Current Biology, Volume 29

Supplemental Information

NODULE INCEPTION Recruits the Lateral Root

Developmental Program for Symbiotic Nodule

Organogenesis in Medicago truncatula

Katharina Schiessl, Jodi L.S. Lilley, Tak Lee, Ioannis Tamvakis, Wouter Kohlen, Paul C. Bailey, Aaron Thomas, Jakub Luptak, Karunakaran Ramakrishnan, Matthew D. Carpenter, Kirankumar S. Mysore, Jiangqi Wen, Sebastian Ahnert, Veronica A. Grieneisen, and Giles E.D. Oldroyd



Figure S1. Induction of nodules and lateral roots. Related to Figures 1 and 2. (A) To initiate lateral roots we used a gravitropic stimulation assay. Lateral roots emerge from prebranch sites within and close to the induced bend at a frequency of > 95 %. **(B)** To induce nodules, droplets of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* suspension were placed on the susceptibility zone of the

root which coincides with the onset of the differentiation zone where the root hairs emerge (marked on the filter paper). Nodules initiated from these sites at a frequency of 85 % (n=53) (C) Auxin signalling response during nodulation measured with *pDR5::GFP-NLS* fluorescence intensity at the site of spot inoculation compared to neighbouring non-inoculated areas of the root. Spot treatments included S. meliloti (orange, n= 22), 2.5 μ M IAA (green, n=18), and mock (blue, n=14). Shading indicates 25-75% quantiles and asterisks indicate significant differences between S. meliloti and mock treatment at the specific timepoints (Student's t test, * P < 0.05). All datapoints in *S. meliloti* treatments after 12 hpi are significantly different to the mock treatment with a P < 0.001. (D) Number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) over a time course of lateral root induction and post *S. meliloti* spot inoculation. Yellow bars represent upregulated genes and blue bars represent downregulated genes. The resolution of these transcriptome datasets is dependent on the predictability of capturing cells undergoing nodule and lateral root development: while nodules formed precisely at the location of rhizobial inoculation, lateral roots could emerge anywhere within the root bend (Figure S1A) consistent with initiation from prebranch sites, as previously reported [S1]. Furthermore, no nodules formed in the absence of S. meliloti inoculation, but we cannot rule out that we captured prebranch sites and early lateral root primordia in unbent control root segments. For these reasons, we believe we have better resolution during nodule initiation than we have during lateral root initiation. (E) Overlap of DEGs between lateral root induction (orange circles) and post S. meliloti spot inoculation (purple circles) across all time points; 74% of upregulated DEGs and 81% of the downregulated DEGs during lateral root induction are shared with the DEGs post S. meliloti spot inoculation. (F) Heatmap of all differentially expressed genes in response to lateral root induction 12-72 hpi and in response to S. meliloti spot inoculation 12 – 168 hpi in wild type. To compare the development of lateral roots and nodules we sorted all differentially expressed genes of the lateral root dataset according to the timepoints of induction and compared this to expression during nodule initiation. Expression levels are depicted as log_2 fold changes, (also see Data S1).



Figure S2. Lateral root and nodule initiation share genes involved in auxin signalling and transcriptional regulation. Related to Figures 2 and 5 and Figure S1. Heatmap of selected DEGs during lateral root and nodule induction in wild-type with gene identifiers as annotated in Mt4.0v1 included for all genes. Expression levels are depicted as log₂ fold changes.



Figure S3. *LBD* and *YUC* genes are expressed during lateral root and nodule primordium **initiation and development. Related to Figures 3 and 4. (A)** Expression profiling on segments of *S. meliloti* and mock inoculated root sections. Expression levels were measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to *HH3*. Statistical comparisons were performed between *S*.

meliloti and mock inoculation. Values are the mean of 3 biological replicates ± SEM (Student's t-test; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001). (B) Location of exonic *Tnt1* retrotransposon insertions. (C) Comparison of transcript levels in WT and the corresponding exonic *Tnt1* retrotransposon insertion lines measured in 5-day old seedling roots. Expression levels were measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to HH3. Statistical comparison was performed between WT and the insertion lines. Values are the mean of 3 biological replicates (5 roots) ± SEM (Student's t-test; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001). (D) Distribution of nodule number per plant 14 days post S. meliloti spray inoculation of WT (n = 77) and *lbd16-2* (n = 89). Box plots show median (thick line), second to third quartiles (box), minimum and maximum ranges (lines), and outliers (single points). A one-way Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test showed that nodule number is dependent on genotype (KW = 91.649, df = 2, p = 2.2e-16). Asterisks indicate significantly different means for *lbd16-2* compared with WT, Dunn Test (95 % confidence). (E) Distribution of total lateral root number in 14-day old seedlings of WT and *lbd16-2*. Box plots as described in **(D)**. A one-way Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test showed that lateral root number is dependent on genotype. Asterisks indicate significantly different means for *lbd16-2* compared with WT. (F) Percentage of seedlings with \geq 1 secondary lateral roots initiated from primary lateral roots in 14-day old seedlings, P-value 3.138e-21 (*lbd16-2*) compared to WT, Fisher' s exact test. (E-F) WT (n = 49) and *lbd16-2* (n = 59). (G) Percentage of gravi-stimulated seedlings with ≥ 1 lateral roots in the bend 5 dpi, with P-value 1.005e-21 (*lbd16-2*) compared to WT, Fisher's exact test, WT (n = 48) and *lbd16-2* (n = 56).



Figure S4. Lateral root and nodule number are reduced in *lbd16* but not *lbd11*. Related to Figure 4. (A-B) The primary root length was not significantly affected in *lbd11-1* and *lbd16-1*. Distribution of root length of the main roots (A) and primary lateral roots (B) of 14-day old seedlings of WT (n = 29), *lbd11-1* (n = 20), *lbd16-1* (n = 20), and *lbd11lbd16* (n = 29). Box plots

show median (thick line), second to third quartiles (box), minimum and maximum ranges (lines), and outliers (single points). A one-way ANOVA showed that the mean length of main roots and the primary lateral roots differed only slightly between genotypes. The asterisks indicate significantly different means for mutants compared with WT using Tukey multiple comparisons of means, 95% family-wise confidence level. * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001. (C) Percentage of seedlings with ≥ 1 secondary lateral roots initiated from primary lateral roots in 14-day old seedlings of WT (n = 56), *lbd11-1* (n = 58), *lbd16-1* (n = 64) and *lbd11lbd16* (n = 66) with P-values 0.571 (*lbd11-1*), 2.943e-04 (*lbd16-1*), and 1.650e-11 (*lbd11-*1/bd16-1), respectively, Fisher's exact test. (D) Distribution of nodule number per plant 14 days post S. meliloti spray inoculation of WT (n = 102), lbd11-1 (n = 113), lbd16-1 (n = 114) and *lbd11lbd16* (n = 94) seedlings. Box plots as described in (A). A one-way Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test showed that nodule number is dependent on genotype (KW = 64.251, df = 3, p = 7.255e-14). Asterisks indicate significantly different means for *lbd16* and *lbd11lbd16* compared with WT, Dunn Test (95% confidence). (E-G) Images of WT, *lbd11-1*, *lbd16-1*, and *lbd11lbd16* plants grown on plates as used for the phenotyping assays (Figure 4 and Figures S3D-G and S4A-D). Total lateral root number, main root and primary lateral root length, and percentage of secondary lateral roots were assessed in 14-day old seedlings (E) percentage of plants with lateral roots induced in the bend was assessed 5 days post gravitropic stimulation (F); nodule number was assessed in 16-day old plants 14 days post spray inoculation with *S. meliloti* (G). Scalebars: 10 mm.



Figure S5. Constitutive expression of *LBD16* and *YUC2* results in ectopic primordium initiation. Related to Figures 5 and 6. (A-D) Hairy roots transformed with *pLjUBI::MtLBD16* (A-B) and *pLjUBI>GAL4UAS::MtYUC2* 3 weeks post dexamethasone (C) and mock (D)

treatment. (A-D) imaged in brightfield mode (upper panels) and with the transformation marker pAtUBI:dsred in epifluorescence mode (bottom panels). (E-G) Optical sections of PI stained root structures of pLjUBI>GAL4UAS::MtYUC2 3 weeks post dexamethasone treatment. Scale bars (A-D): 1 mm, (E-G): 50 µm. (H) Quantification of transcript levels of LBD16 in WT and lbd16-1 root sections 24 hrs post IAA (+) or mock (-) treatment. Expression levels were measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to HH3. Values are the mean of 3 biological replicates ± SEM (Student's t-test; Asterisks indicated statistical significance *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01, ***, P < 0.001). (I-J) Transcript levels of root organogenesis genes are affected by loss of LBD16. Gene expression levels of PLETHORA3 (I) and POLYGALACTURONASE-like (Medtr5g034090) (J) in response to IAA (+) and mock (-) treatment (white bars), and S. meliloti and mock spot inoculation (grey bars) in Ibd16-1 and WT root sections (elongationdifferentiation zone) at 24 hpi. Expression levels were measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to HH3. Statistical comparisons were performed between IAA and mock treatments, S. meliloti and mock inoculation, and between IAA treated and S. meliloti inoculated genotypes. Values are the mean of 3 biological replicates ± SEM (Student's t-test; Asterisks indicated statistical significance *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01, ***, P < 0.001). (K-L) Expression profiling on hairy root tissue constitutively expressing LBD16 (K) and NIN (L) using the L. japonicus UBIQUITIN promoter. qRT-PCR normalized to HH3. Empty vector control (white bars); overexpressing lines (black bars). Values are the mean of \geq 3 biological replicates ± SEM (Student's t-test; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001).



Figure S6. *Ibd16* mediates the transcriptional response to auxin and cytokinin. Related to Figure 7. (A) Representative optical sections (\geq 5 roots analysed) through water-control treated WT, *cre1-1*, *nin-1* and *nf-ya1-1* segments of the primary root at the susceptibility zone. Cell walls were stained with PI and EdU labelling was used to detect DNA synthesis. No green labelled nuclei were observed under control treatments indicating that cell cycle activation was specifically induced by BAP treatment. Scalebars: 50 µm. (B) Transcript levels of selected genes (related to Figure 7A) measured by qRT-PCR on root segments of WT (ecotype *R108*) seedlings treated with cytokinin (24 hrs, 100 nM BAP). Expression levels were measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to *HH3*. Statistical comparisons were performed between hormone and mock treated roots. Values are the mean (Δ Ct values) of 3 biological replicates and the mean Δ Ct values of 3 biological replicates normalized to the maximum value within the dataset, ± SEM (Student's t-test; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001). (C) Representative optical sections (\geq 10 roots analysed) through root segments (susceptibility zone) treated with

100 nM BAP of WT-R108 (top panel) and *lbd16-1* (bottom panel) for comparison (related to Figure 7). Red=cell walls, green nuclei = cell cycle activation. Scalebars: 100 µm. **(D)** IAA concentrations (pg/mg root freshweight) measured in root sections at 24 hrs post spot inoculation with *S. meliloti* (*S.m*) or mock (M). Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to mock, (Student's t-test; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001), (n≥4, >200 plants/replicate). **(E)** Induction of lateral root associated genes is not affected in *nin-1* during lateral root initiation. Expression profiling on root segments 24 hrs post lateral root induction in 2-day old seedlings of WT and *nin-1*. Expression levels were measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to *HH3*. Statistical comparisons were performed between bent and unbent root sections. Values are the mean of 3 biological replicates ± SEM (Student's t-test; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001) No significant difference in lateral root number between wild type and *nin-1* was observed with P-value 0.13, in n>45 plants per genotype, Fisher's exact test.

Name	Gene ID	Primer Sequence 5' to 3'	Primer Sequence 5' to 3'
qRT-PCR		Forward	Reverse
ННЗ	Medtr4g097170	CCCTGGAACTGTTGCTCTTC	CCTGAGCAATTTCACGAACC
NIN	Medtr5g099060	CTTTGCCGGAAGCCTAAAGGA C	TTTCAGAGTTGTAGGACACAC ACC
NF-YA1	Medtr 1g056530	ATCATCAGACGCAGGCATTCT CG	TCGTGCATATATGGCTTGTTA CGC
NF-YB16	Medtr4g119500	ATGACAATGGCGGTATCAAGG AAC	TATCCGACCAACATTGGCTAT TGG
LBD16	Medtr7g096530	AGCTCGTATCAGAGACCCTGT G	TGCAAGCATGCTACCTGTTGT TG
LBD11	Medtr4g060950	AGGCTAGTGCAAGAGTTAGAG ACC	TGGAGTTGGCAAATTGCTCCT G
YUC2	Medtr6g086870	GGGTGTGGAAATTCAGGTATG GAG	AGGATGGATGAGCATTATGG TTGC
YUC8	Medtr7g099330	AGACTTTCTCTCACGCCGTTGC	ACCAGATGGACCTGCACCTAT G
CycA3;1	Medtr3g102530	GCTTCTCCCTCAAACCCTTCA	CGATGAGCATGGATGAAACA CC
PLT3	Medtr5g031880	CAAGCAAGAATTGGTCGTGTT GCC	TCTGCAGCTTCCTCTTCAGTTG CG
BBM	Medtr7g080460	TCACGAGGTGCATCCATTTACC G	TCTGCTGCCTCTTCTTGAGTGC TG
WOX5	Medtr5g081990	CTGGCACAAAGTGTGGTCGTT G	TTGATCAGTGCTTGGAGTTCT GAG
RR9	Medtr3g015490	TCCTCAGAGAATGTCCATCAA GG	TGTGGTTTCAGCTTGTTCACAT C
RR11	Medtr8g038620	AGTAATGGGCATGGCAGCTGA G	AGGCCTTACTAGCAGAATCCA CTG
RR19	Medtr3g088630	CCATTGCAGTTGCAAGAGGGA AAC	ATCCCAGGCATGCAATAATCT GTC
<i>CKX</i> -like	Medtr4g126150	TATCACGCGGTTCTTGGAGG	TTAACCGTTGTGGGAGCTGG
PG-like	Medtr5g034090	ACAGCAGCAAGTTAGCATGTG GAG	ATTCCATGTCCCGGACCACAG TTG
<i>STY</i> -like	Medtr1g023230	AGCAGCAGCAACAACAGTTTC AC	AAATTTCCCAACTCCAACCCTG TG
<i>STY</i> -like	Medtr8g076620	GGCGCACTTGTTGATCCTTC	ATTGCGTACCACTAGCCGTC
Genotyping			
Tnt1	Tnt1 transposon	TCCTTGTTGGATTGGTAGCC	CAGTGAACGAGCAGAACCTG TG
NF20768 lbd16-1	Medtr7g096530	GGGCCAGTCAAAGAATATTA	TTCGTCCTTGACACTCTCATT
NF15962 lbd16-2	Medtr7g096530	CCATAAAGAAATGTCTCCC	GAGAGACACAACCATACACA G
NF18998/209 19 lbd11-1	Medtr4g060950	ACAAAGGGGAGTGTTATTAG	TCCTTGTTGGATTGGTAGCC

L2 plasmids: GUS reporters

EC20325_pL2B-R1-pMedtr4g060950 (LBD11):GUS-t-LBD11-R2-pAtUBI:dsred-EC20325

EC20353_pL2B-R1-pMedtr7g096530(LBD16):GUS-t-LBD16-R2-pAtUBI:dsred-EC20253

EC21965_pL2B-R1-pAtUBI:KAN-R2-pMedtr6g086870(YUC2):GUS-t-35S-R3pAtUBI:dsRed-EC21965

EC21966_pL2B-R1-pAtUBI:KAN-R2-pMedtr7g099330(YUC8):GUS-t-35S-R3-pAtUBI:dsRed-EC21966

L2 plasmids: dexamethasone-inducible ectopic expression

EC11480_pL2B-R1-pAtUBI:KAN-R2-p6xGAL4UAS:NLS-eGFP-pAtUBI:dsRed-R3-pLjUBI1:GVG-11480

EC21962_pL2B-R1-pMtGH3:GUS-R2-p6xGAL4UAS:Medtr6g086870(YUC2)-R3-pAtUBI:PM-mCherry-R4-pLjUBI1::GVG-21962

L2 plasmids: ectopic expression using the *Lotus japonicus UBIQUITIN* promoter (*LjUBI*)

EC52236_pL2B-R1-pLjUBI:Medtr7g096530(LBD16)-t35S-R2-pAtUBI:dsred-52236

EC52237_pL2B-R1-pLjUBI:Medtr4g060950(LBD11)-t35S-R2-AtUBI:dsred-52237

EC52357_pL2B-R1-AtUBI:dsred-R2-LjUBI:Medtr5g099060(NIN)-t35S-52357

EC52395 pL2B-R1-AtUBI:dsred-R2-LjUBI:t35S-52357

EC20681_pL2B-R1-pLjUBI:GFP-R2-pAtUBI:dsred-20681

EC11680_pL2B_R1-pAtUBI:KAN-R2-AtUBI:dsred-EC11680

 Table S2. Constructs used in this study. Related to STAR methods.

SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCE

Moreno-Risueno, M.A., Van Norman, J.M., Moreno, A., Zhang, J., Ahnert, S.E., and Benfey,
 P.N. (2010). Oscillating Gene Expression Determines Competence for Periodic Arabidopsis
 Root Branching. Science 329, 1306-1311.