      | sys       |     |     | and         | d          | est),          |          |     |    | sleep,           |    | d         |      |   |
|      | te        |     |     | synt        | mi         | Dissert        |          |     |    | functio          |    | fo        |      |   |
|      | ma        |     |     | hetic       | xe         | ation          |          |     |    | n, and           |    | r-        |      |   |
|      | tic       |     |     | cann        | d          | Abstra         |          |     |    | quality          |    | pr        |      |   |
|      | rev       |     |     | abin        | chr        | cts            |          |     |    | of life.         |    | ofi       |      |   |
|      | ie        |     |     | oids;       | oni        | (Proqu         |          |     |    |                  |    | t         |      |   |
|      | w         |     |     | nabil       | С          | est),          |          |     |    |                  |    | bi        |      |   |
|      | of        |     |     | one,        | pai        | Acade          |          |     |    |                  |    | as        |      |   |
|      | ran       |     |     | dron        | n.         | mic            |          |     |    |                  |    |           |      |   |
|      | do        |     |     | abin        |            | Search         |          |     |    |                  |    |           |      |   |

|                                   | mi<br>ze<br>d<br>tria<br>ls  | 20    |  | ol<br>and<br>a<br>nove<br>I THC<br>anal<br>ogue                  |   | Premie<br>r<br>(EBSCO<br>),<br>Clinical<br>Trials.g<br>ov,<br>TrialsC<br>entral.<br>org,<br>individ<br>ual<br>pharm<br>aceutic<br>al<br>compa<br>ny<br>trials<br>sites<br>for Eli<br>Lilly<br>and<br>GlaxoS<br>mithKli<br>ne,<br>OAIste<br>r<br>(OCLC)<br>and<br>Google<br>Scholar |  |       |   |  |    |    |  |             | in<br>fibro<br>myal<br>gia<br>and<br>rheu<br>mato<br>id<br>arthr<br>itis.<br>Did<br>not<br>pool<br>data<br>for<br>meta<br>-<br>analy<br>sis<br>but<br>data<br>was<br>descr<br>ibed<br>quali<br>tativ<br>ely. |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------|--|--|---|--|--|-------|---|--|----|----|--|-------------|--|
| Me<br>ng<br>et.<br>al<br>[25<br>] | Sel<br>ect<br>ive<br>Ca<br>nn<br>abi<br>noi<br>ds<br>for<br>Ch<br>ro | 20 17 | Sys<br>te<br>ma<br>tic<br>Re<br>vie<br>w<br>an<br>d<br>Me<br>ta- | Dron<br>abin<br>ol,<br>nabil<br>one<br>and<br>nabi<br>ximo<br>ls | Ne<br>ur<br>op<br>ath<br>ic<br>pai<br>n | Medlin<br>e,<br>Embas<br>e,<br>Cochra<br>ne<br>Library<br>,<br>PROSP<br>ERO,<br>clinical   | 11<br>(1<br>o<br>tri<br>als<br>co<br>m<br>pa<br>rin<br>g<br>th | 12 19 | 0 | The<br>primar<br>y<br>outco<br>me<br>was<br>intensi<br>ty of<br>pain<br>record<br>ed | Ye | Ye | Bon<br>ferr<br>oni<br>adju<br>stm<br>ent<br>for<br>mul<br>tipl<br>e<br>testi | Y<br>e<br>s | Selec<br>tive<br>cann<br>abin<br>oids<br>provi<br>de a<br>small<br>analg<br>esic<br>bene   |

Page 39 of 61

1 2

|        | nic | an  | trials.g | e   | after a  | ng   | fit in |
|--------|-----|-----|----------|-----|----------|------|--------|
|        | Ne  | aly | ov, and  | int | minim    | was  | patie  |
|        | ur  | sis | Google   | er  | um of    | not  | nts    |
|        | ор  |     | Scholar  | ve  | 2        | perf | with   |
|        | ath |     |          | nti | weeks    | orm  | chro   |
| 0      | ic  |     |          | on  | followi  | ed   | nic    |
| 1<br>2 | Pai |     | Pain     | wi  | ng       | as   | neur   |
| 3      | n:  |     | societi  | th  | initiati | per  | opat   |
| 4      | A   |     | es       | pla | on of    | rec  | hic    |
| 5      | Sys |     | (Ameri   | ce  | selecti  | om   | pain   |
| 6      |     |     |          | 1 1 |          |      | pain   |
| 7      | te  |     | can      | bo  | ve       | me   |        |
| 8      | ma  |     | Society  | )   | cannab   | nda  |        |
| 9<br>0 | tic |     | of       |     | inoid    | tion |        |
| 1      | Re  |     | Anesth   |     | and      | s in |        |
| 2      | vie |     | esiolog  |     | placeb   | the  |        |
| 3      | w   |     | ists,    |     | o/com    | Coc  |        |
| 4      | an  |     | Europe   |     | parato   | hra  |        |
| 5      | d   |     | an       |     | r        | ne   |        |
| 6      | Me  |     | Society  |     | admini   | Han  |        |
| 7<br>8 | ta- |     | of       |     | stratio  | dbo  |        |
| 9      | an  |     | Anaest   |     | n,       | ok.  |        |
| 0      | aly |     | hesiolo  |     | expres   |      |        |
| 1      | sis |     | gy,      |     | sed on   |      |        |
| 2      | 515 |     | Interna  |     | an NRS   |      |        |
| 3      |     |     | tional   |     | (0—no    |      |        |
| 4<br>5 |     |     | Associ   |     | pain to  |      |        |
| 6      |     |     | ation    |     | 10—      |      |        |
| 7      |     |     |          |     |          |      |        |
| 8      |     |     | for the  |     | worst    |      |        |
| 9      |     |     | Study    |     | possibl  |      |        |
| 0      |     |     | of       |     | е        |      |        |
| 1      |     |     | Pain,    |     | pain).   |      |        |
| 2 3    |     |     | Americ   |     |          |      |        |
| 4      |     |     | an       |     | Second   |      |        |
| 5      |     |     | Society  |     | ary      |      |        |
| 6      |     |     | of       |     | outco    |      |        |
| 7      |     |     | Region   |     | mes      |      |        |
| 8      |     |     | al       |     | were     |      |        |
| 9      |     |     | Anesth   |     | presen   |      |        |
| 0      |     |     | esia     |     | ce or    |      |        |
| 2      |     |     | and      |     | absenc   |      |        |
| 3      |     |     | Pain     |     | e of     |      |        |
| 4      |     |     | Medici   |     | analge   |      |        |
| 5      |     |     |          |     | -        |      |        |
| 6      |     |     | ne,      |     | sia      |      |        |
| 7      |     |     | Europe   |     | define   |      |        |
| 8<br>9 |     |     | an       |     | d as     |      |        |

| Society  | reducti  |  |
|----------|----------|--|
| of       | on in    |  |
| Region   | pain     |  |
| al       | scores   |  |
| Anesth   | (NRS/V   |  |
| esia     | AS) by   |  |
| and      | ≥30%     |  |
| Pain     | at 2     |  |
|          | weeks    |  |
| Therap   |          |  |
| y, and   | or       |  |
| World    | more     |  |
| Institut | after    |  |
| e of     | initiati |  |
| Pain)    | on of    |  |
| in the   | interve  |  |
| last 2   | ntion,   |  |
| years    | quality  |  |
| were     | of life  |  |
| also     | (QoL),   |  |
| search   | physic   |  |
| ed.      | al       |  |
|          | functio  |  |
|          | n,       |  |
|          | psycho   |  |
|          | logical  |  |
|          | functio  |  |
|          | n,       |  |
|          | sleep,   |  |
|          | overall  |  |
|          | patient  |  |
|          | satisfa  |  |
|          | ction,   |  |
|          | and      |  |
|          | the      |  |
|          | inciden  |  |
|          | ce of    |  |
|          | advers   |  |
|          | e        |  |
|          | effects  |  |
|          | of       |  |
|          | selecti  |  |
|          | ve       |  |
|          | cannab   |  |
|          | inoids.  |  |

| 2<br>3<br>4 |      |     |    | 1   | -     | - • |         | -  | - | _ |         | 1  | 1       | 1  | 1 | _          |
|-------------|------|-----|----|-----|-------|-----|---------|----|---|---|---------|----|---------|----|---|------------|
| 5           | Ma   | Sys | 20 | Me  | Phyt  | Ch  | Medlin  | 18 | ? | Ν | The     | Ye | Ye      | No | Ν | Curr       |
| 6           | rtín | te  | 09 | ta- | ocan  | ro  | e/Pub   |    |   | 0 | primar  | S  | s,      |    | 0 | ently      |
| 7           | -Sá  | ma  |    | an  | nabi  | nic | med,    |    |   |   | У       |    | ex      |    |   | avail      |
| 8           | nch  | tic |    | aly | noid  | pai | Embas   |    |   |   | outco   |    | ce      |    |   | able       |
| 9<br>10     | ez   | Re  |    | sis | S     | n   | e, and  |    |   |   | me      |    | pt      |    |   | evid       |
| 10          | et.  | vie |    |     | and   | of  | The     |    |   |   | was     |    | fo      |    |   | ence       |
| 12          | al   | w   |    |     | synt  | а   | Cochra  |    |   |   | intensi |    | r       |    |   | sugg       |
| 13          | [28  | an  |    |     | hetic | pat | ne      |    |   |   | ty of   |    | re      |    |   | ests       |
| 14          | ]    | d   |    |     | deriv | hol | Contro  |    |   |   | pain as |    | ро      |    |   | that       |
| 15          |      | Me  |    |     | ates  | ogi | lled    |    |   |   | scored  |    | rti     |    |   | cann       |
| 16<br>17    |      | ta- |    |     | of    | cal | Trials  |    |   |   | by      |    | ng      |    |   | abis       |
| 18          |      | an  |    |     | THC,  | or  | Registe |    |   |   | numeri  |    | bi      |    |   | treat      |
| 19          |      | aly |    |     | such  | tra | r       |    |   |   | cal     |    | as,     |    |   | ment       |
| 20          |      | sis |    |     | as    | um  | (CENTR  |    |   |   | rang    |    | de      |    |   | is         |
| 21          |      | of  |    |     | dron  | ati | AL)     |    |   |   | scales. |    | te      |    |   | mod        |
| 22<br>23    |      | Ca  |    |     | abin  | C   | ,       |    |   |   | The     |    | cti     |    |   | erate      |
| 23<br>24    |      | nn  |    |     | ol,   | ori |         |    |   |   | Second  |    | on      |    |   | ly         |
| 25          |      | abi |    |     | nabil | gin |         |    |   |   | ary     |    | bi      |    |   | effic      |
| 26          |      | S   |    |     | one,  | 5   |         |    |   |   | outco   |    | as      |    |   | aciou      |
| 27          |      | Tre |    |     | or    |     |         |    |   |   | mes     |    | an      |    |   | s for      |
| 28          |      | at  |    |     | benz  |     |         |    |   |   | were    |    | d       |    |   | treat      |
| 29<br>30    |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   | CNS     |    | u<br>fo |    |   |            |
| 31          |      | me  |    |     | opyr  |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | ment<br>of |
| 32          |      | nt  |    |     | anop  |     |         |    |   |   | related |    | r-      |    |   |            |
| 33          |      | for |    |     | eridi |     |         |    |   |   | events  |    | pr      |    |   | chro       |
| 34          |      | Ch  |    |     | ne (a |     |         |    |   |   |         |    | ofi     |    |   | nic        |
| 35<br>36    |      | ro  |    |     | synt  |     |         |    |   |   |         |    | t       |    |   | pain,      |
| 37          |      | nic |    |     | hetic |     |         |    |   |   |         |    | bi      |    |   | but        |
| 38          |      | Pai |    |     | nitro |     |         |    |   |   |         |    | as      |    |   | bene       |
| 39          |      | n   |    |     | gen   |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | ficial     |
| 40          |      |     |    |     | anal  |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | effec      |
| 41          |      |     |    |     | og of |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | ts         |
| 42<br>43    |      |     |    |     | THC)  |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | may        |
| 44          |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | be         |
| 45          |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | parti      |
| 46          |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | ally       |
| 47          |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | (or        |
| 48<br>49    |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | com        |
| 49<br>50    |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | plete      |
| 51          |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | ly)        |
| 52          |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | offse      |
| 53          |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | t by       |
| 54          |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | pote       |
| 55<br>56    |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | ntiall     |
| 56<br>57    |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | y          |
| 58          |      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |         |    |   | ,<br>serio |
| 59          | L    | 1   | I  |     | 1     | 1   | 1       | 1  | 1 | 1 | 1       | I  | I       | 1  | I | 00110      |

|     |           |    |     |             |     |                   |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | us<br>harm<br>s. |
|-----|-----------|----|-----|-------------|-----|-------------------|----|----|---|---------|----|-----------|----|---|------------------|
| Воу | Th        | 20 | Sys | Phyt        | Ne  | PubMe             | 13 | 77 | Ν | Outco   | Ye | Ye        | No | N | Canr             |
| chu | e         | 15 | te  | ocan        | ur  | d,                |    | 1  | 0 | mes     | S  | s,        |    | 0 | abis-            |
| k   | Eff       |    | ma  | nabi        | ор  | Embas             |    |    |   | consid  |    | ex        |    |   | base             |
| et. | ect       |    | tic | noid        | ath | e, Web            |    |    |   | ered    |    | ce        |    |   | d                |
| al  | ive       |    | Re  | s;          | ic  | of                |    |    |   | were    |    | pt        |    |   | med              |
| [24 | ne        |    | vie | smo         | pai | Scienc            |    |    |   | reducti |    | fo        |    |   | cina             |
| ]   | SS        |    | W   | ked         | n   | e, and            |    |    |   | on in   |    | r,        |    |   | extr             |
|     | of        |    |     | cann        |     | all               |    |    |   | pain    |    | re        |    |   | cts              |
|     | Ca        |    |     | abis,       |     | eviden            |    |    |   | intensi |    | ро        |    |   | used             |
|     | nn        |    |     | cann        |     | ce-               |    |    |   | ty and  |    | rti       |    |   | in               |
|     | abi       |    |     | abis-       |     | based             |    |    |   | advers  |    | ng        |    |   | diffe            |
|     | noi       |    |     | base        |     | medici            |    |    |   | e       |    | bi        |    |   | rent             |
|     | ds        |    |     | d           |     | ne                |    |    |   | events. |    | as,       |    |   | рор              |
|     | in        |    |     | medi        |     | review            |    |    |   |         |    | pu        |    |   | latio            |
|     | the       |    |     | cinal       |     | S                 |    |    |   |         |    | bli       |    |   | ns o             |
|     | Ma        |    |     | extra       |     | and               |    |    |   |         |    | са        |    |   | chro             |
|     | na        |    |     | cts         |     | databa            |    |    |   |         |    | tio       |    |   | nic              |
|     | ge        |    |     | (CB         |     | ses               |    |    |   |         |    | n         |    |   | non-             |
|     | me        |    |     | ME)         |     | (Cochr            |    |    |   |         |    | bi        |    |   | mali             |
|     | nt        |    |     | in          |     | ane               |    |    |   |         |    | as        |    |   | gnar             |
|     | of        |    |     | the         |     | Databa            |    |    |   |         |    | an        |    |   | t                |
|     | Ch        |    |     | form        |     | se of             |    |    |   |         |    | d         |    |   | neui             |
|     | ro<br>nic |    |     | of          |     | System<br>atic    |    |    |   |         |    | fo        |    |   | opat<br>hic      |
|     | No        |    |     | oro<br>muc  |     | Review            |    |    |   |         |    | r-        |    |   |                  |
|     | nm        |    |     | osal        |     |                   |    |    |   |         |    | pr<br>ofi |    |   | pain             |
|     | ali       |    |     |             |     | s, ASP<br>Journal |    |    |   |         |    | t         |    |   | pation nts       |
|     |           |    |     | spra        |     | Club,             |    |    |   |         |    | bi        |    |   |                  |
|     | gn<br>ant |    |     | ys<br>(nabi |     | Databa            |    |    |   |         |    | as        |    |   | may<br>prov      |
|     | Ne        |    |     | ximo        |     | se of             |    |    |   |         |    | as        |    |   | de               |
|     | ur        |    |     | ls),        |     | Abstra            |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | effe             |
|     | ор        |    |     | vapo        |     | cts of            |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | tive             |
|     | ath       |    |     | rized       |     | Review            |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | anal             |
|     | ic        |    |     | cann        |     | s of              |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | esia             |
|     | Pai       |    |     | abis,       |     | Effects           |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | in               |
|     | n:        |    |     | and         |     | [DARE]            |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | cond             |
|     | A         |    |     | synt        |     | , and             |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | ition            |
|     | Sys       |    |     | hetic       |     | Cochra            |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | s                |
|     | te        |    |     | cann        |     | ne                |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | that             |
|     | ma        |    |     | abin        |     | Contro            |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | are              |
|     | tic       |    |     | oids;       |     | lled              |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | refra            |
|     | Re        |    |     | dron        |     |                   |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | ctor             |

to

r

s.

The

pote

ntial

bene

fits

of

cann

abis-

base

medi

cine

(her

bal

cann

abis,

plant

deriv

ed or

synt

hetic

THC,

THC/

CBD

oro

muc

osal

) in

nic

chro

neur

opat

pain

migh

t be

hic

spray

d

Y

е

S

othe

treat

ment

Trials

r

)

Ne

ur

ор

ath

pai

ic

n

Registe

[CCTR]

Cochra

Library

MEDLI

NE and

EMBAS

Followi

clinical

databa

trials

ses

were

search

ed for

additio

nal

ng

data

includi

unpubl

ished

data:

Nation

Institut

Health

clinical

registe

(www.

**Clinical** 

trial

r

es of

US

al

ne

,

Ε.

ng

16

(1

5

of

th

е

tri

als

со

m

ра

rin

g

th

е

int

er

ve

nti

on

wi

th

pla

ce

bo

)

17

50

Υ

es y

Primar

outco

mes:

Partici

pant-

report

ed

or

ed

site

pain

relief

of 50%

greate

r. We

preferr

compo

neurop

athic

pain

over

scores

single-

generi

c pain

scores

if both

measu

res

were

used

studies

by

;

scale

Ye

S

Ye

S

No

abin

nabil

one,

and

CT-3

Phyt

ocan

nabi

noid

s;

oro

muc

osal

spra

ainin

y cont

g THC

or

THC/

CBD

mix,

smo

ked

cann

abis

cont

ainin

THC

and

CBD

extra

ct of

cann

abis

sativ

a L.,

and

synt

as

g THC,

ol,

| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5  |                                    | vie   |          |  |
|---|------------------------------------|---|----------|--|
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12  |                                    | w   |          |  |
| 13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         23         24         25         26         27         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         49         50         51         52         53         54         55         56         57         58         59         60 | Mü<br>cke<br>et.<br>al<br>[26<br>] | Ca<br>nn<br>abi<br>s<br>pro<br>du<br>cts<br>for<br>ad<br>ult<br>s<br>wit<br>h<br>chr<br>oni<br>c<br>ne<br>uro<br>pat<br>hic<br>pai<br>n | 20<br>18 | Co<br>chr<br>an<br>e<br>Re<br>vie<br>w |

| hetic | Trials.g        | PGIC     | outv  |
|-------|-----------------|----------|-------|
| cann  | <u>ov),</u>     | (Patien  | eigh  |
| abin  | Europe          | t        | ed    |
| oids; | an              | Global   | by    |
| nabil | Union           | Impres   | their |
| one,  | Clinical        | sion of  | pote  |
| dron  | Trials          | Chang    | ntial |
| abin  | Registe         | e)       | harn  |
| ol    | r               | much     | S.    |
| 0     |                 |          | 5.    |
|       | ( <u>www.</u>   | or very  |       |
|       | <u>clinical</u> | much     |       |
|       | trialsre        | improv   |       |
|       | gister.         | ed;      |       |
|       | <u>eu</u> ),    |          |       |
|       | World           | Withdr   |       |
|       | Health          | awals    |       |
|       | Organi          | due to   |       |
|       | zation          | advers   |       |
|       | (WHO)           | e        |       |
|       | Interna         | events   |       |
|       | tional          | (tolera  |       |
|       | Clinical        | bility); |       |
|       | Trials          |          |       |
|       | Registr         | Seriou   |       |
|       | y               | s        |       |
|       | Platfor         | advers   |       |
|       | m               | e        |       |
|       | (ICTRP)         | events   |       |
|       | (apps.          | (safety  |       |
|       | who.in          | ).       |       |
|       | t/trials        | Seriou   |       |
|       | earch/)         | S        |       |
|       | , and           | advers   |       |
|       | Interna         |          |       |
|       | tional          | e        |       |
|       |                 | events   |       |
|       | Associ          | typicall |       |
|       | ation           | y y      |       |
|       | for             | include  |       |
|       | Canna           | any      |       |
|       | binoid          | untow    |       |
|       | Medici          | ard      |       |
|       | nes             | medica   |       |
|       | (IACM)          | 1        |       |
|       | databa          | occurr   |       |
|       | nk              | ence     |       |

| 4       or       of         5       cannab       effect         6       is-       that at         7       med.or       any         9       g/studi       dose         10       es/stu       results         11       dy.php       in         13       death,       is life-         16       ing,       ing,   |     |
|--|-----|
| 66       is-       effect         7       is-       that at         8       med.or       any         9       g/studi       dose         10       es/stu       results         11       dy.php       in         13       death,       is life-         14       is life-       threat   |     |
| 0       is-       that at         0       g/studi       any         0       g/studi       dose         1       es/stu       results         3       j       death,         4       j       j         6       i       i   |     |
| 0     any       0     g/studi       1     es/stu       2     dy.php       3     death,       4     is life-       5     threat   |     |
| 0       1       g/studi       dose       results         1       dy.php       in       death,       is life-         3       b       b       b       b       b       b       b       b         4       b <td></td>   |     |
| 1     es/stu     results       2     dy.php     in       3     )     death,       4     is life-       5     in       6     in   |     |
| 2     in       3     in       4     is life-       5     in       6     in   |     |
| 3   )   death,     4   is life-     5   threat   |     |
| 4 is life-<br>5 6 threat   |     |
| 5 threat   |     |
| 5 Contract C | 1 1 |
|  |     |
|  |     |
| 8 requir   |     |
| 9 es es hospit   |     |
|  |     |
| 2 alisatio   |     |
| 3                     n or   |     |
| 4 prolon   |     |
| 5 gation   |     |
| 7  |     |
| 8 existin  |     |
| 9 g g  |     |
| 0 hospit   |     |
| 1 alisatio   |     |
|  |     |
| 4 results  |     |
| 5   in   in  |     |
| 6 persist  |     |
| 7 ent or   |     |
| signific   |     |
| 0   ant  |     |
| 1 disabili   |     |
| 2 tv or  |     |
| 3 incomo   |     |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |     |
| 6 City, is a   |     |
| 7  |     |
| 8 nital  |     |
| 9  |     |
|  |     |
| I IV OR IV IV OR IV  |     |
| 2 birth defect.  |     |
|  |     |
| 5  |     |
| 6 Internet of the second secon |     |
| 7 tant   |     |
| 8 medica   |     |

|   |  |       |                         |  |  |   |  |          |   | l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>t one<br>of the<br>above<br>charac<br>teristic<br>s/cons<br>equen<br>ces. |    |   |    |   |  |
|---|--|-------|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|----------|---|---|----|---|----|---|--|
| Avi<br>ra<br>m<br>et.<br>al<br>[29<br>] | Effi<br>cac<br>y<br>of<br>Ca<br>nn<br>abi<br>s-<br>Ba<br>se<br>d<br>Me<br>dic<br>ine<br>s<br>for<br>Pai<br>n<br>Ma<br>na | 20 17 | Me<br>ta-<br>aly<br>sis | Phyt<br>ocan<br>nabi<br>noid<br>s;<br>Sativ<br>ex/n<br>abixi<br>mol,<br>cann<br>abidi<br>ol,<br>cann<br>abidi<br>ol,<br>cann<br>abin<br>oid<br>cigar<br>ettes<br>/vap<br>orize<br>r, | Ch<br>ro<br>nic<br>(ca<br>nc<br>er<br>an<br>d<br>no<br>n-<br>ca<br>nc<br>er)<br>pai<br>n<br>an<br>d<br>ac<br>ute<br>po | MEDLI<br>NE/Pu<br>bmed<br>and in<br>Google<br>Scholar<br>using<br>Medic<br>al<br>Subjec<br>t<br>Headin<br>g<br>(MeSH<br>) terms | 43<br>tri<br>als<br>co<br>m<br>pa<br>rin<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>er<br>ve<br>nti<br>on<br>wi<br>th<br>bo<br>th<br>ca | 24<br>37 | N | The<br>outco<br>me<br>measu<br>re that<br>was<br>chosen<br>was<br>the<br>variabl<br>e "pain<br>intensi<br>ty", as<br>scored<br>by the<br>numeri<br>cal<br>rating<br>scale<br>(NRS-                              | Ye | Ye<br>s,<br>ex<br>ce<br>pt<br>fo<br>r,<br>re<br>po<br>rti<br>ng<br>bi<br>as,<br>pu<br>bli<br>ca<br>tio<br>n<br>bi<br>as | No | N | The<br>curre<br>nt<br>syste<br>mati<br>c<br>revie<br>w<br>sugg<br>ests<br>that<br>cann<br>abin<br>oid-<br>base<br>d<br>medi<br>cines<br>migh<br>t be |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 47 of 61

| me  | and        | ре  | e   | numeri         | d   | tive  |
|-----|------------|-----|-----|----------------|-----|-------|
| nt: | synt       | rat | dr  | cal 11-        | fo  | for   |
| A   | hetic      | ive | ug  | point          | r-  | chro  |
| Sys | cann       | pai | s'  | box            | pr  | nic   |
| te  | abin       | n   | an  | (BS-           | ofi | pain  |
| ma  | oids;      |     | d   | 11),           | t   | treat |
| tic | dron       |     | pla | visual         | bi  | ment  |
| Re  | abin       |     | ce  | analog         | as  | ,     |
| vie | ol         |     | bo  | scale          |     | base  |
| w   | and        |     |     | (VAS) <i>,</i> |     | d on  |
| an  | nabil      |     |     | and            |     | limit |
| d   | one,       |     |     | the            |     | ed    |
| Me  | CT-3,      |     |     | VAS            |     | evid  |
| ta- | ajule      |     |     | section        |     | ence, |
| An  | mic        |     |     | of the         |     | prim  |
| aly | acid,      |     |     | questi         |     | arily |
| sis | synt       |     |     | onnair         |     | for   |
| of  | hetic      |     |     | e short        |     | neur  |
| Ra  | nitro      |     |     | form           |     | opat  |
| nd  | gen        |     |     | McGill         |     | hic   |
| om  | anal       |     |     | Pain           |     | pain  |
| ize | og of      |     |     | Questi         |     | patie |
| d   | tetra      |     |     | onnair         |     | nts.  |
| Co  | hydr       |     |     | e.             |     |       |
| ntr | ocan       |     |     |                |     |       |
| oll | nabi       |     |     |                |     |       |
| ed  | nol        |     |     |                |     |       |
| Tri | (NIB)      |     |     |                |     |       |
| als |            |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | ,<br>fatty |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | acid       |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | amid       |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | e          |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | hydr       |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | olase      |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | -1         |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | (FAA       |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | H1)        |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | inhib      |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | itor       |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | (PF-       |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | 0445       |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | 7845       |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | )          |     |     |                |     |       |
|     | )<br>(bloc |     |     |                |     |       |
|     |            |     |     |                |     |       |

| Ca<br>mp<br>bell<br>et.<br>al<br>[30<br>] | Ar<br>e<br>ca<br>nn<br>abi<br>noi<br>ds<br>an<br>eff<br>ect<br>ive<br>an<br>d<br>saf<br>e | 20<br>01 | Sys<br>te<br>ma<br>tic<br>Re<br>vie<br>w | king<br>degr<br>adati<br>on of<br>endo<br>cann<br>abin<br>oids)<br>,<br>benz<br>opyr<br>anop<br>eridi<br>ne<br>(BPP<br>),<br>and<br>levo<br>nant<br>radol<br>Oral<br>THC,<br>an<br>oral<br>synt<br>hetic<br>nitro<br>gen<br>anal<br>ogue<br>of<br>THC<br>(NIB)<br>, oral<br>benz | Ac<br>ute<br>,<br>chr<br>oni<br>c<br>no<br>n-<br>ma<br>lig<br>na<br>nt<br>pai<br>n,<br>an | MEDLI<br>NE,<br>EMBAS<br>E,<br>Oxford<br>Pain<br>Databa<br>se, and<br>Cochra<br>ne<br>Library | 9 | 22222 | No | Outco<br>me<br>measu<br>res for<br>pain<br>intensi<br>ty;<br>pain<br>relief;<br>the<br>use of<br>supple<br>menta<br>ry<br>analge | Yes | Ye<br>s,<br>ex<br>pt<br>fo<br>r,<br>re<br>po<br>rti<br>ng<br>bi<br>as,<br>pu<br>bli | No | No | Cann<br>abin<br>oids<br>are<br>no<br>more<br>effec<br>tive<br>than<br>code<br>ine<br>in<br>contr<br>ollin<br>g |
|---|---|----------|--|--|---|---|---|-------|----|--|-----|---|----|----|--|
|   | ds<br>an<br>eff<br>ect<br>ive<br>an<br>d<br>saf   |          |  | nitro<br>gen<br>anal<br>ogue<br>of<br>THC<br>(NIB)<br>, oral   | no<br>n-<br>ha<br>lig<br>na<br>nt<br>pai<br>n,  | Databa<br>se, and<br>Cochra<br>ne   |   |       |    | ty;<br>pain<br>relief;<br>the<br>use of<br>supple<br>menta<br>ry   |     | r,<br>re<br>po<br>rti<br>ng<br>bi<br>as,<br>pu                                      |    |    | effec<br>tive<br>than<br>code<br>ine<br>in<br>contr<br>ollin   |
|   | at<br>me<br>nt<br>opt<br>ion<br>in<br>the<br>ma<br>na                                     |          |  | anop<br>eridi<br>ne<br>(BPP<br>),<br>and<br>intra<br>mus<br>cular  | ca<br>nc<br>er<br>pai<br>n  |   |   |       |    | patient<br>s'<br>prefer<br>ences;<br>and<br>advers<br>e<br>effects   |     | tio<br>n<br>bi<br>as<br>an<br>d<br>fo<br>r-<br>pr                                   |    |    | and<br>have<br>depr<br>essa<br>nt<br>effec<br>ts on<br>the<br>centr  |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

**BMJ** Open

|     | ge   |    |     | levo  |    |       |     |    |   |       |    | ofi |    |   | al         |
|-----|------|----|-----|-------|----|-------|-----|----|---|-------|----|-----|----|---|------------|
|     | me   |    |     | nant  |    |       |     |    |   |       |    | t   |    |   | nerv       |
|     | nt   |    |     | radol |    |       |     |    |   |       |    | bi  |    |   | ous        |
|     | of   |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    | as  |    |   | syste      |
|     | pai  |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | m          |
|     | n?   |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | that       |
|     | A    |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | limit      |
|     | qu   |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | their      |
|     | alit |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | use.       |
|     | ati  |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | Thei       |
|     | ve   |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | wide       |
|     | sys  |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | spre       |
|     | te   |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | ad         |
|     | ma   |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | intro      |
|     | tic  |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | ducti      |
|     | rev  |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | on         |
|     | ie   |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | into       |
|     | w    |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | clinic     |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | al         |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | pract      |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | ice        |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | for        |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | pain       |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | man        |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | age        |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | men        |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | is<br>ther |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | efore      |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | unde       |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | sirab      |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | le. In     |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | acut       |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | e          |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | post       |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | oper       |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | ative      |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | pain       |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | they       |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | shou       |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | d not      |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | be         |
|     |      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | used       |
| Des | Effi | 20 | Sys | Cigar | Ne | MEDLI | 6   | 22 | N | For   | Ye | Ye  | No | Ν | Ther       |
| hpa | cac  | 15 | te  | ettes | ur | NE,   | tri | 6  | о | outco | s  | s,  |    | 0 | e is       |

| nd  | у    | ma  | or    | ор  | EMBAS   | als | mes,      | ( | ex   | evid |
|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|---------|-----|-----------|---|------|------|
| e   | an   | tic | vapo  | ath | E, and  | со  | pain      | ( | ce   | ence |
| et. | d    | Re  | rizer | ic  | the     | m   | scores    | F | ot   | for  |
| al  | ad   | vie | cont  | pai | Interna | ра  | were      | f | ō    | the  |
| [27 | ver  | w   | ainin | n   | tional  | rin | extract   | r | -,   | use  |
| ]   | se   |     | g     |     | Pharm   | g   | ed        | r | e    | of   |
|     | eff  |     | delta |     | aceutic | int | using     | F | 00   | low  |
|     | ect  |     | -9-   |     | al      | er  | the       | r | rti  | dos  |
|     | S    |     | ТНС   |     | Abstra  | ve  | visual    | r | ng   | me   |
|     | of   |     |       |     | cts     | nti | analog    | ł | oi 🛛 | cal  |
|     | me   |     |       |     |         | on  | ue        | á | as,  | ma   |
|     | dic  |     |       |     |         | wi  | scale     | F | bu   | uar  |
|     | al   |     |       |     |         | th  | (VAS)     | ł | oli  | in   |
|     | ma   |     |       |     |         | pla | or an     | ( | ca   | refr |
|     | riju |     |       |     |         | ce  | alterna   | t | io   | cto  |
|     | an   |     |       |     |         | bo  | tive      | r | า    | neu  |
|     | а    |     |       |     |         |     | numeri    | ł | oi 🛛 | ора  |
|     | for  |     |       |     |         | Pla | cal       | á | as   | hic  |
|     | chr  |     |       |     |         | ce  | pain      | á | an   | pai  |
|     | oni  |     |       |     |         | bo  | rating    | ( | k    | in   |
|     | с    |     |       |     |         | bei | tool. If  | f | o    | cor  |
|     | no   |     |       |     |         | ng  | pain      | r | -    | und  |
|     | nc   |     |       |     |         | cig | scores    | F | or   | on   |
|     | an   |     |       |     |         | ar  | were      | ( | ofi  | wit  |
|     | cer  |     |       |     |         | ett | not       | t |      | tra  |
|     | pai  |     |       |     |         | es  | report    | ł | i    | tio  |
|     | n    |     |       |     |         | or  | ed,       | ā | as   | I    |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | va  | surrog    |   |      | ana  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ро  | ate       |   |      | esi  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | riz | measu     |   |      | Но   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | er  | res of    |   |      | eve  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | со  | effecti   |   |      | tria |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | nt  | veness    |   |      | we   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ain | were      |   |      | lim  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ing | include   |   |      | ed   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | 0%  | d         |   |      | by   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | del | (sleep,   |   |      | shc  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ta- | functio   |   |      | dui  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | 9-  | n, and    |   |      | tio  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | TH  | quality   |   |      | var  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | C   | of life). |   |      | bili |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | or  | Freque    |   |      | in   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | wi  | ncy of    |   |      | dos  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | th  | serious   |   |      | g    |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | са  | and       |   |      | and  |

| 1<br>2<br>3                                     |                       |                                |                                   |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9                      | nn<br>abi<br>no<br>id | most<br>comm<br>only<br>report | stren<br>gth<br>of<br>delta       |
| 10<br>11<br>12<br>13                            | re<br>m<br>ov<br>al   | ed<br>advers<br>e<br>effects   | -9-<br>tetra<br>hydr<br>ocan      |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19                |                       | was<br>collect<br>ed.          | nabi<br>nol,<br>and<br>lack<br>of |
| 20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24                      |                       |                                | funct<br>ional<br>outc<br>ome     |
| 25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29                      |                       |                                | s.<br>Altho<br>ugh<br>well        |
| 30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34                      |                       |                                | toler<br>ated<br>in<br>the        |
| 35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39                      |                       |                                | short<br>term<br>, the<br>long-   |
| 40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44                      |                       |                                | term<br>effec<br>ts of<br>psyc    |
| 45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49                      |                       |                                | hoac<br>tive<br>and<br>neur       |
| 50<br>51<br>52<br>53<br>54                      |                       |                                | ocog<br>nitiv<br>e<br>effec       |
| 54       55       56       57       58       59 |                       |                                | ts of<br>medi<br>cal<br>marij     |

|     |           |    |     |            |     |          |          |    |     |            |    |     |    |   | uana<br>rema<br>in<br>unkr |
|-----|-----------|----|-----|------------|-----|----------|----------|----|-----|------------|----|-----|----|---|----------------------------|
| Ste | A         | 20 | Sys | Levo       | Ac  | MEDLI    | 7        | 61 | Y   | The        | Ye | Ye  | No | Y | own<br>Base                |
| ven | sys       | 17 | te  | nant       | ute | NE,      | ,<br>tri | 1  | es  | primar     | s  | s,  |    | e | d on                       |
| s   | te        | 1, | ma  | radol      | po  | EMBAS    | als      | -  | 0.5 | y          | 5  | ex  |    | s | the                        |
| et. | ma        |    | tic | ,          | sto | E,       | co       |    |     | outco      |    | ce  |    |   | avai                       |
| al  | tic       |    | Re  | ,<br>nabil | pe  | Cochra   | m        |    |     | me         |    | pt  |    |   | able                       |
| [31 | rev       |    | vie | one,       | rat | ne       | pa       |    |     | was        |    | fo  |    |   | ranc                       |
| ]   | ie        |    | W   | AZD        | ive | Library  | rin      |    |     | the        |    | r,  |    |   | omi                        |
|     | w         |    |     | 1940       | pai | , and    | g        |    |     | qualita    |    | pu  |    |   | ed                         |
|     | of        |    |     | ,          | n   | the      | int      |    |     | tive       |    | bli |    |   | cont                       |
|     | the       |    |     | GW8        |     | World    | er       |    |     | analysi    |    | са  |    |   | olle                       |
|     | an        |    |     | 4216       |     | Health   | ve       |    |     | sof        |    | tio |    |   | trial                      |
|     | alg       |    |     | 6,         |     | Organi   | nti      |    |     | the        |    | n   |    |   | evid                       |
|     | esi       |    |     | dron       |     | zation   | on       |    |     | analge     |    | bi  |    |   | ence                       |
|     | с         |    |     | abin       |     | Interna  | wi       |    |     | sic        |    | as  |    |   | cani                       |
|     | effi      |    |     | ol,        |     | tional   | th       |    |     | efficac    |    | an  |    |   | abir                       |
|     | cac       |    |     | △-9-T      |     | Clinical | pla      |    |     | y of       |    | d   |    |   | oids                       |
|     | у         |    |     | HC         |     | Trials   | ce       |    |     | cannab     |    | fo  |    |   | hav                        |
|     | of        |    |     |            |     | Registr  | bo       |    |     | inoids     |    | r-  |    |   | no                         |
|     | са        |    |     |            |     | У        | ,        |    |     | in the     |    | pr  |    |   | role                       |
|     | nn        |    |     |            |     | Platfor  | Ке       |    |     | manag      |    | ofi |    |   | in                         |
|     | abi       |    |     |            |     | m        | to       |    |     | ement      |    | t   |    |   | the                        |
|     | noi       |    |     |            |     |          | pr       |    |     | of         |    | bi  |    |   | mar                        |
|     | d         |    |     |            |     |          | of       |    |     | acute      |    | as  |    |   | age                        |
|     | me        |    |     |            |     |          | en       |    |     | pain       |    |     |    |   | mer                        |
|     | dic       |    |     |            |     |          | ,        |    |     | compa      |    |     |    |   | of                         |
|     | ati       |    |     |            |     |          | Pe       |    |     | red to     |    |     |    |   | acut                       |
|     | on        |    |     |            |     |          | thi      |    |     | placeb     |    |     |    |   | е                          |
|     | s in      |    |     |            |     |          | di       |    |     | o or       |    |     |    |   | pain                       |
|     | the       |    |     |            |     |          | ne       |    |     | active     |    |     |    |   |                            |
|     | ma        |    |     |            |     |          | ,        |    |     | compa      |    |     |    |   |                            |
|     | na        |    |     |            |     |          | Na       |    |     | rator.     |    |     |    |   |                            |
|     | ge        |    |     |            |     |          | pr       |    |     | The        |    |     |    |   |                            |
|     | me        |    |     |            |     |          | OX       |    |     | second     |    |     |    |   |                            |
|     | nt<br>of  |    |     |            |     |          | en       |    |     | ary        |    |     |    |   |                            |
|     |           |    |     |            |     |          | ,<br>20  |    |     | outco      |    |     |    |   |                            |
|     | ac<br>ute |    |     |            |     |          | an<br>d  |    |     | me         |    |     |    |   |                            |
|     | pai       |    |     |            |     |          | lb       |    |     | was<br>the |    |     |    |   |                            |
|     | n<br>n    |    |     |            |     |          | up       |    |     | qualita    |    |     |    |   |                            |
|     |           |    |     |            |     |          | up       |    |     | tive       |    |     |    |   |                            |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| 1   |  |
|---|--|
| 2   |  |
| 2   |  |
| 3   |  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7  |  |
| 5   |  |
| 5   |  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   |  |
| 8   |  |
| 0   |  |
| 9   |  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  |  |
| 10  |  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  |  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  |  |
| 10  |  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  |  |
| 10  |  |
| 17  |  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  |  |
| 22  |  |
| 7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>20<br>31<br>32<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37 |  |
| 24  |  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  |  |
| 20  |  |
| 27  |  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  |  |
| 20  |  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
| 32  |  |
| 22  |  |
| 22  |  |
| 34  |  |
| 35  |  |
| 36  |  |
| 50  |  |
|   |  |
| 38  |  |
| 39  |  |
|   |  |
| 40  |  |
| 41  |  |
| 42  |  |
| 43  |  |
|   |  |
| 44  |  |
| 45  |  |
| 46  |  |
| 40<br>47  |  |
|   |  |
| 48  |  |
| 49  |  |
| 50  |  |
|   |  |
| 51  |  |
| 52  |  |
| 53  |  |
|   |  |
| 54  |  |
| 55  |  |
| 56  |  |
|   |  |
| 57  |  |
| 58  |  |
| 59  |  |
| 60  |  |

| Nabil | Nabil F | Fib                   | Cochra  | en<br>2  | 72    | Y  | s of<br>the<br>report<br>ed<br>advers<br>e<br>effects<br>Primar  | Ye | Ye  | No | Y  | We  |
|-------|---------|-----------------------|---|--|-------|----|--|----|---|----|----|---|
| one   | r<br>a  | ro<br>my<br>alg<br>ia | ne<br>Library<br>,<br>MEDLI<br>NE and<br>EMBAS<br>E | tri<br>als<br>co<br>m<br>pa<br>rin<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>r<br>v<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>r<br>v<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>r<br>v<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>r<br>in<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>rin<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>int<br>o<br>rin<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>rin<br>u<br>s<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>o<br>int<br>int<br>int<br>int<br>int<br>int<br>int<br>int<br>int<br>int | (4 0) | es | y<br>outco<br>mes:<br>Partici<br>pant-r<br>eporte<br>d pain<br>relief<br>of 50%<br>or<br>greate<br>r.<br>PGIC<br>(Patien<br>t<br>Global<br>Impres<br>sion of<br>Chang<br>e)<br>much<br>or very<br>much<br>improv<br>ed.<br>Withdr<br>awal<br>due to<br>advers<br>e<br>events | S  | s,<br>ex<br>ce<br>pt<br>fo<br>r<br>pu<br>bli<br>ca<br>tio<br>n<br>bi<br>as. |    | es | foun<br>d no<br>convi<br>ncing<br>,<br>unbi<br>ased,<br>high<br>quali<br>ty<br>evid<br>ence<br>sugg<br>estin<br>g<br>that<br>nabil<br>one<br>is of<br>value<br>in<br>treat<br>ing<br>peop<br>le<br>with<br>fibro<br>myal<br>gia.<br>The<br>toler<br>abilit<br>y of<br>nabil |

| (tolera  | was   |
|----------|-------|
| bility). | low   |
|          | in    |
| Seriou   | peo   |
| S        | le    |
| advers   | with  |
| e        | fibro |
| events   | mya   |
| (safety  | gia.  |
| ).       |       |
| Seriou   |       |
| S        |       |
| advers   |       |
| e        |       |
| events   |       |
| typicall |       |
| y        |       |
| include  |       |
| any      |       |
| untow    |       |
| ard      |       |
| medica   |       |
| 1        |       |
| occurr   |       |
| ence     |       |
| or       |       |
| effect   |       |
| that at  |       |
| any      |       |
| dose     |       |
| results  |       |
| in       |       |
| death,   |       |
| is       |       |
| life-thr |       |
| eateni   |       |
| ng,      |       |
| requir   |       |
| es       |       |
| hospit   |       |
| alisatio |       |
| n or     |       |
| prolon   |       |
| gation   |       |
| of       |       |

| 1<br>2   |      |  |   |   |   |                |   |   |  |
|----------|------|--|---|---|---|----------------|---|---|--|
| 2<br>3   | <br> |  |   |   |   |                |   |   |  |
| 4<br>5   |      |  |   |   |   | existin        |   |   |  |
| 6        |      |  |   |   |   | g              |   |   |  |
| 7        |      |  |   |   |   | hospit         |   |   |  |
| 8<br>9   |      |  |   |   |   | alisatio       |   |   |  |
| 9<br>10  |      |  |   |   |   | n,             |   |   |  |
| 11       |      |  |   |   |   | results        |   |   |  |
| 12       |      |  |   |   |   | in             |   |   |  |
| 13       |      |  |   |   |   | persist        |   |   |  |
| 14<br>15 |      |  |   |   |   | ent or         |   |   |  |
| 16       |      |  |   |   |   | signific       |   |   |  |
| 17       |      |  |   |   |   | ant            |   |   |  |
| 18       |      |  |   |   |   | disabili       |   |   |  |
| 19<br>20 |      |  |   |   |   | ty or          |   |   |  |
| 20       |      |  |   |   |   | incapa         |   |   |  |
| 22       |      |  |   |   |   | city, is       |   |   |  |
| 23       |      |  |   |   |   | а              |   |   |  |
| 24<br>25 |      |  |   |   |   | conge          |   |   |  |
| 25       |      |  |   |   |   | nital          |   |   |  |
| 27       |      |  |   |   |   | anoma          |   |   |  |
| 28       |      |  |   |   |   | ly or          |   |   |  |
| 29       |      |  |   |   |   | birth          |   |   |  |
| 30<br>31 |      |  |   |   |   | defect,        |   |   |  |
| 32       |      |  |   |   |   | is an          |   |   |  |
| 33       |      |  |   |   |   | 'impor         |   |   |  |
| 34       |      |  |   |   |   | tant           |   |   |  |
| 35<br>36 |      |  |   |   |   | medica         |   |   |  |
| 37       |      |  |   |   |   | event'         |   |   |  |
| 38       |      |  |   |   |   |                |   |   |  |
| 39       |      |  |   |   |   | that           |   |   |  |
| 40<br>41 |      |  |   |   |   | may            |   |   |  |
| 42       |      |  |   |   |   | jeopar<br>dise |   |   |  |
| 43       |      |  |   |   |   | the            |   |   |  |
| 44       |      |  |   |   |   | person         |   |   |  |
| 45<br>46 |      |  |   |   |   |                |   |   |  |
| 40<br>47 |      |  |   |   |   | , or<br>may    |   |   |  |
| 48       |      |  |   |   |   | requir         |   |   |  |
| 49       |      |  |   |   |   | e an           |   |   |  |
| 50<br>51 |      |  |   |   |   | interve        |   |   |  |
| 52       |      |  |   |   |   | ntion          |   |   |  |
| 53       |      |  |   |   |   | to             |   |   |  |
| 54       |      |  |   |   |   | preven         |   |   |  |
| 55<br>56 |      |  |   |   |   | tone           |   |   |  |
| 56<br>57 |      |  |   |   |   | of the         |   |   |  |
| 58       |      |  |   |   |   | above          |   |   |  |
| 59       |      |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |                | 1 | I |  |

|  |  |  |  |  | charac   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|----------|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  | teristic |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | s/cons   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | equen    |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ces.     |  |  |  |

# References

- Copay AG, Subach BR, Glassman SD, Polly DW, and Schuler TC, Understanding the minimum clinically important difference: a review of concepts and methods. Spine J, 2007. 7(5): p. 541-6.
- Guyatt GH, Osoba D, Wu AW, Wyrwich KW, and Norman GR, Methods to Explain the Clinical Significance of Health Status Measures. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 2002. 77(4): p. 371-383.
- 3. Moncrieff J and Kirsch I, *Empirically derived criteria cast doubt on the clinical significance of antidepressant-placebo differences*. Contemp Clin Trials, 2015. **43**: p. 60-2.
- 4. Hagg O, Fritzell P, and Nordwall A, *The clinical importance of changes in outcome scores after treatment for chronic low back pain.* Eur Spine J, 2003. **12**(1): p. 12-20.
- 5. Musoro ZJ, Hamel J, Ediebah DE, Cocks K, King MT, Groenvold M, et al., *Establishing* anchor-based minimally important differences (MID) with the EORTC quality-of-life measures: a meta-analysis protocol. BMJ Open, 2018. **8**(1).
- 6. Stratford PW, Binkley JM, Riddle DL, and Guyatt GH, *Sensitivity to change of the Roland-Morris Back Pain Questionnaire: part 1.* Phys Ther, 1998. **78**(11): p. 1186-96.
- 7. Riddle DL, Stratford PW, and Binkley JM, *Sensitivity to change of the Roland-Morris Back Pain Questionnaire: part 2.* Phys Ther, 1998. **78**(11): p. 1197-207.
- 8. Van der Roer N, Ostelo RW, Bekkering GE, van Tulder MW, and de Vet HC, *Minimal clinically important change for pain intensity, functional status, and general health status in patients with nonspecific low back pain.* Spine (Phila Pa 1976), 2006. **31**(5): p. 578-82.
- 9. Crosby RD, Kolotkin RL, and Williams GR, *Defining clinically meaningful change in health*related quality of life. J Clin Epidemiol, 2003. **56**(5): p. 395-407.
- 10. Cohen J, CHAPTER 1 The Concepts of Power Analysis, in Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences, Cohen J, Editor. 1977, Academic Press. p. 1-17.
- 11. Fayers PM and Machin D, *Quality of life: assessment, analysis and interpretation.* John Wiley & Sons, 2000.
- Guyatt GH, Bombardier C, and Tugwell PX, *Measuring disease-specific quality of life in clinical trials*. CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne, 1986. 134(8): p. 889-895.
- Wyrwich KW, Tierney WM, and Wolinsky FD, Further evidence supporting an SEM-based criterion for identifying meaningful intra-individual changes in health-related quality of life. J Clin Epidemiol, 1999. 52(9): p. 861-73.
- 14. Wolinsky FD, Wan GJ, and Tierney WM, *Changes in the SF-36 in 12 months in a clinical sample of disadvantaged older adults.* Med Care, 1998. **36**(11): p. 1589-98.
- 15. McHorney CA and Tarlov AR, *Individual-patient monitoring in clinical practice: are available health status surveys adequate?* Qual Life Res, 1995. **4**(4): p. 293-307.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

# BMJ Open

| 2        |     |   |
|----------|-----|---|
| 3        |     |   |
| 4<br>5   | 16. | Lydick E and Epstein RS, Interpretation of quality of life changes. Qual Life Res, 1993. 2(3):    |
| 6        |     | p. 221-6.   |
| 7        | 17. | Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Katz JN, Wright JG, Wells G, Boers M, et al., Looking for                |
| 8        |     | important change/differences in studies of responsiveness. OMERACT MCID Working                   |
| 9        |     | Group. Outcome Measures in Rheumatology. Minimal Clinically Important Difference. J               |
| 10       |     |   |
| 11       |     | Rheumatol, 2001. <b>28</b> (2): p. 400-5.   |
| 12       | 18. | Dworkin RH, Turk DC, McDermott MP, Peirce-Sandner S, Burke LB, Cowan P, et al.,                   |
| 13       |     | Interpreting the clinical importance of group differences in chronic pain clinical trials:        |
| 14       |     | IMMPACT recommendations. Pain, 2009. <b>146</b> (3): p. 238-44.                                   |
| 15       | 19. | Cella D, Bullinger M, Scott C, and Barofsky I, Group vs individual approaches to                  |
| 16<br>17 |     | understanding the clinical significance of differences or changes in quality of life. Mayo Clin   |
| 17       |     | Proc, 2002. <b>77</b> (4): p. 384-92.   |
| 19       | 20. | Guyatt GH, Making sense of quality-of-life data. Med Care, 2000. <b>38</b> (9 Suppl): p. li175-9. |
| 20       |     |   |
| 21       | 21. | Testa MA, Interpretation of quality-of-life outcomes: issues that affect magnitude and            |
| 22       |     | meaning. Med Care, 2000. 38(9 Suppl): p. li166-74.  |
| 23       | 22. | U.S. Department of Health Human Services FDA Center for Drug Evaluation Research, U.S.            |
| 24       |     | Department of Health Human Services FDA Center for Biologics Evaluation Research, U.S.            |
| 25       |     | Department of Health Human Services FDA Center for Devices Radiological Health,                   |
| 26       |     | Guidance for industry: patient-reported outcome measures: use in medical product                  |
| 27       |     | development to support labeling claims: draft guidance. 2006. <b>4</b> : p. 79.                   |
| 28<br>29 | 23. | Lynch M E and Campbell F, Cannabinoids for treatment of chronic non-cancer pain; a                |
| 30       | 25. | systematic review of randomized trials. Br J Clin Pharmacol, 2011. <b>72</b> (5): p. 735-44.      |
| 31       | 24  |   |
| 32       | 24. | Boychuk D G, Goddard G, Mauro G, and Orellana M F, The effectiveness of cannabinoids in           |
| 33       |     | the management of chronic nonmalignant neuropathic pain: a systematic review. J Oral              |
| 34       |     | Facial Pain Headache, 2015. <b>29</b> (1): p. 7-14.   |
| 35       | 25. | Meng H, Johnston B, Englesakis M, Moulin D E, and Bhatia A, Selective Cannabinoids for            |
| 36       |     | Chronic Neuropathic Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Anesth Analg, 2017.              |
| 37       |     | <b>125</b> (5): p. 1638-1652.   |
| 38       | 26. | Mücke M, Phillips T, Radbruch L, Petzke F, and Häuser W, Cannabis-based medicines for             |
| 39       | 20. | chronic neuropathic pain in adults. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2018(3).             |
| 40       | 27  |   |
| 41<br>42 | 27. | Deshpande A, Mailis-Gagnon A, Zoheiry N, and Lakha S F, Efficacy and adverse effects of           |
| 43       |     | medical marijuana for chronic noncancer pain: Systematic review of randomized controlled          |
| 44       |     | <i>triαls.</i> Can Fam Physician, 2015. <b>61</b> (8): p. e372-81.                                |
| 45       | 28. | Martin-Sanchez E, Furukawa T A, Taylor J, and Martin J L, Systematic review and meta-             |
| 46       |     | analysis of cannabis treatment for chronic pain. Pain Med, 2009. <b>10</b> (8): p. 1353-68.       |
| 47       | 29. | Aviram J and Samuelly-Leichtag G, Efficacy of Cannabis-Based Medicines for Pain                   |
| 48       |     | Management: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials.                |
| 49       |     | Pain Physician, 2017. <b>20</b> (6): p. E755-e796.  |
| 50       | 20  | Campbell F A, Tramèr M R, Carroll D, Reynolds D J M, Moore R A, and McQuay H J, Are               |
| 51       | 30. | • • • • • • • • • • •   |
| 52       |     | cannabinoids an effective and safe treatment option in the management of pain? A                  |
| 53<br>54 |     | qualitative systematic review. Bmj, 2001. <b>323</b> (7303): p. 13.                               |
| 55       | 31. | Stevens A J and Higgins M D, A systematic review of the analgesic efficacy of cannabinoid         |
| 56       |     | medications in the management of acute pain. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand, 2017. 61(3): p.             |
| 57       |     | 268-280.  |
| 58       |     |   |
| 59       |     |   |
| 60       |     |   |
|          |     |   |

32. Walitt B, Klose P, Fitzcharles M A, Phillips T, and Hauser W, *Cannabinoids for fibromyalgia*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2016. **7**: p. Cd011694.

J

| First<br>author                 | Title   | Year of<br>publicatio<br>n   |   | cannabino   | Types of<br>participan<br>ts   | Information sources  | trials   | participar |               |   | of adverse | Assessment<br>of risk of<br>bias   | Accounts Use of th<br>for random GRADE<br>error  | e Conclusion  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|------------|---------------|---|------------|--|--|---|
| Lynch &<br>Campbell<br>Meng et. | Cannal<br>Ids for<br>treatm<br>of chro<br>non-ca<br>pain; a<br>system<br>review<br>randon<br>d trials                               | ient<br>inicer<br>inatic<br>rof<br>nize                                |   | nabinoids;<br>Smoked<br>cannabis,<br>oromucosa<br>l extracts<br>of<br>cannabis-<br>based<br>medicine,<br>and<br>synthetic<br>cannabino<br>ids;<br>nabilone,<br>dronabinol<br>and a<br>novel THC<br>analogue.  | c pain,<br>fibromyalg<br>la,<br>rheumatoi<br>d arthritis,<br>and mixed<br>chronic<br>pain. | PubMock EMBASE,<br>CINAHL (EBSCO),<br>Psychiof (EBSCO), The<br>Cachrane Library, Bi<br>Inform (Proquest),<br>Unisentation Abstracts<br>(Proquest), Academic<br>(EBSCO), Clinical<br>Search Premier<br>(EBSCO), Clinical<br>Castach Premier<br>(EBSCO), Clinical<br>Dearmer<br>(EBSCO), Clinical<br>Company (Halo Stefe Sch<br>El LIN) and<br>Glass/Strukkline,<br>Oxforter (ICCC) and<br>Google Scholar.   | the<br>interventio<br>n with<br>placebo  | 1219       | <sup>No</sup> | e primary outcome was<br>poin in subjects with<br>chronic non-cancer pain.<br>The secondary outcomes<br>were sleep, function, and<br>quality of life.   |            | Yes, except<br>for<br>reporting<br>bias,<br>publication<br>bias and for-<br>profit bias  | No No<br>Bonferrori Yes  | Overall there is<br>evidence that<br>canabinoids are safe<br>and modestly<br>effective in<br>neuropathic pain with<br>preliminary evidence<br>of efficacy in<br>filteromyalgia and<br>filteromyalgia and<br>filteromyalgia and<br>meta-analysis but<br>data was described<br>qualitatively.   |
| Meng ec.<br>al                  | Gannal<br>ids for<br>Chroni<br>Neurop<br>c Pain:<br>System<br>Review<br>and Me<br>analysi   | bino<br>c<br>pathi<br>: A<br>natic<br>v<br>eta-                        | Systematic<br>Review<br>and Meta-<br>analysis | , nabilone<br>and   | c pain   | Medine, zmose, z | trials<br>comparing<br>the<br>interventio<br>n with<br>placebo)  | 1713       | NO            | The primary obcomes way<br>the primary obcomes way<br>there a minimum of 2<br>weaks following initiation<br>of alective canadinida<br>and placeba/comparated<br>isolated to a similar of the<br>deministration, expressed<br>on an IKS (0ro pain to com-<br>temp of the similar of the<br>absence of analgetia<br>absence of analgetia<br>defined as reduction in<br>pain scores (NRS/WAS)<br>S20% at 2 weeks of more<br>after initiation of<br>initiacous (NRS/WAS)<br>S20% at 2 weeks of more<br>after initiation of<br>initiacous (NRS/WAS)<br>mathematical and<br>plantest atsifection, and<br>plantest atsifection, and<br>plantest atsifection, and<br>plantest asifection of<br>absence of adverse<br>effects of selective<br>cannabinodis,  | 123        |  | aboharook Yes<br>adjustment<br>for multiple<br>teating was<br>not<br>se for med<br>as commend<br>as commend<br>as looms in<br>the<br>Cohrane<br>Handbook | Section and the sector of the   |
| Martín-Sá                       | n System<br>I Review<br>and<br>Meta-a<br>ysis of<br>Cannat<br>Treatm<br>for Chr<br>Pain   | anal<br>bis<br>tent  |   | nabinoids<br>and<br>synthetic<br>derivates  | pain of a<br>pathologic  | Medline/Pubmed,<br>Embase, and The<br>Cochrane Controlled<br>Cochrane Controlled<br>Trials Register<br>(CENTRAL)   | 18   | ?          | No            | The primary outcome was<br>intensity of pain as<br>socred by numerical rang<br>scales.<br>The Secondary outcomes<br>were CNS related events   |            | Yes, except<br>for<br>reporting<br>blas,<br>detection<br>blas and for-<br>profit blas    | No No  | Currently available<br>evidence suggests<br>that cannabis<br>treatment is<br>moderately<br>efficacious for<br>treatment of chronic<br>pain, but bianeficial<br>effects may be<br>partiality (or<br>completely) offset by<br>potentially serious<br>harms.   |
| Boychuk<br>et. al               | The<br>Effectives of<br>Cannabilids in t<br>Managent of<br>Chronic<br>Noomaa<br>ant<br>Neurop<br>c Pain:<br>A<br>System<br>Review   | bino<br>the<br>c<br>slign<br>pathi<br>:                                | Systematic<br>Review                          |   | c pain   | PubMod. Embase, Web<br>of Science, and all<br>evidence-based<br>medicine reviews<br>and databases<br>(Cochrane Database of<br>Systematic<br>Reviews, ASP Journal<br>Club, Database of<br>Reviews of Effects<br>Reviews of Effects<br>(DARL), and Cochrane<br>Commoled<br>and Cochrane Cochrane<br>Commoled   |  | 771        | No            | Outcomes considered near<br>were reduction frain<br>internity and adverse<br>events.  |            | Yes, except<br>for,<br>reporting<br>blas,<br>publication<br>blas and for-<br>profit blas | No No  | Consolit-based<br>medicinal extracts<br>used in different<br>populations of<br>chronic non-<br>malignant<br>neuropathic pain<br>patients may provide<br>effective analgesia in<br>conditions that are<br>refractory to other<br>treatments.   |
| Mücke et.                       | produc<br>for adu<br>with<br>neurop<br>c pain   | ts<br>ults<br>c<br>sashi   | Review  | nableoids;<br>oromucosa<br>Lspray<br>containing<br>THC or<br>THC or<br>THC/CBD<br>mix,<br>smoked<br>cannabis<br>containing<br>THC, THC<br>and CBD<br>as extract<br>of<br>cannabis<br>sativa L,<br>and<br>synthetic<br>cannabis<br>nabilone,<br>dronabinol | c pain   | Cocheme Ulamay,<br>MEDUNE and EMBASE<br>Following clinical triats<br>databases were<br>searched for additional<br>unpublished data:<br>US National Institutes<br>of Health clinical triats<br>(norw. Clinical Triats Register<br>V, European Ulama), Workf Health<br>(Wmw.clinical Triats Register<br>Ster.vii), Workf Health<br>(Wmw.clinical Triats Register<br>(Halt Register), Workf Health<br>(UCTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(Companization (WHO)<br>International Clinical<br>Association for<br>Cannabinol Medicine<br>(UCKM) databank<br>(UCKM) databank   | comparing<br>the<br>interventio<br>n with<br>placebo}  |            | Yes           | Pinnary outcomes:<br>Participant reported pain<br>(eller of 50%) or greater.<br>We preferred compatible<br>company of the second pain<br>pain cores if both<br>mascares: were used by<br>studies:<br>PACI (plaines control<br>improved).<br>PACI (plaines control<br>imp |            |  | No Yes   | The potential benefits - based<br>medicine (herbai<br>cannable, plant<br>derived or synthetic<br>oronuccasi (soray) in<br>chronic nacropathe<br>and might be<br>outweighed by their<br>potential harms.   |
| Aviram et                       | Efficac<br>Cannab<br>Based<br>Medici<br>for Pali<br>Mong<br>ent: A<br>System<br>Review<br>and M<br>Randor<br>d<br>Control<br>Trials | bis-<br>n<br>natic<br>v<br>eta-<br>is of<br>mize                       | Analysis                                      | cannabinol  | (cancer<br>and non-<br>cancer)<br>pain and<br>acute<br>postoperat<br>postoperat            | MEDILHR/Pubmed and<br>in Google Scholar using<br>Medical Subject<br>Heading (MeSH) terms   | the  | 2437       | No            | The outcome measure<br>that was chosen was the<br>variable "pain interceipt"<br>as socred by the<br>socred by the<br>socred by the<br>outcome of the<br>(MSF-11), visual<br>analog scale (VAS), and<br>of the VAS section of the<br>questionnaire short form<br>MGGII Pain<br>Questionnaire   |            | Yes, except<br>for,<br>reporting<br>blas,<br>publication<br>blas and for-<br>profit blas | No No  | The current<br>systematic review<br>suggests that<br>correlational data the<br>effective for chosic<br>effective for chosic<br>paint resumment, based<br>on limited evidence<br>primarily for<br>neuropathic pain<br>patients.  |
| Campbell<br>et. al              | cannab<br>ds an<br>effectin<br>and sat<br>treatmo<br>option<br>the<br>manag<br>nt of pi<br>A<br>qualita<br>system<br>review         | ve<br>fe<br>ent<br>in<br>teme<br>aln?<br>stive<br>ntic<br>r            | Systematic<br>Review                          | Oral THC,<br>an oral<br>synthetic<br>nitrogen<br>analogue<br>of THC<br>(NIB), oral<br>benzopyra<br>noperidine<br>(BPP), and<br>intramuscu<br>lar<br>levonantra<br>dol   | Acute,<br>chronic<br>non-<br>malignant<br>pain, and<br>cancer<br>pain                      | MEDUNE, EMBASE,<br>Oxford Pain Database,<br>and Cochrane Library   | 9  | 222        | No            | pain intensity; pain relief;<br>the use of supplementary<br>analgenia; patients'<br>preferences; and adverse<br>effects.  |            | Yes, except<br>for,<br>reporting<br>bias,<br>publication<br>bias and for-<br>profit bias |  | Cannabinotids are not<br>more effective than<br>codeline in controlling<br>pain and have<br>depressant effects on<br>the central nervous<br>system that linei their<br>use. Their widespread<br>introduction into<br>clinical practice for<br>pain management is<br>therefore<br>undesirable. In acute<br>postoperative pain<br>they should not be<br>used.   |
| Deshpand<br>e et. al            | and<br>advers<br>effects<br>medica<br>marijus<br>for chn<br>noncar<br>pain  | e<br>s of<br>al<br>ana<br>volic  | Review  | or<br>vaporizer<br>containing<br>delta-9-<br>THC  | c pain   | MEDLINE, EMBASE,<br>and the International<br>Pharmaceutical<br>Abstracts<br>MEDLINE, EMBASE,   | comparing<br>intervention<br>with<br>placebo.<br>Placebo<br>or<br>vaporizer<br>containing<br>0% delta-2<br>THC or<br>with<br>cannabinoi<br>d removal | 611        | Yec           | scores were extracted<br>uning the visual analogue<br>scale (VAS) or an<br>adtemative numerical<br>pain rating tool. If pain<br>scores were not reported,<br>surgagte measures of<br>reflectiveness were<br>infectiveness were<br>infectiveness were<br>infectiveness were<br>infectiveness were<br>requested to a score of the<br>requested of scores and<br>most commoly reported<br>adverse affects was<br>collected.  |            | Yes, except<br>for,<br>reporting<br>bias,<br>publication<br>bias and for-<br>profit bias |  | There is avokence for<br>the use of low-dose<br>medical manijuana in<br>refractory<br>traditional<br>analgesis, intowers,<br>traditional<br>analgesis, intowers,<br>traditional<br>analgesis, intowers,<br>traditional<br>analgesis, intowers,<br>traditional<br>analgesis, intowers,<br>traditional<br>analgesis, intowers,<br>traditional<br>analgesis, intowers,<br>or into a stradition<br>or into a stradition<br>or into a stradition<br>and lack of functional<br>outcomes. Athlough<br>verification medical<br>psychologethe and<br>psychologethe and<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe and<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psychologethe<br>psych |
| Stevens er<br>al                | system<br>review<br>the<br>analge<br>efficac<br>canab<br>d<br>medica<br>s in the<br>manag<br>nt of ai<br>pain                       | natic<br>r of<br>sisic<br>sy of<br>binoi<br>ation<br>e<br>teme<br>cute |   | dol,<br>nabilone,<br>AZD1940,<br>GW842166<br>,<br>dronabinol<br>, +-9-THC   | postoperat<br>ive pain   | Cochrane Library, and<br>the World Health<br>Organization<br>International Clinical<br>Trials Registry Platforn  | comparing<br>interventio<br>n with<br>placebo,<br>n Ketoprofen<br>,<br>Pethidine,<br>Naproxen,<br>and<br>Ibuprofen                                   |            | Yes           | The primary outcome was<br>the qualitative analysis of<br>the analysis of efficacy of<br>cannabinoids in the<br>management of acute<br>pain compared to placebo<br>or active compared.<br>The secondry outcome<br>was the qualitative<br>analysis of the reported<br>adverse effects  |            | Yes, except<br>for,<br>publication<br>bias and for-<br>profit bias                       |  | available randomized<br>controlled trial<br>evidence,<br>cannabinoids have no<br>role in the<br>management of acute<br>pain.  |
| Walitt et.<br>al                | Cannal<br>ids for<br>fibrom<br>ia   | bino 2016<br>yalg  | Cochrane<br>Review                            |   | Fibromyalg   | Cochrane Library,<br>MEDLINE and EMBASE  | 2 trials<br>comparing<br>the<br>interventio<br>n with<br>either (1)<br>placebo or<br>(1)<br>amitriptyli<br>ne  | 72 (40)    | Yes           | Primary outcomes:<br>Participant-reported pain<br>relief of 50% or greater.<br>PGIC (Patient Global<br>Impression of Change)<br>much or very much<br>improved.<br>Withdrawal due to<br>adverse events<br>(Icolarability).   |            | Yes, except<br>for<br>publication<br>bias.   | No Yes   | We found no<br>convincing, unbiased,<br>high quality evidence<br>suggesting that<br>nabilone is of value in<br>treating people with<br>fibromyalgia. The<br>tolerability of<br>nabilone was low in<br>people with<br>fibromyalgia.  |

eccurrence or effect that it are update mutuals in details, in life-interacting or participation of exception of the exceptio

significant disability or

#### Page 59 of 61

| Section and topic         | Item<br>No | Checklist item  | (Page No.# |
|---------------------------|------------|---|------------|
| ADMINISTRATIV             | E INF(     | ORMATION  |            |
| Title:                    |            |   |            |
| Identification            | 1a         | Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review  | 1          |
| Update                    | 1b         | If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such  |            |
| Registration              | 2          | If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number  |            |
| Authors:                  |            |   |            |
| Contact                   | 3a         | Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author   | 1          |
| Contributions             | 3b         | Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review   | 25-26      |
| Amendments                | 4          | If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments                               |            |
| Support:                  |            |   |            |
| Sources                   | 5a         | Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review   | 25         |
| Sponsor                   | 5b         | Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor   |            |
| Role of sponsor or funder | 5c         | Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol  |            |
| INTRODUCTION              |            | Op.   |            |
| Rationale                 | 6          | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known   | 3-9        |
| Objectives                | 7          | Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)  | 9          |
| METHODS                   |            |   |            |
| Eligibility criteria      | 8          | Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review | 10-12      |
| Information sources       | 9          | Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage   | 12-13      |
| Search strategy           | 10         | Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated  |            |

# PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol\*

 BMJ Open

| Study records:                     |     |  |    |
|------------------------------------|-----|--|----|
| Data management                    | 11a | Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review   | 13 |
| Selection process                  | 11b | State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)  | 1  |
| Data collection process            | 11c | Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators   |    |
| Data items                         | 12  | List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications  |    |
| Outcomes and prioritization        | 13  | List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale   | 11 |
| Risk of bias in individual studies | 14  | Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis                             |    |
| Data synthesis                     | 15a | Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised  | 1  |
|                                    | 15b | If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as $I^2$ , Kendall's $\tau$ ) | 19 |
|                                    | 15c | Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)  | 22 |
|                                    | 15d | If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned   | 2  |
| Meta-bias(es)                      | 16  | Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)  | 1  |
| Confidence in cumulative evidence  | 17  | Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)   | 2  |

\* It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

**BMJ** Open

# **BMJ Open**

# Cannabinoids versus placebo for pain. Protocol for a systematic review with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis

| Journal:                             | BMJ Open  |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Manuscript ID                        | bmjopen-2019-031574.R1  |
| Article Type:                        | Protocol  |
| Date Submitted by the<br>Author:     | 16-Jul-2019   |
| Complete List of Authors:            | Barakji, Jehad; The Copenhagen Trial Unit, Rigshospitalet Dept. 7812<br>Korang, Steven Kwasi; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Trial Unit; Holbaek<br>Sygehus, Pediatric Dept.<br>Feinberg, Joshua; Copenhagen Univ Hosp<br>Maagard, Mathias; The Copenhagen Trial Unit, Rigshospitalet Dept. 7812<br>Gluud, Christian; Copenhagen Trial Unit (CTU), Center for Clinical<br>Intervention Research<br>Mathiesen, Ole; University of Copenhagen<br>Jakobsen, Janus; Copenhagen Trial Unit, Centre for Clinical Intervention<br>Research, Department 7812, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University<br>Hospital |
| <b>Primary Subject<br/>Heading</b> : | Pharmacology and therapeutics   |
| Secondary Subject Heading:           | Anaesthesia, Evidence based practice, Complementary medicine  |
| Keywords:                            | PAIN MANAGEMENT, Herbal medicine < THERAPEUTICS, Adverse events < THERAPEUTICS, CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Health & safety < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT  |
|                                      |   |

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

**BMJ** Open

# Cannabinoids versus placebo for pain: Protocol for a systematic review with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis

Jehad A. Barakji<sup>1</sup>, Steven Kwasi Korang<sup>1</sup>, Joshua Rose-Hansen Feinberg<sup>1</sup>, Mathias Maagaard<sup>1</sup>, Christian Gluud<sup>1</sup>, Ole Mathiesen<sup>2,3</sup>, Janus C. Jakobsen<sup>1,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The Copenhagen Trial Unit, Centre for Clinical Intervention Research, Department 7812, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Blegdamsvej 9, DK 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>2</sup> Centre for Anaesthesiological Research, Department of Anaesthesiology, Zealand University Hospital, Koege,

Denmark

<sup>3</sup> Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Copenhagen University, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>4</sup> Department of Cardiology, Holbæk Hospital, Holbæk, Denmark

<sup>5</sup> Department of Regional Health Research, The Faculty of Heath Sciences, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

Corresponding author

Jehad A. Barakji

Tlf.: +45 21 52 07 80

Email: jehad.barakji@ctu.dk

# Abstract

**Introduction** Pain is a frequent clinical symptom, and with significant impact on patient well-being. Therefore, sufficient pain management is of utmost importance. While cannabinoids have become a more popular alternative to traditional types of pain medication among patients, the quality of evidence supporting the use of cannabinoids has been questioned. The beneficial and harmful effects of cannabinoids in patients with pain is unknown. Accordingly, we aim to assess the efficacy, tolerability, and safety of cannabinoids (herbal, plant-derived extracts and synthetic) compared with placebo for any type of pain.

**Methods and analysis** We will conduct a systematic review of randomised clinical trials with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis to assess the beneficial and harmful effects of cannabinoids in any dose, formulation, and duration. We will accept placebo or no treatment as control interventions. We will include participants with any type of pain (acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain or any other types of pain). We will systematically search The Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Science Citation Index, and BIOSIS for relevant literature. We will follow the recommendations by Cochrane and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement. The risk of systematic errors (bias) and random errors (play of chance) will be assessed. The overall certainty of evidence will be evaluated using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach.

**Ethics and dissemination** Ethical approval is not a requirement since no primary data will be collected. The findings of this systematic review will be submitted for peer-reviewed publication and disseminated in national and international conferences.

**Discussion** Although cannabinoids are now being used to manage different pain conditions, the evidence for the clinical effects are unclear. The present review will systematically assess the current evidence for the benefits and harms of cannabinoids to inform practice and future research.

# Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our methodology is based on the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, the PRISMA guideline, and a systematic eight step procedure for valid assessments of statistical and clinical significance
- We systemically plan to assess risks of random errors ('play of chance) and systematic errors ('bias')
- We have systematically predefined minimal important differences for all outcomes
- The certainty of the evidence with be assessed using the GRADE approach

Pain is the most commonly reported symptom in the general population and in a medical setting [1-3]. Persistent pain is a major international health problem [4], prompting the World Health Organization (WHO) to endorse a global campaign against pain [5]. Pain is the leading reason for use of alternative medicines (i.e. acupuncture, etc.) [6]. Pain has been associated with a low degree of health-related quality of life and may lead to psychosocial distress, insomnia, and depressive symptoms [7-15]. Pain is also among the most common reasons for temporary or permanent work disability [16]. Pain is always subjective and may be defined as "an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage" [17].

Cannabinoids have emerged as a potential alternative for the treatment of intractable pain [18]. Before the healthcare systems globally can endorse the applicability of cannabinoids for pain, the potential short-time and long-term adverse events encumbered with long-term use of cannabinoids must be investigated. This is of utmost importance because patients who consume cannabinoids to alleviate their chronic pain will most likely need to consume cannabinoids for the rest of their lifespan.

# **Description of pain**

Pain may be caused by or be related to different clinical disorders and classified according to several different characteristics [19-22]. Below, we describe shortly some of these classifications.

## Acute and chronic pain

Pain may be classified as 'acute pain' or 'chronic pain'.

• Acute pain usually has a well-defined onset and most often a readily identifiable cause (i.e. surgery, etc.). Acute pain is expected to run its course in a short time frame and management typically focuses on symptomatic relief until this happens [23]. Acute pain is a common symptom, affecting between 37% to 84% of hospitalised patients [24].

 Chronic pain is often characterised by an ill-defined onset and a prolonged, fluctuating course [23]. Chronic pain often persists past normal healing time and hence lacks the acute warning function of physiological nociception [25]. Pain is usually regarded as chronic when it lasts or recurs for more than three to six months [17, 26]. Chronic pain is a frequent condition, affecting an estimated 20% of people worldwide [27-30] and accounting for 15% to 20% of physician visits according to European observational studies [31, 32].

#### Cancer-related pain

Pain may also be classified based on whether it is cancer-related or non-cancer-related. Cancer-related pain is pain caused by the cancer itself (primary tumour and metastases) or its treatment (i.e. radiation therapy, etc.) [23, 33].

#### Postoperative pain

Postoperative pain includes pain from inflammation caused by tissue trauma (i.e. surgical incision, dissection, burns, etc.) or direct nerve injury (e.g. nerve transection, stretching, or compression) [34]. Inflammation results in activation and sensitisation of nociceptive pain pathways, resulting in primary and secondary hyperalgesia and central sensitisation, which is characterized by clinically increased pain, allodynia, and increased sensitivity from surrounding non-damaged anatomical areas [35].

#### Other types of pain

Pain in one or more anatomic regions where the aetiology is unknown is defined as idiopathic pain [36]. Examples of idiopathic pain are chronic widespread pain, fibromyalgia, irritable bowel syndrome, and back pain that is not diagnosed as musculoskeletal or as neuropathic pain [33].

Pain types defined according to specific mechanism causing the pain Somatic nociceptive pain

#### **BMJ** Open

Nociceptive pain is the most frequent type of pain. It results from activity in neural pathways caused by actual tissue damage or potentially tissue-damaging stimuli [31, 37] originating from somatic nociceptors from skin, bone, joints, or muscles [38].

Visceral nociceptive pain

The visceral nociceptive pain is pain resulting from viscera in the thoracic, pelvis, or abdominal organs [39-41]. Visceral pain is diffuse, less distinctive, and difficult to localise [41] and is often characterised by referred visceral pain [42].

#### Neuropathic pain

The 2011 International Association for the Study of Pain definition of neuropathic pain is "pain caused by a lesion or disease of the somatosensory system" [43].

Neuropathic pain may be classified as central neuropathic pain or peripheral neuropathic pain. Central neuropathic pain conditions are mainly attributed to multiple sclerosis and post-stroke pain [44], while peripheral neuropathic pain is largely due to post-herpetic neuralgia and diabetic neuropathy [45].

# Description of the intervention

Cannabis (also called marijuana) is the most common illegally used psychoactive substance worldwide [46]. Cannabinoids refer to a heteromorphic group of molecules that demonstrate activity upon cannabinoid receptors [47]. Cannabinoids may be classified into three groups: 1) endocannabinoids, 2) phytocannabinoids, and 3) synthetic cannabinoids [47].

#### Endocannabinoids

Endocannabinoids are characterised by being the endogenously generated cannabinoids [48]. The primary types of endocannabinoids are the lipid endocannabinoid arachidonoyl ethanolamide (named anandamide) [49] and the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) [50, 51].

#### Phytocannabinoids

Phytocannabinoids are cannabinoids found in the cannabis plant [52]. The best characterised phytocannabinoids are the psychotropic tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and the primarily anti-inflammatory cannabidiol (CBD) [53]. Nabiximols (marketed as Sativex<sup>®</sup>) is a sublingually administered oromucosal spray based on a mixture of tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol [54].

#### Synthetic cannabinoids

Synthetic cannabinoids are analogues of the cannabinoids found in natural marijuana that are chemically synthesised. The most commonly prescribed cannabinoid-based medicines are the synthetic cannabinoids dronabinol (marketed as Marinol<sup>®</sup>) and nabilone (marketed as Cesamet<sup>®</sup>) [54].

# Endocannabinoid system

All cannabinoids act on cannabinoid receptors. These cannabinoid receptors are located throughout the body but are mostly located in the brain [55]. The cannabinoid receptors and endocannabinoids (see paragraph above) are together named the endocannabinoid system [56].

## Cannabinoid receptors

There are two types of cannabinoid receptors, type I and type II [57]. Cannabinoid receptor type I are most abundant in the central nervous system, especially in areas promoting nociception, short-term memory, and in the basal ganglia, but are also found in the peripheral nerves, uterus, testis, and bones [57]. Tetrahydrocannabinol activates cannabinoid type I receptors in the dopaminergic mesolimbic brain circuit, resulting in enhanced release of dopamine [58]. Such activation of the so-called 'brain reward system' is hypothesised to mediate the positive reinforcing and rewarding effects of almost all drugs of abuse [58].

In contrast, cannabinoid receptor type II, is mostly found in the periphery, often in conjunction with immune cells, but may appear in the central nervous system particularly under conditions of inflammation in association with microcytes [57]. The physiological responses that result from cannabinoid receptor activation are euphoria, psychosis, impaired memory and cognition, reduced locomotor function, increased appetite, as well as anti-emetic, pain-relieving, anti-spasticity, and sleep-promoting effects [59].

#### Administration of cannabinoids

#### **BMJ** Open

Cannabis is most commonly consumed via smoked, inhaled vapor, or oral routes of administration [60]. Vaporising cannabis ('vaping') heats the material without burning which theoretically minimises potential carcinogens compared to smoking and may produce less respiratory irritation [61, 62]. Sublingual administration is used for some medical cannabis preparations (i.e. nabiximols, etc.).

# Why it is important to do this review

We identified ten previous reviews with meta-analyses assessing the effects of cannabinoids on different types of pain [63-72]. Bearing in mind that some of the previous reviews investigated more than one type of pain, eight reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on neuropathic pain [63-69, 72]; four reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on nociceptive pain (i.e. rheumatoid arthritis, etc.) [63, 64, 67, 68]; three reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on cancer-related pain [63, 67, 68]; four reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on cancer-related pain [63, 67, 71]; and three reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on postoperative pain [63, 68, 70]. All the previous reviews included randomised clinical trials, but only two of the ten reviews systematically assessed the risk of bias in the trials [65, 72], and none of the previous reviews took into account the risks of random errors [63-72]. Only two out of the ten reviews used predefined Cochrane methodology [71, 72] and only four reviews used the GRADE approach [65, 70-72].

Most of the reviews concluded that the assessed cannabinoids were effective against pain [63-67, 69, 72]. In **Table 1 (Additional file 1),** we have summarised the results and conclusions of the previous reviews. Five of the reviews reported serious adverse events (i.e. agitation, impaired memory, abuse, dissociation, acute psychosis, death, etc.) [63, 65-67, 72]. The reviews also showed that the most commonly reported adverse events were sedation, dizziness, dry mouth, increased appetite, somnolence, confusion, nausea, and disturbances in concentration [63-66, 68, 69, 71, 72].

A correlation between psychiatric disorders (i.e. schizophrenia and psychosis etc.) and increased cannabinoid consumption have previously been hypothesised [73-79]. Di Forti et. al recently conducted a study indicating that daily cannabis use was associated with increased odds of psychotic disorder compared with never users (adjusted odds ratio [OR] 3.2, 95% Cl 2.2–4.1), increasing to nearly five-times increased odds for daily use of high-potency (THC  $\geq$ 10%) types of cannabis (adjusted odds ratio [OR] 4.8, 95% Cl 2.5–6.3) [80].

#### **BMJ** Open

Compared to previous systematic reviews on cannabinoids, we want to assess the effects of all types of cannabinoid versus placebo or no intervention for all different forms of pain. This increases the power and precision over the overall analysis and make it possible to conduct subgroup analyses and sensitivity analyses that may identify pain areas where cannabinoid could be especially beneficial and cause the least harms. In addition, we will implement a minimal clinically important threshold regarding analgesic efficacy based on previously conducted methodological studies which ensures that analgesic efficacy is of a firm significance before acceptance. Finally, by instigating all types of cannabinoids treated for any type of pain this systematic review will aid trialist in optimising the design of future randomised clinical trials by illuminating any research pitfalls of all previously conducted randomised clinical trials on this topic.

#### Objective

The objective of our systematic review is to assess the analgesic efficacy and adverse events encumbered with the use of cannabinoids compared to placebo or no intervention in participants with any type of pain (acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain, or any other types of pain). A secondary objective of this systematic review is to assess the impact of cannabinoid use on the quality of sleep and quality of life which is especially decreased in participants with chronic pain.

# Methods

This systematic review protocol has been developed based on Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) guidelines for reporting systematic reviews evaluating healthcare interventions [81, 82]. A PRISMA-P checklist file is attached (Additional file 2).

#### Criteria for considering studies for this review

#### Type of studies

Randomised clinical trials irrespective of trial design, setting, publication status, publication year, and language. If we identify quasi-randomised studies and observational studies during our searches for randomised clinical trials, we will only include their reporting on harms in a narrative way. By not systematically searching for all observational studies on harm, we run the risk of putting more focus on benefit than harm. We are aware that this is a limitation of our review.

# Types of participants

Participants with any type of pain, i.e. acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain, or any other types of pain (as defined by the trialists). Participants will be included irrespective of age, sex, and comorbidities.

# Types of interventions

# Experimental intervention

Any type of cannabinoids such as: herbal cannabis (hashish, marihuana), plant-based extracts (i.e. nabiximole, etc.), or synthetic cannabinoids (i.e. cannabidiol, dronabinol, levonantradol, nabilone, etc.). We will accept cannabinoids at any dose, by any route, administered for the relief of pain.

# Control intervention

Placebo or no intervention.

# Co-interventions

We will accept any co-intervention but only if this co-intervention is planned to be delivered similarly in both intervention groups. If this plan is not followed, then these trials will be assessed as a subgroup due to potential confounding.

# Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- All-cause mortality
- Pain assessment on visual analogue scale (VAS) or numerical rating scale (NRS)
- Proportion of participants with a serious adverse event defined as any untoward medical occurrence that resulted in death; was life threatening; was persistent; or led to significant disability, nephrotoxicity, superinfection, need for respiratory support, need for circulatory support, or prolonged hospitalisation [83]. As we expect the trialists' reporting of serious adverse events to be heterogeneous and not strictly according to the ICH-GCP recommendations, we will include the event as a serious adverse if the trialists either: 1) use the term 'serious adverse event' but not refer to ICH-GCP, or 2) report the proportion of

participants with an event we consider fulfils the ICH-GCP definition. If several of such events are reported then we will choose the highest proportion reported in each trial.

• Quality of life measured on any valid continuous scale

# Secondary outcomes

- Dependence (as defined by trialists)
- Psychosis (as defined by trialists)
- Proportion of participants with one or more adverse event not considered to be serious
- Quality of sleep measured on any valid continuous scale

# Exploratory outcomes

- Each serious adverse event separately
- Each adverse event not considered serious separately.
- Twenty-four-hour morphine consumption (as defined by trialists)
- Physical function (as defined by trialists)
- Depressive symptoms (e.g. Hamilton Depression Rating Scale)

We will for all outcomes use the trial results reported at maximal follow-up except for acute pain. For acute pain, we will use the trials' results reported at the time point closest to 24 hours after the intervention is given.

# **Patient and Public Involvement**

We have had email correspondence with several relevant patient associations in Denmark to select the most patient relevant outcomes. The patient associations we have been in contact with include: The Danish Diabetes Association, Steno Diabetes Centre Copenhagen, The Danish Rheumatism Association, The Danish Multiple Sclerosis Society, and Danish Cancer Society. Initially we presented our potential outcomes for the aforementioned patient associations and requested for their opinion. Initially we had not included quality of sleep as an outcome however this was mentioned by almost all patient associations and it was included as a crucial secondary outcome. All-cause mortality was questioned by one of the patient associations however we have chosen to keep this outcome because of potential increased risk of both acute coronary syndrome and chronic cardiovascular disease associated with cannabis use [84]. We are very thankful for their input.

We will perform the review following the recommendations of Cochrane [85]. The analyses will be performed using Review Manager 5 [86] and Trial Sequential Analysis [87]. In case of Review Manager statistical software not being sufficient, we will use STATA 15 [88].

### Selection of studies

Two authors (JB, SKK) will independently screen titles and abstracts. We will retrieve all relevant full-text study reports/publications, and four review authors (JB, SKK, JRF, MM) will independently screen the full text and identify and record reasons for exclusion of the ineligible studies. We will resolve any disagreement through discussion or, if required, we will consult a fifth author (JCJ). Trial selection will be displayed in an adapted flow diagram as per the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement [89].

# Data extraction and management

Four authors (JB, SKK, JRF, MM) will in pairs extract data independently from included trials. Disagreements will be resolved by discussion with a fifth author (JCJ). We will assess duplicate publications and companion papers of a trial together to evaluate all available data simultaneously (maximise data extraction, correct bias assessment). We will contact the trial authors by email to specify any additional data, which may not have been reported sufficiently or at all in the publication.

# Trial characteristics

Bias risk components (as defined below); trial design (parallel, factorial, or crossover); number of intervention arms; length of follow-up; estimation of sample size; inclusion and exclusion criteria.

# Participant characteristics and diagnosis

Number of randomised participants; number of analysed participants; number of participants lost to follow-up/ withdrawals/crossover; compliance with medication; age range (mean or median) and sex ratio; type of pain (acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain or any other types of pain); baseline pain score; drug and dosing regimen; study design (placebo or active control); study duration and follow-up; analgesic outcome measures and results; adverse events (participants experiencing any adverse event, or serious adverse event).

### **BMJ** Open

# 

# Co-intervention characteristics

Type of co-intervention; dose of co-intervention; duration of co-intervention; and mode of administration.

### Outcomes

All outcomes listed above will be extracted from each randomised clinical trial, and we will identify if outcomes are incomplete or selectively reported according to the criteria described later in 'incomplete outcome data' bias domain and 'selective outcome reporting' bias domain.

# Notes

Funding of the trial and notable conflicts of interest of trial authors will be extracted, if available. We will note in the 'Characteristics of included studies' table if outcome data were not reported in a usable way. Four review authors (JB, SKK, JRF, MM) will independently transfer data into the Review Manager file [86]. Disagreements will be resolved through discussion or, if required, we will consult with a fifth author (JCJ).

# Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

We will use the instructions given in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [85] in our evaluation of the methodology and hence the risk of bias of the included trials. We will evaluate the methodology in respect of:

- Random sequence generation
- Allocation concealment
- Blinding of participants and treatment providers
- Blinding of outcome assessment
- Incomplete outcome data
- Selective outcome reporting
- For-profit bias
- Overall risk of bias

These components enable classification of randomised trials as being at low risk of bias and at high risk of bias. The latter trials tend to overestimate positive intervention effects and underestimate negative effects [90-96]. We will classify the trials according to the following criteria.

# Random sequence generation

- Low risk: If sequence generation was achieved using computer random number generator or a random number table. Drawing lots, tossing a coin, shuffling cards, and throwing dice were also considered adequate if performed by an independent adjudicator.
- Unclear risk: If the method of randomisation was not specified, but the trial was still presented as being randomised.
- High risk: If the method of sequence generation was inadequate e.g. alternate medical record numbers or other non-random sequence generation.

# Allocation concealment

- Low risk: If the allocation of patients was performed by a central independent unit, on-site locked computer, identical-looking numbered sealed envelopes, drug bottles, or containers prepared by an independent pharmacist or investigator.
- Uncertain risk: If the trial was classified as randomised but the allocation concealment process was not described.
- High risk: If the allocation sequence was familiar to the investigators who assigned participants.

# Blinding of participants and treatment providers

- Low risk: If the participants and the treatment providers were blinded to intervention allocation and this was described.
- Uncertain risk: If the procedure of blinding was insufficiently described.
- High risk: If blinding of participants and the treatment providers was not performed.

# Blinding of outcome assessment

- Low risk of bias: If it was mentioned that outcome assessors were blinded and this was described.
- Uncertain risk of bias: If it was not mentioned if the outcome assessors in the trial were blinded or the extent of blinding was insufficiently described.
- High risk of bias: If no blinding or incomplete blinding of outcome assessors was performed.

# Incomplete outcome data

• Low risk of bias: If missing data were unlikely to make treatment effects depart from plausible values. This could be either (1) there were no drop-outs or withdrawals for all outcomes or (2) the numbers

60

# **BMJ** Open

|                  | and reasons for the withdrawals and drop-outs for all outcomes were clearly stated and could be   |
|------------------|---|
|                  | described as being similar to both groups. Generally, the trial is judged as at a low risk of bias due to   |
|                  | incomplete outcome data if drop-outs are less than 5%. However, the 5% cut-off is not definitive.   |
| •                | Uncertain risk of bias: If there was insufficient information to assess whether missing data were likely  |
|                  | to induce bias on the results.  |
| •                | High risk of bias: If the results were likely to be biased due to missing data either because the pattern   |
|                  | of drop-outs could be described as being different in the two intervention groups or the trial used   |
|                  | improper methods in dealing with the missing data (i.e. last observation carried forward, etc.).  |
| Solacti          | ive outcome reporting   |
|                  |   |
| •                | Low risk of bias: If a protocol was published before or at the time the trial was begun, and the  |
|                  | outcomes specified in the protocol were reported on. If there is no protocol or the protocol was  |
|                  | published after the trial has begun, reporting pain assessment on VAS or NRS and serious adverse  |
|                  | events will grant the trial a grade of low risk of bias.  |
| •                | Uncertain risk of bias: If no protocol was published and the outcome pain assessment on VAS or NRS  |
|                  | and serious adverse events were not reported on.  |
| •                | High risk of bias: If the outcomes in the protocol were not reported on.  |
| For-pr           | ofit bias   |
| •                | Low risk of bias: If the trial appeared to be free of other components of for-profit bias.  |
| •                | Unclear risk of bias: If it was unclear whether the trial was free of for-profit bias.  |
|                  |   |
| •                | High risk of bias: If there was a high risk of for-profit bias.   |
| •<br>Overal      | High risk of bias: If there was a high risk of for-profit bias.   |
| •<br>Overal<br>• |   |
| •<br>Overal<br>• | Il risk of bias   |
| •<br>Overal<br>• | Il risk of bias<br>Low risk of bias: The trial will be classified at overall 'low risk of bias' only if all of the bias domains<br>described in the above paragraphs are classified at 'low risk of bias'.  |
| •                | Il risk of bias<br>Low risk of bias: The trial will be classified at overall 'low risk of bias' only if all of the bias domains<br>described in the above paragraphs are classified at 'low risk of bias'.  |
| •                | Il risk of bias<br>Low risk of bias: The trial will be classified at overall 'low risk of bias' only if all of the bias domains<br>described in the above paragraphs are classified at 'low risk of bias'.<br>High risk of bias: The trial will be classified at 'high risk of bias' if any of the bias risk domains describe |

addition to each trial. Our primary conclusions will be based on the results of our primary outcome results at overall low risk of bias. Both our primary and secondary analyses will be presented in the summary of findings tables.

# Differences between the protocol and the review

We will conduct the review according to this published protocol and report any deviations from it in the 'Differences between the protocol and the review' section of the systematic review.

# Measures of treatment effect

### Dichotomous outcomes

We will calculate risk ratios (RRs) with 95% confidence interval (CI) for dichotomous outcomes, as well as the Trial Sequential Analysis- adjusted CIs (see below).

### Continuous outcomes

We will calculate the mean differences (MDs) and the standardised mean difference (SMD) with 95% CI for continuous outcomes, as well as the Trial Sequential Analysis-adjusted CIs (see below).

### Dealing with missing data

We will, as first option, contact all trial authors to obtain any relevant missing data (i.e. for data extraction and for assessment of risk of bias, as specified above).

### Dichotomous outcomes

We will not impute missing values for any outcomes in our primary analysis. In two of our sensitivity analyses (see paragraph below), we will impute data.

### Continuous outcomes

We will primarily analyse scores assessed at single time points. If only changes from baseline scores are reported, we will analyse the results together with follow-up scores [85]. If standard deviations (SDs) are not reported, we will calculate the SDs using trial data, if possible. We will not use intention-to-treat data if the original report did not contain such data. We will not impute missing values for any outcomes in our primary analysis. In our sensitivity analysis (see paragraph below) for continuous outcomes, we will impute data.

**BMJ** Open

# Assessment of heterogeneity

We will primarily investigate forest plots to visually assess any sign of heterogeneity. We will secondly assess the presence of statistical heterogeneity by chi<sup>2</sup> test (threshold P < 0.10) and measure the quantities of heterogeneity by the I<sup>2</sup> statistic [97, 98]. We will investigate for heterogeneity through subgroup analyses. Ultimately, we may decide that a meta-analysis should be avoided [85].

# Assessment of reporting biases

We will use a funnel plot to assess reporting bias if ten or more trials are included. We will visually inspect funnel plots to assess the risk of bias. We are aware of the limitations of a funnel plot (i.e. a funnel plot assesses bias due to small sample size, etc.). From this information, we assess possible reporting bias. For dichotomous outcomes, we will test asymmetry with the Harbord test [99] if  $\tau^2$  is less than 0.1 and with the Rücker test if  $\tau^2$  is more than 0.1. For continuous outcomes, we will use the regression asymmetry test [100] and the adjusted rank correlation [101].

# Unit of analysis issues

We will only include randomised clinical trials. For trials using crossover design, only data from the first period will be included [85, 102]. There will therefore not be any unit of analysis issues.

# Minimal important difference

In clinical intervention research it is of utmost importance always to define minimal important differences (MID) and to define thresholds for clinical significance [103]. If a large number of trial participants are randomised, small and clinically irrelevant intervention effects may lead to statistically significant results and rejection of the null hypothesis [104]. Jaeschke et al. defined the minimal important difference as "the smallest difference in score in the domain of interest which patients perceive as beneficial" [105].

Estimations of minimal important differences should be used as arbitrary strict precise thresholds. However, to avoid erroneous conclusions minimal important differences need to be estimated and predefined when assessing the effects of interventions for pain. Olsen et al. have conducted two systematic reviews on this matter in order to gather the evidence and present an estimate of the minimal important difference [106, 107]. Olsen et al. conducted a systematic review on the minimal important difference in patients with acute pain and concluded

that the median of the studies' results was 17 mm on VAS (IQR 14 mm to 23 mm) [106]. Another systematic review conducted by Olsen et al. was on the minimal important difference in patients with chronic pain and the results showed a median of 23 mm on VAS (IQR 12 mm to 39 mm) when using the within-patient anchor-based method, while the median in studies using the sensitivity- and specificity-based method was 20 mm on VAS (IQR 15 mm – 30 mm) [107]. We have described detailed considerations about minimal important differences in Appendix 1.

Based on the previously conducted systematic reviews we will choose at minimal important difference equivalent to 10 mm or 1 point on the visual analogue scale and the numerical rating scale, respectively, regarding an analgesic effect.

# Data synthesis

# Meta-analysis

We will undertake this meta-analysis according to the recommendations stated in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [85], Keus et al. [108], and the eight-step assessment suggested by Jakobsen et al. [103]. We will use the statistical software Review Manager 5.3 [86] provided by Cochrane to analyse data. We will assess our intervention effects with both random-effects meta-analyses [109] and fixedeffect meta-analyses [110]. We will use the more conservative point estimate of the two [103]. The more conservative point estimate is the estimate closest to zero effect. If the two estimates are similar, we will use the estimate with the highest P value [103]. We use four primary and four secondary outcomes, and therefore, we will consider a P value of 0.02 as the threshold for statistical significance [103, 111]. We will investigate for heterogeneity through subgroup analyses. Ultimately, we may decide that a meta-analysis should be avoided [85]. We will use the eight-step procedure to assess if the thresholds for statistical and clinical significance are crossed [103]. Our primary conclusion will be based on results with low risk of bias [103].

Where multiple trial intervention groups are reported in a single trial, we will include only the relevant groups. If two comparisons are combined in the same meta-analysis, we will halve the control group to avoid doublecounting [85]. Trials with a factorial design will be included.

If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, we will report the results in a narrative way.

Trial Sequential Analysis

Page 19 of 61

### **BMJ** Open

Traditional meta-analysis runs the risk of random errors due to sparse data and repetitive testing of accumulating data when updating reviews. We wish to control the risks of type I errors and type II errors. We will therefore perform Trial Sequential Analysis on the outcomes, in order to calculate the required information size (that is the number of participants needed in a meta-analysis to detect or reject a certain intervention effect) and the cumulative Z-curve's breach of relevant trial sequential monitoring boundaries [87, 112-120]. A more detailed description of Trial Sequential Analysis can be found in the Trial Sequential Analysis manual [113] and at <a href="http://www.ctu.dk/tsa/">http://www.ctu.dk/tsa/</a>. For dichotomous outcomes, we will estimate the required information size based on the observed proportion of patients with an outcome in the control group (the cumulative proportion of patients with an event in the control groups relative to all patients in the control groups), a relative risk reduction of 20%, an alpha of 2.0% for our primary and secondary outcomes, a beta of 10%, and diversity as suggested by the trials in the meta-analysis. For the outcome "pain assessment on visual analogue scale (VAS) or numerical rating scale (NRS)", we will use a minimal important difference estimate based on previously conducted systematic reviews [106, 107]. We will accept an analgesic effect equivalent to 10 mm or 1 point on the visual analogue scale and the numerical rating scale, respectively, or a consumption of at least 5 mg morphine.

For all remaining continuous outcome, we will in the Trial Sequential Analysis use the observed SD, a mean difference of the observed SD/2, an alpha of 2.0% for our primary and secondary outcomes, and a beta of 10%.

# Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

# Subgroup analysis

We will perform the following subgroup analysis when analysing the primary outcomes (All-cause mortality, pain assessment on VAS or NRS, serious adverse event, and quality of life).

- Trials at high risk of bias compared to trails at low risk of bias
- Trials compared according to type of pain (acute pain, chronic pain and cancer pain)
- Trials compared according to type of chronic pain
- Trials compared according to type of cannabinoids used

We will use the formal test for subgroup interactions in Review Manager [86].

Sensitivity analysis

To assess the potential impact of the missing data for dichotomous outcomes, we will perform the two following sensitivity analyses on both the primary and secondary outcomes.

- 'Best-worst-case' scenario: We will assume that all participants lost to follow-up in the cannabinoid intervention group have survived and had no serious adverse event, and that all those participants lost to follow-up in the placebo group have not survived, and had a serious adverse event.
- 'Worst-best-case' scenario: We will assume that all participants lost to follow-up in the cannabinoid intervention group have not survived, and had a serious adverse event, and that all those participants lost to follow-up in the placebo group have survived, and had no serious adverse event.

We will present results of both scenarios in our review.

For all continuous outcome when analysing a 'beneficial outcome' will be the group mean plus two standard deviations (SDs) (we will secondly use one SD in another sensitivity analysis) of the group mean and a 'harmful outcome' will be the group mean minus two SDs (we will secondly use one SD in another sensitivity analysis) of the group mean [103].

To assess the potential impact of missing SDs for continuous outcomes, we will perform the following sensitivity analysis.

• Where SDs are missing and it is not possible to calculate them, we will impute SDs from trials with similar populations and low risk of bias. If we find no such trials, we will impute SDs from trials with a similar population. As the final option, we will impute SDs from all trials.

We will present results of this scenario in our review. Other post hoc sensitivity analyses might be warranted if unexpected clinical or statistical heterogeneity is identified during the analysis of the review results [103].

# Summary of Findings

We will create a Summary of Findings table using each of the primary outcomes (all-cause mortality, pain assessment on VAS or NRS, serious adverse event, and quality of life). We will use the five GRADE considerations (bias risk of the trials, consistency of effect, imprecision, indirectness, and publication bias) to assess the quality of a body of evidence as it relates to the studies which contribute data to the meta-analyses for the prespecified outcomes [103, 121-123]. We will use methods and recommendations described in Chapter 8 (Section 8.5) and Chapter 12 of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [85]

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

**BMJ** Open

using GRADEpro software. We will justify all decisions to downgrade the quality of studies using footnotes, and we will make comments to aid the reader's understanding of the review where necessary. Firstly, we will present our results in the Summary of Findings table based on the results from the trials with low risk of bias, and secondly, we will present the results based on all trials.

# Ethics and Dissemination

Ethical approval is not a requirement since no primary data will be collected. The findings of this systematic review will be submitted for peer-reviewed publication and disseminated in national and international conferences and is expected to inform healthcare workers and providers about the occurrence of serious and non-serious adverse events following cannabinoid consumption. It is expected that the findings of this systematic review will identify some research gaps for future trials.

# Discussion

This protocol aims at investigating the beneficial and harmful effects of cannabinoids in patients with any type of pain condition. The outcomes will be all-cause mortality, pain assessment on VAS or NRS, serious adverse events, quality of life, dependence, psychosis, non-serious adverse events, and sleep quality.

This protocol has several strengths. The predefined methodology is based on the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [85], the eight-step assessment suggested by Jakobsen et al. [103], Trial Sequential Analysis [84], and GRADE assessment [121-123]. Hence, this protocol takes into account both the risk of random error and the risk of systematic error. We predefined evidence-based estimations of minimal important differences which will limit the risk of focusing on statistically significant results with questionable clinical importance. This threshold of minimal important difference is based on the estimations of several previously conducted studies and reviews [106, 107]. Moreover, we are including all types of cannabinoids and all types of pain which will increase the statistical power and make it possible to perform essential subgroup analyses. We have been in contact with several relevant patient associations which has assisted us in choosing the most clinically relevant outcomes.

Our protocol also has several limitations. One of the potential limitations is that we include participants with all types of pain; cannabinoids might have different effects on different types of pain. It might e.g. be problematic

to combine trials assessing the effects of cannabinoids on acute pain and chronic pain because of different underlying pathophysiological mechanisms [124]. On the other hand, the effects of cannabinoids on acute pain and chronic pain might be comparable and hence it might be valid to combine trials assessing the effects of cannabinoids on acute pain and chronic pain in meta-analysis, which would increase the statistical power. The results of the subgroup analysis comparing trials including participants with acute pain to participants with chronic pain will therefore be highlighted when reporting our review results. Moreover, we only intend to assess cannabinoids versus placebo or no intervention. Further systematic reviews with meta-analyses and Trial Sequential Analyses need to assess the benefits and harms of cannabinoids versus other pain killers, provided that cannabinoids show more benefit than harm in the present systematic review.

Furthermore, more than one active cannabinoid agent is often combined in the different intervention options provided to the patients with a pain condition, thereby making difficult to explore the analgesic effect and adverse event associated with a single cannabinoid agent. Hence, if we show a difference between the intervention options, it will be difficult to conclude what exactly caused the difference in effect. To minimise these limitations, we have planned a careful assessment of statistical and clinical heterogeneity as well as several subgroup analyses and sensitivity analyses. Another limitation is the large number of comparisons which increase the risk of type 1 error. We have adjusted our thresholds for significance according to the number of primary outcomes, but, as mentioned, we have also included multiple subgroup analyses. This large risk of type 1 error will be taken into account when interpreting the review results.

#### Acknowledgements

We hugely appreciate the contribution of The Danish Diabetes Association, Steno Diabetes Centre Copenhagen, The Danish Rheumatism Association, The Danish Multiple Sclerosis Society, and Danish Cancer Society in guiding us to select the most patient relevant outcomes.

The expert help from Sarah Louise Klingenberg (Information Specialist, The Cochrane Hepato-Biliary Group, Copenhagen Trial Unit, Copenhagen, Denmark) in making the search strategy is hugely appreciated.

#### **Funding statement**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

# Authors' contributions

JB drafted the protocol. JCJ, SKK, OM, CG, JRF and MM amended the protocol. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# **Competing interests**

None declared

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

# Word Count

10835 words, including the full references.

# References

- 1. Verhaak P, Kerssens J, Dekker J, Sorbi M, and Bensing J, *Prevalence of chronic benign pain disorder among adults: a review of the literature.* PAIN, 1998. **77**(3): p. 231-9.
- 2. Kroenke K, Patients presenting with somatic complaints: epidemiology, psychiatric comorbidity and management. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res, 2003. **12**(1): p. 34-43.
- 3. Sternbach RA, *Survey of pain in the United States: The nuprin pain report.* The Clinical Journal of Pain, 1986. **2**(1): p. 49-53.
- 4. Gureje O, Von Korff M, Simon G, and Gater R, *Persistent pain and well-being: a World Health Organization Study in Primary Care.* Jama, 1998. **280**(2): p. 147-51.
- 5. Breivik H, International association for the study of pain: update on WHO-IASP activities. J Pain Symptom Manage, 2002. **24**(2): p. 97-101.
- Astin J, Why patients use alternative medicine: Results of a national study. JAMA, 1998. 279(19):
   p. 1548-1553.
- 7. Davison SN, Jhangri GS, and Johnson JA, *Cross-sectional validity of a modified Edmonton symptom assessment system in dialysis patients: a simple assessment of symptom burden.* Kidney Int, 2006. **69**(9): p. 1621-5.
- Davison SN, Jhangri GS, and Johnson JA, Longitudinal validation of a modified Edmonton symptom assessment system (ESAS) in haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2006.
   21(11): p. 3189-95.
  - 9. Davison SN and Jhangri GS, *Impact of pain and symptom burden on the health-related quality of life of hemodialysis patients.* J Pain Symptom Manage, 2010. **39**(3): p. 477-85.

- 10. Davison S, Chronic pain in end-stage renal disease. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis, 2005. 12(3): p. 326-34.
- Kimmel PL, Emont SL, Newmann JM, Danko H, and Moss AH, ESRD patient quality of life: 11. symptoms, spiritual beliefs, psychosocial factors, and ethnicity. Am J Kidney Dis, 2003. 42(4): p. 713-21.
- 12. Leinau L, Murphy TE, Bradley E, and Fried T, Relationship between conditions addressed by hemodialysis guidelines and non-ESRD-specific conditions affecting quality of life. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol, 2009. 4(3): p. 572-8.
- 13. Weisbord SD, Carmody SS, Bruns FJ, Rotondi AJ, Cohen LM, Zeidel ML, et al., Symptom burden, quality of life, advance care planning and the potential value of palliative care in severely ill haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2003. 18(7): p. 1345-52.
- 14. Weisbord SD, Fried LF, Arnold RM, Fine MJ, Levenson DJ, Peterson RA, et al., Prevalence, severity, and importance of physical and emotional symptoms in chronic hemodialysis patients. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2005. 16(8): p. 2487-94.
- 15. Gamondi C, Galli N, Schonholzer C, Marone C, Zwahlen H, Gabutti L, et al., Frequency and severity of pain and symptom distress among patients with chronic kidney disease receiving dialysis. Swiss Med Wkly, 2013. 143: p. w13750.
  - 16. Smith BH, Elliott AM, Chambers WA, Smith WC, Hannaford PC, and Penny K, The impact of chronic pain in the community. Fam Pract, 2001. 18(3): p. 292-9.
  - 17. Merskey H, Lindblom U, Mumford JM, Nathan PW, and Sunderland S, Part III: Pain terms—a current list with definitions and notes on usage with definitions and notes on usage.. In: Merskey H, Bogduk N editor(s). Classification of Chronic Pain, IASP Task Force on Taxonomy. IASP Press, 1994(2nd Edition): p. 209-14.
- 32 Vuckovic S, Srebro D, Vujovic K S, Vucetic C, and Prostran M, Cannabinoids and Pain: New 18. 33 Insights From Old Molecules. Frontiers in pharmacology, 2018. 9: p. 1259. 34
  - Carr DB and Goudas LC, Acute pain. The Lancet, 1999. 353(9169): p. 2051-2058. 19.
- 36 Ashburn MA and Staats PS, Management of chronic pain. The Lancet, 1999. 353(9167): p. 1865-20. 37 1869. 38
- 21. Kanner R, Pain Management. JAMA, 1986. 256(15): p. 2112-2114. 39

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

35

40 41

47

48

49

50

51

53

- 22. Loeser J, Melzack R, Pain: an overview. The Lancet, 1999. **353**(9164): p. 1607-1609.
- 23. Portenoy R and Dhingra L. Assessment of cancer pain. 2017 [cited 18/04 2018].
- 42 Gregory J and McGowan L, An examination of the prevalence of acute pain for hospitalised adult 24. 43 patients: a systematic review. J Clin Nurs, 2016. 25(5-6): p. 583-98. 44
- 25. Treede R, Entstehung der schmerzchronifizierung. Rückenschmerzen und nackenschmerzen. 45 2016: Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. 46
  - 26. American Geriatrics Society Panel Pharmacological management of persistent pain in older persons. J Am Geriatr Soc, 2009. 57: p. 1331-46.
  - Breivik H, Collett B, Ventafridda V, Cohen R, and Gallacher D, Survey of chronic pain in Europe: 27. prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. Eur J Pain, 2006. 10(4): p. 287-333.
- 52 28. Goldberg DS and McGee SJ, Pain as a global public health priority. BMC Public Health, 2011. 11: p. 770.

| 1        |     |  |
|----------|-----|--|
| 2        |     |  |
| 3        |     |  |
| 4<br>5   | 29. | Gureje O, Von Korff M, Kola L, Demyttenaere K, He Y, Posada-Villa J, et al., The relation between                  |
| 6        |     | multiple pains and mental disorders: results from the World Mental Health Surveys. PAIN, 2008.                     |
| 7        |     | <b>135</b> (1-2): p. 82-91.  |
| 8        | 30. | Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Advancing Pain Research, Care, and Education,                              |
| 9        |     | Relieving pain in America: A blueprint for transforming prevention, care, education, and                           |
| 10       |     | research. National Academies Press 2011.   |
| 11       | 31. | Koleva D, Pain in primary care: an Italian survey. Eur J Public Health, 2005. <b>15</b> : p. 475–79.               |
| 12       |     |  |
| 13<br>14 | 32. | Mantyselka P, Kumpusalo E, Ahonen R, Kumpusalo A, Kauhanen J, Viinamaki H, et al., Pain as a                       |
| 14       |     | reason to visit the doctor: a study in Finnish primary health care. PAIN, 2001. <b>89</b> (2-3): p. 175-80.        |
| 16       | 33. | Treede RD, Rief W, Barke A, Aziz Q, Bennett MI, Benoliel R, et al., A classification of chronic pain               |
| 17       |     | <i>for ICD-11.</i> PAIN, 2015. <b>156</b> (6): p. 1003-7.  |
| 18       | 34. | Kelly DJ, Ahmad M, and Brull SJ, Preemptive analgesia I: physiological pathways and                                |
| 19       |     | pharmacological modalities. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia, 2001. 48(10): p. 1000-1010.                           |
| 20       | 35. | Pogatzki-Zahn EM, Segelcke D, and Schug SA, Postoperative pain-from mechanisms to                                  |
| 21       |     | <i>treatment.</i> Pain Rep, 2017. <b>2</b> (2): p. e588.   |
| 22       | 36. | Lipowski Z, Chronic idiopathic pain syndrome. Annals of Medicine, 1990. 22(4): p. 213-217.                         |
| 23<br>24 | 37. | Goucke C, The management of persistent pain. Med J Aust, 2003. <b>178</b> (9): p. 444-7.                           |
| 24       | 37. |  |
| 26       |     | Chang V. Approach to symptom assessment in palliative care. 2018 [cited 2018.                                      |
| 27       | 39. | Knowles CH and Aziz Q, <i>Basic and clinical aspects of gastrointestinal pain</i> . Pain, 2009. <b>141</b> (3): p. |
| 28       |     | 191-209.   |
| 29       | 40. | Stein S L, <i>Chronic pelvic pain.</i> Gastroenterol Clin North Am, 2013. <b>42</b> (4): p. 785-800.               |
| 30       | 41. | Schwartz ES and Gebhart GF, Visceral pain. Curr Top Behav Neurosci, 2014. 20: p. 171-97.                           |
| 31       | 42. | Giamberardino M, Affaitati G, and Costantini R, Chapter 24 Referred pain from internal organs.                     |
| 32<br>33 |     | Handb Clin Neurol, 2006. <b>81</b> : p. 343-61.  |
| 33<br>34 | 43. | Jensen TS, Baron R, Haanpaa M, Kalso E, Loeser JD, Rice AS, et al., A new definition of neuropathic                |
| 35       |     | <i>pain.</i> Pain, 2011. <b>152</b> (10): p. 2204-5.   |
| 36       | 44. | Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society, The International                         |
| 37       |     | Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version). Cephalalgia, 2013. 33: p. 629-                   |
| 38       |     | 808.   |
| 39       | 45. |  |
| 40       | 45. | Institute for clinical systems improvement, <i>Health care guideline: Assessment and management</i>                |
| 41<br>42 |     | of chronic pain. 2009.   |
| 43       | 46. | United Nations office on drugs and crime, World Drug Report, United Nations. 2016.                                 |
| 44       | 47. | Russo E, Cannabinoids in the management of difficult to treat pain. Ther Clin Risk Manag, 2008.                    |
| 45       |     | <b>4</b> (1): p. 245-59.   |
| 46       | 48. | Ueda N, Tsuboi K, and Uyama T, Metabolic enzymes for endocannabinoids and  |
| 47       |     | endocannabinoid-like mediators. 2015, Boston: Academic Press.  |
| 48       | 49. | Devane WA, Hanus L, Breuer A, Pertwee RG, Stevenson LA, Griffin G, et al., Isolation and                           |
| 49<br>50 |     | structure of a brain constituent that binds to the cannabinoid receptor. Science, 1992.                            |
| 50       |     | <b>258</b> (5090): p. 1946-9.  |
| 52       | 50. | Mechoulam R, Ben-Shabat S, Hanus L, Ligumsky M, Kaminski NE, Schatz AR, et al., <i>Identification</i>              |
| 53       | 50. | of an endogenous 2-monoglyceride, present in canine gut, that binds to cannabinoid receptors.                      |
| 54       |     |  |
| 55       |     | Biochem Pharmacol, 1995. <b>50</b> (1): p. 83-90.  |
| 56       |     |  |
| 57       |     |  |
| 58<br>50 |     |  |
| 59<br>60 |     | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml  |

- 51. Sugiura T, Kondo S, Sukagawa A, Nakane S, Shinoda A, Itoh K, et al., 2-Arachidonoylglycerol: a possible endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand in brain. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 1995. 215(1): p. 89-97.
  - 52. Fisar Z, Phytocannabinoids and endocannabinoids. Curr Drug Abuse Rev, 2009. 2(1): p. 51-75.
- Häuser W, Fitzcharles M, Radbruch L, and Petzke F, Cannabinoids in pain management and 53. palliative medicine. Deutsches Arzteblatt international, 2017. 114(38): p. 627-634.
- 54. Hazekamp A, Ware MA, Muller-Vahl KR, Abrams D, and Grotenhermen F, The medicinal use of cannabis and cannabinoids—An international cross-sectional survey on administration forms. Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, 2013. 45(3): p. 199-210.
- 55. Watson SJ, Benson JA, and Joy JE, Marijuana and medicine: assessing the science base: a summary of the 1999 Institute of Medicine report. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 2000. 57(6): p. 547-52.
- Brenneisen R, Chemistry and analysis of phytocannabinoids and other cannabis constituents, in 56. Marijuana and the Cannabinoids, ElSohly M A, Editor. 2007, Humana Press: Totowa, NJ. p. 17-49.
- 57. Pertwee R, Cannabis and cannabinoids: Pharmacology and rationale for clinical use. Pharmacy and Pharmacology Communications, 1997. 3(11): p. 539-545.
- Solinas M, Goldberg SR, and Piomelli D, The endocannabinoid system in brain reward processes. 58. Br J Pharmacol, 2008. 154(2): p. 369-83.
- 59. Koppel BS, Brust J, Fife T, Bronstein J, Youssof S, Gronseth G, et al., Systematic review: efficacy and safety of medical marijuana in selected neurologic disorders: report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology, 2014. 82(17): p. 1556-63.
- 31 60. Gorelick D, Saxon A, and Hermann R Cannabis use and disorder: Pathogenesis and 32 pharmacology. UpToDate Cannabis use and disorder: Pathogenesis and pharmacology, 33 2018. [cited Access 2018 Access Date]. 34
  - Morean ME, Kong G, Camenga DR, Cavallo DA, and Krishnan-Sarin S, High school students' use 61. of electronic cigarettes to vaporize cannabis. Pediatrics, 2015. 136(4): p. 611-616.
- Loflin M and Earleywine M, No smoke, no fire: What the initial literature suggests regarding 62. 38 vapourized cannabis and respiratory risk. Canadian journal of respiratory therapy, 2015. 51(1): p. 7-9. 40
  - 63. Aviram J and Samuelly-Leichtag G, Efficacy of Cannabis-Based Medicines for Pain Management: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Pain Physician, 2017. **20**(6): p. E755-e796.
- 44 Lynch M, Campbell, F, Cannabinoids for treatment of chronic non-cancer pain; a systematic 64. 45 review of randomized trials. Br J Clin Pharmacol, 2011. 72(5): p. 735-44. 46 47
  - 65. Meng H, Johnston B, Englesakis M, Moulin DE, and Bhatia A, Selective Cannabinoids for Chronic Neuropathic Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Anesth Analg, 2017. 125(5): p. 1638-1652.
  - Boychuk DG, Goddard G, Mauro G, and Orellana MF, The effectiveness of cannabinoids in the 66. management of chronic nonmalignant neuropathic pain: a systematic review. J Oral Facial Pain Headache, 2015. 29(1): p. 7-14.
- 59 60

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

35 36

37

39

41

42

43

48

49

50

51 52

53

| 1        |      |  |
|----------|------|--|
| 2<br>3   |      |  |
| 4<br>5   | 67.  | Martin-Sanchez E, Furukawa TA, Taylor J, and Martin JL, Systematic review and meta-analysis of cannabis treatment for chronic pain. Pain Med, 2009. <b>10</b> (8): p. 1353-68. |
| 6<br>7   | 68.  | Campbell FA, Tramèr MR, Carroll D, Reynolds DJ, Moore RA, and McQuay HJ, Are cannabinoids  |
| 8        | 00.  | an effective and safe treatment option in the management of pain? A qualitative systematic   |
| 9        |      |  |
| 10       | 60   | review. BMJ (Clinical research ed.), 2001. <b>323</b> (7303): p. 13-16.  |
| 11       | 69.  | Deshpande A, Mailis-Gagnon A, Zoheiry N, and Lakha SF, Efficacy and adverse effects of medical   |
| 12       |      | marijuana for chronic noncancer pain: Systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Can   |
| 13       |      | Fam Physician, 2015. <b>61</b> (8): p. e372-81.  |
| 14       | 70.  | Stevens AJ and Higgins MD, A systematic review of the analgesic efficacy of cannabinoid  |
| 15       |      | medications in the management of acute pain. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand, 2017. 61(3): p. 268-   |
| 16<br>17 |      | 280.   |
| 17       | 71.  | Walitt B, Klose P, Fitzcharles MA, Phillips T, and Hauser W, Cannabinoids for fibromyalgia.  |
| 19       | / =- | Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2016. <b>7</b> : p. Cd011694.  |
| 20       | 72.  | Mucke M, Phillips T, Radbruch L, Petzke F, and Hauser W, <i>Cannabis-based medicines for chronic</i>   |
| 21       | 72.  |  |
| 22       | 70   | neuropathic pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2018. <b>3</b> : p. Cd012182.  |
| 23       | 73.  | Boydell J, Van Os J, Caspi A, Kennedy N, Giouroukou E, Fearon P, et al., Trends in cannabis use  |
| 24       |      | prior to first presentation with schizophrenia, in South-East London between 1965 and 1999.  |
| 25       |      | Psychological Medicine, 2006. <b>36</b> (10): p. 1441-1446.  |
| 26<br>27 | 74.  | Andreasson S, Allebeck P, Engstrom A, and Rydberg U, Cannabis and schizophrenia. A   |
| 27<br>28 |      | longitudinal study of Swedish conscripts. Lancet, 1987. <b>2</b> (8574): p. 1483-6.  |
| 20       | 75.  | Arseneault L, Cannon M, Poulton R, Murray R, Caspi A, and Moffitt TE, Cannabis use in  |
| 30       |      | adolescence and risk for adult psychosis: longitudinal prospective study. Bmj, 2002. <b>325</b> (7374):  |
| 31       |      | p. 1212-3.   |
| 32       | 76.  | van Os J, Bak M, Hanssen M, Bijl R V, de Graaf R, and Verdoux H, Cannabis use and psychosis: a   |
| 33       | 70.  | longitudinal population-based study. Am J Epidemiol, 2002. <b>156</b> (4): p. 319-27.  |
| 34       |      |  |
| 35       | 77.  | Zammit S, Allebeck P, Andreasson S, Lundberg I, and Lewis G, Self reported cannabis use as a   |
| 36<br>37 |      | risk factor for schizophrenia in Swedish conscripts of 1969: historical cohort study. Bmj, 2002.   |
| 38       |      | <b>325</b> (7374): p. 1199.  |
| 39       | 78.  | Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, and Ridder EM, Tests of causal linkages between cannabis use and   |
| 40       |      | <i>psychotic symptoms</i> . Addiction, 2005. <b>100</b> (3): p. 354-66.  |
| 41       | 79.  | Henquet C, Krabbendam L, Spauwen J, Kaplan C, Lieb R, Wittchen HU, et al., Prospective cohort  |
| 42       |      | study of cannabis use, predisposition for psychosis, and psychotic symptoms in young people.   |
| 43       |      | Bmj, 2005. <b>330</b> (7481): p. 11.   |
| 44       | 80.  | Di Forti M, Quattrone D, Freeman TP, Tripoli G, Gayer-Anderson C, Quigley H, et al., The   |
| 45<br>46 | 00.  | contribution of cannabis use to variation in the incidence of psychotic disorder across Europe   |
| 46<br>47 |      |  |
| 47<br>48 |      | (EU-GEI): a multicentre case-control study. Lancet Psychiatry, 2019. 6(5): p. 427-436.   |
| 49       | 81.  | Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al., Preferred reporting  |
| 50       |      | items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and   |
| 51       |      | <i>explanation.</i> Bmj, 2015. <b>350</b> : p. g7647.  |
| 52       | 82.  | Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al., Preferred reporting  |
| 53       |      | items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev,   |
| 54       |      | 2015. <b>4</b> : p. 1.   |
| 55<br>56 |      |  |
| 50<br>57 |      |  |
| 58       |      |  |
| 59       |      |  |
| 60       |      | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml  |
|          |      |  |

- 83. International conference on harmonisation of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use (ICH) adopts consolidated guideline on good clinical practice in the conduct of clinical trials on medicinal products for human use. Int Dig Health Legis, 1997. **48**(2): p. 231-4.
- Richards J R, Bing M L, Moulin A K, Elder J W, Rominski R T, Summers P J, et al., Cannabis use 84. and acute coronary syndrome. Clinical toxicology (Philadelphia, Pa.), 2019: p. 1-11.
- Higgins J and Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1.0. 85. www.handbook.cochrane.org. 2011.
- 86. Review Manager (RevMan). 2014, Copenhagen: the Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration.
- TSA—trial sequential analysis. Copenhagen Trial Unit. 87.
- StataCorp: Stata: Release 14. 2014, College Station, TX: StataCorp LP. 88.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, and Altman DG, Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews 89. and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLOS Medicine, 2009. 6(7): p. e1000097.
- 90. Gluud LL, Bias in clinical intervention research. Am J Epidemiol, 2006. 163(6): p. 493-501.
- 91. Kjaergard LL, Villumsen J, and Gluud C, Reported methodologic quality and discrepancies between large and small randomized trials in meta-analyses. Ann Intern Med, 2001. 135(11): p. 982-9.
- 92. Lundh A, Sismondo, S, Lexchin, J, Busuioc, OA, Bero, L, Industry sponsorship and research outcome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2012. 12: p. Mr000033.
- 93. Moher D, Pham, B, Jones, A, Cook, DJ, Jadad, AR, Moher, M et al., Does quality of reports of randomised trials affect estimates of intervention efficacy reported in meta-analyses? Lancet, 1998. 352(9128): p. 609-13.
- 94. Schulz KF, Chalmers I, Hayes RJ, and Altman DG, Empirical evidence of bias. Dimensions of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trials. JAMA, 1995. 273(5): p. 408-12.
- 95. Wood L, Egger M, Gluud L, Schulz K, Jüni P, Altman D, et al., Empirical evidence of bias in treatment effect estimates in controlled trials with different interventions and outcomes: metaepidemiological study. BMJ, 2008. 336(7644): p. 601-605.
- 96. Savovic J, Jones H, Altman D, Harris R, Juni P, Pildal J, et al., Influence of reported study design 40 41 characteristics on intervention effect estimates from randomised controlled trials: combined 42 analysis of meta-epidemiological studies. Health Technol Assess, 2012. 16(35): p. 1-82.
- 43 97. Higgins JP and Thompson SG, Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med, 2002. 44 **21**(11): p. 1539-58. 45
- 98. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, and Altman DG, Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. 46 BMJ, 2003. 327(7414): p. 557-60. 48
- 99. Harbord RM, Egger M, and Sterne JA, A modified test for small-study effects in meta-analyses of 49 controlled trials with binary endpoints. Stat Med, 2006. 25(20): p. 3443-57.
- 50 Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, and Minder C, Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, 100. 51 52 graphical test. BMJ, 1997. 315(7109): p. 629-34.
- 53 101. Begg CB and Mazumdar M, Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication 54 bias. Biometrics, 1994. 50(4): p. 1088-101. 55
- 56 57

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

47

# BMJ Open

| 2<br>3                                 |      |  |
|--|------|--|
| 4<br>5<br>6                            | 102. | Elbourne D, Altman D, Higgins J, Curtin F, Worthington H, and Vail A, <i>Meta-analyses involving cross-over trials: methodological issues</i> . International Journal of Epidemiology, 2002. <b>31</b> (1): p. 140-149.  |
| 7<br>8<br>9<br>10                      | 103. | Jakobsen JC, Wetterslev J, Winkel P, Lange T, and Gluud C, <i>Thresholds for statistical and clinical significance in systematic reviews with meta-analytic methods</i> . BMC Med Res Methodol, 2014.<br><b>14</b> : p. 120.   |
| 11<br>12<br>13                         | 104. | Hagg O, Fritzell P, and Nordwall A, The clinical importance of changes in outcome scores after treatment for chronic low back pain. Eur Spine J, 2003. <b>12</b> (1): p. 12-20.  |
| 14<br>15                               | 105. | Jaeschke R, Singer J, and Guyatt GH, <i>Measurement of health status</i> . Ascertaining the minimal clinically important difference. Control Clin Trials, 1989. <b>10</b> (4): p. 407-15.  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19                   | 106. | Olsen MF, Bjerre E, Hansen MD, Hilden J, Landler NE, Tendal B, et al., <i>Pain relief that matters to patients: systematic review of empirical studies assessing the minimum clinically important difference in acute pain.</i> BMC Med, 2017. <b>15</b> (1): p. 35.   |
| 20<br>21<br>22<br>23                   | 107. | Olsen MF, Bjerre E, Hansen MD, Tendal B, Hilden J, and Hrobjartsson A, <i>Minimum clinically important differences in chronic pain vary considerably by baseline pain and methodological factors: systematic review of empirical studies.</i> J Clin Epidemiol, 2018. <b>101</b> : p. 87-106.e2.                         |
| 24<br>25                               | 108. | Keus F, Wetterslev J, Gluud C, and van Laarhoven CJ, <i>Evidence at a glance: error matrix approach for overviewing available evidence</i> . BMC Med Res Methodol, 2010. <b>10</b> : p. 90.  |
| 26<br>27<br>28                         | 109. | DerSimonian R and Laird N, <i>Meta-analysis in clinical trials</i> . Control Clin Trials, 1986. <b>7</b> (3): p. 177-<br>88.   |
| 29<br>30                               | 110. | Demets DL, <i>Methods for combining randomized clinical trials: strengths and limitations.</i> Stat Med, 1987. <b>6</b> (3): p. 341-50.  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34                   | 111. | Jakobsen J C, Wetterslev J, Lange T, and Gluud C, Viewpoint: taking into account risks of random errors when analysing multiple outcomes in systematic reviews. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2016(3).  |
| 35<br>36<br>37                         | 112. | Wetterslev J, Thorlund K, Brok J, and Gluud C, <i>Trial sequential analysis may establish when firm evidence is reached in cumulative meta-analysis</i> . J Clin Epidemiol, 2008. <b>61</b> (1): p. 64-75.   |
| 37<br>38<br>39                         | 113. | Thorlund K W J, Brok J, Imberger G, Gluud C, User manual for trial sequential analysis (TSA). 2011.  |
| 40<br>41<br>42                         | 114. | Brok J, Thorlund K, Gluud C, and Wetterslev J, <i>Trial sequential analysis reveals insufficient information size and potentially false positive results in many meta-analyses.</i> J Clin Epidemiol, 2008. <b>61</b> (8): p. 763-9.   |
| 43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47             | 115. | Brok J, Thorlund K, Wetterslev J, and Gluud C, <i>Apparently conclusive meta-analyses may be inconclusiveTrial sequential analysis adjustment of random error risk due to repetitive testing of accumulating data in apparently conclusive neonatal meta-analyses</i> . Int J Epidemiol, 2009. <b>38</b> (1): p. 287-98. |
| 48<br>49<br>50<br>51                   | 116. | Thorlund K, Devereaux PJ, Wetterslev J, Guyatt G, Ioannidis JP, Thabane L, et al., <i>Can trial sequential monitoring boundaries reduce spurious inferences from meta-analyses?</i> Int J Epidemiol, 2009. <b>38</b> (1): p. 276-86.   |
| 52<br>53<br>54<br>55<br>56<br>57<br>58 | 117. | Wetterslev J, Thorlund K, Brok J, and Gluud C, <i>Estimating required information size by quantifying diversity in random-effects model meta-analyses</i> . BMC Med Res Methodol, 2009. <b>9</b> : p. 86.  |
| 59<br>60                               |      | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml  |

118. Thorlund K, Anema A, and Mills E, Interpreting meta-analysis according to the adequacy of sample size. An example using isoniazid chemoprophylaxis for tuberculosis in purified protein derivative negative HIV-infected individuals. Clin Epidemiol, 2010. **2**: p. 57-66.

- 119. Imberger G, Gluud C, Boylan J, and Wetterslev J, Systematic reviews of anesthesiologic interventions reported as statistically significant: problems with power, precision, and type 1 error protection. Anesth Analg, 2015. **121**(6): p. 1611-22.
- 120. Imberger G, Thorlund K, Gluud C, and Wetterslev J, False-positive findings in Cochrane metaanalyses with and without application of trial sequential analysis: an empirical review. BMJ Open, 2016. **6**(8).
- 121. Guyatt G, Oxman A, Vist G, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al., *GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations.* BMJ, 2008. **336**(7650): p. 924-926.
- 122. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Schunemann HJ, Tugwell P, and Knottnerus A, *GRADE guidelines: a new* series of articles in the Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. J Clin Epidemiol, 2011. **64**(4): p. 380-2.
- 123. Schunemann HJ, Best D, Vist G, and Oxman AD, *Letters, numbers, symbols and words: how to communicate grades of evidence and recommendations.* Cmaj, 2003. **169**(7): p. 677-80.
- 124. Voscopoulos C and Lema M, When does acute pain become chronic? Br J Anaesth, 2010. 105 Suppl 1: p. i69-85.

# Appendix

# **Minimal important difference**

For the determination of minimal important differences in clinical trials two types of methods are available; anchor-based methods and distributional-based methods [1].

# Anchor-based methods

Anchor-based methods relate the change in on a person reported outcome score, (e.g. a score on the visual analog scale (VAS)) to a subjective global assessment rating (e.g. scores from the Clinical Global Impressions-Improvement (CGI-I)) which is used as an 'anchor' [1]. Ideally, there needs to be an established association between the person reported outcome score and the 'anchor' to make any meaningful inference about a minimal important difference [2].

There are two subtypes of anchor-based methods, i.e., the 'within-patient score' and the 'between-patients score' [1].

- Within-patient score defines minimal important difference as the average minimal change in a given person's reported outcome score that leads to a clinically observable change in the subjective global assessment rating (the latter is used as an anchor) [1]. For example, to ascertain the minimal important difference regarding depression management, Moncrieff et. al describes the linking of within-patient scores (change from baseline) scores on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (the most commonly used depression rating scale) to scores on the Clinical Global Impressions-Improvement (CGI-I) scale, a scale which rates improvement on a scale of 1 (very much improved from baseline) through 4 (no change from baseline) to 7 (very much worse from baseline) [3]. Moncrieff et. al conclude that seven points on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale correspond to a minimal important difference when using within-patient scores [3].
- The between-patients score method, also known as 'the group difference' method, compare the reported outcome scores between a group of people with no clinically observable change (based on a subjective global assessment rating (used as an anchor)) to a group of people with clinically observable change (based on a subjective global assessment rating (used as an anchor)). The minimal important difference is then estimated as the mean difference between these two groups [4]. For example, Musoro et. al defines the minimal important difference (MID) as the group difference in terms of quality of life assessed by HRQOL scores [5]. Participants were assigned to distinct subgroups reflecting various levels of change (e.g. no change, small positive changes, large positive changes, small negative changes or large negative changes). The group difference was identified by the

comparison of the average of the HRQOL scores of the group of participants with at 'small change' to the HRQOL scores of the group of participants with 'no change' [5].

There are also other anchor-based methods (e.g. the sensitivity- and specificity-based method and the social comparison method) [1]. The sensitivity- and specificity-based method aims to identify the minimal important difference that allows for the best discrimination between groups of patients (i.e., the score that produces the greatest sensitivity and specificity) [1]. For example, an outcome measure (e.g. NRS score) is considered a 'diagnostic test' and the anchor (e.g. Global Perceived Effect) is used as gold standard and hence standard methods may be used to estimate sensitivity and specificity. Sensitivity is the proportion of patients who report an improvement on the external criterion (anchor) and whose person reported outcome scores are above the threshold minimal important difference value [1]. Specificity is the proportion of patients who do not report an improvement on the external criterion (anchor) and whose person reported outcome scores are below the threshold minimal important difference value [1]. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves are then used to identify the person reported outcome score with the greatest sensitivity and specificity [6-8].

# The distributional-based methods

Distribution-based methods are based on the statistical characteristics of the obtained sample [9]. Crosby et. al [9] have identified two general types of distribution-based methods for estimations of minimal important differences:

The first type of distribution-based method evaluate change in relation to sample variation [9]. Different types of variation can be used: effect size, standardised response mean, and responsiveness statistic [9]. The effect size represents individual change in relation to the number of pre-test standard deviations (SDs) [9]. Cohen et. al has suggested benchmarks to better interpret the effect sizes: .20 for "small" effects, .50 for "moderate" effects, and .80 for "large" effects [10]. Whereas the effect size is the ratio of individual change to the baseline standard deviation of the sample, standardised response mean is the ratio of individual change to the standard deviation of that change [11]. A large standardised response mean indicates that the change is large in comparison to the background variability in the measurements [9]. Guyatt et. al has proposed a responsiveness statistic as a variation of standardised response mean; calculated by dividing the difference between pre-test and post-test by the standard deviation of change observed for a group of stable participants [12].

Page 33 of 61

#### **BMJ** Open

The second type distribution-based method is based on the measurement precision of the instrument [9]. This method include the standard error of the mean (SEM) and evaluate the change in relation to variation of the instrument as opposed to variation in the sample [9]. Standard error of the mean (SEM) is a measure of the precision of a test instrument and considered an attribute of the measure and not a characteristic of the sample per se [13]. The standard error of the mean (SEM) for a given measure is likely to vary across samples depending upon the method used to estimate reliability and the presence of extreme scores [9]. Different thresholds for a minimal important difference have been suggested, i.e., values of 1 SEM [14], 1.96 SEM [15], and 2.77 SEM [13, 15].

In conclusion, different methods for estimating minimal important differences exist, but no single method has been shown to be the optimal method. The question of whether to use anchor-based or distribution-based methods for determining clinically meaningful change has received considerable attention and debate [9]. Dworkin et. al defined the clinical importance of patient improvement as the clinically important changes in individuals that can be identified using either within-patient anchor-based method or distributional-based method [16, 17], while the clinical importance of group differences could be the clinical difference between a treatment group and a placebo group or between two different treatment groups [18]. Dworkin et. al claim that the clinical important difference identified in individuals cannot be directly extrapolated to the evaluation of group differences [17, 19-22]. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration also states in their web site "When defining meaningful change on an individual patient basis, that definition is generally larger than the minimum important difference for application to group mean comparisons" [22].

While it is claimed that the within-patient differences are larger than the between-group difference [22], based on the studies included in our review we are not able to find a significant difference between the minimal important difference estimated by the two different methods.

# Previously conducted reviews on this subject

- Lynch & Campbell and Boychuk et. al both concluded that cannabinoids are a modestly effective and a safe treatment option for neuropathic pain [23, 24]. Lynch & Campbell and Boychuk et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [23, 24].
- Meng et. al concluded that there is moderate quality evidence to suggest that nabiximols (phytocannabinoid mixture) is effective in reducing neuropathic pain [25].

- Mücke et. al concluded that there is no high-quality evidence for the efficacy of any cannabis-based medicine in any condition with chronic neuropathic pain [26]. Mücke et. al further concluded that some adverse events may limit the clinical usefulness of cannabis-based medicines [26].
- Deshpande et. al concluded that current evidence suggests that very low-dose medical marijuana (< 34 mg/d) is associated with an improvement in refractory neuropathic pain of moderate severity in adults using concurrent analgesics. Deshpande et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [27].
- Martín-Sánchez et. al concluded that treatment of chronic pain based on cannabinoid compounds would entail more risk of adverse events than benefit [28]. Martín-Sánchez et. al included trials randomising participants with either neuropathic pain, cancer pain, fibromyalgia related pain and nociceptive pain [28]. Martín-Sánchez et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [28].
- Aviram et. al concluded that cannabinoid-based medicines were not effective for postoperative pain, however further investigation is advised [29]. Aviram et. al also concluded that evidence suggests a moderate to good treatment effect on neuropathic pain [29]. Furthermore, neuropathic pain patients should be advised that the inhalation of cannabinoids showed relatively better pain reduction effects than other routes of administration [29]. Aviram et. al stated that the total number of adverse events that were accumulated in the meta-analysis indicated that cannabinoid-based medicines should be used with caution [29]. Aviram et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [29].
- Campbell et. al concluded that levonantradol (synthetic cannabinoid analogue) was superior to placebo on postoperative pain but no more effective than codeine [30]. Campbell et. al also stated that there are suggestions of efficacy in spasticity and in neuropathic pain and that increasing the cannabinoid dose to increase the analgesia will increase adverse effects [30]. Campbell et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [30].
- Stevens et. al concluded that cannabinoids have no role in the management of acute pain, but cannabinoids were found to be well-tolerated, with most reported adverse effects only mild to moderate in severity [31].
- Walitt et. al concluded that no convincing, unbiased evidence suggests that nabilone (synthetic cannabinoid analog) is of value in treating people with fibromyalgia [32]. The tolerability of nabilone was low and adverse events (particularly somnolence, dizziness, vertigo) may limit its clinical usefulness [32].

# TABEL 1

Page 35 of 61

1

# BMJ Open

U

s lu e n

oftheGRADE

Ν

0

Over

all ther e is evid ence that cann abin oids are safe and mod estly effec tive in neur opat hic pain with preli mina ry evid ence of effic асу

Conc Iusio

| Firs | Titl | Ye  | De  | Туре      | Ту         | Inform           | No       | Ν   | Р  | Outco       | As | As       |
|------|------|-----|-----|-----------|------------|------------------|----------|-----|----|-------------|----|----------|
| t    | e    | ar  | sig | of        | ре         | ation            | •        | 0.  | u  | mes         | se | se       |
| aut  |      | of  | n   | cann      | S          | source           | of       | of  | bl |             | SS | SS       |
| hor  |      | pu  |     | abin      | of         | S                | tri      | ра  | is |             | m  | m        |
|      |      | bli |     | oid       | par        |                  | als      | rti | h  |             | en | er       |
|      |      | са  |     |           | tici       |                  |          | ci  | е  |             | t  | t        |
|      |      | tio |     |           | ра         |                  |          | ра  | d  |             | of | of       |
|      |      | n   |     |           | nts        |                  |          | nt  | pr |             | ad | ris      |
|      |      |     |     |           |            |                  |          | S   | ot |             | ve | k        |
|      |      |     |     |           |            |                  |          |     | ос |             | rs | of       |
|      |      |     |     |           |            |                  |          |     | ol |             | e  | bi       |
|      |      |     |     |           |            |                  |          |     |    |             | ev | as       |
|      |      |     |     |           |            |                  |          |     |    |             | en |          |
|      |      |     |     |           |            |                  |          |     |    |             | ts |          |
| Lyn  | Ca   | 20  | Sys | Phyt      | Ne         | PubMe            | 18       | 76  | Ν  | The         | Ye | Ye       |
| ch   | nn   | 11  | te  | ocan      | ur         | d,               | tri      | 6   | 0  | primar      | S  | s,       |
| &    | abi  |     | ma  | nabi      | ор         | EMBAS            | als      |     |    | У           |    | ex       |
| Ca   | noi  |     | tic | noid      | ath        | Ε,               | со       |     |    | outco       |    | ce       |
| mp   | ds   |     | Re  | s;        | ic         | CINAH            | m        |     |    | me          |    | pt       |
| bell | for  |     | vie | Smo       | pai        | L                | ра       |     |    | was         |    | fo       |
| [23  | tre  |     | w   | ked       | n,         | (EBSCO           | rin      |     |    | pain in     |    | r        |
| ]    | at   |     |     | cann      | fib        | ),               | g        |     |    | subject     |    | re       |
|      | me   |     |     | abis,     | ro         | PsycInf          | th       |     |    | s with      |    | рс       |
|      | nt   |     |     | oro       | my         | 0                | e        |     |    | chroni      |    | rti      |
|      | of   |     |     | muc       | alg        | (EBSCO           | int      |     |    | С           |    | ng       |
|      | chr  |     |     | osal      | ia,        | ), The           | er       |     |    | non-ca      |    | bi       |
|      | oni  |     |     | extra     | rh         | Cochra           | ve       |     |    | ncer        |    | as       |
|      | с    |     |     | cts       | eu         | ne               | nti      |     |    | pain.       |    | pu       |
|      | no   |     |     | of        | ma         | Library          | on       |     |    | The         |    | bl       |
|      | n-c  |     |     | cann      | toi<br>d   | , ISI            | wi<br>+h |     |    | The         |    | ca       |
|      | an   |     |     | abis-     |            | Web of           | th       |     |    | second      |    | tic      |
|      | cer  |     |     | base<br>d | art<br>hri | Scienc<br>e, ABI | pla      |     |    | ary         |    | n<br>bi  |
|      | pai  |     |     | u<br>medi |            | Inform           | ce<br>bo |     |    | outco       |    | as       |
|      | n;   |     |     | cine,     | tis,<br>an | (Proqu           | 00       |     |    | mes<br>were |    | as       |
|      | а    |     |     | and       | d          | est),            |          |     |    | sleep,      |    | d        |
|      | sys  |     |     | synt      | mi         | Dissert          |          |     |    | functio     |    | fo       |
|      | te   |     |     | hetic     | xe         | ation            |          |     |    | n, and      |    | r-       |
|      | ma   |     |     | cann      | d          | Abstra           |          |     |    | quality     |    |          |
|      | tic  |     |     | abin      | u<br>chr   | cts              |          |     |    | of life.    |    | pr<br>of |
|      | rev  |     |     | oids;     | oni        | (Proqu           |          |     |    | or me.      |    | t        |
|      | ie   |     |     | nabil     | c          | est),            |          |     |    |             |    | bi       |
|      | W    |     |     | one,      | pai        | Acade            |          |     |    |             |    | as       |
|      | of   |     |     | dron      | n.         | mic              |          |     |    |             |    | as       |
|      | ran  |     |     | abin      | 11.        | Search           |          |     |    |             |    |          |
|      | do   |     |     |           |            | Juili            |          |     | 1  |             |    |          |

|                                   | mi<br>ze<br>d<br>tria<br>ls  |          |  | ol<br>and<br>a<br>nove<br>I THC<br>anal<br>ogue                  |   | Premie<br>r<br>(EBSCO<br>),<br>Clinical<br>Trials.g<br>ov,<br>TrialsC<br>entral.<br>org,<br>individ<br>ual<br>pharm<br>aceutic<br>al<br>compa<br>ny<br>trials<br>sites<br>for Eli<br>Lilly<br>and<br>GlaxoS<br>mithKli<br>ne,<br>OAIste<br>r<br>(OCLC)<br>and<br>Google<br>Scholar |  |          |        |  |         |         |  |             | in<br>fibro<br>myal<br>gia<br>and<br>rheu<br>mato<br>id<br>arthr<br>itis.<br>Did<br>not<br>pool<br>data<br>for<br>meta<br>-<br>analy<br>sis<br>but<br>data<br>was<br>descr<br>ibed<br>quali<br>tativ<br>ely. |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------|--|--|---|--|--|----------|--------|--|---------|---------|--|-------------|--|
| Me<br>ng<br>et.<br>al<br>[25<br>] | Sel<br>ect<br>ive<br>Ca<br>nn<br>abi<br>noi<br>ds<br>for<br>Ch<br>ro | 20<br>17 | Sys<br>te<br>ma<br>tic<br>Re<br>vie<br>w<br>an<br>d<br>Me<br>ta- | Dron<br>abin<br>ol,<br>nabil<br>one<br>and<br>nabi<br>ximo<br>ls | Ne<br>ur<br>op<br>ath<br>ic<br>pai<br>n | Medlin<br>e,<br>Embas<br>e,<br>Cochra<br>ne<br>Library<br>,<br>PROSP<br>ERO,<br>clinical   | 11<br>(1<br>0<br>tri<br>als<br>co<br>m<br>pa<br>rin<br>g<br>th | 12<br>19 | N<br>O | The<br>primar<br>y<br>outco<br>me<br>was<br>intensi<br>ty of<br>pain<br>record<br>ed | Ye<br>s | Ye<br>s | Bon<br>ferr<br>oni<br>adju<br>stm<br>ent<br>for<br>mul<br>tipl<br>e<br>testi | Y<br>e<br>s | Selec<br>tive<br>cann<br>abin<br>oids<br>provi<br>de a<br>small<br>analg<br>esic<br>bene   |

Page 37 of 61

1 2

|        | nic | an  | trials.g | e   | after a  | ng   | fit in |
|--------|-----|-----|----------|-----|----------|------|--------|
|        | Ne  | aly | ov, and  | int | minim    | was  | patie  |
|        | ur  | sis | Google   | er  | um of    | not  | nts    |
|        | ор  |     | Scholar  | ve  | 2        | perf | with   |
|        | ath |     |          | nti | weeks    | orm  | chro   |
| 0      | ic  |     |          | on  | followi  | ed   | nic    |
| 1<br>2 | Pai |     | Pain     | wi  | ng       | as   | neur   |
| 3      | n:  |     | societi  | th  | initiati | per  | opat   |
| 4      | A   |     | es       | pla | on of    | rec  | hic    |
| 5      | Sys |     | (Ameri   | ce  | selecti  | om   | pain   |
| 6      |     |     |          | 1 1 |          |      | pairi  |
| 7      | te  |     | can      | bo  | ve       | me   |        |
| 8      | ma  |     | Society  | )   | cannab   | nda  |        |
| 9<br>0 | tic |     | of       |     | inoid    | tion |        |
| 1      | Re  |     | Anesth   |     | and      | s in |        |
| 2      | vie |     | esiolog  |     | placeb   | the  |        |
| 3      | w   |     | ists,    |     | o/com    | Coc  |        |
| 4      | an  |     | Europe   |     | parato   | hra  |        |
| 5      | d   |     | an       |     | r        | ne   |        |
| 6      | Me  |     | Society  |     | admini   | Han  |        |
| 7<br>8 | ta- |     | of       |     | stratio  | dbo  |        |
| 9      | an  |     | Anaest   |     | n,       | ok.  |        |
| 0      | aly |     | hesiolo  |     | expres   |      |        |
| 1      | sis |     | gy,      |     | sed on   |      |        |
| 2      | 515 |     | Interna  |     | an NRS   |      |        |
| 3      |     |     | tional   |     | (0—no    |      |        |
| 4<br>5 |     |     | Associ   |     | pain to  |      |        |
| 6      |     |     | ation    |     | 10—      |      |        |
| 7      |     |     |          |     |          |      |        |
| 8      |     |     | for the  |     | worst    |      |        |
| 9      |     |     | Study    |     | possibl  |      |        |
| 0      |     |     | of       |     | е        |      |        |
| 1      |     |     | Pain,    |     | pain).   |      |        |
| 2 3    |     |     | Americ   |     |          |      |        |
| 4      |     |     | an       |     | Second   |      |        |
| 5      |     |     | Society  |     | ary      |      |        |
| 6      |     |     | of       |     | outco    |      |        |
| 7      |     |     | Region   |     | mes      |      |        |
| 8      |     |     | al       |     | were     |      |        |
| 9      |     |     | Anesth   |     | presen   |      |        |
| 0      |     |     | esia     |     | ce or    |      |        |
| 2      |     |     | and      |     | absenc   |      |        |
| 3      |     |     | Pain     |     | e of     |      |        |
| 4      |     |     | Medici   |     | analge   |      |        |
| 5      |     |     |          |     | -        |      |        |
| 6      |     |     | ne,      |     | sia      |      |        |
| 7      |     |     | Europe   |     | define   |      |        |
| 8<br>9 |     |     | an       |     | d as     |      |        |

| Page | 38 | of | 61         |
|------|----|----|------------|
| ruge | 50 | 01 | <b>U</b> 1 |

| Society  | reducti  |  |
|----------|----------|--|
| of       | on in    |  |
|          |          |  |
| Region   | pain     |  |
| al       | scores   |  |
| Anesth   | (NRS/V   |  |
| esia     | AS) by   |  |
| and      | ≥30%     |  |
| Pain     | at 2     |  |
| Therap   | weeks    |  |
| y, and   | or       |  |
| World    | more     |  |
| Institut | after    |  |
| e of     | initiati |  |
| Pain)    | on of    |  |
| in the   | interve  |  |
| last 2   | ntion,   |  |
| years    | quality  |  |
| were     | of life  |  |
| also     | (QoL),   |  |
| search   |          |  |
|          | physic   |  |
| ed.      | al       |  |
|          | functio  |  |
|          | n, .     |  |
|          | psycho   |  |
|          | logical  |  |
|          | functio  |  |
|          | n,       |  |
|          | sleep,   |  |
|          | overall  |  |
|          | patient  |  |
|          | satisfa  |  |
|          | ction,   |  |
|          | and      |  |
|          | the      |  |
|          | inciden  |  |
|          | ce of    |  |
|          | advers   |  |
|          | e        |  |
|          | effects  |  |
|          | of       |  |
|          |          |  |
|          | selecti  |  |
|          | ve       |  |
|          | cannab   |  |
|          | inoids.  |  |

| 2<br>3   |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   |            |
|----------|------|-----|----|-----|------------|-----|---------|----|---|---|--------------|----|-----|----|---|------------|
| 4        | Ma   | Sys | 20 | Me  | Phyt       | Ch  | Medlin  | 18 | ? | Ν | The          | Ye | Ye  | No | N | Curr       |
| 5<br>6   | rtín | te  | 09 | ta- | ocan       | ro  | e/Pub   |    |   | ο | primar       | s  | s,  |    | о | ently      |
| 7        | -Sá  | ma  |    | an  | nabi       | nic | med,    |    |   |   | y            |    | ex  |    |   | avail      |
| 8        | nch  | tic |    | aly | noid       | pai | Embas   |    |   |   | outco        |    | ce  |    |   | able       |
| 9        | ez   | Re  |    | sis | s          | n   | e, and  |    |   |   | me           |    | pt  |    |   | evid       |
| 10       | et.  | vie |    |     | and        | of  | The     |    |   |   | was          |    | fo  |    |   | ence       |
| 11<br>12 | al   | w   |    |     | synt       | а   | Cochra  |    |   |   | intensi      |    | r   |    |   | sugg       |
| 13       | [28  | an  |    |     | ,<br>hetic | pat | ne      |    |   |   | ty of        |    | re  |    |   | ests       |
| 14       | j    | d   |    |     | deriv      | hol | Contro  |    |   |   | ,<br>pain as |    | ро  |    |   | that       |
| 15       | -    | Me  |    |     | ates       | ogi | lled    |    |   |   | scored       |    | rti |    |   | cann       |
| 16<br>17 |      | ta- |    |     | of         | cal | Trials  |    |   |   | by           |    | ng  |    |   | abis       |
| 17       |      | an  |    |     | тнс,       | or  | Registe |    |   |   | numeri       |    | bi  |    |   | treat      |
| 19       |      | aly |    |     | such       | tra | r       |    |   |   | cal          |    | as, |    |   | ment       |
| 20       |      | sis |    |     | as         | um  | (CENTR  |    |   |   | rang         |    | de  |    |   | is         |
| 21       |      | of  |    |     | dron       | ati | AL)     |    |   |   | scales.      |    | te  |    |   | mod        |
| 22<br>23 |      | Ca  |    |     | abin       | с   | ,       |    |   |   | The          |    | cti |    |   | erate      |
| 24       |      | nn  |    |     | ol,        | ori |         |    |   |   | Second       |    | on  |    |   | ly         |
| 25       |      | abi |    |     | nabil      | gin |         |    |   |   | ary          |    | bi  |    |   | ,<br>effic |
| 26       |      | S   |    |     | one,       | 0   |         |    |   |   | outco        |    | as  |    |   | aciou      |
| 27<br>28 |      | Tre |    |     | or         |     |         |    |   |   | mes          |    | an  |    |   | s for      |
| 28<br>29 |      | at  |    |     | benz       |     |         |    |   |   | were         |    | d   |    |   | treat      |
| 30       |      | me  |    |     | opyr       |     |         |    |   |   | CNS          |    | fo  |    |   | ment       |
| 31       |      | nt  |    |     | anop       |     |         |    |   |   | related      |    | r-  |    |   | of         |
| 32       |      | for |    |     | eridi      |     |         |    |   |   | events       |    | pr  |    |   | chro       |
| 33<br>34 |      | Ch  |    |     | ne (a      |     |         |    |   |   |              |    | ofi |    |   | nic        |
| 35       |      | ro  |    |     | synt       |     |         |    |   |   |              |    | t   |    |   | pain,      |
| 36       |      | nic |    |     | hetic      |     |         |    |   |   |              |    | bi  |    |   | but        |
| 37       |      | Pai |    |     | nitro      |     |         |    |   |   |              |    | as  |    |   | bene       |
| 38       |      | n   |    |     | gen        |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | ficial     |
| 39<br>40 |      |     |    |     | anal       |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | effec      |
| 41       |      |     |    |     | og of      |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | ts         |
| 42       |      |     |    |     | THC)       |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | may        |
| 43       |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | be         |
| 44<br>45 |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | parti      |
| 45<br>46 |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | ally       |
| 47       |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | (or        |
| 48       |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | com        |
| 49<br>50 |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | plete      |
| 50<br>51 |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | ly)        |
| 52       |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | offse      |
| 53       |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | t by       |
| 54       |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | pote       |
| 55<br>56 |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | ntiall     |
| 50<br>57 |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | y          |
| 58       |      |     |    |     |            |     |         |    |   |   |              |    |     |    |   | ,<br>serio |
| 59<br>60 | L    | 1   | 1  | 1   | 1          | 1   | 1       |    | 1 | 1 | 1            | I  | 1   | 1  | 1 |            |

|     |           |    |     |             |     |                |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | us<br>harm<br>s. |
|-----|-----------|----|-----|-------------|-----|----------------|----|----|---|---------|----|-----------|----|---|------------------|
| Воу | Th        | 20 | Sys | Phyt        | Ne  | PubMe          | 13 | 77 | Ν | Outco   | Ye | Ye        | No | Ν | Cann             |
| chu | e         | 15 | te  | ocan        | ur  | d,             |    | 1  | 0 | mes     | S  | s,        |    | 0 | abis-            |
| k   | Eff       |    | ma  | nabi        | ор  | Embas          |    |    |   | consid  |    | ex        |    |   | base             |
| et. | ect       |    | tic | noid        | ath | e, Web         |    |    |   | ered    |    | ce        |    |   | d                |
| al  | ive       |    | Re  | s;          | ic  | of             |    |    |   | were    |    | pt        |    |   | medi             |
| [24 | ne        |    | vie | smo         | pai | Scienc         |    |    |   | reducti |    | fo        |    |   | cinal            |
| ]   | SS        |    | w   | ked         | n   | e, and         |    |    |   | on in   |    | r,        |    |   | extra            |
|     | of        |    |     | cann        |     | all            |    |    |   | pain    |    | re        |    |   | cts              |
|     | Ca        |    |     | abis,       |     | eviden         |    |    |   | intensi |    | ро        |    |   | used             |
|     | nn        |    |     | cann        |     | ce-            |    |    |   | ty and  |    | rti       |    |   | in               |
|     | abi       |    |     | abis-       |     | based          |    |    |   | advers  |    | ng        |    |   | diffe            |
|     | noi       |    |     | base        |     | medici         |    |    |   | e       |    | bi        |    |   | rent             |
|     | ds        |    |     | d           |     | ne             |    |    |   | events. |    | as,       |    |   | popu             |
|     | in        |    |     | medi        |     | review         |    |    |   |         |    | pu        |    |   | latio            |
|     | the       |    |     | cinal       |     | S              |    |    |   |         |    | bli       |    |   | ns of            |
|     | Ma        |    |     | extra       |     | and            |    |    |   |         |    | са        |    |   | chro             |
|     | na        |    |     | cts         |     | databa         |    |    |   |         |    | tio       |    |   | nic              |
|     | ge        |    |     | (CB         |     | ses            |    |    |   |         |    | n         |    |   | non-             |
|     | me        |    |     | ME)         |     | (Cochr         |    |    |   |         |    | bi        |    |   | mali             |
|     | nt        |    |     | in          |     | ane            |    |    |   |         |    | as        |    |   | gnan             |
|     | of        |    |     | the         |     | Databa         |    |    |   |         |    | an<br>d   |    |   | t                |
|     | Ch        |    |     | form<br>of  |     | se of          |    |    |   |         |    | d<br>fo   |    |   | neur             |
|     | ro<br>nic |    |     |             |     | System         |    |    |   |         |    | fo<br>r-  |    |   | opat<br>hic      |
|     | No        |    |     | oro         |     | atic<br>Review |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   |                  |
|     | nm        |    |     | muc<br>osal |     | s, ASP         |    |    |   |         |    | pr<br>ofi |    |   | pain<br>patie    |
|     | ali       |    |     |             |     | Journal        |    |    |   |         |    | t         |    |   | nts              |
|     | gn        |    |     | spra        |     | Club,          |    |    |   |         |    | bi        |    |   | may              |
|     | ant       |    |     | ys<br>(nabi |     | Databa         |    |    |   |         |    | as        |    |   | provi            |
|     | Ne        |    |     | ximo        |     | se of          |    |    |   |         |    | as        |    |   | de               |
|     | ur        |    |     | ls),        |     | Abstra         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | effec            |
|     | ор        |    |     | vapo        |     | cts of         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | tive             |
|     | ath       |    |     | rized       |     | Review         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | analg            |
|     | ic        |    |     | cann        |     | s of           |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | esia             |
|     | Pai       |    |     | abis,       |     | Effects        |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | in               |
|     | n:        |    |     | and         |     | [DARE]         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | cond             |
|     | A         |    |     | synt        |     | , and          |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | ition            |
|     | Sys       |    |     | hetic       |     | Cochra         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | s                |
|     | te        |    |     | cann        |     | ne             |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | that             |
|     | ma        |    |     | abin        |     | Contro         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | are              |
|     | tic       |    |     | oids;       |     | lled           |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | refra            |
|     | Re        |    |     | dron        |     |                |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | ctory            |

| 3        |     |     |    |     |           |     |          |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   |           |
|----------|-----|-----|----|-----|-----------|-----|----------|-------|----|----|----------|----|----|----|---|-----------|
| 4        |     | vie |    |     | abin      |     | Trials   |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | to        |
| 5        |     |     |    |     |           |     |          |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | 1         |
| 6        |     | w   |    |     | ol,       |     | Registe  |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | othe      |
| 7        |     |     |    |     | nabil     |     | r        |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | r         |
| 8        |     |     |    |     | one,      |     | [CCTR]   |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | treat     |
| 9        |     |     |    |     | and       |     | )        |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | ment      |
| 10       |     |     |    |     | CT-3      |     | ,        |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | s.        |
| 11       |     |     |    |     |           |     |          |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | 5.        |
| 12       |     | -   | •  | •   |           | • • |          |       | 4- |    | <b>.</b> |    |    |    |   |           |
| 13       | Mü  | Ca  | 20 | Со  | Phyt      | Ne  | Cochra   | 16    | 17 | Y  | Primar   | Ye | Ye | No | Y | The       |
| 14       | cke | nn  | 18 | chr | ocan      | ur  | ne       | (1    | 50 | es | У        | S  | S  |    | e | pote      |
| 15       | et. | abi |    | an  | nabi      | ор  | Library  | 5     |    |    | outco    |    |    |    | s | ntial     |
| 16<br>17 | al  | s   |    | e   | noid      | ath | ,        | of    |    |    | mes:     |    |    |    |   | bene      |
| 17       | [26 | pro |    | Re  | s;        | ic  | MEDLI    | th    |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | fits      |
| 19       | -   | du  |    | vie |           |     | NE and   |       |    |    | Partici  |    |    |    |   | of        |
| 20       | ]   |     |    |     | oro       | pai |          | е<br> |    |    |          |    |    |    |   |           |
| 21       |     | cts |    | w   | muc       | n   | EMBAS    | tri   |    |    | pant-    |    |    |    |   | cann      |
| 22       |     | for |    |     | osal      |     | Ε.       | als   |    |    | report   |    |    |    |   | abis-     |
| 23       |     | ad  |    |     | spra      |     |          | со    |    |    | ed       |    |    |    |   | base      |
| 24       |     | ult |    |     | у         |     | Followi  | m     |    |    | pain     |    |    |    |   | d         |
| 25       |     | s   |    |     | ,<br>cont |     | ng       | ра    |    |    | relief   |    |    |    |   | medi      |
| 26       |     | wit |    |     | ainin     |     | clinical | rin   |    |    | of 50%   |    |    |    |   | cine      |
| 27       |     |     |    |     |           |     |          |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | 1         |
| 28       |     | h   |    |     | g         |     | trials   | g     |    |    | or       |    |    |    |   | (her      |
| 29       |     | chr |    |     | THC       |     | databa   | th    |    |    | greate   |    |    |    |   | bal       |
| 30       |     | oni |    |     | or        |     | ses      | e     |    |    | r. We    |    |    |    |   | cann      |
| 31       |     | с   |    |     | THC/      |     | were     | int   |    |    | preferr  |    |    |    |   | abis,     |
| 32       |     | ne  |    |     | CBD       |     | search   | er    |    |    | ed       |    |    |    |   | plant     |
| 33       |     | uro |    |     | mix,      |     | ed for   | ve    |    |    | compo    |    |    |    |   | -         |
| 34<br>25 |     |     |    |     |           |     |          |       |    |    | -        |    |    |    |   | doriu     |
| 35<br>36 |     | pat |    |     | smo       |     | additio  | nti   |    |    | site     |    |    |    |   | deriv     |
| 30<br>37 |     | hic |    |     | ked       |     | nal      | on    |    |    | neurop   |    |    |    |   | ed or     |
| 38       |     | pai |    |     | cann      |     | data     | wi    |    |    | athic    |    |    |    |   | synt      |
| 39       |     | n   |    |     | abis      |     | includi  | th    |    |    | pain     |    |    |    |   | hetic     |
| 40       |     |     |    |     | cont      |     | ng       | pla   |    |    | scores   |    |    |    |   | THC,      |
| 41       |     |     |    |     | ainin     |     | unpubl   | ce    |    |    | over     |    |    |    |   | THC/      |
| 42       |     |     |    |     |           |     | ished    | bo    |    |    | single-  |    |    |    |   | CBD       |
| 43       |     |     |    |     | g         |     |          | 100   |    |    | -        |    |    |    |   |           |
| 44       |     |     |    |     | THC,      |     | data:    | )     |    |    | scale .  |    |    |    |   | oro       |
| 45       |     |     |    |     | THC       |     | US       |       |    |    | generi   |    |    |    |   | muc       |
| 46       |     |     |    |     | and       |     | Nation   |       |    |    | c pain   |    |    |    |   | osal      |
| 47       |     |     |    |     | CBD       |     | al       |       |    |    | scores   |    |    |    |   | spray     |
| 48       |     |     |    |     | as        |     | Institut |       |    |    | if both  |    |    |    |   | ) in      |
| 49       |     |     |    |     | extra     |     | es of    |       |    |    | measu    |    |    |    |   | ,<br>chro |
| 50       |     |     |    |     | ct of     |     | Health   |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | nic       |
| 51<br>52 |     |     |    |     |           |     |          |       |    |    | res      |    |    |    |   |           |
| 52<br>53 |     |     |    |     | cann      |     | clinical |       |    |    | were     |    |    |    |   | neur      |
| 53<br>54 |     |     |    |     | abis      |     | trial    |       |    |    | used     |    |    |    |   | opat      |
| 54<br>55 |     |     |    |     | sativ     |     | registe  |       |    |    | by       |    |    |    |   | hic       |
| 56       |     |     |    |     | a L.,     |     | r        |       |    |    | studies  |    |    |    |   | pain      |
| 57       |     |     |    |     | and       |     | (www.    |       |    |    | ;        |    |    |    |   | migh      |
| 58       |     |     |    |     | synt      |     | Clinical |       |    |    | <b>'</b> |    |    |    |   | tbe       |
| 59       |     |     |    |     | Synt      |     |          |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   |           |
| 60       |     |     |    |     |           |     |          |       |    |    |          |    |    |    |   |           |

| hetic | Trials.g              | PGIC     | outw  |
|-------|-----------------------|----------|-------|
| cann  | <u>ov),</u>           | (Patien  | eigh  |
| abin  | Europe                | t        | ed    |
| oids; | an                    | Global   | by    |
| nabil | Union                 | Impres   | their |
| one,  | Clinical              | sion of  | pote  |
| dron  | Trials                | Chang    | ntial |
| abin  | Registe               | e)       | harm  |
| ol    | r                     | much     | S.    |
|       | ( <u>www.</u>         | or very  | 5.    |
|       | <u>clinical</u>       | much     |       |
|       | trialsre              | improv   |       |
|       | gister.               | ed;      |       |
|       |                       | eu,      |       |
|       | <u>eu</u> ),<br>World | Withdr   |       |
|       |                       |          |       |
|       | Health                | awals    |       |
|       | Organi                | due to   |       |
|       | zation                | advers   |       |
|       | (WHO)                 | e        |       |
|       | Interna               | events   |       |
|       | tional                | (tolera  |       |
|       | Clinical              | bility); |       |
|       | Trials                |          |       |
|       | Registr               | Seriou   |       |
|       | y I                   | S        |       |
|       | Platfor               | advers   |       |
|       | m                     | e        |       |
|       | (ICTRP)               | events   |       |
|       | (apps.                | (safety  |       |
|       | who.in                | ).       |       |
|       | t/trials              | Seriou   |       |
|       | earch/)               | S        |       |
|       | , and                 | advers   |       |
|       | Interna               | e        |       |
|       | tional                | events   |       |
|       | Associ                | typicall |       |
|       | ation                 | y        |       |
|       | for                   | include  |       |
|       | Canna                 | any      |       |
|       | binoid                | untow    |       |
|       | Medici                | ard      |       |
|       |                       |          |       |
|       | nes                   | medica   |       |
|       | (IACM)                |          |       |
|       | databa                | occurr   |       |
|       | nk                    | ence     |       |

| 3        |               |          |
|----------|---------------|----------|
| 4        | ( <u>www.</u> | or       |
| 5        | cannab        | effect   |
| 6<br>7   | is-           | that at  |
| 8        | med.or        | any      |
| 9        | g/studi       | dose     |
| 10       | <u>es/stu</u> | results  |
| 11       |               | in       |
| 12       | dy.php        |          |
| 13<br>14 | )             | death,   |
| 15       |               | is life- |
| 16       |               | threat   |
| 17       |               | ening,   |
| 18       |               | requir   |
| 19<br>20 |               | es       |
| 20 21    |               | hospit   |
| 22       |               | alisatio |
| 23       |               | n or     |
| 24       |               | prolon   |
| 25<br>26 |               | gation   |
| 27       |               | of       |
| 28       |               | existin  |
| 29       |               | g        |
| 30       |               | hospit   |
| 31<br>32 |               | alisatio |
| 33       |               | n,       |
| 34       |               | results  |
| 35       |               | in       |
| 36       |               | persist  |
| 37<br>38 |               | ent or   |
| 39       |               | signific |
| 40       |               | ant      |
| 41       |               | disabili |
| 42       |               | ty or    |
| 43<br>44 |               | incapa   |
| 45       |               | city, is |
| 46       |               | a        |
| 47       |               | conge    |
| 48       |               | nital    |
| 49<br>50 |               | anoma    |
| 51       |               | ly or    |
| 52       |               | birth    |
| 53       |               | defect,  |
| 54       |               | is an    |
| 55<br>56 |               | 'impor   |
| 57       |               | tant     |
| 58       |               | medica   |
| 59       |               |          |

|   |  |          |                         |  |  |   |  |          |        | l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>t one<br>of the<br>above<br>charac<br>teristic<br>s/cons<br>equen<br>ces. |         |   |    |    |  |
|---|--|----------|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|----------|--------|---|---------|---|----|----|--|
| Avi<br>ra<br>m<br>et.<br>al<br>[29<br>] | Effi<br>cac<br>y<br>of<br>Ca<br>nn<br>abi<br>s-<br>Ba<br>se<br>d<br>S-<br>Ba<br>se<br>d<br>ine<br>s<br>for<br>Pai<br>n<br>Ma | 20<br>17 | Me<br>ta-<br>aly<br>sis | Phyt<br>ocan<br>nabi<br>noid<br>s;<br>Sativ<br>ex/n<br>abixi<br>mol,<br>cann<br>abidi<br>ol,<br>cann<br>abidi<br>ol,<br>cann<br>oid<br>cigar<br>ettes<br>/vap<br>orize | Ch<br>ro<br>nic<br>(ca<br>nc<br>er<br>an<br>d<br>no<br>n-<br>ca<br>nc<br>er)<br>pai<br>n<br>an<br>d<br>ac<br>ute | MEDLI<br>NE/Pu<br>bmed<br>and in<br>Google<br>Scholar<br>using<br>Medic<br>al<br>Subjec<br>t<br>Headin<br>g<br>(MeSH<br>) terms | 43<br>tri<br>als<br>co<br>m<br>pa<br>rin<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>er<br>ve<br>nti<br>on<br>wi<br>th<br>bo<br>th | 24<br>37 | N<br>O | The<br>outco<br>me<br>measu<br>re that<br>was<br>chosen<br>was<br>the<br>variabl<br>e "pain<br>intensi<br>ty", as<br>scored<br>by the<br>numeri<br>cal<br>rating<br>scale                                       | Ye<br>s | Ye<br>s, ex<br>ce<br>pt<br>fo<br>r, re<br>po<br>rti<br>ng<br>bi<br>as,<br>pu<br>bli<br>ca<br>tio<br>n<br>bi | No | NO | The<br>curre<br>nt<br>syste<br>mati<br>c<br>revie<br>w<br>sugg<br>ests<br>that<br>cann<br>abin<br>oid-<br>base<br>d<br>medi<br>cines<br>migh |

Page 45 of 61

| me  | and        | ре  | e   | numeri  | d   | tive  |
|-----|------------|-----|-----|---------|-----|-------|
| nt: | synt       | rat | dr  | cal 11- | fo  | for   |
| A   | hetic      | ive | ug  | point   | r-  | chro  |
| Sys | cann       | pai | s'  | box     | pr  | nic   |
| te  | abin       | n   | an  | (BS-    | ofi | pain  |
| ma  | oids;      |     | d   | 11),    | t   | treat |
| tic | dron       |     | pla | visual  | bi  | ment  |
| Re  | abin       |     | ce  | analog  | as  | ,     |
| vie | ol         |     | bo  | scale   |     | base  |
| w   | and        |     |     | (VAS),  |     | d on  |
| an  | nabil      |     |     | and     |     | limit |
| d   | one,       |     |     | the     |     | ed    |
| Me  | CT-3,      |     |     | VAS     |     | evid  |
| ta- | ajule      |     |     | section |     | ence, |
| An  | mic        |     |     | of the  |     | prim  |
| aly | acid,      |     |     | questi  |     | arily |
| sis | synt       |     |     | onnair  |     | for   |
| of  | hetic      |     |     | e short |     | neur  |
| Ra  | nitro      |     |     | form    |     | opat  |
| nd  | gen        |     |     | McGill  |     | hic   |
| om  | anal       |     |     | Pain    |     | pain  |
| ize | og of      |     |     | Questi  |     | patie |
| d   | tetra      |     |     | onnair  |     | nts.  |
| Co  | hydr       |     |     | e.      |     |       |
| ntr | ocan       |     |     |         |     |       |
| oll | nabi       |     |     |         |     |       |
| ed  | nol        |     |     |         |     |       |
| Tri | (NIB)      |     |     |         |     |       |
| als |            |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | ,<br>fatty |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | acid       |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | amid       |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | e          |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | hydr       |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | olase      |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | -1         |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | (FAA       |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | H1)        |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | inhib      |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | itor       |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | (PF-       |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | 0445       |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | 7845       |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | 1          |     |     |         |     |       |
|     | )<br>(bloc |     |     |         |     |       |
|     |            |     |     |         |     |       |

|            |            |    |          | king         |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|------------|------------|----|----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|---|----|---|-----------------|----|-----------|----|---|--------------|
|            |            |    |          | king<br>degr |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | adati        |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | on of        |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | endo         |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | cann         |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | abin         |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | oids)        |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | ,<br>benz    |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | opyr         |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | anop         |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | eridi        |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | ne           |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | (BPP         |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | ),<br>and    |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | levo         |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | nant         |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
|            |            |    |          | radol        |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    |           |    |   |              |
| Ca         | Ar         | 20 | Sys      | Oral         | Ac        | MEDLI        | 9 | 22 | Ν | Outco           | Ye | Ye        | No | Ν | Cann         |
| mp<br>bell | e<br>ca    | 01 | te<br>ma | THC,<br>an   | ute       | NE,<br>EMBAS |   | 2  | 0 | me<br>measu     | S  | s,<br>ex  |    | 0 | abin<br>oids |
| et.        | nn         |    | tic      | oral         | ,<br>chr  | Elvibas      |   |    |   | res for         |    | ce        |    |   | are          |
| al         | abi        |    | Re       | synt         | oni       | Óxford       |   |    |   | pain            |    | pt        |    |   | no           |
| [30        | noi        |    | vie      | hetic        | с         | Pain         |   |    |   | intensi         |    | fo        |    |   | more         |
| ]          | ds         |    | w        | nitro        | no        | Databa       |   |    |   | ty;             |    | r,        |    |   | effec        |
|            | an         |    |          | gen          | n-        | se, and      |   |    |   | pain            |    | re        |    |   | tive         |
|            | eff<br>ect |    |          | anal<br>ogue | ma<br>lig | Cochra<br>ne |   |    |   | relief;<br>the  |    | po<br>rti |    |   | than<br>code |
|            | ive        |    |          | of           | na        | Library      |   |    |   | use of          |    | ng        |    |   | ine          |
|            | an         |    |          | THC          | nt        |              |   |    |   | supple          |    | bi        |    |   | in           |
|            | d          |    |          | (NIB)        | pai       |              |   |    |   | menta           |    | as,       |    |   | contr        |
|            | saf        |    |          | , oral       | n,        |              |   |    |   | ry              |    | pu        |    |   | ollin        |
|            | e          |    |          | benz         | an<br>ส   |              |   |    |   | analge          |    | bli       |    |   | g            |
|            | tre<br>at  |    |          | opyr<br>anop | d<br>ca   |              |   |    |   | sia;<br>patient |    | ca<br>tio |    |   | pain<br>and  |
|            | me         |    |          | eridi        | nc        |              |   |    |   | s'              |    | n         |    |   | have         |
|            | nt         |    |          | ne           | er        |              |   |    |   | prefer          |    | bi        |    |   | depr         |
|            | opt        |    |          | (BPP         | pai       |              |   |    |   | ences;          |    | as        |    |   | essa         |
|            | ion        |    |          | ),           | n         |              |   |    |   | and             |    | an        |    |   | nt           |
|            | in<br>the  |    |          | and          |           |              |   |    |   | advers          |    | d<br>fo   |    |   | effec        |
|            | the<br>ma  |    |          | intra<br>mus |           |              |   |    |   | e<br>effects    |    | fo<br>r-  |    |   | ts on<br>the |
|            | na         |    |          | cular        |           |              |   |    |   |                 |    | pr        |    |   | centr        |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

**BMJ** Open

|     | ge   |    |     | levo     |    |       |          |    |   |          |    | ofi |    |   | al            |
|-----|------|----|-----|----------|----|-------|----------|----|---|----------|----|-----|----|---|---------------|
|     | me   |    |     | nant     |    |       |          |    |   |          |    | t   |    |   | nerv          |
|     | nt   |    |     | radol    |    |       |          |    |   |          |    | bi  |    |   | ous           |
|     | of   |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    | as  |    |   | syste         |
|     | pai  |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | m             |
|     | n?   |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | that          |
|     | A    |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | limit         |
|     | qu   |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | their         |
|     | alit |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | use.          |
|     | ati  |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | Thei          |
|     | ve   |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | wide          |
|     | sys  |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | spre          |
|     | te   |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | ad            |
|     | ma   |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | intro         |
|     | tic  |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | duct          |
|     | rev  |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | on            |
|     | ie   |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | into          |
|     | w    |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | clini         |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | al            |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | prac          |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | ice           |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | for           |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | pain          |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | man           |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | age           |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | men           |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | is            |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | ther          |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | efor          |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | und           |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | sirat         |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | le. Ir        |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | acut          |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | e             |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   |               |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | post          |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | opei<br>ative |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   |               |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | pain          |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | they          |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | shou          |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | d no          |
|     |      |    |     |          |    |       |          |    |   |          |    |     |    |   | be            |
|     |      | 20 | 6   | <u> </u> |    | MEDII | 6        |    |   | <b>.</b> |    |     |    |   | used          |
| Des | Effi | 20 | Sys |          | Ne |       | 6<br>tri | 22 | N | For      | Ye | Ye  | No | N | Ther<br>e is  |
| hpa | cac  | 15 | te  | ettes    | ur | NE,   | tri      | 6  | 0 | outco    | S  | S,  | 1  | 0 | E 15 -        |

| nd  | У    | ma  | or    | ор  | EMBAS   | als |  | mes,      | ex  |  | evid   |
|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|---------|-----|--|-----------|-----|--|--------|
| e   | an   | tic | vapo  | ath | E, and  | со  |  | pain      | ce  |  | ence   |
| et. | d    | Re  | rizer | ic  | the     | m   |  | scores    | pt  |  | for    |
| al  | ad   | vie | cont  | pai | Interna | ра  |  | were      | fo  |  | the    |
| [27 | ver  | w   | ainin | n   | tional  | rin |  | extract   | r,  |  | use    |
| ]   | se   |     | g     |     | Pharm   | g   |  | ed        | re  |  | of     |
|     | eff  |     | delta |     | aceutic | int |  | using     | ро  |  | low-   |
|     | ect  |     | -9-   |     | al      | er  |  | the       | rti |  | dose   |
|     | S    |     | THC   |     | Abstra  | ve  |  | visual    | ng  |  | medi   |
|     | of   |     |       |     | cts     | nti |  | analog    | bi  |  | cal    |
|     | me   |     |       |     |         | on  |  | ue        | as, |  | marij  |
|     | dic  |     |       |     |         | wi  |  | scale     | pu  |  | uana   |
|     | al   |     |       |     |         | th  |  | (VAS)     | bli |  | in     |
|     | ma   |     |       |     |         | pla |  | or an     | са  |  | refra  |
|     | riju |     |       |     |         | ce  |  | alterna   | tio |  | ctory  |
|     | an   |     |       |     |         | bo  |  | tive      | n   |  | neur   |
|     | а    |     |       |     |         |     |  | numeri    | bi  |  | opat   |
|     | for  |     |       |     |         | Pla |  | cal       | as  |  | hic    |
|     | chr  |     |       |     |         | ce  |  | pain      | an  |  | pain   |
|     | oni  |     |       |     |         | bo  |  | rating    | d   |  | in     |
|     | с    |     |       |     |         | bei |  | tool. If  | fo  |  | conj   |
|     | no   |     |       |     |         | ng  |  | pain      | r-  |  | uncti  |
|     | nc   |     |       |     |         | cig |  | scores    | pr  |  | on     |
|     | an   |     |       |     |         | ar  |  | were      | ofi |  | with   |
|     | cer  |     |       |     |         | ett |  | not       | t   |  | tradi  |
|     | pai  |     |       |     |         | es  |  | report    | bi  |  | tiona  |
|     | n    |     |       |     |         | or  |  | ed,       | as  |  | I      |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | va  |  | surrog    |     |  | analg  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ро  |  | ate       |     |  | esics. |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | riz |  | measu     |     |  | How    |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | er  |  | res of    |     |  | ever,  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | со  |  | effecti   |     |  | trials |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | nt  |  | veness    |     |  | were   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ain |  | were      |     |  | limit  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ing |  | include   |     |  | ed     |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | 0%  |  | d         |     |  | by     |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | del |  | (sleep,   |     |  | short  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ta- |  | functio   |     |  | dura   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | 9-  |  | n, and    |     |  | tion,  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ТН  |  | quality   |     |  | varia  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | C   |  | of life). |     |  | bility |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | or  |  | Freque    |     |  | in     |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | wi  |  | ncy of    |     |  | dosin  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | th  |  | serious   |     |  | g      |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | са  |  | and       |     |  | and    |

| 1<br>2<br>3 |   |      |   |     |         |       |
|-------------|---|------|---|-----|---------|-------|
| 3<br>4      |   | <br> |   |     |         | <br>  |
| 5           |   |      |   | nn  | most    | stren |
| 6           |   |      |   | abi | comm    | gth   |
| 7           |   |      |   | no  | only    | of    |
| 8<br>9      |   |      |   | id  | report  | delta |
| 9<br>10     |   |      |   | re  | ed      | -9-   |
| 10          |   |      |   | m   | advers  | tetra |
| 12          |   |      |   | ov  | e       | hydr  |
| 13          |   |      |   | al  | effects | ocan  |
| 14          |   |      |   |     | was     | nabi  |
| 15          |   |      |   |     | collect | nol,  |
| 16<br>17    |   |      |   |     | ed.     | and   |
| 18          |   |      |   |     |         | lack  |
| 19          |   |      |   |     |         | of    |
| 20          |   |      |   |     |         | funct |
| 21          |   |      |   |     |         | ional |
| 22<br>23    |   |      |   |     |         | outc  |
| 23          |   |      |   |     |         | ome   |
| 25          |   |      |   |     |         | S.    |
| 26          |   |      |   |     |         | Altho |
| 27          |   |      |   |     |         | ugh   |
| 28          |   |      |   |     |         | well  |
| 29<br>30    |   |      |   |     |         | toler |
| 31          |   |      |   |     |         |       |
| 32          |   |      |   |     |         | ated  |
| 33          |   |      |   |     |         | in    |
| 34          |   |      |   |     |         | the   |
| 35<br>36    |   |      |   |     |         | short |
| 37          |   |      |   |     |         | term  |
| 38          |   |      |   |     |         | , the |
| 39          |   |      |   |     |         | long- |
| 40          |   |      |   |     |         | term  |
| 41<br>42    |   |      |   |     |         | effec |
| 42<br>43    |   |      |   |     |         | ts of |
| 44          |   |      |   |     |         | psyc  |
| 45          |   |      |   |     |         | hoac  |
| 46          |   |      |   |     |         | tive  |
| 47          |   |      |   |     |         | and   |
| 48<br>49    |   |      |   |     |         | neur  |
| 49<br>50    |   |      |   |     |         | ocog  |
| 51          |   |      |   |     |         | nitiv |
| 52          |   |      |   |     |         | e     |
| 53          |   |      |   |     |         | effec |
| 54<br>55    |   |      |   |     |         | ts of |
| 55<br>56    |   |      |   |     |         | medi  |
| 57          |   |      |   |     |         | cal   |
| 58          |   |      |   |     |         | marij |
| 59          | L |      | 1 |     |         | <br>  |

|     |      |    |     |       |     |          |          |    |    |                 |    |     |    |   | uan<br>rem<br>in<br>unk |
|-----|------|----|-----|-------|-----|----------|----------|----|----|-----------------|----|-----|----|---|-------------------------|
| Ste | A    | 20 | Sys | Levo  | Ac  | MEDLI    | 7        | 61 | Y  | The             | Ye | Ye  | No | Y | owr<br>Base             |
| ven | sys  | 17 | te  | nant  | ute | NE,      | ,<br>tri | 1  | es | primar          | S  | s,  | NO | e | d or                    |
| S   | te   |    | ma  | radol | po  | EMBAS    | als      | -  | 00 | y               | 5  | ex  |    | s | the                     |
| et. | ma   |    | tic | ,     | sto | E,       | со       |    |    | outco           |    | ce  |    |   | ava                     |
| al  | tic  |    | Re  | nabil | pe  | Cochra   | m        |    |    | me              |    | pt  |    |   | able                    |
| [31 | rev  |    | vie | one,  | rat | ne       | ра       |    |    | was             |    | fo  |    |   | ran                     |
| ]   | ie   |    | W   | AZD   | ive | Library  | rin      |    |    | the             |    | r,  |    |   | omi                     |
| -   | w    |    |     | 1940  | pai | , and    | g        |    |    | qualita         |    | pu  |    |   | ed                      |
|     | of   |    |     | ,     | n   | the      | int      |    |    | tive            |    | bli |    |   | con                     |
|     | the  |    |     | GW8   |     | World    | er       |    |    | analysi         |    | са  |    |   | olle                    |
|     | an   |    |     | 4216  |     | Health   | ve       |    |    | sof             |    | tio |    |   | tria                    |
|     | alg  |    |     | 6,    |     | Organi   | nti      |    |    | the             |    | n   |    |   | evic                    |
|     | esi  |    |     | dron  |     | zation   | on       |    |    | analge          |    | bi  |    |   | enc                     |
|     | с    |    |     | abin  |     | Interna  | wi       |    |    | sic             |    | as  |    |   | can                     |
|     | effi |    |     | ol,   |     | tional   | th       |    |    | efficac         |    | an  |    |   | abir                    |
|     | cac  |    |     | ∆-9-T |     | Clinical | pla      |    |    | y of            |    | d   |    |   | oids                    |
|     | У    |    |     | НС    |     | Trials   | ce       |    |    | cannab          |    | fo  |    |   | hav                     |
|     | of   |    |     |       |     | Registr  | bo       |    |    | inoids          |    | r-  |    |   | no                      |
|     | са   |    |     |       |     | У        | ,        |    |    | in the          |    | pr  |    |   | role                    |
|     | nn   |    |     |       |     | Platfor  | Ке       |    |    | manag           |    | ofi |    |   | in                      |
|     | abi  |    |     |       |     | m        | to       |    |    | ement           |    | t   |    |   | the                     |
|     | noi  |    |     |       |     |          | pr       |    |    | of              |    | bi  |    |   | mar                     |
|     | d    |    |     |       |     |          | of       |    |    | acute           |    | as  |    |   | age                     |
|     | me   |    |     |       |     |          | en       |    |    | pain            |    |     |    |   | mei                     |
|     | dic  |    |     |       |     |          | ,        |    |    | compa           |    |     |    |   | of                      |
|     | ati  |    |     |       |     |          | Ре       |    |    | red to          |    |     |    |   | acu                     |
|     | on   |    |     |       |     |          | thi      |    |    | placeb          |    |     |    |   | е                       |
|     | s in |    |     |       |     |          | di       |    |    | o or            |    |     |    |   | pair                    |
|     | the  |    |     |       |     |          | ne       |    |    | active          |    |     |    |   |                         |
|     | ma   |    |     |       |     |          | ,        |    |    | compa           |    |     |    |   |                         |
|     | na   |    |     |       |     |          | Na       |    |    | rator.          |    |     |    |   |                         |
|     | ge   |    |     |       |     |          | pr       |    |    | The             |    |     |    |   |                         |
|     | me   |    |     |       |     |          | OX       |    |    | second          |    |     |    |   |                         |
|     | nt   |    |     |       |     |          | en       |    |    | ary             |    |     |    |   |                         |
|     | of   |    |     |       |     |          | ,<br>20  |    |    | outco           |    |     |    |   |                         |
|     | ac   |    |     |       |     |          | an       |    |    | me              |    |     |    |   |                         |
|     | ute  |    |     |       |     |          | d<br>Ih  |    |    | was             |    |     |    |   |                         |
|     | pai  |    |     |       |     |          | Ib       |    |    | the             |    |     |    |   |                         |
|     | n    |    |     |       |     |          | up       |    |    | qualita<br>tive |    |     |    | 1 |                         |

| 1   |  |
|---|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   |  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   |  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   |  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   |  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  |  |
| 12  |  |
| 12  |  |
| 14  |  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  |  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  |  |
| 19  |  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  |  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>9<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29<br>30 |  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  |  |
| 28  |  |
| 20  |  |
| 20  |  |
| 30<br>31  |  |
| 31  |  |
| 32  |  |
| 33  |  |
| 34<br>35<br>36<br>37  |  |
| 35  |  |
| 36<br>37  |  |
| 37  |  |
| 38  |  |
| 39  |  |
| 40  |  |
| 41  |  |
| 42  |  |
| 43  |  |
| 44  |  |
| 45  |  |
| 46  |  |
| 47  |  |
| 47  |  |
| 40<br>49  |  |
| 49<br>50  |  |
|   |  |
| 51  |  |
| 52  |  |
| 53  |  |
| 54  |  |
| 55  |  |
| 56  |  |
| 57  |  |
| 58  |  |
| 59  |  |
| 60  |  |

| Wa                    | Са  | 20<br>16 | Co                        | Nabil | Fib                   | Cochra  | rof<br>en<br>2<br>tri  | 72    | Y  | analysi<br>s of<br>the<br>report<br>ed<br>advers<br>e<br>effects<br>Primar   | Ye | Ye  | No | Y  | We   |
|-----------------------|---|----------|---------------------------|-------|-----------------------|---|--|-------|----|--|----|---|----|----|--|
| et.<br>al<br>[32<br>] | nn<br>abi<br>noi<br>ds<br>for<br>fib<br>ro<br>my<br>alg<br>ia | 10       | an<br>e<br>Re<br>vie<br>w | one   | ro<br>my<br>alg<br>ia | ne<br>Library<br>,<br>MEDLI<br>NE and<br>EMBAS<br>E | tri<br>als<br>co<br>m<br>pa<br>rin<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>r<br>u<br>n<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>r<br>u<br>n<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>r<br>n<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>o<br>m<br>a<br>rin<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>o<br>rin<br>u<br>s<br>th<br>e<br>rin<br>u<br>s<br>th<br>i<br>th<br>i<br>th<br>i<br>th<br>i<br>th<br>i<br>th<br>i<br>th<br>i<br>th | (4 0) | es | y<br>outco<br>mes:<br>Partici<br>pant-r<br>eporte<br>d pain<br>relief<br>of 50%<br>or<br>greate<br>r.<br>PGIC<br>(Patien<br>t<br>Global<br>Impres<br>sion of<br>Chang<br>e)<br>much<br>or very<br>much<br>improv<br>ed.<br>Withdr<br>awal<br>due to<br>advers<br>e<br>events | S  | s,<br>ex<br>ce<br>pt<br>fo<br>r<br>pu<br>bli<br>ca<br>tio<br>n<br>bi<br>as. |    | es | foun<br>d no<br>convi<br>ncing<br>,<br>unbi<br>ased,<br>high<br>quali<br>ty<br>evid<br>ence<br>sugg<br>estin<br>g<br>that<br>nabil<br>one<br>is of<br>value<br>in<br>treat<br>ing<br>peop<br>le<br>with<br>fibro<br>myal<br>gia.<br>The<br>toler<br>abilit<br>y of<br>nabil<br>one |

| (tolera  | was   |
|----------|-------|
| bility). | low   |
|          | in    |
| Seriou   | peop  |
| S        | le    |
| advers   | with  |
| e        | fibro |
| events   | mya   |
| (safety  | gia.  |
| ).       | 8.01  |
| Seriou   |       |
| s        |       |
| advers   |       |
| e        |       |
| events   |       |
|          |       |
| typicall |       |
| y y      |       |
| include  |       |
| any      |       |
| untow    |       |
| ard      |       |
| medica   |       |
|          |       |
| occurr   |       |
| ence     |       |
| or       |       |
| effect   |       |
| that at  |       |
| any      |       |
| dose     |       |
| results  |       |
| in       |       |
| death,   |       |
| is       |       |
| life-thr |       |
| eateni   |       |
| ng,      |       |
| requir   |       |
| es       |       |
| hospit   |       |
| alisatio |       |
| n or     |       |
| prolon   |       |
|          |       |
| gation   |       |
| of       |       |

| 1<br>2   |      |  |   |   |   |                |   |   |  |
|----------|------|--|---|---|---|----------------|---|---|--|
| 2<br>3   | <br> |  |   |   |   |                |   |   |  |
| 4<br>5   |      |  |   |   |   | existin        |   |   |  |
| 6        |      |  |   |   |   | g              |   |   |  |
| 7        |      |  |   |   |   | hospit         |   |   |  |
| 8<br>9   |      |  |   |   |   | alisatio       |   |   |  |
| 9<br>10  |      |  |   |   |   | n,             |   |   |  |
| 11       |      |  |   |   |   | results        |   |   |  |
| 12       |      |  |   |   |   | in             |   |   |  |
| 13       |      |  |   |   |   | persist        |   |   |  |
| 14<br>15 |      |  |   |   |   | ent or         |   |   |  |
| 16       |      |  |   |   |   | signific       |   |   |  |
| 17       |      |  |   |   |   | ant            |   |   |  |
| 18       |      |  |   |   |   | disabili       |   |   |  |
| 19<br>20 |      |  |   |   |   | ty or          |   |   |  |
| 20       |      |  |   |   |   | incapa         |   |   |  |
| 22       |      |  |   |   |   | city, is       |   |   |  |
| 23       |      |  |   |   |   | а              |   |   |  |
| 24<br>25 |      |  |   |   |   | conge          |   |   |  |
| 25       |      |  |   |   |   | nital          |   |   |  |
| 27       |      |  |   |   |   | anoma          |   |   |  |
| 28       |      |  |   |   |   | ly or          |   |   |  |
| 29       |      |  |   |   |   | birth          |   |   |  |
| 30<br>31 |      |  |   |   |   | defect,        |   |   |  |
| 32       |      |  |   |   |   | is an          |   |   |  |
| 33       |      |  |   |   |   | 'impor         |   |   |  |
| 34       |      |  |   |   |   | tant           |   |   |  |
| 35<br>36 |      |  |   |   |   | medica         |   |   |  |
| 37       |      |  |   |   |   | event'         |   |   |  |
| 38       |      |  |   |   |   |                |   |   |  |
| 39       |      |  |   |   |   | that           |   |   |  |
| 40<br>41 |      |  |   |   |   | may            |   |   |  |
| 42       |      |  |   |   |   | jeopar<br>dise |   |   |  |
| 43       |      |  |   |   |   | the            |   |   |  |
| 44       |      |  |   |   |   | person         |   |   |  |
| 45<br>46 |      |  |   |   |   |                |   |   |  |
| 40<br>47 |      |  |   |   |   | , or<br>may    |   |   |  |
| 48       |      |  |   |   |   | requir         |   |   |  |
| 49       |      |  |   |   |   | e an           |   |   |  |
| 50<br>51 |      |  |   |   |   | interve        |   |   |  |
| 52       |      |  |   |   |   | ntion          |   |   |  |
| 53       |      |  |   |   |   | to             |   |   |  |
| 54       |      |  |   |   |   | preven         |   |   |  |
| 55<br>56 |      |  |   |   |   | tone           |   |   |  |
| 56<br>57 |      |  |   |   |   | of the         |   |   |  |
| 58       |      |  |   |   |   | above          |   |   |  |
| 59       |      |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |                | 1 | I |  |

|  |  |  |  |  | charac   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|----------|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  | teristic |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | s/cons   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | equen    |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ces.     |  |  |  |

# References

- Copay AG, Subach BR, Glassman SD, Polly DW, and Schuler TC, Understanding the minimum clinically important difference: a review of concepts and methods. Spine J, 2007. 7(5): p. 541-6.
- Guyatt GH, Osoba D, Wu AW, Wyrwich KW, and Norman GR, Methods to Explain the Clinical Significance of Health Status Measures. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 2002. 77(4): p. 371-383.
- 3. Moncrieff J and Kirsch I, *Empirically derived criteria cast doubt on the clinical significance of antidepressant-placebo differences*. Contemp Clin Trials, 2015. **43**: p. 60-2.
- 4. Hagg O, Fritzell P, and Nordwall A, *The clinical importance of changes in outcome scores after treatment for chronic low back pain.* Eur Spine J, 2003. **12**(1): p. 12-20.
- 5. Musoro ZJ, Hamel J, Ediebah DE, Cocks K, King MT, Groenvold M, et al., *Establishing* anchor-based minimally important differences (MID) with the EORTC quality-of-life measures: a meta-analysis protocol. BMJ Open, 2018. **8**(1).
- 6. Stratford PW, Binkley JM, Riddle DL, and Guyatt GH, *Sensitivity to change of the Roland-Morris Back Pain Questionnaire: part 1.* Phys Ther, 1998. **78**(11): p. 1186-96.
- 7. Riddle DL, Stratford PW, and Binkley JM, *Sensitivity to change of the Roland-Morris Back Pain Questionnaire: part 2.* Phys Ther, 1998. **78**(11): p. 1197-207.
- 8. Van der Roer N, Ostelo RW, Bekkering GE, van Tulder MW, and de Vet HC, *Minimal clinically important change for pain intensity, functional status, and general health status in patients with nonspecific low back pain.* Spine (Phila Pa 1976), 2006. **31**(5): p. 578-82.
- 9. Crosby RD, Kolotkin RL, and Williams GR, *Defining clinically meaningful change in health*related quality of life. J Clin Epidemiol, 2003. **56**(5): p. 395-407.
- 10. Cohen J, CHAPTER 1 The Concepts of Power Analysis, in Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences, Cohen J, Editor. 1977, Academic Press. p. 1-17.
- 11. Fayers PM and Machin D, *Quality of life: assessment, analysis and interpretation.* John Wiley & Sons, 2000.
- Guyatt GH, Bombardier C, and Tugwell PX, *Measuring disease-specific quality of life in clinical trials.* CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne, 1986. 134(8): p. 889-895.
- Wyrwich KW, Tierney WM, and Wolinsky FD, Further evidence supporting an SEM-based criterion for identifying meaningful intra-individual changes in health-related quality of life. J Clin Epidemiol, 1999. 52(9): p. 861-73.
- 14. Wolinsky FD, Wan GJ, and Tierney WM, *Changes in the SF-36 in 12 months in a clinical sample of disadvantaged older adults.* Med Care, 1998. **36**(11): p. 1589-98.
- 15. McHorney CA and Tarlov AR, *Individual-patient monitoring in clinical practice: are available health status surveys adequate?* Qual Life Res, 1995. **4**(4): p. 293-307.

# BMJ Open

| 2        |     |  |
|----------|-----|--|
| 3        |     |  |
| 4        | 16. | Lydick E and Epstein RS, Interpretation of quality of life changes. Qual Life Res, 1993. 2(3):       |
| 5        |     | p. 221-6.  |
| 6<br>7   | 17. | Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Katz JN, Wright JG, Wells G, Boers M, et al., <i>Looking for</i>            |
| 8        | ±7. | important change/differences in studies of responsiveness. OMERACT MCID Working                      |
| 9        |     |  |
| 10       |     | Group. Outcome Measures in Rheumatology. Minimal Clinically Important Difference. J                  |
| 11       |     | Rheumatol, 2001. <b>28</b> (2): p. 400-5.  |
| 12       | 18. | Dworkin RH, Turk DC, McDermott MP, Peirce-Sandner S, Burke LB, Cowan P, et al.,                      |
| 13       |     | Interpreting the clinical importance of group differences in chronic pain clinical trials:           |
| 14       |     | <i>IMMPACT recommendations.</i> Pain, 2009. <b>146</b> (3): p. 238-44.                               |
| 15       | 19. | Cella D, Bullinger M, Scott C, and Barofsky I, <i>Group vs individual approaches to</i>              |
| 16       | 15. |  |
| 17       |     | understanding the clinical significance of differences or changes in quality of life. Mayo Clin      |
| 18       |     | Proc, 2002. <b>77</b> (4): p. 384-92.  |
| 19       | 20. | Guyatt GH, Making sense of quality-of-life data. Med Care, 2000. <b>38</b> (9 Suppl): p. li175-9.    |
| 20       | 21. | Testa MA, Interpretation of quality-of-life outcomes: issues that affect magnitude and               |
| 21       |     | <i>meaning.</i> Med Care, 2000. <b>38</b> (9 Suppl): p. li166-74.                                    |
| 22<br>23 | 22. | U.S. Department of Health Human Services FDA Center for Drug Evaluation Research, U.S.               |
| 23<br>24 |     | Department of Health Human Services FDA Center for Biologics Evaluation Research, U.S.               |
| 24<br>25 |     |  |
| 25       |     | Department of Health Human Services FDA Center for Devices Radiological Health,                      |
| 27       |     | Guidance for industry: patient-reported outcome measures: use in medical product                     |
| 28       |     | development to support labeling claims: draft guidance. 2006. <b>4</b> : p. 79.                      |
| 29       | 23. | Lynch M E and Campbell F, Cannabinoids for treatment of chronic non-cancer pain; a                   |
| 30       |     | systematic review of randomized trials. Br J Clin Pharmacol, 2011. 72(5): p. 735-44.                 |
| 31       | 24. | Boychuk D G, Goddard G, Mauro G, and Orellana M F, <i>The effectiveness of cannabinoids in</i>       |
| 32       | 24. |  |
| 33       |     | the management of chronic nonmalignant neuropathic pain: a systematic review. J Oral                 |
| 34       |     | Facial Pain Headache, 2015. <b>29</b> (1): p. 7-14.  |
| 35       | 25. | Meng H, Johnston B, Englesakis M, Moulin D E, and Bhatia A, Selective Cannabinoids for               |
| 36       |     | Chronic Neuropathic Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Anesth Analg, 2017.                 |
| 37       |     | <b>125</b> (5): p. 1638-1652.  |
| 38       | 26. | Mücke M, Phillips T, Radbruch L, Petzke F, and Häuser W, Cannabis-based medicines for                |
| 39       | 201 | chronic neuropathic pain in adults. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2018(3).                |
| 40       | 27  |  |
| 41<br>42 | 27. | Deshpande A, Mailis-Gagnon A, Zoheiry N, and Lakha S F, Efficacy and adverse effects of              |
| 42<br>43 |     | medical marijuana for chronic noncancer pain: Systematic review of randomized controlled             |
| 44       |     | <i>trials.</i> Can Fam Physician, 2015. <b>61</b> (8): p. e372-81.                                   |
| 45       | 28. | Martin-Sanchez E, Furukawa T A, Taylor J, and Martin J L, Systematic review and meta-                |
| 46       |     | analysis of cannabis treatment for chronic pain. Pain Med, 2009. 10(8): p. 1353-68.                  |
| 47       | 29. | Aviram J and Samuelly-Leichtag G, Efficacy of Cannabis-Based Medicines for Pain                      |
| 48       | 25. |  |
| 49       |     | Management: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials.                   |
| 50       |     | Pain Physician, 2017. <b>20</b> (6): p. E755-e796.   |
| 51       | 30. | Campbell F A, Tramèr M R, Carroll D, Reynolds D J M, Moore R A, and McQuay H J, Are                  |
| 52       |     | cannabinoids an effective and safe treatment option in the management of pain? A                     |
| 53       |     | qualitative systematic review. Bmj, 2001. <b>323</b> (7303): p. 13.                                  |
| 54       | 31. | Stevens A J and Higgins M D, A systematic review of the analgesic efficacy of cannabinoid            |
| 55       | J.  | <i>medications in the management of acute pain.</i> Acta Anaesthesiol Scand, 2017. <b>61</b> (3): p. |
| 56       |     |  |
| 57       |     | 268-280.   |
| 58       |     |  |
| 59<br>60 |     |  |
| 60       |     |  |
|          |     |  |

32. Walitt B, Klose P, Fitzcharles M A, Phillips T, and Hauser W, *Cannabinoids for fibromyalgia*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2016. **7**: p. Cd011694.

J

| First<br>author                 | Title   | Year of<br>publicatio<br>n |                      | Type of<br>cannabino<br>id   | Types of<br>participan<br>ts   | Information sources  | trials   | participan<br>** |      |   | Assessment<br>of adverse<br>events | Assessment<br>of risk of<br>bias  | Accounts<br>for random<br>error   | Use of the<br>GRADE | Conclusion   |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|------------------|------|---|------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------|--|
| Lynch &<br>Campbell<br>Meng et. | Cannabino<br>ids for<br>treatment<br>of chronic<br>non-cancer<br>pain; a<br>systematic<br>review of<br>randomize<br>d trials  |                            |                      | cannabis,<br>oromucosa<br>l extracts<br>of<br>cannabis-<br>based<br>medicine,<br>and<br>synthetic<br>cannabino<br>ids;<br>nabilone,<br>dronabinol<br>and a<br>novel THC<br>analogue.   | c pain,<br>fibromyalg<br>ia,<br>rheumatoi d<br>arthritis,<br>and mixed<br>chronic<br>pain. | Publick (2004)<br>CMMH (ESSCO),<br>Psychrif (ESSCO),<br>Psychrif (ESSCO),<br>Psychrif (ESSCO),<br>Exchange (Esscon, Ess<br>(ESSCO), Clinical<br>Trials, Querta<br>(ESSCO), Querta<br>(ESSCO), Cl  | the<br>interventio<br>n with<br>placebo  | 1219             | .wei | Pe primary outcome was<br>pain in subjects with<br>chronic non-cancer pain.<br>The secondary outcomes<br>were sleep, function, and<br>quality of life.  | Yes                                | Yes, except<br>for<br>reporting<br>bias,<br>publication<br>bias and for<br>profit bias  | No<br>Bonferroni  | Yes                 | Overall there is<br>evidence that<br>cannabinolis are safe<br>and modestly<br>effective in<br>neuropathic pain with<br>effective in<br>neuropathic pain with<br>effective and<br>effective and<br>effective and<br>effective and<br>effective and<br>effective and<br>data was described<br>qualitatively.<br>Selective  |
| al                              | Cannabino<br>ids for<br>Chronic<br>Neuropath<br>c Pain: A<br>Systematic<br>Review<br>and Meta-<br>analysis  |                            |                      | , nabilone<br>and  | c pain   | Cachrane Ubray,<br>PROSPERO,<br>clinicalitala, goy, and<br>Google Scholar, Pain<br>Society of<br>Amenthesiologista,<br>Curropene Society of<br>Amenthesiology<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amentanisolaty<br>Amen  | trials<br>comparing<br>the<br>interventio<br>n with<br>placebo)  |                  |      | Intensity of pain recorded<br>here a minimum of 2<br>weeks following initiation<br>of a sleetive canabinol<br>and placebo/comparated<br>of sleetive canabinol<br>and placebo/comparated<br>bio-worst possible pain).<br>Secondary outcomes<br>were presence or<br>absence or analgeta<br>defined as reduction in<br>pain scores (NRS/MOS)<br>pain scores (NRS/MOS)<br>pain access (NRS/MOS)<br>pain scores (N  |                                    |   | adjustment<br>for multiple<br>testing was<br>not<br>performed<br>as per<br>recommend<br>ations in<br>the<br>Cochrane<br>Handbook. |                     | canabinoids provide<br>a small analyscic<br>benefit in patients<br>with chronic<br>neuropathic pain.   |
| chez et. al                     | n Systematic<br>Review<br>and<br>Meta-anal<br>ysis of<br>Cannabis<br>Treatment<br>for Chronic<br>Pain   | 2009                       |                      | nabinoids<br>and<br>synthetic<br>derivates<br>of THC,<br>such as<br>dronabinol<br>, nabilone,<br>or<br>benzopyra<br>noperidine<br>(a<br>synthetic<br>nitrogen<br>analog of   | pain of a<br>pathologic<br>al or   | Medline/Pubmed,<br>Embase, and The<br>Cochrane Controlled<br>Trials: Register<br>(CENTRAL)   | 18   | ?                | No   | The primary outcome was<br>intensity of pain as<br>scored by numerical rang<br>scales.<br>The Secondary outcomes<br>were CHS related events   | Yes                                | Yes, except<br>for<br>reporting<br>bias,<br>detection<br>bias and for<br>profit bias    | No .  | No                  | Currently available<br>evidence suggests<br>that cannable<br>traatment is<br>moderately<br>efficacious for<br>traatment of chronic<br>pain, but beneficial<br>effects may be<br>partially (or<br>completely) offset by<br>potentially serious<br>harms.  |
| et. al                          | The<br>Effectiven<br>ess of<br>Cannabine<br>ids in the<br>Managem<br>ent of<br>Chronic<br>Normalign<br>ant<br>Neuropath<br>C Pain:<br>A<br>Systematic<br>Review               | 2015                       | Systematic<br>Review | THC)<br>Phytocan<br>nabinoids;<br>smokad<br>cannabis,<br>cannabis,<br>cannabis,<br>based<br>medicinal<br>extracts<br>(CBME) in<br>the form of<br>oromucosa<br>l sprays<br>(nabidmol<br>s),<br>vaporized<br>cannabis,<br>and<br>synthetic<br>cannabis,<br>dronabinol<br>, nabilone,<br>and CT-3 | c pain   | PubMed, Embase, Web<br>of Science, and all<br>woldance-based<br>modifier evolves<br>and databases<br>and databases<br>and databases<br>spatematic<br>hashanatic bases<br>(Spatematic<br>Pastematic<br>Reviews, A& Joannal<br>Chub, Database of<br>Reviews, A& Joannal<br>Chub, Database of<br>Trials Register [CCTR]]  | 13   | 771              | No   | Outcomes considered<br>were reduction in pain<br>interarity and adverse<br>events.  | Yes                                | Yes, except<br>for,<br>reporting<br>bias,<br>publication<br>bias and for<br>profit bias |   | No                  | Cannabis-based<br>medicinal extracts<br>used in different<br>populations of<br>chronic non-<br>meuropathic pain<br>meuropathic pain<br>meuropathic pain<br>patients may provide<br>effective analgeral in<br>conditions that are<br>refractory to other<br>treatments.   |
| Mücke et.<br>al                 | Cannabis<br>products<br>for adults<br>with<br>chronic<br>neuropathi<br>c pain   | 2018                       | Review               | Phytocan<br>nabinoids;<br>oromucosa<br>I spray<br>containing<br>THC or<br>THC/CBD<br>mix,<br>smokad<br>cannabis<br>containing<br>THC, THC<br>and CBD<br>of<br>cannabis<br>sativa L,<br>and<br>synthetic<br>cannabino<br>ids;<br>nabilone,<br>dronabinol  | c pain   | Cochrane Ulirary,<br>MEDLINE and EMBASE<br>Following clinical trials<br>databases view<br>data including<br>unpolitiched data:<br>US National Institutes<br>(vww.clinical Trials Register<br>(vww.clinical Trials Register<br>Clinical Trials Register<br>Clinical Trials Register<br>Clinical Trials Register<br>And Clinical Trials Register<br>Clinical Trials Register<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP)<br>(CTRP) | comparing<br>the<br>interventio<br>n with<br>placebo)  | 1750             | Yes  | Printary outcomes:<br>Participant-reported paint<br>field of SNN or greater.<br>We preferred composite<br>pain scores i Poch<br>pain scores i Poch<br>pain scores i Poch<br>pain scores i Poch<br>paint scores i Poch<br>paint scores i Poch<br>paint scores and<br>paint scores i Poch<br>paint scores and<br>paint scores a | Yes                                | Yes   | No  | Yes                 | The potential benefits<br>of cannabis-based<br>medicine (rebuil<br>cannabis, plant-<br>denined or synthetic<br>denined or synthetic<br>denined or synthetic<br>pain might be<br>outweighed by their<br>potential harms.  |
| al                              | Efficacy of<br>Cannabis-<br>Based<br>Medicines<br>for Pain<br>Managem<br>ent: A<br>Systematic<br>Review<br>and Meta-<br>Analysis of<br>Randomize<br>d<br>Controlled<br>Trials |                            | Analysis             | cannabidio<br>j,<br>cannabinol<br>d<br>cigarettes/<br>vaporizer,<br>and<br>aynthetic<br>cannabinol<br>and<br>and<br>and<br>and<br>cigarettes/<br>cannabinol<br>and<br>and<br>and<br>and<br>and<br>and<br>and<br>and  | (cancer<br>and non-<br>cancer)<br>pain and<br>acute  | MEDINE/Pubmed and<br>in Google Scholar usin<br>Medical Subject<br>Heading (MeSH) terms   | the  | 2437             |      | The outcome measure<br>variable "pain intensity", as ascored by the<br>north outcome of the second outcome of the<br>north outcome of the north outcome of the<br>north box (Its). Its shares and<br>analog scale (VAS), and<br>how to XS section of the<br>questionnaire short form<br>McGIII Pain<br>Questionnaire  | Yes                                | Yes, except<br>for, reporting<br>blas,<br>publication<br>blas and for<br>profit blas    |   | No                  | The current<br>systematic review<br>suggests that<br>cannabinoli-based<br>medicines might be<br>pain trastment, based<br>pain trastment, based<br>primarily for<br>neuropathic pain<br>patients.   |
| Campbell<br>et. al              | Are<br>cannabinoi<br>ds an<br>effective<br>and safe<br>treatment<br>treatment<br>the<br>manageme<br>nt of paln?<br>A<br>qualitative<br>systematic<br>review                   | 2001                       | Systematic<br>Review | an oral<br>synthetic<br>nitrogen<br>analogue   | chronic<br>non-<br>malignant<br>pain, and<br>cancer  | MEDLINE, EMBASE,<br>Oxford Pain Database,<br>and Cochrane Library  | 9  | 222              | No   | Outcome measures for<br>pain intensity; pain relief;<br>the use of supplementary<br>analgesia; patients'<br>preferences; and adverse<br>effects.  | Yes                                | Yes, except<br>for,<br>reporting<br>bias,<br>publication<br>bias and for<br>profit bias |   | No                  | Cannabinoids are no<br>more effective than<br>codeine in controlling<br>pain and have<br>depressant effects on<br>the central nervous<br>system that limit their<br>clinical practice for<br>pain management is<br>therefore<br>undestrable. In accee<br>postoperative pain<br>they should not be<br>used.   |
|                                 | and<br>adverse<br>effects of<br>medical<br>marijuana<br>for chronic<br>noncancer<br>pain  |                            | Review               | or<br>vaporizer<br>containing<br>delta-9-<br>THC   | c pain   | MEDLINE, EMBASE,<br>and the International<br>Pharmaceutical<br>Abstracts   | comparing<br>intervention<br>with<br>placebo<br>Placebo<br>being<br>cigarettes<br>or<br>vaporizer<br>containing<br>0% dalta-9<br>THC or<br>with<br>cannabinoi<br>d removal | 226              | No   | For outcomes, pain<br>scores were extracted<br>using the visual analogue<br>ascient (VA) or an<br>alternative numerical<br>and alternative numerical<br>scores were not respond,<br>scores were not respond,<br>scores were not respond,<br>scores were not respond.<br>Scores were not respond<br>factuleness were<br>included (slose, function,<br>and quality of file).<br>Trequency of scolars and<br>scores were not score were<br>collected.  | Yes                                | Yes, except<br>for, reporting<br>blas,<br>publication<br>blas and for<br>profit blas    |   | No                  | There is evidence for<br>the use of low-dose<br>medical marijuana in<br>refractory<br>neuropathic pash in<br>conjunction with<br>traditional<br>analgenicz. However,<br>trials were limited by<br>don't duration,<br>workability in dosing<br>and strength of dulta-<br>ge<br>and take of functional<br>outcomes. Although<br>will tolerated in the<br>short term, the long-<br>will tolerate of medical<br>marijuana remain<br>unknown. |
| Stevens et.                     | systematic<br>review of<br>the<br>analgesic<br>efficacy of<br>cannabinoi<br>d<br>medication<br>s in the<br>manageme<br>nt of acute<br>pain                                    |                            | Review               | AZD1940,<br>GW842166<br>,<br>dronabinol<br>, *-9-THC   | postoperat<br>ive pain   | MEDLINE, EMBASE,<br>Cochrane Library, and<br>the World Health<br>Organization<br>International Clinical<br>Trials Registry Platform  | comparing<br>interventio<br>n with<br>placebo,<br>ketoprofen<br>,<br>Pethidine,<br>Naproxen,<br>and<br>Ibuprofen   | 611              | Yes  | The primary outcome was<br>the qualitative analysis of<br>the analysis efficacy of<br>cannabinoids in the<br>management of acute<br>pain compared to placebo<br>or active comparator.<br>The secondary outcome<br>was the qualitative<br>analysis of the reported<br>adverse effects  | Yes                                | Yes, except<br>for,<br>publication<br>bias and for<br>profit bias                       |   | Yes                 | Based on the<br>available randomized<br>controlled trial<br>evidence,<br>cannabinolds have no<br>role in the<br>management of acute<br>pain.   |
|                                 | Cannabino<br>ids for<br>fibromyalg<br>ia  |                            | Cochrane<br>Review   | Nabilone   | Fibromyalg   | Cochrane Library,<br>MEDLINE and EMBASE  | 2 trials<br>comparing<br>the<br>interventio<br>n with<br>either (1)<br>placebo or<br>(1)<br>amitriptyli<br>ne  | 72 (40)          | Yes  | Primary outcomes:<br>Participant-reported pain<br>relief of 50% or greater.<br>PGIC (Patient Global<br>Impression of Change)<br>much or very much<br>improved.<br>Withdrawal due to<br>adverse events<br>(Uolerability).  | Yes                                | Yes, except<br>for<br>publication<br>bias.  | No  | Yes                 | We found no<br>convincing, unbiased,<br>high quality evidence<br>suggesting that<br>nabilone is of value in<br>treating people with<br>fibromyalgia. The<br>tolerability of<br>nabilone was low in<br>people with<br>fibromyalgia.   |

> For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj with beneficial and a second second

| Section and topic         | Item<br>No | Checklist item  | (Page No.# |
|---------------------------|------------|---|------------|
| ADMINISTRATIV             | E INF(     | DRMATION  |            |
| Title:                    |            |   |            |
| Identification            | 1a         | Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review  | 1          |
| Update                    | 1b         | If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such  |            |
| Registration              | 2          | If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number  |            |
| Authors:                  |            |   |            |
| Contact                   | 3a         | Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author   | 1          |
| Contributions             | 3b         | Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review   | 25-26      |
| Amendments                | 4          | If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments                               |            |
| Support:                  |            |   |            |
| Sources                   | 5a         | Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review   | 25         |
| Sponsor                   | 5b         | Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor   |            |
| Role of sponsor or funder | 5c         | Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol  |            |
| INTRODUCTION              |            | Op.   |            |
| Rationale                 | 6          | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known   | 3-9        |
| Objectives                | 7          | Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)  | 9          |
| METHODS                   |            |   |            |
| Eligibility criteria      | 8          | Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review | 10-12      |
| Information sources       | 9          | Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage   | 12-13      |
| Search strategy           | 10         | Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated  |            |

# PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol\*

 BMJ Open

| Study records:                     |     |  |    |
|------------------------------------|-----|--|----|
| Data<br>management                 | 11a | Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review   | 13 |
| Selection process                  | 11b | State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)  | 1  |
| Data collection process            | 11c | Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators   | 13 |
| Data items                         | 12  | List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications  | 1  |
| Outcomes and prioritization        | 13  | List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale   | 11 |
| Risk of bias in individual studies | 14  | Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis                             | 15 |
| Data synthesis                     | 15a | Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised  | 1  |
|                                    | 15b | If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as $I^2$ , Kendall's $\tau$ ) | 19 |
|                                    | 15c | Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)  | 22 |
|                                    | 15d | If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned   |    |
| Meta-bias(es)                      | 16  | Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)  |    |
| Confidence in cumulative evidence  | 17  | Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)   | 2  |

\* It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

#### **BMJ** Open

|  |   | Search strategies for<br>nabinoids versus placebo for pain'<br>(J Barakji)<br>y searches performed 1 July 2019   |
|--|---|--|
| Numb                                   | number of records identified<br>per of duplicates removed<br>per of records in final list | 4106 records<br>1079 records<br>3027 records   |
|  | ochrane Central Register of Contro  | lled Trials (CENTRAL) in the Cochrane Library (2019, Issue 6) (  |
| <b>hits</b> )<br>#1                    | MaSH descriptor: [Cannabia] avala   | de all trace   |
| #1<br>#2                               | MeSH descriptor: [Cannabis] explo   |  |
| #2<br>#3                               | MeSH descriptor: [Cannabinoids] e   |  |
|  |   | ol* or dronabinol* or marinol* or nabilon* or cesamet* or hash* or he  |
|  | onantradol* or anandamid*)  |  |
| #4<br>#5                               | #1 or #2 or #3  | 1 troop  |
| #5<br>#6                               | MeSH descriptor: [Pain] explode al  | I LICES  |
| #6<br>#7                               | (pain* or ache* or migraine*)   |  |
| #7<br>#8                               | #5 or #6  |  |
| #8                                     | #4 and #7   |  |
| MED                                    | LINE Ovid (1946 to July 2019) (465  | hits)  |
|  | Cannabis/   |  |
|  | Cannabinoids/   |  |
|  |   | lronabinol* or marinol* or nabilon* or cesamet* or hash* or hemp* o  |
| word,<br>supple                        | floating sub-heading word, keyword h  | . [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject he<br>eading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol<br>pplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]  |
| 4. 1 01<br>5. exp                      |   |  |
|  |   | tle, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading w   |
| floatin                                | g sub-heading word, keyword heading<br>ot word, rare disease supplementary co             | g word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementa<br>oncept word, unique identifier, synonyms]   |
| 8.4 ar                                 |   |  |
|  |   | nalys*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word  |
| subjec                                 | t heading word, floating sub-heading v<br>ol supplementary concept word, rare d           | word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word,<br>lisease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]   |
|  |   |  |
|  | se Ovid (1974 to July 2019) (1829 hit   | ts)  |
|  | cannabis/   |  |
|  | cannabinoid/  | lronabinol* or marinol* or nabilon* or cesamet* or hash* or hemp* o  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   | [mp=title, abstract, heading word, drug trade name, original title, de   |
|  |   | de name, keyword, floating subheading word, candidate term word]   |
|  | 2 or 3  |  |
| 5. exp                                 |   | and a state of the |
| h (na)                                 |   | tle, abstract, heading word, drug trade name, original title, device   |
|  | acturer, drug manufacturer, device trad   | de name, keyword, floating subheading word, candidate term word]   |
| manuf                                  |   |  |
| manuf<br>7. 5 or                       | 6   |  |
| manuf<br>7. 5 or<br>8. 4 ar            | 6<br>nd 7   |  |
| manuf<br>7. 5 or<br>8. 4 ar<br>9. (ran | 6<br>nd 7<br>ndom* or blind* or placebo* or meta-ar                                       | nalys*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, heading word, drug trade name, origi  |
| manuf<br>7. 5 or<br>8. 4 ar<br>9. (ran | 6<br>nd 7<br>ndom* or blind* or placebo* or meta-ar                                       | nalys*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, heading word, drug trade name, origi<br>r, device trade name, keyword, floating subheading word, candidate t  |

# LILACS (Bireme; 1982 to July 2019) (51 hits)

| 1       |   |
|---------|---|
| 2       |   |
| 3       |   |
| 4       |   |
| 5       | (cannabi\$ or mari\$uana or nabixmol\$ or dronabinol\$ or marinol\$ or nabilon\$ or cesamet\$ or hash\$ or hemp\$ or  |
| 6       | levonantradol\$ or anandamid\$ or 2-AG) [Words] and (pain\$ or ache\$ or migraine\$) [Words]  |
|         | evolution and a manual of 2-AO) [words] and (pains of acres of migranics) [words]   |
| 7       | Science Citation Index Expanded (1909 to July 2010) and Conference Dressedings Citation Index Science (1990   |
| 8       | Science Citation Index Expanded (1900 to July 2019) and Conference Proceedings Citation Index – Science (1990   |
| 9       | to July 2019) (Web of Science) (623 hits)   |
| 10      | #5 #4 AND #3  |
| 11      | #4 TS=(random* or blind* or placebo* or meta-analys*)   |
| 12      | #3 #2 AND #1  |
| 13      | #2 TS=(pain* or ache* or migraine*)   |
| 14      | #1 TS=(cannabi* or mari*uana or nabixmol* or dronabinol* or marinol* or nabilon* or cesamet* or hash* or hemp* or   |
| 15      | levonantradol* or anandamid* or 2-AG)   |
| 16      |   |
|         | BIOSIS (1969 to July 2019; Web of Science) (177 hits)   |
| 17      | #5 #4 AND #3  |
| 18      | #4 TS=(random* or blind* or placebo* or meta-analys*)   |
| 19      | #3 #2 AND #1  |
| 20      |   |
| 21      | #1 TS=(cannabi* or mari*uana or nabixmol* or dronabinol* or marinol* or nabilon* or cesamet* or hash* or hemp* or   |
| 22      | #2 TS=(pan* or ache* or migrame*)<br>#1 TS=(cannabi* or mari*uana or nabixmol* or dronabinol* or marinol* or nabilon* or cesamet* or hash* or hemp* or<br>levonantradol* or anandamid* or 2-AG) |
| 23      |   |
| 24      |   |
| 25      |   |
| 26      |   |
| 27      |   |
| 28      |   |
|         |   |
| 29      |   |
| 30      |   |
| 31      |   |
| 32      |   |
| 33      |   |
| 34      |   |
| 35      |   |
| 36      |   |
| 37      |   |
| 38      |   |
|         |   |
| 39      |   |
| 40      |   |
| 41      |   |
| 42      |   |
| 43      |   |
| 44      |   |
| 45      |   |
| 46      |   |
| 47      |   |
| 48      |   |
| 49      |   |
|         |   |
| 50      |   |
| 51      |   |
| 52      |   |
| 53      |   |
| 54      |   |
| 55      |   |
| 56      |   |
| 57      |   |
| <i></i> |   |

**BMJ** Open

# **BMJ Open**

# Cannabinoids versus placebo or no intervention for pain. Protocol for a systematic review with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis

| Journal:                             | BMJ Open  |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Manuscript ID                        | bmjopen-2019-031574.R2  |
| Article Type:                        | Protocol  |
| Date Submitted by the<br>Author:     | 07-Sep-2019   |
| Complete List of Authors:            | Barakji, Jehad; The Copenhagen Trial Unit, Rigshospitalet Dept. 7812<br>Korang, Steven Kwasi; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Trial Unit; Holbaek<br>Sygehus, Pediatric Dept.<br>Feinberg, Joshua; Copenhagen Univ Hosp<br>Maagard, Mathias; The Copenhagen Trial Unit, Rigshospitalet Dept. 7812<br>Gluud, Christian; Copenhagen Trial Unit (CTU), Center for Clinical<br>Intervention Research<br>Mathiesen, Ole; University of Copenhagen<br>Jakobsen, Janus; Copenhagen Trial Unit, Centre for Clinical Intervention<br>Research, Department 7812, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University<br>Hospital |
| <b>Primary Subject<br/>Heading</b> : | Pharmacology and therapeutics   |
| Secondary Subject Heading:           | Anaesthesia, Evidence based practice, Complementary medicine  |
| Keywords:                            | PAIN MANAGEMENT, Herbal medicine < THERAPEUTICS, Adverse events < THERAPEUTICS, CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Health & safety < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT  |
|                                      |   |

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

**BMJ** Open

# Cannabinoids versus placebo or no intervention for pain: Protocol for a systematic review with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis

Jehad A. Barakji<sup>1</sup>, Steven Kwasi Korang<sup>1</sup>, Joshua Rose-Hansen Feinberg<sup>1</sup>, Mathias Maagaard<sup>1</sup>, Christian Gluud<sup>1</sup>, Ole Mathiesen<sup>2,3</sup>, Janus C. Jakobsen<sup>1,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The Copenhagen Trial Unit, Centre for Clinical Intervention Research, Department 7812, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Blegdamsvej 9, DK 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>2</sup> Centre for Anaesthesiological Research, Department of Anaesthesiology, Zealand University Hospital, Køge,

Denmark

<sup>3</sup> Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Copenhagen University, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>4</sup> Department of Cardiology, Holbæk Hospital, Holbæk, Denmark

<sup>5</sup> Department of Regional Health Research, The Faculty of Heath Sciences, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

Corresponding author

Jehad A. Barakji

Tlf.: +45 21 52 07 80

Email: <u>jehad.barakji@ctu.dk</u>

# Abstract

**Introduction** Pain is a frequent clinical symptom with significant impact on the patient's well-being. Therefore, adequate pain management is of utmost importance. While cannabinoids have become a more popular alternative to traditional types of pain medication among patients, the quality of evidence supporting the use of cannabinoids has been questioned. The beneficial and harmful effects of cannabinoids in patients with pain is unknown. Accordingly, we aim to assess the efficacy, tolerability, and safety of cannabinoids (herbal, plant-derived extracts and synthetic) compared with placebo or no intervention for any type of pain.

**Methods and analyses** We will conduct a systematic review of randomised clinical trials with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis to assess the beneficial and harmful effects of cannabinoids in any dose, formulation, and duration. We will accept placebo or no treatment as control interventions. We will include participants with any type of pain (acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain, or any other types of pain). We will systematically search The Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Science Citation Index, and BIOSIS for relevant literature. We will follow the recommendations by Cochrane and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement. The risk of systematic errors (bias) and random errors (play of chance) will be assessed. The overall certainty of evidence will be evaluated using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach.

**Ethics and dissemination** Ethical approval is not a requirement since no primary data will be collected. The findings of this systematic review will be submitted for peer-reviewed publication and disseminated in national and international conferences.

**Discussion** Although cannabinoids are now being used to manage different pain conditions, the evidence for the clinical effects are unclear. The present review will systematically assess the current evidence for the benefits and harms of cannabinoids to inform practice and future research.

# Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our methodology is based on the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, the PRISMA guideline, and a systematic eight step procedure for valid assessments of statistical and clinical significance
- We systemically plan to assess risks of random errors ('play of chance') and systematic errors ('bias')
- We have systematically predefined minimal important differences for all outcomes
- The certainty of the evidence will be assessed using the GRADE approach

Pain is the most commonly reported symptom in the general population and in a medical setting [1-3]. Persistent pain is a major international health problem [4], prompting the World Health Organization (WHO) to endorse a global campaign against pain [5]. Pain is the leading reason for use of alternative medicines (i.e. acupuncture, etc.) [6]. Pain has been associated with a low degree of health-related quality of life and may lead to psychosocial distress, insomnia, and depressive symptoms [7-15]. Pain is also among the most common reasons for temporary or permanent work disability [16]. Pain is always subjective and may be defined as "an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage" [17].

Cannabinoids have emerged as a potential alternative to other painkillers for the treatment of intractable pain [18]. Before the healthcare systems globally can endorse the applicability of cannabinoids for pain, the potential short-time and long-term benefits and harms with use of cannabinoids must be investigated. This is of utmost importance because patients who consume cannabinoids to alleviate their chronic pain will most likely need to consume cannabinoids for the rest of their lifespan.

# **Description of pain**

Pain may be caused by or be related to different clinical disorders and classified according to several different characteristics [19-22]. Below, we describe shortly some of these classifications.

### Acute and chronic pain

Pain may be classified as 'acute pain' or 'chronic pain'.

- Acute pain usually has a well-defined onset and most often a readily identifiable cause (i.e. surgery, etc.).
   Acute pain is expected to run its course in a short time frame and management typically focuses on symptomatic relief until this happens [23]. Acute pain is a common symptom, affecting between 37% to 84% of hospitalised patients [24].
- Chronic pain is often characterised by an ill-defined onset and a prolonged, fluctuating course [23].
   Chronic pain often persists past normal healing time and hence lacks the acute warning function of physiological nociception [25]. Pain is usually regarded as chronic when it lasts or recurs for more than three to six months [17, 26]. Chronic pain is a frequent condition, affecting an estimated 20% of people worldwide [27-30] and accounting for 15% to 20% of physician visits according to European observational studies [31, 32].

#### Cancer-related pain

Pain may also be classified based on whether it is cancer-related or non-cancer-related. Cancer-related pain is pain caused by the cancer itself (primary tumour and metastases) or its treatment (i.e. radiation therapy, etc.) [23, 33].

#### Postoperative pain

Postoperative pain includes pain from inflammation caused by tissue trauma (i.e. surgical incision, dissection, burns, etc.) or direct nerve injury (e.g. nerve transection, stretching, or compression) [34]. Inflammation results in activation and sensitisation of nociceptive pain pathways, resulting in primary and secondary hyperalgesia and central sensitisation, which is characterized by clinically increased pain, allodynia, and increased sensitivity from surrounding non-damaged anatomical areas [35].

### Other types of pain

Pain in one or more anatomic regions where the aetiology is unknown is defined as idiopathic pain [36]. Examples of idiopathic pain are chronic widespread pain, fibromyalgia, irritable bowel syndrome, and back pain that is not diagnosed as musculoskeletal or as neuropathic pain [33].

Pain types defined according to specific mechanism causing the pain

Somatic nociceptive pain

Nociceptive pain is the most frequent type of pain. It results from activity in neural pathways caused by actual tissue damage or potentially tissue-damaging stimuli [31, 37] originating from somatic nociceptors from skin, bone, joints, or muscles [38].

Visceral nociceptive pain

The visceral nociceptive pain is pain resulting from viscera in the thoracic, pelvis, or abdominal organs [39-41]. Visceral pain is diffuse, less distinctive, and difficult to localise [41] and is often characterised by referred visceral pain [42].

#### Neuropathic pain

The 2011 International Association for the Study of Pain definition of neuropathic pain is "pain caused by a lesion or disease of the somatosensory system" [43]. Neuropathic pain may be classified as central neuropathic pain or peripheral neuropathic pain. Central neuropathic pain conditions are mainly attributed to multiple sclerosis and post-stroke pain [44], while peripheral neuropathic pain is largely due to post-herpetic neuralgia and diabetic ich neuropathy [45].

# **Description of the intervention**

Cannabis (also called marijuana) is the most common illegally used psychoactive substance worldwide [46]. Cannabinoids refer to a heteromorphic group of molecules that demonstrate activity upon cannabinoid receptors [47]. Cannabinoids may be classified into three groups: 1) endocannabinoids, 2) phytocannabinoids, and 3) synthetic cannabinoids [47].

#### Endocannabinoids

Endocannabinoids are characterised by being the endogenously generated cannabinoids [48]. The primary types of endocannabinoids are the lipid endocannabinoid arachidonoyl ethanolamide (named anandamide) [49] and the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) [50, 51].

Phytocannabinoids

Phytocannabinoids are cannabinoids found in the cannabis plant [52]. The best characterised phytocannabinoids are the psychotropic tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and the primarily anti-inflammatory cannabidiol (CBD) [53]. Nabiximols (marketed as Sativex<sup>®</sup>) is a sublingually administered oromucosal spray based on a mixture of tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol [54].

#### Synthetic cannabinoids

Synthetic cannabinoids are analogues of the cannabinoids found in natural marijuana that are chemically synthesised. The most commonly prescribed cannabinoid-based medicines are the synthetic cannabinoids dronabinol (marketed as Marinol<sup>®</sup>) and nabilone (marketed as Cesamet<sup>®</sup>) [54].

# Endocannabinoid system

All cannabinoids act on cannabinoid receptors. These cannabinoid receptors are located throughout the body but are mostly located in the brain [55]. The cannabinoid receptors and endocannabinoids (see paragraph above) are together named the endocannabinoid system [56].

# Cannabinoid receptors

There are two types of cannabinoid receptors, type I and type II [57]. Cannabinoid receptor type I are most abundant in the central nervous system, especially in areas promoting nociception, short-term memory, and in the basal ganglia, but are also found in the peripheral nerves, uterus, testis, and bones [57]. Tetrahydrocannabinol activates cannabinoid type I receptors in the dopaminergic mesolimbic brain circuit, resulting in enhanced release of dopamine [58]. Such activation of the so-called 'brain reward system' is hypothesised to mediate the positive reinforcing and rewarding effects of almost all drugs of abuse [58].

In contrast, cannabinoid receptor type II, is mostly found in the periphery, often in conjunction with immune cells, but may appear in the central nervous system particularly under conditions of inflammation in association with microcytes [57]. The physiological responses that result from cannabinoid receptor activation are euphoria, psychosis, impaired memory and cognition, reduced locomotor function, increased appetite, as well as anti-emetic, pain-relieving, anti-spasticity, and sleep-promoting effects [59].

### Administration of cannabinoids

#### **BMJ** Open

Cannabis is most commonly consumed via smoked, inhaled vapor, or oral routes of administration [60]. Vaporising cannabis ('vaping') heats the material without burning which theoretically minimises potential carcinogens compared to smoking and may produce less respiratory irritation [61, 62]. Sublingual administration is used for some medical cannabis preparations (i.e. nabiximols, etc.).

# Why it is important to do this review

We identified ten previous reviews with meta-analyses assessing the effects of cannabinoids on different types of pain [63-72]. Bearing in mind that some of the previous reviews investigated more than one type of pain, eight reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on neuropathic pain [63-69, 72]; four reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on nociceptive pain (i.e. rheumatoid arthritis, etc.) [63, 64, 67, 68]; three reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on cancer-related pain [63, 67, 68]; four reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on cancer-related pain [63, 67, 71]; and three reviews assessed the effects of different cannabinoids on postoperative pain [63, 68, 70]. All the previous reviews included randomised clinical trials, but only two of the ten reviews systematically assessed the risk of bias in the trials [65, 72], and none of the previous reviews took into account the risks of random errors [63-72]. Only two out of the ten reviews used predefined Cochrane methodology [71, 72] and only four reviews used the GRADE approach [65, 70-72].

Most of the reviews concluded that the assessed cannabinoids were effective against pain [63-67, 69, 72]. In **Table 1 (Additional file 1)**, we have summarised the results and conclusions of the previous reviews. Five of the reviews reported serious adverse events (i.e. agitation, impaired memory, abuse, dissociation, acute psychosis, death, etc.) [63, 65-67, 72]. The reviews also showed that the most commonly reported adverse events were sedation, dizziness, dry mouth, increased appetite, somnolence, confusion, nausea, and disturbances in concentration [63-66, 68, 69, 71, 72].

A correlation between psychiatric disorders (schizophrenia, psychosis, etc.) and increased cannabinoid consumption has previously been hypothesised [73-79]. Di Forti et al. recently conducted a study indicating that daily cannabis use was associated with increased odds of psychotic disorders compared with never users (adjusted odds ratio [OR] 3.2, 95% confidence interval (CI) 2.2 to 4.1), increasing to nearly five-times increased odds for daily use of high-potency (THC  $\geq$  10%) types of cannabis (adjusted OR 4.8, 95% CI 2.5 to 6.3) [80].

#### **BMJ** Open

Compared to previous systematic reviews on cannabinoids, we want to assess the effects of all types of cannabinoid versus placebo or no intervention for all different forms of pain. Depending on the data results provided by the included trials this could increase the power and precision of the overall analysis and make it possible to conduct subgroup analyses and sensitivity analyses that may identify pain areas where cannabinoid could be especially beneficial and cause the least harms. In addition, we will implement a minimal clinically important threshold regarding analgesic efficacy based on previously conducted methodological studies which ensures that analgesic efficacy is of a firm significance before acceptance. Finally, by instigating all types of cannabinoids treated for any type of pain this systematic review will aid trialist in optimising the design of future randomised clinical trials by illuminating any research pitfalls of all previously conducted randomised clinical trials on this topic.

#### Objective

The objective of our systematic review is to assess the analgesic efficacy and adverse events encumbered with the use of cannabinoids compared with placebo or no intervention in participants with any type of pain (acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain, or any other types of pain). A secondary objective of this systematic review is to assess the impact of cannabinoid use on the quality of sleep and quality of life which is especially decreased in participants with chronic pain.

# **Methods**

This systematic review protocol has been developed based on Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) guidelines for reporting systematic reviews evaluating healthcare interventions [81, 82]. A PRISMA-P checklist file is attached (**Additional file 2**).

#### Criteria for considering studies for this review

#### Type of studies

Randomised clinical trials irrespective of trial design, setting, publication status, publication year, and language. If we identify quasi-randomised studies and observational studies during our searches for randomised clinical trials, we will only include their reporting on harms in a narrative way. By not systematically searching for all

#### **BMJ** Open

observational studies on harm, we run the risk of putting more focus on benefit than harm. We are aware that this is a limitation of our review.

#### Types of participants

Participants with any type of pain, i.e. acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain, or any other types of pain (as defined by the trialists). Participants will be included irrespective of age, sex, and comorbidities.

#### Types of interventions

#### Experimental intervention

Any type of cannabinoids such as: herbal cannabis (hashish, marihuana), plant-based extracts (i.e. nabiximols, etc.), or synthetic cannabinoids (i.e. cannabidiol, dronabinol, levonantradol, nabilone, etc.). We will accept cannabinoids at any dose, by any route, administered for the relief of pain.

#### Control intervention

Placebo or no intervention.

#### Co-interventions

We will accept any co-intervention but only if this co-intervention is planned to be delivered similarly in both intervention groups. If this plan is not followed, then these trials will be assessed as a subgroup due to potential confounding.

C.

### Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- All-cause mortality
- Pain assessment on visual analogue scale (VAS) or numerical rating scale (NRS)
- Proportion of participants with a serious adverse event defined as any untoward medical occurrence that resulted in death; was life threatening; was persistent; or led to significant disability, nephrotoxicity, superinfection, need for respiratory support, need for circulatory support, or prolonged hospitalisation [83]. As we expect the trialists' reporting of serious adverse events to be heterogeneous and not strictly

according to the ICH-GCP recommendations, we will include the event as a serious adverse if the trialists either: 1) use the term 'serious adverse event' but not refer to ICH-GCP, or 2) report the proportion of participants with an event we consider fulfils the ICH-GCP definition. If several of such events are reported then we will choose the highest proportion reported in each trial.

• Quality of life measured on any valid continuous scale

#### Secondary outcomes

- Dependence (as defined by trialists)
- Psychosis (as defined by trialists)
- Proportion of participants with one or more adverse event not considered to be serious
- Quality of sleep measured on any valid continuous scale

#### Exploratory outcomes

- Each serious adverse event separately
- Each adverse event not considered serious separately
- Twenty-four-hour morphine consumption (as defined by trialists)
- Physical function (as defined by trialists)
- Depressive symptoms (e.g. Hamilton Depression Rating Scale)

We will for all outcomes use the trial results reported at maximal follow-up except for acute pain. For acute pain, we will use the trials' results reported at the time point closest to 24 hours after the intervention is given.

#### Patient and public Involvement

We have had email correspondence with several relevant patient associations in Denmark to select the most patient-relevant outcomes. The patient associations we have been in contact with included: The Danish Diabetes Association, Steno Diabetes Centre Copenhagen, The Danish Rheumatism Association, The Danish Multiple Sclerosis Society, and Danish Cancer Society. Initially we presented our potential outcomes for the patient associations and requested for their opinion. We had not included quality of sleep as an outcome, however, this was mentioned by almost all patient associations and it was included as a crucial secondary outcome. All-cause mortality was questioned by one of the patient associations, however, we want to keep this

outcome because of potential increased risk of both acute coronary syndrome and chronic cardiovascular disease associated with cannabis use [84].

# Search methods for identification of studies

#### Electronic searches

We will search the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE), Excerpta Medica database (EMBASE), Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature (LILACS), Science Citation Index Expanded on Web of Science, and BIOSIS in order to identify relevant trials. The preliminary search strategy for CENTRAL, MEDLINE (Ovid), Embase (Ovid), LILACS, Web of Science and BIOSIS is given in Additional file 3.

We will search all databases from their inception to the 1<sup>st</sup> of October 2019.

### Searching other resources

The reference lists of relevant publications will be checked for any unidentified randomised trials. We will contact authors of included studies, and major pharmaceutical companies, by email asking for unpublished randomised trials. Further, we will search for ongoing trials on:

- ClinicalTrials.gov (<u>www.clinicaltrials.gov</u>)
- Google Scholar (<u>https://scholar.google.dk/</u>)
- The Turning Research into Practice (TRIP) Database (<u>https://www.tripdatabase.com/</u>)
- European Medicines Agency (EMA) (http:// www.ema.europa.eu/ema/)
- United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (<u>www.fda.gov</u>)
- China Food and Drug Administration (CFDA) (<u>http://eng.sfda.gov.cn/WS03/CL0755/</u>)
- Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (<u>https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/</u> medicines-and-healthcare-products-regulatoryagency)
- The World Health Organization (WHO) International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) search portal (<u>http://apps.who.int/</u> trialsearch/)

We will also consider relevant for the review unpublished and grey literature trials, if we identify such trials.

# Data collection and analysis

We will perform the review following the recommendations of Cochrane [85]. The analyses will be performed using Review Manager 5 [86] and Trial Sequential Analysis [87]. In case of Review Manager statistical software is not being sufficient, we will use STATA 15 [88].

### Selection of studies

Two authors (JB, SKK) will independently screen titles and abstracts. We will retrieve all relevant full-text study reports/publications, and four review authors (JB, SKK, JRF, MM) will independently screen the full texts and identify and record reasons for exclusion of the ineligible studies. We will resolve any disagreement through discussion or, if required, we will consult a fifth author (JCJ). Trial selection will be displayed in an adapted flow diagram as per the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement [89].

### Data extraction and management

Four authors (JB, SKK, JRF, MM) will in pairs extract data independently from included trials. Disagreements will be resolved by discussion with a fifth author (JCJ). We will assess duplicate publications and companion papers of a trial together to evaluate all available data simultaneously (maximise data extraction, correct bias assessment). We will contact the trial authors by email to specify any additional data, which may not have been reported sufficiently or at all in the publication.

### Trial characteristics

Bias risk components (as defined below); trial design (parallel, factorial, or crossover); number of intervention arms; length of follow-up; estimation of sample size; inclusion and exclusion criteria.

# Participant characteristics and diagnosis

Number of randomised participants; number of analysed participants; number of participants lost to follow-up/ withdrawals/crossover; compliance with medication; age range (mean or median) and sex ratio; type of pain (acute and chronic pain, cancer-related pain, headache, neuropathic pain or any other types of pain); baseline pain score; drug and dosing regimen; study design (placebo or active control); study duration and follow-up;

#### **BMJ** Open

| 3              |  |
|----------------|--|
| 4              |  |
| 5              |  |
| 6              |  |
| 7              |  |
| /<br>0         |  |
| ð              |  |
| 9              |  |
| 10             |  |
| 11             |  |
| 12             |  |
| 13             |  |
| 14             |  |
| 15             |  |
| 16             |  |
| 17             |  |
| 18             |  |
| 19             |  |
| 20             |  |
| 20             |  |
| 22             |  |
| 22<br>23       |  |
|                |  |
| 24             |  |
| 25             |  |
| 26             |  |
| 27             |  |
| 28             |  |
| 29             |  |
| 30             |  |
| 31             |  |
| 32             |  |
| 33             |  |
| 34             |  |
| 35             |  |
| 36             |  |
| 20             |  |
| 37             |  |
| 38             |  |
| 39             |  |
| 40             |  |
| 41             |  |
| 42             |  |
| 43             |  |
| 44             |  |
| 45             |  |
| 46             |  |
| 47             |  |
| 48             |  |
| 49             |  |
| <del>5</del> 0 |  |
| 50<br>51       |  |
| 51<br>52       |  |
|                |  |
| 53             |  |
| 54             |  |
| 55             |  |
| 56             |  |
| 57             |  |
| 58             |  |
| 59             |  |
| 60             |  |

analgesic outcome measures and results; adverse events (participants experiencing any adverse event, or serious adverse event).

#### Co-intervention characteristics

Type of co-intervention; dose of co-intervention; duration of co-intervention; and mode of administration.

#### Outcomes

All outcomes listed above will be extracted from each randomised clinical trial, and we will identify if outcomes are incomplete or selectively reported according to the criteria described later in 'incomplete outcome data' bias domain and 'selective outcome reporting' bias domain.

### Notes

Funding of the trial and notable conflicts of interest of trial authors will be extracted, if available. We will note in the 'Characteristics of included studies' table if outcome data were not reported in a usable way. Four review authors (JB, SKK, JRF, MM) will independently transfer data into the Review Manager file [86]. Disagreements will be resolved through discussion or, if required, we will consult with a fifth author (JCJ).

### Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

We will use the instructions given in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [85] in our evaluation of the methodology and hence the risk of bias of the included trials. We will evaluate the methodology in respect of:

- Random sequence generation
- Allocation concealment
- Blinding of participants and treatment providers
- Blinding of outcome assessment
- Incomplete outcome data
- Selective outcome reporting
- For-profit bias
- Overall risk of bias

These components enable classification of randomised trials as being at low risk of bias and at high risk of bias. The latter trials tend to overestimate positive intervention effects and underestimate negative effects [90-96]. We will classify the trials according to the following criteria.

#### Random sequence generation

- Low risk: If sequence generation was achieved using computer random number generator or a random number table. Drawing lots, tossing a coin, shuffling cards, and throwing dice were also considered adequate if performed by an independent adjudicator.
- Unclear risk: If the method of randomisation was not specified, but the trial was still presented as being randomised.
- High risk: If the method of sequence generation was inadequate e.g. alternate medical record numbers or other non-random sequence generation.

### Allocation concealment

- Low risk: If the allocation of patients was performed by a central independent unit, on-site locked computer, identical-looking numbered sealed envelopes, drug bottles, or containers prepared by an independent pharmacist or investigator.
- Uncertain risk: If the trial was classified as randomised but the allocation concealment process was not described.
- High risk: If the allocation sequence was familiar to the investigators who assigned participants.

# Blinding of participants and treatment providers

- Low risk: If the participants and the treatment providers were blinded to intervention allocation and this was described.
- Uncertain risk: If the procedure of blinding was insufficiently described.
- High risk: If blinding of participants and the treatment providers was not performed.

# Blinding of outcome assessment

- Low risk of bias: If it was mentioned that outcome assessors were blinded and this was described.
- Uncertain risk of bias: If it was not mentioned if the outcome assessors in the trial were blinded or the extent of blinding was insufficiently described.
- High risk of bias: If no blinding or incomplete blinding of outcome assessors was performed.

#### BMJ Open

Incomplete outcome data

- Low risk of bias: If missing data were unlikely to make treatment effects depart from plausible values. This could be either (1) there were no drop-outs or withdrawals for all outcomes or (2) the numbers and reasons for the withdrawals and drop-outs for all outcomes were clearly stated and could be described as being similar to both groups. Generally, the trial is judged as at a low risk of bias due to incomplete outcome data if drop-outs are less than 5%. However, the 5% cut-off is not definitive.
- Uncertain risk of bias: If there was insufficient information to assess whether missing data were likely to induce bias on the results.
- High risk of bias: If the results were likely to be biased due to missing data either because the pattern of drop-outs could be described as being different in the two intervention groups or the trial used improper methods in dealing with the missing data (i.e. last observation carried forward, etc.).

#### Selective outcome reporting

- Low risk of bias: If a protocol was published before or at the time the trial was begun, and the
  outcomes specified in the protocol were reported on. If there is no protocol or the protocol was
  published after the trial has begun, reporting pain assessment on VAS or NRS and serious adverse
  events will grant the trial a grade of low risk of bias.
- Uncertain risk of bias: If no protocol was published and the outcome pain assessment on VAS or NRS and serious adverse events were not reported on.
- High risk of bias: If the outcomes in the protocol were not reported on.

#### For-profit bias

- Low risk of bias: If the trial appeared to be free of other components of for-profit bias.
- Unclear risk of bias: If it was unclear whether the trial was free of for-profit bias.
- High risk of bias: If there was a high risk of for-profit bias.

### Overall risk of bias

• Low risk of bias: The trial will be classified at overall 'low risk of bias' only if all of the bias domains described in the above paragraphs are classified at 'low risk of bias'.

• High risk of bias: The trial will be classified at 'high risk of bias' if any of the bias risk domains described in the above are classified at 'unclear' or 'high risk of bias'.

We will assess the domains 'blinding of outcome assessment', 'incomplete outcome data', and 'selective outcome reporting' for each outcome result. Thus, we can assess the bias risk for each outcome assessed in addition to each trial. Our primary conclusions will be based on the results of our primary outcome results at overall low risk of bias. Both our primary and secondary analyses will be presented in the summary of findings tables.

#### Differences between the protocol and the review

We will conduct the review according to this published protocol and report any deviations from it in the 'Differences between the protocol and the review' section of the systematic review.

#### Measures of treatment effect

#### Dichotomous outcomes

We will calculate risk ratios (RRs) with 95% confidence interval (CI) for dichotomous outcomes, as well as the Trial Sequential Analysis- adjusted CIs (see below).

#### Continuous outcomes

We will calculate the mean differences (MDs) and the standardised mean difference (SMD) with 95% CI for continuous outcomes, as well as the Trial Sequential Analysis-adjusted CIs (see below).

#### Dealing with missing data

We will, as first option, contact all trial authors to obtain any relevant missing data (i.e. for data extraction and for assessment of risk of bias, as specified above).

#### Dichotomous outcomes

We will not impute missing values for any outcomes in our primary analysis. In two of our sensitivity analyses (see paragraph below), we will impute data.

#### Continuous outcomes

#### **BMJ** Open

We will primarily analyse scores assessed at single time points. If only changes from baseline scores are reported, we will analyse the results together with follow-up scores [85]. If standard deviations (SDs) are not reported, we will calculate the SDs using trial data, if possible. We will not use intention-to-treat data if the original report did not contain such data. We will not impute missing values for any outcomes in our primary analysis. In our sensitivity analysis (see paragraph below) for continuous outcomes, we will impute data.

#### Assessment of heterogeneity

We will primarily investigate forest plots to visually assess any sign of heterogeneity. We will secondly assess the presence of statistical heterogeneity by chi<sup>2</sup> test (threshold P < 0.10) and measure the quantities of heterogeneity by the I<sup>2</sup> statistic [97, 98]. We will investigate for heterogeneity through subgroup analyses. Ultimately, we may decide that a meta-analysis should be avoided [85].

#### Assessment of reporting biases

We will use a funnel plot to assess reporting bias if ten or more trials are included. We will visually inspect funnel plots to assess the risk of bias. We are aware of the limitations of a funnel plot (i.e. a funnel plot assesses bias due to small sample size, etc.). From this information, we assess possible reporting bias. For dichotomous outcomes, we will test asymmetry with the Harbord test [99] if  $\tau^2$  is less than 0.1 and with the Rücker test if  $\tau^2$  is more than 0.1. For continuous outcomes, we will use the regression asymmetry test [100] and the adjusted rank correlation [101].

#### Unit of analysis issues

We will only include randomised clinical trials. For trials using crossover design, only data from the first period will be included [85, 102]. There will therefore not be any unit of analysis issues.

#### Minimal important difference

In clinical intervention research it is of utmost importance always to define minimal important differences (MID) and to define thresholds for clinical significance [103]. If a large number of trial participants are randomised, small and clinically irrelevant intervention effects may lead to statistically significant results and rejection of the null hypothesis [104]. Jaeschke et al. defined the minimal important difference as "the smallest difference in score in the domain of interest which patients perceive as beneficial" [105].

#### **BMJ** Open

Estimations of minimal important differences should be used as arbitrary strict precise thresholds. However, to avoid erroneous conclusions minimal important differences need to be estimated and predefined when assessing the effects of interventions for pain. Olsen et al. have conducted two systematic reviews on this matter in order to gather the evidence and present an estimate of the minimal important difference [106, 107]. Olsen et al. conducted a systematic review on the minimal important difference in patients with acute pain and concluded that the median of the studies' results was 17 mm on VAS (IQR 14 mm to 23 mm) [106]. Another systematic review conducted by Olsen et al. was on the minimal important difference in patients with chronic pain and the results showed a median of 23 mm on VAS (IQR 12 mm to 39 mm) when using the within-patient anchor-based method, while the median in studies using the sensitivity- and specificity-based method was 20 mm on VAS (IQR 15 mm – 30 mm) [107]. We have described detailed considerations about minimal important differences in Appendix 1.

Based on the previously conducted systematic reviews we will choose at minimal important difference equivalent to 10 mm or 1 point on the visual analogue scale and the numerical rating scale, respectively, regarding an analgesic effect. 27.6

#### Data synthesis

#### Meta-analysis

We will undertake this meta-analysis according to the recommendations stated in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [85], Keus et al. [108], and the eight-step assessment suggested by Jakobsen et al. [103]. We will use the statistical software Review Manager 5.3 [86] provided by Cochrane to analyse data. We will assess our intervention effects with both random-effects meta-analyses [109] and fixedeffect meta-analyses [110]. We will use the more conservative point estimate of the two [103]. The more conservative point estimate is the estimate closest to zero effect. If the two estimates are similar, we will use the estimate with the highest P value [103]. We use four primary and four secondary outcomes, and therefore, we will consider a P value of 0.02 as the threshold for statistical significance [103, 111]. We will investigate for heterogeneity through subgroup analyses. Ultimately, we may decide that a meta-analysis should be avoided [85]. We will use the eight-step procedure to assess if the thresholds for statistical and clinical significance are crossed [103]. Our primary conclusion will be based on results with low risk of bias [103].

#### **BMJ** Open

Where multiple trial intervention groups are reported in a single trial, we will include only the relevant groups. If two comparisons are combined in the same meta-analysis, we will halve the control group to avoid doublecounting [85]. Trials with a factorial design will be included.

If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, we will report the results in a narrative way.

#### Trial Sequential Analysis

Traditional meta-analysis runs the risk of random errors due to sparse data and repetitive testing of accumulating data when updating reviews. We wish to control the risks of type I errors and type II errors. We will therefore perform Trial Sequential Analysis on the outcomes, in order to calculate the required information size (that is the number of participants needed in a meta-analysis to detect or reject a certain intervention effect) and the cumulative Z-curve's breach of relevant trial sequential monitoring boundaries [87, 112-120]. A more detailed description of Trial Sequential Analysis can be found in the Trial Sequential Analysis manual [113] and at <a href="http://www.ctu.dk/tsa/">http://www.ctu.dk/tsa/</a>. For dichotomous outcomes, we will estimate the required information size based on the observed proportion of patients with an outcome in the control group (the cumulative proportion of patients with an event in the control groups relative to all patients in the control groups), a relative risk reduction of 20%, an alpha of 2.0% for our primary and secondary outcomes, a beta of 10%, and diversity as suggested by the trials in the meta-analysis. For the outcome "pain assessment on visual analogue scale (VAS) or numerical rating scale (NRS)", we will use a minimal important difference estimate based on previously conducted systematic reviews [106, 107]. We will accept an analgesic effect equivalent to 10 mm or 1 point on the visual analogue scale and the numerical rating scale, respectively, or a consumption of at least 5 mg morphine.

For all remaining continuous outcome, we will in the Trial Sequential Analysis use the observed SD, a mean difference of the observed SD/2, an alpha of 2.0% for our primary and secondary outcomes, and a beta of 10%.

#### Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

#### Subgroup analysis

We will perform the following subgroup analysis when analysing the primary outcomes (All-cause mortality, pain assessment on VAS or NRS, serious adverse event, and quality of life).

Trials at high risk of bias compared to trials at low risk of bias

- Trials at risk of vested interests compared to trial with no risk of vested interests
- Trials compared according to type of pain (acute pain, chronic pain, and cancer pain)
- Trials compared according to type of chronic pain
- Trials compared according to type of cannabinoids used

We will use the formal test for subgroup interactions in Review Manager [86].

### Sensitivity analysis

To assess the potential impact of the missing data for dichotomous outcomes, we will perform the two following sensitivity analyses on both the primary and secondary outcomes.

- 'Best-worst-case' scenario: We will assume that all participants lost to follow-up in the cannabinoid intervention group have survived and had no serious adverse event, and that all those participants lost to follow-up in the placebo group have not survived, and had a serious adverse event.
- 'Worst-best-case' scenario: We will assume that all participants lost to follow-up in the cannabinoid intervention group have not survived, and had a serious adverse event, and that all those participants lost to follow-up in the placebo group have survived, and had no serious adverse event.

We will present results of both scenarios in our review.

For all continuous outcome when analysing a 'beneficial outcome' will be the group mean plus two standard deviations (SDs) (we will secondly use one SD in another sensitivity analysis) of the group mean and a 'harmful outcome' will be the group mean minus two SDs (we will secondly use one SD in another sensitivity analysis) of the group mean [103].

To assess the potential impact of missing SDs for continuous outcomes, we will perform the following sensitivity analysis.

• Where SDs are missing and it is not possible to calculate them, we will impute SDs from trials with similar populations and low risk of bias. If we find no such trials, we will impute SDs from trials with a similar population. As the final option, we will impute SDs from all trials.

We will present results of this scenario in our review. Other post hoc sensitivity analyses might be warranted if unexpected clinical or statistical heterogeneity is identified during the analysis of the review results [103].

#### Summary of Findings

We will create a Summary of Findings table using each of the primary outcomes (all-cause mortality, pain assessment on VAS or NRS, serious adverse event, and quality of life). We will use the five GRADE considerations (bias risk of the trials, consistency of effect, imprecision, indirectness, and publication bias) to assess the quality of a body of evidence as it relates to the studies which contribute data to the meta-analyses for the prespecified outcomes [103, 121-123]. We will use methods and recommendations described in Chapter 8 (Section 8.5) and Chapter 12 of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [85] using GRADEpro software. We will justify all decisions to downgrade the quality of studies using footnotes, and we will make comments to aid the reader's understanding of the review where necessary. Firstly, we will present our results in the Summary of Findings table based on the results from the trials with low risk of bias, and secondly, we will present the results based on all trials.

#### Ethics and dissemination

Ethical approval is not a requirement since no primary data will be collected. The findings of this systematic review will be submitted for peer-reviewed publication and disseminated in national and international conferences and is expected to inform healthcare workers and providers about the occurrence of serious and non-serious adverse events following cannabinoid consumption. It is expected that the findings of this systematic review will identify some research gaps for future trials.

## Discussion

This protocol aims at investigating the beneficial and harmful effects of cannabinoids in patients with any type of pain condition. The outcomes will be all-cause mortality, pain assessment on VAS or NRS, serious adverse events, quality of life, dependence, psychosis, non-serious adverse events, and sleep quality.

This protocol has several strengths. The predefined methodology is based on the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [85], the eight-step assessment suggested by Jakobsen et al. [103], Trial Sequential Analysis [84], and GRADE assessment [121-123]. Hence, this protocol takes both the risk of random

error and the risk of systematic error into account. We predefined evidence-based estimations of minimal important differences which will limit the risk of focusing on statistically significant results with questionable clinical importance. This threshold of minimal important difference is based on the estimations of several previously conducted studies and reviews [106, 107]. Moreover, we are including all types of cannabinoids and all types of pain which will increase the statistical power and make it possible to perform essential subgroup analyses. We have been in contact with several relevant patient associations which has assisted us in choosing the most clinically relevant outcomes.

Our protocol also has several limitations. One of the potential limitations is that we include participants with all types of pain; cannabinoids might have different effects on different types of pain. It might, e.g. be problematic to combine trials assessing the effects of cannabinoids on acute pain and chronic pain because of different underlying pathophysiological mechanisms [124]. On the other hand, the effects of cannabinoids on acute pain and chronic pain might be comparable and hence it might be valid to combine trials assessing the effects of cannabinoids on acute pain and chronic pain might be comparable and hence it might be valid to combine trials assessing the effects of cannabinoids on acute pain and chronic pain and chronic pain in meta-analysis, which would increase the statistical power. The results of the subgroup analysis comparing trials including participants with acute pain to participants with chronic pain will therefore be highlighted when reporting our review results. Moreover, we only intend to assess cannabinoids versus placebo or versus no intervention. Further systematic reviews with meta-analyses and Trial Sequential Analyses need to assess the benefits and harms of cannabinoids versus other pain killers, provided that cannabinoids show more benefit than harm in the present systematic review.

Furthermore, more than one active cannabinoid agent is often combined in the different intervention options provided to the patients with a pain condition, thereby making difficult to explore the analgesic effect and adverse event associated with a single cannabinoid agent. Hence, if we show a difference between the intervention options, it will be difficult to conclude what exactly caused the difference in effect. To minimise these limitations, we have planned a careful assessment of statistical and clinical heterogeneity as well as several subgroup analyses and sensitivity analyses. Another limitation is the large number of comparisons which increase the risk of type 1 error. We have adjusted our thresholds for significance according to the number of primary outcomes, but, as mentioned, we have also included multiple subgroup analyses. This large risk of type 1 error will be taken into account when interpreting the review results.

#### 

#### Acknowledgements

We hugely appreciate the contribution of The Danish Diabetes Association, Steno Diabetes Centre Copenhagen, The Danish Rheumatism Association, The Danish Multiple Sclerosis Society, and Danish Cancer Society in guiding us to select the most patient relevant outcomes.

The expert help from Sarah Louise Klingenberg (Information Specialist, The Cochrane Hepato-Biliary Group, Copenhagen Trial Unit, Copenhagen, Denmark) in making the search strategy is hugely appreciated.

#### Funding statement

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

#### Authors' contributions

JB drafted the protocol. JCJ, SKK, OM, CG, JRF, and MM amended the protocol. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. teliez on

#### **Competing interests**

None declared

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

#### Word Count

10191 words, including the full references.

## References

- 1. Verhaak P, Kerssens J, Dekker J, Sorbi M, and Bensing J, Prevalence of chronic benign pain disorder among adults: a review of the literature. PAIN, 1998. 77(3): p. 231-9.
- 2. Kroenke K, Patients presenting with somatic complaints: epidemiology, psychiatric comorbidity and management. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res, 2003. 12(1): p. 34-43.
- 3. Sternbach RA, Survey of pain in the United States: The nuprin pain report. The Clinical Journal of Pain, 1986. 2(1): p. 49-53.

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51 52

53

54

60

4. Gureje O, Von Korff M, Simon G, and Gater R, Persistent pain and well-being: a World Health Organization Study in Primary Care. Jama, 1998. 280(2): p. 147-51. 5. Breivik H, International association for the study of pain: update on WHO-IASP activities. J Pain Symptom Manage, 2002. 24(2): p. 97-101. 6. Astin J, Why patients use alternative medicine: Results of a national study. JAMA, 1998. 279(19): p. 1548-1553. 7. Davison SN, Jhangri GS, and Johnson JA, Cross-sectional validity of a modified Edmonton symptom assessment system in dialysis patients: a simple assessment of symptom burden. Kidney Int, 2006. 69(9): p. 1621-5. 8. Davison SN, Jhangri GS, and Johnson JA, Longitudinal validation of a modified Edmonton symptom assessment system (ESAS) in haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2006. **21**(11): p. 3189-95. Davison SN and Jhangri GS, Impact of pain and symptom burden on the health-related quality of 9. life of hemodialysis patients. J Pain Symptom Manage, 2010. 39(3): p. 477-85. Davison S, Chronic pain in end-stage renal disease. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis, 2005. 12(3): p. 326-10. 34. 11. Kimmel PL, Emont SL, Newmann JM, Danko H, and Moss AH, ESRD patient quality of life: symptoms, spiritual beliefs, psychosocial factors, and ethnicity. Am J Kidney Dis, 2003. 42(4): p. 713-21. 12. Leinau L, Murphy TE, Bradley E, and Fried T, Relationship between conditions addressed by hemodialysis guidelines and non-ESRD-specific conditions affecting guality of life. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol, 2009. 4(3): p. 572-8. 13. Weisbord SD, Carmody SS, Bruns FJ, Rotondi AJ, Cohen LM, Zeidel ML, et al., Symptom burden, quality of life, advance care planning and the potential value of palliative care in severely ill haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2003. 18(7): p. 1345-52. Weisbord SD, Fried LF, Arnold RM, Fine MJ, Levenson DJ, Peterson RA, et al., Prevalence, 14. severity, and importance of physical and emotional symptoms in chronic hemodialysis patients. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2005. 16(8): p. 2487-94. 15. Gamondi C, Galli N, Schonholzer C, Marone C, Zwahlen H, Gabutti L, et al., Frequency and severity of pain and symptom distress among patients with chronic kidney disease receiving dialysis. Swiss Med Wkly, 2013. 143: p. w13750. Smith BH, Elliott AM, Chambers WA, Smith WC, Hannaford PC, and Penny K, The impact of 16. chronic pain in the community. Fam Pract, 2001. 18(3): p. 292-9. Merskey H, Lindblom U, Mumford JM, Nathan PW, and Sunderland S, Part III: Pain terms—a 17. current list with definitions and notes on usage with definitions and notes on usage.. In: Merskey H, Bogduk N editor(s). Classification of Chronic Pain, IASP Task Force on Taxonomy. IASP Press, 1994(2nd Edition): p. 209-14. 18. Vuckovic S, Srebro D, Vujovic K S, Vucetic C, and Prostran M, Cannabinoids and Pain: New Insights From Old Molecules. Frontiers in pharmacology, 2018. 9: p. 1259. 19. Carr DB and Goudas LC, Acute pain. The Lancet, 1999. 353(9169): p. 2051-2058. 20. Ashburn MA and Staats PS, Management of chronic pain. The Lancet, 1999. 353(9167): p. 1865-1869. For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| 1        |     |   |
|----------|-----|---|
| 2        |     |   |
| 3<br>4   |     |   |
| 4<br>5   | 21. | Kanner R <i>, Pain Management.</i> JAMA, 1986. <b>256</b> (15): p. 2112-2114.                               |
| 6        | 22. | Loeser J, Melzack R, <i>Pain: an overview.</i> The Lancet, 1999. <b>353</b> (9164): p. 1607-1609.           |
| 7        | 23. | Portenoy R and Dhingra L. Assessment of cancer pain. 2017 [cited 18/04 2018].                               |
| 8        | 24. | Gregory J and McGowan L, An examination of the prevalence of acute pain for hospitalised adult              |
| 9        |     | patients: a systematic review. J Clin Nurs, 2016. <b>25</b> (5-6): p. 583-98.                               |
| 10       | 25. | Treede R, Entstehung der schmerzchronifizierung. Rückenschmerzen und nackenschmerzen.                       |
| 11<br>12 |     | 2016: Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.   |
| 12       | 26. | American Geriatrics Society Panel Pharmacological management of persistent pain in older                    |
| 14       | 20. | persons. J Am Geriatr Soc, 2009. 57: p. 1331-46.  |
| 15       | 72  |   |
| 16       | 27. | Breivik H, Collett B, Ventafridda V, Cohen R, and Gallacher D, Survey of chronic pain in Europe:            |
| 17       | ••• | prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. Eur J Pain, 2006. <b>10</b> (4): p. 287-333.               |
| 18       | 28. | Goldberg DS and McGee SJ, Pain as a global public health priority. BMC Public Health, 2011. 11:             |
| 19<br>20 |     | p. 770.   |
| 20<br>21 | 29. | Gureje O, Von Korff M, Kola L, Demyttenaere K, He Y, Posada-Villa J, et al., <i>The relation between</i>    |
| 22       |     | multiple pains and mental disorders: results from the World Mental Health Surveys. PAIN, 2008.              |
| 23       |     | <b>135</b> (1-2): p. 82-91.   |
| 24       | 30. | Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Advancing Pain Research, Care, and Education,                       |
| 25       |     | Relieving pain in America: A blueprint for transforming prevention, care, education, and                    |
| 26       |     | research. National Academies Press 2011.  |
| 27<br>28 | 31. | Koleva D, <i>Pain in primary care: an Italian survey.</i> Eur J Public Health, 2005. <b>15</b> : p. 475–79. |
| 28<br>29 | 32. | Mantyselka P, Kumpusalo E, Ahonen R, Kumpusalo A, Kauhanen J, Viinamaki H, et al., Pain as a                |
| 30       |     | reason to visit the doctor: a study in Finnish primary health care. PAIN, 2001. <b>89</b> (2-3): p. 175-80. |
| 31       | 33. | Treede RD, Rief W, Barke A, Aziz Q, Bennett MI, Benoliel R, et al., A classification of chronic pain        |
| 32       | 55. | for ICD-11. PAIN, 2015. <b>156</b> (6): p. 1003-7.  |
| 33       | 34. | Kelly DJ, Ahmad M, and Brull SJ, Preemptive analgesia I: physiological pathways and                         |
| 34       | 54. |   |
| 35<br>36 | 25  | pharmacological modalities. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia, 2001. <b>48</b> (10): p. 1000-1010.            |
| 37       | 35. | Pogatzki-Zahn EM, Segelcke D, and Schug SA, Postoperative pain-from mechanisms to                           |
| 38       |     | <i>treatment.</i> Pain Rep, 2017. <b>2</b> (2): p. e588.  |
| 39       | 36. | Lipowski Z, Chronic idiopathic pain syndrome. Annals of Medicine, 1990. 22(4): p. 213-217.                  |
| 40       | 37. | Goucke C, The management of persistent pain. Med J Aust, 2003. <b>178</b> (9): p. 444-7.                    |
| 41       | 38. | Chang V. Approach to symptom assessment in palliative care. 2018 [cited 2018.                               |
| 42<br>43 | 39. | Knowles CH and Aziz Q, Basic and clinical aspects of gastrointestinal pain. Pain, 2009. 141(3): p.          |
| 43<br>44 |     | 191-209.  |
| 45       | 40. | Stein S L, Chronic pelvic pain. Gastroenterol Clin North Am, 2013. 42(4): p. 785-800.                       |
| 46       | 41. | Schwartz ES and Gebhart GF, <i>Visceral pain.</i> Curr Top Behav Neurosci, 2014. <b>20</b> : p. 171-97.     |
| 47       | 42. | Giamberardino M, Affaitati G, and Costantini R, <i>Chapter 24 Referred pain from internal organs</i> .      |
| 48       |     | Handb Clin Neurol, 2006. <b>81</b> : p. 343-61.   |
| 49<br>50 | 43. | Jensen TS, Baron R, Haanpaa M, Kalso E, Loeser JD, Rice AS, et al., A new definition of neuropathic         |
| 50<br>51 |     | <i>pain.</i> Pain, 2011. <b>152</b> (10): p. 2204-5.  |
| 52       | 44. | Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society, The International                  |
| 53       |     | Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version). Cephalalgia, 2013. <b>33</b> : p. 629-    |
| 54       |     | 808.  |
| 55       |     |   |
| 56       |     |   |
| 57       |     |   |
| 58<br>59 |     |   |
| 60       |     | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml                                   |

- 45. Institute for clinical systems improvement, *Health care guideline: Assessment and management of chronic pain.* 2009.
  - 46. United Nations office on drugs and crime, *World Drug Report, United Nations.* 2016.

- 47. Russo E, Cannabinoids in the management of difficult to treat pain. Ther Clin Risk Manag, 2008.
  4(1): p. 245-59.
- 48. Ueda N, Tsuboi K, and Uyama T, *Metabolic enzymes for endocannabinoids and endocannabinoid-like mediators*. 2015, Boston: Academic Press.
- 49. Devane WA, Hanus L, Breuer A, Pertwee RG, Stevenson LA, Griffin G, et al., *Isolation and structure of a brain constituent that binds to the cannabinoid receptor.* Science, 1992. **258**(5090): p. 1946-9.
  - 50. Mechoulam R, Ben-Shabat S, Hanus L, Ligumsky M, Kaminski NE, Schatz AR, et al., *Identification of an endogenous 2-monoglyceride, present in canine gut, that binds to cannabinoid receptors.* Biochem Pharmacol, 1995. **50**(1): p. 83-90.
- Sugiura T, Kondo S, Sukagawa A, Nakane S, Shinoda A, Itoh K, et al., 2-Arachidonoylglycerol: a possible endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand in brain. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 1995.
   215(1): p. 89-97.
- 52. Fisar Z, *Phytocannabinoids and endocannabinoids*. Curr Drug Abuse Rev, 2009. **2**(1): p. 51-75.
- 53. Häuser W, Fitzcharles M, Radbruch L, and Petzke F, *Cannabinoids in pain management and palliative medicine*. Deutsches Arzteblatt international, 2017. **114**(38): p. 627-634.
- 54. Hazekamp A, Ware MA, Muller-Vahl KR, Abrams D, and Grotenhermen F, *The medicinal use of cannabis and cannabinoids—An international cross-sectional survey on administration forms.* Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, 2013. **45**(3): p. 199-210.
- 55. Watson SJ, Benson JA, and Joy JE, *Marijuana and medicine: assessing the science base: a summary of the 1999 Institute of Medicine report*. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 2000. **57**(6): p. 547-52.
- 56. Brenneisen R, *Chemistry and analysis of phytocannabinoids and other cannabis constituents*, in *Marijuana and the Cannabinoids*, ElSohly M A, Editor. 2007, Humana Press: Totowa, NJ. p. 17-49.
- 57. Pertwee R, *Cannabis and cannabinoids: Pharmacology and rationale for clinical use.* Pharmacy and Pharmacology Communications, 1997. **3**(11): p. 539-545.
- 58. Solinas M, Goldberg SR, and Piomelli D, *The endocannabinoid system in brain reward processes.* Br J Pharmacol, 2008. **154**(2): p. 369-83.
- Koppel BS, Brust J, Fife T, Bronstein J, Youssof S, Gronseth G, et al., Systematic review: efficacy and safety of medical marijuana in selected neurologic disorders: report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology, 2014. 82(17):
  p. 1556-63.
  - 60. Gorelick D, Saxon A, and Hermann R *Cannabis use and disorder: Pathogenesis and pharmacology*. UpToDate Cannabis use and disorder: Pathogenesis and pharmacology, 2018.[cited Access 2018 Access Date].
    - 61. Morean ME, Kong G, Camenga DR, Cavallo DA, and Krishnan-Sarin S, *High school students' use of electronic cigarettes to vaporize cannabis.* Pediatrics, 2015. **136**(4): p. 611-616.

## BMJ Open

| 2        |           |   |
|----------|-----------|---|
| 3<br>4   |           |   |
| 5        | 62.       | Loflin M and Earleywine M, No smoke, no fire: What the initial literature suggests regarding            |
| 6        |           | vapourized cannabis and respiratory risk. Canadian journal of respiratory therapy, 2015. 51(1):         |
| 7        |           | р. 7-9.   |
| 8        | 63.       | Aviram J and Samuelly-Leichtag G, Efficacy of Cannabis-Based Medicines for Pain Management:             |
| 9        |           | A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Pain Physician, 2017.            |
| 10       |           | <b>20</b> (6): p. E755-e796.  |
| 11       | 64.       | Lynch M, Campbell, F, Cannabinoids for treatment of chronic non-cancer pain; a systematic               |
| 12<br>13 | 04.       | review of randomized trials. Br J Clin Pharmacol, 2011. <b>72</b> (5): p. 735-44.                       |
| 13       | <b>CF</b> |   |
| 15       | 65.       | Meng H, Johnston B, Englesakis M, Moulin DE, and Bhatia A, Selective Cannabinoids for Chronic           |
| 16       |           | Neuropathic Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Anesth Analg, 2017. 125(5): p. 1638-           |
| 17       |           | 1652.   |
| 18       | 66.       | Boychuk DG, Goddard G, Mauro G, and Orellana MF, The effectiveness of cannabinoids in the               |
| 19       |           | management of chronic nonmalignant neuropathic pain: a systematic review. J Oral Facial Pain            |
| 20       |           | Headache, 2015. <b>29</b> (1): p. 7-14.   |
| 21       | 67.       | Martin-Sanchez E, Furukawa TA, Taylor J, and Martin JL, Systematic review and meta-analysis of          |
| 22<br>23 |           | cannabis treatment for chronic pain. Pain Med, 2009. <b>10</b> (8): p. 1353-68.                         |
| 23<br>24 | 68.       | Campbell FA, Tramèr MR, Carroll D, Reynolds DJ, Moore RA, and McQuay HJ, Are cannabinoids               |
| 25       | 00.       | an effective and safe treatment option in the management of pain? A qualitative systematic              |
| 26       |           |   |
| 27       | 60        | <i>review.</i> BMJ (Clinical research ed.), 2001. <b>323</b> (7303): p. 13-16.                          |
| 28       | 69.       | Deshpande A, Mailis-Gagnon A, Zoheiry N, and Lakha SF, Efficacy and adverse effects of medical          |
| 29       |           | marijuana for chronic noncancer pain: Systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Can            |
| 30       |           | Fam Physician, 2015. <b>61</b> (8): p. e372-81.   |
| 31       | 70.       | Stevens AJ and Higgins MD, A systematic review of the analgesic efficacy of cannabinoid                 |
| 32<br>33 |           | medications in the management of acute pain. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand, 2017. 61(3): p. 268-              |
| 33       |           | 280.  |
| 35       | 71.       | Walitt B, Klose P, Fitzcharles MA, Phillips T, and Hauser W, Cannabinoids for fibromyalgia.             |
| 36       |           | Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2016. <b>7</b> : p. Cd011694.   |
| 37       | 72.       | Mucke M, Phillips T, Radbruch L, Petzke F, and Hauser W, <i>Cannabis-based medicines for chronic</i>    |
| 38       | 72.       |   |
| 39       | 70        | neuropathic pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2018. <b>3</b> : p. Cd012182.                   |
| 40       | 73.       | Boydell J, Van Os J, Caspi A, Kennedy N, Giouroukou E, Fearon P, et al., <i>Trends in cannabis use</i>  |
| 41<br>42 |           | prior to first presentation with schizophrenia, in South-East London between 1965 and 1999.             |
| 42<br>43 |           | Psychological Medicine, 2006. <b>36</b> (10): p. 1441-1446.   |
| 44       | 74.       | Andreasson S, Allebeck P, Engstrom A, and Rydberg U, Cannabis and schizophrenia. A                      |
| 45       |           | longitudinal study of Swedish conscripts. Lancet, 1987. <b>2</b> (8574): p. 1483-6.                     |
| 46       | 75.       | Arseneault L, Cannon M, Poulton R, Murray R, Caspi A, and Moffitt TE, Cannabis use in                   |
| 47       |           | adolescence and risk for adult psychosis: longitudinal prospective study. Bmj, 2002. <b>325</b> (7374): |
| 48       |           | p. 1212-3.  |
| 49       | 76.       | van Os J, Bak M, Hanssen M, Bijl R V, de Graaf R, and Verdoux H, Cannabis use and psychosis: a          |
| 50       | 70.       | longitudinal population-based study. Am J Epidemiol, 2002. <b>156</b> (4): p. 319-27.                   |
| 51<br>52 | 77        |   |
| 52<br>53 | 77.       | Zammit S, Allebeck P, Andreasson S, Lundberg I, and Lewis G, Self reported cannabis use as a            |
| 54       |           | risk factor for schizophrenia in Swedish conscripts of 1969: historical cohort study. Bmj, 2002.        |
| 55       |           | <b>325</b> (7374): p. 1199.   |
| 56       |           |   |
| 57       |           |   |
| 58       |           |   |
| 59<br>60 |           | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml                               |
| 60       |           | to, peer review only intep//onlyopen.onl/one/about/guidelines/attini                                    |

- 78. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, and Ridder EM, Tests of causal linkages between cannabis use and psychotic symptoms. Addiction, 2005. 100(3): p. 354-66.
- 79. Henquet C, Krabbendam L, Spauwen J, Kaplan C, Lieb R, Wittchen HU, et al., Prospective cohort study of cannabis use, predisposition for psychosis, and psychotic symptoms in young people. Bmj, 2005. 330(7481): p. 11.
- 80. Di Forti M, Quattrone D, Freeman TP, Tripoli G, Gayer-Anderson C, Quigley H, et al., The contribution of cannabis use to variation in the incidence of psychotic disorder across Europe (EU-GEI): a multicentre case-control study. Lancet Psychiatry, 2019. 6(5): p. 427-436.
- 81. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al., Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and *explanation.* Bmj, 2015. **350**: p. g7647.
- 82. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al., Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev, 2015. **4**: p. 1.
- 83. International conference on harmonisation of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use (ICH) adopts consolidated guideline on good clinical practice in the conduct of clinical trials on medicinal products for human use. Int Dig Health Legis, 1997. 48(2): p. 231-4.
- 84. Richards J R, Bing M L, Moulin A K, Elder J W, Rominski R T, Summers P J, et al., Cannabis use and acute coronary syndrome. Clinical toxicology (Philadelphia, Pa.), 2019: p. 1-11.
- 28 Higgins J and Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1.0. 85. 29 30 www.handbook.cochrane.org. 2011.
- 86. Review Manager (RevMan). 2014, Copenhagen: the Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane 32 Collaboration.
- 33 87. TSA—Trial Sequential Analysis. Copenhagen Trial Unit. http://www.ctu.dk/tsa/ 34
- StataCorp: Stata: Release 14. 2014, College Station, TX: StataCorp LP. 88. 35

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

31

46 47

48

49

50

51 52

- 36 89. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, and Altman DG, Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews 37 and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLOS Medicine, 2009. 6(7): p. e1000097. 38
  - 90. Gluud LL, Bias in clinical intervention research. Am J Epidemiol, 2006. 163(6): p. 493-501.
- 39 91. Kjaergard LL, Villumsen J, and Gluud C, Reported methodologic quality and discrepancies 40 41 between large and small randomized trials in meta-analyses. Ann Intern Med, 2001. 135(11): p. 42 982-9.
- 43 92. Lundh A, Sismondo, S, Lexchin, J, Busuioc, OA, Bero, L, Industry sponsorship and research 44 outcome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2012. 12: p. Mr000033. 45
  - 93. Moher D, Pham, B, Jones, A, Cook, DJ, Jadad, AR, Moher, M et al., Does quality of reports of randomised trials affect estimates of intervention efficacy reported in meta-analyses? Lancet, 1998. 352(9128): p. 609-13.
    - 94. Schulz KF, Chalmers I, Hayes RJ, and Altman DG, Empirical evidence of bias. Dimensions of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trials. JAMA, 1995. **273**(5): p. 408-12.

#### BMJ Open

| 2        |      |   |
|----------|------|---|
| 3<br>4   |      |   |
| 4<br>5   | 95.  | Wood L, Egger M, Gluud L, Schulz K, Jüni P, Altman D, et al., Empirical evidence of bias in           |
| 6        |      | treatment effect estimates in controlled trials with different interventions and outcomes: meta-      |
| 7        |      | epidemiological study. BMJ, 2008. <b>336</b> (7644): p. 601-605.                                      |
| 8        | 96.  | Savovic J, Jones H, Altman D, Harris R, Juni P, Pildal J, et al., Influence of reported study design  |
| 9        |      | characteristics on intervention effect estimates from randomised controlled trials: combined          |
| 10       |      | analysis of meta-epidemiological studies. Health Technol Assess, 2012. <b>16</b> (35): p. 1-82.       |
| 11       | 07   |   |
| 12       | 97.  | Higgins JP and Thompson SG, <i>Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis</i> . Stat Med, 2002.     |
| 13       |      | <b>21</b> (11): p. 1539-58.   |
| 14<br>15 | 98.  | Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, and Altman DG, Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses.           |
| 15       |      | BMJ, 2003. <b>327</b> (7414): p. 557-60.  |
| 17       | 99.  | Harbord RM, Egger M, and Sterne JA, A modified test for small-study effects in meta-analyses of       |
| 18       |      | controlled trials with binary endpoints. Stat Med, 2006. <b>25</b> (20): p. 3443-57.                  |
| 19       | 100. | Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, and Minder C, Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple,        |
| 20       |      | <i>graphical test.</i> BMJ, 1997. <b>315</b> (7109): p. 629-34.                                       |
| 21       | 101. | Begg CB and Mazumdar M, Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication          |
| 22       | 101. |   |
| 23       | 102  | <i>bias.</i> Biometrics, 1994. <b>50</b> (4): p. 1088-101.  |
| 24<br>25 | 102. | Elbourne D, Altman D, Higgins J, Curtin F, Worthington H, and Vail A, Meta-analyses involving         |
| 25<br>26 |      | cross-over trials: methodological issues. International Journal of Epidemiology, 2002. 31(1): p.      |
| 20       |      | 140-149.  |
| 28       | 103. | Jakobsen JC, Wetterslev J, Winkel P, Lange T, and Gluud C, Thresholds for statistical and clinical    |
| 29       |      | significance in systematic reviews with meta-analytic methods. BMC Med Res Methodol, 2014.            |
| 30       |      | <b>14</b> : p. 120.   |
| 31       | 104. | Hagg O, Fritzell P, and Nordwall A, The clinical importance of changes in outcome scores after        |
| 32       | -    | treatment for chronic low back pain. Eur Spine J, 2003. <b>12</b> (1): p. 12-20.                      |
| 33       | 105. | Jaeschke R, Singer J, and Guyatt GH, Measurement of health status. Ascertaining the minimal           |
| 34<br>35 | 105. | clinically important difference. Control Clin Trials, 1989. <b>10</b> (4): p. 407-15.                 |
| 35<br>36 | 100  |   |
| 37       | 106. | Olsen MF, Bjerre E, Hansen MD, Hilden J, Landler NE, Tendal B, et al., Pain relief that matters to    |
| 38       |      | patients: systematic review of empirical studies assessing the minimum clinically important           |
| 39       |      | difference in acute pain. BMC Med, 2017. <b>15</b> (1): p. 35.  |
| 40       | 107. | Olsen MF, Bjerre E, Hansen MD, Tendal B, Hilden J, and Hrobjartsson A, Minimum clinically             |
| 41       |      | important differences in chronic pain vary considerably by baseline pain and methodological           |
| 42       |      | factors: systematic review of empirical studies. J Clin Epidemiol, 2018. 101: p. 87-106.e2.           |
| 43       | 108. | Keus F, Wetterslev J, Gluud C, and van Laarhoven CJ, Evidence at a glance: error matrix approach      |
| 44<br>45 |      | for overviewing available evidence. BMC Med Res Methodol, 2010. 10: p. 90.                            |
| 45       | 109. | DerSimonian R and Laird N, Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials, 1986. 7(3): p. 177- |
| 47       | 2001 | 88.   |
| 48       | 110. | DeMets DL, Methods for combining randomized clinical trials: strengths and limitations. Stat          |
| 49       | 110. |   |
| 50       |      | Med, 1987. <b>6</b> (3): p. 341-50.   |
| 51       | 111. | Jakobsen J C, Wetterslev J, Lange T, and Gluud C, Viewpoint: taking into account risks of random      |
| 52       |      | errors when analysing multiple outcomes in systematic reviews. Cochrane Database of                   |
| 53       |      | Systematic Reviews, 2016(3).  |
| 54<br>55 |      |   |
| 55<br>56 |      |   |
| 57       |      |   |
| 58       |      |   |
| 59       |      |   |
| 60       |      | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml                             |

112. Wetterslev J, Thorlund K, Brok J, and Gluud C, *Trial sequential analysis may establish when firm evidence is reached in cumulative meta-analysis.* J Clin Epidemiol, 2008. **61**(1): p. 64-75.

- 113. Thorlund K W J, Brok J, Imberger G, Gluud C, *User manual for trial sequential analysis (TSA).* 2011.
- 114. Brok J, Thorlund K, Gluud C, and Wetterslev J, *Trial sequential analysis reveals insufficient information size and potentially false positive results in many meta-analyses.* J Clin Epidemiol, 2008. **61**(8): p. 763-9.
- 115. Brok J, Thorlund K, Wetterslev J, and Gluud C, *Apparently conclusive meta-analyses may be inconclusive--Trial sequential analysis adjustment of random error risk due to repetitive testing of accumulating data in apparently conclusive neonatal meta-analyses.* Int J Epidemiol, 2009. **38**(1): p. 287-98.
- 116. Thorlund K, Devereaux PJ, Wetterslev J, Guyatt G, Ioannidis JP, Thabane L, et al., *Can trial sequential monitoring boundaries reduce spurious inferences from meta-analyses?* Int J Epidemiol, 2009. **38**(1): p. 276-86.
- 117. Wetterslev J, Thorlund K, Brok J, and Gluud C, *Estimating required information size by quantifying diversity in random-effects model meta-analyses.* BMC Med Res Methodol, 2009. **9**: p. 86.
- 118. Thorlund K, Anema A, and Mills E, Interpreting meta-analysis according to the adequacy of sample size. An example using isoniazid chemoprophylaxis for tuberculosis in purified protein derivative negative HIV-infected individuals. Clin Epidemiol, 2010. **2**: p. 57-66.
- 119. Imberger G, Gluud C, Boylan J, and Wetterslev J, Systematic reviews of anesthesiologic interventions reported as statistically significant: problems with power, precision, and type 1 error protection. Anesth Analg, 2015. **121**(6): p. 1611-22.
- 120. Imberger G, Thorlund K, Gluud C, and Wetterslev J, False-positive findings in Cochrane metaanalyses with and without application of trial sequential analysis: an empirical review. BMJ Open, 2016. **6**(8).
- Guyatt G, Oxman A, Vist G, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al., GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ, 2008.
   336(7650): p. 924-926.
- 122. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Schunemann HJ, Tugwell P, and Knottnerus A, *GRADE guidelines: a new* series of articles in the Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. J Clin Epidemiol, 2011. **64**(4): p. 380-2.
- 123. Schunemann HJ, Best D, Vist G, and Oxman AD, Letters, numbers, symbols and words: how to communicate grades of evidence and recommendations. CMAJ, 2003. **169**(7): p. 677-80.
- 124. Voscopoulos C and Lema M, When does acute pain become chronic? Br J Anaesth, 2010. 105 Suppl 1: p. i69-85.

|   | First<br>author   | Title   | Year of<br>publicatio<br>n    |                                   | cannabino  | Types of<br>participan<br>ts   | Information sources   | No. of<br>trials   | participa   |     |   | of adverse | Assessment<br>of risk of<br>bias   | Accounts Us<br>for random GF<br>error  | se of the<br>RADE | Conclusion   |
|---|-------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|-------------|-----|---|------------|--|--|-------------------|--|
| a) Sector Sector<  | Campbell          | ids for<br>treatment<br>of chron-cance<br>pain; a<br>systemati-<br>review of<br>randomize<br>d trials   | :<br>Fr<br>a                  |                                   | nabinoids;<br>Smoked<br>cannabis,<br>oromucosa<br>l extracts<br>of<br>medicine,<br>and<br>synthetic<br>cannabino<br>ids;<br>nabilone,<br>dronabinol<br>and a<br>novel THC<br>analogue.   | c pain,<br>fibromyalg<br>ia,<br>rheumatoi<br>d arthritis,<br>and mixed<br>chronic<br>pain. | CINAHL (EBSCO), The<br>Psychrof (EBSCO), The<br>Cochrane Library, BU<br>Meb of Science, ABI<br>Inform (Proquest), Academic<br>Sarach Premier<br>(EBSCO), Clinical<br>Trials, Qentral.org,<br>individual<br>pharmaceutical<br>organy trials sites fo<br>Eli LIIIy and<br>Giassomithkilon,<br>Okister (OCIC) and<br>Okister (OCIC) and  | the<br>interventio<br>n with<br>placebo  |             |     | chronic non-cancer pain.<br>The secondary outcomes<br>were sleep, function, and<br>quality of life.   |            | for<br>reporting<br>blas,<br>publication<br>blas and for<br>profit blas  |  |                   | evidence that<br>consabilitiods are safe<br>and modestly<br>effective in<br>neuropathic pain with<br>proliminary evidence<br>of efficacy in<br>of efficacy in<br>of efficacy in<br>hournated arthritis.<br>Did not pool data for<br>meta-analysis hor<br>meta-analysis hor<br>data was described<br>qualitatively.   |
| Alt al. A.     Mark M.     Mark M. <td></td> <td>Cannabine<br/>ids for<br/>Chronic<br/>Neuropath<br/>c Pain: A<br/>Systematic<br/>Review<br/>and Meta-</td> <td>o</td> <td>Systematic<br/>Review<br/>and Meta-</td> <td>Dronabinol<br/>, nabilone<br/>and</td> <td>Neuropathi</td> <td>Medline, Embase,<br/>Codrane Library,<br/>PEOSPERO,<br/>clinicaltrialsgov, and<br/>Google Scholar, Pain<br/>sociaties (American<br/>Sociaty of<br/>Anesthesiology,<br/>International<br/>Association for the<br/>Sody of Pain,<br/>American Society of<br/>Regional Anesthesia<br/>and Pain Medicine,<br/>European Society of<br/>Regional Anesthesia<br/>and Pain Medicine,<br/>European Society of<br/>Regional Anesthesia<br/>and Pain Thersop, and<br/>World Institute of Pain</td> <td>trials<br/>comparing<br/>the<br/>intervention<br/>with<br/>placebo)</td> <td>1219</td> <td>No</td> <td>Intensity of pain recorded<br/>tarter a minimum of 2<br/>wwelks following (initiation<br/>of particular) and the paint of the<br/>optimization of particular and<br/>an INIS (0 op pain to<br/>10worst particular). Secondary outcomes<br/>were presence of the<br/>defined as reduction in<br/>defined as reduction of<br/>intervention, quality of<br/>intervention of adverse<br/>the incidence of adverse<br/>intervention of adverse<br/>the incidence of adverse<br/>intervention of</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>adjustment<br/>for multiple<br/>testing was<br/>not<br/>performed<br/>as per<br/>recommend<br/>ations in<br/>the<br/>Cochrane</td> <td>8</td> <td>cannabinoids provide<br/>a small analgesic<br/>benefit in patients<br/>with chronic</td> |                   | Cannabine<br>ids for<br>Chronic<br>Neuropath<br>c Pain: A<br>Systematic<br>Review<br>and Meta-  | o                             | Systematic<br>Review<br>and Meta- | Dronabinol<br>, nabilone<br>and  | Neuropathi   | Medline, Embase,<br>Codrane Library,<br>PEOSPERO,<br>clinicaltrialsgov, and<br>Google Scholar, Pain<br>sociaties (American<br>Sociaty of<br>Anesthesiology,<br>International<br>Association for the<br>Sody of Pain,<br>American Society of<br>Regional Anesthesia<br>and Pain Medicine,<br>European Society of<br>Regional Anesthesia<br>and Pain Medicine,<br>European Society of<br>Regional Anesthesia<br>and Pain Thersop, and<br>World Institute of Pain  | trials<br>comparing<br>the<br>intervention<br>with<br>placebo)   | 1219        | No  | Intensity of pain recorded<br>tarter a minimum of 2<br>wwelks following (initiation<br>of particular) and the paint of the<br>optimization of particular and<br>an INIS (0 op pain to<br>10worst particular). Secondary outcomes<br>were presence of the<br>defined as reduction in<br>defined as reduction of<br>intervention, quality of<br>intervention of adverse<br>the incidence of adverse<br>intervention of adverse<br>the incidence of adverse<br>intervention of  |            |  | adjustment<br>for multiple<br>testing was<br>not<br>performed<br>as per<br>recommend<br>ations in<br>the<br>Cochrane | 8                 | cannabinoids provide<br>a small analgesic<br>benefit in patients<br>with chronic   |
| Image     Mathematical particular sector     Mathematical partexetor     Mathematical particular sector  | Martin-Sá         | I Review<br>and<br>Meta-anal<br>ysis of<br>Cannabis<br>Treatment<br>for Chroni  | 1                             | ysis                              | nabinoids<br>and<br>synthetic<br>derivates<br>of THC,<br>such as<br>dronabinol<br>, nabilone,<br>or<br>benzopyra<br>noperidine<br>[a<br>synthetic<br>nitrogen<br>analog of   | pain of a<br>pathologic<br>al or<br>traumatic  | Embase, and The<br>Cochrane Controlled<br>Trials Register   | 18   | \$          | No  | intensity of pain as<br>scored by numerical rang<br>scales.<br>The Secondary outcomes   |            | for<br>reporting<br>bias,<br>detection<br>bias and for-                  | No No  | 0                 | evidence suggests<br>that cannabis<br>moderately<br>efficacious for<br>treatment of chronic<br>pain, but beneficial<br>effects may be<br>partially (or<br>completely) offset by<br>potentially serious   |
| Image: space in the space i   | Boychuk<br>et. al | Effectiven<br>ess of<br>Cannabino<br>ids in the<br>Managem<br>ent of<br>Chronic<br>Normalign<br>ant<br>Neuropath<br>c Pain:<br>A<br>Systematic      | n<br>hi                       | Systematic<br>Review              | Phytocan<br>nabinoids;<br>smokad<br>cannabis,<br>cannabis,<br>based<br>medicinal<br>extracts<br>(CBME) in<br>the form of<br>oromucosa<br>I sprays<br>(nabikimol<br>s),<br>vaporized<br>cannabis,<br>and<br>synthetic<br>cannabis,<br>dronabinol<br>, nabilone,<br>ids; | c pain   | of Science, and all<br>evidence-based<br>medicine reviews<br>and databases<br>(Cochrane Database of<br>Systematic<br>Reviews, ASP Journal<br>Club, Database of<br>Abstracts of<br>Reviews of Effects<br>(DARE), and Cochrane<br>Controlled  |  | 771         | No  | were reduction in pain<br>intensity and adverse   |            | for,<br>reporting<br>bias,<br>publication<br>bias and for-               |  | P                 | medicinal extracts<br>used in different<br>populations of<br>chronic non-<br>malignant<br>neuropathic pain<br>patients may provide<br>effective analgesia in<br>conditions that are<br>refractory to other   |
| I     Another     Market     Residence income     Res   | al                | products<br>for adults<br>with<br>chronic<br>neuropath<br>c pain  | 4                             | Review                            | nabinoids;<br>oromucosa<br>Lspray<br>containing<br>THC or<br>THC/CBD<br>mix,<br>smokad<br>cannabis<br>containing<br>THC, THC/<br>and CBD<br>as extract<br>of<br>cannabis<br>sativa L,<br>and<br>synthetic<br>cannabinoi<br>ids;<br>nabilone,<br>dronabinoi             | c pain   | MEDUNE and ÉMBASE<br>Following clinical trials<br>databases were<br>searched for addition<br>data including<br>unpublished data:<br>UN National Institutes<br>of Health clinical trials<br>register<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister)<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister)<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister)<br>(www.clinicalfrials.gegister) | the trials<br>comparing<br>the<br>intervention<br>n with<br>placebo)   |             | Yes | Participant reported pain<br>relief of XNN or preserve<br>We preformed composite<br>nouropathic pain scores of<br>macazers: unear score of the<br>macazers: unear score of the<br>m |            |  |  | 3                 | of cannabi-based<br>medicine (herbal<br>cannabis, plant-<br>derived or synthetic<br>THC, THC/CBD<br>oromuccasi spray) in<br>chronic neuropathe<br>ba outweighed by their<br>potential harms.   |
| Campiolini Aru       2003       Spectramic Oral TIC, ALOR, MELLOR, 198, 20       100       Outcome measures for two for the constraints of the constraint of the constraints of the constraints of the constraint of the constraints of   | Aviram et         | Cannabis-<br>Based<br>Medicines<br>for Pain<br>Managem<br>ent: A<br>Systematii<br>Review<br>and Mota-<br>Analysis o<br>Randomizo<br>d<br>Controlled | -<br>s<br>-<br>sf<br>se       | Analysis                          | nabinoids;<br>Satives/na<br>biximol,<br>cannabidio<br>l,<br>cannabinoi<br>d<br>cigarettes/<br>vaporizer,<br>and<br>synthetic<br>cannabinoi<br>and<br>nabilone,<br>CT-3,<br>a)ulemic<br>acid,<br>synthetic<br>nitrogen<br>analog of<br>tetrahydro<br>cannabinoi         | Chronic<br>(cancer<br>and non-<br>cancer)<br>pain and<br>acute<br>postoperat<br>ive pain   | MEDUNE/Pubmed and<br>in Google Scholar using<br>Medical Subject   | comparing<br>the<br>intervention<br>with<br>both<br>'active<br>drugs' and  |             | No  | The outcome measure<br>that was chosen was the<br>variable "pain intensity",<br>as scored by the<br>numerical rating scale<br>(NRS-11), numerical 11-<br>point box (BS-11), visual<br>analog scale (VAS), and<br>the VAS section of the<br>questionnaire short form<br>McGill Pain  |            | for,<br>reporting<br>blas,<br>publication<br>blas and for-               |  |                   | systematic review<br>suggests that<br>cannabinold-based<br>medicines might be<br>effective for chronic<br>pain treatment, based<br>on limited evidence,<br>primarily for<br>neuropathic pain   |
| et al.       and<br>model<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>participation       2017<br>model<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>model<br>and<br>mod   |                   | cannabino<br>ds an<br>effective<br>and safe<br>treatment<br>option in<br>the<br>managem<br>nt of pain<br>A<br>qualitative<br>systematic             | 5)<br>1<br>2<br>2<br>3        | Review                            | Oral THC,<br>an oral<br>synthetic<br>nitrogen<br>analogue<br>of THC<br>(NIB), oral<br>benzopyra<br>noperidine<br>(BPP), and<br>intramuscu<br>lar<br>levonantra   | Acute,<br>chronic<br>non-<br>malignant<br>pain, and<br>cancer                              | Oxford Pain Database,   | 9  | 222         | No  | pain intensity; pain relief;<br>the use of supplementary<br>analgesia; patients'<br>preferences; and adverse  |            | for,<br>reporting<br>bias,<br>publication<br>bias and for-               | No No  | Ð                 | more effective than<br>codeine in controlling<br>pain and have<br>depressant effects on<br>the central nervous<br>system that limit their<br>use. Their widespread<br>introduction into<br>clinical practice for<br>pain management is<br>therefore<br>undestrable. In acute<br>postoperative pain<br>they should not be   |
| al extensitie new eds. extensitie in extensitie in extensitie in the analysis of the second rank of the analysis of the second rank of the analysis of the ana  | e et. al          | and<br>adverse<br>effects of<br>medical<br>martiyaana<br>for chronis<br>noncancer<br>pain   | e c<br>r                      | Review                            | or<br>vaporizer<br>containing<br>delta-9-<br>THC   | c pain   | and the International<br>Pharmaceutical<br>Abstracts  | comparing<br>intervention<br>n with<br>placebo.<br>Placebo<br>being<br>cigarettes<br>or<br>vaporizer<br>containing<br>0% delta-5<br>THC or<br>with<br>cannabino<br>d removal | :<br>•<br>• |     | scores were estarted<br>uning the visual analogue<br>scale (VAS) or an<br>scale (VAS) or an<br>application of the score of the<br>scores were not reported<br>application of the<br>scores were not reported<br>included (sloce, function,<br>included (sloce, function,<br>included), reported<br>adverse effects was<br>collected.  |            | for,<br>reporting<br>bias,<br>publication<br>bias and for<br>profit bias |  |                   | the use of low-dose<br>medical marijuana in<br>refractory<br>neuropathic pain in<br>conjunction with<br>trial source inmod by<br>variability in docing<br>and storegith of delata<br>g-<br>tertnyhytic caramabinut,<br>and take of functional<br>doctomers. Although<br>well tolerated in the<br>logs/intertwork in delata<br>short term, the long-<br>term effects of<br>neurocognitive<br>effects of medical<br>meurocognitive<br>effects of medical<br>multinowe. |
| ul is for Review is MCDUM and MDMSC companing models and models an  | al .              | systematic<br>review of<br>the<br>analgesic<br>efficacy of<br>cannabino<br>d<br>medicatio<br>s in the<br>managem<br>nt of acute<br>pain             | c<br>f<br>pi<br>in<br>ie<br>e | Review                            | dol,<br>nabilone,<br>AZD1940,<br>GW842166<br>,<br>dronabinol<br>, *-9-THC  | postoperat<br>ive pain   | Cochrane Library, and<br>the World Health<br>Organization<br>International Clinical<br>Trials Registry Platform   | comparing<br>intervention<br>with<br>placebo,<br>Ketoprofer<br>,<br>Pethidine,<br>Naproxen,<br>and<br>Ibuprofen  | ,<br>,      |     | the qualitative analysis of<br>the analgesic efficacy of<br>cannabinoids in the<br>management of acute<br>pain compared to placebo<br>or active comparator.<br>The secondary outcome<br>was the qualitative<br>analysis of the reported<br>adverse effects  |            | for,<br>publication<br>bias and for-<br>profit bias                      |  | 8                 | available randomized<br>controlled trial<br>evidence,<br>cannabinolds have no<br>role in the<br>management of acute<br>pain.   |
| peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj; <u>com/s</u> ite/about/guidelines.x  | al                | Cannabine<br>ids for<br>fibromyalg<br>ia  | 8                             | Review                            |  | ia   | MEDLINE and EMBASE  | comparing<br>the<br>intervention<br>with<br>either (1)<br>placebo or<br>(1)<br>amitriptyli<br>ne   |             |     | Participant-reported pain<br>relief of 50% or greater.<br>PGIC (Patient Global<br>Impression of Change)<br>much or very much<br>Improved.<br>Withdrawal due to<br>adverse events<br>(tolerability).   |            | for<br>publication<br>bias.  |  |                   | convincing, unbiased,<br>high quality avidence<br>suggesting that<br>nabilone is of value in<br>treating people with<br>foromyalgia. The<br>tolerability of<br>nabilone was low in<br>people with<br>fibromyalgia.   |

eccurrence or effect that is any observation in the second second

significant disability or

## Appendix

#### **Minimal important difference**

For the determination of minimal important differences in clinical trials two types of methods are available; anchor-based methods and distributional-based methods [1].

#### Anchor-based methods

Anchor-based methods relate the change in on a person reported outcome score, (e.g. a score on the visual analog scale (VAS)) to a subjective global assessment rating (e.g. scores from the Clinical Global Impressions-Improvement (CGI-I)) which is used as an 'anchor' [1]. Ideally, there needs to be an established association between the person reported outcome score and the 'anchor' to make any meaningful inference about a minimal important difference [2].

There are two subtypes of anchor-based methods, i.e., the 'within-patient score' and the 'between-patients score' [1].

- Within-patient score defines minimal important difference as the average minimal change in a given person's reported outcome score that leads to a clinically observable change in the subjective global assessment rating (the latter is used as an anchor) [1]. For example, to ascertain the minimal important difference regarding depression management, Moncrieff et. al describes the linking of within-patient scores (change from baseline) scores on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (the most commonly used depression rating scale) to scores on the Clinical Global Impressions-Improvement (CGI-I) scale, a scale which rates improvement on a scale of 1 (very much improved from baseline) through 4 (no change from baseline) to 7 (very much worse from baseline) [3]. Moncrieff et. al conclude that seven points on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale correspond to a minimal important difference when using within-patient scores [3].
- The between-patients score method, also known as 'the group difference' method, compare the reported outcome scores between a group of people with no clinically observable change (based on a subjective global assessment rating (used as an anchor)) to a group of people with clinically observable change (based on a subjective global assessment rating (used as an anchor)). The minimal important difference is then estimated as the mean difference between these two groups [4]. For example, Musoro et. al defines the minimal important difference (MID) as the group difference in terms of quality of life assessed by HRQOL scores [5]. Participants were assigned to distinct subgroups reflecting various levels of change (e.g. no change, small positive changes, large positive changes, small negative changes or large negative changes). The group difference was identified by the

#### **BMJ** Open

comparison of the average of the HRQOL scores of the group of participants with at 'small change' to the HRQOL scores of the group of participants with 'no change' [5].

There are also other anchor-based methods (e.g. the sensitivity- and specificity-based method and the social comparison method) [1]. The sensitivity- and specificity-based method aims to identify the minimal important difference that allows for the best discrimination between groups of patients (i.e., the score that produces the greatest sensitivity and specificity) [1]. For example, an outcome measure (e.g. NRS score) is considered a 'diagnostic test' and the anchor (e.g. Global Perceived Effect) is used as gold standard and hence standard methods may be used to estimate sensitivity and specificity. Sensitivity is the proportion of patients who report an improvement on the external criterion (anchor) and whose person reported outcome scores are above the threshold minimal important difference value [1]. Specificity is the proportion of patients who do not report an improvement on the external criterion (anchor) and whose person reported outcome scores are below the threshold minimal important difference value [1]. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves are then used to identify the person reported outcome score with the greatest sensitivity and specificity [6-8].

#### The distributional-based methods

Distribution-based methods are based on the statistical characteristics of the obtained sample [9]. Crosby et. al [9] have identified two general types of distribution-based methods for estimations of minimal important differences:

The first type of distribution-based method evaluate change in relation to sample variation [9]. Different types of variation can be used: effect size, standardised response mean, and responsiveness statistic [9]. The effect size represents individual change in relation to the number of pre-test standard deviations (SDs) [9]. Cohen et. al has suggested benchmarks to better interpret the effect sizes: .20 for "small" effects, .50 for "moderate" effects, and .80 for "large" effects [10]. Whereas the effect size is the ratio of individual change to the baseline standard deviation of the sample, standardised response mean is the ratio of individual change to the standard deviation of that change [11]. A large standardised response mean indicates that the change is large in comparison to the background variability in the measurements [9]. Guyatt et. al has proposed a responsiveness statistic as a variation of standardised response mean; calculated by dividing the difference between pre-test and post-test by the standard deviation of change observed for a group of stable participants [12].

The second type distribution-based method is based on the measurement precision of the instrument [9]. This method include the standard error of the mean (SEM) and evaluate the change in relation to variation of the instrument as opposed to variation in the sample [9]. Standard error of the mean (SEM) is a measure of the precision of a test instrument and considered an attribute of the measure and not a characteristic of the sample per se [13]. The standard error of the mean (SEM) for a given measure is likely to vary across samples depending upon the method used to estimate reliability and the presence of extreme scores [9]. Different thresholds for a minimal important difference have been suggested, i.e., values of 1 SEM [14], 1.96 SEM [15], and 2.77 SEM [13, 15].

In conclusion, different methods for estimating minimal important differences exist, but no single method has been shown to be the optimal method. The question of whether to use anchor-based or distributionbased methods for determining clinically meaningful change has received considerable attention and debate [9]. Dworkin et. al defined the clinical importance of patient improvement as the clinically important changes in individuals that can be identified using either within-patient anchor-based method or distributional-based method [16, 17], while the clinical importance of group differences could be the clinical difference between a treatment group and a placebo group or between two different treatment groups [18]. Dworkin et. al claim that the clinical important difference identified in individuals cannot be directly extrapolated to the evaluation of group differences [17, 19-22]. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration also states in their web site "When defining meaningful change on an individual patient basis, that definition is generally larger than the minimum important difference for application to group mean comparisons" [22].

While it is claimed that the within-patient differences are larger than the between-group difference [22], based on the studies included in our review we are not able to find a significant difference between the minimal important difference estimated by the two different methods.

#### Previously conducted reviews on this subject

- Lynch & Campbell and Boychuk et. al both concluded that cannabinoids are a modestly effective and a safe treatment option for neuropathic pain [23, 24]. Lynch & Campbell and Boychuk et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [23, 24].
- Meng et. al concluded that there is moderate quality evidence to suggest that nabiximols (phytocannabinoid mixture) is effective in reducing neuropathic pain [25].

**BMJ** Open

- Mücke et. al concluded that there is no high-quality evidence for the efficacy of any cannabis-based medicine in any condition with chronic neuropathic pain [26]. Mücke et. al further concluded that some adverse events may limit the clinical usefulness of cannabis-based medicines [26].
- Deshpande et. al concluded that current evidence suggests that very low-dose medical marijuana (< 34 mg/d) is associated with an improvement in refractory neuropathic pain of moderate severity in adults using concurrent analgesics. Deshpande et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [27].
- Martín-Sánchez et. al concluded that treatment of chronic pain based on cannabinoid compounds would entail more risk of adverse events than benefit [28]. Martín-Sánchez et. al included trials randomising participants with either neuropathic pain, cancer pain, fibromyalgia related pain and nociceptive pain [28]. Martín-Sánchez et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [28].
- Aviram et. al concluded that cannabinoid-based medicines were not effective for postoperative pain, however further investigation is advised [29]. Aviram et. al also concluded that evidence suggests a moderate to good treatment effect on neuropathic pain [29]. Furthermore, neuropathic pain patients should be advised that the inhalation of cannabinoids showed relatively better pain reduction effects than other routes of administration [29]. Aviram et. al stated that the total number of adverse events that were accumulated in the meta-analysis indicated that cannabinoid-based medicines should be used with caution [29]. Aviram et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [29].
- Campbell et. al concluded that levonantradol (synthetic cannabinoid analogue) was superior to placebo on postoperative pain but no more effective than codeine [30]. Campbell et. al also stated that there are suggestions of efficacy in spasticity and in neuropathic pain and that increasing the cannabinoid dose to increase the analgesia will increase adverse effects [30]. Campbell et. al did not publish a protocol on beforehand [30].
- Stevens et. al concluded that cannabinoids have no role in the management of acute pain, but cannabinoids were found to be well-tolerated, with most reported adverse effects only mild to moderate in severity [31].
- Walitt et. al concluded that no convincing, unbiased evidence suggests that nabilone (synthetic cannabinoid analog) is of value in treating people with fibromyalgia [32]. The tolerability of nabilone was low and adverse events (particularly somnolence, dizziness, vertigo) may limit its clinical usefulness [32].

TABEL 1

| Firs    | Titl     | Ye  | De  | Туре  | Ту   | Inform       | No  | N   | Р  | Outco    | As | As  | Acc  | U | Cond  |
|---------|----------|-----|-----|-------|------|--------------|-----|-----|----|----------|----|-----|------|---|-------|
| t       | e        | ar  | sig | of    | ре   | ation        |     | о.  | u  | mes      | se | se  | oun  | s | lusic |
| aut     |          | of  | n   | cann  | s    | source       | of  | of  | bl |          | SS | SS  | ts   | e | n     |
| hor     |          | pu  |     | abin  | of   | s            | tri | ра  | is |          | m  | m   | for  | о |       |
|         |          | bli |     | oid   | par  |              | als | rti | h  |          | en | en  | ran  | f |       |
|         |          | са  |     |       | tici |              |     | ci  | e  |          | t  | t   | do   | t |       |
|         |          | tio |     |       | ра   |              |     | ра  | d  |          | of | of  | m    | h |       |
|         |          | n   |     |       | nts  |              |     | nt  | pr |          | ad | ris | erro | e |       |
|         |          |     |     |       |      |              |     | s   | ot |          | ve | k   | r    | G |       |
|         |          |     |     |       |      |              |     |     | ос |          | rs | of  |      | R |       |
|         |          |     |     |       |      |              |     |     | ol |          | e  | bi  |      | Α |       |
|         |          |     |     |       |      |              |     |     |    |          | ev | as  |      | D |       |
|         |          |     |     |       |      |              |     |     |    |          | en |     |      | Е |       |
|         |          |     |     |       |      |              |     |     |    |          | ts |     |      |   |       |
| Lyn     | <u> </u> | 20  | Sys | Phyt  | Ne   | PubMe        | 18  | 76  | Ν  | The      | Ye | Ye  | No   | Ν | Ove   |
| ,<br>ch | Ca       | 11  | te  | ocan  | ur   | d,           | tri | 6   | о  | primar   | S  | s,  |      | о | all   |
| &       | nn       |     | ma  | nabi  | ор   | EMBAS        | als |     |    | y        |    | ex  |      | - | ther  |
| Ca      | abi      |     | tic | noid  | ath  | E,           | со  |     |    | outco    |    | ce  |      |   | e is  |
| mp      | noi      |     | Re  | s;    | ic   | CINAH        | m   |     |    | me       |    | pt  |      |   | evid  |
| bell    | ds       |     | vie | Smo   | pai  | L            | pa  |     |    | was      |    | fo  |      |   | ence  |
| [23     | for      |     | w   | ked   | n,   | (EBSCO       | rin |     |    | pain in  |    | r   |      |   | that  |
| ]       | tre      |     | ••  | cann  | fib  | ),           | g   |     |    | subject  |    | re  |      |   | canr  |
| 1       | at       |     |     | abis, | ro   | "<br>PsycInf | th  |     |    | s with   |    | po  |      |   | abin  |
|         | me       |     |     | oro   | my   | 0            | e   |     |    | chroni   |    | rti |      |   | oids  |
|         | nt       |     |     | muc   | alg  | (EBSCO       | int |     |    | C        |    | ng  |      |   | are   |
|         | of       |     |     | osal  | ia,  | ), The       | er  |     |    | non-ca   |    | bi  |      |   | safe  |
|         | chr      |     |     | extra | rh   | Cochra       | ve  |     |    | ncer     |    | as, |      |   | and   |
|         | oni      |     |     | cts   | eu   | ne           | nti |     |    | pain.    |    | pu  |      |   | moc   |
|         | С        |     |     | of    | ma   | Library      | on  |     |    | P        |    | bli |      |   | estly |
|         | no       |     |     | cann  | toi  | , ISI        | wi  |     |    | The      |    | са  |      |   | effe  |
|         | n-c      |     |     | abis- | d    | Web of       | th  |     |    | second   |    | tio |      |   | tive  |
|         | an       |     |     | base  | art  | Scienc       | pla |     |    | ary      |    | n   |      |   | in    |
|         | cer      |     |     | d     | hri  | e, ABI       | ce  |     |    | outco    |    | bi  |      |   | neu   |
|         | pai      |     |     | medi  | tis, | Inform       | bo  |     |    | mes      |    | as  |      |   | opat  |
|         | n;       |     |     | cine, | an   | (Proqu       |     |     |    | were     |    | an  |      |   | hic   |
|         | а        |     |     | and   | d    | est),        |     |     |    | sleep,   |    | d   |      |   | pain  |
|         | sys      |     |     | synt  | mi   | Dissert      |     |     |    | functio  |    | fo  |      |   | with  |
|         | te       |     |     | hetic | xe   | ation        |     |     |    | n, and   |    | r-  |      |   | prel  |
|         | ma       |     |     | cann  | d    | Abstra       |     |     |    | quality  |    | pr  |      |   | mina  |
|         | tic      |     |     | abin  | chr  | cts          |     |     |    | of life. |    | ofi |      |   | ry    |
|         | rev      |     |     | oids; | oni  | (Proqu       |     |     |    |          |    | t   |      |   | evid  |
|         | ie       |     |     | nabil | c    | est),        |     |     |    |          |    | bi  |      |   | ence  |
|         | W        |     |     | one,  | pai  | Acade        |     |     |    |          |    | as  |      |   | of    |
|         | of       |     |     | dron  | n.   | mic          |     |     |    |          |    | us  |      |   | effic |
|         | ran      |     |     | abin  |      | Search       |     |     |    |          |    |     |      |   | acy   |
|         | do       |     |     | abiii |      | Jearen       |     |     |    |          |    |     |      |   | acy   |

|  | mi<br>ze<br>d<br>tria<br>Is                                    |          |   | ol<br>and<br>a<br>nove<br>I THC<br>anal<br>ogue                  |   | Premie<br>r<br>(EBSCO<br>),<br>Clinical<br>Trials.g<br>ov,<br>TrialsC<br>entral.<br>org,<br>individ<br>ual<br>pharm<br>aceutic<br>al<br>compa<br>ny<br>trials<br>sites<br>for Eli<br>Lilly<br>and<br>GlaxoS<br>mithKli<br>ne,<br>OAIste<br>r<br>(OCLC)<br>and<br>Google<br>Scholar |  |          |        |  |         |         |   |             | in<br>fibro<br>myal<br>gia<br>and<br>rheu<br>mato<br>id<br>arthr<br>itis.<br>Did<br>not<br>pool<br>data<br>for<br>meta<br>-<br>analy<br>sis<br>but<br>data<br>was<br>descr<br>ibed<br>quali<br>tativ<br>ely. |
|--|--|----------|---|--|---|--|--|----------|--------|--|---------|---------|---|-------------|--|
| Me<br>Me<br>ng<br>et.<br>al<br>[25<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>7<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>7<br>8 | Sel<br>ect<br>ive<br>Ca<br>nn<br>abi<br>noi<br>ds<br>for<br>Ch | 20<br>17 | Sys<br>te<br>ma<br>tic<br>Re<br>vie<br>w<br>an<br>d<br>Me | Dron<br>abin<br>ol,<br>nabil<br>one<br>and<br>nabi<br>ximo<br>ls | Ne<br>ur<br>op<br>ath<br>ic<br>pai<br>n | Medlin<br>e,<br>Embas<br>e,<br>Cochra<br>ne<br>Library<br>,<br>PROSP<br>ERO,   | 11<br>(1<br>0<br>tri<br>als<br>co<br>m<br>pa<br>rin<br>g | 12<br>19 | N<br>O | The<br>primar<br>y<br>outco<br>me<br>was<br>intensi<br>ty of<br>pain<br>record | Ye<br>s | Ye<br>s | Bon<br>ferr<br>oni<br>adju<br>stm<br>ent<br>for<br>mul<br>tipl<br>e | Y<br>e<br>s | Selec<br>tive<br>cann<br>abin<br>oids<br>provi<br>de a<br>small<br>analg<br>esic   |

| nic | an  | trials.g e |       | after a             | ng   | fit in |
|-----|-----|------------|-------|---------------------|------|--------|
| Ne  | aly | ov, and in | t     | minim               | was  | patie  |
| ur  | sis | Google ei  | r     | um of               | not  | nts    |
| ор  |     | Scholar ve | 2     | 2                   | perf | with   |
| ath |     | . ni       | ti    | weeks               | orm  | chro   |
| ic  |     | 0          | n 🛛 👘 | followi             | ed   | nic    |
| Pai |     | Pain w     | i 🛛   | ng                  | as   | neur   |
| n:  |     | societi th |       | initiati            | per  | opat   |
| A   |     | es pl      | a     | on of               | rec  | hic    |
| Sys |     | (Ameri ce  | e     | selecti             | om   | pain.  |
| te  |     | can b      | o     | ve                  | me   |        |
| ma  |     | Society )  |       | cannab              | nda  |        |
| tic |     | of         |       | inoid               | tion |        |
| Re  |     | Anesth     |       | and                 | s in |        |
| vie |     | esiolog    |       | placeb              | the  |        |
| w   |     | ists,      |       | o/com               | Coc  |        |
| an  |     | Europe     |       | parato              | hra  |        |
| d   |     | an         |       | r                   | ne   |        |
| Me  |     | Society    |       | admini              | Han  |        |
| ta- |     | of         |       | stratio             | dbo  |        |
| an  |     | Anaest     |       | n,                  | ok.  |        |
| aly |     | hesiolo    |       | expres              |      |        |
| sis |     | gy,        |       | sed on              |      |        |
|     |     | Interna    |       | an NRS              |      |        |
|     |     | tional     |       | (0—no               |      |        |
|     |     | Associ     |       | pain to             |      |        |
|     |     | ation      |       | 10—                 |      |        |
|     |     | for the    |       | worst               |      |        |
|     |     | Study      |       | possibl             |      |        |
|     |     | of         |       | e                   |      |        |
|     |     | Pain,      |       | pain).              |      |        |
|     |     | Americ     |       | <b>I</b> - <b>,</b> |      |        |
|     |     | an         |       | Second              |      |        |
|     |     | Society    |       | ary                 |      |        |
|     |     | of         |       | outco               |      |        |
|     |     | Region     |       | mes                 |      |        |
|     |     | al         |       | were                |      |        |
|     |     | Anesth     |       | presen              |      |        |
|     |     | esia       |       | ce or               |      |        |
|     |     | and        |       | absenc              |      |        |
|     |     | Pain       |       | e of                |      |        |
|     |     | Medici     |       | analge              |      |        |
|     |     | ne,        |       | sia                 |      |        |
|     |     | Europe     |       | define              |      |        |
|     |     |            |       |                     |      | 1      |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| 3        |  |          |   |          |  |
|----------|--|----------|---|----------|--|
| 4        |  | Society  | r                                       | reducti  |  |
| 5        |  | of       |   | on in    |  |
| 6<br>7   |  | Region   |   | pain     |  |
| 8        |  | al       |   | scores   |  |
| 9        |  | Anesth   |   | (NRS/V   |  |
| 10       |  | esia     |   |          |  |
| 11       |  |          |   | AS) by   |  |
| 12       |  | and      |   | ≥30%     |  |
| 13<br>14 |  | Pain     |   | at 2     |  |
| 14       |  | Therap   |   | weeks    |  |
| 16       |  | y, and   |   | or       |  |
| 17       |  | World    |   | more     |  |
| 18       |  | Institut | á                                       | after    |  |
| 19       |  | e of     | i                                       | initiati |  |
| 20       |  | Pain)    |   | on of    |  |
| 21<br>22 |  | in the   | i                                       | interve  |  |
| 23       |  | last 2   | r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | ntion,   |  |
| 24       |  | years    |   | quality  |  |
| 25       |  | were     |   | of life  |  |
| 26       |  | also     |   | (QoL),   |  |
| 27       |  | search   |   | physic   |  |
| 28<br>29 |  | ed.      |   | al       |  |
| 30       |  | Cu.      |   | functio  |  |
| 31       |  |          |   |          |  |
| 32       |  |          |   | n,       |  |
| 33       |  |          |   | psycho   |  |
| 34       |  |          |   | logical  |  |
| 35       |  |          |   | functio  |  |
| 36<br>37 |  |          |   | n,       |  |
| 38       |  |          |   | sleep,   |  |
| 39       |  |          |   | overall  |  |
| 40       |  |          |   | patient  |  |
| 41       |  |          | 9                                       | satisfa  |  |
| 42       |  |          |   | ction,   |  |
| 43<br>44 |  |          | 6                                       | and      |  |
| 44       |  |          | t                                       | the      |  |
| 46       |  |          |   | inciden  |  |
| 47       |  |          |   | ce of    |  |
| 48       |  |          |   | advers   |  |
| 49       |  |          |   | e        |  |
| 50       |  |          |   | effects  |  |
| 51<br>52 |  |          |   | of       |  |
| 52<br>53 |  |          |   |          |  |
| 54       |  |          |   | selecti  |  |
| 55       |  |          |   | ve       |  |
| 56       |  |          |   | cannab   |  |
| 57       |  |          | i                                       | inoids.  |  |
| 58       |  |          |   |          |  |

| Ma   | Sys | 20 | Me  | Phyt  | Ch  | Medlin  | 18 | ? | Ν | The     | Ye | Ye  | No | Ν | Cur  |
|------|-----|----|-----|-------|-----|---------|----|---|---|---------|----|-----|----|---|------|
| rtín | te  | 09 | ta- | ocan  | ro  | e/Pub   |    |   | 0 | primar  | S  | s,  |    | 0 | entl |
| -Sá  | ma  |    | an  | nabi  | nic | med,    |    |   |   | У       |    | ex  |    |   | ava  |
| nch  | tic |    | aly | noid  | pai | Embas   |    |   |   | outco   |    | ce  |    |   | able |
| ez   | Re  |    | sis | S     | n   | e, and  |    |   |   | me      |    | pt  |    |   | evio |
| et.  | vie |    |     | and   | of  | The     |    |   |   | was     |    | fo  |    |   | enc  |
| al   | w   |    |     | synt  | а   | Cochra  |    |   |   | intensi |    | r   |    |   | sug  |
| [28  | an  |    |     | hetic | pat | ne      |    |   |   | ty of   |    | re  |    |   | est  |
| ]    | d   |    |     | deriv | hol | Contro  |    |   |   | pain as |    | ро  |    |   | tha  |
|      | Me  |    |     | ates  | ogi | lled    |    |   |   | scored  |    | rti |    |   | can  |
|      | ta- |    |     | of    | cal | Trials  |    |   |   | by      |    | ng  |    |   | abi  |
|      | an  |    |     | THC,  | or  | Registe |    |   |   | numeri  |    | bi  |    |   | trea |
|      | aly |    |     | such  | tra | r       |    |   |   | cal     |    | as, |    |   | me   |
|      | sis |    |     | as    | um  | (CENTR  |    |   |   | rang    |    | de  |    |   | is   |
|      | of  |    |     | dron  | ati | AL)     |    |   |   | scales. |    | te  |    |   | mo   |
|      | Ca  |    |     | abin  | С   |         |    |   |   | The     |    | cti |    |   | era  |
|      | nn  |    |     | ol,   | ori |         |    |   |   | Second  |    | on  |    |   | ly   |
|      | abi |    |     | nabil | gin |         |    |   |   | ary     |    | bi  |    |   | effi |
|      | S   |    |     | one,  |     |         |    |   |   | outco   |    | as  |    |   | acio |
|      | Tre |    |     | or    |     |         |    |   |   | mes     |    | an  |    |   | s fo |
|      | at  |    |     | benz  |     |         |    |   |   | were    |    | d   |    |   | trea |
|      | me  |    |     | opyr  |     |         |    |   |   | CNS     |    | fo  |    |   | me   |
|      | nt  |    |     | anop  |     |         |    |   |   | related |    | r-  |    |   | of   |
|      | for |    |     | eridi |     |         |    |   |   | events  |    | pr  |    |   | chr  |
|      | Ch  |    |     | ne (a |     |         |    |   |   |         |    | ofi |    |   | nic  |
|      | ro  |    |     | synt  |     |         |    |   |   |         |    | t   |    |   | pai  |
|      | nic |    |     | hetic |     |         |    |   |   |         |    | bi  |    |   | but  |
|      | Pai |    |     | nitro |     |         |    |   |   |         |    | as  |    |   | ber  |
|      | n   |    |     | gen   |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | fici |
|      |     |    |     | anal  |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | effe |
|      |     |    |     | og of |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | ts   |
|      |     |    |     | THC)  |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | ma   |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | be   |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | par  |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | ally |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | (or  |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | cor  |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | ple  |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | ly)  |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | offs |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | t by |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | pot  |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | ntia |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | У    |
|      |     |    |     |       |     |         |    |   |   |         |    |     |    |   | ser  |

| 2        |
|----------|
| 2        |
| 3        |
| 4        |
| 5        |
| 6        |
|          |
| 7<br>8   |
| 8        |
| 9        |
| 10       |
|          |
| 11       |
| 12       |
| 13       |
| 14       |
| 15       |
|          |
| 16       |
| 17       |
| 17<br>18 |
| 19       |
| 20       |
|          |
| 21       |
| 22       |
| 23       |
| 24       |
| 25       |
|          |
| 26       |
| 27       |
| 28       |
| 29       |
|          |
| 30       |
| 31       |
| 32       |
| 33       |
| 34       |
|          |
| 35       |
| 36       |
| 37       |
| 38       |
| 39       |
|          |
| 40       |
| 41       |
| 42       |
| 43       |
| 44       |
|          |
| 45       |
| 46       |
| 47       |
| 48       |
| 49       |
|          |
| 50       |
| 51       |
| 52       |
| 53       |
| 55<br>54 |
|          |
| 55       |
| 56       |
| 57       |
| 58       |
| 50       |

|     |           |    |     |             |     |                |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | us<br>har<br>s. |
|-----|-----------|----|-----|-------------|-----|----------------|----|----|---|---------|----|-----------|----|---|-----------------|
| Воу | Th        | 20 | Sys | Phyt        | Ne  | PubMe          | 13 | 77 | N | Outco   | Ye | Ye        | No | N | Can             |
| chu | e         | 15 | te  | ocan        | ur  | d <i>,</i>     |    | 1  | о | mes     | S  | s,        |    | 0 | abis            |
| k   | Eff       |    | ma  | nabi        | ор  | Embas          |    |    |   | consid  |    | ex        |    |   | bas             |
| et. | ect       |    | tic | noid        | ath | e, Web         |    |    |   | ered    |    | ce        |    |   | d               |
| al  | ive       |    | Re  | s;          | ic  | of             |    |    |   | were    |    | pt        |    |   | me              |
| [24 | ne        |    | vie | smo         | pai | Scienc         |    |    |   | reducti |    | fo        |    |   | cina            |
| ]   | SS        |    | w   | ked         | n   | e, and         |    |    |   | on in   |    | r,        |    |   | ext             |
|     | of        |    |     | cann        |     | all            |    |    |   | pain    |    | re        |    |   | cts             |
|     | Ca        |    |     | abis,       |     | eviden         |    |    |   | intensi |    | ро        |    |   | use             |
|     | nn        |    |     | cann        |     | ce-            |    |    |   | ty and  |    | rti       |    |   | in              |
|     | abi       |    |     | abis-       |     | based          |    |    |   | advers  |    | ng        |    |   | diff            |
|     | noi       |    |     | base        |     | medici         |    |    |   | e       |    | bi        |    |   | ren             |
|     | ds        |    |     | d           |     | ne             |    |    |   | events. |    | as,       |    |   | pop             |
|     | in        |    |     | medi        |     | review         |    |    |   |         |    | pu        |    |   | lati            |
|     | the       |    |     | cinal       |     | S              |    |    |   |         |    | bli       |    |   | ns              |
|     | Ma        |    |     | extra       |     | and            |    |    |   |         |    | са        |    |   | chr             |
|     | na        |    |     | cts         |     | databa         |    |    |   |         |    | tio       |    |   | nic             |
|     | ge        |    |     | (CB         |     | ses            |    |    |   |         |    | n         |    |   | nor             |
|     | me        |    |     | ME)         |     | (Cochr         |    |    |   |         |    | bi        |    |   | ma              |
|     | nt        |    |     | in          |     | ane            |    |    |   |         |    | as        |    |   | gna             |
|     | of        |    |     | the         |     | Databa         |    |    |   |         |    | an<br>d   |    |   | t               |
|     | Ch        |    |     | form        |     | se of          |    |    |   |         |    | d         |    |   | neu             |
|     | ro        |    |     | of          |     | System<br>atic |    |    |   |         |    | fo        |    |   | opa<br>hic      |
|     | nic<br>No |    |     | oro         |     | Review         |    |    |   |         |    | r-        |    |   |                 |
|     |           |    |     | muc<br>osal |     | s, ASP         |    |    |   |         |    | pr<br>ofi |    |   | pai<br>pat      |
|     | nm<br>ali |    |     | spra        |     | Journal        |    |    |   |         |    | t         |    |   | nts             |
|     |           |    |     | ys          |     | Club,          |    |    |   |         |    | bi        |    |   | ma              |
|     | gn<br>ant |    |     | (nabi       |     | Databa         |    |    |   |         |    | as        |    |   | pro             |
|     | Ne        |    |     | ximo        |     | se of          |    |    |   |         |    | as        |    |   | de              |
|     | ur        |    |     | ls),        |     | Abstra         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | effe            |
|     | ор        |    |     | vapo        |     | cts of         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | tive            |
|     | ath       |    |     | rized       |     | Review         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | ana             |
|     | ic        |    |     | cann        |     | s of           |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | esia            |
|     | Pai       |    |     | abis,       |     | Effects        |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | in              |
|     | n:        |    |     | and         |     | [DARE]         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | con             |
|     | A         |    |     | synt        |     | , and          |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | itio            |
|     | Sys       |    |     | hetic       |     | Cochra         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | S               |
|     | te        |    |     | cann        |     | ne             |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | tha             |
|     | ma        |    |     | abin        |     | Contro         |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | are             |
|     | tic       |    |     | oids;       |     | lled           |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | refr            |
|     | Re        |    |     | dron        |     |                |    |    |   |         |    |           |    |   | cto             |

|                              | w   |          |  | abin<br>ol,<br>nabil<br>one,<br>and<br>CT-3   |   | Trials<br>Registe<br>r<br>[CCTR]<br>)  |   |          |     |   |     |     |    |     | to<br>othe<br>r<br>trea<br>men<br>s.   |
|------------------------------|---|----------|--|---|---|--|---|----------|-----|---|-----|-----|----|-----|--|
| cke<br>et.<br>al<br>[26<br>] | Ca<br>nn<br>abi<br>s<br>pro<br>du<br>cts<br>for<br>ad<br>ult<br>s<br>wit<br>h<br>chr<br>oni<br>c<br>ne<br>uro<br>pat<br>hic<br>pai<br>n | 20<br>18 | Co<br>chr<br>an<br>e<br>Re<br>vie<br>w | Phyt<br>ocan<br>nabi<br>noid<br>s;<br>oro<br>muc<br>osal<br>spra<br>y<br>cont<br>ainin<br>g<br>THC<br>or<br>THC/<br>CBD<br>mix,<br>smo<br>ked<br>cann<br>abis<br>cont<br>ainin<br>g<br>THC,<br>THC/<br>CBD<br>mix,<br>smo<br>ked<br>cann<br>abis<br>cont<br>ainin<br>g<br>THC,<br>CBD<br>mix,<br>smo<br>ked<br>cann<br>abis<br>cont<br>ainin<br>g<br>tHC,<br>cann<br>abis<br>cont<br>ainin<br>g<br>tHC,<br>cann<br>abis<br>cont<br>ainin<br>g<br>tHC,<br>cann<br>abis<br>cont<br>ainin<br>abis<br>cont<br>and<br>cBD<br>as<br>extra<br>can<br>can<br>abis<br>cont<br>and<br>cBD<br>as<br>extra<br>can<br>can<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>and<br>cBD<br>as<br>extra<br>can<br>can<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>and<br>cBD<br>as<br>extra<br>can<br>can<br>can<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>can<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>and<br>cBD<br>as<br>extra<br>can<br>can<br>abis<br>can<br>can<br>abis<br>cont<br>and<br>cBD<br>as<br>extra<br>can<br>can<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>and<br>cBD<br>as<br>extra<br>can<br>can<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>and<br>cBD<br>as<br>extra<br>can<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>cont<br>abis<br>con<br>can<br>abis<br>con<br>con<br>con<br>con<br>con<br>con<br>con<br>con<br>con<br>con | Ne<br>ur<br>op<br>ath<br>ic<br>pai<br>n | Cochra<br>ne<br>Library<br>,<br>MEDLI<br>NE and<br>EMBAS<br>E.<br>Followi<br>ng<br>clinical<br>trials<br>databa<br>ses<br>were<br>search<br>ed for<br>additio<br>nal<br>data<br>includi<br>ng<br>unpubl<br>ished<br>data:<br>US<br>Nation<br>al<br>Institut<br>es of<br>Health<br>clinical<br>trial<br>registe<br>r<br>(www. | 16<br>(1<br>5 of<br>th<br>e tri<br>als<br>co<br>m<br>pa<br>rin<br>g<br>th<br>e int<br>er<br>ve<br>nti<br>on<br>wi<br>th<br>pla<br>ce<br>bo<br>) | 17<br>50 | Yes | Primar<br>y<br>outco<br>mes:<br>Partici<br>pant-<br>report<br>ed<br>pain<br>relief<br>of 50%<br>or<br>greate<br>r. We<br>preferr<br>ed<br>compo<br>site<br>neurop<br>athic<br>pain<br>scores<br>over<br>single-<br>scale<br>generi<br>c pain<br>scores<br>if both<br>measu<br>res<br>were<br>used<br>by<br>studies<br>; | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | The potential beneric intervential beneric fits of cannaria base dans base d |

| hetic | Trials.g        | PGIC     | out  |
|-------|-----------------|----------|------|
| cann  | <u>ov</u> ),    | (Patien  | eigh |
| abin  | Europe          | t        | ed   |
| oids; | an              | Global   | by   |
| nabil | Union           | Impres   | thei |
| one,  | Clinical        | sion of  | pote |
| dron  | Trials          | Chang    | ntia |
| abin  | Registe         | e)       | hari |
| ol    | r               | much     | S.   |
|       | ( <u>www.</u>   | or very  | 5.   |
|       | <u>clinical</u> | much     |      |
|       |                 |          |      |
|       | trialsre        | improv   |      |
|       | gister.         | ed;      |      |
|       | <u>eu</u> ),    |          |      |
|       | World           | Withdr   |      |
|       | Health          | awals    |      |
|       | Organi          | due to   |      |
|       | zation          | advers   |      |
|       | (WHO)           | e        |      |
|       | Interna         | events   |      |
|       | tional          | (tolera  |      |
|       | Clinical        | bility); |      |
|       | Trials          |          |      |
|       | Registr         | Seriou   |      |
|       | y               | s        |      |
|       | Platfor         | advers   |      |
|       | m               | e        |      |
|       | (ICTRP)         | events   |      |
|       | (apps.          | (safety  |      |
|       | who.in          | ).       |      |
|       |                 | Seriou   |      |
|       | t/trials        |          |      |
|       | earch/)         | S        |      |
|       | , and           | advers   |      |
|       | Interna         | e .      |      |
|       | tional          | events   |      |
|       | Associ          | typicall |      |
|       | ation           | У        |      |
|       | for             | include  |      |
|       | Canna           | any      |      |
|       | binoid          | untow    |      |
|       | Medici          | ard      |      |
|       | nes             | medica   |      |
|       | (IACM)          | 1        |      |
|       | databa          | occurr   |      |
|       | nk              | ence     |      |

| Г |  | ( <u>www.</u> | or       |  |  |
|---|--|---------------|----------|--|--|
|   |  | <u>cannab</u> | effect   |  |  |
|   |  |               | that at  |  |  |
|   |  | <u>is-</u>    |          |  |  |
|   |  | <u>med.or</u> | any      |  |  |
|   |  | g/studi       | dose     |  |  |
|   |  | <u>es/stu</u> | results  |  |  |
|   |  | <u>dy.php</u> | in       |  |  |
|   |  | )             | death,   |  |  |
|   |  |               | is life- |  |  |
|   |  |               | threat   |  |  |
|   |  |               | ening,   |  |  |
|   |  |               | requir   |  |  |
|   |  |               | es       |  |  |
|   |  |               | hospit   |  |  |
|   |  |               | alisatio |  |  |
|   |  |               | n or     |  |  |
| - |  |               | prolon   |  |  |
|   |  |               | gation   |  |  |
|   |  |               | of       |  |  |
|   |  |               | existin  |  |  |
|   |  |               | g        |  |  |
|   |  |               | hospit   |  |  |
|   |  |               | alisatio |  |  |
|   |  |               |          |  |  |
|   |  |               | n,       |  |  |
| - |  |               | results  |  |  |
|   |  |               | in       |  |  |
| , |  |               | persist  |  |  |
|   |  |               | ent or   |  |  |
|   |  |               | signific |  |  |
|   |  |               | ant      |  |  |
|   |  |               | disabili |  |  |
|   |  |               | ty or    |  |  |
|   |  |               | incapa   |  |  |
|   |  |               | city, is |  |  |
|   |  |               | а        |  |  |
|   |  |               | conge    |  |  |
|   |  |               | nital    |  |  |
|   |  |               | anoma    |  |  |
|   |  |               | ly or    |  |  |
|   |  |               | birth    |  |  |
|   |  |               | defect,  |  |  |
| - |  |               | is an    |  |  |
|   |  |               | 'impor   |  |  |
|   |  |               | tant     |  |  |
|   |  |               |          |  |  |
|   |  |               | medica   |  |  |

|     |      |    |     | 1     |     | 1       |     |    |   | 1        | 1  | 1   |    | 1 | 1    |
|-----|------|----|-----|-------|-----|---------|-----|----|---|----------|----|-----|----|---|------|
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   |          |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | event'   |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | that     |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | may      |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | jeopar   |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | dise     |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | the      |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | person   |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | , or     |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | may      |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | requir   |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | e an     |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | interve  |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | ntion    |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | to       |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | preven   |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | t one    |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | of the   |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | above    |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | charac   |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | teristic |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | s/cons   |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | equen    |    |     |    |   |      |
|     |      |    |     |       |     |         |     |    |   | ces.     |    |     |    |   |      |
| Avi | Effi | 20 | Me  | Phyt  | Ch  | MEDLI   | 43  | 24 | Ν | The      | Ye | Ye  | No | Ν | The  |
| ra  | cac  | 17 | ta- | ocan  | ro  | NE/Pu   | tri | 37 | 0 | outco    | S  | s,  |    | 0 | cur  |
| m   | У    |    | An  | nabi  | nic | bmed    | als |    |   | me       |    | ex  |    |   | nt   |
| et. | of   |    | aly | noid  | (ca | and in  | со  |    |   | measu    |    | ce  |    |   | sys  |
| al  | Ca   |    | sis | s;    | nc  | Google  | m   |    |   | re that  |    | pt  |    |   | ma   |
| [29 | nn   |    |     | Sativ | er  | Scholar | ра  |    |   | was      |    | fo  |    |   | С    |
| ]   | abi  |    |     | ex/n  | an  | using   | rin |    |   | chosen   |    | r,  |    |   | rev  |
|     | S-   |    |     | abixi | d   | Medic   | g   |    |   | was      |    | re  |    |   | w    |
|     | Ва   |    |     | mol,  | no  | al      | th  |    |   | the      |    | ро  |    |   | sug  |
|     | se   |    |     | cann  | n-  | Subjec  | e   |    |   | variabl  |    | rti |    |   | est  |
|     | d    |    |     | abidi | са  | t       | int |    |   | e "pain  |    | ng  |    |   | tha  |
|     | Me   |    |     | ol,   | nc  | Headin  | er  |    |   | intensi  |    | bi  |    |   | can  |
|     | dic  |    |     | cann  | er) | g       | ve  |    |   | ty", as  |    | as, |    |   | abi  |
|     | ine  |    |     | abin  | pai | (MeSH   | nti |    |   | scored   |    | pu  |    |   | oid  |
|     | s    |    |     | oid   | n   | ) terms | on  |    |   | by the   |    | bli |    |   | bas  |
|     | for  |    |     | cigar | an  |         | wi  |    |   | numeri   |    | са  |    |   | d    |
|     | Pai  |    |     | ettes | d   |         | th  |    |   | cal      |    | tio |    |   | me   |
|     | n    |    |     | /vap  | ac  |         | bo  |    |   | rating   |    | n   |    |   | cine |
|     | Ma   |    |     | orize | ute |         | th  |    |   | scale    |    | bi  |    |   | mig  |
|     | 1    |    |     | r     | ро  |         | 'ac |    |   | (NRS-    |    | as  |    |   |      |
|     | na   |    |     | r,    | μu  |         | ac  |    |   | (111.3-  |    | as  |    |   | t be |

| me      | and pe        | e   | numeri        | d   | tive          |
|---------|---------------|-----|---------------|-----|---------------|
| nt:     | synt rat      | dr  | cal 11-       | fo  | for           |
| A       | hetic ive     | ug  | point         | r-  | chro          |
| Sys     | cann pai      | s'  | box           | pr  | nic           |
| te      | <b>abin</b> n | an  | (BS-          | ofi | pain          |
| ma      | oids;         | d   | 11),          | t   | treat         |
| tic     | dron          | pla | visual        | bi  | ment          |
| Re      | abin          | ce  | analog        | as  | ,             |
| vie     | ol            | bo  | scale         |     | base          |
| W       | and<br>nabil  |     | (VAS),<br>and |     | d on<br>limit |
| an<br>d | one,          |     | the           |     | ed            |
| Me      | CT-3,         |     | VAS           |     | evid          |
| ta-     | ajule         |     | section       |     | ence          |
| An      | mic           |     | of the        |     | prim          |
| aly     | acid,         |     | questi        |     | arily         |
| sis     | synt          |     | onnair        |     | for           |
| of      | hetic         |     | e short       |     | neur          |
| Ra      | nitro         |     | form          |     | opat          |
| nd      | gen           |     | McGill        |     | hic           |
| om      | anal          |     | Pain          |     | pain          |
| ize     | og of         |     | Questi        |     | patie         |
| d<br>Co | tetra         |     | onnair        |     | nts.          |
| ntr     | hydr<br>ocan  |     | e.            |     |               |
| oll     | nabi          |     |               |     |               |
| ed      | nol           |     |               |     |               |
| Tri     | (NIB)         |     |               |     |               |
| als     | ,             |     |               |     |               |
|         | fatty         |     |               |     |               |
|         | acid          |     |               |     |               |
|         | amid          |     |               |     |               |
|         | e             |     |               |     |               |
|         | hydr          |     |               |     |               |
|         | olase<br>-1   |     |               |     |               |
|         | (FAA          |     |               |     |               |
|         | H1)           |     |               |     |               |
|         | inhib         |     |               |     |               |
|         | itor          |     |               |     |               |
|         | (PF-          |     |               |     |               |
|         | 0445          |     |               |     |               |
|         | 7845          |     |               |     |               |
|         | )             |     |               |     |               |
|         | (bloc         |     |               |     |               |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

|      |          |    |     | king<br>degr<br>adati<br>on of<br>endo<br>cann<br>abin<br>oids)<br>,<br>benz<br>opyr<br>anop<br>eridi<br>ne<br>(BPP<br>),<br>and<br>levo<br>nant |         |         |   |    |   |                |    |           |    |   |           |
|------|----------|----|-----|--|---------|---------|---|----|---|----------------|----|-----------|----|---|-----------|
| Ca   | Ar       | 20 | Sys | radol<br>Oral  | Ac      | MEDLI   | 9 | 22 | N | Outco          | Ye | Ye        | No | N | Canı      |
| mp   | е        | 01 | te  | THC,   | ute     | NE,     |   | 2  | 0 | me             | S  | s,        |    | 0 | abir      |
| bell | са       |    | ma  | an   | ,       | EMBAS   |   |    |   | measu          |    | ex        |    |   | oids      |
| et.  | nn       |    | tic | oral   | chr     | Ε,      |   |    |   | res for        |    | ce        |    |   | are       |
| al   | abi      |    | Re  | synt   | oni     | Oxford  |   |    |   | pain           |    | pt        |    |   | no        |
| [30  | noi      |    | vie | hetic  | С       | Pain    |   |    |   | intensi        |    | fo        |    |   | mo        |
| ]    | ds       |    | w   | nitro  | no      | Databa  |   |    |   | ty;            |    | r,        |    |   | effe      |
|      | an       |    |     | gen  | n-      | se, and |   |    |   | pain           |    | re        |    |   | tive      |
|      | eff      |    |     | anal   | ma      | Cochra  |   |    |   | relief;        |    | ро        |    |   | tha       |
|      | ect      |    |     | ogue   | lig     | ne      |   |    |   | the            |    | rti       |    |   | cod       |
|      | ive      |    |     | of   | na      | Library |   |    |   | use of         |    | ng        |    |   | ine       |
|      | an       |    |     | THC  | nt .    |         |   |    |   | supple         |    | bi        |    |   | in        |
|      | d        |    |     | (NIB)  | pai     |         |   |    |   | menta          |    | as,       |    |   | con       |
|      | saf      |    |     | , oral   | n,      |         |   |    |   | ry             |    | pu<br>bli |    |   | ollir     |
|      | e<br>tre |    |     | benz<br>opyr   | an<br>d |         |   |    |   | analge<br>sia; |    | са        |    |   | g<br>paiı |
|      | at       |    |     | anop   | ca      |         |   |    |   | patient        |    | tio       |    |   | and       |
|      | me       |    |     | eridi  | nc      |         |   |    |   | s'             |    | n         |    |   | hav       |
|      | nt       |    |     | ne   | er      |         |   |    |   | prefer         |    | bi        |    |   | dep       |
|      | opt      |    |     | (BPP   | pai     |         |   |    |   | ences;         |    | as        |    |   | essa      |
|      | ion      |    |     | ),   | n       |         |   |    |   | and            |    | an        |    |   | nt        |
|      | in       |    |     | and  |         |         |   |    |   | advers         |    | d         |    |   | effe      |
|      | the      |    |     | intra  |         |         |   |    |   | e              |    | fo        |    |   | ts o      |
|      | ma       |    |     | mus  |         |         |   |    |   | effects        |    | r-        |    |   | the       |
|      | na       |    |     | cular  |         |         |   |    |   |                |    | pr        |    |   | cen       |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

|     | ge        |    |     | levo  |    |       |     |    |   |       |    | ofi |    |   | al        |
|-----|-----------|----|-----|-------|----|-------|-----|----|---|-------|----|-----|----|---|-----------|
|     | me        |    |     | nant  |    |       |     |    |   |       |    | t   |    |   | ner       |
|     | nt        |    |     | radol |    |       |     |    |   |       |    | bi  |    |   | ous       |
|     | of        |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    | as  |    |   | sys       |
|     | pai       |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | m         |
|     | n?        |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | tha       |
|     | A         |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | lim       |
|     | qu        |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | the       |
|     | alit      |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | use       |
|     | ati       |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | The       |
|     | ve        |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | wid       |
|     | sys       |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   |           |
|     | te        |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | spr<br>ad |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   |           |
|     | ma<br>tic |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | intr      |
|     | tic       |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | duc       |
|     | rev       |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | on        |
|     | ie        |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | into      |
|     | w         |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | clin      |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | al        |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | pra       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | ice       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | for       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | pai       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | ma        |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | age       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | me        |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | is        |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | the       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | efo       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | unc       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | sira      |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | le. I     |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | acu       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | e         |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | pos       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | оре       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | ativ      |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | pai       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | the       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | sho       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | d n       |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | be        |
|     |           |    |     |       |    |       |     |    |   |       |    |     |    |   | use       |
| Des | Effi      | 20 | Sys | Cigar | Ne | MEDLI | 6   | 22 | Ν | For   | Ye | Ye  | No | Ν | The       |
| hpa | cac       | 15 | te  | ettes | ur | NE,   | tri | 6  | о | outco | s  | s,  |    | 0 | e is      |

Page 49 of 61

| nd  | У    | ma  | or    | ор  | EMBAS   | als      | mes,      | ex     | evid  |
|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|---------|----------|-----------|--------|-------|
| e   | an   | tic | vapo  | ath | E, and  | со       | pain      | ce     | ence  |
| et. | d    | Re  | rizer | ic  | the     | m        | scores    | pt     | for   |
| al  | ad   | vie | cont  | pai | Interna | ра       | were      | fo     | the   |
| [27 | ver  | w   | ainin | n   | tional  | rin      | extract   | r,     | use   |
| j   | se   |     | g     |     | Pharm   | g        | ed        | re     | of    |
| 1   | eff  |     | delta |     | aceutic | int      | using     | ро     | low-  |
|     | ect  |     | -9-   |     | al      | er       | the       | rti    | dose  |
|     | s    |     | THC   |     | Abstra  | ve       | visual    | ng     | med   |
|     | of   |     |       |     | cts     | nti      | analog    | bi     | cal   |
|     | me   |     |       |     |         | on       | ue        | as,    | mar   |
|     | dic  |     |       |     |         | wi       | scale     | pu     | uana  |
|     | al   |     |       |     |         | th       | (VAS)     | bli    | in    |
|     | ma   |     |       |     |         | pla      | or an     |        | refra |
|     |      |     |       |     |         |          |           | ca     |       |
|     | riju |     |       |     |         | ce       | alterna   | tio    | ctor  |
|     | an   |     |       |     |         | bo       | tive      | n<br>L | neu   |
|     | a    |     |       |     |         |          | numeri    | bi     | opa   |
|     | for  |     |       |     |         | Pla      | cal       | as     | hic   |
|     | chr  |     |       |     |         | ce       | pain      | an     | pair  |
|     | oni  |     |       |     |         | bo       | rating    | d      | in    |
|     | С    |     |       |     |         | bei      | tool. If  | fo     | con   |
|     | no   |     |       |     |         | ng       | pain      | r-     | unc   |
|     | nc   |     |       |     |         | cig      | scores    | pr     | on    |
|     | an   |     |       |     |         | ar       | were      | ofi    | with  |
|     | cer  |     |       |     |         | ett      | not       | t      | trac  |
|     | pai  |     |       |     |         | es       | report    | bi     | tion  |
|     | n    |     |       |     |         | or       | ed,       | as     |       |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | va       | surrog    |        | ana   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ро       | ate       |        | esic  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | riz      | measu     |        | Hov   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | er       | res of    |        | eve   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | со       | effecti   |        | tria  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | nt       | veness    |        | wer   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ain      | were      |        | limi  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ing      | include   |        | ed    |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | 0%       | d         |        | by    |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | del      | (sleep,   |        | sho   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | ta-      | functio   |        | dur   |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | 9-       | n, and    |        | tion  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | TH       | quality   |        | vari  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | C        | of life). |        | bilit |
|     |      |     |       |     |         |          |           |        | in    |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | or       | Freque    |        |       |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | wi<br>th | ncy of    |        | dosi  |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | th       | serious   |        | g     |
|     |      |     |       |     |         | са       | and       |        | and   |

| Page | 50 | of | 61 |
|------|----|----|----|
|      |    |    |    |

| nn  | most    | stren |
|-----|---------|-------|
| abi | comm    | gth   |
| no  | only    | of    |
| id  | report  | delta |
| re  | ed      | -9-   |
| m   | advers  | tetra |
| ov  | e       | hydr  |
| al  | effects | ocan  |
|     | was     | nabi  |
|     | collect | nol,  |
|     | ed.     | and   |
|     |         | lack  |
|     |         | of    |
|     |         | funct |
|     |         | ional |
|     |         | outc  |
|     |         | ome   |
|     |         | s.    |
|     |         | Altho |
|     |         | ugh   |
|     |         | well  |
|     |         | toler |
|     |         | ated  |
|     |         | in    |
|     |         | the   |
|     |         | short |
|     |         | term  |
|     |         | , the |
|     |         | long- |
|     |         | term  |
|     |         | effec |
|     |         | ts of |
|     |         | psyc  |
|     |         | hoac  |
|     |         | tive  |
|     |         | and   |
|     |         | neur  |
|     |         | ocog  |
|     |         | nitiv |
|     |         | e     |
|     |         | effec |
|     |         | ts of |
|     |         | medi  |
|     |         | cal   |
|     |         | marij |
|     |         |       |

| 1        |
|----------|
| 2        |
| 3        |
| 4        |
| 5        |
| 6        |
| 7        |
| 8        |
| 9        |
| 10       |
| 11       |
| 12       |
| 13       |
|          |
| 14       |
| 15       |
| 16       |
| 17       |
| 18       |
| 19       |
| 20       |
| 21       |
| 22       |
| 23       |
| 24       |
| 25       |
| 26       |
| 27       |
| 27       |
|          |
| 29       |
| 30       |
| 31       |
| 32       |
| 33       |
| 34       |
| 35       |
| 36       |
| 37       |
| 38       |
| 39       |
| 40       |
| 41       |
| 42       |
| 43       |
| 43<br>44 |
| 44<br>45 |
|          |
| 46       |
| 47       |
| 48       |
| 49       |
| 50       |
| 51       |
| 52       |
| 53       |
| 54       |
| 55       |
| 56       |
| 57       |

|     |           |    |     |              |     |          |         |    |    |                 |    |     |    |   | u<br>re<br>ir<br>u |
|-----|-----------|----|-----|--------------|-----|----------|---------|----|----|-----------------|----|-----|----|---|--------------------|
|     |           |    |     |              |     |          |         |    |    |                 |    |     |    |   | C                  |
| Ste | Α         | 20 | Sys | Levo         | Ac  | MEDLI    | 7       | 61 | Y  | The             | Ye | Ye  | No | Y | B                  |
| ven | sys       | 17 | te  | nant         | ute | NE,      | tri     | 1  | es | primar          | s  | s,  |    | e | c                  |
| S   | te        |    | ma  | radol        | ро  | EMBAS    | als     |    |    | y               |    | ex  |    | s | t                  |
| et. | ma        |    | tic | ,            | sto | Ε,       | со      |    |    | outco           |    | ce  |    |   | a                  |
| al  | tic       |    | Re  | nabil        | ре  | Cochra   | m       |    |    | me              |    | pt  |    |   | a                  |
| [31 | rev       |    | vie | one,         | rat | ne       | ра      |    |    | was             |    | fo  |    |   | r                  |
| ]   | ie        |    | w   | AZD          | ive | Library  | rin     |    |    | the             |    | r,  |    |   | C                  |
|     | w         |    |     | 1940         | pai | , and    | g       |    |    | qualita         |    | pu  |    |   | e                  |
|     | of        |    |     | ,            | n   | the      | int     |    |    | tive            |    | bli |    |   | C                  |
|     | the       |    |     | GW8          |     | World    | er      |    |    | analysi         |    | са  |    |   | C                  |
|     | an        |    |     | 4216         |     | Health   | ve      |    |    | s of            |    | tio |    |   | t                  |
|     | alg       |    |     | 6,           |     | Organi   | nti     |    |    | the             |    | n   |    |   | e                  |
|     | esi       |    |     | dron         |     | zation   | on      |    |    | analge          |    | bi  |    |   | e                  |
|     | С         |    |     | abin         |     | Interna  | wi      |    |    | sic             |    | as  |    |   | 0                  |
|     | effi      |    |     | ol,          |     | tional   | th      |    |    | efficac         |    | an  |    |   | ā                  |
|     | cac       |    |     | <b>△-9-T</b> |     | Clinical | pla     |    |    | y of            |    | d   |    |   | (                  |
|     | y ,       |    |     | HC           |     | Trials   | ce      |    |    | cannab          |    | fo  |    |   | ł                  |
|     | of        |    |     |              |     | Registr  | bo      |    |    | inoids          |    | r-  |    |   | r                  |
|     | са        |    |     |              |     | y<br>y   | ,       |    |    | in the          |    | pr  |    |   | r                  |
|     | nn        |    |     |              |     | Platfor  | Ke      |    |    | manag           |    | ofi |    |   | i                  |
|     | abi       |    |     |              |     | m        | to      |    |    | ement           |    | t   |    |   | t                  |
|     | noi       |    |     |              |     |          | pr      |    |    | of              |    | bi  |    |   | r                  |
|     | d         |    |     |              |     |          | of      |    |    | acute           |    | as  |    |   | ć                  |
|     | me<br>dic |    |     |              |     |          | en      |    |    | pain            |    |     |    |   | r<br>c             |
|     | ati       |    |     |              |     |          | ,<br>Pe |    |    | compa<br>red to |    |     |    |   | ā                  |
|     | on        |    |     |              |     |          | thi     |    |    | placeb          |    |     |    |   | 6                  |
|     | s in      |    |     |              |     |          | di      |    |    | o or            |    |     |    |   | F F                |
|     | the       |    |     |              |     |          | ne      |    |    | active          |    |     |    |   | 1                  |
|     | ma        |    |     |              |     |          |         |    |    | compa           |    |     |    |   |                    |
|     | na        |    |     |              |     |          | ,<br>Na |    |    | rator.          |    |     |    |   |                    |
|     | ge        |    |     |              |     |          | pr      |    |    | The             |    |     |    |   |                    |
|     | me        |    |     |              |     |          | OX      |    |    | second          |    |     |    |   |                    |
|     | nt        |    |     |              |     |          | en      |    |    | ary             |    |     |    |   |                    |
|     | of        |    |     |              |     |          | ,       |    |    | outco           |    |     |    |   |                    |
|     | ac        |    |     |              |     |          | an      |    |    | me              |    |     |    |   |                    |
|     | ute       |    |     |              |     |          | d       |    |    | was             |    |     |    |   |                    |
|     | pai       |    |     |              |     |          | Ib      |    |    | the             |    |     |    |   |                    |
|     | n         |    |     |              |     |          | up      |    |    | qualita         |    |     |    |   |                    |
|     |           |    |     |              |     |          | ·       |    |    | tive            |    |     |    |   |                    |

| Page | 52 | of | 61         |
|------|----|----|------------|
| ruge | 22 | ~  | <b>U</b> 1 |

|  |  |              |                              |   | rof<br>en   |                |     | analysi<br>s of<br>the<br>report<br>ed<br>advers<br>e<br>effects   |     |   |    |     |   |
|--|--|--------------|------------------------------|---|---|----------------|-----|--|-----|---|----|-----|---|
| Wa Ca<br>litt nn<br>et. abi<br>al noi<br>[32 ds<br>] for<br>fib<br>ro<br>my<br>alg<br>ia | Co<br>chr<br>an<br>e<br>Re<br>vie<br>w | Nabil<br>one | Fib<br>ro<br>my<br>alg<br>ia | Cochra<br>ne<br>Library<br>,<br>MEDLI<br>NE and<br>EMBAS<br>E | 2<br>tri<br>als<br>co<br>m<br>pa<br>rin<br>g<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>er<br>ve<br>nti<br>on<br>wi<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>r<br>ve<br>nti<br>on<br>wi<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>r<br>n<br>wi<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>e<br>int<br>ve<br>n<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>ve<br>n<br>th<br>e<br>int<br>ve<br>th<br>int<br>ve<br>th<br>int<br>ve<br>th<br>int<br>ve<br>th<br>int<br>ve<br>th<br>int<br>ve<br>th<br>int<br>ve<br>th<br>int<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>ve<br>th<br>v<br>v<br>th<br>v<br>th | 72<br>(4<br>0) | Yes | <ul> <li>Primar</li> <li>Y</li> <li>outco</li> <li>mes:</li> <li>Partici</li> <li>pant-r</li> <li>eporte</li> <li>d pain</li> <li>relief</li> <li>of 50%</li> <li>or</li> <li>greate</li> <li>r.</li> <li>PGIC</li> <li>(Patien</li> <li>t</li> <li>Global</li> <li>Impres</li> <li>sion of</li> <li>Chang</li> <li>e)</li> <li>much</li> <li>or very</li> <li>much</li> <li>improv</li> <li>ed.</li> <li>Withdr</li> <li>awal</li> <li>due to</li> <li>advers</li> <li>e</li> <li>events</li> </ul> | Yes | Ye<br>s,<br>ex<br>ce<br>pt<br>fo<br>r<br>pu<br>bli<br>ca<br>tio<br>n<br>bi<br>as. | No | Yes | We<br>foun<br>d no<br>conv<br>ncing<br>,<br>unbi<br>ased,<br>high<br>quali<br>ty<br>evid<br>ence<br>sugg<br>estin<br>g<br>that<br>nabil<br>one<br>is of<br>value<br>in<br>treat<br>ing<br>peop<br>le<br>with<br>fibro<br>myal<br>gia.<br>The<br>toler<br>abilit<br>y of<br>nabil<br>one |

|  |  |  | (tolera  | wa  |
|--|--|--|----------|-----|
|  |  |  | bility). | lov |
|  |  |  |          | in  |
|  |  |  | Coriou   |     |
|  |  |  | Seriou   | pe  |
|  |  |  | S        | le  |
|  |  |  | advers   | wit |
|  |  |  | e        | fib |
|  |  |  | events   | my  |
|  |  |  | (safety  | gia |
|  |  |  | ).       |     |
|  |  |  | Seriou   |     |
|  |  |  | S        |     |
|  |  |  |          |     |
|  |  |  | advers   |     |
|  |  |  | e        |     |
|  |  |  | events   |     |
|  |  |  | typicall |     |
|  |  |  | y        |     |
|  |  |  | include  |     |
|  |  |  | any      |     |
|  |  |  | untow    |     |
|  |  |  | ard      |     |
|  |  |  |          |     |
|  |  |  | medica   |     |
|  |  |  |          |     |
|  |  |  | occurr   |     |
|  |  |  | ence     |     |
|  |  |  | or       |     |
|  |  |  | effect   |     |
|  |  |  | that at  |     |
|  |  |  | any      |     |
|  |  |  | dose     |     |
|  |  |  |          |     |
|  |  |  | results  |     |
|  |  |  | in       |     |
|  |  |  | death,   |     |
|  |  |  | is       |     |
|  |  |  | life-thr |     |
|  |  |  | eateni   |     |
|  |  |  | ng,      |     |
|  |  |  | requir   |     |
|  |  |  | es       |     |
|  |  |  |          |     |
|  |  |  | hospit   |     |
|  |  |  | alisatio |     |
|  |  |  | n or     |     |
|  |  |  | prolon   |     |
|  |  |  | gation   |     |
|  |  |  | of       |     |

| existin       g         hospit       alisatio         n,       results         in       persist         ent or       signific         ant       disabili         ty or       incapa         city, is       a         conge       nital         anoma       ly or         birth       defect,         is an       'impor         tant       medica         l       event'         that       may         jeopar       dise         the       person         , or       may         requir       e         e anoma       intal         anoma       'or         intal       anoma         intal </th <th>_</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>  | _ |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---------|--|--|
| hospit<br>alisatio<br>n,<br>results<br>in<br>persist<br>ent or<br>signific<br>ant<br>disabili<br>ty or<br>incapaa<br>city, is<br>a<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>madica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>madica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>to<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>event<br>that<br>event<br>event<br>that<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event  | ſ |  |  |  |  |  | existin |  |  |
| hospit<br>alisatio<br>n,<br>results<br>in<br>persist<br>ent or<br>signific<br>ant<br>disabili<br>ty or<br>incapaa<br>city, is<br>a<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>madica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>madica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>to<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>event<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event<br>that<br>event<br>that<br>event<br>event<br>that<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event<br>event  |   |  |  |  |  |  | g       |  |  |
| alisatio<br>n,<br>results<br>in<br>persist<br>ent or<br>signific<br>ant<br>disabili<br>ty or<br>incapa<br>city, is<br>a<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'import<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>vevent'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>to<br>prevent<br>prevent<br>prevent<br>prevent<br>prevent<br>prevent<br>prevent<br>prevento  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| n,<br>results<br>in<br>persist<br>ent or<br>signific<br>ant<br>disabili<br>ty or<br>incapa<br>city, is<br>a<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>i<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>prev<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>prev |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| results<br>in<br>persist<br>ent or<br>signific<br>ant<br>disabili<br>ty or<br>incapa<br>city, is<br>a<br>Conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>preven<br>to  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| in<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>persist<br>per  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| persist<br>ent or<br>signific<br>ant<br>disabili<br>ty or<br>incapa<br>city, is<br>a<br>conce<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>I<br>event'<br>that<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>nition<br>to<br>preven<br>tone<br>of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| ent or<br>signific<br>ant<br>disabili<br>ty or<br>incapa<br>city, is<br>a<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>iton<br>to<br>preven<br>tone<br>of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| signific<br>ant<br>disabili<br>ty or<br>incapa<br>city, is<br>a<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>inton<br>to<br>preven<br>tion<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>ne<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>tant<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>nition<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>ne<br>of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| ant<br>disabili<br>ty or<br>incapa<br>city, is<br>a<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>intal<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>intal<br>in   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| disabili<br>ty or<br>incapa<br>city, is<br>a<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>anoma<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>ean<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>nequir<br>to<br>to<br>preven<br>to<br>to<br>to<br>to<br>to<br>to<br>to<br>to<br>to<br>to  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| Image: second   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| incapa<br>City, is<br>a<br>Conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>tone<br>of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| city, is<br>a<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>t one<br>of the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| a<br>conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>t one<br>of the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| conge<br>nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>t one<br>of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| nital<br>anoma<br>ly or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>l<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>t one<br>of the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| anoma<br>iy or<br>birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>I<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>t one<br>of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| Image: state in the state  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| birth<br>defect,<br>is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>I<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>tone<br>of the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| defect,       is an         'impor       tant         medica       I         event'       that         may       jeopar         dise       the         person       , or         may       requir         e an       interve         ntion       to         preven       to operven         to operven       to operven   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| is an<br>'impor<br>tant<br>medica<br>I<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>t one<br>of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| impor       impor         impor       tant         impor       impor         imp  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| tant<br>medica<br>I<br>event'<br>that<br>may<br>jeopar<br>dise<br>the<br>person<br>, or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>t one<br>of the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| Image: Second   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| I       I       I       I       I       II       II       III       III       IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| Image: Second   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| Image:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| Image: Second   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| i jeopar   dise   dise   the   person   , or   may   requir   e an   interve   ntion   to   preven   tone   of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| Image: Sector of the sector   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| Image: Second   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| Image: Sector of the sector   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| , or<br>may<br>requir<br>e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>t one<br>of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| may   requir   e an   interve   ntion   to   preven   tone   of the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| interve   interve   interve   interve   inton   inton <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| e an<br>interve<br>ntion<br>to<br>preven<br>t one<br>of the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| Image: Second   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| Image: Second   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| to    preven    tone    of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| preven   t one     of the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| t one of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
| above   |   |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  | above   |  |  |

| 1   |  |
|---|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   |  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   |  |
| 7   |  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   |  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  |  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  |  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  |  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  |  |
| 19  |  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  |  |
| 25<br>26  |  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>0 |  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  |  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
| 32<br>33  |  |
| 34  |  |
| 35  |  |
| 36  |  |
| 30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>28  |  |
| 20  |  |
| 39<br>40  |  |
| 41  |  |
| 42  |  |
| 43  |  |
| 44  |  |
| 45<br>46  |  |
| 40<br>47  |  |
| 48  |  |
| 49  |  |
| 50  |  |
| 51  |  |
| 52  |  |
| 53<br>54  |  |
| 55  |  |
| 56  |  |
| 57  |  |
| 58  |  |
| 59  |  |
| 60  |  |

|  | _ | <br>- | <br> |  |          |  | <br>_ |  |
|--|---|-------|------|--|----------|--|-------|--|
|  |   |       |      |  | charac   |  |       |  |
|  |   |       |      |  | teristic |  |       |  |
|  |   |       |      |  | s/cons   |  |       |  |
|  |   |       |      |  | equen    |  |       |  |
|  |   |       |      |  | ces.     |  |       |  |

## References

- Copay AG, Subach BR, Glassman SD, Polly DW, and Schuler TC, Understanding the minimum clinically important difference: a review of concepts and methods. Spine J, 2007. 7(5): p. 541-6.
- Guyatt GH, Osoba D, Wu AW, Wyrwich KW, and Norman GR, Methods to Explain the Clinical Significance of Health Status Measures. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 2002. 77(4): p. 371-383.
- 3. Moncrieff J and Kirsch I, *Empirically derived criteria cast doubt on the clinical significance of antidepressant-placebo differences.* Contemp Clin Trials, 2015. **43**: p. 60-2.
- 4. Hagg O, Fritzell P, and Nordwall A, *The clinical importance of changes in outcome scores after treatment for chronic low back pain.* Eur Spine J, 2003. **12**(1): p. 12-20.
- 5. Musoro ZJ, Hamel J, Ediebah DE, Cocks K, King MT, Groenvold M, et al., *Establishing* anchor-based minimally important differences (MID) with the EORTC quality-of-life measures: a meta-analysis protocol. BMJ Open, 2018. **8**(1).
- 6. Stratford PW, Binkley JM, Riddle DL, and Guyatt GH, *Sensitivity to change of the Roland-Morris Back Pain Questionnaire: part 1.* Phys Ther, 1998. **78**(11): p. 1186-96.
- 7. Riddle DL, Stratford PW, and Binkley JM, *Sensitivity to change of the Roland-Morris Back Pain Questionnaire: part 2.* Phys Ther, 1998. **78**(11): p. 1197-207.
- 8. Van der Roer N, Ostelo RW, Bekkering GE, van Tulder MW, and de Vet HC, *Minimal clinically important change for pain intensity, functional status, and general health status in patients with nonspecific low back pain.* Spine (Phila Pa 1976), 2006. **31**(5): p. 578-82.
  - 9. Crosby RD, Kolotkin RL, and Williams GR, *Defining clinically meaningful change in health*related quality of life. J Clin Epidemiol, 2003. **56**(5): p. 395-407.
  - 10. Cohen J, CHAPTER 1 The Concepts of Power Analysis, in Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences, Cohen J, Editor. 1977, Academic Press. p. 1-17.
- 11. Fayers PM and Machin D, *Quality of life: assessment, analysis and interpretation.* John Wiley & Sons, 2000.
- Guyatt GH, Bombardier C, and Tugwell PX, *Measuring disease-specific quality of life in clinical trials*. CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne, 1986. 134(8): p. 889-895.
- Wyrwich KW, Tierney WM, and Wolinsky FD, Further evidence supporting an SEM-based criterion for identifying meaningful intra-individual changes in health-related quality of life. J Clin Epidemiol, 1999. 52(9): p. 861-73.
- 14. Wolinsky FD, Wan GJ, and Tierney WM, *Changes in the SF-36 in 12 months in a clinical sample of disadvantaged older adults.* Med Care, 1998. **36**(11): p. 1589-98.
- 15. McHorney CA and Tarlov AR, *Individual-patient monitoring in clinical practice: are available health status surveys adequate?* Qual Life Res, 1995. **4**(4): p. 293-307.

| 2<br>3   |  |
|--|--|
| 4 16.  | Lydick E and Epstein RS, Interpretation of quality of life changes. Qual Life Res, 1993. <b>2</b> (3): p. 221-6.   |
| 6<br>7 17.<br>8<br>9<br>10   | p. 221-6.<br>Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Katz JN, Wright JG, Wells G, Boers M, et al., Looking for<br>important change/differences in studies of responsiveness. OMERACT MCID Working<br>Group. Outcome Measures in Rheumatology. Minimal Clinically Important Difference. J<br>Rheumatol, 2001. <b>28</b> (2): p. 400-5.   |
| 11<br>12 18.<br>13<br>14   | Dworkin RH, Turk DC, McDermott MP, Peirce-Sandner S, Burke LB, Cowan P, et al.,<br>Interpreting the clinical importance of group differences in chronic pain clinical trials:<br>IMMPACT recommendations. Pain, 2009. <b>146</b> (3): p. 238-44.   |
| 15 19.<br>16 19.<br>17<br>18   | Cella D, Bullinger M, Scott C, and Barofsky I, <i>Group vs individual approaches to understanding the clinical significance of differences or changes in quality of life.</i> Mayo Clin Proc, 2002. <b>77</b> (4): p. 384-92.  |
| 19 20.   | Guyatt GH, Making sense of quality-of-life data. Med Care, 2000. <b>38</b> (9 Suppl): p. li175-9.  |
| 20 <b>21</b> .<br>21<br>22   | Testa MA, Interpre <mark>tatio</mark> n of quality-of-life outcomes: issues that affect magnitude and<br>meaning. Med Care, 2000. <b>38</b> (9 Suppl): p. li166-74.  |
| 22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28                                   | U.S. Department of Health Human Services FDA Center for Drug Evaluation Research, U.S. Department of Health Human Services FDA Center for Biologics Evaluation Research, U.S. Department of Health Human Services FDA Center for Devices Radiological Health, <i>Guidance for industry: patient-reported outcome measures: use in medical product development to support labeling claims: draft guidance</i> . 2006. <b>4</b> : p. 79. |
| 20<br>29 23.<br>30   | Lynch M E and Campbell F, <i>Cannabinoids for treatment of chronic non-cancer pain; a systematic review of randomized trials.</i> Br J Clin Pharmacol, 2011. <b>72</b> (5): p. 735-44.   |
| 31 24.<br>32<br>33<br>34   | Boychuk D G, Goddard G, Mauro G, and Orellana M F, <i>The effectiveness of cannabinoids in the management of chronic nonmalignant neuropathic pain: a systematic review.</i> J Oral Facial Pain Headache, 2015. <b>29</b> (1): p. 7-14.  |
| <ul> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> </ul>                           | Meng H, Johnston B, Englesakis M, Moulin D E, and Bhatia A, <i>Selective Cannabinoids for Chronic Neuropathic Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis.</i> Anesth Analg, 2017. <b>125</b> (5): p. 1638-1652.   |
| <sup>38</sup><br>39 26.<br>40  | Mücke M, Phillips T, Radbruch L, Petzke F, and Häuser W, <i>Cannabis-based medicines for chronic neuropathic pain in adults</i> . Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2018(3).  |
| 41 27.<br>42<br>43<br>44   | Deshpande A, Mailis-Gagnon A, Zoheiry N, and Lakha S F, <i>Efficacy and adverse effects of medical marijuana for chronic noncancer pain: Systematic review of randomized controlled trials.</i> Can Fam Physician, 2015. <b>61</b> (8): p. e372-81.  |
| 45 28.<br>46   | Martin-Sanchez E, Furukawa T A, Taylor J, and Martin J L, <i>Systematic review and meta-</i><br>analysis of cannabis treatment for chronic pain. Pain Med, 2009. <b>10</b> (8): p. 1353-68.  |
| 47 29.<br>48<br>49<br>50   | Aviram J and Samuelly-Leichtag G, <i>Efficacy of Cannabis-Based Medicines for Pain Management: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials.</i> Pain Physician, 2017. <b>20</b> (6): p. E755-e796.   |
| 51 30.<br>52<br>53   | Campbell F A, Tramèr M R, Carroll D, Reynolds D J M, Moore R A, and McQuay H J, Are cannabinoids an effective and safe treatment option in the management of pain? A qualitative systematic review. Bmj, 2001. <b>323</b> (7303): p. 13.   |
| 54       31.         55       56         57       58         59       60 | Stevens A J and Higgins M D, A systematic review of the analgesic efficacy of cannabinoid medications in the management of acute pain. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand, 2017. <b>61</b> (3): p. 268-280.   |

| 1<br>2                |     |   |
|-----------------------|-----|---|
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7 | 32. | Walitt B, Klose P, Fitzcharles M A, Phillips T, and Hauser W, <i>Cannabinoids for fibromyalgia</i><br>Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2016. <b>7</b> : p. Cd011694. |
| 7<br>8<br>9           |     |   |
| 10<br>11              |     |   |
| 12<br>13              |     |   |
| 14<br>15              |     |   |
| 16<br>17              |     |   |
| 18<br>19              |     |   |
| 20<br>21<br>22        |     |   |
| 23<br>24              |     |   |
| 25<br>26              |     |   |
| 27<br>28              |     |   |
| 29<br>30<br>31        |     |   |
| 32<br>33              |     |   |
| 34<br>35              |     |   |
| 36<br>37              |     |   |
| 38<br>39<br>40        |     |   |
| 40<br>41<br>42        |     |   |
| 43<br>44              |     |   |
| 45<br>46              |     |   |
| 47<br>48<br>49        |     |   |
| 50<br>51              |     |   |
| 52<br>53              |     |   |
| 54<br>55              |     |   |
| 56<br>57              |     |   |
| 58<br>59              |     |   |
| 60                    |     |   |

| Section and topic         | Item<br>No | Checklist item  | (Page No.# |
|---------------------------|------------|---|------------|
| ADMINISTRATIV             | E INF(     | DRMATION  |            |
| Title:                    |            |   |            |
| Identification            | 1a         | Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review  | 1          |
| Update                    | 1b         | If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such  |            |
| Registration              | 2          | If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number  |            |
| Authors:                  |            |   |            |
| Contact                   | 3a         | Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author   | 1          |
| Contributions             | 3b         | Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review   | 25-26      |
| Amendments                | 4          | If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments                               |            |
| Support:                  |            |   |            |
| Sources                   | 5a         | Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review   | 25         |
| Sponsor                   | 5b         | Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor   |            |
| Role of sponsor or funder | 5c         | Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol  |            |
| INTRODUCTION              |            | Op.   |            |
| Rationale                 | 6          | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known   | 3-9        |
| Objectives                | 7          | Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)  | 9          |
| METHODS                   |            |   |            |
| Eligibility criteria      | 8          | Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review | 10-12      |
| Information sources       | 9          | Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage   | 12-13      |
| Search strategy           | 10         | Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated  |            |

# PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol\*

 BMJ Open

| Study records:                     |     |  |    |
|------------------------------------|-----|--|----|
| Data<br>management                 | 11a | Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review   | 13 |
| Selection process                  | 11b | State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)  | 1  |
| Data collection process            | 11c | Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators   | 13 |
| Data items                         | 12  | List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications  | 1  |
| Outcomes and prioritization        | 13  | List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale   | 11 |
| Risk of bias in individual studies | 14  | Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis                             | 15 |
| Data synthesis                     | 15a | Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised  | 1  |
|                                    | 15b | If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as $I^2$ , Kendall's $\tau$ ) | 19 |
|                                    | 15c | Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)  | 22 |
|                                    | 15d | If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned   | 2  |
| Meta-bias(es)                      | 16  | Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)  | 1  |
| Confidence in cumulative evidence  | 17  | Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)   | 2  |

\* It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

|  |  | Search strategies for<br>nabinoids versus placebo for pain'<br>(J Barakji)<br>y searches performed 1 July 2019   |
|--|--|--|
| Numl   | number of records identified<br>oer of duplicates removed<br>oer of records in final list  | 4106 records<br>1079 records<br>3027 records   |
|  | Cochrane Central Register of Control   | lled Trials (CENTRAL) in the Cochrane Library (2019, Issue 6) (  |
| hits)<br>#1<br>#2<br>#3  |  |  |
| or lev<br>#4   | onantradol* or anandamid*)<br>#1 or #2 or #3   |  |
| #5<br>#6   | MeSH descriptor: [Pain] explode all<br>(pain* or ache* or migraine*)   | trees  |
| #7<br>#8   | #5 or #6<br>#4 and #7  |  |
| MED  | LINE Ovid (1946 to July 2019) (465   | hits)  |
|  | Cannabis/  |  |
| levon  |  | ronabinol* or marinol* or nabilon* or cesamet* or hash* or hemp* o<br>[mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject he  |
| word,<br>supple<br>4. 1 or<br>5. exp<br>6. (pa<br>floatin<br>conce<br>7. 5 or<br>8. 4 au<br>9. (ran<br>subjec<br>protoc<br>10. 8   | antradol* or anandamid* or 2-AG).mp.<br>floating sub-heading word, keyword h<br>ementary concept word, rare disease su<br>2 or 3<br>Pain/<br>in* or ache* or migraine*).mp. [mp=tit<br>ng sub-heading word, keyword heading<br>pt word, rare disease supplementary co<br>6<br>nd 7<br>adom* or blind* or placebo* or meta-are<br>theading word, floating sub-heading w<br>col supplementary concept word, rare d<br>and 9  | [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject he eading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol pplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms] le, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading w word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary ncept word, unique identifier, synonyms] malys*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]  |
| word,<br>supple<br>4. 1 or<br>5. exp<br>6. (pa<br>floatin<br>conce<br>7. 5 or<br>8. 4 an<br>9. (ran<br>subject<br>protoco<br>10. 8 an<br><b>Emba</b><br>1. exp   | antradol* or anandamid* or 2-AG).mp.<br>floating sub-heading word, keyword he<br>ementary concept word, rare disease su<br>2 or 3<br>Pain/<br>in* or ache* or migraine*).mp. [mp=tit<br>g sub-heading word, keyword heading<br>pt word, rare disease supplementary co<br>6<br>nd 7<br>adom* or blind* or placebo* or meta-an<br>et heading word, floating sub-heading v<br>col supplementary concept word, rare d<br>and 9<br>ase Ovid (1974 to July 2019) (1829 hit<br>cannabis/  | [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject he eading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol pplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms] le, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading w word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementar ncept word, unique identifier, synonyms] nalys*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, isease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]   |
| word,<br>supple<br>4. 1 or<br>5. exp<br>6. (pa<br>floatin<br>conce<br>7. 5 or<br>8. 4 an<br>9. (ran<br>subjec<br>protoc<br>10. 8 an<br><b>Emba</b><br>1. exp<br>2. exp<br>3. (can<br>levon<br>manuf<br>4. 1 or   | antradol* or anandamid* or 2-AG).mp.<br>floating sub-heading word, keyword h<br>ementary concept word, rare disease su<br>2 or 3<br>Pain/<br>in* or ache* or migraine*).mp. [mp=tit<br>g sub-heading word, keyword heading<br>pt word, rare disease supplementary co<br>6<br>ad 7<br>dom* or blind* or placebo* or meta-an<br>theading word, floating sub-heading v<br>col supplementary concept word, rare d<br>and 9<br>ase Ovid (1974 to July 2019) (1829 hit<br>cannabinoid/<br>mabi* or mari*uana or nabixmol* or d<br>antradol* or anandamid* or 2-AG).mp.<br>facturer, drug manufacturer, device trace<br>2 or 3  | [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject he eading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol pplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms] le, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading w word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementar ncept word, unique identifier, synonyms] malys*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]   |
| word,<br>supple<br>4. 1 or<br>5. exp<br>6. (pa<br>floatin<br>conce<br>7. 5 or<br>8. 4 ar<br>9. (ran<br>subjec<br>protoce<br>10. 8 ar<br>1. exp<br>2. exp<br>3. (can<br>levona<br>manu<br>4. 1 or<br>5. exp<br>6. (pa<br>floatin<br>conce<br>7. 5 or<br>8. 4 ar<br>9. (ran<br>1. exp<br>2. exp<br>3. (can<br>1. exp<br>2. exp<br>3. (can<br>1. exp<br>2. exp<br>3. (can<br>1. exp<br>2. exp<br>5. (can<br>1. exp<br>2. exp<br>5. (can<br>1. exp<br>5. (can<br>5. (can<br>5 | antradol* or anandamid* or 2-AG).mp.<br>floating sub-heading word, keyword hementary concept word, rare disease su<br>2 or 3<br>Pain/<br>in* or ache* or migraine*).mp. [mp=tit<br>g sub-heading word, keyword heading<br>pt word, rare disease supplementary co<br>6<br>nd 7<br>dom* or blind* or placebo* or meta-an<br>theading word, floating sub-heading v<br>col supplementary concept word, rare d<br>and 9<br>ase Ovid (1974 to July 2019) (1829 hit<br>cannabis/<br>cannabinoid/<br>mabi* or mari*uana or nabixmol* or d<br>antradol* or anandamid* or 2-AG).mp.<br>facturer, drug manufacturer, device trad<br>2 or 3<br>pain/<br>in* or ache* or migraine*).mp. [mp=tit<br>facturer, drug manufacturer, device trad<br>facturer, drug manufacturer, device trad<br>facturer, drug manufacturer, device trad | [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject he eading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol pplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms] le, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading w word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary ncept word, unique identifier, synonyms] nalys*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms] solution: solution: solution: organize: nation: organize: or |

| 1        |   |
|----------|---|
| 2        |   |
| 3        |   |
| 4        |   |
| 5        | (cannabi\$ or mari\$uana or nabixmol\$ or dronabinol\$ or marinol\$ or nabilon\$ or cesamet\$ or hash\$ or hemp\$ or  |
| 6        | levonantradol\$ or anandamid\$ or 2-AG) [Words] and (pain\$ or ache\$ or migraine\$) [Words]  |
|          | evolution and and and a of 2-AO) [words] and (pains of acres of migrames) [words]   |
| 7        | Science Citation Index Expanded (1909 to July 2010) and Conference Dressedings Citation Index Science (1990   |
| 8        | Science Citation Index Expanded (1900 to July 2019) and Conference Proceedings Citation Index – Science (1990   |
| 9        | to July 2019) (Web of Science) (623 hits)   |
| 10       | #5 #4 AND #3  |
| 11       | #4 TS=(random* or blind* or placebo* or meta-analys*)   |
| 12       | #3 #2 AND #1  |
| 13       | #2 TS=(pain* or ache* or migraine*)   |
| 14       | #1 TS=(cannabi* or mari*uana or nabixmol* or dronabinol* or marinol* or nabilon* or cesamet* or hash* or hemp* or   |
| 15       | levonantradol* or anandamid* or 2-AG)   |
| 16       |   |
| 10       | BIOSIS (1969 to July 2019; Web of Science) (177 hits)   |
|          | #5 #4 AND #3  |
| 18       | #4 TS=(random* or blind* or placebo* or meta-analys*)   |
| 19       | #3 #2 AND #1  |
| 20       |   |
| 21       | #1 TS=(cannabi* or mari*uana or nabixmol* or dronabinol* or marinol* or nabilon* or cesamet* or hash* or hemp* or   |
| 22       | levonantradol* or anandamid* or 2-AG)   |
| 23       | #2 TS=(pain* or ache* or migraine*)<br>#1 TS=(cannabi* or mari*uana or nabixmol* or dronabinol* or marinol* or nabilon* or cesamet* or hash* or hemp* or<br>levonantradol* or anandamid* or 2-AG) |
| 24       |   |
| 25       |   |
| 26       |   |
| 27       |   |
| 28       |   |
|          |   |
| 29       |   |
| 30       |   |
| 31       |   |
| 32       |   |
| 33       |   |
| 34       |   |
| 35       |   |
| 36       |   |
| 37       |   |
| 38       |   |
| 39       |   |
|          |   |
| 40       |   |
| 41       |   |
| 42       |   |
| 43       |   |
| 44       |   |
| 45       |   |
| 46       |   |
| 47       |   |
| 48       |   |
| 49       |   |
| 49<br>50 |   |
|          |   |
| 51       |   |
| 52       |   |
| 53       |   |
| 54       |   |
| 55       |   |
| 56       |   |
| 57       |   |
|          |   |