

Humans falling in holes: Adaptations in lower-limb joint mechanics in response to a rapid change in substrate height during human hopping

Taylor J.M. Dick¹, Laksh K. Punith², Gregory S. Sawicki²

Supplementary Table 1. Summary of statistical results

peak ankle plantarflexion angle			
full model	lme(ank_ang~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 0.155$	$p=0.695$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 6.112$	$p=0.004^*$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 4.225$	$p=0.021^*$
height=20	hop	$f_{1,9} = 4.451$	$p=0.064$
height=10	hop	$f_{1,9} = 0.002$	$p=0.967$
height=5	hop	$f_{1,9} = 8.023$	$p=0.019^*$
peak ankle dorsiflexion angle			
full model	lme(ank_ang~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 3.376$	$p=0.073$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 4.767$	$p=0.013^*$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 1.222$	$p=0.12$
peak knee extension angle			
full model	lme(knee_ang~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 0.663$	$p=0.419$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 1.589$	$p=0.212$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 1.463$	$p=0.242$
peak knee flexion angle			
full model	lme(knee_ang~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 1.559$	$p=0.218$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 8.488$	$p<0.001^*$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 7.156$	$p=0.002^*$
height=20	hop	$f_{1,9} = 5.692$	$p=0.041^*$
height=10	hop	$f_{1,9} = 0.009$	$p=0.927$
height=5	hop	$f_{1,9} = 10.701$	$p=0.01^*$
peak hip extension angle			
full model	lme(hip_ang~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 1.472$	$p=0.232$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 0.007$	$p=0.994$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 1.527$	$p=0.229$
peak hip flexion angle			
full model	lme(hip_ang~hop*height, random = subject)		

Dick et al., (2019) *Interface*. Humans falling in holes: Adaptations in lower-limb joint mechanics in response to a rapid change in substrate height during human hopping

	hop	$f_{1,45} = 2.800$	$p = 0.101$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 1.366$	$p = 0.265$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 6.187$	$p = 0.004^*$
height=20	hop	$f_{1,9} = 10.834$	$p = 0.009^*$
height=10	hop	$f_{1,9} = 0.013$	$p = 0.912$
height=5	hop	$f_{1,9} = 0.684$	$p = 0.429$
ankle moment			
full model	lme(ank_mom~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 81.103$	$p < 0.001^*$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 1.048$	$p = 0.359$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 0.713$	$p = 0.495$
knee moment			
full model	lme(knee_mom~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 0.269$	$p = 0.606$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 1.-26$	$p = 0.366$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 2.962$	$p = 0.062$
hip moment			
full model	lme(hip_mom~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 20.620$	$p < 0.001^*$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 1.981$	$p = 0.150$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 0.2.066$	$p = 0.139$
positive ankle power			
full model	lme(ankpow_pos~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 41.452$	$p < 0.001^*$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 1.758$	$p = 0.187$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 0.036$	$p = 0.965$
negative ankle power			
full model	lme(ankpow_neg~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 58.476$	$p < 0.001^*$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 6.641$	$p < 0.001^*$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 8.530$	$p < 0.001^*$
height=20	hop	$f_{1,9} = 18.370$	$p = 0.003^*$
height=10	hop	$f_{1,9} = 76.781$	$p < 0.001^*$
height=5	hop	$f_{1,9} = 66.226$	$p < 0.001^*$
net ankle power			
full model	lme(ankpow_net~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 20.779$	$p < 0.001^*$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 8.316$	$p < 0.001^*$

Dick et al., (2019) *Interface*. Humans falling in holes: Adaptations in lower-limb joint mechanics in response to a rapid change in substrate height during human hopping

	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 7.542$	$p=0.002^*$
height=20	hop	$f_{1,9} = 12.564$	$p=0.008^*$
height=10	hop	$f_{1,9} = 13.845$	$p=0.006^*$
height=5	hop	$f_{1,9} = 0.186$	$p=0.677$
positive knee power			
full model	lme(kneepow_pos~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 0.513$	$p=0.478$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 0.599$	$p=0.554$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 0.555$	$p=0.578$
negative knee power			
full model	lme(kneepow_neg~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 4.536$	$p=0.039^*$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 2.262$	$p=0.117$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 3.104$	$p=0.046^*$
height=20	hop	$f_{1,9} = 5.695$	$p=0.044^*$
height=10	hop	$f_{1,9} = 0.412$	$p=0.539$
height=5	hop	$f_{1,9} = 0.079$	$p=0.785$
net knee power			
full model	lme(kneepow_net~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 4.590$	$p=0.038^*$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 1.611$	$p=0.212$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 2.437$	$p=0.1$
positive hip power			
full model	lme(hippow_pos~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 14.759$	$p<0.001^*$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 0.370$	$p=0.693$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 0.080$	$p=0.923$
negative hip power			
full model	lme(hippow_neg~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 4.979$	$p=0.031^*$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 2.043$	$p=0.143$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 2.141$	$p=0.131$
net hip power			
full model	lme(hippow_net~hop*height, random = subject)		
	hop	$f_{1,45} = 1.697$	$p=0.200$
	height	$f_{2,45} = 3.407$	$p=0.043^*$
	hop*height	$f_{2,45} = 2.900$	$p=0.066$

Dick et al., (2019) *Interface*. Humans falling in holes: Adaptations in lower-limb joint mechanics in response to a rapid change in substrate height during human hopping

For all analyses, a within subject design was used, including subject as random factor using the lme.r function from the nlme package (pinheiro et al., 2017) in r (v3.4.3, vienna, austria). To examine variation in joint angles, joint moments, and joint powers between factors (hop cycle ([hop]: p-1, p, p+1; drop [height]: 5cm, 10cm, 20cm) we specified the model with lme.r function, and then used glht.r function from the multcomp package (hothorn et al., 2008) to perform tukey post-hoc tests where appropriate differences were considered significant at the $p < 0.05$ level.