

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

# **BMJ Open**

#### Low level of serum aromatic amino acid associated with elevated risk of arsenic-induced skin lesions: data from a metabolomics study.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-025336
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Jul-2018
Complete List of Authors:	<ul> <li>wei, yaping; Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University town, Ouhai district, Wenzhou, China.,</li> <li>Jia, Chaonan; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Lan, Yuan; School of Ophthalmology &amp; Optometry, Wenzhou Medical University, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Hou, Xiangqing; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Zuo, Jingjing; Wenzhou Medical University School of Optometry and Ophthalmology, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Li, Jushuang; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Xuang, Tao; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Maag, Guangyun; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Preventive Medicine</li> </ul>
Keywords:	Metabolomics, Arsenic, Skin lesions, Amino acid, UPLC-MS/MS

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

#### **BMJ** Open

# Low level of serum aromatic amino acid associated with elevated risk of arsenic-induced skin lesions: data from a metabolomics study.

Yaping Wei, MS<sup>a, b</sup>, Chaonan Jia, MS<sup>a,b</sup>, Yuan Lan, MS<sup>c</sup>, Xiangqing Hou, MS<sup>a,b</sup>, Jingjing Zuo, MS<sup>c</sup>, Jushuang Li<sup>a,b</sup>, Tao Wang<sup>a,b</sup>, Guangyun Mao, MD, PhD<sup>a,b,d⊠</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health and Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.
- <sup>b</sup> Center on Evidence-Based Medicine & Clinical Epidemiological Research, School of Public Health, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>c</sup> School of Ophthalmology & Optometry, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>d</sup> Center on Clinical Research, the Affiliated Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia contributed equally to this paper.

Corresponding author: Guangyun Mao, Room 7A321, School of Public Health and Management,

Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University town, Ouhai district, Wenzhou, China. 325035

E-mail: maogy@wmu.edu.cn

Telephone: +86-577-86689848

Fax numbers: +86-577-86699122

#### **ABSTRACT:**

**Objectives** To investigate the association of specific serum amino acids (AA) with arsenic-induced skin lesions (AISL) risk and their ability to distinguish AISL from the counterparts.

Design Case-control study.

Setting 3 arsenic exposed villages in Wuyuan county of Hetao Plain, Inner Mongolia, China.

**Participants** Among 450 residents aged 18 to 79 years chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, 56 of them were diagnosed as AISL and selected as the case. Another 56 participants without AISL matched by gender and similar age ( $\pm 1$  year) from the same population were picked out as the

control. The inclusion criteria were subjects having the metabolomic test. The exclusion criteria included unmatched participants and those without serum metabolites data.

**Primary and secondary outcome measures** The outcome measure was whether it suffered from AISL. Generalized linear models and receiver operating characteristic curve analysis were performed to investigate the relationship between AISL and AA metabolism.

**Results** Two aromatic amino acid (tryptophan, phenylalanine) level were both negatively associated with AISL (P<0.05). As compared to the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile, the adjusted risk of AISL in the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quartile decreased by 56%, 89% and 82% for tryptophan, and 37%, 84% and 83% for phenylalanine, respectively. The combination of higher level of the two above-mentioned AA revealed the lowest probability to develop AISL (OR=0.06; 95%CI: 0.02, 0.22; P<0.001)). Furthermore, both AA showed moderate ability to distinguish AISL from the control, with area-under-curve (95%CI) as 0.67 (0.57, 0.77) for tryptophan and 0.70 (0.60, 0.80) for phenylalanine, respectively (all P<0.05). The combined pattern with AUC (95%CI) was 0.72 (0.62, 0.81).

**Conclusions** Current study suggests that AA metabolism may be linked to AISL onset and may play an important role in AISL's early identification. Additional studies are needed to confirm our findings. **Keywords**: Metabolomics; Arsenic; skin lesions; Amino acid ; UPLC-MS/MS.

#### **BMJ** Open

#### Strengths and limitations of this study

- The main strength of this study might be that the findings was depended on a community-based long-term arsenic exposure cohort with well-designed quality assurance and quality control throughout the study.
- Multivariable logistic models were performed to examine the association between the contributing amino acids (AA) levels and arsenic-induced skin lesions (AISL) after adjusting for some potential confounding factors, and receiver operator characteristic analysis was applied to evaluate the value and feasibility of the AA to distinguish AISL from the counterparts.
- The association between amino acid metabolism and AISL was unclear and comprehensively assessed in this study.
- The findings were mainly based on case-control study, which only revealed the association between amino acid metabolism and the risk of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship.
- The participants were mainly exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which would limit the findings extrapolated to the other arsenic exposure population via food and other ways.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Chronic arsenic exposure via drinking water is widely believed as a global health concern, affecting a large amount of people worldwide. It may give rise to several human health effects and has been documented to associate with cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer and others<sup>12</sup>. With the industrial boom and dramatic rise of worldwide water pollution including arsenic contamination in the past, the prevalence and burden of arsenic-induced health damage will continue to increase. Skin has been confirmed as one of the most common and susceptible targets of arsenic-induced health lesions. Cutaneous skin lesions are typical signs of arsenicosis after persistent arsenic exposure for a long term, characterized by hyperkeratosis and hyperpigmentation. Considerable evidences of the prevalence of arsenical skin lesions have been observed in many countries<sup>3-5</sup>. 

As arsenic induced skin lesions (AISL) have been widely accepted as the major early manifestation of arsenic toxicity<sup>6</sup> and may be indicators of susceptibility to more serious arsenic-induced health hazards<sup>7</sup>, it is particularly crucial to identify participants at risk as early as possible for preventing the onset or delaying the progression of the serious health problems effectively. Several possible mechanism may explain arsenic poisoning lesion, such as genetic differences<sup>8</sup>, oxidative stress<sup>9</sup> and epigenetic dysregulation<sup>10</sup> and so on. Studies have shown that arsenic methylation in vivo is tightly associated with metabolic syndrome<sup>11 12</sup>, which is believed to be closely related to many kinds of metabolites.

Amino acids (AA) are the "basic unit" that make up body's various proteins and necessary to maintain the health status. Some AA are important regulators of key metabolic pathways and of great importance in maximizing efficiency of food utilization, enhancing protein accretion and health improvement<sup>13 14</sup>. Abnormal metabolism of AA will disturbs whole body homeostasis, impairs growth and development, and even causes death<sup>15</sup>. So, serum AA level may be an important implication for the metabolic status and disease conditions. As a powerful tool in system biology research, metabolomic approach is beneficial on unbiased monitoring changes in endogenous

#### **BMJ** Open

metabolism-related physiological processes, providing integrative information on the distinctive features across multiple functional levels, and offering a window to capture core attributes responsible for various phenotypes, which is particularly important in understanding the relevant pathophysiological changes in a disease state, identifying novel biomarkers for person at risk screening, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of important human diseases<sup>16-18</sup>. 

Animal experiments and epidemiological study have reported obvious arsenic-related metabolomics perturbations <sup>19 20</sup>. All of these researches substantially suggest that the relationship between specific metabolites and arsenic-induced health lesions should be investigated. However, few works have been conducted to comprehensively examine the metabolic mechanism relevant to AISL. especially for the AA metabolism. Based on our previous non-targeted metabolomics data, the present study aims to quantitatively examine the association of several specific AA with the risk of AISL and fτ. 2 their ability to predict AISL.

Methods

#### **Patient and Public Involvement**

No patients were involved.

**Study Population** 

Participants enrolled in the present study came from our previous population-based chronic arsenic exposure cohort established in the Hetao plain of Inner Mongolia, China in 2010. Among 450 residents aged 18 to 79 years chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, 56 of them were diagnosed as AISL and selected as the case. Another 56 participants without AISL matched by gender and similar age  $(\pm 1 \text{ year})$  from the same population were picked out as the control. The inclusion criteria were subjects having the metabolomic test. The exclusion criteria included unmatched participants and those without serum metabolites data. The AISL was diagnosed as the presence of arsenic induced keratosis, hyperpigmentation or depigmentation by a physician from Wenzhou

medical university strictly follow the criteria of arsenicosis<sup>21</sup>. Informed consent was obtained from all
participates and this study was approved by ethics committee of Wenzhou Medical University,
Wenzhou, China.

#### 53 Data Collection and Assessment

Detailed data collection of blood and urine samples and assessment methods for clinical and sociodemographic variables had been published previously<sup>22</sup>. The epuration of various urinary arsenic species was conducted by means of a high-performance liquid chromatography coupled mass spectrometer system for separation and detection<sup>23</sup>. The species of arsenic in urine samples consisted of inorganic arsenic (iAs, [iAs<sup>o</sup> plus iAs<sup>o</sup>]), monomethyl arsenate (MMA, [MMA<sup>o</sup> plus MMA<sup>o</sup>]) and dimethyl arsenate (DMA, [DMA<sup>o</sup> plus DMA<sup>o</sup>]). All arsenic species corrected by creatinine.

#### 60 Distinct Metabolites Identification

Detection of serum metabolites were performed using ultra-performance liquid chromatography couple with tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS). The serum samples preparation and metabolites determination were described in our previous report<sup>24</sup>. The peak intensity of metabolites for the 56 pairs were acquired and then imported to MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (http://www.metaboanalyst.ca/) for statistical analysis. A partial least-squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), a supervised and well accepted pattern recognition approach, was used for the differentiation between the cases and controls. False discovery rate (FDR) adjustment p-value in univariate analysis were performed to reduce the potential impact induced by false positive on the results. The criteria used for distinct metabolites screening were defined as both variable importance plot (VIP) scores >1 in PLS-DA and the crude or FDR adjusted p-value all  $\leq 0.05$ . We identified a total of 114 extracted small molecular metabolites significantly contributed to the cases recognition. Among them, 4 metabolites were confirmed as amino acids and obviously down-regulated in the AISL group.

#### 73 Statistical Analysis

The normality of continuous data was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk test. The comparison of them

Page 7 of 21

#### **BMJ** Open

between the case and control was applied with paired *t*-test if they met normal or similar normal distribution. Otherwise, Wilcoxon signed rank test would be used. Differences in the proportion of categorical variables between the two groups were evaluated by McNemar-Bowker tests. As the distinct metabolites might be high related with each other, spearman correlation analysis was used to investigate relationship among the various screened AA. To avoid or reduce the influences due to collinearity among the AA, a multiple stepwise regression analysis was applied to screen relevant AA independently associated with AISL. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were 0.05 and 0.10, respectively. Author firstly used the locally weighted regression model to estimate the relationship between serum AA level and the risk to develop AISL. Then, generalized linear models (GLMs) were performed to examine the association between the contributing AA levels and AISL after adjusting for some potential confounding factors. The individual impacts of AA metabolites on the risk of AISL were quantified separately by odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) with GLMs in the following two ways: with AA as a categorical variable (quartiles) and as a continuous variable [scaled to interquartile range (IQR)]. The combined effects of relevant AA on AISL were also performed. In addition, receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis was applied to evaluate the value and feasibility of the AA as the potential sensitive and specific biomarker to predict AISL. Data management, analysis and figure drawing were finished using R version 3.4.4 (Copyright © 2018 The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). All tests were two-sides and P<=0.05 was set as significant level.

#### **RESULTS**

**Table 1** summarizes the general characteristics of the study population. The comparison of 96 demographical, clinical features and urinary arsenic species in the 56 pairs of subjects were presented 97 in Table 1. The median (1st quartile, 3rd quartile) of age was 50.30 (44.70, 58.70) years for cases and 98 50.40 (44.60, 58.70) years for the controls with female proportion as 58.93% and there was no 99 statistically significant difference in urinary arsenic levels between the two groups. More than half of

100 them had no history of smoking or alcohol consumption. As compared to the counterpart, the serum 101 triglycerides level in AISL participants was significantly lower (P=0.041). While the other variables 102 were similar between AISL participants and their control (P>0.05), which indicated that the 103 participants in the two groups were quite comparable to some extent.

**Table 1** Demographic characteristics of the study population<sup>ξ</sup>.

Variables	AISL <sup><math>\zeta</math></sup> (n=56)	Non-AISL <sup><math>\zeta</math></sup> (n=56)	Р
Clinical Characteristics			
Age (years)	50.30(44.70,58.70)	50.40(44.60,58.70)	0.425
Exposure year (years)	48.19±11.53	47.62±10.97	0.489
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.12±3.14	23.91±2.86	0.697
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	4.89(4.60,5.25)	5.12(4.53,5.40)	0.137
Folate (ng/mL)	4.00(3.20,5.10)	4.25(3.35,5.40)	0.392
Total homocysteine (µmol/L)	12.30(10.32,16.50)	12.67(11.21,14.69)	0.961
Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L)	6.45(5.42,7.69)	6.84(5.36,8.80)	0.603
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.58(4.10,5.69)	4.65(3.96,5.95)	0.904
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.41(0.90,1.74)	1.45(1.09,2.29)	0.041
High-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	1.19±0.34	1.16±0.31	0.675
Low-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	$3.04{\pm}0.80$	3.25±0.84	0.110
Women [# (%)]	33(58.93)	33(58.93)	1.000
Cigarette smoking [# (%)]	20(35.71)	22(39.29)	0.696
Alcohol consumption [# (%)]	17(30.91)	21(37.50)	0.464
Illiteracy [# (%)]	21(37.50)	15(25.00)	0.252
Urinary arsenic species <sup>ζ</sup>			
iAS%	0.12(0.10,0.17)	0.12(0.08,0.15)	0.148
MMA%	0.25(0.20,0.30)	0.26(0.21,0.32)	0.420
DMA%	0.62(0.57,0.71)	0.62(0.48,0.65)	0.096
tAs ( $\mu g/g$ creatinine)	140.93(104.41,208.53)	186.77(80.11,217.30)	0.445

38 105 <sup> $\xi$ </sup>AISL: arsenic induced skin lesions; the variables met normal distribution was described with mean± standard deviation; otherwise,

106 median (1<sup>st</sup> quartile, 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile) was used to describe their features. Number of cases (percentage) was used to describe the proportion 107 of categorical variables between the two groups.

 $\zeta$  iAS: inorganic arsenic (iAs<sup>-</sup>+iAs<sup>-</sup>); MMA: monomethyl arsenate (MMA<sup>-</sup>+MMA<sup>-</sup>); DMA: dimethyl arsenate (DMA<sup>-</sup>+DMA<sup>-</sup>); tAs: 109 total arsenic (iAs<sup>-</sup>+iAs<sup>-</sup>+MMA+DMA); iAs%= iAS/tAs\*100%; MMA%=MMA/tAs\*100% and DMA%=DMA/tAs\*100%.

**Table 2** shows the Four AA with FDR adjusted p-value <0.05 and VIP>1 in the cases were5111observed significantly lower than those of their counterparts. Two of them were aromatic amino7112acids (AAA) identified as phenylalanine and tryptophan, one is branched-chain amino acids (BCAA)9113appraised as leucine and the last one is phenylalanyl phenylalanine. Spearman's rank correlation to114investigate the relationships among these four AA before the following analyzes and observed that4115the AA were obviously correlated to each other with the correlation coefficient as 0.18 to 0.86 (Table

**S1**). Through a multivariable logistic stepwise regression model to obtain variables independently 117 associated with the risk to develop AISL and to avoid the potential collinearity among all 118 independent variables in the model. respectively. Finally, we found that tryptophan and 119 phenylalanine were significantly related to the AISL risk.

120	Table 2 Distinct metabolites in po	opulation with	arsenic induced sk	in lesions an	d their cou	nterparts.
	Serum amino acid metabolites	Retention	Mass-to-Charge	VIP value	n-value <sup>§</sup>	Adjusted
	Serum unino dela metabolites	time (min)	Ratio	vii vulue	p-value	p-values <sup>5</sup>
	Phenylalanine	3.402	166.087	1.508	< 0.001	0.009
	Tryptophan	3.886	203.082	1.046	0.003	0.014
	Leucine	2.642	132.102	1.014	0.001	0.020
	Phenylalanyl phenylalanine	5.048	313.155	1.833	0.004	0.033

<sup>5</sup>From Wilcoxon signed-rank test; <sup>5</sup>Adjusted by false discovery rate (FDR).

Figure 1 presents the individual association between AA and AISL. Based on locally weighted regression curve, we observed obvious "dose-response" relationships between the levels of serum tryptophan and phenylalanine with AISL. The risk to get AISL was significantly decreased in participants with high serum tryptophan and phenylalanine levels. Table 3 shows that As compared to the lowest quartile, participants with the  $3^{rd}$  and  $4^{th}$  quartiles of tryptophan were significantly linked to the decreased risk of AISL, with odd radio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) as 0.11(0.02, 0.54) for the former and 0.18(0.05, 0.70) for the latter, after adjusting for the potential confounders such as age, gender, cigarette smoking status, alcohol consumption, fasting plasma glucose, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein and iAS% (percentage of inorganic arsenic by total arsenic). A significant linear trend existed between the risk to develop AISL and serum tryptophan, decreased by 56% (OR: 0.44; 95% CI: 0.24, 0.84) with per IQR increment of serum tryptophan (P=0.013). Meanwhile, the similar association between serum phenylalanine level and AISL was also found in the present study. After adjusting for the above-mentioned potential confounding factors, the risk to get AISL for subjects in the 3rd and 4th quartiles significantly decreased by 84% (OR: 0.16; 95% CI: 0.04, 0.69) and 83% (OR: 0.17; 95% CI: 0.04, 0.68), respectively. There also existed a linear trend between the serum phenylalanine concentration and the risk of AISL, significantly

decreased by 45% (OR: 0.55; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.94) with per IQR increment of serum phenylalanine

level (P=0.028) after adjusting for the impacts due to some potential confounding factors.

140	Table 3 Relationship of	f amino acids lev	vels with the risk of	arsenic-induced skir	ι lesions <sup>ξ.</sup>

Madal	Trypto	ophan	Phenylalanine		
widdei	Crude	Adjusted <sup>ζ</sup>	Crude	Adjusted <sup>5</sup>	
Metabolite as co	ontinuous variable	•			
Per IQR	0.48 (0.27, 0.84)	0.44(0.24,0.84)	0.57 (0.36, 0.91)	0.55(0.32,0.94)	
Р	0.005	0.013	0.010	0.028	
Metabolite as ca	tegorical variable				
Q1	1.00(referent)	1.00(referent)	1.00(referent)	1.00(referent)	
Q2	0.50(0.16, 1.54)	0.44(0.14,1.43)	0.79(0.22, 2.82)	0.63(0.15,2.59)	
Q3	0.12(0.03, 0.53)	0.11 (0.02,0.54)	0.18(0.05, 0.66)	0.16(0.04,0.69)	
Q4	0.19(0.05,0.71)	0.18(0.05,0.70)	0.25(0.08, 0.79)	0.17(0.04,0.68)	
P for trend	0.008	0.005	< 0.001	< 0.001	

<sup> $\xi$ </sup> Values are odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) for arsenic-induced skin lesions from conditional logistic regression. IQR:

interquartile range; Q1: the first quartering; Q2: the second quartering; Q3: the third quartering; Q4: the fourth quartering.
 Adjusted for: age, gender, cigarette smoking status, alcohol consumption, fasting plasma glucose, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein and percentage of inorganic arsenic by total arsenic (iAS%).

**Table 4** shows the joint effect of tryptophan and phenylalanine levels on AISL We firstly classified the serum tryptophan and phenylalanine into two categories with the cut-off value of the mass spectrum peak area based on the ROC analysis as 1730858.446 for the former and 1649420.815 for the latter, respectively. The higher level of these two serum AA was separately defined as equal to or over the cut-off value, while the lower categories were considered as less than the associated cut-off values. As compared to the reference group (both serum tryptophan and phenylalanine were at the lower levels), after adjusting for the impacts induced by some potential confounding factors, participants with higher level of both tryptophan and phenylalanine had the lowest risk to get AISL, significantly decreased by 94% (OR=0.06; 95%CI: 0.02, 0.22; P<0.001). This suggested that AISL jointly and negatively associated with serum tryptophan and phenylalanine concentration. While no significant interaction between tryptophan and phenylalanine on the occurrence of skin damage could be observed (P=0.462).

**Table 4** Joint association between tryptophan and phenylalanine levels with arsenic-induced skin
 lesions.

Т	ryptophan	Phenylalanine	N	$C_{accor}(0/)$	Crude		Adjusted <sup>ζ</sup>	
<ci< td=""><td>ut-off value<sup>ξ</sup></td><td><cut-off value<sup="">ξ</cut-off></td><td>IN</td><td>Cases (%)</td><td>OR(95%CI)</td><td>Р</td><td>OR(95%CI)</td><td>Р</td></ci<>	ut-off value <sup>ξ</sup>	<cut-off value<sup="">ξ</cut-off>	IN	Cases (%)	OR(95%CI)	Р	OR(95%CI)	Р
	Yes	Yes	35	26(74.3)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.

			•	12((0.0)	0.50(0.16.1.60)	0.050	0.45(0.10.1.55)	
	Yes	No Vec	20 24	12(60.0) 12(50.0)	0.52(0.16, 1.68) 0.35(0.12, 1.04)	0.273	0.45(0.13, 1.55) 0.28(0.09, 0.91)	0.204
	No	No	33	6(18.2)	0.33(0.12,1.04) 0.08(0.02,0.25)	<0.039	0.28(0.09, 0.91) 0.06(0.02, 0.22)	<0.034
	Interaction			•(-•)		0.320	,	0.462
159	<sup>ξ</sup> Cut-off value was de	etermined by mea	ns of recei	ver operator cl	naracteristic (ROC) ana	lysis.		
160 161	<sup>5</sup> Adjusted for age,	gender, cigarette	e smoking	g status, alcoh	nol consumption, fasti $(i \land S^{9/4})$	ng plasma g	lucose, triglycerides, l	ow-density
101					(17570).			
162	Table 5 sho	ws that base	d on the	e ROC ana	lysis, both serum	tryptopha	in and phenylalani	ne were
163	suggested to be	e potential b	oiomark	ers in dist	inguishing AISL	from a	chronic arsenic e	xposure
164	population (P=0	).0020 and <i>I</i>	P=0.001	7). The ar	ea under the cur	ve (AUC)	) and its related 9	95% CI,
165	sensitivity, speci	ificity, positiv	ve predi	ctive value	and negative pre	dictive va	lue were 0.67 (0.5	7, 0.77),
66	69.64%, 62.50%	5, 65.00 and	67.31%	for tryptop	ohan, and 0.70 (0.	60, 0.80),	69.64%, 69.64%,	69.64%
167	and 69.64% fo	r phenylala	nine, re	spectively.	For the combi	ned predi	ction of tryptoph	nan and
168	phenylalanine of	n the AISL r	isk, the	AUC (95%	G CI), sensitivity,	specificity	y, positive predicti	ve value
169	and negative p	redictive va	lue we	re 0.72 (0	0.62, 0.81), 76.7	9%, 58.9	3%, 65.15 and	71.74%,
170	respectively. Ou	r results sug	gested t	hat these tw	wo AA could be a	either indi	vidually or jointly	used as
171	indicators of ars	enic induced	skin les	ions identi	fication.			
172								
.,_								
	1	For peer review	w onlv - ł	http://bmior	oen.bmi.com/site/a	bout/auide	elines.xhtml	
			, '					

2	
2	
2	
4	
5	
2	
6	
7	
,	
8	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
17	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
19	
12	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
21	
21	
32	
33	
55	
34	
35	
26	
30	
37	
20	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
13	
-1-5	
44	
45	
4J	
46	
47	
40	
4ð	
49	
50	
50	
51	
52	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
57	
58	
50	
22	

1

173 Table 5 Combination of diagnostic indicators and ROC analysis re	esults <sup>ξ</sup> .
--	-----------------------

Table 5 Comonat	Table 5 Combination of diagnostic indicators and ROC analysis results.					
Indicators	AUC(95%CI)	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	Predict+, %	Predict-, %	Р
Tryptophan	0.67(0.57,0.77)	69.64	62.50	65.00	67.31	0.0020
Phenylalanine	0.70(0.60,0.80)	69.64	69.64	69.64	69.64	0.0017
Combined <sup><math>\zeta</math></sup>	0.72(0.62,0.81)	76.79	58.93	65.15	71.74	< 0.001

<sup>5</sup> ROC: a receiver operator characteristic; AUC: area under the roc curve; CI: confidence interval; The sensitivities, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were calculated at their best cut-off points; Predict+: positive predictive value; Predict-: negative predictive value.

177 <sup>c</sup> Combined: tryptophan and phenylalanine. The combination is modeled according to the formula  $\beta 1X1 + \beta 2X2$ , with Xj denoting the standardized value for the j<sup>th</sup> amino acid and  $\beta j$  denoting the regression coefficient from the logistic regression model.

#### 179 **DISCUSSION**

In the present study, the association of serum tryptophan and phenylalanine, screened in our previous non-targeted metabolomics study using UPLC-MS/MS, with AISL risk and their ability to indicate AISL occurrence were quantitatively evaluated in individual and joint modes. Our results clearly showed that the risk of AISL significantly and negatively associated with serum tryptophan and phenylalanine levels in a chronic arsenic exposure population via drinking water. It was suggested that abnormal amino acids metabolism independently linked to AISL and some specific AA might play an

186 important role in the early identification of AISL.

187 Participants enrolled in the current study were chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water. 188 The geometric mean (GM) and its related 95% CI of urinary iAs/creatinine and tAs/creatinine in this 189 population were 17.49 (14.90, 20.53)  $\mu$ g/g and 147.20 (129.00, 167.97)  $\mu$ g/g, respectively. They were 190 much higher than those in the 20-ug/L-exposed to arsenic via drinking water [GM (95% CI): 0.4 191 (0.3,0.5) µg/g for iAs and 9.1 (6.5,12.7) µg/g for tAs], while obviously lower than those in the 192 90-µg/L-exposed group [GM (95% CI): 39.4 (31.4, 49.6) µg/g for iAs and 248.7 (208.8, 296.3)  $\mu g/g$ <sup>25</sup>. The available reports show that arsenic induced health lesions can scarcely been cured though 193 194 the medical technology has made great progress in the  $past^{26}$ . It is crucial to identify those who are 195 most likely to progress to overt arsenic damages including AISL among people at risk as early as possible. Due to the arsenic methylation<sup>9</sup>, genetic differences<sup>8</sup>, and other possible metabolic 196 mechanisms<sup>10</sup>, some residents exposed to arsenic will not develop to severe arsenic poisoning, 197 especially for those at the early stages of arsenic exposure<sup>4</sup>. Metabolomics study, which mainly focus 198

Page 13 of 21

#### **BMJ** Open

on thoroughly assessing the variation of metabolites possibly linked to diseases occurrence and development, has been widely utilized to help us understanding the pathogenesis and others because of its much closer to the phenotypes as compared to other 'OMICs' study<sup>27</sup>. Moreover, mathematical modeling to assess the linkage between small molecular metabolites and arsenic toxicity has  $grown^{28}$ . Developing a simple and interpretable modeling approach for the early detection of arsenic induced health lesions is of great theoretical value and realistic meaning<sup>29</sup>, though it might be difficult due to population specific complexities and the impacts due to some potential unmeasured covariates such as diet and genetic determinants.

Previous studies reported that gene-gene and gene-environment interaction were involved in arsenicosis through toxicological mechanisms including genomic instability<sup>30</sup> and oxidative stress<sup>31</sup>. Skin hyperpigmentation and palmoplantar hyperkeratosis could be biomarkers for long-term (many years) internal dose. Identifying the differences in metabolites that are really associated with phenotypes through metabolites analysis may promote our understanding and identification of AISL. Animal study reveals that the disruption of amino acid metabolism upon arsenic exposure in rat which may be beneficial on understanding the arsenic toxicity<sup>32</sup>. A recent population-based metabolomics study also shows that the serum metabolites alteration significantly related to the risk of arsenic-induced health damages<sup>24</sup>. In the current study, three of the four amino acids are BCAA or AAA are observed to be relevant to AISL occurrence. Several studies across numerous ethnic backgrounds supports the usage of BCAA including leucine, isoleucine as well as valine and AAA profile such as phenylalanine, tryptophan and tyrosine as biomarkers in determining metabolic diseases<sup>27 33</sup>. Simultaneously, Zhou *et al* report that arsenic-induced transformed cells exhibit apparent alterations in metabolite profiles including down-regulated of leucine, tryptophan, and phenylalanine in skin lesions group<sup>34</sup>. Consistent with Zhou's findings, two serum AAA (tryptophan, phenylalanine) levels significantly associated with elevated risk to get AISL in our study.

Normal metabolism of AA are necessary for whole body homeostasis, growth and development, and body health<sup>15</sup>. Studies have reported that the changes in the availability of AAA lead to a profound effect on cell signaling, gene expression, brain, and neuroendocrine function<sup>35</sup>. Tryptophan. an amino acid metabolism related biomarker, is also a sensitive and specific indicator of oxidation. Tryptophan metabolism in mammals is a physiological means of preserving immune homeostasis and associated with oxidative stress, and inflammation<sup>36 37</sup>. In addition, phenylalanine can be transformed into specific neurotransmitters such as dopamine and adrenaline by the action of related enzymes. Wu and colleagues<sup>38</sup> report that arsenic exposure will cause neurotransmitter metabolism disturbance, which may explained the reduction of phenylalanine. Furthermore, as one of the peptide-bound phenylalanine, phenylalanyl phenylalanine has been reported to affect protein synthesis and secretion<sup>39</sup>, potentially indicating the possible relation between endothelium dysfunction and phenylalanine metabolism disorder.

The relationship between amino acid metabolism and AISL was unclear. The notable alteration of tryptophan and phenylalanine in this study may well indicate the occurrence of metabolic disorders due to arsenic exposure, is beneficial on intensive understanding the effects of arsenic toxicity and is of great importance and realistic value in the early identification of the occurrence as well as delaying the progression of various arsenic-induced health lesions including AISL. The main strength of this study might be that the findings were depended on a community-based long-term arsenic exposure cohort with well-designed quality assurance and quality control throughout the study. However, the findings are mainly based on case-control study, which only revealed the association between amino acid metabolism and the risk of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship. The participants were mainly exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which would limit the findings extrapolated to the other arsenic exposure population via food and other ways. Therefore, Additional elaborate population-based studies are needed to verify our discoveries.

#### **BMJ** Open

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
2 6	
0	
/	
8	
9	
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	ر د
1	0
1	/
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	1
2	-
2	2
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
3	3
2	1
ר ר	4 7
2	2
3	6
3	7
3	8
3	9
4	0
4	1
4	2
4	3
۵	Δ
1	5
4	2
4	0
4	1
4	8
4	9
5	0
5	1

250	low-level arsenic population via drinking water.

Taken together, two AAA's (tryptophan, phenylalanine) reduction were closely linked to the higher risk of AISL. It also suggests that tryptophan and phenylalanine are useful for distinguishing AISL earlier or screening of the high-risk individuals from their counterparts in a long-term exposed to

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

**Contributors:** Guangyun Mao and Yaping Wei designed the study. Chaonan Jia participated in collecting data. Yuan Lan and Chaonan Jia audited the data. Yaping Wei, Xiangqing hou, Jushuang Li, Tao Wang conducted the literature search, Yaping Wei, Chaonan Jia conducted statistical analysis and interpreted the results. Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Guangyun Mao reviewed the final manuscript and did substantial contributions.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Science Technology Department of Zhejiang Province grant (2013C33169), the initial Scientific Research Fund (KYQD170301) and the major project of the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (YNZD201602).

**Acknowledgments:** We gratefully acknowledge all of the study participants of this project. We wish to thank all of the dedication and hard work of the field team at the department of preventive medicine, school of public health and management, Wenzhou medical university.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Sharing Statement: No additional unpublished data are available.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Mandal BK, Suzuki KT. Arsenic round the world: a review. Talanta. 2002; 58:201-35.
- 2. Smith AH, Steinmaus CM. Health Effects of Arsenic and Chromium in Drinking Water: Recent Human Findings. Annual Review Of Public Health. Palo Alto: Annual Reviews, 2009:107-22.
- 3. Guha Mazumder DN, Haque R, Ghosh N, et al. Arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence of skin lesions in West Bengal, India. International journal of epidemiology. 1998; 27:871-7.
- 4. Guo XJ, Fujino Y, Kaneko S, et al. Arsenic contamination of groundwater and prevalence of arsenical dermatosis in the Hetao plain area, Inner Mongolia, China. Mol Cell Biochem. 2001; 222:137-40.
- 5. Lindberg AL, Rahman M, Persson LA, et al. The risk of arsenic induced skin lesions in Bangladeshi men and women is affected by arsenic metabolism and the age at first exposure. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. 2008; 230:9-16.
- 6. Tondel M, Rahman M, Magnuson A, et al. The relationship of arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence rate of skin lesions in Bangladesh. Environmental Health Perspectives. 1999; 107:727-29.
- 7. Hsu LI, Chen GS, Lee CH, et al. Use of Arsenic-Induced Palmoplantar Hyperkeratosis and Skin Cancers to Predict Risk of Subsequent Internal Malignancy. Am J Epidemiol. 2013; 177:202-12.
- 8. Luo LR, Li YY, Gao YH, et al. Association between arsenic metabolism gene polymorphisms and arsenic-induced skin lesions in individuals exposed to high-dose inorganic arsenic in northwest China. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:12.
- 9. Hughes MF, Beck BD, Chen Y, et al. Arsenic Exposure and Toxicology: A Historical Perspective. Toxicol Sci. 2011; 123:305-32.
- 10. Ren X, McHale CM, Skibola CF, et al. An Emerging Role for Epigenetic Dysregulation in Arsenic Toxicity and Carcinogenesis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2011; 119:11-19.
- 11. Chen J-W, Wang S-L, Wang Y-H, et al. Arsenic methylation, GSTO1 polymorphisms, and metabolic syndrome in an arseniasis endemic area of southwestern Taiwan. Chemosphere. 2012; 88:432-38.
- 12. Wang S-L, Chang F-H, Liou S-H, et al. Inorganic arsenic exposure and its relation to metabolic syndrome in an industrial area of Taiwan. Environment International. 2007; 33:805-11.
- Liu R, Li H, Fan WJ, et al. Leucine Supplementation Differently Modulates Branched-Chain Amino Acid Catabolism, Mitochondrial Function and Metabolic Profiles at the Different Stage of Insulin Resistance in Rats on High-Fat Diet. Nutrients. 2017; 9:20.
- 14. Platten M, Wick W, Van den Eynde BJ. Tryptophan Catabolism in Cancer: Beyond IDO and Tryptophan Depletion. Cancer Res. 2012; 72:5435-40.
- 15. Wu G. Amino acids: metabolism, functions, and nutrition. Amino Acids. 2009; 37:1-17.
- Casadei L, Valerio M, Manetti C. Metabolomics: Challenges and Opportunities in Systems Biology Studies. Methods Mol Biol. 2018; 1702:327-36.
- 17. Johnson CH, Gonzalez FJ. Challenges and opportunities of metabolomics. J Cell Physiol. 2012; 227:2975-81.
- 18. Hui AY, McCarty WJ, Masuda K, et al. A systems biology approach to synovial joint lubrication in health, injury, and disease. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med. 2012; 4:15-37.
- Lu K, Abo RP, Schlieper KA, et al. Arsenic Exposure Perturbs the Gut Microbiome and Its Metabolic Profile in Mice: An Integrated Metagenomics and Metabolomics Analysis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2014; 122:284-91.
- Zhang J, Shen H, Xu W, et al. Urinary Metabolomics Revealed Arsenic Internal Dose-Related Metabolic Alterations: A Proof-of-Concept Study in a Chinese Male Cohort. Environmental Science & Technology. 2014; 48:12265-74.
- 21. Standard of diagnosis for endemic arsenism of China (WS/T211-2001),the Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China. Beijing. 2001.
- 22. Guo X, Cui H, Zhang H, et al. Protective Effect of Folic Acid on Oxidative DNA Damage: A Randomized, Double-Blind, and Placebo Controlled Clinical Trial. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015; 94:e1872.
- 23. Chen X, Guo X, He P, et al. Interactive Influence of N6AMT1 and As3MT Genetic Variations on Arsenic Metabolism in the Population of Inner Mongolia, China. Toxicol Sci. 2017; 155:124-34.

- 24. Jia C, Wei Y, Guan X, et al. Comprehensive Analysis of the Metabolomic Characteristics on the Health Lesions Induced by Chronic Arsenic Exposure: A Metabolomics Study. (under review).
- 25. Sun G, Xu Y, Li X, et al. Urinary arsenic metabolites in children and adults exposed to arsenic in drinking water in Inner Mongolia, China. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2007; 115:648-52.
- 26. Kuo CC, Moon KA, Wang SL, et al. The Association of Arsenic Metabolism with Cancer, Cardiovascular Disease, and Diabetes: A Systematic Review of the Epidemiological Evidence. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2017; 125:15.
- 27.Wang TJ, Larson MG, Vasan RS, et al. Metabolite profiles and the risk of developing diabetes. Nature Medicine. 2011; 17:448-U83.
- Lawley SD, Cinderella M, Hall MN, et al. Mathematical model insights into arsenic detoxification. Theor Biol Med Model. 2011; 8:31.
- 29. Juhasz AL, Herde P, Herde C, et al. Validation of the predictive capabilities of the Sbrc-G in vitro assay for estimating arsenic relative bioavailability in contaminated soils. Environ Sci Technol. 2014; 48:12962-9.
- 30. Kibriya MG, Jasmine F, Parvez F, et al. Association between genome-wide copy number variation and arsenic-induced skin lesions: a prospective study. Environ Health. 2017; 16:13.
- 31. Priori R, Scrivo R, Brandt J, et al. Metabolomics in rheumatic diseases: the potential of an emerging methodology for improved patient diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment efficacy. Autoimmun Rev. 2013; 12:1022-30.
- 32. Wang X, Mu X, Zhang J, et al. Serum metabolomics reveals that arsenic exposure disrupted lipid and amino acid metabolism in rats: a step forward in understanding chronic arsenic toxicity. Metallomics Integrated Biometal Science. 2015; 7:544.
- 33. Weng L, Quinlivan E, Gong Y, et al. Association of Branched and Aromatic Amino Acids Levels with Metabolic Syndrome and Impaired Fasting Glucose in Hypertensive Patients. Metabolic Syndrome And Related Disorders. 2015; 13:195-202.
- 34. Zhou YY, Wang YF, Su J, et al. Integration of microRNAome, proteomics and metabolomics to analyze arsenic-induced malignant cell transformation. Oncotarget. 2017; 8:90879-96.
- 35. Lee JC, Chen MJ, Chang CH, et al. Plasma amino acid levels in patients with colorectal cancers and liver cirrhosis with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepato-Gastroenterol. 2003; 50:1269-73.
- 36. Munn DH, Mellor AL. Indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase and metabolic control of immune responses. Trends In Immunology. 2013; 34:137-43.
- 37. Pawlak K, Domaniewski T, Mysliwiec M, et al. The kynurenines are associated with oxidative stress, inflammation and the prevalence of cardiovascular disease in patients with end-stage renal disease. Atherosclerosis. 2009; 204:309-14.
- 38. Wu L-L, Gong W, Shen S-P, et al. Multiple metal exposures and their correlation with monoamine neurotransmitter metabolism in Chinese electroplating workers. Chemosphere. 2017; 182:745-52.
- 39. Zhou MM, Wu YM, Liu HY, et al. Effects of phenylalanine and threonine oligopeptides on milk protein synthesis in cultured bovine mammary epithelial cells. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr. 2015; 99:215-20.

#### **BMJ** Open

1 2 3	Figure 1 Association between the peak intensity of tryptophan and phenylalanine and
4 5 6	arsenic-induced skin lesions based on multivariable locally weighted regression models. a:tryptophan;
7 8 9 10 11	b:phenylalanine.
12 13 14 15 16 17	
18 19 20 21 22	
23 24 25 26 27	
28 29 30 31 32	
33 34 35 36 37	
38 39 40 41	
42 43 44	







Figure 1 Association between the peak intensity of tryptophan and phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions based on multivariable locally weighted regression models. a: tryptophan; b: phenylalanine.

90x31mm (300 x 300 DPI)

BMJ Open

Metabolites	Tryptophan	Leucine	Phenylalanine	Phenylalanyl phenylal
Tryptophan Leucine Phenylalanine Phenylalanyl phenylalanin	- e	0.20(0.038)	0.22(0.022) 0.86(<.0001) -	0.18(0.061) 0.57(<.0001) 0.60(<.0001)
$\xi$ Data were presented as the coefficient of the	fficient of correlation	on (p-value).		

# **BMJ Open**

#### Tryptophan, phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions in a chronic arsenic exposure Chinese population via drinking water: data from a metabolomics study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-025336.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-Dec-2018
Complete List of Authors:	<ul> <li>wei, yaping; Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University town, Ouhai district, Wenzhou, China.,</li> <li>Jia, Chaonan; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Lan, Yuan; School of Ophthalmology &amp; Optometry, Wenzhou Medical</li> <li>University, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Hou, Xiangqing; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Zuo, Jingjing; Wenzhou Medical University School of Optometry and Ophthalmology, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of</li> <li>Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Li, Jushuang; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical</li> <li>University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Wang, Tao; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical</li> <li>University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Mao, Guangyun; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Preventive Medicine</li> </ul>
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Occupational and environmental medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Public health, Occupational and environmental medicine
Keywords:	Metabolomics, Chronic arsenic exposure, Skin lesions, Amino acid, UPLC-MS/MS
	·

### SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

Tryptophan, phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions in a chronic arsenic exposure Chinese population via drinking water: data from a metabolomics study

Yaping Wei<sup>1,2</sup>, Chaonan Jia,<sup>1,2</sup>, Yuan Lan<sup>3</sup>, Xiangqing Hou<sup>1,2</sup>, Jingjing Zuo<sup>3</sup>, Jushuang Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Tao Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Guangyun Mao<sup>1,2,4⊠</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health and Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>2</sup> Center on Evidence-Based Medicine & Clinical Epidemiological Research, School of Public Health, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>3</sup> School of Ophthalmology & Optometry, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>4</sup> Center on Clinical Research, the Affiliated Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia contributed equally to this paper.

Corresponding author: Guangyun Mao, Room 7A321, School of Public Health and Management,

Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University town, Ouhai district, Wenzhou, China. 325035

E-mail: <u>maogy@wmu.edu.cn</u>

Telephone: +86-577-86689848

Fax numbers: +86-577-86699122

#### **ABSTRACT:**

**Objectives** To investigate the association of specific serum amino acids (AAs) with the odds of arsenic-induced skin lesions (AISL) and their ability to distinguish AISL from the counterparts.

Design Case-control study.

Setting Three arsenic exposed villages in Wuyuan county of Hetao Plain, Inner Mongolia, China.

**Participants** Among 450 residents aged 18 to 79 years chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, 56 of them were diagnosed as AISL and defined as the cases. Another 56 participants without AISL matched by gender and similar age ( $\pm 1$  year) from the same population were picked out as the

controls. The inclusion criteria were subjects having the metabolomics determination. Unmatched participants and those without serum metabolites data were excluded.

**Primary and secondary outcome measures** The outcome was whether it suffered from AISL. Multivariable conditional logistic regression models and receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis were performed to investigate the relationship between specific AAs and AISL.

**Results** The level of two aromatic AAs (tryptophan, phenylalanine) were both negatively associated with AISL (P<0.05). As compared to the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile, the adjusted odds of AISL in the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quartile decreased by 69%, 90% and 84% for tryptophan, and 14%, 80% and 76% for phenylalanine, respectively. The combination of the two aforementioned higher level AAs revealed the lowest odds of AISL (OR=0.06; 95%CI: 0.02, 0.22; P<0.001)). Furthermore, both AAs showed moderate ability to distinguish AISL from the control, with area-under-curve [(AUC), 95%CI] as 0.67 (0.57, 0.77) for tryptophan and 0.70 (0.60, 0.80) for phenylalanine, respectively (all P<0.05). The combined pattern with AUC (95%CI) was 0.72 (0.62, 0.81), sensitivity of 76.79% and specificity of 58.93% (P<0.001).

**Conclusions** Specific AAs might be linked to AISL and play an important role in its early identification. Additional studies are needed to confirm our findings.

Keywords: Metabolomics; Chronic arsenic exposure; Skin lesions; Amino acid; UPLC-MS/MS.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our findings were depended on a community-based metabolomics study with paired-design, strictly quality assurance and quality control.
- Multivariable conditional logistic models were performed to examine the association between specific amino acid levels and AISL, and ROC analysis was applied to evaluate the value and feasibility of the AA to distinguish AISL from the counterparts.
- Although the AAs were determined by untargeted metabolomics approach, which can assess a large amount of metabolites precisely and efficiently, we only can obtain relative levels of AAs instead of their accurate quantitative concentration.
- ➤ The findings were based on a case-control study, which only revealed the association between the AAs and the odds of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship.
- The participants were mainly chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which would limit the findings extrapolated to another arsenic exposure population via food or other ways.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Chronic arsenic exposure via drinking water is widely believed as a global health concern, affecting a large amount of people worldwide. It may give rise to several human health issues and has been documented to associate with cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer and others<sup>1 2</sup>. With the industrial boom and dramatic rise of worldwide water pollution including arsenic contamination in the past, the prevalence and burden of arsenic-induced health damage will continue to increase. Skin has been confirmed as one of the most common and susceptible target of arsenic-induced health lesions. Cutaneous skin lesions are typical signs of arsenicosis after persistent arsenic exposure for a long term which are characterized by hyperkeratosis and hyperpigmentation. Considerable evidences of the prevalence of arsenical skin lesions had been observed in many countries<sup>3-5</sup>.

As arsenic-induced skin lesions (AISL) have been widely accepted as the major early manifestation of arsenic toxicity<sup>6</sup> and might be indicators of susceptibility to more serious arsenic-induced health hazards<sup>7</sup>, it is particularly crucial to identify participants at risk as early as possible for preventing the onset or delaying the progression of the serious health problems effectively. Several possible mechanism such as genetic differences<sup>8</sup>, oxidative stress<sup>9</sup> and epigenetic dysregulation<sup>10</sup> and others may explain arsenic poisoning. Previous studies also reported that arsenic methylation in vivo might be associated with metabolic syndrome<sup>1112</sup>.

Amino acids (AAs) are the "basic unit" that make up the body's various proteins and necessary to maintain the health. Some AAs are important regulators of some key metabolic pathways and have great importance in maximizing efficiency of food utilization, enhancing protein accretion and health improvement<sup>13</sup><sup>14</sup>. Abnormal metabolism of AAs will disturb the homeostasis of the body, impairs growth and development, and even causes death<sup>15</sup>. So, the levels of serum AAs may be an important implication for the metabolic status and disease condition. As a powerful tool in system biology research, metabolomics approach is beneficial on unbiased monitoring changes in endogenous metabolism-related physiological processes, providing integrative information on the distinctive

features across multiple functional levels, and offering a window to capture the core attributes responsible for various phenotypes, which are particularly important in understanding the relevant pathophysiological changes of a disease and its status, identifying novel biomarkers for risk screening, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of important human diseases<sup>16-18</sup>.

Animal experiments and epidemiological study have reported obvious arsenic-related metabolomics perturbations<sup>19 20</sup>. All of these researches substantially suggests that the relationship between specific metabolites and arsenic-induced health lesions should be investigated. However, few works have been conducted to comprehensively examine the metabolic mechanism relevant to AISL, especially for the AAs metabolism. The present study aims to quantitatively examine the association of several specific AAs with AISL and the ability to identify AISL.

#### **Methods**

#### **Patient and Public Involvement**

No patients were involved.

#### **Study Population**

ble This study was originally from a randomized, double-blind, and placebo controlled clinical trial (NCT02235948) in 2010, in which all subjects were randomly selected using permuted block randomization from a single rural area in a population chronically exposed to low-level arsenic drinking water, had similar life style and influences under similar environmental factors. Information on the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the participants could be found in our previous study<sup>21</sup>. Strictly following the criteria of arsenicosis<sup>22</sup>. AISL was diagnosed as the presence of arsenicinduced keratosis, hyperpigmentation or depigmentation by a physician from Wenzhou medical university at the beginning of the trial. Among 450 residents aged 18 to 79 years old enrolled in the above-mentioned trial, 56 of them were diagnosed as AISL and selected as the case. Another 56 participants without AISL matched by gender and similar age  $(\pm 1 \text{ year})$  from the same population Page 7 of 26

#### **BMJ** Open

were picked out as the control. The inclusion criteria were subjects having the metabolomic test. Unmatched participants and those without serum metabolites data were excluded. Informed consent was obtained from all participants and this study was approved by the ethics committee of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

#### 4 Data Collection and Assessment

Blood and urine samples were also collected at the time of participants' enrollment. Detailed data collection of blood and urine samples and assessment methods for clinical and sociodemographic variables had been published previously<sup>21</sup>. The epuration of various urinary arsenic species were conducted by means of a high-performance liquid chromatography coupled mass spectrometer system for separation and detection<sup>23</sup>. The species of arsenic in urine samples consisted of inorganic arsenic (iAs, [iAs<sup>III</sup> plus iAs<sup>V</sup>]), monomethyl arsenate (MMA, [MMA<sup>III</sup> plus MMA<sup>V</sup>]) and dimethyl arsenate (DMA, [DMA<sup>III</sup> plus DMA<sup>V</sup>]). All arsenic species were corrected by creatinine.

#### 3 UPLC-MS/MS Metabonomic Profiling

Serum samples ( 200  $\mu$ L in microcentrifuge tubes) were thawed to room temperature (25°C) and 600  $\mu$ L mixture (90% acetonitrile - 10% water) were added to each sample. The samples were vigorously mixed for 20 seconds and centrifuged for 5 min at 12000 rpm (20°C). The top 400  $\mu$ L of each supernatant were then transferred and dried down in a vacuum concentrator centrifuge. The dried samples were re-suspended in 130  $\mu$ L of water (including 15% acetonitrile), mixed vigorously for 20 seconds and repeated the centrifugation method described above. Two  $\mu$ L of the supernatant were collected as samples to be determined. Serum metabolic profile acquisition was performed by using ACQUITY UPLC<sup>®</sup>/Xevo<sup>®</sup> G2 QTof/MS<sup>E</sup> (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). Chromatographic separation was performed at 50°C using a WATERS HSS T3 column (2.1×100 mm, 1.7  $\mu$ m) with a flow rate of 0.4mL/min. The mobile phase was a mixture of (A) H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% formic acid and (B) methanol with 0.1% formic acid. Elution was in linear gradient with the

programmed gradient at 0 min with 100% A and 0% B, 1.00min with 100%A and 0% B, 8 min with 0%A and 100% B, 13.00 min with 0% A and 100% B. The mass spectrometer was operated under both positive-ion (ESI<sup>+</sup>) mode and negative-ion (ESI<sup>-</sup>) mode electrospray ionization. The scan range was from 50 to 1200 m/z. Data was collected in both ESI<sup>+</sup> and ESI<sup>-</sup> modes. Capillary voltage was set at 3000 V and 2500 V, respectively. The desolvation flow rate was 800 L/h at 350°C. Argon was used as a collision gas, and the collision energy was adjusted from 10 eV to 40 eV for each analysis. Quantum clustering (QC) samples were prepared by pooling aliquots of each sample and used to reflect the reliability of further metabolomics analysis. After peak deconvolution, alignment, integration and normalization, the data including retention time (RT), mass to charge ratio(m/z), and peak intensity were extracted from raw chromatograms using Progenesis QI 2.0 (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). The MS/MS mode was performed to obtain metabolites levels processed with MarkerLynx Applications Manager Version 4.1 (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA).

#### 87 Distinct Metabolites Identification

The peak intensity of metabolites for the 56 pairs were acquired and then imported to MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (http://www.metaboanalyst.ca/) for statistical analysis. A partial least-squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), which is a supervised and well accepted pattern recognition approach, was used for the differentiation between the cases and controls. False discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-value in univariate analysis were performed to reduce the potential impact induced by false positive of the results. The criteria used in the selection of metabolites include variable importance in the project (VIP) scores >1 in PLS-DA and the crude or FDR adjusted p-value all < 0.05. We identified a total of 70 extracted small molecular metabolites that were linked to the recognition of AISL. Among them, 4 metabolites were confirmed as AAs and obviously down-regulated in the AISL group.

#### Statistical Analysis

The normality of continuous data was assessed using both QQ-plots and Shapiro-Wilk test. The

Page 9 of 26

1

#### **BMJ** Open

comparison between the cases and controls was performed with the paired *t*-test if they met normal or similar normal distribution. Otherwise, Wilcoxon signed rank test would be used. Differences in the proportion of categorical variables between the two groups were evaluated by McNemar-Bowker tests. We firstly used locally weighted regression (Loess) models to estimate the "real" relationship between serum AAs levels and the odds of AISL. Then, multivariable conditional logistic regression models were performed to examine the association between the contributing AAs levels and AISL after adjusting for some potential confounding factors. The individual impacts of AA metabolites on the risk of AISL were quantified separately by odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) in the following two ways: with AA as a categorical variable (quartiles) and as a continuous variable [scaled to interquartile range (IQR)]. As the distinct metabolites might be high related to each other, collinearity should be well considered. To screen appropriate covariates in the logistic models, some potential risk factors of AISL such as the duration of arsenic exposure, serum folate, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, blood urea nitrogen and others were added in the model as covariate, respectively. As too many covariates in a multiple regression model will lead to overfitting to some extent<sup>24</sup>, we finally select no more than 5 variables as confounding factors to decrease the potential overfitting when assessing the association between AAs and AISL. Meanwhile, we used the variance inflation factor (VIF) based on the VIF package of R software to detect potential collinearity among the AAs. When the VIF is greater than 1.5, it would be considered as collinearity existed in the model and the associated variable would be removed from the model. The combined effects of relevant AAs on AISL were also performed. In addition, receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis was applied to evaluate the value and feasibility of the AAs as the potential sensitive and specific biomarker to recognize AISL. Data management, analysis and figure drawing were finished using R version 3.4.4 (Copyright © 2018 The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). All tests were two-sides and P<=0.05 was set as significant level.

124 **RESULTS** 

**Table 1** summarizes the general characteristics of the study population. The comparison of demographical, clinical features and urinary arsenic species in the 56 pairs of subjects were presented in Table 1. The median (1<sup>st</sup> quartile, 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile) age of AISL population was 50.30 (44.70, 58.70) and 50.40 (44.60, 58.70) years for the controls. Both groups have the same proportion of female population (58.93%), and there were no obvious statistical difference in the urinary arsenic levels between the two groups. More than half of them had no history of smoking or alcohol consumption. When compared to the controls, the serum triglycerides level in AISL participants was significantly lower (P=0.041). While the other variables were similar between AISL participants and the control (P>0.05). This indicates that the participants in two groups are comparable to some extent.

**Table 1** Demographic characteristics of the study population<sup> $\xi$ </sup>

Variables	AISL <sup><math>\zeta</math></sup> (n=56)	Non-AISL <sup>ζ</sup> (n=56)	Р
Clinical Characteristics	$\bigcirc$		
Age (years)	50.30(44.70,58.70)	50.40(44.60,58.70)	0.425
Exposure year (years)	48.19±11.53	47.62±10.97	0.489
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.12±3.14	23.91±2.86	0.697
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	4.89(4.60,5.25)	5.12(4.53,5.40)	0.137
Folate (ng/mL)	4.00(3.20,5.10)	4.25(3.35,5.40)	0.392
Total homocysteine (µmol/L)	12.30(10.32,16.50)	12.67(11.21,14.69)	0.961
Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L)	6.45(5.42,7.69)	6.84(5.36,8.80)	0.603
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.58(4.10,5.69)	4.65(3.96,5.95)	0.904
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.41(0.90,1.74)	1.45(1.09,2.29)	0.041
High-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	1.19±0.34	1.16±0.31	0.675
Low-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	$3.04{\pm}0.80$	3.25±0.84	0.110
Women [# (%)]	33(58.93)	33(58.93)	1.000
Cigarette smoking [# (%)]	20(35.71)	22(39.29)	0.696
Alcohol consumption [# (%)]	17(30.91)	21(37.50)	0.464
Illiteracy [# (%)]	21(37.50)	15(25.00)	0.252
Urinary arsenic species <sup>ζ</sup>			
iAS%	0.12(0.10,0.17)	0.12(0.08,0.15)	0.148
MMA%	0.25(0.20,0.30)	0.26(0.21,0.32)	0.420
DMA%	0.62(0.57,0.71)	0.62(0.48,0.65)	0.096
tAs (µg/g creatinine)	140.93(104.41,208.53)	186.77(80.11,217.30)	0.445

<sup>ξ</sup> AISL: arsenic-induced skin lesions; the variables met normal distribution was described with mean± standard deviation; otherwise, median (1<sup>st</sup> quartile, 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile) was used to describe their features. Number of cases (percentage) was used to describe the proportion of categorical variables between the two groups.

<sup> $\zeta$ </sup> iAS: inorganic arsenic (iAs<sup>III</sup>+iAs<sup>V</sup>); MMA: monomethyl arsenate (MMA<sup>III</sup>+MMA<sup>V</sup>); DMA: dimethyl arsenate (DMA<sup>III</sup>+DMA<sup>V</sup>); tAs: total arsenic (iAs<sup>III</sup>+iAs<sup>V</sup>+MMA+DMA); iAs%= iAS/tAs\*100%; MMA%=MMA/tAs\*100% and DMA%=DMA/tAs\*100%.

Table 2 shows that the four AAs, which FDR adjusted p-value <0.05 and VIP>1, in the cases are observed significantly lower than those of the controls. Two of them are aromatic amino acids (AAA) identified as phenylalanine and tryptophan, one is branched-chain amino acids (BCAA) appraised as leucine and the last one is phenylalanyl phenylalanine. The individual association of AAs with AISL were presented in figure1, which clearly reveals obvious "dose-response" relationships between them.

Table 2 Distinct metabolites in population with arsenic-induced skin lesions and their counterparts.

	Serum amino acid 🧹	Retention time	Mass to Charge Patio	VIP	n voluo <sup>č</sup>	Adjusted
	metabolites	(min)	Mass-to-Charge Ratio	value	p-value*	p-values <sup>ζ</sup>
-	Phenylalanine	3.402	166.087	1.508	< 0.001	0.009
	Tryptophan	3.886	203.082	1.046	0.003	0.014
	Leucine	2.642	132.102	1.014	0.001	0.020
	Phenylalanyl	5 0 1 9	212 155	1 0 2 2	0.004	0.022
	phenylalanine	5.048	515.155	1.033	0.004	0.055

VIP: variable importance in the project;  $\xi$  Wilcoxon signed-rank test;  $\zeta$  Adjusted by false discovery rate (FDR).

The relationships between the levels of serum AAs and the odds of AISL were presented in **table S1, table S2, table S3** and **table S4** with adding different covariates in the model, respectively. Finally, 4 variables including body mass index, serum folate, serum triglycerides and urinary total arsenic were selected as the confounding factors to avoid potential overfitting of the models. **Table 3** clearly shows that participants in the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quartiles of the 4 specific AAs were all significantly linked to the decreased odds of AISL after adjusting for the potential confounders as compared to their lowest quartiles, respectively. Significant linear trends existed between AISL and the 4 serum AAs. Meanwhile, same linear negative association between AISL and per IQR rise of the 4 serum AAs were observed when these AAs were considered as continuous variables in the present study.

	Trypt	Tryptophan Phenyla		lalanine Leuc		cine	Pheny	lalanyl
Models							phenyl	alanine
	Crude	Adjusted <sup>ζ</sup>	Crude	Adjusted <sup>ζ</sup>	Crude	Adjusted <sup>ζ</sup>	Crude	Adjusted <sup>ζ</sup>
Amino	Amino acids as continuous variable							
Per	0.48	0.44	0.57	0.54	0.45	0.45	0.62	0.62
IQR	(0.27,0.84)	(0.24,0.80)	(0.36,0.91)	(0.32,0.89)	(0.25, 0.82)	(0.24,0.83)	(0.36, 1.04)	(0.36,1.08)
P	0.011	0.007	0.019	0.016	0.010	0.011	0.070	0.090
Amino	acids as cate	egorical vari	able					
$O_1$	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	(referent)	(referent)	(referent)	(referent)	(referent)	(referent)	(referent)	(referent)
$O_2$	0.50	0.41	0.79	0.86	0.39	0.41	0.16	0.16
₹2	(0.16,1.54)	(0.12,1.41)	(0.22, 2.82)	(0.21,3.51)	(0.13, 1.14)	(0.12,1.34)	(0.03, 0.75)	(0.03,0.79)
03	0.12	0.10	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.14	0.08	0.08
	(0.03,0.53)	(0.02,0.50)	(0.05, 0.66)	(0.05,0.79)	(0.05, 0.64)	(0.04, 0.58)	(0.02,0.39)	(0.01, 0.40)
$O_4$	0.19	0.16	0.25	0.24	0.18	0.15	0.12	0.13
	(0.05,0.71)	(0.04,0.67)	(0.08, 0.79)	(0.07,0.84)	(0.05,0.61)	(0.04,0.59)	(0.02,0.57)	(0.03,70)
P trend	0.007	0.007	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.002	0.002	0.005	0.005

<sup> $\xi$ </sup>Values are odds ratio (95% confidence intervals) for arsenic-induced skin lesions from conditional logistic regression. IQR: interquartile range; Q<sub>1</sub>: the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile; Q<sub>2</sub>: the 2<sup>nd</sup> quartile; Q<sub>3</sub>: the 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile; Q<sub>4</sub>: the 4<sup>th</sup> quartile. <sup> $\zeta$ </sup> Adjusted for: body mass index, serum folate, serum triglycerides and urinary total arsenic.

As these 4 specific AAs are significantly or marginal significantly associated with the odds of AISL, so it is needed to examine the joint impacts among them on AISL. However, the results of potential collinearity examination revealed that among these 4 specific AAs, both tryptophan and phenylalaine had the smallest VIF value (VIF=1.04) and no obvious collinearity existed (**Table S5**). Hence, we mainly focus on tryptophan and phenylalaine when assessing the joint impacts of AAs on AISL and only presented the results associated with these two AAs in the current study. To avoid the impacts due to insufficient power because of unreasonable grouping on the results, we classified both tryptophan and phenylalanine into two categories, according to the cut-off values of their mass spectrum peak area based on the ROC analysis, respectively. The higher levels of these two serum AA were defined as equal to or over the cut-off values, while the lower categories were considered as less than the associated values. **Table 4** shows the joint impacts of tryptophan and phenylalanine levels on AISL after considering the collinearity of variables in the model. The proportions of AISL were 74.3%, 60.0%, 50.0% and 18.2% for participants with lower levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine (category A), with higher tryptophan and lower phenylalanine (category B), with
#### **BMJ** Open

lower tryptophan and higher phenylalanine (category C), and higher levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine (category D), respectively. Obvious decrease trend of the probability of AISL was observed among these 4 categories. As compared to the category A, adjusted OR (95% CI) for participants in the category B, C and D were 0.47(0.14, 1.60), 0.36(0.11, 1.15) and 0.06(0.02, 0.22). Subjects with higher levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine had the lowest odds of AISL, significantly decreased by 94% (OR=0.06; 95%CI: 0.02, 0.22; P<0.001), after adjusting for the impacts induced by some potential confounding factors. This suggested that tryptophan and phenylalanine were jointly associated with the presence of AISL. While no significant interaction between the two AAs on the occurrence of AISL could be observed (P=0.270), which indicated that each AA was independently associated with AISL though their joint impact was significant.

**Table 4** Joint association between tryptophan and phenylalanine levels with arsenic-induced skin lesions.

Tryptophan	Phenylalanine		Casas	Crude		Adjusted	ζ
<cut-off< td=""><td><cut-off< td=""><td>Ν</td><td>(%)</td><td>OR (95%CI)</td><td>Р</td><td>OR (95%CI)</td><td>р</td></cut-off<></td></cut-off<>	<cut-off< td=""><td>Ν</td><td>(%)</td><td>OR (95%CI)</td><td>Р</td><td>OR (95%CI)</td><td>р</td></cut-off<>	Ν	(%)	OR (95%CI)	Р	OR (95%CI)	р
value <sup>g</sup>	value <sup>g</sup>		(, •)	OR (557001)	1	012 (957001)	1
Yes	Yes	35	26(74.3)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
No	Yes	20	12(60.0)	0.52(0.16,1.68)	0.273	0.47(0.14,1.60)	0.227
Yes	No	24	12(50.0)	0.35(0.12,1.04)	0.059	0.36(0.11,1.15)	0.085
No	No	33	6(18.2)	0.08(0.02,0.25)	< 0.001	0.06(0.02,0.22)	< 0.001
Interaction					0.320		0.270

<sup>E</sup>Cut-off value was determined by means of receiver operator characteristic analysis.

<sup>ζ</sup> Adjusted for body mass index, serum folate, triglycerides, total arsenic.

**Table 5** shows that, based on the ROC analysis, both serum tryptophan and phenylalanine might be potential biomarkers in distinguishing AISL from a chronic arsenic exposure population (P=0.0020 and P=0.0017). The area under the curve (AUC) and its related 95% CI, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were 0.67 (0.57, 0.77), 69.64%, 62.50%, 65.00 and 67.31% for tryptophan, and 0.70 (0.60, 0.80), 69.64%, 69.64%, 69.64% and 69.64% for phenylalanine, respectively. The AUC (95% CI), sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of the combination of them were 0.72 (0.62, 0.81), 76.79%, 58.93%, 65.15 and 71.74%, respectively. Our results suggested that these two AAs could be either individually or jointly used as indicators of AISL identification.

**Table 5** Combination of diagnostic indicators and ROC analysis results<sup> $\xi$ </sup>

Indicators	AUC (95%CI)	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	Predict+, %	Predict-, %	Р		
Tryptophan	0.67(0.57,0.77)	69.64	62.50	65.00	67.31	0.002		
Phenylalanine	0.70(0.60,0.80)	69.64	69.64	69.64	69.64	0.002		
Combined <sup><i>ζ</i></sup>	0.72(0.62,0.81)	76.79	58.93	65.15	71.74	< 0.001		

<sup>ξ</sup> ROC: a receiver operator characteristic; AUC: area under the roc curve; CI: confidence interval; The sensitivities, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were calculated at their best cut-off points; Predict+: positive predictive value; Predict-: negative predictive value.

 $\zeta$  Combined: tryptophan and phenylalanine. The combination is modeled according to the formula  $\beta 1X_1 + \beta 2X_2$ , with Xj denoting the standardized value for the j<sup>th</sup> amino acid and  $\beta$ j denoting the regression coefficient from the logistic regression model.

#### DISCUSSION 183

1 2 3

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

20 21 186

25 26 188

27 28 189

29 30

32

34

36 37

39

41

43 44

45 46

48

50

52 53

55

57

59 60

In the present study, the association of serum tryptophan and phenylalanine, screened in our previous non-targeted metabolomics study using UPLC-MS/MS, with AISL and their ability to indicate AISL occurrences were quantitatively evaluated in individual and joint modes. Our results clearly showed that AISL are significantly and negatively associated with serum tryptophan and phenylalanine levels in a chronic arsenic exposure population via drinking water. Participants with higher level of both AAs would had lowest odds of AISL. These two AAs might also be able to serve 31 <sup>190</sup> as the indicators of AISL.

The probability of the initiation and development of AISL would be affected by a large number 33 191 35 192 of factors including age, gender, life styles, arsenic exposure, metabolism and others. These factors 38 <sup>193</sup> would be important confounding factors and will largely affect our results. To adjust for the impacts due to these cofactors, we firstly selected all participants using permuted block randomization from a 40 194 42 195 single rural area in which population were chronically exposed to arsenic in a same way, had similar 196 life style and environmental factors. Secondly, the cases and controls were matched by gender and 47 197 age ( $\pm 1$  year). All of these may be the reason why so many potential confounders including arsenic 49 198 exposure do not differ significantly between the cases and controls (table 1).

51 <sub>199</sub> Participants enrolled in the current study were chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water. 54 <sup>200</sup> The geometric mean (GM) and its related 95% CI of urinary iAs/creatinine and tAs/creatinine in this population were 17.49 (14.90, 20.53) µg/g and 147.20 (129.00, 167.97) µg/g, respectively. They 56 201 58 <sub>202</sub> were much higher than those in the 20 µg/L exposed to arsenic via drinking water [GM (95% CI):

0.4 (0.3,0.5)  $\mu$ g/g for iAs and 9.1 (6.5,12.7)  $\mu$ g/g for tAs], while obviously lower than those in the 90  $\mu$ g/L exposed group [GM (95% CI): 39.4 (31.4, 49.6)  $\mu$ g/g for iAs and 248.7 (208.8, 296.3)  $\mu$ g/g]<sup>25</sup>. An available report has shown hat AISL cannot be completely cured even though the medical technology has already made great progress<sup>26</sup>. So, it is crucial to identify those who are most likely to progress to overt arsenic damages including AISL among people at risk as early as possible. Metabolomics study, which mainly focus on thoroughly assessing the variation of metabolites possibly linked to diseases occurrence and development, has been widely utilized to help us understand pathogenesis of diseases because of its relevance to the phenotypes as compared to other 'OMICs' study<sup>27</sup>. Moreover, mathematical modeling to assess the linkage between small molecular metabolites and arsenic toxicity has grown<sup>28</sup>. Developing a simple and interpretable modeling approach for the early detection of arsenic induced health lesions is of great theoretical value and realistic meaning<sup>29</sup>, though it might be difficult due to population specific complexities and the impacts due to some potential unmeasured covariates such as diet and genetic determinants.

Previous studies reported that gene-gene and gene-environment interaction were involved in arsenicosis through toxicological mechanisms including genomic instability<sup>30</sup> and oxidative stress<sup>31</sup>. Skin hyperpigmentation and palmoplantar hyperkeratosis could be biomarkers for long-term arsenic exposure identifying the differences in metabolites that are really associated with phenotypes through metabolites analysis may promote our understanding and identification of AISL. Animal study reveals that the disruption of amino acids metabolism upon arsenic exposure in rat which may be beneficial on understanding arsenic toxicity<sup>32</sup>. In our previous population-based metabolomics study, we found that serum metabolites alteration were significantly related to the risk of arsenic-induced health damages. In the current study, we detected that BCAA or AAA were also signinificantly relevant to AISL occurrence. Several studies across numerous ethnic backgrounds supports the usage of BCAA including leucine, isoleucine as well as valine and AAA profile such as phenylalanine, tryptophan and tyrosine as biomarkers in determining metabolic diseases<sup>27 33</sup>. Simultaneously, Zhou

1

*et al* reports that arsenic-induced transformed cells exhibit apparent alterations in metabolite profiles including down-regulated of leucine, tryptophan, and phenylalanine in skin lesions group<sup>34</sup>. Consistent with Zhou's findings, two serum AAA (tryptophan, phenylalanine) levels were also significantly associated with AISL in our study.

Normal metabolism of amino acids are necessary for whole body homeostasis, growth and development, and health status<sup>15</sup>. Studies have reported that the changes in the availability of AAA will affect cell signaling, gene expression, brain, and neuroendocrine function<sup>35</sup>. Tryptophan, an amino acid metabolism related biomarker, is also a sensitive and specific indicator of oxidation. Tryptophan metabolism in mammals is a physiological means of preserving immune homeostasis associated with oxidative stress and inflammation<sup>36 37</sup>. In addition, phenylalanine can be transformed into specific neurotransmitters such as dopamine and adrenaline by the action of related enzymes. Wu and colleagues<sup>38</sup> reported that arsenic exposure would lead to neurotransmitter metabolism disturbance, which might explain the reduction of phenylalanine. Furthermore, as one of the peptide-bound phenylalanine, phenylalanyl phenylalanine has been reported to affect protein synthesis and secretion<sup>39</sup>, potentially indicating the possible relation between endothelium dysfunction and phenylalanine metabolism disorder. The relationship between amino acid metabolism and AISL was still unclear. The notable alteration of tryptophan and phenylalanine in this study may well indicate the occurrence of metabolic disorders due to arsenic exposure. It is also beneficial to understand the effects of arsenic toxicity and of great importance in early identification of occurrences as well as delaying the progression of various arsenic-induced health lesions including AISL.

The current study included 56 AISL cases matched 56 non-AISL controls and the sample size might be potentially insufficient. To estimate the impact due to this potential insufficient sample size on our conclusion, the PROC POWER procedure for paired design study in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.) was applied to assess the power of the 4 AAs when assessing their associations with AISL in this study. The results showed that the lowest power associated with all of these four AAs was 0.911 based on 56 pairs of participants (Figure 1S). It Page 17 of 26

1

#### **BMJ** Open

60

suggested that with type I error as 0.05, total sample size as 56 pairs and two-sided test, the powers associated with these 4 amino acids were all great than 0.8. So, we believed that the sample size for the present study, 56 pairs, would well balance the power of tests. Furthermore, previous metabolomics studies usually have sample size no more than 40 cases in each group<sup>40 41</sup>.

The main strength of this study is that the findings were depended on a community-based, long-term arsenic exposure cohort with well-designed quality assurance and quality control throughout the study, and the AAs were detected with non-targeted metabolomics approach through the discovery and validation phases. However, there are also several limitations to this study. Firstly, although it can assess a large amount of metabolites precisely and efficiently, untargeted metabolomics approach only provide relative levels of AAs instead of their accurate quantitative concentration. Secondly, these findings are mainly based on a case-control study, which only reveals the association between amino acid metabolism and the odds of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship. Finally, the participants were mainly exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which would limit the findings extrapolated to the other arsenic exposure population via food and other ways. Therefore, additional elaborate population-based studies are needed to verify our discoveries.

In conclusion, specific amino acids might be linked to AISL and amino acids metabolism may play an important role in AISL early identification. Additional studies are needed to confirm our findings

**Contributors:** Guangyun Mao and Yaping Wei designed the study. Chaonan Jia participated in collecting data. Yuan Lan and Chaonan Jia audited the data. Yaping Wei, Xiangqing hou, Jushuang Li, Tao Wang conducted the literature search, Yaping Wei, Chaonan Jia conducted statistical analysis and interpreted the results. Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Jingjing Zuo helped with copyediting. Guangyun Mao reviewed the final manuscript and did substantial contributions.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Science Technology Department of Zhejiang Province grant (2013C33169), the initial Scientific Research Fund (KYQD170301) and the major project of the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (YNZD201602).

Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge all of the study participants of this project. We wish to thank all of the dedication and hard work of the field team at the department of preventive medicine, school of public health and management, Wenzhou medical university. Our thanks must also go to Dr. Kendrick Hii, a native-English speaker, for his valuable suggestions and edits to the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Sharing Statement: No additional unpublished data are available.

for occretic with only

# REFERENCES

- 1. Mandal BK, Suzuki KT. Arsenic round the world: a review. Talanta. 2002; 58:201-35.
- 2. Smith AH, Steinmaus CM. Health Effects of Arsenic and Chromium in Drinking Water: Recent Human Findings. Annual Review Of Public Health. Palo Alto: Annual Reviews 2009:107-22.
- 3. Guha Mazumder DN, Haque R, Ghosh N, et al. Arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence of skin lesions in West Bengal, India. International journal of epidemiology. 1998; 27:871-7.
- 4. Guo XJ, Fujino Y, Kaneko S, et al. Arsenic contamination of groundwater and prevalence of arsenical dermatosis in the Hetao plain area, Inner Mongolia, China. Mol Cell Biochem. 2001; 222:137-40.
- 5. Lindberg A-L, Rahman M, Persson L-A, et al. The risk of arsenic induced skin lesions in Bangladeshi men and women is affected by arsenic metabolism and the age at first exposure. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. 2008; 230:9-16.
- 6. Tondel M, Rahman M, Magnuson A, et al. The relationship of arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence rate of skin lesions in Bangladesh. Environmental Health Perspectives. 1999; 107:727-29.
- 7. Hsu LI, Chen GS, Lee CH, et al. Use of Arsenic-Induced Palmoplantar Hyperkeratosis and Skin Cancers to Predict Risk of Subsequent Internal Malignancy. Am J Epidemiol. 2013; 177:202-12.
- 8. Luo LR, Li YY, Gao YH, et al. Association between arsenic metabolism gene polymorphisms and arsenic-induced skin lesions in individuals exposed to high-dose inorganic arsenic in northwest China. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:12.
- 9. Hughes MF, Beck BD, Chen Y, et al. Arsenic Exposure and Toxicology: A Historical Perspective. Toxicol Sci. 2011; 123:305-32.
- 10. Ren X, McHale CM, Skibola CF, et al. An Emerging Role for Epigenetic Dysregulation in Arsenic Toxicity and Carcinogenesis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2011; 119:11-19.
- 11. Chen J-W, Wang S-L, Wang Y-H, et al. Arsenic methylation, GSTO1 polymorphisms, and metabolic syndrome in an arseniasis endemic area of southwestern Taiwan. Chemosphere. 2012; 88:432-38.
- 12. Wang S-L, Chang F-H, Liou S-H, et al. Inorganic arsenic exposure and its relation to metabolic syndrome in an industrial area of Taiwan. Environment International. 2007; 33:805-11.
- 13. Liu R, Li H, Fan WJ, et al. Leucine Supplementation Differently Modulates Branched-Chain Amino Acid Catabolism, Mitochondrial Function and Metabolic Profiles at the Different Stage of Insulin Resistance in Rats on High-Fat Diet. Nutrients. 2017; 9:20.
- 14. Platten M, Wick W, Van den Eynde BJ. Tryptophan Catabolism in Cancer: Beyond IDO and Tryptophan Depletion. Cancer Res. 2012; 72:5435-40.
- 15. Wu G. Amino acids: metabolism, functions, and nutrition. Amino Acids. 2009; 37:1-17.
- 16. Casadei L, Valerio M, Manetti C. Metabolomics: Challenges and Opportunities in Systems Biology Studies. Methods Mol Biol. 2018; 1702:327-36.
- 17. Johnson CH, Gonzalez FJ. Challenges and opportunities of metabolomics. J Cell Physiol. 2012; 227:2975-81.
- 18. Hui AY, McCarty WJ, Masuda K, et al. A systems biology approach to synovial joint lubrication in health, injury, and disease. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med. 2012; 4:15-37.
- 19. Lu K, Abo RP, Schlieper KA, et al. Arsenic Exposure Perturbs the Gut Microbiome and Its Metabolic Profile in Mice: An Integrated Metagenomics and Metabolomics Analysis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2014; 122:284-91.
- 20. Zhang J, Shen H, Xu W, et al. Urinary metabolomics revealed arsenic internal dose-related metabolic alterations: a proof-of-concept study in a Chinese male cohort. Environ Sci Technol. 2014; 48:12265-74.
- 21. Guo X, Cui H, Zhang H, et al. Protective Effect of Folic Acid on Oxidative DNA Damage: A

Randomized, Double-Blind, and Placebo Controlled Clinical Trial. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015; 94:e1872.

- 22. Standard of diagnosis for endemic arsenism of China (WS/T211-2001). the Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China. Beijing 2001.
- 23. Chen X, Guo X, He P, et al. Interactive Influence of N6AMT1 and As3MT Genetic Variations on Arsenic Metabolism in the Population of Inner Mongolia, China. Toxicol Sci. 2017; 155:124-34.
- 24. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, et al. A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996; 49:1373-9.
- 25. Sun G, Xu Y, Li X, et al. Urinary arsenic metabolites in children and adults exposed to arsenic in drinking water in Inner Mongolia, China. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2007; 115:648-52.
- 26. Kuo CC, Moon KA, Wang SL, et al. The Association of Arsenic Metabolism with Cancer, Cardiovascular Disease, and Diabetes: A Systematic Review of the Epidemiological Evidence. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2017; 125:15.
- 27. Wang TJ, Larson MG, Vasan RS, et al. Metabolite profiles and the risk of developing diabetes. Nature Medicine. 2011; 17:448-U83.
- 28. Lawley SD, Cinderella M, Hall MN, et al. Mathematical model insights into arsenic detoxification. Theor Biol Med Model. 2011; 8.
- 29. Juhasz AL, Herde P, Herde C, et al. Validation of the predictive capabilities of the Sbrc-G in vitro assay for estimating arsenic relative bioavailability in contaminated soils. Environ Sci Technol. 2014; 48:12962-9.
- 30. Kibriya MG, Jasmine F, Parvez F, et al. Association between genome-wide copy number variation and arsenic-induced skin lesions: a prospective study. Environ Health. 2017; 16:13.
- 31. Priori R, Scrivo R, Brandt J, et al. Metabolomics in rheumatic diseases: the potential of an emerging methodology for improved patient diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment efficacy. Autoimmun Rev. 2013; 12:1022-30.
- 32. Wang X, Mu X, Zhang J, et al. Serum metabolomics reveals that arsenic exposure disrupted lipid and amino acid metabolism in rats: a step forward in understanding chronic arsenic toxicity. Metallomics Integrated Biometal Science. 2015; 7:544.
- 33. Weng L, Quinlivan E, Gong Y, et al. Association of Branched and Aromatic Amino Acids Levels with Metabolic Syndrome and Impaired Fasting Glucose in Hypertensive Patients. Metabolic Syndrome And Related Disorders. 2015; 13:195-202.
- 34. Zhou YY, Wang YF, Su J, et al. Integration of microRNAome, proteomics and metabolomics to analyze arsenic-induced malignant cell transformation. Oncotarget. 2017; 8:90879-96.
- 35. Lee JC, Chen MJ, Chang CH, et al. Plasma amino acid levels in patients with colorectal cancers and liver cirrhosis with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepato-Gastroenterol. 2003; 50:1269-73.
- 36. Munn DH, Mellor AL. Indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase and metabolic control of immune responses. Trends In Immunology. 2013; 34:137-43.
- 37. Pawlak K, Domaniewski T, Mysliwiec M, et al. The kynurenines are associated with oxidative stress, inflammation and the prevalence of cardiovascular disease in patients with end-stage renal disease. Atherosclerosis. 2009; 204:309-14.
- 38. Wu L-L, Gong W, Shen S-P, et al. Multiple metal exposures and their correlation with monoamine neurotransmitter metabolism in Chinese electroplating workers. Chemosphere. 2017; 182:745-52.
- 39. Zhou MM, Wu YM, Liu HY, et al. Effects of phenylalanine and threonine oligopeptides on milk protein synthesis in cultured bovine mammary epithelial cells. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr. 2015; 99:215-20.
- 40. Chen L, Cheng CY, Choi H, et al. Plasma Metabonomic Profiling of Diabetic Retinopathy. Diabetes. 2016; 65:1099-108.
- 41. Piszcz J, Lemancewicz D, Dudzik D, et al. Differences and similarities between LC-MS derived serum fingerprints of patients with B-cell malignancies. Electrophoresis. 2013; 34:2857-64.



**Figure 1.** Association between the peak intensity of tryptophan and phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions based on multivariable locally weighted regression models. a: tryptophan; b: phenylalanine;c: leucine;d:phenylalanyl phenylalanine





Figure 1S\_A. Sample size and power estimation\_Tryptophan

The POWER Procedure

#### SAS 系统





Number of Sides

**Computed Power** 

Power

0.911

**Null Difference** 

Alpha

0.05

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2



Figure 1S\_D. Sample size and power estimation\_Phenylalanyl phenylalanine

40.79

Number of Pairs

53.92

Page 25 of 26

BMJ Open

Metabolite	Metabolite OR (95% CI) in basic model +Exposure year +Body ma		mass index +F	asting plasma glucos		
Tryptophan	0.48(0.27,0.	84)* 0.48(0.2	6,0.85)*	0.47(0.	27,0.84)#	0.49(0.28,0.87)*
Phenylalanine	0.57(0.36,0.	91)* 0.57(0.3	6,0.92)*	0.57(0.	35,0.91)*	0.57(0.35,0.93)*
Leucine	0.45(0.25,0.	82)# 0.45(0.2	5,0.83)#	0.45(0.	25,0.83)#	0.42(0.22,0.81)#
Phenylalanyl phenylalanine	0.62(0.36,1	.04) 0.60(0.3	5,1.02)	0.60(0	.35,1.02)	0.65(0.38,1.12)
<sup>E</sup> OR: odds ratio; CI * P-value<0.05; <sup>#</sup> P-	: confidence interval. The value<0.01.	table are presented as OR	(95% CI);			
Table S2	tionship of the levels	s of serum amino ao	cids with ars	senic-in	duced skin lesion	lS <sup>ξ</sup> .
Metabolite	Odds Ratios (95% CI) in Basic Model	+Serum folate	+Blood nitrogen	urea	+smoking	+drinking
Tryptophan	0.48(0.27.0.84)*	0.47(0.27.0.84)*	0.47(0.27.0	).84)*	0.47(0.26.0.84)	* 0.49(0.26.0.87)*
Phenylalanine	0.57(0.36.0.91)*	0.59(0.37.0.94)*	0.57(0.36.0	).91)*	0.56(0.35,0.90)	* 0.55(0.34,0.89)
	0.57(0.50,0.91)	0.46(0.25, 0.84)*	0.27(0.25)	) 83)#	0.50(0.55,0.50) 0.45(0.25,0.83)	= 0.33(0.31,0.09) = 0.42(0.23,0.78)
Dhenvlalanvl	0.43(0.25, 0.02)	0.40(0.25,0.04) 0.63(0.37,1.07)	0.43(0.25)	$1.03)^{+$	0.43(0.25,0.05)	0.42(0.23,0.70)
rhonylalanina	0.02(0.30,1.04)	0.03(0.37,1.07)	0.01(0.30,	1.04)	0.02(0.30,1.03)	0.03(0.37,1.07)
* P-value<0.05; *P- Table S3 Relat	value<0.01. tionship of the levels	s of serum amino ac	cids with ars	senic-in	duced skin lesion	1S <sup>٤</sup> .
Amino acids	OR (95% CI) in basic model	+TC	+T	G	+HDL	+LDL
Tryptophan	0.48(0.27,0.84)*	0.48(0.27,0.85)*	0.46(0.20	5,0.82)#	0.48(0.27,0.86	)* 0.48(0.27,0.84
Phenylalanine	0.57(0.36,0.91)*	0.57(0.35,0.91)*	0.57(0.30	5,0.91)*	0.55(0.34,0.89	)* 0.57(0.36,0.92
Leucine	0.45(0.25,0.82)#	0.44(0.24,0.81)#	0.45(0.2	5,0.84)*	0.45(0.25,0.83	)* 0.44(0.24,0.83
Phenylalanyl phenylalanine	0.62(0.36,1.04)	0.62(0.37,1.05)	0.63(0.3	7,1.08)	0.61(0.35,1.05	5) 0.65(0.38,1.11
<sup>5</sup> OR: odds ratio; LDL:Low-density l * P-value<0.05; #P-	CI: confidence interval. ipoprotein ; HDL:High-der value<0.01.	Values are odds ratio nsity lipoprotein; OR: odd	(95% confide ls ratio; CI: con	ence inter fidence in	vals); TC: Total cho terval.	lesterol;TG:Triglyceride
Table S4 Relation	tionship of the levels	s of serum amino ad	cids with ars	senic-in	duced skin lesion	1S <sup>ζ</sup> .
	OD (05% CI)					

Table S1 Relationshi	ip of the levels of serum	amino acids with	arsenic-induced skin lesions <sup><i>ξ.</i></sup>
----------------------	---------------------------	------------------	---

5							
6 Amino acids	OR (95% CI) in basic model	+iAs%	+MMA%	+DMA%	+tAS		
8 Tryptophan	0.48(0.27,0.84)*	0.47(0.27,0.84)*	0.49(0.28,0.85)*	0.48(0.28,0.84)*	0.47(0.27,0.83)#		
9 Phenylalanine	0.57(0.36,0.91)*	0.59(0.36,0.95)*	0.57(0.35,0.91)*	0.59(0.36,0.95)*	0.52(0.32,0.87)*		
0 Leucine	0.45(0.25,0.82)#	0.46(0.25,0.84)*	0.46(0.25,0.86)*	0.47(0.25,0.88)*	0.43(0.24,0.80)#		
1 Phenylalanyl 2 phenylalanine	0.62(0.36,1.04)	0.62(0.36,1.05)	0.64(0.37,1.09)	0.64(0.38,1.10)	0.61(0.36,1.03)		
$\frac{\zeta}{\Omega R}$ odds ratio: C	'I: confidence interval iA	S. inorganic arsenic (iA)	s <sup>III</sup> +iAs <sup>V</sup> )· MMA· monon	nethyl arsenate (MMA <sup>III</sup> +	-MMA <sup>V</sup> ): DMA: dimethy		

<sup>c</sup> OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. iAS: inorganic arsenic (iAs<sup>III</sup>+iAs<sup>V</sup>); MMA: monomethyl arsenate (MMA<sup>III</sup>+MMA<sup>V</sup>); DMA: dimethyl arsenate (DMA<sup>III</sup>+DMA<sup>V</sup>); tAs: total arsenic (iAs<sup>III</sup>+iAs<sup>V</sup>+MMA+DMA); iAs%= iAS/tAs\*100%; MMA%=MMA/tAs\*100% and DMA%=DMA/tAs\*100%; 54 55

\* P-value<0.05; #P-value<0.01. 56

57

58

**Table S5** Variance inflation factor of amino acids in different models<sup> $\xi$ </sup>.

3					
4	Amino acids	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
5	Tryptophan	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04
6	Phenylalanine	4.56		1.50	1.04
/	Leucine	4.21	1.39		
o 9	Phenylalanyl Phenylalanine	1.49	1.38	1.48	

<sup>E</sup>Model 1: Tryptophan, Phenylalanine, Leucine and Phenylalanyl Phenylalanine; 

Model 2: Tryptophan, Leucine and Phenylalanyl Phenylalanine;

Model 3: Tryptophan, Phenylalanine and Phenylalanyl Phenylalanine;

Model 4: Tryptophan and Phenylalanine. 

to beet terien only

# **BMJ Open**

## Tryptophan, phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions in a chronic arsenic exposure Chinese population via drinking water: data from a metabolomics study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-025336.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Feb-2019
Complete List of Authors:	<ul> <li>wei, yaping; Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University town, Ouhai district, Wenzhou, China.,</li> <li>Jia, Chaonan; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Lan, Yuan; School of Ophthalmology &amp; Optometry, Wenzhou Medical</li> <li>University, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Hou, Xiangqing; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Zuo, Jingjing; Wenzhou Medical University School of Optometry and Ophthalmology, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of</li> <li>Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Li, Jushuang; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical</li> <li>University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Wang, Tao; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical</li> <li>University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Mao, Guangyun; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Preventive Medicine</li> </ul>
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Occupational and environmental medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Public health, Occupational and environmental medicine
Keywords:	Metabolomics, Chronic arsenic exposure, Skin lesions, Amino acid, UPLC-MS/MS
	·

## SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

**BMJ** Open

Tryptophan, phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions in a chronic arsenic exposure Chinese population via drinking water: data from a metabolomics study

Yaping Wei<sup>1,2</sup>, Chaonan Jia,<sup>1,2</sup>, Yuan Lan<sup>3</sup>, Xiangqing Hou<sup>1,2</sup>, Jingjing Zuo<sup>3</sup>, Jushuang Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Tao Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Guangyun Mao<sup>1,2,4⊠</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health and Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.
- <sup>2</sup> Center on Evidence-Based Medicine & Clinical Epidemiological Research, School of Public Health, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.
- <sup>3</sup> School of Ophthalmology & Optometry, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.
- <sup>4</sup> Center on Clinical Research, the Affiliated Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia contributed equally to this paper.

Corresponding author: Guangyun Mao, Room 7A321, School of Public Health and Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University town, Ouhai district, Wenzhou, China. 325035 E-mail: <u>maogy@wmu.edu.cn</u> Telephone: +86-577-86689848

Fax numbers: +86-577-86699122

#### **ABSTRACT:**

**Objectives** To investigate the association of specific serum amino acids (AAs) with the odds of arsenic-induced skin lesions (AISL) and their ability to distinguish AISL from the counterparts.

**Design** Case-control study.

Setting Three arsenic exposed villages in Wuyuan county of Hetao Plain, Inner Mongolia, China.

**Participants** Among 450 residents aged 18 to 79 years chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, 56 of them were diagnosed as AISL and defined as the cases. Another 56 participants without AISL matched by gender and similar age (±1 year)

from the same population were picked out as the controls. The inclusion criteria were subjects having the metabolomics determination. Unmatched participants and those without serum metabolites data were excluded.

**Primary and secondary outcome measures** The outcome was whether it suffered from AISL. Multivariable conditional logistic regression models and receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis were performed to investigate the relationship between specific AAs and AISL.

**Results** The levels of tryptophan and phenylalanine were both negatively associated with AISL (P<0.05). As compared to the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile, the adjusted odds of AISL in the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quartile decreased by 69%, 90% and 84% for tryptophan, and 14%, 80% and 76% for phenylalanine, respectively. The combination of the two aforementioned higher-level AAs revealed the lowest odds of AISL (OR=0.06; 95%CI: 0.02, 0.22; P<0.001)). Furthermore, both AAs showed moderate ability to distinguish AISL from the control, with area-under-curve [(AUC), 95%CI] as 0.67 (0.57, 0.77) for tryptophan and 0.70 (0.60, 0.80) for phenylalanine, respectively (all P<0.05). The combined pattern

2	
4	with AUC (95%Cl) was 0.72 (0.62, 0.81), sensitivity of 76.79% and specificity of 58.93%
5	( <b>B</b> <0.001)
6	(P<0.001).
/	<b>Conclusions</b> Specific AAs might be linked to AISL and play an important role in its
9	Conclusions specific this high of hiked to this and plug an important for in its
10	early identification. Additional studies are needed to confirm our findings.
11	
12	Keywords: Metabolomics; Chronic arsenic exposure; Skin lesions; Amino acid;
14	
15	UPLC-MS/MS.
16	
1/ 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28 29	
30	
31	
32	
33 34	
35	
36	
37	
38 39	
40	
41	
42	
43 44	
45	
46	
47	
48 49	
50	
51	
52	
53 54	
55	
56	
57	
50 59	
60	

#### Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our findings were depended on a community-based metabolomics study with paired-design, strictly quality assurance and quality control.
- Multivariable conditional logistic models were performed to examine the association between specific amino acid levels and AISL, and ROC analysis was applied to evaluate the value and feasibility of the AA to distinguish AISL from the counterparts.
- Although the AAs were determined by untargeted metabolomics approach, which can assess a large number of metabolites precisely and efficiently, we only can obtain relative levels of AAs instead of their accurate quantitative concentration.
- The findings were based on a case-control study, which only revealed the association between the AAs and the odds of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship.
- The participants were mainly chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which would limit the findings extrapolated to another arsenic exposure population via food or other ways.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Chronic arsenic exposure via drinking water is widely believed as a global health concern, affecting a large amount of people worldwide. It may give rise to several human health issues and has been documented to associate with cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer and others<sup>1 2</sup>. With the industrial boom and dramatic rise of worldwide water pollution including arsenic contamination in the past, the prevalence and burden of arsenic-induced health damage will continue to increase. Skin has been confirmed as one of the most common and susceptible target of arsenic-induced health lesions. Cutaneous skin lesions are typical signs of arsenicosis after persistent arsenic exposure for a long term which are characterized by hyperkeratosis and hyperpigmentation. Considerable evidences of the prevalence of arsenical skin lesions had been observed in many countries<sup>3-5</sup>.

As arsenic-induced skin lesions (AISL) have been widely accepted as the major early manifestation of arsenic toxicity<sup>6</sup> and might be indicators of susceptibility to more serious arsenicinduced health hazards<sup>7</sup>, it is particularly crucial to identify participants at risk as early as possible for preventing the onset or delaying the progression of the serious health problems effectively. Several possible mechanisms such as genetic differences<sup>8</sup>, oxidative stress<sup>9</sup> and epigenetic dysregulation<sup>10</sup> and others may explain arsenic poisoning. Previous studies also reported that arsenic methylation in vivo might be associated with metabolic syndrome<sup>11 12</sup>.

Amino acids (AAs) are the "basic unit" that make up the body's various proteins and necessary to maintain the health. Some AAs are important regulators of some key metabolic pathways and have great importance in maximizing efficiency of food utilization, enhancing protein accretion and health improvement<sup>13</sup><sup>14</sup>. Abnormal metabolism of AAs will disturb the homeostasis of the body, impairs growth and development, and even causes death<sup>15</sup>. So, the levels of serum AAs may be an important implication for the metabolic status and disease condition. As a powerful tool in system biology research, metabolomics approach is beneficial on unbiased monitoring changes in endogenous metabolism-related physiological processes, providing integrative information on the distinctive

features across multiple functional levels, and offering a window to capture the core attributes responsible for various phenotypes, which are particularly important in understanding the relevant pathophysiological changes of a disease and its status, identifying novel biomarkers for risk screening, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of important human diseases<sup>16-18</sup>.

Animal experiments and epidemiological study have reported obvious arsenic-related metabolomics perturbations<sup>19 20</sup>. All of these researches substantially suggests that the relationship between specific metabolites and arsenic-induced health lesions should be investigated. However, few works have been conducted to comprehensively examine the metabolic mechanism relevant to AISL, especially for the AAs metabolism. The present study aims to quantitatively examine the association of several specific AAs with AISL and the ability to identify AISL.

#### **METHODS**

#### **Patient and Public Involvement**

No patients were involved.

#### **Study Population**

·blt This study was originally from a randomized, double-blind, and placebo controlled clinical trial (NCT02235948) in 2010, in which all subjects were randomly selected using permuted block randomization from a single rural area in a population chronically exposed to low-level arsenic drinking water, had similar life style and influences under similar environmental factors. Information on the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the participants could be found in our previous study<sup>21</sup>. Strictly following the criteria of arsenicosis<sup>22</sup>. AISL was diagnosed as the presence of arsenic- induced keratosis, hyperpigmentation or depigmentation by a physician from Wenzhou medical university at the beginning of the trial. This was a matched case-control study (1:1 matching). Among 450 residents aged 18 to 79 years old enrolled in the above-mentioned trial, 56 of them were diagnosed as AISL and selected as the case. Another 56 participants without AISL matched by gender and similar age  $(\pm 1)$ 

Page 7 of 27

#### **BMJ** Open

year) from the same population were picked out as the control. The inclusion criteria were subjects
having the metabolomic test. Unmatched participants and those without serum metabolites data were
excluded. Informed consent was obtained from all participants and this study was approved by the
ethics committee of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

#### 4 Data Collection and Assessment

The information on age, gender, exposure year, body mass index, smoking, alcohol consumption, education level, etc. was collected with a standardized questionnaire. Blood and urine samples were also collected at the time of participants' enrollment. Detailed data collection of blood and urine samples and assessment methods for clinical variables including plasma fasting glucose (FPG), serum urea nitrogen, serum folate, total homocysteine, total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and others had been published previously<sup>21</sup>. The epuration of various urinary arsenic species were conducted by means of a high-performance liquid chromatography coupled mass spectrometer system for separation and detection<sup>23</sup>. The species of arsenic in urine samples consisted of inorganic arsenic (iAs, [iAs<sup>III</sup> plus iAs<sup>V</sup>]), monomethyl arsenate (MMA, [MMA<sup>III</sup> plus MMA<sup>V</sup>]) and dimethyl arsenate (DMA, [DMA<sup>III</sup> plus DMA<sup>V</sup>]). All arsenic species were corrected by creatinine. The total arsenic (tAs) was the sum of iAs, MMA and DMA. The percentages of arsenic species were defined as: iAs%=iAs/tAs\*100%, MMA%=MMA/tAs\*100% and DMA%=DMA/tAs\*100%, respectively.

#### 68 UPLC-MS/MS Metabonomic Profiling

Serum samples (200  $\mu$ L in microcentrifuge tubes) were thawed to room temperature (25°C) and 600  $\mu$ L mixture (90% acetonitrile - 10% water) were added to each sample. The samples were vigorously mixed for 20 seconds and centrifuged for 5 min at 12000 rpm (20°C). The top 400  $\mu$ L of each supernatant were then transferred and dried down in a vacuum concentrator centrifuge. The dried samples were re-suspended in 130  $\mu$ L of water (including 15% acetonitrile), mixed vigorously for 20 seconds and repeated the centrifugation method described above. Two  $\mu$ L of the supernatant were

collected as samples to be determined. Serum metabolic profile acquisition was performed by using ACQUITY UPLC<sup>®</sup>/Xevo<sup>®</sup> G2 QTof/MS<sup>E</sup> (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). Chromatographic separation was performed at 50°C using a WATERS HSS T3 column (2.1×100 mm, 1.7 µm) with a flow rate of 0.4mL/min. The mobile phase was a mixture of (A) H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% formic acid and (B) methanol with 0.1% formic acid. Elution was in linear gradient with the programmed gradient at 0 min with 100% A and 0% B, 1.00min with 100% A and 0% B, 8 min with 0% A and 100% B, 13.00 min with 0% A and 100% B. The mass spectrometer was operated under both positive-ion (ESI<sup>+</sup>) mode and negative-ion (ESI-) mode electrospray ionization. The scan range was from 50 to 1200 m/z. Data was collected in both ESI<sup>+</sup> and ESI<sup>-</sup> modes. Capillary voltage was set at 3000 V and 2500 V, respectively. The desolvation flow rate was 800 L/h at 350°C. Argon was used as a collision gas, and the collision energy was adjusted from 10 eV to 40 eV for each analysis. Quantum clustering (QC) samples were prepared by pooling aliquots of each sample and used to reflect the reliability of further metabolomics analysis. After peak deconvolution, alignment, integration and normalization, the data including retention time (RT), mass to charge ratio(m/z), and peak intensity were extracted from raw chromatograms using Progenesis OI 2.0 (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). The MS/MS mode was performed to obtain metabolites levels processed with MarkerLynx Applications Manager Version 4.1 (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA).

2 Distinct Metabolites Identification

The peak intensity of metabolites for the 56 pairs were acquired and then imported to MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (http://www.metaboanalyst.ca/) for statistical analysis. A partial least-squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), which is a supervised and well accepted pattern recognition approach, was used for the differentiation between the cases and controls. False discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-value in univariate analysis were performed to reduce the potential impact induced by false positive of the results. The criteria used in the selection of metabolites include variable importance in the project (VIP) scores >1 in PLS-DA and the crude or FDR adjusted p-value all < 0.05 in Wilcoxon signed rank

#### **BMJ** Open

test. We identified a total of 70 extracted small molecular metabolites that were linked to the recognition of AISL. Among them, four amino acid metabolites (Phenylalanine, Tryptophan, Leucine, Phenylalanylphenylalanine) were identified.

**Statistical Analysis** 

The normality of continuous data was assessed using both QQ-plots and Shapiro-Wilk test. The comparison between the cases and controls was performed with the paired *t*-test if they met normal or similar normal distribution. Otherwise, Wilcoxon signed rank test would be used. Differences in the proportion of categorical variables between the two groups were evaluated by McNemar-Bowker tests. We firstly used locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) models to estimate the "real" relationship between serum AAs levels and the odds of AISL. Then, multivariable conditional logistic regression models were performed to examine the association between the contributing AAs levels and AISL after adjusting for some potential confounding factors. The individual impacts of AA metabolites on the risk of AISL were quantified separately by odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) in the following two ways: with AA as a categorical variable (quartiles) and as a continuous variable [scaled to interquartile range (IQR)]. Variables with p-value less than 0.2 in the comparison between two groups were selected as potential confounders because the sample size of the current study was not too large. Then variance inflation factor (VIF) was used to examine the potential collinarity among them. As too many covariates in a multiple regression model will lead to overfitting to some extent<sup>24</sup>, we finally select no more than 54 variables as confounding factors to decrease the potential overfitting when assessing the association between AAs and AISL. Furthermore, as the distinct metabolites might be high related to each other, collinearity should be well considered. So, we used the variance inflation factor (VIF) based on the VIF package of R software to detect potential collinearity among the AAs. When the VIF is greater than 1.5, it was considered as collinearity existed in the model and the associated variable would be removed. The combined effect of relevant AAs on AISL were also performed using a multivariable logistic regression model. In addition, receiver

operator characteristic (ROC) analysis was applied to evaluate the value and feasibility of the AAs as the potential sensitive and specific biomarker to recognize AISL. Data management, analysis and figure drawing were finished using R version 3.4.4 (Copyright © 2018 The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). All tests were two-sides and P<=0.05 was set as significant level.

#### RESULTS

**Table 1** summarizes the general characteristics of the study population. The comparison of demographical, clinical features and urinary arsenic species in the 56 pairs of subjects were presented in Table 1. The median ( $1^{st}$  quartile,  $3^{rd}$  quartile) age of AISL population was 50.30 (44.70, 58.70) for the cases and 50.40 (44.60, 58.70) years for the controls. Both groups have the same proportion of female population (58.93%), and there was no obvious statistical difference in the urinary arsenic levels between the two groups. More than half of them had no history of smoking or alcohol consumption. When compared to the controls, the serum triglycerides level in AISL participants was significantly lower (P=0.041). While the other variables were similar between AISL participants and the control (P>0.05). This indicates that the participants in two groups are comparable to some extent.

2	
3 4	
5	_
6	
7	
8 Q	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	1
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	, N
29	C
30	t
31	
32 33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38 39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44 45	
46	
47	
48	
49 50	
50 51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56 57	
58	

60

,	Table 1	Demographic	characteristic	es of the	study po	pulation <sup>§</sup>
	I abit I	Demographic	characteristic	cs of the	study po	pulation

Variables	AISL (n=56)	Non-AISL (n=56)	Р
Clinical Characteristics			
Age (years)	50.30(44.70,58.70)	50.40(44.60,58.70)	0.425
Exposure year (years)	48.19±11.53	47.62±10.97	0.489
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.12±3.14	23.91±2.86	0.697
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	4.89(4.60,5.25)	5.12(4.53,5.40)	0.137
Folate (ng/mL)	4.00(3.20,5.10)	4.25(3.35,5.40)	0.392
Total homocysteine (µmol/L)	12.30(10.32,16.50)	12.67(11.21,14.69)	0.961
Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L)	6.45(5.42,7.69)	6.84(5.36,8.80)	0.603
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.58(4.10,5.69)	4.65(3.96,5.95)	0.904
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.41(0.90,1.74)	1.45(1.09,2.29)	0.041
High-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	1.19±0.34	1.16±0.31	0.675
Low-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	$3.04 \pm 0.80$	3.25±0.84	0.110
Women [# (%)]	33(58.93)	33(58.93)	1.000
Cigarette smoking [# (%)]	20(35.71)	22(39.29)	0.696
Alcohol consumption [# (%)]	17(30.91)	21(37.50)	0.464
Illiteracy [# (%)]	21(37.50)	15(25.00)	0.252
Urinary arsenic species <sup>ζ</sup>			
iAS%	12.26(8.13,14.68)	12.31(10.04,16.54)	0.148
MMA%	24.68(20.11,29.68)	25.85(20.90,31.66)	0.420
DMA%	61.84(56.62,71.01)	61.84(47.85,64.99)	0.096
tAs (μg/g creatinine)	140.93(104.41,208.53)	186.77(80.11,217.30)	0.445

<sup> $\xi$ </sup> AISL: arsenic-induced skin lesions; the variables met normal distribution was described with mean± standard deviation; otherwise, median (1<sup>st</sup> quartile, 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile) was used to describe their features. Number of cases (percentage) was used to describe the proportion of categorical variables between the two groups.

of categorical variables between the two groups. <sup>¢</sup>iAS: inorganic arsenic (iAs<sup>III</sup>+iAs<sup>V</sup>); MMA: monomethyl arsenate (MMA<sup>III</sup>+MMA<sup>V</sup>); DMA: dimethyl arsenate (DMA<sup>III</sup>+DMA<sup>V</sup>); tAs: total arsenic (iAs<sup>III</sup>+iAs<sup>V</sup>+MMA+DMA); iAs%= iAS/tAs\*100%; MMA%=MMA/tAs\*100% and DMA%=DMA/tAs\*100%.

Table 2 shows that the four AAs, which FDR adjusted p-value <0.05 and VIP>1, in the cases are observed significantly lower than those of the controls. Two of them are aromatic amino acids (AAA) identified as phenylalanine and tryptophan, one of them belongs to aromatic amino acids branchedchain amino acids (BCAA) appraised as leucine and the last one is phenylalanylphenylalanine. The individual association of AAs with AISL were presented in figure1, which clearly reveals obvious "dose-response" relationships between them.

Table 2 Distinct metabolites in population with arsenic-induced skin lesions and their counterparts	5.
---	----

Sorum amino agid motabolitos	Retention time	Mass-to-	VID voluo	n voluo <sup>č</sup>	Adjusted
Serum amino acid metabolites	(min) Charge Ratio		vir value	p-values	p-values <sup>ζ</sup>
Phenylalanine	3.402	166.087	1.508	< 0.001	0.009
Tryptophan	3.886	203.082	1.046	0.003	0.014
Leucine	2.642	132.102	1.014	0.001	0.020
Phenylalanylphenylalanine	5.048	313.155	1.833	0.004	0.033
VID: variable importance in the project: E Wilcow	n signad nank tast. & Adjusted by	false discovery rate (EDP)			

VIP: variable importance in the project;  $\xi$  Wilcoxon signed-rank test;  $\zeta$  Adjusted by false discovery rate (FDR)

Table 3 clearly shows that participants in the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quartiles of the four specific AAs were all significantly linked to the decreased odds of AISL after adjusting for FPG, LDL, TG and DMA%, as compared to their lowest quartiles, respectively. The category boundaries of the quartiles were showed in the Table S1. Significant linear trends existed between AISL and those four serum AAs. Meanwhile, same linear negative association between AISL and per IQR rise of the four serum AAs were observed when these AAs were considered as continuous variables in the present study.

40

41

42 43

44 45

47

49

51 52

54

56

58 59

A mino agida	N	$C_{asas}(0/)$	Crude		Adjusted <sup>ر</sup>	
	IN	Cases (%)	OR (95%CI)	Р	OR (95%CI)	Р
Tryptophan						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.48(0.27,0.84)	0.011	0.48(0.27,0.86)	0.013
Quartiles						
$Q_1$	28	20(71.40)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	28	15(53.60)	0.50(0.16,1.54)	0.225	0.56(0.16,1.98)	0.370
Q3	28	10(35.70)	0.12(0.03,0.53)	0.005	0.12(0.02,0.60)	0.010
$Q_4$	28	11(39.30)	0.19(0.05,0.71)	0.014	0.21(0.05,0.84)	0.028
P for trend				0.008		0.012
Phenylalanine						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.57(0.36,0.91)	0.019	0.56(0.33,0.94)	0.028
Quartiles						
$Q_1$	28	20(71.40)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	28	19(67.90)	0.79(0.22,2.82)	0.712	0.70(0.18,2.76)	0.609
$Q_3$	28	8(28.60)	0.18(0.05,0.66)	0.010	0.20(0.05,0.77)	0.019
$Q_4$	28	9(32.10)	0.25(0.08,0.79)	0.018	0.20(0.05,0.75)	0.017
P for trend				< 0.001		0.001
Leucine						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.45(0.25,0.82)	0.019	0.43(0.21,0.86)	0.016
Quartiles						
$Q_1$	28	21(75.00)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	28	14(50.00)	0.33(0.11,1.03)	0.057	0.31(0.10,1.01)	0.052
$Q_3$	28	11(39.30)	0.22(0.07,0.68)	0.009	0.22(0.07,0.73)	0.014
$Q_4$	28	10(35.70)	0.19(0.06,0.59)	0.004	0.19(0.06,0.65)	0.008
P for trend				0.003		0.007
Phenylalanylphenylala	nine					
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.62(0.36,1.04)	0.070	0.71(0.41,1.24)	0.227
Quartiles		· · · ·				
$Q_1$	27	21(77.80)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$\overline{Q}_2$	29	14(48.30)	0.16(0.03,0.75)	0.021	0.14(0.03,0.73)	0.019
$\overline{Q_3}$	28	9(32.10)	0.08(0.02,0.39)	0.002	0.09(0.02,0.52)	0.007
$\overline{Q_4}$	28	12(42.90)	0.12(0.02,0.57)	0.008	0.11(0.02,0.66)	0.016
P for trend		× ,		0.006		0.023

Table 3 Relationship	of amino ac	cids levels with th	he odds of arser	nic-induced skin lesions <sup>§</sup> .
----------------------	-------------	---------------------	------------------	---

<sup> $\xi$ </sup>Values are odds ratio (95% confidence intervals) for arsenic-induced skin lesions from conditional logistic regression. IQR: interquartile range;  $Q_1$ : the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile;  $Q_2$ : the 2<sup>nd</sup> quartile;  $Q_3$ : the 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile;  $Q_4$ : the 4<sup>th</sup> quartile. <sup>4</sup> Adjusted for plasma glucose, low-density lipoprotein, triglyceride and urinary and dimethyl arsenate.

As these 4 specific AAs are significantly or marginal significantly associated with the odds of 151 46 152 AISL, so it is needed to examine the joint impacts among them on AISL. However, the results of 48 153 potential collinearity examination revealed that among these 4 specific AAs, both tryptophan and <sup>50</sup> 154 phenylalaine had the smallest VIF value (VIF=1.04) and no obvious collinearity existed (Table S2). 53 <sup>155</sup> Hence, we mainly focus on tryptophan and phenylalaine when assessing the joint impacts of AAs on AISL and only presented the results associated with these two AAs in the current study. To avoid the 55 156 <sup>57</sup> 157 impacts due to insufficient power because of unreasonable grouping on the results, we classified both 60<sup>158</sup> tryptophan and phenylalanine into two categories, according to the cut-off values of their mass

spectrum peak area based on the ROC analysis, respectively. The higher levels of these two serum AA were defined as equal to or over the cut-off values, while the lower categories were considered as less than the associated values.

**Table 4** shows the joint impacts of tryptophan and phenylalanine levels on AISL after considering the collinearity of variables in the model. The proportions of AISL were 74.3%, 60.0%, 50.0% and 18.2% for participants with lower levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine (category A), with higher tryptophan and lower phenylalanine (category B), with lower tryptophan and higher phenylalanine (category C), and higher levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine (category D), respectively. Obvious decrease trend of the probability of AISL was observed among these 4 categories. As compared to the category A, adjusted OR (95% CI) for participants in the category B, C and D were 0.49(0.15, 1.63), 0.32(0.10, 1.02) and 0.08(0.02, 0.25). Subjects with higher levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine had the lowest odds of AISL, significantly decreased by 92% (OR=0.02; 95%CI: 0.02, 0.25; P<0.001), after adjusting for the impacts induced by some potential confounding factors. This suggested that tryptophan and phenylalanine were jointly associated with the presence of AISL. While no significant interaction between the two AAs on the occurrence of AISL could be observed (P=0.419), which indicated that each AA was independently associated with AISL though their joint impact was significant.

44

45

46 47

Tryptophan	Phenylalanine	N	$C_{aaaa}(0/)$	Crude		Adjusted <sup>ζ</sup>	
$<$ cut-off value <sup><math>\xi</math></sup>	$<$ cut-off value <sup><math>\xi</math></sup>	IN	Cases (%)	OR (95%CI)	Р	OR (95%CI)	Р
Yes	Yes	35	26(74.3)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
No	Yes	20	12(60.0)	0.52(0.16,1.68)	0.273	0.49(0.15,1.63)	0.244
Yes	No	24	12(50.0)	0.35(0.12,1.04)	0.059	0.32(0.10,1.02)	0.053
No	No	33	6(18.2)	0.08(0.02,0.25)	< 0.001	0.08(0.02,0.25)	< 0.001
Interaction					0.320	0.49(0.09,2.78)	0.419
$\xi$ Cut-off value was de	termined by means o	f recei	ver operator ch	aracteristic analysis.			
<sup>ζ</sup> Adjusted for plasma	glucose, low-density	lipopr	otein, triglyceri	de and urinary and di	methyl arsenate	2	
Table 5 sho	ows that, based o	on the	e ROC analy	ysis, both serum	tryptophan	and phenylalani	ne migh
be potential bior	narkers in distin	guisł	ning AISL fi	rom a chronic ars	senic exposu	re population (I	P=0.0020
e perenda erer		0			on post	ne population (1	0.0020
and D=0.0017)	The erec under th			and its related 05	/ CL consist	with an a figit	nositiv
and $P = 0.0017$ ).	i ne area under u	le cu	Ive (AUC) a	ind its related 95	70 CI, Selisiti	ivity, specificity	, positive
predictive value	and negative p	oredic	ctive value	were 0.67 (0.57,	, 0.77), 69.6	54%, 62.50%, 6	5.00 and
predictive value	and negative p	oredic	ctive value	were 0.67 (0.57	, 0.77), 69.6	64%, 62.50%, 6	5.00 and
predictive value 67.31% for trypt	and negative prophan, and 0.70	oredic (0.6	ctive value ( 0, 0.80), 69.	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 6	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny	5.00 and
predictive value 67.31% for trypt	and negative p ophan, and 0.70	oredia (0.6	ctive value 0, 0.80), 69.	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 69	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny	5.00 and Vlalanine
predictive value 67.31% for trypt	and negative p ophan, and 0.70	oredic (0.6)	ctive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 6 itive_predic	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and	5.00 and lalanine
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th	and negative p ophan, and 0.70 ne AUC (95%	oredia (0.6 CI),	ctive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity,	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 6 itive predic	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and	5.00 and vlalanine negative
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th	and negative p cophan, and 0.70 ne AUC (95%	oredic (0.60 CI),	ctive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity,	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 69 itive predic	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and	5.00 and lalanine negative
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th predictive value	and negative p ophan, and 0.70 and AUC (95%) of the combin	oredic (0.60 CI), ation	ctive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity, n of them w	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos vere 0.72 (0.62,	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 69 itive predic 0.81), 76.7	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and 9%, 58.93%, 6	5.00 and lalanine negative 5.15 and
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th predictive value	and negative p ophan, and 0.70 ne AUC (95% of the combin	oredic (0.60 CI), atior	ctive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity, n of them w	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos vere 0.72 (0.62,	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 6 itive predic 0.81), 76.7	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and 9%, 58.93%, 6	5.00 and lalanine negative 5.15 and
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th predictive value 71.74%, respect	and negative p ophan, and 0.70 A AUC (95% of the combin ively. Our result	(0.60 (0.60 CI), ation	etive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity, n of them w ggested that	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos vere 0.72 (0.62, these two AAs c	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 69 itive predic 0.81), 76.7 could be eith	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and 9%, 58.93%, 6 er individually 6	5.00 and lalanine negative 5.15 and or jointly
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th predictive value 71.74%, respect	and negative p ophan, and 0.70 A AUC (95% of the combin ively. Our result	oredic (0.60 CI), atior	etive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity, 1 of them w ggested that	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos vere 0.72 (0.62, these two AAs c	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 69 itive predic 0.81), 76.7 could be eith	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and 9%, 58.93%, 6 ter individually o	5.00 and lalanine negative 5.15 and or jointly
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th predictive value 71.74%, respect used as indicator	and negative p ophan, and 0.70 A AUC (95% of the combin ively. Our result	oredic (0.6) CI), ation ts sug tifica	ctive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity, 1 of them w ggested that tion.	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos vere 0.72 (0.62, these two AAs c	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 69 itive predic 0.81), 76.7 could be eith	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and 9%, 58.93%, 6 er individually	5.00 and lalanine negative 5.15 and or jointly
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th predictive value 71.74%, respect used as indicator	and negative p ophan, and 0.70 A AUC (95% of the combin ively. Our result rs of AISL ident	oredic (0.60 CI), atior ts sug tifica	ctive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity, n of them w ggested that tion.	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos vere 0.72 (0.62, these two AAs c	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 69 itive predic 0.81), 76.7 could be eith	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and 9%, 58.93%, 6 er individually	5.00 and lalanine negative 5.15 and or jointly
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th predictive value 71.74%, respect used as indicator	and negative p ophan, and 0.70 he AUC (95% of the combin ively. Our result rs of AISL ident	oredic (0.60 CI), ation ts sug tifica	ctive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity, n of them w ggested that tion.	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos vere 0.72 (0.62, these two AAs c	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 69 itive predic 0.81), 76.7 could be eith	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and 9%, 58.93%, 6 ter individually	5.00 and lalanine negative 5.15 and or jointly
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th predictive value 71.74%, respect used as indicator <b>Table 5</b> Combir	and negative p ophan, and 0.70 a AUC (95% of the combin ively. Our result rs of AISL ident	oredic (0.6) CI), ation ts sug tifica stic in	etive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity, 1 of them w ggested that tion. <u>ndicators an</u>	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos vere 0.72 (0.62, these two AAs c	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 69 itive predic 0.81), 76.7 could be eith	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and 9%, 58.93%, 6 ter individually	5.00 and lalanine negative 5.15 and or jointly
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th predictive value 71.74%, respect used as indicator <u>Table 5 Combin</u> Indicators	and negative p ophan, and 0.70 a AUC (95% of the combin ively. Our result rs of AISL ident ation of diagnos AUC (95%CT	oredic (0.60 CI), aatior ts sug tifica	etive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity, n of them w ggested that tion. <u>ndicators an</u> <u>Sensitivity, %</u>	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos vere 0.72 (0.62, these two AAs c <u>d ROC analysis</u> <u>Specificity, %</u>	, 0.77), 69.6 9.64% and 69 itive predic 0.81), 76.7 could be eith results $\xi$ . <u>Predict<sup>+</sup>, 9</u>	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and 9%, 58.93%, 6 er individually <u>% Predict, %</u>	5.00 and lalanine negative 5.15 and or jointly
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th predictive value 71.74%, respect used as indicator <u>Table 5 Combin</u> Indicators Tryptophan	and negative p ophan, and 0.70 ne AUC (95% of the combin ively. Our result rs of AISL ident nation of diagnos AUC (95%CI 0.67(0.57,0.77	oredic (0.6) CI, ation ts sug tifica <u>stic in</u> )	etive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity, n of them w ggested that tion. <u>ndicators an</u> <u>Sensitivity, %</u> 69.64	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos vere 0.72 (0.62, these two AAs c <u>d ROC analysis</u> Specificity, % 62.50	, 0.77), 69.6 0.64% and 69 itive predic 0.81), 76.7 could be eith results <sup><math>\xi</math></sup> . Predict <sup>+</sup> , 65.00	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and 9%, 58.93%, 6 ter individually <u>% Predict, %</u> 67.31	5.00 and regative 5.15 and or jointly $\frac{P}{0.002}$
predictive value 67.31% for trypt respectively. Th predictive value 71.74%, respect used as indicator Table 5 Combin Indicators Tryptophan Phenylalanine	and negative p cophan, and 0.70 ne AUC (95% c of the combin ively. Our result rs of AISL ident <u>ation of diagnos</u> AUC (95%CI 0.67(0.57,0.77 0.70(0.60,0.80	oredic (0.60 CI), ation ts sug tifica <u>stic in</u> )	etive value 0, 0.80), 69. sensitivity, n of them w ggested that tion. <u>ndicators an</u> <u>Sensitivity, %</u> 69.64 69.64	were 0.67 (0.57, 64%, 69.64%, 69 specificity, pos vere 0.72 (0.62, these two AAs c <u>d ROC analysis</u> <u>Specificity, %</u> 62.50 69.64	(0.77), 69.6 (0.64%  and  69) (0.81), 76.7 (0.81), 76.7 (0.81	54%, 62.50%, 6 9.64% for pheny tive value and 9%, 58.93%, 6 ther individually <u>% Predict, %</u> 67.31 69.64	5.00 and regative 5.15 and or jointly $\frac{P}{0.002}$

**Table 4** Joint association between tryptophan and phenylalanine levels with arsenic-induced skin

negative predictive value.

 $\zeta$  Combined: tryptophan and phenylalanine. The combination is modeled according to the formula  $\beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2$ , with  $X_i$  denoting the standardized value for the  $j^{th}$  amino acid and  $\beta j$  denoting the regression coefficient from the logistic regression model.

#### 48 49<sup>185</sup> DISCUSSION

50 In the present study, the association of serum tryptophan and phenylalanine, screened in our 51 186 52 53 <u>1</u>87 previous non-targeted metabolomics study using UPLC-MS/MS, with AISL and their ability to 54 <sup>55</sup> 188 56 indicate AISL occurrences were quantitatively evaluated in individual and joint modes. Our results 57 clearly showed that AISL are significantly and negatively associated with serum tryptophan and 58 189 59 phenylalanine levels in a chronic arsenic exposure population via drinking water. Participants with 60 190

higher level of both AAs would have lowest odds of AISL. These two AAs might also be able to serve 191 192 as the indicators of AISL.

The probability of the initiation and development of AISL would be affected by a large number 193 10 194 of factors including age, gender, life styles, arsenic exposure, metabolism and others. These factors 12 13 <sup>195</sup> would be important confounding factors and will largely affect our results. To adjust for the impacts due to these cofactors, we firstly selected all participants using permuted block randomization from a 15 196 17 197 single rural area in which population were chronically exposed to arsenic in a same way, had similar <sup>19</sup> 198 20 life style and environmental factors. Secondly, the cases and controls were matched by gender and age 22 199  $(\pm 1 \text{ year})$ . All of these may be the reason why so many potential confounders including arsenic 24 200 exposure do not differ significantly between the cases and controls (table 1).

<sup>26</sup> 201 27 Participants enrolled in the current study were chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water. 28 29 202 The geometric mean (GM) and its related 95% CI of urinary iAs/creatinine and tAs/creatinine in this population were 17.49 (14.90, 20.53) µg/g and 147.20 (129.00, 167.97) µg/g, respectively. They were 31 203 <sup>33</sup> 204 much higher than those in the 20 µg/L exposed to arsenic via drinking water [GM (95% CI): 0.4 36<sup>205</sup>  $(0.3,0.5) \mu g/g$  for iAs and 9.1 (6.5,12.7)  $\mu g/g$  for tAs], while obviously lower than those in the 90  $\mu g/L$ exposed group [GM (95% CI): 39.4 (31.4, 49.6) µg/g for iAs and 248.7 (208.8, 296.3) µg/g]<sup>25</sup>. An 38 206 40 207 41 available report has shown that AISL cannot be completely cured even though the medical technology 42 43 208 has already made great progress<sup>26</sup>. So, it is crucial to identify those who are most likely to progress to overt arsenic damages including AISL among people at risk as early as possible. Metabolomics study, 45 209 47 210 which mainly focus on thoroughly assessing the variation of metabolites possibly linked to diseases 49 50<sup>211</sup> occurrence and development, has been widely utilized to help us understand pathogenesis of diseases 52 212 because of its relevance to the phenotypes as compared to other 'OMICs' study<sup>27</sup>. Moreover, 54 213 mathematical modeling to assess the linkage between small molecular metabolites and arsenic toxicity <sup>56</sup> 214 57 has grown<sup>28</sup>. Developing a simple and interpretable modeling approach for the early detection of 58 59 215 arsenic induced health lesions is of great theoretical value and realistic meaning<sup>29</sup>, though it might be

1 2 3

4 5

6 7

8 9

11

14

16

18

21

23

25

30

32

34 35

37

39

44

46

48

51

53

difficult due to population specific complexities and the impacts due to some potential unmeasuredcovariates such as diet and genetic determinants.

Previous studies reported that gene-gene and gene-environment interaction were involved in arsenicosis through toxicological mechanisms including genomic instability<sup>30</sup> and oxidative stress<sup>31</sup>. Skin hyperpigmentation and palmoplantar hyperkeratosis could be biomarkers for long-term arsenic exposure identifying the differences in metabolites that are really associated with phenotypes through metabolites analysis may promote our understanding and identification of AISL. Animal study reveals that the disruption of amino acids metabolism upon arsenic exposure in rat which may be beneficial on understanding arsenic toxicity<sup>32</sup>. In our previous population-based metabolomics study, we found that serum metabolites alteration was significantly related to the risk of arsenic-induced health damages. In the current study, we detected that BCAA or AAA were also significantly relevant to AISL occurrence. Several studies across numerous ethnic backgrounds supports the usage of BCAA including leucine, isoleucine as well as valine and AAA profile such as phenylalanine, tryptophan and tyrosine as biomarkers in determining metabolic diseases<sup>27 33</sup>. Simultaneously, Zhou *et al* reports that arsenic-induced transformed cells exhibit apparent alterations in metabolite profiles including downregulated of leucine, tryptophan, and phenylalanine in skin lesions group<sup>34</sup>. Consistent with Zhou's findings, two serum AAA (tryptophan, phenylalanine) levels were also significantly associated with AISL in our study.

Normal metabolism of amino acids are necessary for whole body homeostasis, growth and development, and health status<sup>15</sup>. Studies have reported that the changes in the availability of AAA will affect cell signaling, gene expression, brain, and neuroendocrine function<sup>35</sup>. Tryptophan, an amino acid metabolism related biomarker, is also a sensitive and specific indicator of oxidation. Tryptophan metabolism in mammals is a physiological means of preserving immune homeostasis associated with oxidative stress and inflammation<sup>36 37</sup>. In addition, phenylalanine can be transformed into specific neurotransmitters such as dopamine and adrenaline by the action of related enzymes. Wu and

1

colleagues<sup>38</sup> reported that arsenic exposure would lead to neurotransmitter metabolism disturbance, which might explain the reduction of phenylalanine. Furthermore, as one of the peptide-bound phenylalanine, phenylalanylphenylalanine has been reported to affect protein synthesis and secretion<sup>39</sup>, potentially indicating the possible relation between endothelium dysfunction and phenylalanine metabolism disorder. The relationship between amino acid metabolism and AISL was still unclear. The notable alteration of tryptophan and phenylalanine in this study may well indicate the occurrence of metabolic disorders due to arsenic exposure. It is also beneficial to understand the effects of arsenic toxicity and of great importance in early identification of occurrences as well as delaying the progression of various arsenic-induced health lesions including AISL.

The current study included 56 AISL cases matched 56 non-AISL controls and the sample size might be potentially insufficient. To estimate the impact due to this potential insufficient sample size on our conclusion, the PROC POWER procedure for paired design study in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.) was applied to assess the power of the 4 AAs when assessing their associations with AISL in this study. The results showed that the lowest power associated with all of these four AAs was 0.911 based on 56 pairs of participants. It suggested that with type I error as 0.05, total sample size as 56 pairs and two-sided test, the powers associated with these 4 amino acids were all great than 0.8. So, we believed that the sample size for the present study, 56 pairs, would well balance the power of tests. Furthermore, previous metabolomics studies usually have sample size no more than 40 cases in each group<sup>40.41</sup>.

The main strength of this study is that the findings were depended on a community-based, longterm arsenic exposure cohort with well-designed quality assurance and quality control throughout the study, and the AAs were detected with non-targeted metabolomics approach through the discovery and validation phases. However, there are also several limitations to this study. Firstly, although untargeted metabolomics approach can assess a large amount number of metabolites precisely and efficiently, it only provides relative levels of AAs instead of their accurate quantitative concentration. Secondly, these findings are mainly based on a case-control study, which only reveals the association between Page 19 of 27

#### **BMJ** Open

 amino acid metabolism and the odds of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship. Furthermore, as it is suggested that the ratio of approximately 10 to 15 observations per predictor in a logistic regression model will produce reasonably stable estimations<sup>24</sup>, we selected only 4 covariates in the models due to the small sample size and large number of predictors to avoid potential overfitting and obtain a more stable estimation. Finally, the participants were mainly exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which would limit the findings extrapolated to the other arsenic exposure population via food and other ways. Therefore, additional elaborate population-based studies are needed to verify our discoveries.

In conclusion, specific amino acids might be linked to AISL and amino acids metabolism may play an important role in AISL early identification. Additional studies may be needed to confirm our findings. **Contributors:** Guangyun Mao and Yaping Wei designed the study. Chaonan Jia participated in collecting data. Yuan Lan and Chaonan Jia audited the data. Yaping Wei, Xiangqing hou, Jushuang Li, Tao Wang conducted the literature search, Yaping Wei, Chaonan Jia conducted statistical analysis and interpreted the results. Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Jingjing Zuo helped with copyediting. Guangyun Mao reviewed the final manuscript and did substantial contributions.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the basic public welfare research project of Zhejiang province (LGF19H260011), the initial scientific research fund (KYQD170301) and the major project of the eye hospital of Wenzhou medical university (YNZD201602).

Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge all of the study participants of this project. We wish to thank all of the dedication and hard work of the field team at the department of preventive medicine, school of public health and management, Wenzhou medical university. Our thanks must also go to Dr. Kendrick Hii, a native-English speaker, for his valuable suggestions and edits to the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Sharing Statement: No additional unpublished data are available.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Mandal BK, Suzuki KT. Arsenic round the world: a review. Talanta. 2002; 58:201-35.
- 2. Smith AH, Steinmaus CM. Health Effects of Arsenic and Chromium in Drinking Water: Recent Human Findings. Annual Review Of Public Health. Palo Alto: Annual Reviews, 2009:107-22.
- 3. Guha Mazumder DN, Haque R, Ghosh N, et al. Arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence of skin lesions in West Bengal, India. International journal of epidemiology. 1998; 27:871-7.
- 4. Guo XJ, Fujino Y, Kaneko S, et al. Arsenic contamination of groundwater and prevalence of arsenical dermatosis in the Hetao plain area, Inner Mongolia, China. Mol Cell Biochem. 2001; 222:137-40.
- 5. Lindberg A-L, Rahman M, Persson L-A, et al. The risk of arsenic induced skin lesions in Bangladeshi men and women is affected by arsenic metabolism and the age at first exposure. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. 2008; 230:9-16.
- 6. Tondel M, Rahman M, Magnuson A, et al. The relationship of arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence rate of skin lesions in Bangladesh. Environmental Health Perspectives. 1999; 107:727-29.
- 7. Hsu LI, Chen GS, Lee CH, et al. Use of Arsenic-Induced Palmoplantar Hyperkeratosis and Skin Cancers to Predict Risk of Subsequent Internal Malignancy. Am J Epidemiol. 2013; 177:202-12.
- 8. Luo LR, Li YY, Gao YH, et al. Association between arsenic metabolism gene polymorphisms and arsenicinduced skin lesions in individuals exposed to high-dose inorganic arsenic in northwest China. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:12.
- 9. Hughes MF, Beck BD, Chen Y, et al. Arsenic Exposure and Toxicology: A Historical Perspective. Toxicol Sci. 2011; 123:305-32.
- 10. Ren X, McHale CM, Skibola CF, et al. An Emerging Role for Epigenetic Dysregulation in Arsenic Toxicity and Carcinogenesis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2011; 119:11-19.
- 11. Chen J-W, Wang S-L, Wang Y-H, et al. Arsenic methylation, GSTO1 polymorphisms, and metabolic syndrome in an arseniasis endemic area of southwestern Taiwan. Chemosphere. 2012; 88:432-38.
- 12. Wang S-L, Chang F-H, Liou S-H, et al. Inorganic arsenic exposure and its relation to metabolic syndrome in an industrial area of Taiwan. Environment International. 2007; 33:805-11.
- 13. Liu R, Li H, Fan WJ, et al. Leucine Supplementation Differently Modulates Branched-Chain Amino Acid Catabolism, Mitochondrial Function and Metabolic Profiles at the Different Stage of Insulin Resistance in Rats on High-Fat Diet. Nutrients. 2017; 9:20.
- 14. Platten M, Wick W, Van den Eynde BJ. Tryptophan Catabolism in Cancer: Beyond IDO and Tryptophan Depletion. Cancer Res. 2012; 72:5435-40.
- 15. Wu G. Amino acids: metabolism, functions, and nutrition. Amino Acids. 2009; 37:1-17.
- 16. Casadei L, Valerio M, Manetti C. Metabolomics: Challenges and Opportunities in Systems Biology Studies. Methods Mol Biol. 2018; 1702:327-36.
- 17. Johnson CH, Gonzalez FJ. Challenges and opportunities of metabolomics. J Cell Physiol. 2012; 227:2975-81.
- 18. Hui AY, McCarty WJ, Masuda K, et al. A systems biology approach to synovial joint lubrication in health, injury, and disease. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med. 2012; 4:15-37.
- 19. Lu K, Abo RP, Schlieper KA, et al. Arsenic Exposure Perturbs the Gut Microbiome and Its Metabolic Profile in Mice: An Integrated Metagenomics and Metabolomics Analysis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2014; 122:284-91.
- Zhang J, Shen H, Xu W, et al. Urinary Metabolomics Revealed Arsenic Internal Dose-Related Metabolic Alterations: A Proof-of-Concept Study in a Chinese Male Cohort. Environmental Science & Technology. 2014; 48:12265-74.
- 21. Guo X, Cui H, Zhang H, et al. Protective Effect of Folic Acid on Oxidative DNA Damage: A Randomized, Double-Blind, and Placebo Controlled Clinical Trial. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015; 94:e1872.
- 22. Standard of diagnosis for endemic arsenism of China (WS/T211-2001). the Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China. Beijing 2001.
- 23. Chen X, Guo X, He P, et al. Interactive Influence of N6AMT1 and As3MT Genetic Variations on Arsenic Metabolism in the Population of Inner Mongolia, China. Toxicol Sci. 2017; 155:124-34.
- 24. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, et al. A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic
regression analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996; 49:1373-9.

- 25. Sun G, Xu Y, Li X, et al. Urinary arsenic metabolites in children and adults exposed to arsenic in drinking water in Inner Mongolia, China. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2007; 115:648-52.
- 26. Kuo CC, Moon KA, Wang SL, et al. The Association of Arsenic Metabolism with Cancer, Cardiovascular Disease, and Diabetes: A Systematic Review of the Epidemiological Evidence. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2017; 125:15.
- 27. Wang TJ, Larson MG, Vasan RS, et al. Metabolite profiles and the risk of developing diabetes. Nature Medicine. 2011; 17:448-U83.
- 28. Lawley SD, Cinderella M, Hall MN, et al. Mathematical model insights into arsenic detoxification. Theor Biol Med Model. 2011; 8.
- 29. Juhasz AL, Herde P, Herde C, et al. Validation of the predictive capabilities of the Sbrc-G in vitro assay for estimating arsenic relative bioavailability in contaminated soils. Environ Sci Technol. 2014; 48:12962-9.
- 30. Kibriya MG, Jasmine F, Parvez F, et al. Association between genome-wide copy number variation and arsenicinduced skin lesions: a prospective study. Environ Health. 2017; 16:13.
- 31. Priori R, Scrivo R, Brandt J, et al. Metabolomics in rheumatic diseases: the potential of an emerging methodology for improved patient diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment efficacy. Autoimmun Rev. 2013; 12:1022-30.
- 32. Wang X, Mu X, Zhang J, et al. Serum metabolomics reveals that arsenic exposure disrupted lipid and amino acid metabolism in rats: a step forward in understanding chronic arsenic toxicity. Metallomics Integrated Biometal Science. 2015; 7:544.
- 33. Weng L, Quinlivan E, Gong Y, et al. Association of Branched and Aromatic Amino Acids Levels with Metabolic Syndrome and Impaired Fasting Glucose in Hypertensive Patients. Metabolic Syndrome And Related Disorders. 2015; 13:195-202.
- 34. Zhou YY, Wang YF, Su J, et al. Integration of microRNAome, proteomics and metabolomics to analyze arsenicinduced malignant cell transformation. Oncotarget. 2017; 8:90879-96.
- 35. Lee JC, Chen MJ, Chang CH, et al. Plasma amino acid levels in patients with colorectal cancers and liver cirrhosis with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepato-Gastroenterol. 2003; 50:1269-73.
- 36. Munn DH, Mellor AL. Indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase and metabolic control of immune responses. Trends In Immunology. 2013; 34:137-43.
- 37. Pawlak K, Domaniewski T, Mysliwiec M, et al. The kynurenines are associated with oxidative stress, inflammation and the prevalence of cardiovascular disease in patients with end-stage renal disease. Atherosclerosis. 2009; 204:309-14.
- 38. Wu L-L, Gong W, Shen S-P, et al. Multiple metal exposures and their correlation with monoamine neurotransmitter metabolism in Chinese electroplating workers. Chemosphere. 2017; 182:745-52.
- 39. Zhou MM, Wu YM, Liu HY, et al. Effects of phenylalanine and threonine oligopeptides on milk protein synthesis in cultured bovine mammary epithelial cells. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr. 2015; 99:215-20.
- 40. Chen LY, Cheng CY, Choi HW, et al. Plasma Metabonomic Profiling of Diabetic Retinopathy. Diabetes. 2016; 65:1099-108.
- 41. Piszcz J, Lemancewicz D, Dudzik D, et al. Differences and similarities between LC-MS derived serum fingerprints of patients with B-cell malignancies. Electrophoresis. 2013; 34:2857-64.



**Figure 1.** Association between the peak intensity of tryptophan and phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions based on multivariable locally weighted regression models. a: Tryptophan; b: Phenylalanine;c: Leucine;d: Phenylalanylphenylalanine

Table S1 Amino acids metabolites in serum.

1 2	Amino acids	Quartile	Quartile Range	Number
3	Tryptophan	1	1015821.54-1555040.97	28
4		2	1555370.88-1701256.07	28
5		3	1704298.84-1937437.56	28
6		4	1945755.37-2492319.05	28
/	Phenylalanine	1	1044964.46-1516708.76	28
o g		2	1519993.60-1649420.82	28
10		3	1659818.85-1908125.28	28
11		4	1929946.22-3489918.62	28
12	Leucine	1	379957.04-556314.46	28
13		2	558744.08-688759.83	28
14		3	689470.83-806033.16	28
15		4	821492.90-1356991.53	28
17	Phenylalanylphenylalanine	1	608200.15-1291853.09	27
18		2	1303597.59-1632678.66	29
19		3	1638204.36-2234283.97	28
20		4	2235250.29-5011775.70	28
21				

**Table S2** Variance inflation factor of amino acids in different models<sup> $\xi$ </sup>.

25					
26	Amino acids	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
27	Tryptophan	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04
28	Phenylalanine	4.56		1.50	1.04
29	Leucine	4.21	1.39		
30 31	Phenylalanylphenylalanine	1.49	1.38	1.48	
5.1					

32 <sup>*E*</sup>Model 1: Tryptophan, Phenylalanine, Leucine and Phenylalanyl Phenylalanine;

33 Model 2: Tryptophan, Leucine and Phenylalanyl Phenylalanine;

34 Model 3: Tryptophan, Phenylalanine and Phenylalanylphenylalanine;

35 Model 4: Tryptophan and Phenylalanine.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
å	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
27	
25	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
/2	
4J 44	
44	
45	
40	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
00	

Table1 The	<b>STROBE</b>	checklist in	ı this	study.
------------	---------------	--------------	--------	--------

Item

	No	Recommendation
Title and	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title
abstract		or the abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of
		what was done and what was found
		Please see detail in the "ABSTRACT" section in the manuscript.
Introduction		
Background/ratio	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation
nale		being reported
		Please see detail in the second, third, fourth paragraphs of the
		"INTRODUCTION" section in the manuscript.
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses
		Please see detail in the fourth paragraph of the
		"INTRODUCTION" section in the manuscript.
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS"
		section in the manuscript
		section in the manager pu
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods
		of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS"
		section in the manuscript.
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case
		ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice
		of cases and controls
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of
		controls per case
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS"
		section in the manuscript.
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential
		confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if
		applicable
		Please see detail in the "Study Population ", "Data Collection and
		Assessment" and "Distinct Metabolites Identification" of the
		"METHODS" section in the manuscript.
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of
measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of
		assessment methods if there is more than one group
		Please see detail in the "Study Population ", "Data Collection and

		Assessment" and "Distinct Metabolites Identification" of the
		"METHODS" section in the manuscript.
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS"
		section in the manuscript.
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
		Please see detail in the sixth paragraph of the of the
		"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If
variables		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why
		Please see detail in the "Statistical Analysis" of the "METHODS"
		section in the manuscript.
Statistical	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control
methods		for confounding
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		(d) If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was
		addressed
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses
		No missing values were observed in our database. Others please
		see detail in the "Statistical Analysis" of the "METHODS"
		section in the manuscript.
Results		· L .
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible,
		included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed; (b) Give
		reasons for non-participation at each stage; (c) Consider use of a flow
		diagram
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS"
		section in the manuscript.
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,
-		clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential
		confounders
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each
		variable of interest
		See Table 1 in the manuscript.
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of
		exposure
		Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted
		estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make
		clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were

2			
3			included
4			Please see Table 3 in the manuscrint.
5			(b) Depart astagary houndaries when continuous variables were
7			(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were
8			categorized
9			Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.
10			(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into
11			absolute risk for a meaningful time period
12		17	Depart other and and department of anterna and
13	Other analyses	1/	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and
14			interactions, and sensitivity analyses
15			Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.
16	Discussion		
17	V av ragulta	10	Summarica have regulte with reference to study chiesting
18	Key lesuits	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
19			Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the
20			"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.
27	Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
23			notential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude
24			potential bias of imprecision. Discuss both uncetion and magnitude
25			of any potential bias
26			Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the
27			"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.
28	Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering
29	morpretation	20	chicativos limitations multiplicity of analyzas results from similar
30			objectives, minitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar
31			studies, and other relevant evidence
32			Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the
55 24			"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.
35	Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
36	Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisating (external valuety) of the study results
37			Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION"
38			section in the manuscript.
39	Other information		
40	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present
41	i unumb		study and if applicable for the original study on which the present
42			study and, it applicable, for the original study on which the present
43			article is based
44			Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.
45	*Give information se	parately f	for cases and controls
46		r an avery 1	

**BMJ** Open

# **BMJ Open**

## Tryptophan, phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions in a chronic arsenic exposure Chinese population via drinking water: data from a metabolomics study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-025336.R3
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Apr-2019
Complete List of Authors:	<ul> <li>wei, yaping; Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University town, Ouhai district, Wenzhou, China.,</li> <li>Jia, Chaonan; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Lan, Yuan; School of Ophthalmology &amp; Optometry, Wenzhou Medical</li> <li>University, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Hou, Xiangqing; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Zuo, Jingjing; Wenzhou Medical University School of Optometry and Ophthalmology, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of</li> <li>Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Li, Jushuang; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical</li> <li>University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Wang, Tao; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical</li> <li>University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Mao, Guangyun; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Preventive Medicine</li> </ul>
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Occupational and environmental medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Public health, Occupational and environmental medicine
Keywords:	Metabolomics, Chronic arsenic exposure, Skin lesions, Amino acid, UPLC-MS/MS
	·

# SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

### 

Tryptophan, phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions in a chronic arsenic exposure Chinese population via drinking water: data from a metabolomics study

Yaping Wei<sup>1,2</sup>, Chaonan Jia,<sup>1,2</sup>, Yuan Lan<sup>3</sup>, Xiangqing Hou<sup>1,2</sup>, Jingjing Zuo<sup>3</sup>, Jushuang Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Tao Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Guangyun Mao<sup>1,2,4⊠</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health and Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>2</sup> Center on Evidence-Based Medicine & Clinical Epidemiological Research, School of Public Health, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>3</sup> School of Ophthalmology & Optometry, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>4</sup> Center on Clinical Research, the Affiliated Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia contributed equally to this paper.

Corresponding author: Guangyun Mao, Room 7A321, School of Public Health and Management,

Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University town, Ouhai district, Wenzhou, China. 325035

E-mail: maogy@wmu.edu.cn

Telephone: +86-577-86689848

Fax numbers: +86-577-86699122

## **ABSTRACT:**

**Objectives** To investigate the association of specific serum amino acids (AAs) with the odds of arsenic-induced skin lesions (AISL) and their ability to distinguish AISL from the counterparts.

Design Case-control study.

Setting Three arsenic exposed villages in Wuyuan county of Hetao Plain, Inner Mongolia, China.

**Participants** Among 450 residents aged 18 to 79 years chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, 56 of them were diagnosed as AISL and defined as the cases. Another 56 participants without AISL matched by gender and similar age ( $\pm 1$  year) from the same population were picked out as the

controls. The inclusion criteria were subjects having the metabolomics determination. Unmatched participants and those without serum metabolites data were excluded.

**Primary and secondary outcome measures** The outcome was whether it suffered from AISL. Multivariable conditional logistic regression models and receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis were performed to investigate the relationship between specific AAs and AISL.

**Results** The levels of tryptophan and phenylalanine were both negatively associated with AISL (P<0.05). As compared to the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile, the adjusted odds of AISL in the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quartile decreased by 69%, 90% and 84% for tryptophan, and 14%, 80% and 76% for phenylalanine, respectively. The combination of the two aforementioned higher-level AAs revealed the lowest odds of AISL (OR=0.06; 95%CI: 0.02, 0.22; P<0.001)). Furthermore, both AAs showed moderate ability to distinguish AISL from the control, with area-under-curve [(AUC), 95%CI] as 0.67 (0.57, 0.77) for tryptophan and 0.70 (0.60, 0.80) for phenylalanine, respectively (all P<0.05). The combined pattern with AUC (95%CI) was 0.72 (0.62, 0.81), sensitivity of 76.79% and specificity of 58.93% (P<0.001).

**Conclusions** Specific AAs might be linked to AISL and play an important role in its early identification. Additional studies are needed to confirm our findings.

Keywords: Metabolomics; Chronic arsenic exposure; Skin lesions; Amino acid; UPLC-MS/MS.

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our findings were depended on a community-based metabolomics study with paired-design, strictly quality assurance and quality control.
- Multivariable conditional logistic models were performed to examine the association between specific levels of AA and AISL, and ROC analysis was applied to evaluate the value and feasibility of the AA to distinguish AISL from the counterparts.
- Although the AAs were determined by untargeted metabolomics approach, which can assess a large number of metabolites precisely and efficiently, we only can obtain relative levels of AAs instead of their accurate quantitative concentration.
- The findings were based on a case-control study, which only revealed the association between the AAs and the odds of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship.
- The participants were mainly chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which would limit the findings extrapolated to another arsenic exposure population via food or other ways.

#### 54 23 56 24

## **INTRODUCTION**

Chronic arsenic exposure via drinking water is widely believed as a global health concern, affecting a large amount of people worldwide. It may give rise to several human health issues and has been documented to associate with cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer and others<sup>1 2</sup>. With the industrial boom and dramatic rise of worldwide water pollution including arsenic contamination in the past, the prevalence and burden of arsenic-induced health damage will continue to increase. Skin has been confirmed as one of the most common and susceptible target of arsenic-induced health lesions. Cutaneous skin lesions are typical signs of arsenicosis after persistent arsenic exposure for a long term which are characterized by hyperkeratosis and hyperpigmentation. Considerable evidences of the prevalence of arsenical skin lesions had been observed in many countries<sup>3-5</sup>.

As arsenic-induced skin lesions (AISL) have been widely accepted as the major early manifestation of arsenic toxicity<sup>6</sup> and might be indicators of susceptibility to more serious arsenic-induced health hazards<sup>7</sup>, it is particularly crucial to identify participants at risk as early as possible for preventing the onset or delaying the progression of the serious health problems effectively. Several possible mechanisms such as genetic differences<sup>8</sup>, oxidative stress<sup>9</sup> and epigenetic dysregulation<sup>10</sup> and others may explain arsenic poisoning. Previous studies also reported that arsenic methylation in vivo might be associated with metabolic syndrome<sup>11 12</sup>.

Amino acids (AAs) are the "basic unit" that make up the body's various proteins and necessary to maintain the health. Some AAs are important regulators of some key metabolic pathways and have great importance in maximizing efficiency of food utilization, enhancing protein accretion and health improvement<sup>13</sup><sup>14</sup>. Abnormal metabolism of AAs will disturb the homeostasis of the body, impairs growth and development, and even causes death<sup>15</sup>. So, the levels of serum AAs may be an important implication for the metabolic status and disease condition. As a powerful tool in system biology research, metabolomics approach is beneficial on unbiased monitoring changes in endogenous metabolism-related physiological processes, providing integrative information on the distinctive

#### **BMJ** Open

features across multiple functional levels, and offering a window to capture the core attributes responsible for various phenotypes, which are particularly important in understanding the relevant pathophysiological changes of a disease and its status, identifying novel biomarkers for risk screening, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of important human diseases<sup>16-18</sup>.

Animal experiments and epidemiological study have reported obvious arsenic-related metabolomics perturbations<sup>19 20</sup>. All of these researches substantially suggests that the relationship between specific metabolites and arsenic-induced health lesions should be investigated. However, few works have been conducted to comprehensively examine the metabolic mechanism relevant to AISL, especially for the AAs metabolism. The present study aims to quantitatively examine the association of several specific AAs with AISL and the ability to identify AISL.

### **METHODS**

## **Patient and Public Involvement**

No patients were involved.

### **Study Population**

rble This study was originally from a randomized, double-blind, and placebo controlled clinical trial (NCT02235948) in 2010, in which all subjects were randomly selected using permuted block randomization from a single rural area in a population chronically exposed to low-level arsenic drinking water, had similar life style and influences under similar environmental factors. Information on the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the participants could be found in our previous study<sup>21</sup>. Strictly following the criteria of arsenicosis<sup>22</sup>. AISL was diagnosed as the presence of arsenicinduced keratosis, hyperpigmentation or depigmentation by a physician from Wenzhou medical university at the beginning of the trial. This was a matched case-control study (1:1 matching). Among 450 residents aged 18 to 79 years old enrolled in the above-mentioned trial, 56 of them were diagnosed as AISL and selected as the case. Another 56 participants without AISL matched by

gender and similar age  $(\pm 1 \text{ year})$  from the same population were picked out as the control. The inclusion criteria were subjects having the metabolomic test. Unmatched participants and those without serum metabolites data were excluded. Informed consent was obtained from all participants and this study was approved by the ethics committee of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

## **Data Collection and Assessment**

The information on age, gender, exposure year, body mass index, smoking, alcohol consumption, education level, etc. was collected with a standardized questionnaire. Blood and urine samples were also collected at the time of participants' enrollment. Detailed data collection of blood and urine samples and assessment methods for clinical variables including plasma fasting glucose (FPG), serum urea nitrogen, serum folate, total homocysteine, total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and others had been published previously<sup>21</sup>. The epuration of various urinary arsenic species were conducted by means of a high-performance liquid chromatography coupled mass spectrometer system for separation and detection<sup>23</sup>. The species of arsenic in urine samples consisted of inorganic arsenic (iAs, [iAs<sup>III</sup> plus iAs<sup>v</sup>]), monomethyl arsenate (MMA, [MMA<sup>m</sup> plus MMA<sup>v</sup>]) and dimethyl arsenate (DMA, [DMA<sup>III</sup> plus DMA<sup>V</sup>]). All arsenic species were corrected by creatinine. The total arsenic (tAs) was the sum of iAs, MMA and DMA. The percentages of arsenic species were defined as: iAs%=iAs/tAs\*100%, MMA% =MMA/tAs\*100% and DMA%=DMA/tAs\*100%, respectively.

#### **UPLC-MS/MS Metabonomic Profiling**

Serum samples (200  $\mu$ L in microcentrifuge tubes) were thawed to room temperature (25°C) and 600 µL mixture (90% acetonitrile - 10% water) were added to each sample. The samples were vigorously mixed for 20 seconds and centrifuged for 5 min at 12000 rpm (20°C). The top 400 µL of each supernatant were then transferred and dried down in a vacuum concentrator centrifuge. The dried samples were re-suspended in 130 µL of water (including 15% acetonitrile), mixed vigorously 

Page 7 of 28

#### **BMJ** Open

for 20 seconds and repeated the centrifugation method described above. Two  $\mu$ L of the supernatant were collected as samples to be determined. Serum metabolic profile acquisition was performed by using ACQUITY UPLC<sup>®</sup>/Xevo<sup>®</sup> G2 QTof/MS<sup>E</sup> (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). Chromatographic separation was performed at 50°C using a WATERS HSS T3 column (2.1×100 mm, 1.7  $\mu$ m) with a flow rate of 0.4mL/min. The mobile phase was a mixture of (A) H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% formic acid and (B) methanol with 0.1% formic acid. Elution was in linear gradient with the programmed gradient at 0 min with 100% A and 0% B, 1.00min with 100% A and 0% B, 8 min with 0%A and 100% B, 13.00 min with 0% A and 100% B. The mass spectrometer was operated under both positive-ion (ESI<sup>+</sup>) mode and negative-ion (ESI<sup>-</sup>) mode electrospray ionization. The scan range was from 50 to 1200 m/z. Data was collected in both ESI<sup>+</sup> and ESI<sup>-</sup> modes. Capillary voltage was set at 3000 V and 2500 V, respectively. The desolvation flow rate was 800 L/h at 350°C. Argon was used as a collision gas, and the collision energy was adjusted from 10 eV to 40 eV for each analysis. Quantum clustering (QC) samples were prepared by pooling aliquots of each sample and used to reflect the reliability of further metabolomics analysis. After peak deconvolution, alignment, integration and normalization, the data including retention time (RT), mass to charge ratio(m/z), and peak intensity were extracted from raw chromatograms using Progenesis QI 2.0 (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). The MS/MS mode was performed to obtain metabolites levels processed with MarkerLynx Applications Manager Version 4.1 (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). 

## **Distinct Metabolites Identification**

The peak intensity of metabolites for the 56 pairs were acquired and then imported to MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (http://www.metaboanalyst.ca/) for statistical analysis. A partial least-squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), which is a supervised and well accepted pattern recognition approach, was used for the differentiation between the cases and controls. False discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-value in univariate analysis were performed to reduce the potential impact induced by false positive of the results. The criteria used in the selection of metabolites include variable

1

importance in the project (VIP) scores >1 in PLS-DA and the crude or FDR adjusted p-value all <</li>
0.05 in Wilcoxon signed rank test. We identified a total of 70 extracted small molecular metabolites
that were linked to the recognition of AISL. The Human Metabolome Database (<u>http://www.hmdb.ca</u>)
was used to identify the name of metabolites. Among them, there were four amino acid metabolites
(Phenylalanine, Tryptophan, Leucine, Phenylalanylphenylalanine).

## Statistical Analysis

The normality of continuous data was assessed using both QQ-plots and Shapiro-Wilk test. The comparison between the cases and controls was performed with the paired *t*-test if they met normal or similar normal distribution. Otherwise, Wilcoxon signed rank test would be used. Differences in the proportion of categorical variables between the two groups were evaluated by McNemar-Bowker tests. We firstly used locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) models to estimate the "real" relationship between serum AAs levels and the probability of AISL. Then, multivariable conditional logistic regression models were performed to examine the association between the contributing AAs levels and AISL after adjusting for some potential confounding factors. The individual impacts of AA metabolites on the risk of AISL were quantified separately by odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) in the following two ways: with AA as a categorical variable (quartiles) and as a continuous variable [scaled to interguartile range (IQR)]. Variables with p-value less than 0.2 in the comparison between two groups were selected as potential confounders, which had been widely performed in many studies especially when the sample size of the current study was not too large. Then variance inflation factor (VIF) was used to examine the potential collinarity among them. As too many covariates in a multiple regression model will lead to overfitting to some extent<sup>24</sup>, we finally select no more than 4 variables as confounding factors to decrease the potential overfitting when assessing the association between AAs and AISL. Furthermore, as the distinct metabolites might be high related to each other, collinearity should be well considered. So, we used the VIF based on the VIF package of R software to detect potential collinearity among the AAs. When the Page 9 of 28

#### **BMJ** Open

VIF is greater than 1.5, it was considered as collinearity existed in the model and the associated variable would be removed. The combined effect of relevant AAs on AISL were also performed using a multivariable logistic regression model. In addition, receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis was applied to evaluate the value and feasibility of the AAs as the potential sensitive and specific biomarker to recognize AISL. Data management, analysis and figure drawing were finished using R version 3.4.4 (Copyright © 2018 The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). All tests were two-sides and P<=0.05 was set as significant level.

**RESULTS** 

Table 1 summarizes the general characteristics of the study population. The comparison of demographical, clinical features and urinary arsenic species in the 56 pairs of subjects were presented in Table 1. The median (1<sup>st</sup> quartile, 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile) age of AISL population was 50.30 (44.70, 58.70) for the cases and 50.40 (44.60, 58.70) years for the controls. Both groups have the same proportion of female population (58.93%), and there was no obvious statistical difference in the urinary arsenic levels between the two groups. More than half of them had no history of smoking or alcohol consumption. When compared to the controls, the serum triglycerides level in AISL participants was significantly lower (P=0.041). While the other variables were similar between AISL participants and the control (P>0.05). This indicates that the participants in two groups are comparable to some extent.

**Table 1** Demographic characteristics of the study population<sup> $\xi$ </sup>

	Variables	AISL (n=56)	Non-AISL (n=56)	Р
6	Clinical Characteristics			
7	Age (years)	50.30(44.70,58.70)	50.40(44.60,58.70)	0.425
8	Exposure year (years)	48.19±11.53	47.62±10.97	0.489
9	Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.12±3.14	23.91±2.86	0.697
10	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	4.89(4.60,5.25)	5.12(4.53,5.40)	0.137
11	Folate (ng/mL)	4.00(3.20,5.10)	4.25(3.35,5.40)	0.392
12	Total homocysteine (µmol/L)	12.30(10.32,16.50)	12.67(11.21,14.69)	0.961
13	Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L)	6.45(5.42,7.69)	6.84(5.36,8.80)	0.603
14	Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.58(4.10,5.69)	4.65(3.96,5.95)	0.904
15	Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.41(0.90,1.74)	1.45(1.09,2.29)	0.041
16	High-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	1.19±0.34	1.16±0.31	0.675
17	Low-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	$3.04{\pm}0.80$	3.25±0.84	0.110
18	Women [# (%)]	33(58.93)	33(58.93)	1.000
19	Cigarette smoking [# (%)]	20(35.71)	22(39.29)	0.696
20	Alcohol consumption [# (%)]	17(30.91)	21(37.50)	0.464
21	Illiteracy [# (%)]	21(37.50)	15(25.00)	0.252
22	Urinary arsenic species <sup>ζ</sup>			
23	iAS%	12.26(8.13,14.68)	12.31(10.04,16.54)	0.148
24	MMA%	24.68(20.11,29.68)	25.85(20.90,31.66)	0.420
25	DMA%	61.84(56.62,71.01)	61.84(47.85,64.99)	0.096
26	tAs (μg/g creatinine)	140.93(104.41,208.53)	186.77(80.11,217.30)	0.445

<sup> $\xi$ </sup> AISL: arsenic-induced skin lesions; the variables met normal distribution was described with mean± standard deviation; otherwise, median ( $1^{st}$  quartile,  $3^{rd}$  quartile) was used to describe their features. Number of cases (percentage) was used to describe the proportion of categorical variables between the two groups.  $\zeta$  iAS: inorganic arsenic (iAs<sup>III</sup>+iAs<sup>V</sup>); MMA: monomethyl arsenate (MMA<sup>III</sup>+MMA<sup>V</sup>); DMA: dimethyl arsenate (DMA<sup>III</sup>+DMA<sup>V</sup>);

tAs: total arsenic (iAs  $^{III}$ +iAs  $^{V}$ +MMA+DMA); iAs%= iAS/tAs\*100%; MMA%=MMA/tAs\*100% and DMA%=DMA/tAs\*100%.

i viezony

Table 2 shows that the four AAs, which FDR adjusted p-value <0.05 and VIP>1, in the cases are observed significantly lower than those of the controls. Two of them are aromatic amino acids (AAA) identified as phenylalanine and tryptophan, one of them belongs to aromatic amino acids branched-chain amino acids (BCAA) appraised leucine as and the last one is phenylalanylphenylalanine. The individual association of AAs with AISL were presented in figure 1, which clearly reveals obvious "dose-response" relationships between them.

**Table 2** Distinct metabolites in population with arsenic-induced skin lesions and their counterparts.

Retention time	Mass-to-	VID voluo	n voluoč	Adjusted
(min)	Charge Ratio	vip value	p-values	p-values <sup>ζ</sup>
3.402	166.087	1.508	< 0.001	0.009
3.886	203.082	1.046	0.003	0.014
2.642	132.102	1.014	0.001	0.020
5.048	313.155	1.833	0.004	0.033
	Retention time (min) 3.402 3.886 2.642 5.048	Retention time (min)Mass-to- Charge Ratio3.402166.0873.886203.0822.642132.1025.048313.155	Retention time (min)Mass-to- Charge RatioVIP value3.402166.0871.5083.886203.0821.0462.642132.1021.0145.048313.1551.833	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

VIP: variable importance in the project;  $\xi$  Wilcoxon signed-rank test;  $\zeta$  Adjusted by false discovery rate (FDR)

Table 3 clearly shows that participants in the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quartiles of the four specific AAs were all significantly linked to the decreased odds of AISL after adjusting for FPG, LDL, TG and DMA%, as compared to their lowest quartiles, respectively. The category boundaries of the quartiles were showed in the Table S1. Significant linear trends existed between AISL and those four serum AAs. Meanwhile, same linear negative association between AISL and per IQR rise of the four serum AAs were observed when these AAs were considered as continuous variables in the present study.

Amina aaida	NI	$C_{aaaa}(0/)$	Crude		Adjusted <sup>ر</sup>	
Amino acids	IN	Cases (%)	OR (95%CI)	Р	OR (95%CI)	Р
Tryptophan						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.48(0.27,0.84)	0.011	0.48(0.27,0.86)	0.013
Quartiles		· · ·				
$Q_1$	28	20(71.40)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	28	15(53.60)	0.50(0.16,1.54)	0.225	0.56(0.16,1.98)	0.370
$Q_3$	28	10(35.70)	0.12(0.03,0.53)	0.005	0.12(0.02,0.60)	0.010
$Q_4$	28	11(39.30)	0.19(0.05,0.71)	0.014	0.21(0.05,0.84)	0.028
P for trend				0.008	,	0.012
Phenylalanine						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.57(0.36,0.91)	0.019	0.56(0.33,0.94)	0.028
Quartiles					,	
$Q_1$	28	20(71.40)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	28	19(67.90)	0.79(0.22,2.82)	0.712	0.70(0.18,2.76)	0.609
$Q_3$	28	8(28.60)	0.18(0.05,0.66)	0.010	0.20(0.05,0.77)	0.019
$Q_4$	28	9(32.10)	0.25(0.08,0.79)	0.018	0.20(0.05,0.75)	0.01
P for trend				< 0.001		0.001
Leucine						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.45(0.25,0.82)	0.019	0.43(0.21,0.86)	0.016
Quartiles						
Q <sub>1</sub>	28	21(75.00)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	28	14(50.00)	0.33(0.11,1.03)	0.057	0.31(0.10,1.01)	0.052
$Q_3$	28	11(39.30)	0.22(0.07,0.68)	0.009	0.22(0.07,0.73)	0.014
$Q_4$	28	10(35.70)	0.19(0.06,0.59)	0.004	0.19(0.06,0.65)	0.008
P for trend				0.003		0.00
Phenylalanylphenylala	anine					
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.62(0.36,1.04)	0.070	0.71(0.41,1.24)	0.22
Quartiles					,	
$Q_1$	27	21(77.80)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	29	14(48.30)	0.16(0.03,0.75)	0.021	0.14(0.03,0.73)	0.019
$Q_3$	28	9(32.10)	0.08(0.02,0.39)	0.002	0.09(0.02,0.52)	0.007
$\widetilde{Q_4}$	28	12(42.90)	0.12(0.02,0.57)	0.008	0.11(0.02,0.66)	0.010
P for trend		```		0.006	× · /	0.023

**Table 3** Relationship of amino acids levels with the odds of arsenic-induced skin lesions<sup>E</sup>.

<sup> $\xi$ </sup>Values are odds ratio (95% confidence intervals) for arsenic-induced skin lesions from conditional logistic regression. IQR: interquartile range;  $Q_1$ : the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile;  $Q_2$ : the 2<sup>nd</sup> quartile;  $Q_3$ : the 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile;  $Q_4$ : the 4<sup>th</sup> quartile. <sup>4</sup> Adjusted for plasma glucose, low-density lipoprotein, triglyceride and urinary dimethyl arsenate.

As these 4 specific AAs are significantly or marginal significantly associated with the odds of AISL, so it is needed to examine the joint impacts among them on AISL. However, the results of potential collinearity examination revealed that among these 4 specific AAs, both tryptophan and phenylalaine had the smallest VIF value (VIF=1.04) and no obvious collinearity existed (Table S2). 53<sup>159</sup> Hence, we mainly focus on tryptophan and phenylalaine when assessing the joint impacts of AAs on AISL and only presented the results associated with these two AAs in the current study. To avoid the 55 160 57 <sub>161</sub> impacts due to insufficient power because of unreasonable grouping on the results, we classified both 60<sup>162</sup> tryptophan and phenylalanine into two categories, according to the cut-off values of their mass

#### **BMJ** Open

spectrum peak area based on the ROC analysis, respectively. The higher levels of these two serum AA were defined as equal to or over the cut-off values, while the lower categories were considered as less than the associated values.

Table 4 shows the joint impacts of tryptophan and phenylalanine levels on AISL after considering the collinearity of variables in the model. The proportions of AISL were 74.3%, 60.0%, 50.0% and 18.2% for participants with lower levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine (category A), with higher tryptophan and lower phenylalanine (category B), with lower tryptophan and higher phenylalanine (category C), and higher levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine (category D), respectively. Obvious decrease trend of the probability of AISL was observed among these 4 categories. As compared to the category A, adjusted OR (95% CI) for participants in the category B, C and D were 0.49(0.15, 1.63), 0.32(0.10, 1.02) and 0.08(0.02, 0.25). Subjects with higher levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine had the lowest odds of AISL, significantly decreased by 92% (OR=0.02; 95%CI: 0.02, 0.25; P<0.001), after adjusting for the impacts induced by some potential confounding factors. This suggested that tryptophan and phenylalanine were jointly associated with the presence of AISL. While no significant interaction between the two AAs on the occurrence of AISL could be observed (P=0.419), which indicated that each AA was independently associated with AISL though their joint impact was significant.

icsions.								
Tryptophan	Phenylalanine	$\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}$	Crude		Adjusted	Adjusted <sup>ζ</sup>		
$<$ cut-off value <sup><math>\xi</math></sup>	$<$ cut-off value <sup><math>\xi</math></sup>	IN	Cases (%)	OR (95%CI)	Р	OR (95%CI)	Р	
Yes	Yes	35	26(74.3)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	
No	Yes	20	12(60.0)	0.52(0.16,1.68)	0.273	0.49(0.15,1.63)	0.244	
Yes	No	24	12(50.0)	0.35(0.12,1.04)	0.059	0.32(0.10,1.02)	0.053	
No	No	33	6(18.2)	0.08(0.02,0.25)	< 0.001	0.08(0.02,0.25)	< 0.001	
Interaction					0.320	0.49(0.09,2.78)	0.419	

**Table 4** Joint association between tryptophan and phenylalanine levels with arsenic-induced skin lesions.

<sup> $\xi</sup>Cut-off$  value was determined by means of receiver operator characteristic analysis.</sup>

 $\zeta$  Adjusted for plasma glucose, low-density lipoprotein, triglyceride and urinary dimethyl arsenate.

80	Table 5 shows that, based on the ROC analysis, both serum tryptophan and phenylalanine might
81	be potential biomarkers in distinguishing AISL from a chronic arsenic exposure population
82	(P=0.0020 and P=0.0017). The area under the curve (AUC) and its related 95% CI, sensitivity,
83	specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were 0.67 (0.57, 0.77), 69.64%,
184	62.50%, 65.00 and 67.31% for tryptophan, and 0.70 (0.60, 0.80), 69.64%, 69.64%, 69.64% and
185	69.64% for phenylalanine, respectively. The AUC (95% CI), sensitivity, specificity, positive
186	predictive value and negative predictive value of the combination of them were 0.72 (0.62, 0.81),
87	76.79%, 58.93%, 65.15 and 71.74%, respectively. Our results suggested that these two AAs could be
88	either individually or jointly used as indicators of AISL identification.

Table 5 Combination of diagnostic indicators and ROC analysis results<sup>ξ</sup>.

_							
	Indicators	AUC (95%CI)	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	Predict <sup>+</sup> , %	Predict <sup>-</sup> , %	Р
	Tryptophan	0.67(0.57,0.77)	69.64	62.50	65.00	67.31	0.002
	Phenylalanine	0.70(0.60,0.80)	69.64	69.64	69.64	69.64	0.002
_	Combined <sup>ζ</sup>	0.72(0.62,0.81)	76.79	58.93	65.15	71.74	< 0.001

 $\xi$  ROC: a receiver operator characteristic; AUC: area under the roc curve; CI: confidence interval; The sensitivities, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were calculated at their best cut-off points; Predict+: positive predictive value; Predict-: negative predictive value.

 $\zeta$  Combined: tryptophan and phenylalanine. The combination is modeled according to the formula  $\beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2$ , with  $X_j$  denoting the standardized value for the *j*<sup>th</sup> amino acid and  $\beta_j$  denoting the regression coefficient from the logistic regression model.

## **DISCUSSION**

In the present study, the association of serum tryptophan and phenylalanine, screened in our 51 190 53 191 previous non-targeted metabolomics study using UPLC-MS/MS, with AISL and their ability to indicate AISL occurrences were quantitatively evaluated in individual and joint modes. Our results 58 193 clearly showed that AISL are significantly and negatively associated with serum tryptophan and phenylalanine levels in a chronic arsenic exposure population via drinking water. Participants with 60 194

#### **BMJ** Open

higher level of both AAs would have lowest odds of AISL. These two AAs might also be able to serve as the indicators of AISL.

The probability of the initiation and development of AISL would be affected by a large number of factors including age, gender, life styles, arsenic exposure, metabolism and others. These factors would be important confounding factors and will largely affect our results. To adjust for the impacts due to these cofactors, we firstly selected all participants using permuted block randomization from a single rural area in which population were chronically exposed to arsenic in a same way, had similar life style and environmental factors. Secondly, the cases and controls were matched by gender and age ( $\pm$ 1 year). All of these may be the reason why so many potential confounders including arsenic exposure do not differ significantly between the cases and controls (table 1).

Participants enrolled in the current study were chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water. The geometric mean (GM) and its related 95% CI of urinary iAs/creatinine and tAs/creatinine in this population were 17.49 (14.90, 20.53) µg/g and 147.20 (129.00, 167.97) µg/g, respectively. They were much higher than those in the 20 µg/L exposed to arsenic via drinking water [GM (95% CI): 0.4 (0.3,0.5) µg/g for iAs and 9.1 (6.5,12.7) µg/g for tAs], while obviously lower than those in the 90 µg/L exposed group [GM (95% CI): 39.4 (31.4, 49.6) µg/g for iAs and 248.7 (208.8, 296.3) µg/g]<sup>25</sup>. An available report has shown that AISL cannot be completely cured even though the medical technology has already made great progress<sup>26</sup>. So, it is crucial to identify those who are most likely to progress to overt arsenic damages including AISL among people at risk as early as possible. Metabolomics study, which mainly focus on thoroughly assessing the variation of metabolites possibly linked to diseases occurrence and development, has been widely utilized to help us understand pathogenesis of diseases because of its relevance to the phenotypes as compared to other 'OMICs' study<sup>27</sup>. Moreover, mathematical modeling to assess the linkage between small molecular metabolites and arsenic toxicity has grown<sup>28</sup>. Developing a simple and interpretable modeling approach for the early detection of arsenic induced health lesions is of great theoretical value and

#### **BMJ** Open

1

realistic meaning<sup>29</sup>, though it might be difficult due to population specific complexities and the impacts due to some potential unmeasured covariates such as diet and genetic determinants.

Previous studies reported that gene-gene and gene-environment interaction were involved in arsenicosis through toxicological mechanisms including genomic instability<sup>30</sup> and oxidative stress<sup>31</sup>. Skin hyperpigmentation and palmoplantar hyperkeratosis could be biomarkers for long-term arsenic exposure identifying the differences in metabolites that are really associated with phenotypes through metabolites analysis may promote our understanding and identification of AISL. Animal study reveals that the disruption of amino acids metabolism upon arsenic exposure in rat which may be beneficial on understanding arsenic toxicity<sup>32</sup>. In our previous population-based metabolomics study, we found that serum metabolites alteration was significantly related to the risk of arsenic-induced health damages. In the current study, we detected that BCAA or AAA were also significantly relevant to AISL occurrence. Several studies across numerous ethnic backgrounds supports the usage of BCAA including leucine, isoleucine as well as valine and AAA profile such as phenylalanine, tryptophan and tyrosine as biomarkers in determining metabolic diseases<sup>27 33</sup>. Simultaneously, Zhou et al reports that arsenic-induced transformed cells exhibit apparent alterations in metabolite profiles including down-regulated of leucine, tryptophan, and phenylalanine in skin lesions group<sup>34</sup>. Consistent with Zhou's findings, two serum AAA (tryptophan, phenylalanine) levels were also significantly associated with AISL in our study.

Normal metabolism of amino acids are necessary for whole body homeostasis, growth and development, and health status<sup>15</sup>. Studies have reported that the changes in the availability of AAA will affect cell signaling, gene expression, brain, and neuroendocrine function<sup>35</sup>. Tryptophan, an amino acid metabolism related biomarker, is also a sensitive and specific indicator of oxidation. Tryptophan metabolism in mammals is a physiological means of preserving immune homeostasis associated with oxidative stress and inflammation<sup>36 37</sup>. In addition, phenylalanine can be transformed into specific neurotransmitters such as dopamine and adrenaline by the action of related enzymes. Page 17 of 28

1

#### **BMJ** Open

Wu and colleagues<sup>38</sup> reported that arsenic exposure would lead to neurotransmitter metabolism disturbance, which might explain the reduction of phenylalanine. Furthermore, as one of the peptide-bound phenylalanine, phenylalanylphenylalanine has been reported to affect protein synthesis and secretion<sup>39</sup>, potentially indicating the possible relation between endothelium dysfunction and phenylalanine metabolism disorder. The relationship between amino acid metabolism and AISL was still unclear. The notable alteration of tryptophan and phenylalanine in this study may well indicate the occurrence of metabolic disorders due to arsenic exposure. It is also beneficial to understand the effects of arsenic toxicity and of great importance in early identification of occurrences as well as delaying the progression of various arsenic-induced health lesions including AISL.

The current study included 56 AISL cases matched 56 non-AISL controls and the sample size might be potentially insufficient. To estimate the impact due to this potential insufficient sample size on our conclusion, the PROC POWER procedure for paired design study in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.) was applied to assess the power of the 4 AAs when assessing their associations with AISL in this study. The results showed that the lowest power associated with all of these four AAs was 0.911 based on 56 pairs of participants (Figure 1S). It suggested that with type I error as 0.05, total sample size as 56 pairs and two-sided test, the powers associated with these 4 amino acids were all great than 0.8. So, we believed that the sample size for the present study, 56 pairs, would well balance the power of tests. Furthermore, previous metabolomics studies usually have sample size no more than 40 cases in each group<sup>40 41</sup>.

The main strength of this study is that the findings were depended on a community-based, long-term arsenic exposure cohort with well-designed quality assurance and quality control throughout the study, and the AAs were detected with non-targeted metabolomics approach through the discovery and validation phases. However, there are also several limitations to this study. Firstly, although untargeted metabolomics approach can assess a large amount number of metabolites

#### **BMJ** Open

precisely and efficiently, it only provides relative levels of AAs instead of their accurate quantitative concentration. Secondly, these findings are mainly based on a case-control study, which only reveals the association between amino acid metabolism and the odds of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship. Furthermore, as it is suggested that the ratio of approximately 10 to 15 observations per predictor in a logistic regression model will produce reasonably stable estimations<sup>24</sup>, we selected only 4 covariates in the models due to the small sample size and these results need to be confirmed in new studies. Finally, the participants were mainly exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which would limit the findings extrapolated to the other arsenic exposure population via food and other ways. Therefore, additional elaborate population-based studies are needed to verify our discoveries.

In conclusion, specific AAs might be linked to AISL and amino acids metabolism may play an important role in AISL early identification. Additional studies may be needed to confirm our findings.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

**Contributors:** Guangyun Mao and Yaping Wei designed the study. Chaonan Jia participated in collecting data. Yuan Lan and Chaonan Jia audited the data. Yaping Wei, Xiangqing hou, Jushuang Li, Tao Wang conducted the literature search, Yaping Wei, Chaonan Jia conducted statistical analysis and interpreted the results. Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Jingjing Zuo helped with copyediting. Guangyun Mao reviewed the final manuscript and did substantial contributions.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the basic public welfare research project of Zhejiang province (LGF19H260011), the initial scientific research fund (KYQD170301) and the major project of the eye hospital of Wenzhou medical university (YNZD201602).

Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge all of the study participants of this project. We wish to thank all of the dedication and hard work of the field team at the department of preventive medicine, school of public health and management, Wenzhou medical university. Our thanks must also go to Dr. Kendrick Hii, a native-English speaker, for his valuable suggestions and edits to the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Sharing Statement: No additional unpublished data are available.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Mandal BK, Suzuki KT. Arsenic round the world: a review. Talanta. 2002; 58:201-35.
- 2. Smith AH, Steinmaus CM. Health Effects of Arsenic and Chromium in Drinking Water: Recent Human Findings. Annual Review Of Public Health. Palo Alto: Annual Reviews, 2009:107-22.
- 3. Guha Mazumder DN, Haque R, Ghosh N, et al. Arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence of skin lesions in West Bengal, India. International journal of epidemiology. 1998; 27:871-7.
- 4. Guo XJ, Fujino Y, Kaneko S, et al. Arsenic contamination of groundwater and prevalence of arsenical dermatosis in the Hetao plain area, Inner Mongolia, China. Mol Cell Biochem. 2001; 222:137-40.
- 5. Lindberg A-L, Rahman M, Persson L-A, et al. The risk of arsenic induced skin lesions in Bangladeshi men and women is affected by arsenic metabolism and the age at first exposure. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. 2008; 230:9-16.
- 6. Tondel M, Rahman M, Magnuson A, et al. The relationship of arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence rate of skin lesions in Bangladesh. Environmental Health Perspectives. 1999; 107:727-29.
- 7. Hsu LI, Chen GS, Lee CH, et al. Use of Arsenic-Induced Palmoplantar Hyperkeratosis and Skin Cancers to Predict Risk of Subsequent Internal Malignancy. Am J Epidemiol. 2013; 177:202-12.
- 8. Luo LR, Li YY, Gao YH, et al. Association between arsenic metabolism gene polymorphisms and arsenic-induced skin lesions in individuals exposed to high-dose inorganic arsenic in northwest China. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:12.
- 9. Hughes MF, Beck BD, Chen Y, et al. Arsenic Exposure and Toxicology: A Historical Perspective. Toxicol Sci. 2011; 123:305-32.
- 10. Ren X, McHale CM, Skibola CF, et al. An Emerging Role for Epigenetic Dysregulation in Arsenic Toxicity and Carcinogenesis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2011; 119:11-19.
- 11. Chen J-W, Wang S-L, Wang Y-H, et al. Arsenic methylation, GSTO1 polymorphisms, and metabolic syndrome in an arseniasis endemic area of southwestern Taiwan. Chemosphere. 2012; 88:432-38.
- 12. Wang S-L, Chang F-H, Liou S-H, et al. Inorganic arsenic exposure and its relation to metabolic syndrome in an industrial area of Taiwan. Environment International. 2007; 33:805-11.
- 13. Liu R, Li H, Fan WJ, et al. Leucine Supplementation Differently Modulates Branched-Chain Amino Acid Catabolism, Mitochondrial Function and Metabolic Profiles at the Different Stage of Insulin Resistance in Rats on High-Fat Diet. Nutrients. 2017; 9:20.
- 14. Platten M, Wick W, Van den Eynde BJ. Tryptophan Catabolism in Cancer: Beyond IDO and Tryptophan Depletion. Cancer Res. 2012; 72:5435-40.
- 15. Wu G. Amino acids: metabolism, functions, and nutrition. Amino Acids. 2009; 37:1-17.
- 16. Casadei L, Valerio M, Manetti C. Metabolomics: Challenges and Opportunities in Systems Biology Studies. Methods Mol Biol. 2018; 1702:327-36.
- 17. Johnson CH, Gonzalez FJ. Challenges and opportunities of metabolomics. J Cell Physiol. 2012; 227:2975-81.
- 18. Hui AY, McCarty WJ, Masuda K, et al. A systems biology approach to synovial joint lubrication in health, injury, and disease. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med. 2012; 4:15-37.
- 19. Lu K, Abo RP, Schlieper KA, et al. Arsenic Exposure Perturbs the Gut Microbiome and Its Metabolic Profile in Mice: An Integrated Metagenomics and Metabolomics Analysis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2014; 122:284-91.
- 20. Zhang J, Shen H, Xu W, et al. Urinary Metabolomics Revealed Arsenic Internal Dose-Related Metabolic Alterations: A Proof-of-Concept Study in a Chinese Male Cohort. Environmental Science & Technology. 2014; 48:12265-74.
- 21. Guo X, Cui H, Zhang H, et al. Protective Effect of Folic Acid on Oxidative DNA Damage: A Randomized, Double-Blind, and Placebo Controlled Clinical Trial. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015; 94:e1872.
- 22. Standard of diagnosis for endemic arsenism of China (WS/T211-2001). the Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China. Beijing 2001.
- 23. Chen X, Guo X, He P, et al. Interactive Influence of N6AMT1 and As3MT Genetic Variations on Arsenic Metabolism in the Population of Inner Mongolia, China. Toxicol Sci. 2017; 155:124-34.
- 24. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, et al. A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic

2
3
4
5
6
7
/
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
∠ I 22
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
20
3/
38
39
40
41
42
43
11
 / -
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
52
55
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
00

regression analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996; 49:1373-9.

- 25. Sun G, Xu Y, Li X, et al. Urinary arsenic metabolites in children and adults exposed to arsenic in drinking water in Inner Mongolia, China. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2007; 115:648-52.
- 26. Kuo CC, Moon KA, Wang SL, et al. The Association of Arsenic Metabolism with Cancer, Cardiovascular Disease, and Diabetes: A Systematic Review of the Epidemiological Evidence. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2017; 125:15.
- 27. Wang TJ, Larson MG, Vasan RS, et al. Metabolite profiles and the risk of developing diabetes. Nature Medicine. 2011; 17:448-U83.
- 28. Lawley SD, Cinderella M, Hall MN, et al. Mathematical model insights into arsenic detoxification. Theor Biol Med Model. 2011; 8.
- 29. Juhasz AL, Herde P, Herde C, et al. Validation of the predictive capabilities of the Sbrc-G in vitro assay for estimating arsenic relative bioavailability in contaminated soils. Environ Sci Technol. 2014; 48:12962-9.
- 30. Kibriya MG, Jasmine F, Parvez F, et al. Association between genome-wide copy number variation and arsenic-induced skin lesions: a prospective study. Environ Health. 2017; 16:13.
- 31. Priori R, Scrivo R, Brandt J, et al. Metabolomics in rheumatic diseases: the potential of an emerging methodology for improved patient diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment efficacy. Autoimmun Rev. 2013; 12:1022-30.
- 32. Wang X, Mu X, Zhang J, et al. Serum metabolomics reveals that arsenic exposure disrupted lipid and amino acid metabolism in rats: a step forward in understanding chronic arsenic toxicity. Metallomics Integrated Biometal Science. 2015; 7:544.
- 33. Weng L, Quinlivan E, Gong Y, et al. Association of Branched and Aromatic Amino Acids Levels with Metabolic Syndrome and Impaired Fasting Glucose in Hypertensive Patients. Metabolic Syndrome And Related Disorders. 2015; 13:195-202.
- 34. Zhou YY, Wang YF, Su J, et al. Integration of microRNAome, proteomics and metabolomics to analyze arsenic-induced malignant cell transformation. Oncotarget. 2017; 8:90879-96.
- 35. Lee JC, Chen MJ, Chang CH, et al. Plasma amino acid levels in patients with colorectal cancers and liver cirrhosis with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepato-Gastroenterol. 2003; 50:1269-73.
- 36. Munn DH, Mellor AL. Indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase and metabolic control of immune responses. Trends In Immunology. 2013; 34:137-43.
- 37. Pawlak K, Domaniewski T, Mysliwiec M, et al. The kynurenines are associated with oxidative stress, inflammation and the prevalence of cardiovascular disease in patients with end-stage renal disease. Atherosclerosis. 2009; 204:309-14.
- 38. Wu L-L, Gong W, Shen S-P, et al. Multiple metal exposures and their correlation with monoamine neurotransmitter metabolism in Chinese electroplating workers. Chemosphere. 2017; 182:745-52.
- 39. Zhou MM, Wu YM, Liu HY, et al. Effects of phenylalanine and threonine oligopeptides on milk protein synthesis in cultured bovine mammary epithelial cells. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr. 2015; 99:215-20.
- 40. Chen LY, Cheng CY, Choi HW, et al. Plasma Metabonomic Profiling of Diabetic Retinopathy. Diabetes. 2016; 65:1099-108.
- 41. Piszcz J, Lemancewicz D, Dudzik D, et al. Differences and similarities between LC-MS derived serum fingerprints of patients with B-cell malignancies. Electrophoresis. 2013; 34:2857-64.

**Figure 1.** Association between the peak intensity of tryptophan and phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions based on multivariable locally weighted regression models. a: Tryptophan; b: Phenylalanine;c: Leucine;d: Phenylalanylphenylalanine



**Figure 1.** Association between the peak intensity of tryptophan and phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions based on multivariable locally weighted regression models. a: Tryptophan; b: Phenylalanine;c: Leucine;d: Phenylalanylphenylalanine

**BMJ** Open

Table S1 Amino acids metabolites in serum.

1 2	Amino acids Quart		Quartile Range	Number
3	Tryptophan	1	1015821.54-1555040.97	28
4		2	1555370.88-1701256.07	28
5		3	1704298.84-1937437.56	28
6		4	1945755.37-2492319.05	28
/	Phenylalanine	1	1044964.46-1516708.76	28
0 9		2	1519993.60-1649420.82	28
10		3	1659818.85-1908125.28	28
11		4	1929946.22-3489918.62	28
12	Leucine	1	379957.04-556314.46	28
13		2	558744.08-688759.83	28
14		3	689470.83-806033.16	28
15		4	821492.90-1356991.53	28
10	Phenylalanylphenylalanine	1	608200.15-1291853.09	27
18		2	1303597.59-1632678.66	29
19		3	1638204.36-2234283.97	28
20		4	2235250.29-5011775.70	28
21				

## **Table S2** Variance inflation factor of amino acids in different models<sup> $\xi$ </sup>.

25						_
26	Amino acids	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	
27	Tryptophan	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04	
28	Phenylalanine	4.56		1.50	1.04	
29	Leucine	4.21	1.39			
30 31.	Phenylalanylphenylalanine	1.49	1.38	1.48		
• • •						-

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

32 <sup>*E*</sup>Model 1: Tryptophan, Phenylalanine, Leucine and Phenylalanyl Phenylalanine;

33 Model 2: Tryptophan, Leucine and Phenylalanyl Phenylalanine;

34 Model 3: Tryptophan, Phenylalanine and Phenylalanylphenylalanine;

*Model 4: Tryptophan and Phenylalanine.* 



Figure 1S\_B. Sample size and power estimation\_\_Phenylalanine







Figure 1S\_D. Sample size and power estimation\_Tryptophan

#### Item No Recommendation (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title Title 1 and abstract or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Please see detail in the "ABSTRACT" section in the manuscript. Introduction Background/ratio 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported nale Please see detail in the second, third, fourth paragraphs of the "INTRODUCTION" section in the manuscript. Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Please see detail in the fourth paragraph of the "INTRODUCTION" section in the manuscript. Methods Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS" section in the manuscript. 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods Setting of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS" section in the manuscript. Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS" section in the manuscript. Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Please see detail in the "Study Population ", "Data Collection and Assessment" and "Distinct Metabolites Identification" of the "METHODS" section in the manuscript. 8\* Data sources/ For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of measurement methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Please see detail in the "Study Population ", "Data Collection and

## Table1 The STROBE checklist in this study.

		Assessment" and "Distinct Metabolites Identification" of the "METHODS" section in the manuscript.
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS'
		section in the manuscript.
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
		Please see detail in the sixth paragraph of the of the
		"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. It
variables		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why
		Please see detail in the "Statistical Analysis" of the "METHODS'
		section in the manuscript.
Statistical	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to contro
methods		for confounding
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		(d) If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was
		addressed
		( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses
		No missing values were observed in our database. Others please
		see detail in the "Statistical Analysis" of the "METHODS'
		section in the manuscript.
Results		12.
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible
		included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed; (b) Give
		reasons for non-participation at each stage; (c) Consider use of a flow
		diagram
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS'
		section in the manuscript.
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic
		clinical, social) and information on exposures and potentia
		confounders
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each
		variable of interest
		See Table 1 in the manuscript.
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures o
		exposure
		Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.
Main results	16	Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted
Main results	16	Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjustedestimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make

	included         Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.         (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized         Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into
	included         Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.         (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized         Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into
	includedPlease see Table 3 in the manuscript.(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorizedPlease see Table S1 in the manuscript.(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into
	Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.         (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized         Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into
	<ul> <li>(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized</li> <li>Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.</li> <li>(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into</li> </ul>
	Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into
	(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into
	absolute risk for a meaningful time period
17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and
	interactions, and sensitivity analyses
	Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.
18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
	Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.
19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
	potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude
	of any potential bias
	Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the
	"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.
20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering
	objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar
	studies, and other relevant evidence
	Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the
21	Discussion section in the manuscript.
21	Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION"
	section in the manuscrint
	section in the manuscript.
22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present
	study and if applicable for the original study on which the present
	article is based
	Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.
arately fo	or cases and controls
	18 19 20 21 22 arrately fo

**BMJ** Open

# **BMJ Open**

## Tryptophan, phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions in a chronic arsenic exposure Chinese population via the drinking water: a case-control study.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-025336.R4
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Aug-2019
Complete List of Authors:	<ul> <li>wei, yaping; Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University town, Ouhai district, Wenzhou, China.,</li> <li>Jia, Chaonan; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Lan, Yuan; School of Ophthalmology &amp; Optometry, Wenzhou Medical University, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Hou, Xiangqing; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Zuo, Jingjing; Wenzhou Medical University School of Optometry and Ophthalmology, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of</li> <li>Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Li, Jushuang; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Wang, Tao; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Mao, Guangyun; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Preventive Medicine</li> </ul>
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Occupational and environmental medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Public health, Occupational and environmental medicine
Keywords:	Metabolomics, Chronic arsenic exposure, Skin lesions, Amino acid

## SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts
## 

Tryptophan, phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions in a chronic arsenic exposure Chinese population via the drinking water: a case-control study.

Yaping Wei<sup>1,2</sup>, Chaonan Jia,<sup>1,2</sup>, Yuan Lan<sup>3</sup>, Xiangqing Hou<sup>1,2</sup>, Jingjing Zuo<sup>3</sup>, Jushuang Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Tao Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Guangyun Mao<sup>1,2,4⊠</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health and Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>2</sup> Center on Evidence-Based Medicine & Clinical Epidemiological Research, School of Public Health, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>3</sup> School of Ophthalmology & Optometry, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>4</sup> Center on Clinical Research, the Affiliated Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia contributed equally to this paper.

Corresponding author: Guangyun Mao, Room 7A321, School of Public Health and Management,

Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University Town, Ouhai District, Wenzhou, China. 325035

E-mail: maogy@wmu.edu.cn

Telephone: +86-577-86689848

Fax numbers: +86-577-86699122

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives** To investigate the association of specific serum amino acids (AAs) with the odds of arsenic-induced skin lesions (AISL) and ability to distinguish AISL from their counterparts.

Design Case-control study.

Setting Three arsenic exposed villages in Wuyuan county of Hetao Plain, Inner Mongolia, China.

**Participants** Among 450 residents aged 18 to 79 years chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, 56 of them were diagnosed as AISL and defined as the cases. Another 56 participants without AISL matched by gender and similar age ( $\pm 1$  year) from the same population were picked out as the

controls.

**Main outcome measures and methods** The intensities of the AAs were determined by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UPLC-QTOF-MS)-based metabolomics approach. Potential confounding variables were obtained via a standardized questionnaire and clinical examination. Multivariable conditional logistic regression models and receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis were performed to investigate the relationship between specific AAs and AISL.

**Results** The levels of tryptophan and phenylalanine were both negatively associated with AISL (P<0.05). As compared to the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile, the adjusted odds of AISL in the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> quartile decreased by 44%, 88%, and 79% for tryptophan, and 30%, 80%, and 80% for phenylalanine, respectively. The combination of the two aforementioned higher-level AAs revealed the lowest odds of AISL (OR=0.08; 95% CI 0.02 to 0.25; P<0.001). Furthermore, both AAs showed moderate ability to distinguish AISL from the control, with area-under-curve [(AUC), 95% CI] as 0.67 (0.57, 0.77) for tryptophan and 0.70 (0.60, 0.80) for phenylalanine, respectively (all P<0.05). The combined pattern with AUC (95% CI) was 0.72 (0.62, 0.81), sensitivity of 76.79% and specificity of 58.93% (P<0.001).

**Conclusions** Specific AAs may be linked to AISL and play important roles in its early identification. Additional studies are needed to confirm our findings.

Keywords: Amino acid.

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our findings were based on a community-based metabolomics study with paired-design, strictly quality assurance and quality control.
- Multivariable conditional logistic models were performed to examine the association between specific levels of AAs and AISL, and ROC analysis was applied to evaluate the feasibility of the AAs to distinguish AISL from their counterparts.
- Although the AAs were determined by untargeted metabolomics approach, which can assess a large number of metabolites precisely and efficiently, only relative levels of AAs could be obtained instead of their accurate quantitative concentration.
- As based on a case control-study, the findings only revealed the association between the AAs and the odds of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship.
- The participants were mainly chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which may limit the findings extrapolated to another arsenic exposure population via food or other ways.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Chronic arsenic exposure via drinking water is widely believed as a global health concern, affecting a large number of people worldwide. It may give rise to several human health issues and has been documented to associate with cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer and others<sup>1 2</sup>. With the industrial boom and dramatic rise of worldwide water pollution including arsenic contamination in the past, the prevalence and burden of arsenic-induced health damage will continue to increase. The skin has been confirmed as one of the most common and susceptible targets of arsenic-induced health lesions. Cutaneous skin lesions are typical signs of arsenicosis after persistent arsenic exposure for the long term which are characterized by hyperkeratosis and hyperpigmentation. Considerable evidence of the prevalence of arsenical skin lesions had been observed in many countries<sup>3-5</sup>.

As arsenic-induced skin lesions (AISL) have been widely accepted as the major early manifestation of arsenic toxicity<sup>6</sup> and might be indicators of susceptibility to more serious arsenic-induced health hazards<sup>7</sup>, it is particularly crucial to identify participants at risk as early as possible for preventing the onset or delaying the progression of the serious health problems effectively. Several possible mechanisms such as genetic differences<sup>8</sup>, oxidative stress<sup>9</sup>, and epigenetic dysregulation<sup>10</sup> and others may explain arsenic poisoning. Previous studies also reported that arsenic methylation in vivo might be associated with metabolic syndrome<sup>11 12</sup>. 

Amino acids (AAs) are the "basic unit" that make up the body's various proteins and necessary to maintain the health. Some AAs are important regulators of some key metabolic pathways and have great importance in maximizing the efficiency of food utilization, enhancing protein accretion and health improvement<sup>13</sup><sup>14</sup>. Abnormal metabolism of AAs will disturb the homeostasis of the body, impairs growth and development, and even causes death<sup>15</sup>. So, the levels of serum AAs may be an important implication for the metabolic status and disease condition. As a powerful tool in system biology research, metabolomics approach is beneficial on unbiased monitoring changes in 

endogenous metabolism-related physiological processes, providing integrative information on the distinctive features across multiple functional levels, offering a window to capture the core attributes responsible for various phenotypes, which are particularly important in understanding the relevant pathophysiological changes of a disease and its status, and identifying novel biomarkers for risk screening, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of important human diseases<sup>16-18</sup>.

Animal experiments and epidemiological studies have reported obvious arsenic-related metabolomics perturbations<sup>19 20</sup>. All of these researches substantially suggest that the relationship between specific metabolites and arsenic-induced health lesions should be investigated. However, few works have been conducted to comprehensively examine the metabolic mechanism relevant to AISL, especially for amino acid's metabolism. The present study aims to quantitatively examine the association of several specific AAs with AISL and the ability to identify AISL.

## METHODS

## Study Population

This study was originally from a randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled clinical trial (NCT02235948) in 2010, in which all subjects were randomly selected using permuted block randomization from a single rural area in a population chronically exposed to low-level arsenic drinking water, had similar lifestyle and influences under similar environmental factors. Information on the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the participants could be found in our previous study<sup>21</sup>. Strictly following the criteria of arsenicosis<sup>22</sup>. AISL was diagnosed as the presence of arsenic-induced keratosis, hyperpigmentation or depigmentation by a physician from Wenzhou medical university at the beginning of the trial. This was a matched case-control study (1:1 matching). Among 450 residents aged 18 to 79 years old enrolled in the trial mentioned above, 56 of them were diagnosed as AISL and selected as the case. Another 56 participants without AISL matched by gender and similar age (±1 year) from the same population were picked out as the control. The

## **BMJ** Open

inclusion criteria were subjects having the metabolomic test. Unmatched participants and those without serum metabolites data were excluded.

#### **Data Collection and Assessment**

The information on age, gender, exposure year, body mass index, smoking, alcohol consumption, education level, etc. was collected with a standardized questionnaire. Blood and urine samples were also collected at the time of participants' enrollment. Detailed data collection of blood and urine samples and assessment methods for clinical variables including plasma fasting glucose (FPG), serum urea nitrogen, serum folate, total homocysteine, total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and others had been published previously<sup>21</sup>. The epuration of various urinary arsenic species was conducted utilizing high-performance liquid chromatography coupled mass spectrometer system for separation and detection<sup>23</sup>. The species of arsenic in urine samples consisted of inorganic arsenic (iAs, [iAs<sup>III</sup> plus iAs<sup>v</sup>]), monomethyl arsenate (MMA, [MMA<sup>m</sup> plus MMA<sup>v</sup>]) and dimethyl arsenate (DMA, [DMA<sup>III</sup> plus DMA<sup>V</sup>]). All arsenic species were corrected by creatinine. The total arsenic (tAs) was the sum of iAs, MMA and DMA. The percentages of arsenic species were defined as: iAs%=iAs/tAs\*100%, MMA% =MMA/tAs\*100% and DMA%=DMA/tAs\*100%, respectively.

## **UPLC-QTOF-MS Metabonomic Profiling**

Serum samples were thawed to a temperature of 4°C and 600 µL mixture (90% acetonitrile -10% water) were added to each sample (200 µL in microcentrifuge tubes). The samples were vigorously mixed for 20 seconds and centrifuged for 5 min at 12000 rpm (20°C). The top 400 µL of each supernatant was then transferred and dried down in a vacuum concentrator centrifuge. The dried samples were re-suspended in 130 µL of water (including 15% acetonitrile), mixed vigorously for 20 seconds and repeat the centrifugation method described above. Two µL of the supernatant was collected as samples to be determined. Serum metabolic profile acquisition was performed by using ACQUITY UPLC<sup>®</sup>/Xevo<sup>®</sup> G2 QTof/MS<sup>E</sup> (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). Chromatographic 

separation was performed at 50 °C using a WATERS HSS T3 column (2.1×100 mm, 1.7 μm) with a flow rate of 0.4mL/min. The mobile phase was a mixture of (A) H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% formic acid and (B) methanol with 0.1% formic acid. Elution was in linear gradient with the programmed gradient at 0 min with 100% A and 0% B, 1.00min with 100%A and 0% B, 8 min with 0%A and 100% B, 13.00 min with 0% A and 100% B. The mass spectrometer was operated under both positive-ion (ESI<sup>+</sup>) mode and negative-ion (ESI<sup>-</sup>) mode electrospray ionization. The scan range was from 50 to 1200 m/z. Data were collected in both ESI<sup>+</sup> and ESI<sup>-</sup> modes. The capillary voltage was set at 3000 V and 2500 V, respectively. The desolvation flow rate was 800 L/h at 350°C. Argon was used as collision gas, and the collision energy was adjusted from 10 eV to 40 eV for each analysis. Quantum clustering (QC) samples were prepared by pooling aliquots of each sample and used to reflect the reliability of further metabolomics analysis. After peak deconvolution, alignment, integration and normalization, the data including retention time (RT), mass to charge ratio(m/z), and peak intensity were extracted from raw chromatograms using Progenesis QI 2.0 (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). The MS/MS mode was performed to obtain metabolites levels processed with MarkerLynx Applications Manager Version 4.1 (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA).

90 Distinct Metabolites Identification

The peak intensity of metabolites for the 56 pairs was acquired and then imported to MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (http://www.metaboanalyst.ca/) for statistical analysis. A partial least-squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), which is a supervised and well-accepted pattern recognition approach, was used for the differentiation between the cases and controls. False discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-value in univariate analysis was performed to reduce the potential impact induced by the false positive of the results. The criteria used in the selection of metabolites include variable importance in the project (VIP) scores >1 in PLS-DA and the crude or FDR adjusted p-value all < 0.05 in Wilcoxon signed-rank test. We identified a total of 70 extracted small molecular metabolites that were linked to the recognition of AISL. The Human Metabolome Database (http://www.hmdb.ca)

60

was used to identify the name of metabolites. Among them, there were four amino acid metabolites(phenylalanine, tryptophan, leucine, phenylalanylphenylalanine).

## 102 Statistical Analysis

The normality of continuous data was assessed using both QQ-plots and Shapiro-Wilk test. The comparison between the cases and controls was performed with the paired *t*-test if they met normal or similar normal distribution. Otherwise, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test would be used. Differences in the proportion of categorical variables between the two groups were evaluated by 107 McNemar-Bowker tests. We firstly used locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) models to estimate the "real" relationship between serum AAs levels and the probability of AISL. Then, multivariable conditional logistic regression models were performed to examine the association between the contributing AAs levels and AISL after adjusting for some potentially confounding factors. The individual impacts of AA metabolites on the risk of AISL were quantified separately by odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) in the following two ways: with AAs as a categorical variable (quartiles) and as a continuous variable [scaled to an interquartile range (IQR)]. Variables with a p-value less than 0.2 in the comparison between two groups were selected as potential confounders, which had been widely performed in many studies especially when the sample size of the current study was not too large. The variance inflation factor (VIF) was used to examine the potential collinearity among them. As too many covariates in a multiple regression model will lead to overfitting to some extent<sup>24</sup>, we finally select no more than 4 variables as confounding factors to decrease the potential overfitting when assessing the association between AAs and AISL. Furthermore, as the distinct metabolites might be highly related to each other, collinearity should be well considered. So, we used the VIF based on the VIF package of R software to detect potential collinearity among the AAs. When the VIF is greater than 1.5, it was considered as collinearity existed in the model and the associated variable would be removed. The combined effect of relevant AAs on AISL was also performed using a multivariable logistic regression model. Besides, receiver

operator characteristic (ROC) analysis was applied to evaluate the value and feasibility of the AAs as

the potentially sensitive and specific biomarker to recognize AISL. Data management, analysis, and

figure drawing were finished using R version 3.4.4 (Copyright © 2018 The R Foundation for

Statistical Computing). All tests were two-sided and P<=0.05 was set at a significant level.

1

Patient and Public Involvement

The present study was designed as an observational study, and as such patients and the public were not involved in the planning, recruitment and conduct of this study. All participants were informed about the purpose of this study and signed informed consent at the beginning of the study. The results of this study have not yet been disseminated to the relevant patient population.

RESULTS

Table 1 summarizes the general characteristics of the study population. The comparison of demographical, clinical features and urinary arsenic species in the 56 pairs of subjects were presented in Table 1. The median (1<sup>st</sup> quartile, 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile) age of AISL population was 50.30 (44.70, 58.70) for the cases and 50.40 (44.60, 58.70) years for the controls. Both groups have the same proportion of the female population (58.93%), and there was no statistical difference in the urinary arsenic levels between the two groups. More than half of them had no history of smoking or alcohol consumption. When compared to the controls, the serum triglycerides level in AISL participants was significantly lower (P=0.041). While the other variables were similar between AISL participants and the control (P>0.05). This indicates that the participants in the two groups are comparable to some extent.

28

29

30

31 32 33

34 35

36 37

39

41 42

43 44

56

Variables	AISL (n=56)	Non-AISL (n=56)	
Clinical Characteristics		· · · ·	
Age (years)	50.30(44.70,58.70)	50.40(44.60,58.70)	
Exposure year (years)	48.19±11.53	47.62±10.97	
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.12±3.14	23.91±2.86	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	4.89(4.60,5.25)	5.12(4.53,5.40)	
Folate (ng/mL)	4.00(3.20,5.10)	4.25(3.35,5.40)	
Total homocysteine (µmol/L)	12.30(10.32,16.50)	12.67(11.21,14.69)	
Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L)	6.45(5.42,7.69)	6.84(5.36,8.80)	
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.58(4.10,5.69)	4.65(3.96,5.95)	
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.41(0.90,1.74)	1.45(1.09,2.29)	
High-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	1.19±0.34	1.16±0.31	
Low-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	3.04±0.80	3.25±0.84	
Women [# (%)]	33(58.93)	33(58.93)	
Cigarette smoking [# (%)]	20(35.71)	22(39.29)	
Alcohol consumption [# (%)]	17(30.91)	21(37.50)	
Illiteracy [# (%)]	21(37.50)	15(25.00)	
Urinary arsenic species <sup>ζ</sup>			
iAS%	12.26(8.13,14.68)	12.31(10.04,16.54)	
MMA%	24.68(20.11,29.68)	25.85(20.90,31.66)	
DMA%	61.84(56.62,71.01)	61.84(47.85,64.99)	
tAs (µg/g creatinine)	140.93(104.41,208.53)	186.77(80.11,217.30)	

<sup> $\xi$ </sup> AISL: arsenic-induced skin lesions; the variables met normal distribution was described with mean± standard deviation; otherwise, median (1st quartile, 3rd quartile) was used to describe their features. Number of cases (percentage) was used to describe the proportion of categorical variables between the two groups.

 $\zeta$  iAS: inorganic arsenic (iAs<sup>III</sup>+iAs<sup>V</sup>); MMA: monomethyl arsenate (MMA<sup>III</sup>+MMA<sup>V</sup>); DMA: dimethyl arsenate (DMA<sup>III</sup>+DMA<sup>V</sup>); tAs: total arsenic (iAs<sup> $\pi$ </sup>+iÅs<sup> $\nu$ </sup>+MMA+DMA); iAs%= iAS/tAs\*100%; MMA%=MMA/tAs\*100% and DMA%=DMA/tAs\*100%.

**Table 2** shows that the four AAs, which FDR adjusted p-value <0.05 and VIP>1, in the cases 145 are observed significantly lower than those of the controls. Two of them are aromatic amino acids 146 38 147 (AAAs) identified as phenylalanine and tryptophan, one of them belongs to aromatic amino acids 40 <sub>148</sub> branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs) appraised leucine the as and last one is phenylalanylphenylalanine. The individual association of AAs with AISL were presented in figure 1, 149 45 150 which reveal obvious "dose-response" relationships between them.

Samma mina said matabalitas	Retention time	Mass-to-	VID voluo	m rughu gač	Adjusted			
Serum ammo aciu metabolites	(min)	Charge Ratio	vip value	p-valuess	p-values <sup>ζ</sup>			
Phenylalanine	3.402	166.087	1.508	< 0.001	0.009			
Tryptophan	3.886	203.082	1.046	0.003	0.014			
Leucine	2.642	132.102	1.014	0.001	0.020			
Phenylalanylphenylalanine	5.048	313.155	1.833	0.004	0.033			
<i>VIP</i> : variable importance in the project; $\xi$ Wilcox	VIP: variable importance in the project: E Wilcoxon signed-rank test: Z Adjusted by false discovery rate (FDR).							

55

57 Table 3 shows that participants in the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quartile of the four specific AAs were all 58 151 59 60 152 significantly linked to the decreased odds of AISL after adjusting for FPG, LDL, TG and DMA%, as

> compared to their lowest quartiles, respectively. The category boundaries of the quartiles were shown in Table S1. Significant linear trends existed between AISL and those four serum AAs. Meanwhile, the same linear negative association between AISL and per IQR rise of the four serum AAs was observed when these AAs were considered as continuous variables in the present study.

Table 3 Relationship of amino acid levels with the odds of arsenic-induced skin lesions<sup>ξ</sup>.

Amino saida	N	$C_{\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha}(0/2)$	Crude		Adjusted <sup>ζ</sup>	
Ammo acius	1	Cases (70)	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
Tryptophan						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.48(0.27,0.84)	0.011	0.48(0.27,0.86)	0.013
Quartiles						
$Q_1$	28	20(71.40)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
Q2	28	15(53.60)	0.50(0.16,1.54)	0.225	0.56(0.16,1.98)	0.370
Q3	28	10(35.70)	0.12(0.03,0.53)	0.005	0.12(0.02,0.60)	0.010
$Q_4$	28	11(39.30)	0.19(0.05,0.71)	0.014	0.21(0.05,0.84)	0.028
P for trend				0.008		0.012
Phenylalanine						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.57(0.36,0.91)	0.019	0.56(0.33,0.94)	0.028
Quartiles						
$Q_1$	28	20(71.40)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	28	19(67.90)	0.79(0.22,2.82)	0.712	0.70(0.18,2.76)	0.609
$Q_3$	28	8(28.60)	0.18(0.05,0.66)	0.010	0.20(0.05,0.77)	0.019
$Q_4$	28	9(32.10)	0.25(0.08,0.79)	0.018	0.20(0.05,0.75)	0.017
P for trend				< 0.001		0.001
Leucine						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.45(0.25,0.82)	0.019	0.43(0.21,0.86)	0.016
Quartiles						
$Q_1$	28	21(75.00)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	28	14(50.00)	0.33(0.11,1.03)	0.057	0.31(0.10,1.01)	0.052
$Q_3$	28	11(39.30)	0.22(0.07,0.68)	0.009	0.22(0.07,0.73)	0.014
$Q_4$	28	10(35.70)	0.19(0.06,0.59)	0.004	0.19(0.06,0.65)	0.008
P for trend				0.003		0.007
Phenylalanylphenylal	anine					
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.62(0.36,1.04)	0.070	0.71(0.41,1.24)	0.227
Quartiles		× ,				
Q <sub>1</sub>	27	21(77.80)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$\tilde{Q_2}$	29	14(48.30)	0.16(0.03,0.75)	0.021	0.14(0.03,0.73)	0.019
$\tilde{Q_3}$	28	9(32.10)	0.08(0.02,0.39)	0.002	0.09(0.02,0.52)	0.007
$\widetilde{Q_4}$	28	12(42.90)	0.12(0.02,0.57)	0.008	0.11(0.02,0.66)	0.016
P for trend		、 ,	· · / /	0.006	· · · · ·	0.023

<sup>*E*</sup>Values are odds ratio (95% confidence intervals) for arsenic-induced skin lesions from conditional logistic regression. IQR: interquartile range;  $Q_1$ : the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile;  $Q_2$ : the 2<sup>nd</sup> quartile;  $Q_3$ : the 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile;  $Q_4$ : the 4<sup>th</sup> quartile. <sup>*C*</sup>Adjusted for plasma glucose, low-density lipoprotein, triglyceride, and urinary dimethyl arsenate.

As these four specific AAs are significantly or marginal significantly associated with the odds of AISL, so it is needed to examine the joint impacts among them on AISL. However, the results of potential collinearity examination revealed that among these four specific AAs, both tryptophan and phenylalanine had the smallest VIF value (VIF=1.04) and no obvious collinearity existed (**Table S2**). Page 13 of 28

#### **BMJ** Open

Hence, we mainly focus on tryptophan and phenylalanine when assessing the joint impacts of AAs on AISL and only presented the results associated with these two AAs in the current study. To avoid the impacts due to insufficient power because of unreasonable grouping on the results, we classified both tryptophan and phenylalanine into two categories, according to the cut-off values of their mass spectrum peak area based on the ROC analysis, respectively. The higher levels of these two serum AAs were defined as equal to or over the cut-off values, while the lower categories were considered as less than the associated values.

**Table 4** shows the joint impacts of tryptophan and phenylalanine levels on AISL after considering the collinearity of variables in the model. The proportions of AISL were 74.3%, 60.0%, 50.0% and 18.2% for participants with lower levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine (category A), with higher tryptophan and lower phenylalanine (category B), with lower tryptophan and higher phenylalanine (category C), and higher levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine (category D), respectively. An obvious decrease trend of the probability of AISL was observed among these four categories. As compared to the category A, adjusted OR (95% CI) for participants in the category B, C and D were 0.49(0.15, 1.63), 0.32(0.10, 1.02) and 0.08(0.02, 0.25). Subjects with higher levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine had the lowest odds of AISL, which significantly decreased by 92% (OR=0.08; 95% CI 0.02 to 0.25; P<0.001), after adjusting for the impacts induced by some potentially confounding factors. This suggested that tryptophan and phenylalanine were jointly associated with the presence of AISL. While no significant interaction between the two AAs on the occurrence of AISL could be observed (P=0.419).

83	lesions.							
	Tryptophan	Phenylalanine	N	$C_{\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha}(0/)$	Crude		Adjusted	5
	$<$ cut-off value <sup><math>\xi</math></sup>	$\leq$ cut-off value <sup><math>\xi</math></sup>	IN	Cases (70)	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
	Yes	Yes	35	26(74.3)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
	No	Yes	20	12(60.0)	0.52(0.16,1.68)	0.273	0.49(0.15,1.63)	0.244
	Yes	No	24	12(50.0)	0.35(0.12,1.04)	0.059	0.32(0.10,1.02)	0.053
	No	No	33	6(18.2)	0.08(0.02,0.25)	< 0.001	0.08(0.02,0.25)	< 0.001
	Interaction					0.320	0.49(0.09,2.78)	0.419

Table 4 Joint association between tryptophan and phenylalanine levels with arsenic-induced skin 

<sup>*E*</sup> Cut-off value was determined using receiver operator characteristic analysis.

<sup>4</sup> Adjusted for plasma glucose, low-density lipoprotein, triglyceride, and urinary dimethyl arsenate.

**Table 5** shows that, based on the ROC analysis, both serum tryptophan and phenylalanine might 17 184 be potential biomarkers in distinguishing AISL from a chronic arsenic exposure population 22 <sup>186</sup> (P=0.0020 and P=0.0017). The area under the curve (AUC) and its related 95% CI, sensitivity, 24 187 specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were 0.67 (0.57, 0.77), 69.64%, 26 188 62.50%, 65.00 and 67.31% for tryptophan, and 0.70 (0.60, 0.80), 69.64%, 69.64%, 69.64% and 69.64% for phenylalanine, respectively. The AUC (95% CI), sensitivity, specificity, positive 31 190 predictive value and negative predictive value of the combination of them were 0.72 (0.62, 0.81), 33 191 76.79%, 58.93%, 65.15 and 71.74%, respectively. Our results suggest that these two AAs could be either individually or jointly used as indicators of AISL identification.

**Table 5** Combination of diagnostic indicators and ROC analysis results<sup> $\xi$ </sup>.

		0					
·	Indicators	AUC (95% CI)	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	Predict <sup>+</sup> , %	Predict <sup>-</sup> , %	Р
	Tryptophan	0.67(0.57,0.77)	69.64	62.50	65.00	67.31	0.002
	Phenylalanine	0.70(0.60,0.80)	69.64	69.64	69.64	69.64	0.002
	Combined <sup>ζ</sup>	0.72(0.62,0.81)	76.79	58.93	65.15	71.74	< 0.001

<sup>5</sup> ROC: a receiver operator characteristic; AUC: area under the roc curve; CI: confidence interval; The sensitivities, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value were calculated at their best cut-off points; Predict+: positive predictive value; Predict-: negative predictive value.

 $\zeta$  Combined: tryptophan and phenylalanine. The combination is modeled according to the formula  $\beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2$ , with  $X_i$  denoting the standardized value for the j<sup>th</sup> amino acid and  $\beta$ j denoting the regression coefficient from the logistic regression model.

#### DISCUSSION

In the present study, the association of serum tryptophan and phenylalanine, screened in our 52 194 54 195 previous non-targeted metabolomics study using UPLC-MS/MS, with AISL and their ability to indicate AISL occurrences were quantitatively evaluated in individual and joint modes. Our results 59 197 clearly showed that AISL is significantly and negatively associated with serum tryptophan and 

Page 15 of 28

## **BMJ** Open

phenylalanine levels in a chronic arsenic exposure population via drinking water. Participants with a higher level of both AAs would have the lowest odds of AISL. These two AAs might also be able to serve as the indicators of AISL.

The probability of the initiation and development of AISL would be affected by a large number of factors including age, gender, lifestyles, arsenic exposure, metabolism, and others. These factors would be important confounding factors and will largely affect our results. To adjust for the impacts due to these co-factors, we firstly selected all participants using permuted block randomization from a single rural area in which the population were chronically exposed to arsenic in the same way, had a similar lifestyle and environmental factors. Secondly, the cases and controls were matched by gender and age (±1 year). All of these may be the reason why so many potential confounders including arsenic exposure do not differ significantly between the cases and controls.

Participants enrolled in the current study were chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water. The geometric mean (GM) and its related 95% CI of urinary iAs/creatinine and tAs/creatinine in this population were 17.49 (14.90, 20.53)  $\mu$ g/g and 147.20 (129.00, 167.97)  $\mu$ g/g, respectively. They were much higher than those in the 20  $\mu$ g/L exposed to arsenic via drinking water [GM (95% CI): 0.4 (0.3,0.5)  $\mu$ g/g for iAs and 9.1 (6.5,12.7)  $\mu$ g/g for tAs], while obviously lower than those in the 90  $\mu$ g/L exposed group [GM (95% CI): 39.4 (31.4, 49.6)  $\mu$ g/g for iAs and 248.7 (208.8, 296.3)  $\mu$ g/g for tAs ]<sup>25</sup>. An available report has shown that AISL cannot be completely cured even though medical technology has already made great progress<sup>26</sup>. So, it is crucial to identify those who are most likely to progress to overt arsenic damages including AISL among people at risk as early as possible. Metabolomics study, which mainly focuses on thoroughly assessing the variation of metabolites possibly linked to diseases occurrence and development, has been widely utilized to help us understand the pathogenesis of diseases because of its relevance to the phenotypes as compared to other 'OMICs' study<sup>27</sup>. Moreover, mathematical modeling to assess the linkage between small molecular metabolites and arsenic toxicity has grown<sup>28</sup>. Developing a simple and interpretable

1

modeling approach for the early detection of arsenic-induced health lesions is of great theoretical value and realistic meaning<sup>29</sup>, though it might be difficult due to population-specific complexities and the impacts due to some potential unmeasured covariates such as diet and genetic determinants.

Previous studies reported that gene-gene and gene-environment interaction were involved in arsenicosis through toxicological mechanisms including genomic instability<sup>30</sup> and oxidative stress<sup>31</sup>. Skin hyperpigmentation and palmoplantar hyperkeratosis could be biomarkers for long-term arsenic exposure identifying the differences in metabolites that are associated with phenotypes through metabolites analysis may promote our understanding and identification of AISL. Animal study reveals that the disruption of amino acids metabolism upon arsenic exposure in the rat which may be beneficial in understanding arsenic toxicity<sup>32</sup>. In our previous population-based metabolomics study, we found that serum metabolites alteration was significantly related to the risk of arsenic-induced health damages. In the current study, we detected that some BCAAs or AAAs were also significantly relevant to AISL occurrence. Several studies across numerous ethnic backgrounds support the usage of BCAAs including leucine, isoleucine as well as valine and AAAs profile such as phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine as biomarkers in determining metabolic diseases<sup>27 33</sup>. Simultaneously, Zhou et al reported that arsenic-induced transformed cells exhibit apparent alterations in metabolite profiles including down-regulated of leucine, tryptophan, and phenylalanine in skin lesions group<sup>34</sup>. Consistent with Zhou's findings, two serum AAAs (tryptophan, phenylalanine) levels were also significantly associated with AISL in our study.

Normal metabolism of amino acids is necessary for whole-body homeostasis, growth and development, and health status<sup>15</sup>. Studies have reported that the changes in the availability of AAAs will affect cell signaling, gene expression, brain, and neuroendocrine function<sup>35</sup>. Tryptophan, an amino acid metabolism-related biomarker, is also a sensitive and specific indicator of oxidation. Tryptophan metabolism in mammals is a physiological means of preserving immune homeostasis associated with oxidative stress and inflammation<sup>36 37</sup>. Besides, phenylalanine can be transformed Page 17 of 28

1

## **BMJ** Open

into specific neurotransmitters such as dopamine and adrenaline by the action of related enzymes. Wu and colleagues<sup>38</sup> reported that arsenic exposure would lead to neurotransmitter metabolism disturbance, which might explain the reduction of phenylalanine. Furthermore, as one of the peptide-bound phenylalanine, phenylalanylphenylalanine has been reported to affect protein synthesis and secretion<sup>39</sup>, potentially indicating the possible relation between endothelium dysfunction and phenylalanine metabolism disorder. The relationship between amino acid metabolism and AISL was still unclear. The notable alteration of tryptophan and phenylalanine in this study may well indicate the occurrence of metabolic disorders due to arsenic exposure. It is also beneficial to understand the effects of arsenic toxicity and of great importance in early identification of occurrences as well as delaying the progression of various arsenic-induced health lesions including AISL.

The current study included 56 AISL cases matched 56 non-AISL controls and the sample size might be potentially insufficient. To estimate the impact due to this potential insufficient sample size on our conclusion, the PROC POWER procedure for paired design study in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.) was applied to assess the power of the four AAs when assessing their associations with AISL in this study. The results showed that the lowest power associated with all of these four AAs was 0.911 based on 56 pairs of participants (Figure 1S). It suggested that with type I error as 0.05, the total sample size as 56 pairs and two-sided test, the powers associated with these four AAs were all great than 0.8. So, we believed that the sample size for the present study, 56 pairs, would well balance the power of tests. Furthermore, previous metabolomics studies usually have a sample size of no more than 40 cases in each group<sup>40.41</sup>.

The main strength of this study is that the findings were based on a community-based, long-term arsenic exposure cohort with well-designed quality assurance and quality control throughout the study, and the AAs were detected with non-targeted metabolomics approach through the discovery and validation phases. However, there are also several limitations to this study. Firstly, although the

untargeted metabolomics approach can assess a large amount number of metabolites precisely and efficiently, it only provided relative levels of AAs instead of their accurate quantitative concentration. Secondly, these findings were mainly based on a case-control study, which only reveals the association between amino acid metabolism and the odds of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship. Furthermore, as it is suggested that the ratio of approximately 10 to 15 observations per predictor in a logistic regression model will produce reasonably stable estimations<sup>24</sup>, we selected only 4 covariates in the models due to the small sample size and these results need to be confirmed in new studies. Finally, the participants were mainly exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which would limit the findings extrapolated to the other arsenic exposure population via food and other ways. Therefore, additional elaborate population-based studies are needed to verify our discoveries.

In conclusion, specific AAs might be linked to AISL and amino acid metabolism may play an important role in AISL early identification. Additional studies may be needed to confirm our findings.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

 **Contributors:** Guangyun Mao and Yaping Wei designed the study. Chaonan Jia participated in collecting data. Yuan Lan and Chaonan Jia audited the data. Yaping Wei, Xiangqing hou, Jushuang Li, Tao Wang conducted the literature search, Yaping Wei, Chaonan Jia conducted statistical analysis and interpreted the results. Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Jingjing Zuo helped with copyediting. Guangyun Mao reviewed the final manuscript and did substantial contributions.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the basic public welfare research project of Zhejiang province (LGF19H260011), the initial scientific research fund (KYQD170301) and the major project of the eye hospital of Wenzhou medical university (YNZD201602).

Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge all of the study participants of this project. We wish to thank all of the dedication and hard work of the field team at the department of preventive medicine, school of public health and management, Wenzhou medical university. Our thanks must also go to Dr. Kendrick Hii, a native-English speaker, for his valuable suggestions and edits to the manuscript.

Competing Interest: None declared.

**Ethics approval:** Ethical approval was received from the ethics committee of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data Sharing Statement: No additional unpublished data are available.

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Mandal BK, Suzuki KT. Arsenic round the world: a review. Talanta. 2002; 58:201-35.
- 2. Smith AH, Steinmaus CM. Health Effects of Arsenic and Chromium in Drinking Water: Recent Human Findings. Annual Review Of Public Health. Palo Alto: Annual Reviews, 2009:107-22.
- 3. Guha Mazumder DN, Haque R, Ghosh N, et al. Arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence of skin lesions in West Bengal, India. International journal of epidemiology. 1998; 27:871-7.
- 4. Guo XJ, Fujino Y, Kaneko S, et al. Arsenic contamination of groundwater and prevalence of arsenical dermatosis in the Hetao plain area, Inner Mongolia, China. Mol Cell Biochem. 2001; 222:137-40.
- 5. Lindberg A-L, Rahman M, Persson L-A, et al. The risk of arsenic induced skin lesions in Bangladeshi men and women is affected by arsenic metabolism and the age at first exposure. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. 2008; 230:9-16.
- 6. Tondel M, Rahman M, Magnuson A, et al. The relationship of arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence rate of skin lesions in Bangladesh. Environmental Health Perspectives. 1999; 107:727-29.
- 7. Hsu LI, Chen GS, Lee CH, et al. Use of Arsenic-Induced Palmoplantar Hyperkeratosis and Skin Cancers to Predict Risk of Subsequent Internal Malignancy. Am J Epidemiol. 2013; 177:202-12.
- 8. Luo LR, Li YY, Gao YH, et al. Association between arsenic metabolism gene polymorphisms and arsenic-induced skin lesions in individuals exposed to high-dose inorganic arsenic in northwest China. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:12.
- 9. Hughes MF, Beck BD, Chen Y, et al. Arsenic Exposure and Toxicology: A Historical Perspective. Toxicol Sci. 2011; 123:305-32.
- 10. Ren X, McHale CM, Skibola CF, et al. An Emerging Role for Epigenetic Dysregulation in Arsenic Toxicity and Carcinogenesis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2011; 119:11-19.
- 11. Chen J-W, Wang S-L, Wang Y-H, et al. Arsenic methylation, GSTO1 polymorphisms, and metabolic syndrome in an arseniasis endemic area of southwestern Taiwan. Chemosphere. 2012; 88:432-38.
- 12. Wang S-L, Chang F-H, Liou S-H, et al. Inorganic arsenic exposure and its relation to metabolic syndrome in an industrial area of Taiwan. Environment International. 2007; 33:805-11.
- 13. Liu R, Li H, Fan WJ, et al. Leucine Supplementation Differently Modulates Branched-Chain Amino Acid Catabolism, Mitochondrial Function and Metabolic Profiles at the Different Stage of Insulin Resistance in Rats on High-Fat Diet. Nutrients. 2017; 9:20.
- 14. Platten M, Wick W, Van den Eynde BJ. Tryptophan Catabolism in Cancer: Beyond IDO and Tryptophan Depletion. Cancer Res. 2012; 72:5435-40.
- 15. Wu G. Amino acids: metabolism, functions, and nutrition. Amino Acids. 2009; 37:1-17.
- 16. Casadei L, Valerio M, Manetti C. Metabolomics: Challenges and Opportunities in Systems Biology Studies. Methods Mol Biol. 2018; 1702:327-36.
- 17. Johnson CH, Gonzalez FJ. Challenges and opportunities of metabolomics. J Cell Physiol. 2012; 227:2975-81.
- 18. Hui AY, McCarty WJ, Masuda K, et al. A systems biology approach to synovial joint lubrication in health, injury, and disease. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med. 2012; 4:15-37.
- 19. Lu K, Abo RP, Schlieper KA, et al. Arsenic Exposure Perturbs the Gut Microbiome and Its Metabolic Profile in Mice: An Integrated Metagenomics and Metabolomics Analysis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2014; 122:284-91.
- 20. Zhang J, Shen H, Xu W, et al. Urinary Metabolomics Revealed Arsenic Internal Dose-Related Metabolic Alterations: A Proof-of-Concept Study in a Chinese Male Cohort. Environmental Science & Technology. 2014; 48:12265-74.
- 21. Guo X, Cui H, Zhang H, et al. Protective Effect of Folic Acid on Oxidative DNA Damage: A Randomized, Double-Blind, and Placebo Controlled Clinical Trial. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015; 94:e1872.
- 22. Standard of diagnosis for endemic arsenism of China (WS/T211-2001). the Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China. Beijing 2001.
- 23. Chen X, Guo X, He P, et al. Interactive Influence of N6AMT1 and As3MT Genetic Variations on Arsenic Metabolism in the Population of Inner Mongolia, China. Toxicol Sci. 2017; 155:124-34.
- 24. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, et al. A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
∠ I 22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
20	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
⊿л	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
57	
52	
22	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
00	

regression analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996; 49:1373-9.

- 25. Sun G, Xu Y, Li X, et al. Urinary arsenic metabolites in children and adults exposed to arsenic in drinking water in Inner Mongolia, China. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2007; 115:648-52.
- 26. Kuo CC, Moon KA, Wang SL, et al. The Association of Arsenic Metabolism with Cancer, Cardiovascular Disease, and Diabetes: A Systematic Review of the Epidemiological Evidence. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2017; 125:15.
- 27. Wang TJ, Larson MG, Vasan RS, et al. Metabolite profiles and the risk of developing diabetes. Nature Medicine. 2011; 17:448-U83.
- 28. Lawley SD, Cinderella M, Hall MN, et al. Mathematical model insights into arsenic detoxification. Theor Biol Med Model. 2011; 8.
- 29. Juhasz AL, Herde P, Herde C, et al. Validation of the predictive capabilities of the Sbrc-G in vitro assay for estimating arsenic relative bioavailability in contaminated soils. Environ Sci Technol. 2014; 48:12962-9.
- 30. Kibriya MG, Jasmine F, Parvez F, et al. Association between genome-wide copy number variation and arsenic-induced skin lesions: a prospective study. Environ Health. 2017; 16:13.
- 31. Priori R, Scrivo R, Brandt J, et al. Metabolomics in rheumatic diseases: the potential of an emerging methodology for improved patient diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment efficacy. Autoimmun Rev. 2013; 12:1022-30.
- 32. Wang X, Mu X, Zhang J, et al. Serum metabolomics reveals that arsenic exposure disrupted lipid and amino acid metabolism in rats: a step forward in understanding chronic arsenic toxicity. Metallomics Integrated Biometal Science. 2015; 7:544.
- 33. Weng L, Quinlivan E, Gong Y, et al. Association of Branched and Aromatic Amino Acids Levels with Metabolic Syndrome and Impaired Fasting Glucose in Hypertensive Patients. Metabolic Syndrome And Related Disorders. 2015; 13:195-202.
- 34. Zhou YY, Wang YF, Su J, et al. Integration of microRNAome, proteomics and metabolomics to analyze arsenic-induced malignant cell transformation. Oncotarget. 2017; 8:90879-96.
- 35. Lee JC, Chen MJ, Chang CH, et al. Plasma amino acid levels in patients with colorectal cancers and liver cirrhosis with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepato-Gastroenterol. 2003; 50:1269-73.
- 36. Munn DH, Mellor AL. Indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase and metabolic control of immune responses. Trends In Immunology. 2013; 34:137-43.
- 37. Pawlak K, Domaniewski T, Mysliwiec M, et al. The kynurenines are associated with oxidative stress, inflammation and the prevalence of cardiovascular disease in patients with end-stage renal disease. Atherosclerosis. 2009; 204:309-14.
- 38. Wu L-L, Gong W, Shen S-P, et al. Multiple metal exposures and their correlation with monoamine neurotransmitter metabolism in Chinese electroplating workers. Chemosphere. 2017; 182:745-52.
- 39. Zhou MM, Wu YM, Liu HY, et al. Effects of phenylalanine and threonine oligopeptides on milk protein synthesis in cultured bovine mammary epithelial cells. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr. 2015; 99:215-20.
- 40. Chen LY, Cheng CY, Choi HW, et al. Plasma Metabonomic Profiling of Diabetic Retinopathy. Diabetes. 2016; 65:1099-108.
- 41. Piszcz J, Lemancewicz D, Dudzik D, et al. Differences and similarities between LC-MS derived serum fingerprints of patients with B-cell malignancies. Electrophoresis. 2013; 34:2857-64.

**Figure 1.** Association between the peak intensity of tryptophan and phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions based on multivariable locally weighted regression models. a: Tryptophan; b: Phenylalanine;c: Leucine;d: Phenylalanylphenylalanine



**Figure 1.** Association between the peak intensity of tryptophan and phenylalanine and arsenic-induced skin lesions based on multivariable locally weighted regression models. a: Tryptophan; b: Phenylalanine;c: Leucine;d: Phenylalanylphenylalanine

Table S1 Amino acids metabolites in serum.

1 2	Amino acids	Quartile	Quartile Range	Number
3	Tryptophan	1	1015821.54-1555040.97	28
4		2	1555370.88-1701256.07	28
5		3	1704298.84-1937437.56	28
6		4	1945755.37-2492319.05	28
7	Phenylalanine	1	1044964.46-1516708.76	28
ð G	•	2	1519993.60-1649420.82	28
10		3	1659818.85-1908125.28	28
11		4	1929946.22-3489918.62	28
12	Leucine	1	379957.04-556314.46	28
13		2	558744.08-688759.83	28
14		3	689470.83-806033.16	28
15		4	821492.90-1356991.53	28
10	Phenylalanylphenylalanine	1	608200.15-1291853.09	27
18		2	1303597.59-1632678.66	29
19		3	1638204.36-2234283.97	28
20		4	2235250.29-5011775.70	28
21				

## **Table S2** Variance inflation factor of amino acids in different models<sup> $\xi$ </sup>.

20					
26	Amino acids	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
27	Tryptophan	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04
28	Phenylalanine	4.56		1.50	1.04
29	Leucine	4.21	1.39		
30 31	Phenylalanylphenylalanine	1.49	1.38	1.48	
-					

32 <sup>*E</sup>Model 1: Tryptophan, phenylalanine, leucine and phenylalanylphenylalanine;*</sup>

33 Model 2: Tryptophan, leucine and phenylalanylphenylalanine;

34 Model 3: Tryptophan, phenylalanine and phenylalanylphenylalanine;

35 Model 4: Tryptophan and phenylalanine.



Figure 1S\_B. Sample size and power estimation\_\_Phenylalanine







Figure 1S\_D. Sample size and power estimation\_Tryptophan

#### Item No Recommendation (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title Title 1 and abstract or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Please see detail in the "ABSTRACT" section in the manuscript. Introduction Background/ratio 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported nale Please see detail in the second, third, fourth paragraphs of the "INTRODUCTION" section in the manuscript. Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Please see detail in the fourth paragraph of the "INTRODUCTION" section in the manuscript. Methods Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS" section in the manuscript. 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods Setting of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS" section in the manuscript. Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS" section in the manuscript. Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Please see detail in the "Study Population ", "Data Collection and Assessment" and "Distinct Metabolites Identification" of the "METHODS" section in the manuscript. 8\* Data sources/ For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of measurement methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Please see detail in the "Study Population ", "Data Collection and

## Table1 The STROBE checklist in this study.

		Assessment" and "Distinct Metabolites Identification" of the "METHODS" section in the manuscript.
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS'
		section in the manuscript.
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
		Please see detail in the sixth paragraph of the of the
		"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. It
variables		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why
		Please see detail in the "Statistical Analysis" of the "METHODS'
		section in the manuscript.
Statistical	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control
methods		for confounding
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		(d) If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was
		addressed
		( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses
		No missing values were observed in our database. Others please
		see detail in the "Statistical Analysis" of the "METHODS'
		section in the manuscript.
Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible
		included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed; (b) Give
		reasons for non-participation at each stage; (c) Consider use of a flow
		diagram
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS'
		section in the manuscript.
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic
		clinical, social) and information on exposures and potentia
		confounders
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each
		variable of interest
		See Table 1 in the manuscript.
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures o
		exposure
		Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.
Main results	16	Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted
Main results	16	Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjustedestimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make

included       Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.         (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized       Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period         Other analyses       17         Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and intractions, and sensitivity analyses         Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.         Discussion         Key results         18         Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Limitations       19         Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Interpretation       20         Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence         Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Generalisability       21         Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" s		<ul> <li>included</li> <li>Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.</li> <li>(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized</li> <li>Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.</li> <li>(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period</li> <li>Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses</li> <li>Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.</li> <li>Summarise key results with reference to study objectives</li> <li>Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.</li> </ul>
included         Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.         (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized         Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period         Other analyses       17         Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses         Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.         Discussion         Key results       18         Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Limitations       19         Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Interpretation       20         Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Generalisability       21         Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the	- - 17 - 18 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	included Please see Table 3 in the manuscript. (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized Please see Table S1 in the manuscript. (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses Please see Table 4 in the manuscript. Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript. Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
included       Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.         (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized         Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period         Other analyses       17         Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses         Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.         Discussion         Key results         Report other analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses         Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.         Discussion         Key results         Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Limitations       19         Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Interpretation       20         Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Other information	- 17 18 19	included Please see Table 3 in the manuscript. (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized Please see Table S1 in the manuscript. (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses Please see Table 4 in the manuscript. Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript. Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.         (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized         Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period         Other analyses       17         Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses         Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.         Discussion         Key results       18         Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Limitations       19         Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Interpretation       20         Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence         Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Generalisability       21         Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION"		Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.         (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized         Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period         Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses         Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.         Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized         Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period         Other analyses       17         Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses         Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.         Discussion         Key results       18         Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Limitations       19         Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Interpretation       20         Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Generalisability       21         Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the 'DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Other information       22		<ul> <li>(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized</li> <li>Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.</li> <li>(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period</li> <li>Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses</li> <li>Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.</li> <li>Summarise key results with reference to study objectives</li> <li>Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.</li> </ul>
Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period         Other analyses       17         Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses         Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.         Discussion         Key results       18         Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Limitations       19         Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Interpretation       20         Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence         Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Generalisability       21         Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Other information       71         Funding       22         Give the		Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period         Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses         Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.         Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time periodOther analyses17Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.Discussion18Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Limitations19Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential biasInterpretation20Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidenceGeneralisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other information22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		<ul> <li>(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period</li> <li>Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses</li> <li>Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.</li> </ul> Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript. Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
Other analyses17absolute risk for a meaningful time periodOther analyses17Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analysesDiscussionPlease see Table 4 in the manuscript.Key results18Summarise key results with reference to study objectivesPlease see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Limitations19Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential biasInterpretation20Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidencePlease see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Other information22Funding22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present atricle is basedPlease see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.	17 – 18 – 19 –	absolute risk for a meaningful time period Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses Please see Table 4 in the manuscript. Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript. Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
Other analyses       17       Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses         Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.       Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.         Discussion       Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Key results       18         Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Limitations       19         Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Interpretation       20         Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence         Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Generalisability       21         Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Other information       22         Funding       22         Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for	17 	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses Please see Table 4 in the manuscript. Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript. Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
DiscussionPlease see Table 4 in the manuscript.DiscussionSummarise key results with reference to study objectivesPlease see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Limitations19Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential biasPlease see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Interpretation20Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidencePlease see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Other information22Funding22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		interactions, and sensitivity analyses Please see Table 4 in the manuscript. Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript. Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.DiscussionKey results18Summarise key results with reference to study objectivesPlease see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Limitations19Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential biasPlease see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Interpretation20Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other information22Funding22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		Please see Table 4 in the manuscript. Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript. Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
Discussion         Key results       18         Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Limitations       19         Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias         Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Interpretation       20         Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence         Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Generalisability       21         Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Other information       22         Funding       22         Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based         Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.	18 - 19 -	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives <b>Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the</b> <b>"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.</b> Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
Key results18Summarise key results with reference to study objectivesPleasesee detail in the first paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Limitations19Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Interpretation20Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other information22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives         Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the         "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.         Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
Limitations19Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Interpretation20Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other information22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of
potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential biasPlease see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Interpretation20Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other information22Funding22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		
of any potential biasPlease see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Interpretation20Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other information22Funding22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude
Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Interpretation20Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidencePlease see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other information22Funding22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		of any potential bias
"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Interpretation20Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other information22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the
Interpretation20Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other information22Funding22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.	-	"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.
objectives, initiations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidencePlease see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other information22Funding22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering
Studies, and other rerevant evidencePlease see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other informationFunding22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		studies, and other relevant avidence.
Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other information22Funding22Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the
Generalisability21Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.Other informationImage: Section in the manuscript in the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscrint.
Other information         Funding       22         Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based         Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.	21 -	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
Other information       section in the manuscript.         Funding       22         Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based         Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION"
Other information         Funding       22         Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based         Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		section in the manuscript.
Funding       22       Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based         Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.	_	
study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based <b>Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.</b>	22 –	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present
article is based Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present
Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		article is based
	_	Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.
*Give information separat	.1	21  22 tely for

# **BMJ Open**

## Tryptophan and phenylalanine are associated with arsenicinduced skin lesions in a Chinese population chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water: a case-control study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-025336.R5
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-Sep-2019
Complete List of Authors:	<ul> <li>wei, yaping; Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University town, Ouhai district, Wenzhou, China., Jia, Chaonan; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine Lan, Yuan; School of Ophthalmology &amp; Optometry, Wenzhou Medical University, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Hou, Xiangqing; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine Zuo, Jingjing; Wenzhou Medical University School of Optometry and Ophthalmology, Center on Clinical Research, the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University</li> <li>Li, Jushuang; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Wang, Tao; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Department of Preventive Medicine</li> <li>Mao, Guangyun; School of Public Health &amp; Management, Preventive Medicine</li> </ul>
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Occupational and environmental medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Public health, Occupational and environmental medicine
Keywords:	Metabolomics, Chronic arsenic exposure, Skin lesions, Amino acid

# SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

## 

Tryptophan and phenylalanine are associated with arsenic-induced skin lesions in a Chinese population chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water: a case-control study

Yaping Wei<sup>1,2</sup>, Chaonan Jia,<sup>1,2</sup>, Yuan Lan<sup>3</sup>, Xiangqing Hou<sup>1,2</sup>, Jingjing Zuo<sup>3</sup>, Jushuang Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Tao Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Guangyun Mao<sup>1,2,4⊠</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health and Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>2</sup> Center on Evidence–Based Medicine & Clinical Epidemiological Research, School of Public Health, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>3</sup> School of Ophthalmology & Optometry, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

<sup>4</sup> Center on Clinical Research, the Affiliated Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia contributed equally to this paper.

Corresponding author: Guangyun Mao, Room 7A321, School of Public Health and Management,

Wenzhou Medical University, Chashan University Town, Ouhai District, Wenzhou, China. 325035

E-mail: maogy@wmu.edu.cn

Telephone: +86 - 577 - 86689848

Fax numbers: +86 - 577 - 86699122

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives** We investigated the association of specific serum amino acids (AAs) with the odds of arsenic–induced skin lesions (AISL) and their ability to distinguish AISL patients from people chronically exposed to arsenic.

**Design** Case–control study.

Setting Three arsenic-exposed villages in Wuyuan County, Hetao Plain, Inner Mongolia, China were evaluated.

**Participants** Among the 450 residents aged 18–79 years, who were chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, 56 were diagnosed as having AISL (defined as cases). Another 56 participants without AISL, matched by gender and age ( $\pm 1$  year) from the same population, were examined as

controls.

Main outcome measures and methods AA levels were determined by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry-based metabolomics analysis. Potential confounding variables were identified via a standardized questionnaire and clinical examination. Multivariable conditional logistic regression model and receiver operating characteristic curve analyses were performed to investigate the relationship between specific AAs and AISL.

**Results** Tryptophan and phenylalanine levels were negatively associated with AISL (P < 0.05). Compared with that in the first quartile, the adjusted odds ratio of AISL in the second, third, and fourth quartiles were decreased by 44%, 88%, and 79% for tryptophan and 30%, 80%, and 80% for phenylalanine, respectively. The combination of these two higher–level AAs showed the lowest odds ratio for AISL (OR = 0.08; 95% CI 0.02–0.25; P < 0.001). Furthermore, both AAs showed a moderate ability to distinguish patients with AISL from the control, with the area under the curve [(AUC), 95% CI] as 0.67 (0.57–0.77) for tryptophan and 0.70 (0.60–0.80) for phenylalanine (P <

0.05). The combined pattern with AUC (95% CI) was 0.72 (0.62–0.81), showing a sensitivity of 76.79% and specificity of 58.93% (P < 0.001).

**Conclusions** Specific AAs may be linked to AISL and play important roles in early AISL identification.

Keywords: Chronic arsenic exposure; Skin lesions; Metabolomics; Amino acid.

For oper teries only

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our findings were based on a community-based metabolomics study with paired design, and strict quality assurance and quality control.
- Multivariable conditional logistic models were used to examine the association between specific levels of AAs and AISL, and the ROC analysis was applied to evaluate the feasibility of AAs to distinguish patients with AISL from their counterparts.
- Although AAs were determined by untargeted metabolomics approach, which can assess a large number of metabolites precisely and efficiently, only relative levels of AAs could be obtained instead of their accurate quantitative concentration.
- Based on a case control-study, the findings only revealed the association between AAs and the odds of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship.
- The participants were mainly chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which may limit the findings extrapolated to another arsenic exposure population via food or other routes.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Chronic arsenic exposure via drinking water is widely considered a global health concern affecting several people worldwide. This exposure may cause various human health issues such as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and cancer<sup>12</sup>. With the industrial boom and considerable increase in global water pollution including arsenic contamination in the past, the prevalence and burden of arsenic-induced health damage will continue to increase. The skin has been confirmed as one of the most common and susceptible targets of arsenic-induced health effects. Cutaneous skin lesions are typical signs of arsenicosis after persistent and long-term arsenic exposure. These lesions are characterized by hyperkeratosis and hyperpigmentation. Considerable evidence of the prevalence of arsenic-induced skin lesions (AISL) has been reported in several countries<sup>3-5</sup>.

As AISL are widely accepted as the major early manifestation of arsenic toxicity<sup>6</sup> and may be an indicator of susceptibility to more serious arsenic-induced health hazards<sup>7</sup>, it is critical to identify people who are at risk as early as possible to prevent the onset or delay the progression of serious health problems. Several possible mechanisms such as genetic differences<sup>8</sup>, oxidative stress<sup>9</sup>, and epigenetic dysregulation<sup>10</sup> may explain arsenic poisoning. Previous studies also suggested that arsenic methylation in vivo is associated with metabolic syndrome<sup>11 12</sup>.

Amino acids (AAs) are the "basic unit" of all proteins and are necessary to maintain health. Some AAs are important regulators of key metabolic pathways and also help in maximizing food utilization, enhancing protein accretion, and improving health<sup>13</sup><sup>14</sup>. Abnormal metabolism of AAs can disturb homeostasis in the body, impair growth and development, and even cause death<sup>15</sup>. Thus, the levels of serum AAs may be important indicators of metabolic status and disease condition. As powerful tools in system biology research, metabolomics approaches are beneficial for unbiased monitoring of changes in endogenous metabolism-related physiological processes, providing integrative information on distinct features across multiple functional levels. These methods capture the core attributes responsible for various phenotypes, which are particularly important in 

understanding the relevant pathophysiological changes of a disease and its status, and in identifying novel biomarkers for risk screening, diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of important human diseases<sup>16–18</sup>.

Animal experiments and epidemiological studies have reported obvious arsenic-related metabolomics perturbations<sup>19 20</sup>. These results suggest that the relationship between specific metabolites and arsenic--induced health lesions should be investigated. However, only a few studies have been conducted to comprehensively examine the metabolic mechanism relevant to AISL, particularly for AA metabolism. This study was conducted to quantitatively examine the association of several specific AAs with AISL and their ability to identify AISL.

## METHODS

## Study Population

The study data were originally obtained from a randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled clinical trial (NCT02235948) performed in 2010, in which all subjects were randomly selected by permuted block randomization from a single rural area in which a population was chronically exposed to low-level arsenic drinking water, had similar lifestyles, and were influenced by similar environmental factors. Information on the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the participants can be found in our previous study<sup>21</sup>. Strictly following the criteria of arsenicosis<sup>22</sup>, AISL was diagnosed as the presence of arsenic-induced keratosis, hyperpigmentation, or depigmentation by a physician from the Wenzhou Medical University at the beginning of the trial. This was a matched case-control study (1:1 matching). Among 450 residents aged 18–79 years enrolled in the previous trial, 56 were diagnosed as having AISL and selected as the case group. Another 56 participants without AISL matched by gender and age (±1 year) from the same population were evaluated as controls. The inclusion criteria were subjects who underwent a metabolomic test. Unmatched participants and those without serum metabolites data were excluded.

## **Data Collection and Assessment**

Page 7 of 25

## **BMJ** Open

Information on age, gender, exposure year, body mass index, smoking, alcohol consumption, and education level, among other factors, was collected using a standardized questionnaire. Blood and urine samples were also collected at the time of participants' enrollment. The detailed methods for analyzing blood and urine samples and assessment methods for clinical variables including fasting plasma glucose (FPG), serum urea nitrogen, serum folate, total homocysteine, total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and others have been published previously<sup>21</sup>. Various urinary arsenic species were separated and detected by high-performance liquid chromatography coupled mass spectrometry system<sup>23</sup>. The species of arsenic in urine samples consisted of inorganic arsenic (iAs,  $[iAs^{II}]$ , plus  $iAs^{V}$ ), monomethyl arsenate (MMA, [MMA<sup>III</sup> plus MMA<sup>V</sup>]), and dimethyl arsenate (DMA, [DMA<sup>III</sup> plus DMA<sup>v</sup>]). All arsenic species were corrected by creatinine. The total arsenic (tAs) was the sum of iAs, MMA and DMA. The percentages of arsenic species were defined as: iAs% = iAs/tAs\*100%, MMA% = MMA/tAs\*100% and DMA% = DMA/tAs\*100%, respectively.

## **UPLC-QTOF-MS Metabonomic Profiling**

Serum samples were thawed to 4°C, and then 600 µL of a mixture of 90% acetonitrile and 10% water was added to each sample (200 µL in microcentrifuge tubes). The samples were vigorously mixed for 20 s and then centrifuged for 5 min at 12,000 g(20°C). Four hundred microliters of each supernatant were transferred to a new tube and dried in a vacuum concentrator centrifuge. The dried samples were re-suspended in 130 µL of water (containing 15% acetonitrile), mixed vigorously for 20-s, and-centrifuged as described above. Two microliters of the supernatant were collected for analysis. Serum metabolic profile was acquired using ACOUITY UPLC®/Xevo® G2 QTof/MS<sup>E</sup> (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). Chromatographic separation was performed at 50 °C using a Waters HSS T3 column (2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.7 µm; Milford, MA, USA) at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. The mobile phase was a mixture of (A) H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% formic acid and (B) methanol with 0.1% formic acid. Elution was performed over a linear gradient-as follows: 0 min with 100% A
and 0% B, 1 min with 100% A and 0% B, 8 min with 0%A and 100% B, and 13 min with 0% A and 100% B. The mass spectrometer was operated under both electrospray ionization positive–ion (ESI<sup>+</sup>) mode and negative–ion (ESI<sup>-</sup>) mode. The scan range was 50–1200 m/z. The capillary voltage was set to 3000 and 2500 V, respectively. The desolvation flow rate was 800 L/h at 350°C. Argon was used as the collision gas, and the collision energy was adjusted from 10 to 40 eV in each analysis. Quantum clustering (QC) samples were prepared by pooling aliquots of each sample and used to reflect the reliability of further metabolomics analysis. After peak deconvolution, alignment, integration, and normalization, the data including retention time (RT), mass to charge ratio (m/z), and peak intensity were extracted from raw chromatograms using Progenesis QI 2.0 (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). MS/MS was performed to determine metabolite levels using MarkerLynx Applications Manager Version 4.1 (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA).

**Distinct Metabolite Identification** 

The peak intensities of metabolites for the 56 pairs of subjects were acquired and imported into MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (http://www.metaboanalyst.ca/) for statistical analyses. A partial least–squares discriminant analysis (PLS–DA), which is a supervised and well–accepted pattern recognition approach, was used to differentiate between the cases and controls. The false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted P–value in univariate analysis was determined to reduce the potential effect of false–positive results. The criteria used to select metabolites included variable importance in projection (VIP) scores >1 using PLS–DA and a crude or FDR–adjusted P–value < 0.05 using Wilcoxon signed–rank test. We identified 70 extracted small molecular metabolites linked to the recognition of AISL. The Human Metabolome Database (<u>http://www.hmdb.ca</u>) was used to identify the metabolites, which include four amino acid metabolites (phenylalanine, tryptophan, leucine, and phenylalanylphenylalanine).

Statistical Analysis

The normality of continuous data was assessed using both QQ-plots and Shapiro-Wilk test. The

Page 9 of 25

1

# **BMJ** Open

data of cases and controls were analyzed using paired *t*-test if they showed a normal or similar normal distribution. Otherwise, Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used. Differences in the proportion of categorical variables between the two groups were evaluated using McNemar-Bowker test. We first used locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) models to estimate the "real" relationship between serum AA levels and the probability of AISL. Next, multivariable conditional logistic regression models were used to examine the association between contributing AA levels and AISL after adjusting for potential confounding factors. The individual effects of AA metabolites on the risk of AISL were quantified separately using the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) as follows: with AAs as a categorical variable (quartiles) and as a continuous variable [scaled to an interquartile range (IQR)]. Variables with a P-value less than 0.2 in the comparison between two groups were selected as potential confounders. This approach has been widely used in many studies, particularly for small sample size. The variance inflation factor (VIF) was used to examine the potential collinearity among variables. As too many covariates in a multiple regression model can lead to overfitting<sup>24</sup>, we selected no more than four variables as confounding factors to decrease the potential of overfitting when assessing the association between AAs and AISL. Furthermore, as distinct metabolites may be highly related to each other, collinearity should be considered. Thus, we used the VIF based on VIF package of R software to detect potential collinearity among the AAs. A VIF greater than 1.5 is considered to indicate collinearity in the model, and the associated variable is removed. The combined effect of relevant AAs on AISL was also determined using a multivariable logistic regression model. A receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis was applied to evaluate the value and feasibility of AAs as potentially sensitive and specific biomarkers for recognizing AISL. Data management, analysis, and figure drawing were performed using R version 3.4.4 (Copyright © 2018 The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). All tests were two-sided and the results with P values < 0.05 were considered to indicate significance.

- **Patient and Public Involvement**
- 60

The present study was designed as an observational study, and as such patients and the public were not involved in the planning, recruitment and conduct of this study. All participants were informed about the purpose of this study and signed informed consent at the beginning of the study. The results of this study have not yet been disseminated to the participants.

# RESULTS

**Table 1** summarizes the general characteristics of the study population. Comparisons of the demographic data, clinical features, and urinary arsenic species in the 56 pairs of subjects are presented in Table 1. The median (first and third quartile) age of AISL population was 50.30 (44.70 and 58.70) for the cases and 50.40 (44.60 and 58.70) years for the controls. Both groups contained the same proportion of females (58.93%), and there was no significant difference in urinary arsenic levels between the two groups. More than half of the subjects had no history of smoking or alcohol consumption. The serum triglyceride level in subjects with AISL was significantly lower than that in the controls (P = 0.041). Other variables were similar between the subjects with AISL and controls (P > 0.05). This indicates that the participants in the two groups were comparable.

4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30 21	
21	
32	140
34	
35	141
36	
37	142
38	
39	143
40	
41	144
47	
42	
43	
43 44 45	
43 44 45 46	
43 44 45 46 47	
43 44 45 46 47 48	
43 44 45 46 47 48 49	
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	145
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	145
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 56	145 146

59 60

<b>Table I</b> Demographic characteristics of the study population	Table 1	l Demographic	characteristics	of the s	tudy po	pulation <sup>§</sup>
--	---------	---------------	-----------------	----------	---------	-----------------------

Variables	AISL (n=56)	Non-AISL (n=56)	Р
Clinical Characteristics	<u> </u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Age (years)	50.30(44.70,58.70)	50.40(44.60,58.70)	0.425
Exposure year (years)	48.19±11.53	47.62±10.97	0.489
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.12±3.14	23.91±2.86	0.697
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	4.89(4.60,5.25)	5.12(4.53,5.40)	0.137
Folate (ng/mL)	4.00(3.20,5.10)	4.25(3.35,5.40)	0.392
Total homocysteine (µmol/L)	12.30(10.32,16.50)	12.67(11.21,14.69)	0.961
Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L)	6.45(5.42,7.69)	6.84(5.36,8.80)	0.603
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.58(4.10,5.69)	4.65(3.96,5.95)	0.904
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.41(0.90,1.74)	1.45(1.09,2.29)	0.041
High-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	1.19±0.34	1.16±0.31	0.675
Low-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)	$3.04 \pm 0.80$	3.25±0.84	0.110
Women [# (%)]	33(58.93)	33(58.93)	1.000
Cigarette smoking [# (%)]	20(35.71)	22(39.29)	0.696
Alcohol consumption [# (%)]	17(30.91)	21(37.50)	0.464
Illiteracy [# (%)]	21(37.50)	15(25.00)	0.252
Urinary arsenic species <sup>ζ</sup>			
iAS%	12.26(8.13,14.68)	12.31(10.04,16.54)	0.148
MMA%	24.68(20.11,29.68)	25.85(20.90,31.66)	0.420
DMA%	61.84(56.62,71.01)	61.84(47.85,64.99)	0.096
tAs (μg/g creatinine)	140.93(104.41,208.53)	186.77(80.11,217.30)	0.445

<sup> $\xi$ </sup>AISL: arsenic – induced skin lesions; the variables met normal distribution was described with mean± standard deviation; otherwise, median (1<sup>st</sup> quartile, 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile) was used to describe their features. Number of cases (percentage) was used to describe the proportion of categorical variables between the two groups.

 $\zeta$  iAS: inorganic arsenic (iAs<sup>III</sup>+iAs<sup>V</sup>); MMA: monomethyl arsenate (MMA<sup>III</sup>+MMA<sup>V</sup>); DMA: dimethyl arsenate (DMA<sup>III</sup>+DMA<sup>V</sup>); tAs: total arsenic (iAs<sup>III</sup>+iAs<sup>V</sup>+MMA+DMA); iAs%= iAS/tAs\*100%; MMA%=MMA/tAs\*100% and DMA%=DMA/tAs\*100%.

Table 2 shows that the four AAs, with an FDR-adjusted P-value of < 0.05 and VIP of > 1,

1 were-significantly lower in the cases than in the controls. Two were aromatic amino acids (AAAs)

identified as phenylalanine and tryptophan, one was a branched–chain amino acid (BCAA) identified

as leucine, and the last AA was phenylalanylphenylalanine. The individual associations of AAs with

AISL are presented in Figure 1, which shows obvious "dose–response" relationships.

	Table 2 Distinct metabolites in	population wi	rith arsenic - induce	d skin les	sions and their counterparts.
--	---------------------------------	---------------	-----------------------	------------	-------------------------------

Serum amino acid metabolites	Retention time (min)	Mass-to- Charge Ratio	VIP value	$p-values^{\xi}$	Adjusted p–values <sup>ζ</sup>
Phenylalanine	3.402	166.087	1.508	< 0.001	0.009
Tryptophan	3.886	203.082	1.046	0.003	0.014
Leucine	2.642	132.102	1.014	0.001	0.020
Phenylalanylphenylalanine	5.048	313.155	1.833	0.004	0.033

VIP: variable importance in the project;  $\xi$  Wilcoxon signed-rank test;  $\zeta$  Adjusted by false discovery rate (FDR).

Table 3 shows that participants in the third and fourth quartiles of the four specific AAs were significantly linked to decreased odds of AISL after adjusting for FPG, LDL, TG, and DMA%, as compared with that of their lowest quartiles. The category boundaries of the quartiles are shown in Table S1. Significant linear trends were detected between AISL and the four serum AAs. The same linear negative association between AISL and per IQR increase in the four serum AAs was observed when these AAs were considered as continuous variables in the present study.

Table 3 Relationship of amino acid levels with the odds of arsenic-induced skin lesions<sup>ξ</sup>.

1 2 3

4 5

6 7

8 9 10

47

48

49

A mino acida	N	Cases (%)	Crude		Adjusted <sup>z</sup>	·
Ammo actus	1	Cases (70)	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
Tryptophan						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.48(0.27,0.84)	0.011	0.48(0.27,0.86)	0.013
Quartiles						
$Q_1$	28	20(71.40)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	28	15(53.60)	0.50(0.16,1.54)	0.225	0.56(0.16,1.98)	0.370
Q3	28	10(35.70)	0.12(0.03,0.53)	0.005	0.12(0.02,0.60)	0.010
$Q_4$	28	11(39.30)	0.19(0.05,0.71)	0.014	0.21(0.05,0.84)	0.028
P for trend				0.008		0.012
Phenylalanine						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.57(0.36,0.91)	0.019	0.56(0.33,0.94)	0.028
Quartiles						
$Q_1$	28	20(71.40)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	28	19(67.90)	0.79(0.22,2.82)	0.712	0.70(0.18,2.76)	0.609
Q3	28	8(28.60)	0.18(0.05,0.66)	0.010	0.20(0.05,0.77)	0.019
$Q_4$	28	9(32.10)	0.25(0.08,0.79)	0.018	0.20(0.05,0.75)	0.017
P for trend				< 0.001		0.001
Leucine						
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.45(0.25,0.82)	0.019	0.43(0.21,0.86)	0.016
Quartiles						
$Q_1$	28	21(75.00)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
$Q_2$	28	14(50.00)	0.33(0.11,1.03)	0.057	0.31(0.10,1.01)	0.052
Q3	28	11(39.30)	0.22(0.07,0.68)	0.009	0.22(0.07,0.73)	0.014
$Q_4$	28	10(35.70)	0.19(0.06,0.59)	0.004	0.19(0.06,0.65)	0.008
P for trend				0.003		0.007
Phenylalanylphenylala	nine					
Per IQR	112	56(50)	0.62(0.36,1.04)	0.070	0.71(0.41,1.24)	0.227
Quartiles						
$Q_1$	27	21(77.80)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
Q2	29	14(48.30)	0.16(0.03,0.75)	0.021	0.14(0.03,0.73)	0.019
Q3	28	9(32.10)	0.08(0.02,0.39)	0.002	0.09(0.02,0.52)	0.007
$Q_4$	28	12(42.90)	0.12(0.02,0.57)	0.008	0.11(0.02,0.66)	0.016
P for trend				0.006		0.023

<sup>*E*</sup>Values are odds ratio (95% confidence intervals) for arsenic–induced skin lesions from conditional logistic regression. IQR: interquartile range;  $Q_1$ : the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile;  $Q_2$ : the 2<sup>nd</sup> quartile;  $Q_3$ : the 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile;  $Q_4$ : the 4<sup>th</sup> quartile. <sup>*C*</sup> Adjusted for plasma glucose, low–density lipoprotein, triglyceride, and urinary dimethyl arsenate.

50 151 As these four specific AAs were significantly or marginally significantly associated with the 51 52 53 <sup>152</sup> odds of AISL, we examined their combined effects on AISL. However, analysis of potential 54 collinearity revealed that among the four specific AAs, both tryptophan and phenylalanine had the 55 153 56 57 <sub>154</sub> smallest VIF value (VIF = 1.04), and no obvious collinearity existed (Table S2). Thus, we mainly 58 59 60<sup>155</sup> focused on tryptophan and phenylalanine to assess the combined effects of AAs on AISL and only

presented the results associated with these two AAs in the present study. To avoid the effects of insufficient power because of unreasonable grouping of the results, we classified both tryptophan and phenylalanine into two categories, according to the cut–off values of their mass spectrum peak area based on the ROC analysis, respectively. The higher levels of these two serum AAs were defined as equal to or higher than the cut–off values, while the lower categories were considered as less than the associated values.

**Table 4** shows the combined effects of tryptophan and phenylalanine levels on AISL after considering the collinearity of variables in the model. The proportions of AISL were 74.3%, 60.0%, 50.0%, and 18.2% for participants with lower levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine (category A), with higher level of tryptophan and lower level of phenylalanine (category B), with lower level of tryptophan and higher level of phenylalanine (category C), and higher levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine (category D), respectively. An obvious decrease in the probability of AISL was observed among these four categories. Compared with that of category A, the adjusted OR (95% CI) for participants in categories B, C, and D was 0.49 (0.15–1.63), 0.32 (0.10–1.02), and 0.08 (0.02– 0.25), respectively. Subjects with higher levels of both tryptophan and phenylalanine had the lowest odds of AISL, which significantly decreased by 92% (OR=0.08; 95% CI 0.02–0.25; P<0.001), after adjusting for the effects of some potential confounding factors. This suggests that tryptophan and phenylalanine are jointly associated with AISL, although no significant interaction between the two AAs and occurrence of AISL was observed (P = 0.419).

6	lesions.										
5	Table 4 Joint	association 1	between	tryptophan	and	phenylalanine	levels	with	arsenic-	induced	skin

	Tryptophan	Phenylalanine	N	$C_{\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha}(0/)$	Crude		Adjusted	רי ד
	$<$ cut–off value <sup><math>\xi</math></sup>	$<$ cut–off value <sup><math>\xi</math></sup>	IN	Cases (70)	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
-	Yes	Yes	35	26(74.3)	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.	1.00(1.00,1.00)	Ref.
	No	Yes	20	12(60.0)	0.52(0.16,1.68)	0.273	0.49(0.15,1.63)	0.244
	Yes	No	24	12(50.0)	0.35(0.12,1.04)	0.059	0.32(0.10,1.02)	0.053
	No	No	33	6(18.2)	0.08(0.02,0.25)	< 0.001	0.08(0.02,0.25)	< 0.001
	Interaction					0.320	0.49(0.09,2.78)	0.419

<sup>5</sup>*Cut–off value was determined using receiver operator characteristic analysis.* 

 $\zeta$  Adjusted for plasma glucose, low–density lipoprotein, triglyceride, and urinary dimethyl arsenate.

58 59 60

**Table 5** shows that, based on the ROC analysis, both serum tryptophan and phenylalanine levels might be potential biomarkers in distinguishing AISL from a chronic arsenic exposure population (P = 0.0020 and P = 0.0017). The area under the curve (AUC) and its related 95% CI, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were 0.67 (0.57–0.77), 69.64%, 62.50%, 65.00 and 67.31% for tryptophan, and 0.70 (0.60–0.80), 69.64%, 69.64%, 69.64% and 69.64% for phenylalanine, respectively. The AUC (95% CI), sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of the combination of them were 0.72 (0.62–0.81), 76.79%, 58.93%, 65.15 and 71.74%, respectively. Our results suggest that these two AAs could be either individually or jointly used as indicators of AISL identification.

Table 5 Combination of diagnostic indicators and ROC analysis results<sup>\xi</sup>.

	0		J			
Indicators	AUC (95% CI)	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	Predict <sup>+</sup> , %	Predict <sup>-</sup> , %	Р
Tryptophan	0.67(0.57,0.77)	69.64	62.50	65.00	67.31	0.002
Phenylalanine	0.70(0.60,0.80)	69.64	69.64	69.64	69.64	0.002
Combined <sup>ζ</sup>	0.72(0.62,0.81)	76.79	58.93	65.15	71.74	< 0.001

<sup>*E*</sup> *ROC*: a receiver operator characteristic; *AUC*: area under the roc curve; *CI*: confidence interval; The sensitivities, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value were calculated at their best cut–off points; Predict+: positive predictive value; Predict-: negative predictive value.

 $\zeta$  Combined: tryptophan and phenylalanine. The combination is modeled according to the formula  $\beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2$ , with  $X_j$  denoting the standardized value for the j<sup>th</sup> amino acid and  $\beta_j$  denoting the regression coefficient from the logistic regression model.

# **DISCUSSION**

In the present study, the association of serum tryptophan and phenylalanine, screened in our previous non-targeted metabolomics study using UPLC-MS/MS, with AISL and their ability to indicate AISL occurrence were quantitatively evaluated in individual and joint modes. Our results clearly showed that AISL was significantly and negatively associated with serum tryptophan and phenylalanine levels in a chronically arsenic–exposed population via drinking water. Participants with a higher level of both AAs showed the lowest odds of AISL.

The probability of initiation and development of AISL is affected by numerous factors including age, gender, lifestyles, arsenic exposure, and metabolism. These factors may be important confounding factors and largely affect our results. To adjust for the effects of these co–factors, we Page 15 of 25

1

## **BMJ** Open

first selected all participants using permuted block randomization from a single rural area in which the population was chronically exposed to arsenic in the same route, had a similar lifestyle, and was influenced by similar environmental factors. Secondly, the cases and controls were matched by gender and age ( $\pm 1$  year). All of these may be the reason for the so many potential confounders including arsenic exposure, which did not differ significantly between the cases and controls.

Participants enrolled in the current study were chronically exposed to arsenic via drinking water. The geometric mean (GM) and its related 95% CI of urinary iAs/creatinine and tAs/creatinine in this population were 17.49 (14.90–20.53) µg/g and 147.20 (129.00–167.97) µg/g, respectively. They were much higher than those in the 20 µg/L exposed to arsenic via drinking water [GM (95% CI): 0.4 (0.3–0.5)  $\mu$ g/g for iAs and 9.1 (6.5–12.7)  $\mu$ g/g for tAs], while obviously lower than those in the 90 µg/L exposed group [GM (95% CI): 39.4 (31.4–49.6) µg/g for iAs and 248.7 (208.8–296.3) µg/g for tAs ]<sup>25</sup>. An available report has shown that AISL cannot be completely cured even though medical technology has already made great progress<sup>26</sup>. Therefore, it is crucial to identify those who are most likely to progress to overt arsenic damages including AISL among people at risk as early as possible. Metabolomics study, which mainly focuses on thoroughly assessing the variation in metabolites possibly linked to disease occurrence and development, has been widely utilized to help understand the pathogenesis of diseases because of its relevance to the phenotypes compared to other "OMICs" study<sup>27</sup>. Moreover, mathematical modeling to assess the linkage between small molecular metabolites and arsenic toxicity has advanced <sup>28</sup>. Developing a simple and interpretable modeling approach for the early detection of arsenic-induced lesions is of great theoretical value and realistic meaning<sup>29</sup>, although it might be difficult due to population–specific complexities and effect of some potential unmeasured covariates such as diet and genetic determinants.

Previous studies have reported that gene–gene and gene–environment interactions are involved in arsenicosis through toxicological mechanisms including genomic instability<sup>30</sup> and oxidative stress<sup>31</sup>. Skin hyperpigmentation and palmoplantar hyperkeratosis may be biomarkers for long–term

1

arsenic exposure and useful for identifying differences in metabolites associated with phenotypes. Metabolite analysis may improve the understanding and identification of AISL. An animal study revealed that examining the disruption in AA metabolism upon arsenic exposure in rats-may be beneficial for understanding arsenic toxicity<sup>32</sup>. In our previous population-based metabolomics study, we found that serum metabolite alterations were significantly related to the risk of arsenic-induced health damages. In the present study, we found that some BCAAs or AAAs were significantly related to AISL occurrence. Several studies across numerous ethnic backgrounds support the use of BCAAs including leucine, isoleucine, valine, and AAA profiles such as those of phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine as biomarkers for identifying metabolic diseases<sup>27 33</sup>. Zhou et al. reported that arsenic-induced transformed cells had altered metabolite profiles including downregulation of leucine, tryptophan, and phenylalanine in the skin lesion group<sup>34</sup>. Consistent with these findings, the levels of two serum AAAs (tryptophan and phenylalanine) were significantly associated with AISL in our study.

Normal metabolism of AAs is necessary for whole - body homeostasis, growth, and development, and health<sup>15</sup>. Studies have reported that changes in the availability of AAAs affect cell signaling, gene expression, brain function, and neuroendocrine function<sup>35</sup>. Tryptophan, an AA metabolism - related biomarker, is a sensitive and specific indicator of oxidation. Tryptophan metabolism in mammals is a physiological means of preserving immune homeostasis associated with oxidative stress and inflammation<sup>36 37</sup>. Additionally, phenylalanine can be transformed into specific neurotransmitters such as dopamine and adrenaline via the action of related enzymes. Wu et al.<sup>38</sup> reported that arsenic exposure can lead to neurotransmitter metabolism, which may explain the phenylalanine. Furthermore, reduction in peptide-bound phenylalanine, as а phenylalanylphenylalanine has been reported to affect protein synthesis and secretion<sup>39</sup>, indicating a relationship between endothelium dysfunction and phenylalanine metabolism disorder. The relationship between AA metabolism and AISL remains unclear. The notable alteration of tryptophan Page 17 of 25

1

## **BMJ** Open

and phenylalanine in this study indicates the occurrence of metabolic disorders due to arsenic exposure. These results are also beneficial for understanding the effects of arsenic toxicity and importance of early identification of exposure for delaying the progression of various arsenic–induced lesions, including AISL.

The main strength of this study is that the findings were based on a community-based, long-term arsenic exposure cohort with well-designed quality assurance and quality control throughout the study. However, there were some limitations to this study. Firstly, although the untargeted metabolomics approach can assess a large amount number of metabolites precisely and efficiently, it only provided relative levels of AAs instead of their accurate quantitative concentration. Secondly, these findings were mainly based on a case-control study, which only reveals the association between AA metabolism and the odds of AISL rather than confirming their causal relationship. Furthermore, the study included 56 AISL cases-matched and 56 non-AISL controls and the sample size might be potentially insufficient. Although metabolomics studies usually have a sample size of no more than 40 cases in each group<sup>40 41</sup>, our study may be under-powered and thus larger studies are needed in the future. Besides, as it is suggested that the ratio of approximately 10-15 observations per predictor in a logistic regression model will produce reasonably stable estimations<sup>24</sup>, we selected only four covariates in the models due to the small sample size and these results need to be confirmed in further studies. Finally, the participants were mainly exposed to arsenic via drinking water, which would limit the findings from being extrapolated to other arsenic exposure populations via food and other routes. Therefore, additional elaborate population-based studies are needed to verify our findings.

In conclusion, specific AAs may be linked to AISL and AA metabolism may play an important role in early AISL identification. Additional studies are needed to confirm our findings.

**Contributors:** Guangyun Mao and Yaping Wei designed the study. Chaonan Jia participated in collecting data. Yuan Lan and Chaonan Jia audited the data. Yaping Wei, Xiangqing hou, Jushuang Li, Tao Wang conducted the literature search, Yaping Wei, Chaonan Jia conducted statistical analysis and interpreted the results. Yaping Wei and Chaonan Jia wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Jingjing Zuo helped with copyediting. Guangyun Mao reviewed the final manuscript and did substantial contributions.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the basic public welfare research project of Zhejiang province (LGF19H260011), the initial scientific research fund (KYQD170301) and the major project of the eye hospital of Wenzhou medical university (YNZD201602).

Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge all of the study participants of this project. We wish to thank all of the dedication and hard work of the field team at the department of preventive medicine, school of public health and management, Wenzhou medical university. Our thanks must also go to Dr. Kendrick Hii, a native–English speaker, for his valuable suggestions and edits to the manuscript.

Competing Interest: None declared.

**Ethics approval:** Ethical approval was received from the ethics committee of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data Sharing Statement: No additional unpublished data are available.

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Mandal BK, Suzuki KT. Arsenic round the world: a review. Talanta. 2002; 58:201–35.
- 2. Smith AH, Steinmaus CM. Health Effects of Arsenic and Chromium in Drinking Water: Recent Human Findings. Annual Review Of Public Health. Palo Alto: Annual Reviews, 2009:107–22.
- 3. Guha Mazumder DN, Haque R, Ghosh N, et al. Arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence of skin lesions in West Bengal, India. International journal of epidemiology. 1998; 27:871–7.
- 4. Guo XJ, Fujino Y, Kaneko S, et al. Arsenic contamination of groundwater and prevalence of arsenical dermatosis in the Hetao plain area, Inner Mongolia, China. Mol Cell Biochem. 2001; 222:137–40.
- 5. Lindberg A–L, Rahman M, Persson L–A, et al. The risk of arsenic induced skin lesions in Bangladeshi men and women is affected by arsenic metabolism and the age at first exposure. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. 2008; 230:9–16.
- 6. Tondel M, Rahman M, Magnuson A, et al. The relationship of arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence rate of skin lesions in Bangladesh. Environmental Health Perspectives. 1999; 107:727–29.
- 7. Hsu LI, Chen GS, Lee CH, et al. Use of Arsenic–Induced Palmoplantar Hyperkeratosis and Skin Cancers to Predict Risk of Subsequent Internal Malignancy. Am J Epidemiol. 2013; 177:202–12.
- 8. Luo LR, Li YY, Gao YH, et al. Association between arsenic metabolism gene polymorphisms and arsenic-induced skin lesions in individuals exposed to high-dose inorganic arsenic in northwest China. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:12.
- 9. Hughes MF, Beck BD, Chen Y, et al. Arsenic Exposure and Toxicology: A Historical Perspective. Toxicol Sci. 2011; 123:305–32.
- 10. Ren X, McHale CM, Skibola CF, et al. An Emerging Role for Epigenetic Dysregulation in Arsenic Toxicity and Carcinogenesis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2011; 119:11–19.
- 11. Chen J–W, Wang S–L, Wang Y–H, et al. Arsenic methylation, GSTO1 polymorphisms, and metabolic syndrome in an arseniasis endemic area of southwestern Taiwan. Chemosphere. 2012; 88:432–38.
- 12. Wang S–L, Chang F–H, Liou S–H, et al. Inorganic arsenic exposure and its relation to metabolic syndrome in an industrial area of Taiwan. Environment International. 2007; 33:805–11.
- 13. Liu R, Li H, Fan WJ, et al. Leucine Supplementation Differently Modulates Branched–Chain Amino Acid Catabolism, Mitochondrial Function and Metabolic Profiles at the Different Stage of Insulin Resistance in Rats on High–Fat Diet. Nutrients. 2017; 9:20.
- 14. Platten M, Wick W, Van den Eynde BJ. Tryptophan Catabolism in Cancer: Beyond IDO and Tryptophan Depletion. Cancer Res. 2012; 72:5435–40.
- 15. Wu G. Amino acids: metabolism, functions, and nutrition. Amino Acids. 2009; 37:1–17.
- Casadei L, Valerio M, Manetti C. Metabolomics: Challenges and Opportunities in Systems Biology Studies. Methods Mol Biol. 2018; 1702:327–36.
- 17. Johnson CH, Gonzalez FJ. Challenges and opportunities of metabolomics. J Cell Physiol. 2012; 227:2975–81.
- 18. Hui AY, McCarty WJ, Masuda K, et al. A systems biology approach to synovial joint lubrication in health, injury, and disease. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med. 2012; 4:15–37.
- 19. Lu K, Abo RP, Schlieper KA, et al. Arsenic Exposure Perturbs the Gut Microbiome and Its Metabolic Profile in Mice: An Integrated Metagenomics and Metabolomics Analysis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2014; 122:284–91.
- 20. Zhang J, Shen H, Xu W, et al. Urinary Metabolomics Revealed Arsenic Internal Dose–Related Metabolic Alterations: A Proof–of–Concept Study in a Chinese Male Cohort. Environmental Science & Technology. 2014; 48:12265–74.
- 21. Guo X, Cui H, Zhang H, et al. Protective Effect of Folic Acid on Oxidative DNA Damage: A Randomized, Double–Blind, and Placebo Controlled Clinical Trial. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015; 94:e1872.
- 22. Standard of diagnosis for endemic arsenism of China (WS/T211–2001). the Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China. Beijing 2001.
- 23. Chen X, Guo X, He P, et al. Interactive Influence of N6AMT1 and As3MT Genetic Variations on Arsenic Metabolism in the Population of Inner Mongolia, China. Toxicol Sci. 2017; 155:124–34.
- 24. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, et al. A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic

regression analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996; 49:1373-9.

- 25. Sun G, Xu Y, Li X, et al. Urinary arsenic metabolites in children and adults exposed to arsenic in drinking water in Inner Mongolia, China. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2007; 115:648–52.
- 26. Kuo CC, Moon KA, Wang SL, et al. The Association of Arsenic Metabolism with Cancer, Cardiovascular Disease, and Diabetes: A Systematic Review of the Epidemiological Evidence. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2017; 125:15.
- 27. Wang TJ, Larson MG, Vasan RS, et al. Metabolite profiles and the risk of developing diabetes. Nature Medicine. 2011; 17:448–U83.
- 28. Lawley SD, Cinderella M, Hall MN, et al. Mathematical model insights into arsenic detoxification. Theor Biol Med Model. 2011; 8.
- 29. Juhasz AL, Herde P, Herde C, et al. Validation of the predictive capabilities of the Sbrc–G in vitro assay for estimating arsenic relative bioavailability in contaminated soils. Environ Sci Technol. 2014; 48:12962–9.
- 30. Kibriya MG, Jasmine F, Parvez F, et al. Association between genome-wide copy number variation and arsenic-induced skin lesions: a prospective study. Environ Health. 2017; 16:13.
- 31. Priori R, Scrivo R, Brandt J, et al. Metabolomics in rheumatic diseases: the potential of an emerging methodology for improved patient diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment efficacy. Autoimmun Rev. 2013; 12:1022–30.
- 32. Wang X, Mu X, Zhang J, et al. Serum metabolomics reveals that arsenic exposure disrupted lipid and amino acid metabolism in rats: a step forward in understanding chronic arsenic toxicity. Metallomics Integrated Biometal Science. 2015; 7:544.
- 33. Weng L, Quinlivan E, Gong Y, et al. Association of Branched and Aromatic Amino Acids Levels with Metabolic Syndrome and Impaired Fasting Glucose in Hypertensive Patients. Metabolic Syndrome And Related Disorders. 2015; 13:195–202.
- 34. Zhou YY, Wang YF, Su J, et al. Integration of microRNAome, proteomics and metabolomics to analyze arsenic-induced malignant cell transformation. Oncotarget. 2017; 8:90879–96.
- 35. Lee JC, Chen MJ, Chang CH, et al. Plasma amino acid levels in patients with colorectal cancers and liver cirrhosis with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepato–Gastroenterol. 2003; 50:1269–73.
- 36. Munn DH, Mellor AL. Indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase and metabolic control of immune responses. Trends In Immunology. 2013; 34:137–43.
- 37. Pawlak K, Domaniewski T, Mysliwiec M, et al. The kynurenines are associated with oxidative stress, inflammation and the prevalence of cardiovascular disease in patients with end-stage renal disease. Atherosclerosis. 2009; 204:309–14.
- 38. Wu L-L, Gong W, Shen S-P, et al. Multiple metal exposures and their correlation with monoamine neurotransmitter metabolism in Chinese electroplating workers. Chemosphere. 2017; 182:745–52.
- 39. Zhou MM, Wu YM, Liu HY, et al. Effects of phenylalanine and threonine oligopeptides on milk protein synthesis in cultured bovine mammary epithelial cells. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr. 2015; 99:215–20.
- 40. Chen LY, Cheng CY, Choi HW, et al. Plasma Metabonomic Profiling of Diabetic Retinopathy. Diabetes. 2016; 65:1099–108.
- 41. Piszcz J, Lemancewicz D, Dudzik D, et al. Differences and similarities between LC–MS derived serum fingerprints of patients with B–cell malignancies. Electrophoresis. 2013; 34:2857–64.

**Figure 1.** Association between the peak intensity of tryptophan and phenylalanine and arsenic–induced skin lesions based on multivariable locally weighted regression models. a: Tryptophan; b: Phenylalanine;c: Leucine;d: Phenylalanylphenylalanine



Table S1 Amino acids metabolites in serum.

2	Amino acids	Quartile	Quartile Range	Number
3	Tryptophan	1	1015821.54-1555040.97	28
4		2	1555370.88-1701256.07	28
5		3	1704298.84-1937437.56	28
6		4	1945755.37-2492319.05	28
/	Phenylalanine	1	1044964.46-1516708.76	28
o g		2	1519993.60-1649420.82	28
10		3	1659818.85-1908125.28	28
11		4	1929946.22-3489918.62	28
12	Leucine	1	379957.04-556314.46	28
13		2	558744.08-688759.83	28
14		3	689470.83-806033.16	28
15		4	821492.90-1356991.53	28
17	Phenylalanylphenylalanine	1	608200.15-1291853.09	27
18		2	1303597.59-1632678.66	29
19		3	1638204.36-2234283.97	28
20		4	2235250.29-5011775.70	28
21				

**Table S2** Variance inflation factor of amino acids in different models<sup> $\xi$ </sup>.

25					
26	Amino acids	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
27	Tryptophan	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04
28	Phenylalanine	4.56		1.50	1.04
29	Leucine	4.21	1.39		
30 31	Phenylalanylphenylalanine	1.49	1.38	1.48	
5.1					

32 <sup>*E*</sup>Model 1: Tryptophan, Phenylalanine, Leucine and Phenylalanyl Phenylalanine;

33 Model 2: Tryptophan, Leucine and Phenylalanyl Phenylalanine;

34 Model 3: Tryptophan, Phenylalanine and Phenylalanylphenylalanine;

*Model 4: Tryptophan and Phenylalanine.* 

1 2	
3	
5	
6 7	
8 9	
10	
12	
13 14	
15 16	
17 18	
19	
20	
22 23	
24 25	
26 27	
28	
30	
31 32	
33 34	
35 36	
37	
38 39	
40 41	
42 43	
44 45	
46	
47 48	
49 50	
51 52	
53 54	
55	
56 57	
58 59	
60	

# Table1 The STROBE checklist in this study.

	Item	
	No	Recommendation
Title and	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title
abstract		or the abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of
		what was done and what was found
		Please see detail in the "ABSTRACT" section in the manuscript.
Introduction		
Background/ratio	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation
nale		being reported
		Please see detail in the second, third, fourth paragraphs of the
		"INTRODUCTION" section in the manuscript.
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses
		Please see detail in the fourth paragraph of the
		"INTRODUCTION" section in the manuscript.
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
2		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS"
		section in the manuscript.
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods
		of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS"
		section in the manuscript.
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case
		ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice
		of cases and controls
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of
		controls per case
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS"
		section in the manuscript.
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential
		confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if
		applicable
		Please see detail in the "Study Population ", "Data Collection and
		Assessment" and "Distinct Metabolites Identification" of the
		"METHODS" section in the manuscript.
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of
measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of
		assessment methods if there is more than one group
		Please see detail in the "Study Population ", "Data Collection and

		Assessment" and "Distinct Metabolites Identification" of the		
		"METHODS" section in the manuscript.		
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias		
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS"		
		section in the manuscript.		
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at		
		Please see detail in the sixth paragraph of the of the		
		"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.		
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If		
variables		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why Please see detail in the "Statistical Analysis" of the "METHODS"		
		section in the manuscript.		
Statistical	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control		
methods		for confounding		
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions		
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed		
		(d) If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was		
		addressed		
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses		
		No missing values were observed in our database. Others please		
		see detail in the "Statistical Analysis" of the "METHODS"		
		section in the manuscript.		
Results				
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers		
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible,		
		included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed; (b) Give		
		reasons for non-participation at each stage; (c) Consider use of a flow		
		diagram		
		Please see detail in the "Study Population "of the "METHODS"		
		section in the manuscript.		
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,		
		clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential		
		confounders		
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each		
		variable of interest		
		See Table 1 in the manuscript.		
Quitaama data	15*	Papart numbers in each avacuura astagany, ar summary massives of		
Outcome data	13**	report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of		
		CAPUSUIC Diagon and Table 3 in the manuscript		
Main rogulta	16	(a) Circo unadjusted estimates and if employed a softward on the start d		
Main results	10	(a) Give unaujusted estimates and, it applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eq. $05\%$ confidence interval). Make		
		contracts and then precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make		
		creat which comounders were adjusted for and why they were		

2					
3			included		
4			Please see Table 3 in the manuscript.		
5			(b) Penort cotegory houndaries when continuous variables ware		
7			(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were		
8			categorized		
9			Please see Table S1 in the manuscript.		
10			(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into		
11			absolute risk for a meaningful time period		
12	Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and		
13	Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and		
14			interactions, and sensitivity analyses		
15			Please see Table 4 in the manuscript.		
17	Discussion				
18	Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives		
19			Please see detail in the first paragraph of the of the		
20			"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscrint		
21	<b></b>	10	Discussion section in the manuscript.		
22	Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of		
23			potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude		
24			of any potential bias		
25			Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the of the		
27			"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscrint.		
28	Internatedian	20	Cine a continue cumult intermentation of manufacturing		
29	Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering		
30			objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar		
31			studies, and other relevant evidence		
32			Please see detail in the fifth paragraph of the of the		
33			"DISCUSSION" section in the manuscript.		
34 35	Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results		
36	Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisation (vertified variable) of the study results		
37			Please see detail in the seventh paragraph of the "DISCUSSION"		
38			section in the manuscript.		
39	Other information				
40	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present		
41	C		study and if applicable for the original study on which the present		
42			article is based		
43			article is based		
44 45			Please see detail in the "Funding" section in the manuscript.		
45 16	*Give information se	*Give information separately for cases and controls.			