## Synergistic cancer immunotherapy combines MVA CD40L induced innate and adaptive immunity with tumor targeting antibodies

'Medina-Echeverz et al.'



**Supplementary Figure 1. Gating strategies for flow cytometry analysis. (A)** Related to *Supplementary Figure 2*. Gating strategy on blood peripheral leukocytes to check percentage of OVA<sub>257-264</sub> Dextramer<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells upon rMVA-CD40L. immunization; **(B)** Related to *Figure 2*. Gating strategy on tumor cell suspensions seven days upon rMVA-CD40L. immunization to check percentage of CD4<sup>+</sup> and OVA<sub>257-264</sub> Dextramer<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell proliferation and exhaustion phenotype; **(C)** Related to *Figure 4* and *Supplementary Figure 5*. Representative gating strategy showed in splenic single cell suspensions to analyze NK cell frequencies and phenotype; **(D)** Related to *Supplementary Figure 7*. Gating strategy on blood peripheral leukocytes to check percentage of NK cells, OVA<sub>257-264</sub> Dextramer<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells upon rMVA-CD40L. immunization; **(E)** Related to *Supplementary Figure 8*. Gating strategy on blood peripheral leukocytes to check percentage of NK cells, OVA<sub>257-264</sub> Dextramer<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells upon rMVA-CD40L. immunization; **(E)** Related to *Supplementary Figure 8*. Gating strategy on blood peripheral leukocytes to check percentage of B cells upon rMVA-CD40L immunization.



Supplementary Figure 2. Therapeutic efficacy in EG7.OVA lymphoma and increased peripheral blood CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell responses in rMVA-CD40L immunized tumor-bearing mice. Related to *Figure 1*. C57BL/6 mice received EG7.OVA as described at *Figure 1A*. (A) Tumor size follow-up (n=5 mice/group) and (B) overall survival (n= 10 mice/group) of mice injected either with PBS, MVA-OVA (referred to as rMVA) or MVA-OVA-CD40L (referred to as rMVA-CD40L); (C) Representative dot plots and frequency of peripheral blood CD44<sup>+</sup> Tet OVA<sub>257-264</sub><sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup>T cells 7 days after PBS, rMVA or rMVA-CD40L immunization to B16.OVA tumor-bearing mice (n= 5 mice/group). Data are representative of at least 2 independent experiments. (D) Representative dot plots and frequency of peripheral blood CD44<sup>+</sup> Dex OVA<sub>257-264</sub><sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup>T cells 7 days after PBS, rMVA or rMVA-CD40L immunization to B16.OVA tumor-bearing mice (n= 5 mice/group). Data are representative of at least 2 independent experiments. (D) Representative dot plots and frequency of peripheral blood CD44<sup>+</sup> Dex OVA<sub>257-264</sub><sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup>T cells 7 days after PBS, rMVA or rMVA-CD40L immunization of EG7.OVA tumor-bearing mice (n= 5 mice/group). Data are representative of at least two independent experiments. Data expressed as Mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA comparing MVA immunization vs PBS. \**p* < 0.05, \*\*\**p* < 0.005.



Supplementary Figure 3. Repeated intravenous administration of rMVA vectors did not increase therapeutic efficacy. Briefly, C57BL/6 mice received B16.OVA cells subcutaneously in the flank. Seven days later, when tumors were above 50 mm<sup>3</sup>, mice were grouped (n=5 mice/group) and immunized intravenously either with PBS or with  $5x10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of the mentioned rMVA viruses in the table (black dotted line). Seven days after prime immunization, tumor-bearing mice received a boost immunization with  $5x10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of the mentioned rMVA viruses in the table when indicated (black dashed line). Tumor growth was measured at regular intervals. Data expressed as Mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA comparing MVA immunization vs PBS. \*p < 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.005.



Supplementary Figure 4. Tumor-infiltrating CD4 T cells present a less exhausted and proliferating phenotype upon treatment. B16.OVA tumor bearers were immunized when tumors reached at least 50 mm<sup>3</sup> in volume. Seven days later, mice were sacrificed for further analysis (n= 5 mice/group). (A) Representative dot plots of PD-1 and Lag3 co-expression in tumor-infiltrating CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells; (B) GMFI of PD-1 and Lag3 on tumor-infiltrating CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells showing Mean ± SEM, representative of at least two independent experiments; (C) Representative dot plot of Ki67 and PD-1 expression on tumor-infiltrating CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells showing Mean ± SEM, representative B, One-way ANOVA comparing treatment groups vs PBS. \*, p < 0.05; \*\*, p < 0.01; \*\*\*, p < 0.005.



Supplementary Figure 5. Intravenous immunization with rMVA CD40L enhances NK cell proliferation and activation in liver and lung. (A) Systemic proliferation of NK cells upon intravenous rMVA immunization. C57BL/6 mice received PBS (n=2 mice) or were immunized i.v. with  $5x10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of either rMVA or rMVA-CD40L (n= 3 mice/group). Mice were sacrificed at days 1 and 4 after immunization and the frequency of Ki67-positive NK cells (defined as CD3<sup>-</sup> NKp46<sup>+</sup> cells) in spleen, blood, liver and lung was assessed. Data are expressed as Mean ± SEM, representative of two independent experiments; (**B**, **C**) rMVA or rMVA-CD40L induction of NK cell activation. C57BL/6 mice received PBS (n=2 mice) or were immunized i.v. with  $5x10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of either rMVA or rMVA-CD40L (n= 3 mice/group). Mice were sacrificed at day 1 after immunization and the expression of CD69 (**B**) and IFN- $\gamma$  (**C**) on liver and lung-infiltrating NK cells was analyzed by flow cytometry. Data are expressed as Mean ± SEM, representative of two independent experiments.



Supplementary Figure 6. rMVA-CD40L enhances tumor growth control both in  $FcyR^{-/-}$  and  $IL15ra^{-/-}$  tumor-bearing mice. (A, B) Performed along with Figures 6A and 6B. B16.OVA tumor-bearing wild type and  $FcyR^{-/-}$  mice were grouped according to tumor size. Tumor-bearing mice either received PBS or were immunized with  $5x10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of rMVA-CD40L (Day 0). Mice received 200 µg of IgG2a antibody i.p. at days -2, 2, 6 and 10; (A) Tumor size follow-up (n= 5 mice/group) and (B) overall survival (n=10 mice/group). (C, D) Performed along with Figures 6C and 6D. B16.OVA tumor-bearing wild type and  $I/15ra^{-/-}$  mice were grouped according to tumor size. Tumor bearing littermates either received PBS or were immunized with  $5x10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of rMVA-CD40L (Day 0). Mice received 200 µg of IgG2a antibody i.p. at days -2, 2, 6 and 10; (C) Tumor size follow-up (n= 5 mice/group) and (D) overall survival (n=10 mice/group). B and D represent overall survival of two merged independent experiments. Data in A and C are expressed as Mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA was performed on figures A and C. Log rank test on mouse survival was performed for figures B and D. \*, p < 0.05; \*\*, p < 0.01; n.s. non-significant.



Supplementary Figure 7. rMVA-CD40L expands antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup>T cells in the absence of IL15R $\alpha$ . WT and *IL15r\alpha^{-/-}* B16.OVA tumor bearing mice (n=5 mice/group) were treated as described in Figures 5 and 6. Seven days after immunization, mice were bled and peripheral blood analysis of immune cell subsets by flow cytometry was conducted. (A) Percentage of NK cells-gated as CD3<sup>-</sup>NKp46<sup>+</sup>- in peripheral blood; (B) Frequency of OVA-specific CD8 T cells in peripheral blood; (C) Frequency of MVA-specific CD8 T cells in peripheral blood; (C) Frequency of MVA-specific CD8 T cells in peripheral blood; C) and  $\pm$  SEM. One-way ANOVA. \* representative of two independent experiments. Data expressed as Mean  $\pm$  SEM. One-way ANOVA. \* represents the statistically significant differences among treatment groups from the same genotype, whereas # represents statistically significant differences among the same treatment group compared between wild type and *Il15Ra<sup>-/-</sup>* littermates. ##p < 0.01, ###p < 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.005.



Supplementary Figure 8. B cells and B cell-mediated antibodies are dispensable for rMVA-CD40L antitumor effect. Balb/c mice received  $5\times10^5$  CT26.HER2 cells subcutaneously. 13 days later, mice were grouped (n=6 mice/group) and IV injected either with PBS,  $5\times10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub> MVA-HER2 or  $5\times10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub> MVA-HER2 or  $5\times10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub> MVA-HER2-CD40L. Mice received 250µg anti-CD20 Ab (clone SA271G2) or IgG2b IV 4 days prior and 9 days after tumor cell inoculation. Blood for the detection of specific antibodies was withdrawn 7 days before and 27 days after CT26.HER2 tumor cell inoculation. Tumor growth was measured at regular intervals. (A) Percentage of CD3<sup>-</sup> CD19<sup>+</sup> MHC-II<sup>+</sup> CD45R<sup>+</sup> cells of CD45<sup>+</sup> cells in blood 8 days after CT26.HER2 cell inoculation; (B) Mean tumor volume; (C) Kaplan Meier plot showing survival of mice. ELISA on serum samples taken at day 27 after tumor inoculation against MVA IgG (D) or HER2 IgG (E). This experiment has been partially shown in Figures 1H and 1I. Data expressed as Mean ± SEM. B was analyzed using One-way ANOVA comparing MVA immunization vs PBS; C was analyzed using Log-rank test. \*p < 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.005.