

Supplementary material for:

Cyano-B12 or whey powder with endogenous hydroxo-B12 for supplementation in B12 deficient lactovegetarians

Sadanand Naik ^{1*}, Namita Mahalle ¹, Eva Greibe ², Marie S. Ostenfeld ³, Christian W. Heegaard ⁴, Ebba Nexo ² and Sergey N. Fedosov ^{4*}

¹ Department of Pathology, Deenanath Mangeshkar Hospital and Research Center, Pune, India; pnmahalle@gmail.com (N.M.)

² Department of Clinical Biochemistry and Institute of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, DK-8200 Aarhus N, Denmark; evagreibe@gmail.com (E.G.); ebbanexo@rm.dk (E.N.)

³ Arla Foods Ingredients Group P/S, DK-8260 Viby, Denmark; marie.stampe.ostenfeld@arlafoods.com (M.S.O)

⁴ Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Aarhus University, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark; cwh@mbg.au.dk (C.W.H)

* Correspondence: sadu_naik@rediffmail.com (S.N.); snf@mbg.au.dk (S.N.F.); Tel: +91 20 66023194 (S.N.); +45 87155521 (S.N.F.)

Supplementary Table S1. Nutritional composition of whey powder (Lacprodan® DI-8290) as per manufacturer's^a product specifications.

Composition	Amount (dry basis)
Protein %	81,5
Lactose %	2
Fat %	8
Ash %	2.2

^aLacprodan® DI-8290 was procured by Arla Foods Ingredients Group P/S, Viby, Denmark.

Supplementary Table S2. Fitting parameters of the markers, responding to the treatment with CN-B12 capsules, or whey powder.

Treatment and P_i number	CN-B12 capsules fitting P_i (p of reference value)		whey powder fitting P_i (p of reference value)	
$\Delta B12$	Equation 1			
$P_1 \pm SE_1$	0.0 ± 18	(1.0)	0.0 ± 4.1	1.0
$P_2 \pm SE_2$	97 ± 20	$(7 \cdot 10^{-6})$	47 ± 13	0.0003
$P_3 \pm SE_3$	0.69 ± 0.42	(0.1)	0.29 ± 0.21	0.17
(p overall)		$(7 \cdot 10^{-8})$		$(5 \cdot 10^{-5})$
ΔholoTC	Equation 2			
$P_1 \pm SE_1$	0.0 ± 5.0	(1.0)	0.0 ± 2.8	(1.0)
$P_2 \pm SE_2$	60 ± 10	$(9 \cdot 10^{-8})$	38 ± 6	$(3 \cdot 10^{-9})$
$P_3 \pm SE_3$	8.0 ± 0.9	$(2 \cdot 10^{-13})$	8.2 ± 0.9	$(8 \cdot 10^{-16})$
(p overall)		$(2 \cdot 10^{-20})$		$(3 \cdot 10^{-24})$
R(Hcy)	Equation 2			
$P_1 \pm SE_1$	1.00 ± 0.04	(1.0)	1.00 ± 0.02	(1.0)
$P_2 \pm SE_2$	-0.38 ± 0.09	$(4 \cdot 10^{-5})$	-0.47 ± 0.07	$(6 \cdot 10^{-9})$
$P_3 \pm SE_3$	9.4 ± 1.6	$(9 \cdot 10^{-8})$	6.8 ± 0.7	$(1 \cdot 10^{-17})$
(p overall)		$(4 \cdot 10^{-12})$		$(6 \cdot 10^{-26})$
R(MMA)	Equation 2			
$P_1 \pm SE_1$	1.00 ± 0.06	(1.0)	1.00 ± 0.05	(1.0)
$P_2 \pm SE_2$	-0.67 ± 0.12	$(1 \cdot 10^{-7})$	-0.34 ± 0.07	$(4 \cdot 10^{-6})$
$P_3 \pm SE_3$	8.2 ± 1.0	$(4 \cdot 10^{-13})$	6.4 ± 1.1	$(1 \cdot 10^{-7})$
(p overall)		$(5 \cdot 10^{-20})$		$(4 \cdot 10^{-13})$

The bold figures for the overall probabilities highlight the high levels of significance for deviation from a “zero response”.